

**Calendar No. 472**

113TH CONGRESS }  
2d Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT  
113-211

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_  
JULY 17, 2014.—Ordered to be printed  
\_\_\_\_\_

Mr. DURBIN, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

**REPORT**

[To accompany H.R. 4870]

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 4870) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015, and for other purposes, reports the same with an amendment in the nature of a substitute and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

*New obligational authority*

Total of bill as reported to the Senate .....	\$542,771,568,000
Amount of 2014 appropriations .....	565,093,629,000
Amount of 2015 budget estimate .....	544,122,025,000
Amount of House allowance .....	563,865,320,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2014 appropriations .....	– 22,322,061,000
2015 budget estimate .....	– 1,350,457,000
House allowance .....	– 21,093,752,000

## CONTENTS

---

Background:		Page
Purpose of the Bill .....		5
Hearings .....		5
Summary of the Bill .....		5
Definition of Program, Project and Activity .....		6
Reprogramming Guidance .....		7
Committee Initiatives .....		7
Title I:		
Military Personnel:		
Military Personnel Overview .....		18
Military Personnel, Army .....		20
Military Personnel, Navy .....		23
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....		26
Military Personnel, Air Force .....		29
Reserve Personnel, Army .....		32
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....		34
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....		36
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....		38
National Guard Personnel, Army .....		40
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....		42
Title II:		
Operation and Maintenance:		
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....		53
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....		59
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....		64
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....		68
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....		74
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....		81
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....		83
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....		86
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....		88
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....		90
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....		94
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....		96
Environmental Restoration, Army .....		96
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....		96
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....		96
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide .....		96
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....		97
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....		97
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....		97
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund .....		97
Title III:		
Procurement:		
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....		102
Missile Procurement, Army .....		106
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....		110
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....		113
Other Procurement, Army .....		116
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....		126
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....		131
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....		134
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....		137
Other Procurement, Navy .....		142
Procurement, Marine Corps .....		152

	Page
Title III—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	157
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	164
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	168
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	170
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	175
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	181
Title IV:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	187
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	199
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	213
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	228
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	241
Title V:	
Revolving and Management Funds:	
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	243
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	244
Title VI:	
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program .....	246
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense .....	260
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	262
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	263
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	263
Support for International Sporting Competitions .....	263
Office of the Inspector General .....	263
Title VII:	
Related Agencies:	
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund .....	264
Intelligence Community Management Account .....	264
Title VIII: General Provisions .....	265
Title IX: Overseas Contingency Operations:	
Department of Defense—Military .....	272
Military Personnel:	
Military Personnel, Army .....	275
Military Personnel, Navy .....	276
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	277
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	278
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	279
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	280
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	280
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	281
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	281
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	281
Operation and Maintenance:	
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	282
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	283
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	284
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	284
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	285
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	286
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	286
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	287
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	287
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	287
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	288
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund .....	288
Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund .....	290
European Reassurance Initiative .....	290
Procurement:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	290
Missile Procurement, Army .....	290
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	291
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	291
Other Procurement, Army .....	292

	Page
Title IX—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	292
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	293
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	293
Other Procurement, Navy .....	294
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	294
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	295
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	296
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	296
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	296
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	297
National Guard and Reserve Equipment .....	297
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	299
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	299
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	300
Revolving and Management Funds: Defense Working Capital Funds .....	300
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program .....	301
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	301
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	302
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	302
Office of the Inspector General .....	303
General Provisions—This Title .....	303
Title X: Overseas Contingency Operations:	
Bilateral Economic Assistance .....	305
International Security Assistance .....	305
General Provision—This Title .....	305
Title XI: Overseas Contingency Operations:	
Department of Defense—Military Construction, Defense-Wide .....	306
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	307
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	309
Budgetary Impact of Bill .....	310
Comparative Statement of Budget Authority .....	311

## BACKGROUND

### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

This bill makes appropriations for the military functions of the Department of Defense for the period October 1, 2014, through September 30, 2015. Functional areas include the pay, allowances, and support of military personnel, operation and maintenance of the forces, procurement of equipment and systems, and research, development, test and evaluation. Appropriations for foreign military assistance, military construction, family housing, nuclear weapons programs, and civil defense are provided in other bills.

### HEARINGS

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense began hearings on March 5, 2014, and concluded them on June 18, 2014, after 11 separate sessions. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense, other Federal agencies, and representatives of organizations.

### SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommendation of \$542,771,568,000 includes funding to develop, maintain, and equip the military forces of the United States and for other purposes in nonemergency appropriations.

The fiscal year 2015 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense appropriations bill totals \$544,122,025,000 in new budget authority, including \$59,868,030,000 in contingency funding for the Departments of State and Defense and \$514,000,000 in mandatory spending.

In fiscal year 2014, the Congress appropriated \$565,093,629,000 for activities funded in this bill. This amount includes \$480,066,687,000 in nonemergency appropriations and \$85,026,942,000 in overseas contingency operations appropriations. The Committee recommends that funds requested for Coast Guard overseas contingency operations be appropriated directly to the Department of Homeland Security.

The Committee recommendation in this bill is \$22,322,061,000 below the amount provided in fiscal year 2014 and \$1,350,457,000 below the amount requested for fiscal year 2015.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table displays the recommendations for each title:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2014 enacted	Fiscal year 2015 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title I—Military Personnel .....	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,430,543
Title II—Operation and Maintenance .....	159,869,726	166,002,818	165,786,003
Title III—Procurement .....	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,409,693
Title IV—Research, development, test and evaluation .....	62,994,741	63,533,947	62,566,834
Title V—Revolving and management funds .....	2,246,427	1,234,468	2,150,078
Title VI—Other Department of Defense programs .....	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,641,680
Title VII—Related agencies .....	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,023,374
Title VIII—General provisions (net) .....	–2,779,189	–260,685	–1,891,348
Title IX—Overseas Contingency Operations .....	85,023,942	58,469,030	58,255,711
Title X—Overseas Contingency Operations—Department of State .....		1,353,000	1,353,000
Title XI—Overseas Contingency Operations—Military Construc- tion .....		46,000	46,000
Net grand total .....	565,093,629	544,122,025	542,771,568
Total discretionary (incl. scorekeeping adjustments) .....	572,041,629	550,674,725	549,324,268

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in tables presented under each appropriation account.

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements in out-year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations in S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported.

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the table.

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAM ADJUSTMENTS

The Committee recommends adjustments to certain classified programs, as explained in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

#### DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

In fiscal year 2015, for purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177), as amended, the term "program, project and activity" for appropriations contained in this act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 and the P-1 and R-1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action. The following exception to the above definition shall apply: for the military personnel and operation and maintenance accounts the term "program, project and activity" is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act.

In carrying out any Presidential sequestration, the Department of Defense and agencies shall conform to the definition for "program, project and activity" set forth above.

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance for acquisition accounts as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (House Report 110–279). For operation and maintenance accounts, the Secretary of Defense shall continue to follow the reprogramming guidelines specified in the conference report accompanying H.R. 3222, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2008. The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall remain at \$15,000,000 for operation and maintenance; \$20,000,000 for procurement; and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

In addition, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees annual DD Form 1416 reports for titles I and II and quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of an operation and maintenance (O–1), a procurement (P–1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this statement.

## COMMITTEE INITIATIVES

The Committee has included funding above the President's budget request for several programmatic initiatives which the Committee believes are of inherent value for national defense. In several cases, funds are restored for programs which were included in previous Department of Defense budget requests, and several are for programs that the Committee believes are necessary to improve defense even though they have not been included under the request formulated by the Department of Defense. For instance, the Committee provides additional research funding in the following areas: medical research, basic research, alternative energy, advanced metals and materials, military burn treatment, and traumatic brain injury and psychological health. The Committee believes additional research funding is warranted in these and other areas to ensure that the Department of Defense continues to pursue technological advances that are critical to our national defense. The Committee has also provided funding for programs that are chronically underfunded, such as range conservation; facility sustainment, restoration, and modernization; corrosion; and Defense Production Act purchases. The Committee directs that funds for these initiatives

are to be competitively awarded or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

#### TOBACCO USE IN THE MILITARY

Tobacco use is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States, with more than 480,000 deaths attributable to cigarette smoking each year. While great strides have been made over the past 50 years to reduce tobacco use in the U.S. military, use among Armed Forces servicemembers remains higher than in the population as a whole. According to a 2011 Department of Defense health behavior survey, 24 percent of servicemembers smoke, compared to 20 percent of civilians. The gap in smokeless tobacco use is even higher: according to the same Department survey, 12.8 percent of servicemembers used smokeless tobacco products, including electronic cigarettes, compared to 2.3 percent of the civilian population. Survey data show this usage is much higher among junior enlisted servicemembers than officers. A 2008 DOD study found that one in three military smokers began doing so after enlisting. The Institute of Medicine in 2009 noted that tobacco use costs the Department more than \$1,600,000,000 annually in tobacco-related medical care, increased hospitalizations, and lost days of work. In addition to these costs, smoking undermines military readiness by compromising physical fitness in the short-term, and causing or contributing to serious health problems, such as lung cancer and delayed wound healing, in the long-term.

The Department of Defense has affirmed the goal of a tobacco-free military, and has implemented a range of programs including public-education campaigns, the banning of all tobacco use during basic training, and the prohibition of tobacco use by instructors in the presence of students. However, inexpensive, readily available tobacco products remain a major driver of tobacco use, just as in the general population. In recognition of this, the Navy has led efforts to curb tobacco use and promote evidenced-based tobacco prevention strategies, such as eliminating the sale of tobacco in Navy commissaries and ending discounts on tobacco prices in Navy and Marine Corps exchanges in 2012. In 2012, the Air Force also set a goal of becoming tobacco-free. Earlier this year, the Secretary of Defense began a comprehensive review of the Department's tobacco policies.

The Committee applauds these efforts and encourages the Department to continue to advance rapidly toward a tobacco-free military. In support of these goals, the Committee includes a provision directing the elimination of the price subsidy provided to tobacco products at military exchanges. This reform directs the Department to implement a consistent, verifiable price benchmark for tobacco products at exchanges, as recent surveys by the National Institutes of Health indicate that Army and Air Force exchange prices for cigarettes in practice to be between 14–25 percent lower than market price, despite Department of Defense Instruction 1330.9, which allows only a 5 percent discount.

#### SEXUAL ASSAULT

The Committee remains extremely concerned with the level of sexual assault in the military. The most recent report from the De-



partment found cases of reported sexual assaults increased 50 percent over the past year. The Committee applauds the Department initiative to rescreen and retrain recruiters and sexual assault prevention officers but believes more needs to be done to create a culture throughout the military in which sexual assault is not tolerated.

The Committee also believes that victims of sexual assault should not be subjected to further alienation during the investigation and prosecution of their case. In January 2013, the Air Force began a Special Victims' Counsel pilot program that provides victims with a trained military lawyer to provide legal assistance and support as a case makes its way through the often arduous criminal justice process. The Committee commends the Air Force on this program and sees it as a positive step in giving victims the voice and support they deserve. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 included \$25,000,000 for the Department to implement the Special Victims' Counsel program across all the services. In order to build on this progress, the Committee includes an additional \$25,000,000 in fiscal year 2015 for the continuation and expansion of the Special Victims' Counsel program.

#### ISRAELI MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$272,775,000 for Israeli missile defense programs within the Missile Defense Agency [MDA] budget, including \$175,972,000 for the procurement of Iron Dome, which concludes a previously made U.S. commitment to provide \$680,000,000 from fiscal years 2012 to 2015 for the Iron Dome program in response to a request from the Government of Israel. The Committee continues its strong, bi-partisan support for Israeli missile defense programs to ensure the fulfillment of Israel's missile defense needs and retention of Israel's qualitative military edge. The Committee notes the long-standing and successful contributions of U.S. industry towards meeting these goals, to include co-production of Arrow and David's Sling components; and, beginning in fiscal year 2014, co-production of Iron Dome.

Following submission of the fiscal year 2015 budget submission, the funding requirement for Iron Dome increased, and recommends an additional \$175,000,000, which brings U.S. investment in Iron Dome production since fiscal year 2011 to over \$1,000,000,000. The Committee notes that the Iron Dome program, which was developed by Israel solely with Israeli funding, is not subject to conditions of other joint Israeli-U.S. cooperative missile defense programs, but rather is governed by a Memorandum of Agreement signed in March 2014. Therefore, the Committee directs that funds appropriated in fiscal year 2015 for Iron Dome be subject to the terms and provisions of this Memorandum of Agreement, as amended to reflect an agreed-upon implementation plan between MDA and the Israeli Missile Defense Organization [IMDO].

In addition, the Committee directs that not more than \$175,972,000 may be obligated or expended for Iron Dome in fiscal year 2015 until IMDO provides additional justification and documentation to the United States Department of Defense and MDA containing a timeline for Iron Dome expenditure of funds included in the fiscal year 2015 budget request and any additional funds

recommended in fiscal year 2015, as well as a delivery schedule for items funded with these and prior year funds; and a report to MDA documenting full and complete delivery by Israeli industry and acceptance by U.S. industry suppliers of all technical data packages required for U.S. co-production of Iron Dome. Further, this report shall document that all export licenses required to enable the release of classified technical data packages from the U.S. prime contractor to U.S. subcontractors are completed; a common cost model of Iron Dome components—to be jointly developed and agreed upon by MDA and IMDO—that includes recurring and non-recurring engineering costs; actual Iron Dome production costs beginning in fiscal year 2013; and component lead-times and delivery schedules for each fiscal year thereafter.

The Committee expects that to fully satisfy the requirements listed above, the Government of Israel will provide to MDA copies of signed and ratified contracts, subcontracts, and teaming arrangements between Israeli and U.S. industry for all Iron Dome co-production efforts. The Committee understands that moving forward with Iron Dome co-production will not negatively impact development, test and production schedules of the Arrow and David's Sling programs, which the Committee continues to fully support. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$173,800,000 for the Arrow and David's Sling programs.

#### CVN 73 REFUELING AND COMPLEX OVERHAUL [RCOH]

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes no funds to continue the refueling and complex overhaul of CVN 73, USS *George Washington*. The Committee notes that \$329,700,000 has previously been appropriated in the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy account for the CVN 73 RCOH to support the aircraft carrier's dry-dock induction in September 2016. With the fiscal year 2015 budget submission, the Navy announced its intention to make a decision with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission as to whether to refuel or prematurely retire CVN 73. The Committee understands that deferring this decision creates significant programmatic and operational schedule delays for the RCOH.

CVN 73 has more than 20 years of service life remaining, and the Navy cannot meet all U.S. combatant commanders' requirements with the current aircraft carrier fleet. Furthermore, U.S. law requires the Navy to maintain not less than 11 operational aircraft carriers. The Committee notes that contrary to its previously stated position, the Navy has now decided to immediately continue CVN 73 RCOH with previously appropriated funds. The Committee fully supports this decision. Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$849,800,000 in the Navy's military personnel, operation and maintenance, shipbuilding, and other procurement accounts to fully fund the fiscal year 2015 requirement for CVN 73 RCOH, which will mitigate the schedule delays caused by the Navy's inaction. The Committee expects the Navy to include all remaining funds required to complete the CVN 73 RCOH in the Future Years Defense Program accompanying the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

## SHIP MODERNIZATION, OPERATIONS AND SUSTAINMENT FUND [SMOSF]

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes a new proposal by the Navy to remove 11 Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruisers and three amphibious dock landing ships from the operational fleet and lay them up for several years under a phased modernization plan. This proposal does not conform with direction provided in the Fiscal Year 2013 National Defense Authorization Act, the Fiscal Year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2013, and the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014. The Committee notes that the Navy's proposal removes more Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruisers and amphibious dock landing ships from the operational fleet than previously proposed, relies on the congressional defense committees providing additional financial management and acquisition authorities, and the Navy's budget plan does not contain full funding in the outyears for this proposal.

The Committee does not support the Navy's proposal due to concerns over the duration of the proposed lay-up period for several of the ships, the additional authorities required, and severe doubts as to whether the Navy would execute the phased modernization plan as proposed given the volatility in Navy budgets in recent years. Further, the Committee is perturbed by the Navy's disregard for congressional direction provided for two consecutive years. Therefore, the Committee recommendation denies the Navy's proposal and instead recommends a modified modernization plan that conforms with the Navy's proposal to expand the application of SMOSF funds to four additional Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruisers and an additional amphibious dock landing ship, while modifying the induction schedule for the SMOSF ships' modernization. The Committee notes that the SMOSF contains over \$1,700,000,000, which is sufficient to fund this plan in the near-term and expects the Navy to budget for additional funds required in the mid- and long-term.

## ENGAGEMENT ON ARCTIC ISSUES

The Committee notes that the physical changes in the Arctic are unprecedented in both their rate and scope of change. In addition to the economic and social concerns, numerous studies, including the 2010 and 2014 Quadrennial Defense Reviews and the U.S. Navy Arctic Roadmap, have documented the significant impact that a rapidly changing Arctic has on national security, to include an increasing number of vessels expected to be operating in the Arctic Ocean in the summer and fall months.

The Arctic Council has grown significantly in recent years with increased influence, visibility, and membership. As of May 2013, China, Japan, Singapore, India, the Republic of Korea, and Italy have been granted observer status. In 2015, the United States will assume its 2-year Chair of the Arctic Council, succeeding Canada in this role. In view of the increasing significance and importance of the Arctic Council, and the United States' upcoming leadership in this body, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to continue to examine ways the Department can support engagement on Arctic issues, including funding for better Arctic Domain Aware-

ness, mapping the U.S. Arctic waters, and enhanced observations and prediction of Arctic weather, ocean, and ice conditions.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY IMPLICATIONS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

The Committee commends the Department for its ongoing review of the effect of sea-level rise, shifting climate zones and severe weather events on the Department's bases worldwide. As the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review notes, "The impacts of climate change may increase the frequency, scale, and complexity of future missions, including defense support to civil authorities, while at the same time undermining the capacity of our domestic installations to support training activities." In light of the potential operational risks, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 90 days of enactment of this act identifying the most serious and likely climate-related security risks for each combatant command and the ways in which the combatant commands are integrating mitigation of these risks into their planning processes, including in the areas of humanitarian disaster relief, security cooperation, building partner capacity, and sharing best practices for mitigation of installation vulnerabilities. The report shall provide a description of the resources required for an effective response and the timeline of when those resources will be needed.

#### CYBER SECURITY: ROLES OF FEDERAL RESEARCH ORGANIZATIONS

The Committee remains concerned about continued ambiguities in the roles and responsibilities of various government entities with regard to our Nation's cyber defense. The Departments of Defense, Homeland Security, and Justice each have major roles to play in defining a cyber defense posture, as do State and local governments, academia, industry, and others.

The Committee wishes to better understand the roles that can, or should, be played by Federally Funded Research and Development Centers [FFRDC], National Laboratories, University Affiliated Research Centers [UARC], the military service's research laboratories, and similar organizations, all of which could provide substantial expertise in cyber security, but whose actions in this regard must be carefully coordinated to most efficiently utilize the resources available to address this difficult topic.

The Committee directs the Department to brief congressional defense and intelligence committees on the Department's governance construct to apportion, deconflict, and evaluate the cyber security research and development efforts among the Nation's FFRDCs, National Laboratories, UARCs, military research laboratories, and similar organizations, as well as whether expanding relationships with these organizations is warranted. To the extent practical, this brief should also address cyber security areas in which the Department of Defense recognizes that the Departments of Homeland Security and Justice have the leading role. Finally, the Committee recognizes the contributions of the Department in working with the Council of Governors on forming a Joint Action Plan for State-Federal Unity of Effort on Cybersecurity and encourages the Department to continue its collaborative efforts.

## CYBER RED TEAM CAPABILITIES

The identification of cyber vulnerabilities is critical to protecting the Nation from adversaries in the cyber domain and the Committee commends the Department's efforts to enhance and develop cyber threat emulation capabilities through the use of cyber red teams. The Committee also supports efforts of the Department of Defense and U.S. Cyber Command to create a Cyber Mission Force consisting of several categories of cyber teams and urges the Department to consider recognizing a formal requirement for the expertise and competencies resident within cyber red teams. Moreover, the Committee is concerned that existing cyber red team capacity be maintained during the Cyber Mission Force transition to meet the increasing demand for cyber threat emulation, training, and skill development. Accordingly, the Department of Defense, Services, and National Guard shall not reduce cyber red team capacity or unit strength. In addition, the Committee directs the Department to brief the defense subcommittee, no later than 90 days after enactment of this act, regarding the current and future requirements for cyber red team capabilities and its initiatives to retain and enhance cyber red team capacity.

## DEFENSE CYBER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

The Committee understands that U.S. Cyber Command and other Department of Defense elements have made substantial progress in maturing the military's plans to incorporate cyber requirements into training and doctrine. The Department's 2011 Strategy for Operating in Cyberspace stated that continued education and training will be hallmarks of the cyber workforce. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to congressional defense committees, within 180 days of the enactment of this act, on a plan for its cyber education program for officers and enlisted personnel. The report shall include definitions of military occupational specialties/rating specialties for each service along with the corresponding level of training, education, qualifications, and/or certificates required for each specialty, covering the full continuum of professional development from initial entry training to senior service war colleges.

## CYBER RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT WITH UNIVERSITIES

The National Security Agency [NSA], in coordination with the Department of Homeland Security, currently sponsors the National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education and Information Assurance Research. Within the Information Systems Security Program [ISSP], the NSA conducts classified cyber-related research and development program through partnerships with certain universities. The Committee understands that these relationships with universities have proven productive. Accordingly, the Committee recommends an additional \$7,500,000 to support these relationships with academia.

## AWARD AND INCENTIVE FEES

In 2006, the Government Accountability Office [GAO] reviewed 50 programs and determined that between April 2006 and October

2010, the Department of Defense could save in excess of \$450,000,000 by not offering contractors a second opportunity to win unearned fees, and save more than \$68,000,000 by using more clearly defined determination criteria. After the Department of Defense updated its guidance, the GAO issued a follow-up report in May 2009 that highlighted where implementation of the new award/incentive fee guidance resulted in savings. The follow-up report also recommended that the Secretary of Defense further promote the application of the updated guidance. To better understand the extent to which the Department of Defense has implemented the updated guidance, the Committee directs the GAO to review the original 50 programs to determine the actual amount of unearned award/incentive fees that were saved. The Committee further directs the GAO to assess additional policy changes that could be implemented to guarantee that contractors are only awarded performance bonuses that they have earned.

#### FIRE AND BUILDING SAFETY ACCORD

The Committee commends the Marine Corps Trademark and Licensing Office for adopting a requirement to abide by the Accord for Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh. The Committee includes a general provision implementing this standard across all the services' exchanges for garments produced by the exchanges' private label brands and to the extent practicable, for garments provided by vendors and suppliers.

The Committee includes a second general provision directing the trademark and licensing offices of the services to adopt a requirement that its licensees which manufacture in Bangladesh also become signatories to the Accord or otherwise abide by its conditions. Further, in order to better understand the magnitude of Department of Defense purchases from businesses worldwide which may have similar safety and labor violations, the Secretary of Defense is directed to provide annual reports, no later than March 1 of each year, to the congressional defense committees, which disclose all factories producing private label and direct-import garments sold in the commissary and exchange systems, including factory name, address, and brand(s), private label(s), licensee(s) or retail supplier(s) sourcing from that factory from the prior year.

#### HUMAN RIGHTS VETTING

Section 8056 prohibits training, equipment, or other assistance for the members of a unit of a foreign security force if the Secretary of Defense has credible information that the unit has committed a gross violation of human rights. In a modification from current law, the Committee recommendation limits the exception in subsection (b) and broadens the waiver authority in subsection (c). Section 8056 does not require, and the Committee does not expect, a unit or members of such unit to be vetted for eligibility to receive such training, equipment, or other assistance prior to the Secretary's use of the waiver authority in subsection (c).

## NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY REPORTS

The Committee directs the National Security Agency [NSA] to provide to the congressional intelligence committees, and the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, and the House Committee on the Judiciary, no later than 90 days after enactment of this act:

- A report, unclassified to the greatest extent possible, setting forth:
  - For the last 5 years, on an annual basis, the number of records acquired by NSA as part of the bulk telephone metadata program authorized by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, pursuant to section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act, and the number of such records that have been reviewed by NSA personnel in response to a query of such records; and
  - To the extent possible, an estimate of the number of records of United States persons that have been acquired by NSA as part of the bulk telephone metadata program and the number of such records that have been reviewed by NSA personnel in response to a query.
- A report, unclassified to the greatest extent possible, and with a classified annex if necessary, describing all NSA bulk collection activities, including when such activities began, the cost of such activities, what types of records have been collected in the past, what types of records are currently being collected, and any plans for future bulk collection.
- A report, unclassified to the greatest extent possible, and with a classified annex if necessary, including a list of terrorist activities that were disrupted, in whole or in part, with the aid of information obtained through NSA's telephone metadata program and whether this information could have been promptly obtained by other means.

## GUANTANAMO BAY DETAINEES

The Committee notes the continuing costs associated with maintaining the detention facilities at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Based on cost figures provided by the Department of Defense, the annual cost to house a detainee at the facility on Guantanamo Bay is approximately \$2,768,902 per year. According to a May 2013 GAO report, the annual cost to incarcerate an individual at a maximum security Bureau of Prisons facility within the United States is approximately \$78,000 per year. The cost to house a detainee at a U.S. military detention facility is comparable to that of a U.S. civilian facility at an annual estimated cost of \$78,696.

The mission for detention of individuals at Guantanamo Bay was never envisioned by the military to be long-term. Facilities that were originally built to be temporary have outlived their service life. For example, the current medical clinic used to manage the healthcare of an aging detainee population currently functions with inadequate space and is lacking proper medical treatment equipment. The Committee notes that the base hospital is the only service facility for chronic care. Due to security considerations, the hospital must be used after hours or closed down during daytime oper-

ations to accommodate emergencies for detainees. The estimated cost to modify and develop a new medical facility is approximately \$11,000,000.

Another example of a facility operating beyond its intended usage is the temporary troop quarters housing service members who are supporting the detention mission. In many instances the rooms are overcrowded and continue to deteriorate. The intense year-round heat and humidity are burdening air handling equipment, degrading metal support infrastructure and corroding plumbing infrastructure at an accelerated rate. Many of these temporary facilities are a disservice to the men and women who carry out a challenging mission. Replacement housing facilities would cost over \$100,000,000 in military construction funds, further raising the costs on sustaining a facility that was not intended to be permanent.

As fiscal budget pressures for the Department continue, combined with the aging infrastructure used to house and treat detainees, the Committee believes continuing the detention mission at Guantanamo Bay will continue to rise and become cost prohibitive, especially as further reductions in the detention population would not lead to substantial cost savings in infrastructure or operations. Greater effort must be made to find a longer term solution to the detention mission.



**TITLE I  
MILITARY PERSONNEL**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required for basic pay, retired pay accrual, employers' contribution for Social Security taxes, basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, special and incentive pays, permanent change of station travel, and other personnel costs for uniformed members of the Armed Forces.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget requests a total of \$128,957,593,000 for military personnel appropriations. This request funds an Active component end strength of 1,308,600 and a Reserve component end strength of 820,800.

**SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION**

The Committee recommends military personnel appropriations totaling \$128,430,543,000 for fiscal year 2015. This is \$527,050,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended military personnel appropriations for fiscal year 2015 are summarized below:

**SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS**

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>Military Personnel:</b>			
Military Personnel, Army .....	41,225,339	41,222,729	- 2,610
Military Personnel, Navy .....	27,489,440	27,515,655	+ 26,215
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	12,919,103	12,826,843	- 92,260
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	27,815,926	27,928,039	+ 112,113
<b>Reserve Personnel:</b>			
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	4,459,130	4,223,400	- 235,730
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	1,863,034	1,841,624	- 21,410
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	670,754	661,174	- 9,580
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	1,675,518	1,660,148	- 15,370
<b>National Guard Personnel:</b>			
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	7,682,892	7,425,722	- 257,170
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	3,156,457	3,125,209	- 31,248
Total .....	128,957,593	128,430,543	- 527,050

Committee recommended end strengths for fiscal year 2015 are summarized below:

**RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH**

	2014 authorization	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>Active:</b>				
Army .....	520,000	490,000	490,000	.....

## RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH—Continued

	2014 authorization	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Navy .....	323,600	323,600	323,600	.....
Marine Corps .....	190,200	184,100	184,100	.....
Air Force .....	327,600	310,900	310,900	.....
Subtotal .....	1,361,400	1,308,600	1,308,600	.....
Selected Reserve:				
Army Reserve .....	205,000	202,000	202,000	.....
Navy Reserve .....	59,100	57,300	57,300	.....
Marine Corps Reserve .....	39,600	39,200	39,200	.....
Air Force Reserve .....	70,400	67,100	67,100	.....
Army National Guard .....	354,200	350,200	350,200	.....
Air National Guard .....	105,400	105,000	105,000	.....
Subtotal .....	833,700	820,800	820,800	.....
TOTAL .....	2,195,100	2,129,400	2,129,400	.....

Committee recommended end strengths for full-time support of the Reserve and Guard for fiscal year 2015 are summarized below:

## RECOMMENDED ACTIVE GUARD AND RESERVE END STRENGTH

	2014 authorization	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active Guard and Reserve:				
Army Reserve .....	16,261	16,261	16,261	.....
Navy Reserve .....	10,159	9,973	9,973	.....
Marine Corps Reserve .....	2,261	2,261	2,261	.....
Air Force Reserve .....	2,911	2,830	2,830	.....
Army National Guard .....	32,060	31,385	31,385	.....
Air National Guard .....	14,734	14,704	14,704	.....
TOTAL .....	78,386	77,414	77,414	.....

## MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

*Retired Pay Accrual Shortfall.*—In February 2014, Congress reversed a provision in the Bipartisan Budget Act (Public Law 113–67) that reduced the annual cost-of-living adjustment [COLA] for military retirees under the age of 62 by one percent. In order to follow through on this COLA adjustment and fully fund the military retirement accounts in fiscal year 2015, the Committee includes an additional \$507,500,000 throughout the military personnel accounts.

*Reserve Component Budget Reporting.*—The Committee continues its requirement for the Department to provide a semi-annual detailed report to the congressional defense committees showing transfers between subactivities within the military personnel appropriation. Reports shall be submitted not later than 30 days following the end of the second quarter and 30 days following the end of the fiscal year.

*Unobligated Balances.*—A Government Accountability Office analysis of past year obligation rates shows that the services continue to underexecute their military personnel accounts. Due to excess unobligated balances, the Committee recommends a total re-

duction of \$761,620,000 from the fiscal year 2015 military personnel accounts.

*Career Intermission Pilot Program.*—The Career Intermission Pilot Program presents a unique opportunity for servicemembers to take a career intermission, without penalty, before returning to active duty service to continue their military career. The program has proven to be a valuable retention tool for the Department as it provides servicemembers with the chance to address a multitude of personal or professional matters, such as caring for a family member with a disability or illness, or pursuing higher education. The Committee understands that the Navy is currently the only service utilizing this pilot program and encourages the Army and Air Force to use this effective career management tool. To better understand the effectiveness of this pilot program, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office to provide the congressional defense committees a report within 90 days after enactment of this act on the impacts of this program on retention within the services. The report should examine how the program has been implemented by the Navy and what elements can be implemented by the Army and Air Force in order to maximize the program’s usefulness to servicemembers.

*Enlistment of DACA Recipients.*—The Committee is concerned that immigrants who have received Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals [DACA] are not authorized to enlist in the Armed Forces, which has a negative impact on military recruitment and readiness. Under 10 U.S.C. section 504, Service Secretaries can authorize the enlistment of non-citizens when it is “vital to the national interest.” Enlisting DACA recipients would allow the Armed Forces to access an expanded pool of recruits. To be eligible for DACA, an individual must have entered the United States as a child; graduated from secondary school, obtained a general equivalency degree, or be currently enrolled in school; and not have a serious criminal record. DACA recipients are, by definition, educated and integrated into American society, and many have shown an interest in and aptitude for military service through participation in Junior Reserve Officers’ Training Corps [JROTC]. The requirements to qualify for DACA are similar to those for legislation known as the DREAM (Development Relief and Education of Alien Minors) Act. In 2011, Dr. Clifford Stanley, then-Undersecretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, testified in support of the DREAM Act, noting it would allow the Department of Defense “to expand the market of high-quality youth to the advantage of military recruitment and readiness.” In 2010, then-Secretary of Defense Robert Gates said the DREAM Act “will result in improved recruitment results and attendant gains in unit manning and military performance.” Accordingly, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, to authorize the enlistment of DACA recipients pursuant to 10 U.S.C. Section 504.

*Enlistment of Individuals with Disabilities in the Armed Forces.*—In Senate report 113–85, the Committee directed the Department of the Air Force to study the feasibility and advisability of permitting individuals with auditory impairment, including deafness, to access as officers in the Armed Forces. The Committee is disappointed in the quality of the response from the Department on

this issue and questions the methodology used to conduct these studies in which normal hearing individuals used hearing-loss simulation devices to approximate the effects of impaired hearing.

The Committee strongly believes that the Department should examine more fully and more accurately the viability of allowing individuals with disabilities to enlist and directs the Department to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 120 days of enactment of this act that includes an examination of which military occupational specialties are best suited for individuals with disabilities. The Committee further directs the Department to study this issue, utilizing an independent agency with expertise in disability law and accommodations to structure and supervise the study, and using individuals with actual disabilities, who have adapted and modified their way of life to their disability, in order to gain a more accurate reflection of how such individuals would fare in fitness-for-duty tests.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$40,787,967,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	41,225,339,000
House allowance .....	41,183,729,000
Committee recommendation .....	41,222,729,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$41,222,729,000. This is \$2,610,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>					
5	BASIC PAY .....	6,715,420	6,715,420	6,715,420		
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	2,095,898	2,095,898	2,095,898		
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	2,191,307	2,191,307	2,191,307		
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	279,102	279,102	279,102		
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	98,703	98,703	98,703		
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	378,007	378,007	378,007		
45	ALLOWANCES .....	212,394	212,394	212,394		+2,000
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	99,489	99,489	99,489		
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	511,069	511,069	511,069		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>12,581,389</b>	<b>12,579,389</b>	<b>12,581,389</b>		<b>+2,000</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
60	BASIC PAY .....	13,019,805	13,019,805	13,006,805	-13,000	-13,000
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	4,070,370	4,070,370	4,070,370		
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	4,870,591	4,870,591	4,783,591	-87,000	-87,000
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	104,751	104,751	104,751		
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	462,722	461,722	462,722		+1,000
95	ALLOWANCES .....	869,004	869,004	869,004		
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	320,346	320,346	320,346		
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	996,015	996,015	996,015		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....</b>	<b>24,713,604</b>	<b>24,712,604</b>	<b>24,613,604</b>	<b>-100,000</b>	<b>-99,000</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS</b>					
110	ACADEMY CADETS .....	79,236	79,236	79,236		
	<b>ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	1,284,843	1,284,843	1,284,843		
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND .....	595,165	595,165	595,165		
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	1,316	1,316	1,316		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....</b>	<b>1,881,324</b>	<b>1,881,324</b>	<b>1,881,324</b>		

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION					
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL .....	142,048	140,648	141,048	-1,000	+400
130	TRAINING TRAVEL .....	144,951	144,951	144,951		
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	412,092	412,092	397,092	-15,000	-15,000
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	758,069	758,069	724,069	-34,000	-34,000
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL .....	293,377	293,377	293,377		
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS .....	4,043	4,043	4,043		
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE .....	10,997	10,997	10,997		
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE .....	37,301	37,301	37,301		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 .....	1,802,878	1,801,478	1,752,878	-50,000	-48,600
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS					
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS .....	1,033	1,033	1,033		
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS .....	1,338	1,338	1,338		
180	DEATH GRATUITIES .....	51,700	51,700	51,700		
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	222,586	222,586	222,586		
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	578	578	578		
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES .....	5,070	5,070	5,070		
	RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM .....	164	164	164		
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS .....	101,068	101,068	101,068		
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .....	52,723	52,723	52,723		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 .....	436,260	436,260	436,260		
	LESS REIMBURSABLES .....	-269,352	-269,352	-269,352		
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		-37,210	+147,390	+147,390	+184,600
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY .....	41,225,339	41,183,729	41,222,729	-2,610	+39,000
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	41,225,339	41,183,729	41,222,729	-2,610	+39,000

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	Basic Pay .....	13,019,805	13,006,805	- 13,000
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength ..			- 13,000
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	4,870,591	4,783,591	- 87,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 87,000
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
125	Accession Travel .....	142,048	141,048	- 1,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 1,000
135	Operational Travel .....	412,092	397,092	- 15,000
	Improving funds management: Excess growth .....			- 15,000
140	Rotational Travel .....	758,069	724,069	- 34,000
	Improving funds management: Excess growth .....			- 34,000
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		- 29,210	- 29,210
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 176,600	+ 176,600

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$27,231,512,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	27,489,440,000
House allowance .....	27,387,344,000
Committee recommendation .....	27,515,655,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,515,655,000. This is \$26,215,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>					
5	BASIC PAY .....	4,017,362	4,017,362	4,017,362		
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	1,255,535	1,255,535	1,255,535		
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	1,433,673	1,433,673	1,433,673		
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	164,566	164,566	164,566		
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	127,220	127,220	127,220		
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	429,454	428,709	428,709	-745	
45	ALLOWANCES .....	123,982	123,982	123,982		
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	59,026	59,026	59,026		
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	305,463	305,463	305,463		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>7,916,281</b>	<b>7,915,536</b>	<b>7,915,536</b>	<b>-745</b>	
	<b>ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
60	BASIC PAY .....	8,614,658	8,614,658	8,614,658		
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	2,695,729	2,695,729	2,695,729		
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	3,878,513	3,878,513	3,878,513		
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	104,072	104,072	104,072		
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	793,222	790,411	781,422	-11,800	-8,989
95	ALLOWANCES .....	594,908	584,908	582,008	-2,900	-2,900
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	223,362	223,362	223,362		
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	659,021	659,021	659,021		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....</b>	<b>17,563,485</b>	<b>17,550,674</b>	<b>17,538,785</b>	<b>-24,700</b>	<b>-11,889</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN</b>					
110	MIDSHIPMEN .....	78,093	78,093	78,093		
	<b>ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	759,490	759,490	752,490	-7,000	-7,000
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND .....	431,060	431,060	431,060		
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	8	8	8		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....</b>	<b>1,190,558</b>	<b>1,190,558</b>	<b>1,183,558</b>	<b>-7,000</b>	<b>-7,000</b>





## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
40	Special Pays .....	429,454	428,709	- 745
	Improving funds management: Imminent danger pay excess to requirement .....			- 745
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
90	Special Pays .....	793,222	781,422	- 11,800
	Improving funds management: Imminent danger pay excess to requirement .....			- 2,800
	Improving funds management: Reenlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....			- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Enlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....			- 4,000
95	Allowances .....	594,908	582,008	- 12,900
	Improving funds management: Clothing allowance excess to requirement .....			- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Family separation allowance excess to requirement .....			- 9,900
	<b>BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	759,490	752,490	- 7,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 7,000
	<b>BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	112,881	98,381	- 14,500
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 14,500
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		- 88,540	- 88,540
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....		+ 48,200	+ 48,200
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 113,500	+ 113,500

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$12,766,099,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	12,919,103,000
House allowance .....	12,785,431,000
Committee recommendation .....	12,826,843,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,826,843,000. This is \$92,260,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>					
5	BASIC PAY .....	1,489,996	1,490,623	1,491,196	+ 1,200	+ 573
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	464,468	464,468	464,468	.....	.....
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	492,488	492,488	492,488	.....	.....
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	63,449	63,449	63,449	.....	.....
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	38,223	38,223	38,223	.....	.....
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	5,927	5,927	5,927	.....	.....
45	ALLOWANCES .....	32,083	32,083	32,083	.....	.....
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	13,593	13,593	13,593	.....	.....
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	113,239	113,239	113,239	.....	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>2,713,466</b>	<b>2,714,093</b>	<b>2,714,666</b>	<b>+ 1,200</b>	<b>+ 573</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
60	BASIC PAY .....	4,825,078	4,831,139	4,836,678	+ 11,600	+ 5,539
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	1,502,784	1,502,784	1,502,784	.....	.....
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	1,669,844	1,669,844	1,669,844	.....	.....
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	9,946	9,946	9,946	.....	.....
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	111,002	111,002	109,202	- 1,800	- 1,800
95	ALLOWANCES .....	289,269	289,269	280,769	- 8,500	- 8,500
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	84,343	84,343	84,343	.....	.....
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	368,511	368,511	368,511	.....	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....</b>	<b>8,860,777</b>	<b>8,866,838</b>	<b>8,862,077</b>	<b>+ 1,300</b>	<b>- 4,761</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	442,559	442,559	442,559	.....	.....
120	SUBSISTENCE IN-KIND .....	353,006	353,006	353,006	.....	.....
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	10	10	10	.....	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....</b>	<b>795,575</b>	<b>795,575</b>	<b>795,575</b>	.....	.....
	<b>ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION</b>					
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL .....	49,622	49,622	43,122	- 6,500	- 6,500
130	TRAINING TRAVEL .....	27,481	27,481	27,481	.....	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	168,432	168,432	166,732	- 1,700	- 1,700
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	99,931	99,931	96,931	- 3,000	- 3,000
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL .....	82,065	82,065	82,065		
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS .....	785	785	785		
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE .....	5,064	5,064	5,064		
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE .....	11,841	11,841	11,841		
165	OTHER .....	3,056	3,056	3,056		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 .....	448,277	448,277	437,077	- 11,200	- 11,200
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS					
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS .....	614	614	614		
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS .....	19	19	19		
180	DEATH GRATUITIES .....	11,400	11,400	11,400		
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	101,839	101,839	101,839		
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	7,000	7,000	7,000		
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES .....	84	84	84		
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY .....	1,527	1,527	1,527		
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE .....	67	67	67		
218	JUNIOR ROTC .....	3,910	3,910	3,910		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 .....	126,460	126,460	126,460		
	LESS REIMBURSABLES .....	- 25,452	- 25,452	- 25,452		
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		- 140,360	- 83,560	- 83,560	+ 56,800
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS .....	12,919,103	12,785,431	12,826,843	- 92,260	+ 41,412
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS .....	12,919,103	12,785,431	12,826,843	- 92,260	+ 41,412

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay .....	1,489,996	1,491,196	+ 1,200
	Program increase: Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force CENTCOM and SOUTHCOM .....			+ 1,200
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay .....	4,825,078	4,836,678	+ 11,600
	Program increase: Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force CENTCOM and SOUTHCOM .....			+ 11,600
90	Special Pays .....	111,002	109,202	- 1,800
	Improving funds management: Imminent Danger Pay excess to requirement .....			- 1,800
95	Allowances .....	289,269	280,769	- 8,500
	Improving funds management: Initial clothing allowances excess to requirement .....			- 8,500
	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
125	Accession Travel .....	49,622	43,122	- 6,500
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 6,500
135	Operational Travel .....	168,432	166,732	- 1,700
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 1,700
140	Rotational Travel .....	99,931	96,931	- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 3,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		- 140,360	- 140,360
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 56,800	+ 56,800

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$28,519,993,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	27,815,926,000
House allowance .....	27,564,362,000
Committee recommendation .....	27,928,039,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,928,039,000. This is \$112,113,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>					
5	BASIC PAY .....	4,668,266	4,609,266	4,626,366	-41,900	+17,100
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	1,450,107	1,450,107	1,450,107		
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	1,387,295	1,387,295	1,387,295		
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	190,311	190,311	190,311		
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	212,376	212,376	212,376		
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	296,799	296,799	296,799		
45	ALLOWANCES .....	125,724	125,724	125,724		
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	283,092	283,092	483,092	+200,000	+200,000
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	355,558	355,558	355,558		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>8,969,528</b>	<b>8,910,528</b>	<b>9,127,628</b>	<b>+158,100</b>	<b>+217,100</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
60	BASIC PAY .....	8,406,084	8,386,584	8,406,084		+19,500
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	2,619,233	2,619,233	2,619,233		
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	3,386,412	3,386,412	3,386,412		
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	42,395	42,395	42,395		
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	268,538	268,538	254,038	-14,500	-14,500
95	ALLOWANCES .....	564,364	561,380	564,364		+2,984
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	874,187	874,187	874,187		
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	643,063	643,063	643,063		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....</b>	<b>16,804,276</b>	<b>16,781,792</b>	<b>16,789,776</b>	<b>-14,500</b>	<b>+7,984</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS</b>					
110	ACADEMY CADETS .....	70,159	70,159	70,159		
	<b>ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>					
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	963,765	963,765	963,765		
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND .....	137,346	137,346	137,346		
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	3	3	3		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....</b>	<b>1,101,114</b>	<b>1,101,114</b>	<b>1,101,114</b>		

125	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION	87,932	87,932	87,932	87,932	.....	.....
130	ACCESSION TRAVEL	92,459	92,459	92,459	92,459	.....	.....
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	286,473	286,473	286,473	286,473	.....	.....
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	485,297	485,297	485,297	483,197	.....	-2,100
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	181,583	181,583	181,583	181,583	.....	.....
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	6,556	6,556	6,556	6,556	.....	.....
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	22,369	22,369	22,369	22,369	.....	.....
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	30,261	30,261	30,261	30,261	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,192,930	1,192,930	1,190,830	1,190,830	-2,100	-2,100
170	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	107	107	107	107	.....	.....
175	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	3,073	3,073	3,073	3,073	.....	.....
180	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	.....	.....
185	DEATH GRATUITIES	48,842	48,842	48,842	48,842	.....	.....
195	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	189	189	189	189	.....	.....
200	EDUCATION BENEFITS	546	546	546	546	.....	.....
210	ADOPTION EXPENSES	2,018	2,018	2,018	2,018	.....	.....
215	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	1,883	1,883	1,883	1,883	.....	.....
217	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	27,669	27,669	27,669	27,669	.....	.....
218	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	15,796	15,796	15,796	15,796	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	116,623	116,623	116,623	116,623	.....	.....
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	-438,704	-438,704	-438,704	-438,704	.....	.....
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	.....	-170,080	-29,387	-29,387	.....	+140,693
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE	27,815,926	27,564,362	27,928,039	27,928,039	+112,113	+363,677
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,815,926	27,564,362	27,928,039	27,928,039	+112,113	+363,677

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
5	Basic Pay .....	4,668,266	4,626,366	- 41,900
	Improving funds management: Projected officer under-strength .....			- 19,900
	Improving funds management: Active duty operational support excess to requirement .....			- 22,000
50	Separation Pay .....	283,092	483,092	+ 200,000
	Program increase: Separation pay shortfall .....			+ 200,000
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
90	Special Pays .....	268,538	254,038	- 14,500
	Improving funds management: Reenlistment bonus initial payments excess to requirement .....			- 14,500
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
140	Rotational Travel .....	485,297	483,197	- 2,100
	Improving funds management: Program growth .....			- 2,100
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ..		- 235,980	- 235,980
	Program increase: A-10 force structure .....		+ 66,093	+ 66,093
	Program increase: AWACS force structure .....		+ 24,900	+ 24,900
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 115,600	+ 115,600

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$4,377,563,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	4,459,130,000
House allowance .....	4,304,159,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,223,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,223,400,000. This is \$235,730,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>					
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	1,636,798	1,571,698	1,517,698	-119,100	-54,000
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	49,490	44,490	49,490	.....	+5,000
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	279,048	236,048	279,048	.....	+43,000
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	11,926	11,926	11,926	.....	.....
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	5,909	5,909	5,909	.....	.....
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	161,751	161,751	161,751	.....	.....
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	176,210	176,210	171,210	-5,000	-5,000
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	2,006,975	2,006,975	2,006,975	.....	.....
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	10,202	10,202	10,202	.....	.....
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	63,622	63,622	63,622	.....	.....
130	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	57,199	57,199	57,199	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	4,459,130	4,346,030	4,335,030	-124,100	-11,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	.....	-41,871	-111,630	-111,630	-69,759
	TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	4,459,130	4,304,159	4,223,400	-235,730	-80,759

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING</b>			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	1,636,798	1,517,698	- 119,100
	Improving funds management: Projected under-strength .....			- 32,100
	Improving funds management: Inactive duty training unit training assemblies excess growth .....			- 80,000
	Improving funds management: Clothing excess growth .....			- 7,000
80	Special Training .....	176,210	171,210	- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Command/Staff supervision excess growth .....			- 5,000
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ...		- 121,530	- 121,530
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 9,900	+ 9,900

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,843,966,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,863,034,000
House allowance .....	1,836,024,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,841,624,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,841,624,000. This is \$21,410,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY</b>					
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT					
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	585,488	585,488	585,488		
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	7,286	7,286	7,286		
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	57,875	57,875	57,875		
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	8,670	8,670	8,670		
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	51,089	51,089	51,089		
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	106,571	106,571	106,571		
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	988,427	987,427	988,427		+ 1,000
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	109	109	109		
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	57,519	57,519	57,519		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	1,863,034	1,862,034	1,863,034		+ 1,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		-26,010	-21,410		+ 4,600
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY .....	1,863,034	1,836,024	1,841,624		+ 5,600

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	- 26,010	- 26,010
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....	.....	+ 4,600	+ 4,600

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$655,109,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	670,754,000
House allowance .....	659,224,000
Committee recommendation .....	661,174,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$661,174,000. This is \$9,580,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>					
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	237,581	237,581	237,581		
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY) .....	33,463	33,463	33,463		
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	116,200	115,450	116,200		+ 750
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	2,579	2,579	2,579		
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	24,195	24,195	24,195		
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	15,726	15,726	15,726		
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	234,244	234,244	234,244		
95	PLATOON LEADER CLASS .....	6,124	6,124	6,124		
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	642	642	642		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	670,754	670,004	670,754		+ 750
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		-10,780	-9,580		+ 1,200
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS .....	670,754	659,224	661,174	-9,580	+ 1,950

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	- 10,780	- 10,780
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....	.....	+ 1,200	+ 1,200

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,723,159,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,675,518,000
House allowance .....	1,652,148,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,660,148,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,660,148,000. This is \$15,370,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>					
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	657,163	657,163	655,663	-1,500	-1,500
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	113,876	106,876	113,876		+7,000
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	71,429	71,429	71,429		
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	1,681	1,681	1,681		
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	401	401	401		
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	125,804	125,804	125,804		
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	229,201	229,201	229,201		
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	398,346	393,446	393,846	-4,500	+400
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	13,785	13,785	13,785		
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	58,794	58,794	58,794		
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT) .....	5,038	5,038	5,038		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	1,675,518	1,663,618	1,669,518	-6,000	+5,900
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		-11,470	-9,370	-9,370	+2,100
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE .....	1,675,518	1,652,148	1,660,148	-15,370	+8,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING</b>			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	657,163	655,663	- 1,500
	Improving funds management: Projected officer under-strength .....			- 1,500
90	Administration And Support .....	398,346	393,846	- 4,500
	Improving funds management: Unit AGRs excess growth .....			- 4,500
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ... ..		- 11,470	- 11,470
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 2,100	+ 2,100

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$7,776,498,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	7,682,892,000
House allowance .....	7,644,632,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,425,722,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,425,722,000. This is \$257,170,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>					
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	2,616,067	2,570,867	2,530,667	-85,400	-40,200
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	441,606	421,906	441,606	.....	+ 19,700
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	47,984	47,984	47,984	.....	.....
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	347,137	347,137	347,137	.....	.....
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	433,207	433,207	433,207	.....	.....
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	3,766,624	3,766,624	3,662,424	-104,200	-104,200
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	30,267	30,267	23,767	-6,500	-6,500
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	7,682,892	7,617,992	7,486,792	-196,100	-131,200
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	.....	26,640	-61,070	-61,070	-87,710
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	7,682,892	7,644,632	7,425,722	-257,170	-218,910

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING</b>			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	2,616,067	2,530,667	- 85,400
	Transfer funding for 2 CTC rotations: Army-requested from line 121, O&M Army .....			+ 45,000
	Improving funds management: Inactive duty training unit training assemblies excess growth .....			- 107,000
	Improving funds management: Readiness management excess growth .....			- 23,400
90	Administration and Support .....	3,766,624	3,662,424	- 104,200
	Improving funds management: AGR pay and allowances excess growth .....			- 61,200
	Improving funds management: Officer affiliation bonus excess to requirement .....			- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Enlisted bonuses excess to requirement .....			- 33,000
100	Education Benefits .....	30,267	23,767	- 6,500
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement ..			- 6,500
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ...		- 79,770	- 79,770
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 18,700	+ 18,700

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$3,114,421,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	3,156,457,000
House allowance .....	3,110,587,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,125,209,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,125,209,000. This is \$31,248,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE</b>					
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>					
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	913,691	913,691	913,691		
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	122,678	116,178	122,678		+ 6,500
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	5,384	5,384	5,384		
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	243,888	243,888	243,888		
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	168,222	168,222	164,222		-4,000
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	1,684,800	1,663,400	1,658,500		-4,900
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	17,794	17,794	17,794		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	3,156,457	3,128,557	3,126,157	-30,300	-2,400
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		-17,970	-948	-948	+17,022
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE .....	3,156,457	3,110,587	3,125,209	-31,248	+14,622

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING</b>			
80	Special Training .....	168,222	164,222	- 4,000
	Improving funds management: Operational training excess to requirement .....			- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Unit conversion training excess to requirement .....			- 1,000
90	Administration And Support .....	1,684,800	1,658,500	- 26,300
	Improving funds management: AGR pay and allowances excess growth .....			- 23,300
	Improving funds management: Non-prior service enlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....			- 3,000
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		- 17,970	- 17,970
	Program increase: A-10 force structure .....		+ 8,522	+ 8,522
	Program increase: Retired pay accrual shortfall due to COLA adjustment .....		+ 8,500	+ 8,500

**TITLE II**  
**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to prepare for and conduct combat operations and other peace time missions. These funds are used to purchase fuel and spare parts for training operations, pay supporting civilian personnel, and purchase supplies, equipment, and service contracts for the repair of weapons and facilities.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget requests a total of \$166,002,818,000 for operation and maintenance appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends operation and maintenance appropriations totaling \$165,786,003,000 for fiscal year 2015. This is \$216,815,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended operation and maintenance appropriations for fiscal year 2015 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance:			
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	33,240,148	33,396,688	+ 156,540
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	39,316,857	38,822,366	- 494,491
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	5,909,487	5,997,507	+ 88,020
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	35,331,193	35,485,568	+ 154,375
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	31,198,232	31,049,591	- 148,641
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	2,490,569	2,474,995	- 15,574
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	1,007,100	990,633	- 16,467
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	268,582	270,482	+ 1,900
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	3,015,842	2,989,206	- 26,636
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	6,030,773	6,231,351	+ 200,578
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	6,392,859	6,361,281	- 31,578
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....	13,723	13,723	.....
Environmental Restoration, Army .....	201,560	201,560	.....
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....	277,294	277,294	.....
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....	408,716	408,716	.....
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide .....	8,547	8,547	.....
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....	208,353	258,353	+ 50,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....	100,000	100,000	.....
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....	365,108	365,108	.....
OCOTF .....	5,000	.....	- 5,000
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund ..	212,875	83,034	- 129,841
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>166,002,818</b>	<b>165,786,003</b>	<b>- 216,815</b>

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the services' operation and maintenance accounts between O-1 budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

Army:

- Maneuver units
- Modular support brigades
- Land forces operations support
- Force readiness operations support
- Land forces depot maintenance
- Base operations support
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Navy:

- Aircraft depot maintenance
- Ship depot maintenance
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Marine Corps:

- Depot maintenance
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Air Force:

- Primary combat forces
- Combat enhancement forces
- Combat communications
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization
- Operating forces depot maintenance
- Mobilization depot maintenance
- Training and recruiting depot maintenance
- Administration and service-wide depot maintenance

Air Force Reserve:

- Depot maintenance

Air National Guard:

- Depot maintenance

Finally, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:

- Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OVERVIEW

*Civilian Workforce.*—The civilian workforce has faced many challenges over the past several years. In 2013, the Department took specific action to release temporary employees, freeze hiring, and furlough most of its civilian workforce due to sequestration. The Committee expects the Department to maintain a stable, effective, right-sized civilian cadre. The Committee recognizes the critical role that the civilian workforce plays every day in ensuring the mission success of the Armed Forces and fully funds the 1 percent pay raise for civilian personnel as requested.

*Workforce Management.*—The Committee supports a workforce management plan that is fair for all sectors of the workforce. Decisions on sourcing new work, expanding existing responsibilities, or transferring functions currently performed by civilian personnel or contractors to performance by military personnel must not proceed without comparing the costs according to the Department's own methodology. Therefore, decisions whether to assign new work which is not inherently governmental, closely associated with inherently governmental functions, or critical to military, civilian, or contractor personnel, shall be based on the results of the costing methodology laid out in Department of Defense Instruction [DODI] 7041.04. Additionally, before converting functions performed by civilian personnel or contractors to performance by military personnel that are not required by law or regulation to be performed by military personnel, the Department shall adhere to its own costing methodology laid out in Department of Defense Instruction [DODI] 7041.04.

*Contract Services Spending.*—Recent analysis by the Government Accountability Office found that the Department of Defense failed to adhere to the enacted limitations on contracted services in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), exceeding the limitations by more than \$1,000,000,000 in fiscal year 2012. Moreover, guidance for adherence to the extension of the limitations for fiscal year 2014 has yet to be issued. The Committee is concerned that the Department does not have adequate policies, procedures, and controls in place to enforce limitations on the annual amounts expended on contracted services.

The Committee is also concerned that not all contracted services are being subjected to the spending limitations because of the exclusion of contracted services involving Economy Act transfers between and within Department of Defense components. Also, because of the disparity between the levels of contracted services captured in the Inventory of Contracts for Services, required under section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, and what the Department budgets for contracted services, the Committee concludes that the Department does not deliberately plan for most contracted services. The Committee urges a review of the efforts by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Department's financial management and acquisition communities to implement effective control mechanisms for contracted services spending.

*Overestimation of Civilian Workforce.*—While the Committee supports a strong civilian workforce, the fiscal year 2015 budget re-

quest substantially overestimates the number of civilians that will be employed during fiscal year 2015. Through analysis directed by the Committee during the budget review, each service and defense agency identified the current estimate for civilian full time equivalents [FTE] that will be on the books in fiscal year 2014 and it is far short of what was planned for in the budget request. The Committee understands the difficulties in predicting civilian FTE levels, particularly during the past several turbulent years. However, the Committee cannot ignore that the Army, Navy and Air Force are estimating to finish fiscal year 2014 thousands of civilian FTEs lower than each service anticipated. Therefore, the Committee recommends reductions in fiscal year 2015 due to overestimation of civilian FTE targets.

*Quarterly End Strength Report.*—In its analysis of civilian personnel numbers, the Committee used the “Congressional End Strength Quarterly Report for DOD” dated March 22, 2014, to inform its review. Each service was asked to comment on the data provided in their operation and maintenance appropriation in an attempt to validate the numbers in the column titled “Number of people paid.” Unfortunately, not one service was able to validate the numbers on the quarterly report. Therefore, the Committee directs that the information on the quarterly end strength report be validated by each service and the Office of the Secretary of Defense in the case of Defense-Wide appropriations, prior to submission.

*Voluntary Military Education Programs—Tracking Outcomes.*—The Committee is concerned about the lack of information available on the outcomes of students receiving Tuition Assistance and My Career Advancement Account [MyCAA] benefits. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to submit a report tracking such outcomes of each of these programs. The report shall be submitted on or before June 1, 2015, and shall include, but not be limited to, the following data totals for calendar year 2014: an aggregate graduation rate, loan default rate, and average indebtedness. Additionally, the report shall then disaggregate the data to show these same metrics by sector: public, private for-profit, and private not-for-profit. Finally, the report shall include the percentage of servicemembers utilizing the Top-Up program for voluntary military education and the average dollar amount of usage. The Department is also encouraged to make an effort to gather data on the jobs attained after graduation, specifically whether those jobs can be reasonably said to be in the field of study identified in the students’ education plans.

*Voluntary Military Education Programs—Third Party Audits.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of voluntary military education programs to the continued education of our men and women in uniform and their spouses. In recent years, the programs have grown in popularity among servicemembers and, as such, in cost to the Federal Government. Despite recent efforts to improve oversight, the Committee notes that Department of Defense attempts to obtain key information needed to assess the quality of nearly 3,000 schools servicemembers attend have been largely unsuccessful.

The Committee is concerned about the number and transparency of third party audits of schools within the Department’s Tuition As-



sistance program. In light of these concerns, the Committee commends the Department for its decision to develop a new Statement of Work for third party audits for execution under a new contract which will strengthen protections for, and the quality of education provided to, the military community. Since previous third party assessments lacked coherence and specificity, and did not fully consider the qualifications needed by the contractor conducting the assessments before awarding the contract, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop a clear, written plan for third party assessments of schools. As part of that plan, the Department is urged to (1) develop clear, measurable questions to guide the assessments and (2) require that the entity or entities conducting the assessments have the necessary skills, expertise and experience to effectively assess the schools.

*Joint Prisoner of War/Missing in Action Accounting Command [JPAC].*—The Government Accountability Office’s 2014 Annual Report titled, “Additional Opportunities to Reduce Fragmentation, Overlap, and Duplication and Achieve Other Financial Benefits” (GAO-14-343SP), published April 8, 2014, identified the Prisoner of War/Missing in Action [POW/MIA] mission as an area of high risk. The report said, “The Department of Defense should minimize overlapping and duplicative efforts by examining options to reduce fragmentation and clarify guidance on roles and responsibilities among the eight organizations that account for missing persons and improve the effectiveness of the mission.”

A provision was included in S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, making modifications to requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing (section 911). Among several new requirements and authorizations, the provision establishes a new “designated defense agency” to have responsibility over the POW/MIA accounting community. The Committee’s recommendation is consistent with section 911 and realigns funding among Operation and Maintenance, Navy; Operation and Maintenance, Air Force; and Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide. The funding for the new defense agency is transferred to an undistributed line in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide until a budget line item for the new defense agency is established. The Department is directed to include the new defense agency as a separate line in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide in its fiscal year 2016 request.

Finally, section 1509 of the Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act directed the Department of Defense to ensure that at least 200 missing persons are accounted for annually beginning in fiscal year 2015. The Committee encourages the Department to utilize the authorities established in section 911 of S. 2410 to partner with research universities with expertise in archeology and remains recovery to assist in becoming compliant with National Defense Authorization Act mandates.

*Increasing Transparency of Federal Spending on Grants.*—The Department of Defense reports its obligation of funds data by Grant, Cooperative Agreement, Technology Investment Agreement, and Other-Assistance-Award to the public at the [USAspending.gov](http://USAspending.gov) Web site, as required by the Federal Funding Accountability and

Transparency Act [FFATA] of 2006. The Transparency Act requires data on each obligation to be publicly accessible within 30 days of the date of obligation at the Web site.

On May 9, 2014, the President signed the Data Accountability and Transparency Act of 2014 (DATA Act) (Public Law 113–101). The purposes of the DATA Act are to expand the Transparency Act accountability to include agency expenditures linked to reported awards, simplify and streamline reporting requirements, reduce compliance costs, and hold agencies accountable for data quality. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to provide adequate resources to comply with the new requirements of the DATA Act.

*Security Clearance Investigations.*—The Committee is concerned about the Office of Personnel Management’s [OPM’s] screening process of Federal employees and believes that additional steps are needed to restore confidence in the security clearance process. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this act, on the feasibility and costs associated with the Department of Defense assuming the responsibilities of conducting security clearance investigation activities for all Department of Defense personnel.

The Committee also encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider measures to optimize and streamline data sharing and best practices for continuous personnel security evaluations and threat analysis.

*Information Technology.*—The military services’ operation and maintenance requests for fiscal year 2015 include over \$11,000,000,000 for Information Technology [IT]. Robust funding for information technology is justified in the current environment. According to the IT budget materials, “[The] primary goals are to make the Department more effective and more secure against cyber threats and vulnerabilities.” The budget materials continue, “A secondary, but very important goal is to reduce the cost associated with the Department’s overall information technology infrastructure by simplifying, centralizing, and automating infrastructure at the enterprise level.”

Over the past several years, the services have consistently endeavored to find savings related to the IT budget’s secondary goal. They have pursued initiatives such as data center and server consolidations, enterprise e-mail, and consolidated software and hardware purchasing.

The Committee commends the Department for efforts to simplify, centralize and automate IT infrastructure. However, the Committee found a number of discrepancies where the resources reflected in the IT budget did not correlate to the operation and maintenance budget justification. The Committee recommends reductions based on that analysis. Finally, the recommendation includes additional reductions to the operation and maintenance accounts to compel further review of non-cyber IT requirements (those not related to the defense of DOD networks) and eliminate duplication.

*Operation and Maintenance Budget Justification.*—The Committee commends the Department for the improvements in the Op-

eration and Maintenance [O&M] budget materials made over the past several years. To further inform the congressional review, the Committee directs the following actions:

- The Department shall include the OP-8B: Total Civilian Personnel Costs for every appropriation as a part of the President's budget justification.
- Every subactivity group in O&M that funds recruiting and advertising activities shall include the budget profile broken out by recruiting and advertising for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit. The Army National Guard shall include three categories broken out separately: recruiting, marketing, and retention. The Army National Guard is further directed to break out funding profiles for specific programs under each category providing more specific information about what is funded in each. Among other line items, one under marketing shall be sports sponsorships.
- The Army shall add a new table in the performance criteria of the OP-5 exhibit for SAG 115 Land Forces Operations Support that shows the number of funded Combat Training Center Rotations by location.
- The Office of Economic Adjustment's budget documentation in O&M Defense-Wide shall include the budget profile of each major program for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit. Examples of major programs would be Program Assistance, Defense Industry Adjustment, and Guam.
- The Defense Information System Agency's [DISA] budget documentation in O&M Defense-Wide is currently a culmination of net adjustments within section III C of the OP-5 (Financial Summary Reconciliation and Increases and Decreases) that is difficult to analyze. Future OP-5 exhibits for DISA shall break down adjustments into more discrete items rather than providing numerous net adjustments in section III C.
- The Defense Security Service's budget documentation in O&M Defense-Wide shall break out funding for the Defense Insider Threat Management and Analysis Center [DITMAC]/Continuous Evaluation program. This category shall be a new separate program discussed in section I of the OP-5, Description of Operations Financed, in section III, the Financial Summary as a new BA Subactivity, and broken out in the Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases if changes occur to this program from the current year to the budget year.

*Extremity Protection Program.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to fully fund the Cold Weather Protective Equipment—Extremity Protection Program in order to sustain research and development programs, acquisition requirements and to maintain a basic combat capability in protective equipment for servicemembers.

*Advanced Trauma Training Program for National Guard and Reserve.*—The Committee recognizes the valuable support universities, hospitals, and other military partners provide by offering civilian-based emergency response trauma training to sustain medics' and medical providers' capabilities of the National Guard En-

hanced Response Forces Packages [CERFP], National Guard Homeland Response Forces [NGHRF], and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces [CCMRF]. The Committee encourages the National Guard and Reserve to continue pursuing state-of-the-art trauma training with these civilian partners, thus maintaining unit medical readiness postures at optimum levels as military healthcare providers in CERFPs, NGHRFs, and CCMRFs maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents on the homeland. Furthermore, in order to minimize the civilian-military operational gaps in the event of a catastrophic incident, the Committee also encourages the development of enhanced preparedness medical training programs focusing on mass casualty triage, advanced disaster life support, advanced hazardous material life support, emergency dental, and psychological health by increasing civilian-based advanced trauma expertise gained through day-to-day experiences and medical research programs.

*Defense Generator and Rail Equipment Center.*—The Defense Generator and Rail Equipment Center [DGRC] is an Army facility located at Hill Air Force Base, Utah. The Committee understands that this facility has serious deficiencies needing significant renovations including some necessary to address health and safety concerns. Further, while DGRC is currently located within the boundaries of Hill AFB, the Committee is aware that after completion of the Enhanced Use Lease project currently underway at Hill AFB, DGRC will be located outside of the base boundaries and would be required to provide its own force protection which would increase operational costs. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to work directly with the Secretary of the Air Force and relevant State and local stakeholders to address concerns raised by the current DGRC location and to reach an agreement acceptable to all parties on the future status and location of the DGRC. The Committee is concerned that spending funds to perform non-safety related renovations of the DGRC before such a consensus is reached would not be a good use of limited resources and taxpayer funds. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to limit renovations to those required only for health and safety until such an agreement is reached and directs the Army to notify the congressional defense committees of all safety-related renovations performed, including a justification for why the renovations are required.

*Electricity Reliability at Military Installations.*—The Committee commends the Department and services for their continuing efforts to reduce installation energy costs, which total approximately \$4,000,000,000 annually. The increasing use of Energy Savings Performance Contracts and Utility Energy Service Contracts has been particularly important in achieving energy and financial savings with less up-front Federal investment. To further augment energy efficiency efforts, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the value and time duration of “electricity reliability” and its use in making energy efficiency project decisions on military installations. The review should factor in any need for redundancy and include an assessment of how the value of electricity reliability could be incorporated in cost-benefit calculations for Power Purchase Agreements, performance contracting,

and other utility power purchases. The Committee directs the secretary to report to the congressional defense committees on the findings of the review within 90 days of enactment of this act.

*Fly America Act.*—The Committee commends the Department for its efforts to honor the Fly America Act as the drawdown in Afghanistan continues. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, no later than 30 days after the enactment of this act, detailing how many foreign flagged fixed-wing and rotary-wing aircraft are currently under contract in Afghanistan for airlift services, and a description of the Department’s plans to drawdown foreign carriers in advance of the cessation of military operations in Afghanistan.

*Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps.*—The Committee is concerned about the shrinking number of American youth eligible for military service. For nearly 100 years, the Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps [JROTC] has promoted citizenship and community service amongst America’s youth and has been an important means through which youth can learn about military service in the United States. But evidence suggests that some high school JROTC programs face closure due to funding tied to program enrollment levels, adversely impacting certain, particularly rural, populations. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the services, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than 180 days after the enactment of this act on recent trends in JROTC participation at both the individual and school level, as well as any plans to ensure adequate representation of all regions in the United States to ensure the capabilities of the Armed Forces to recruit from a diverse background to sustain the all-volunteer force.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$30,768,069,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	33,240,148,000
House allowance .....	32,671,980,000
Committee recommendation .....	33,396,688,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$33,396,688,000. This is \$156,540,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	<b>LAND FORCES</b>					
10	MANEUVER UNITS .....	969,281	895,281	951,281	-18,000	+56,000
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	61,990	61,990	61,990	.....	.....
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES .....	450,987	448,084	450,987	.....	+2,903
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	545,773	545,773	545,773	.....	.....
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,057,453	1,057,453	1,042,953	-14,500	-14,500
60	AVIATION ASSETS .....	1,409,347	1,330,347	1,409,347	.....	+79,000
	<b>LAND FORCES READINESS</b>					
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	3,592,334	3,494,765	3,644,334	+52,000	+149,569
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	411,388	411,388	411,388	.....	.....
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,001,232	1,031,232	1,101,909	+100,677	+70,677
	<b>LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT</b>					
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	7,428,972	7,201,507	7,370,972	-58,000	+169,465
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION .....	2,066,434	2,245,577	2,449,956	+383,522	+204,379
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS .....	411,863	411,863	411,863	.....	.....
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS .....	179,399	179,399	179,399	.....	.....
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS .....	432,281	432,281	432,281	.....	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1</b> .....	<b>20,018,734</b>	<b>19,746,940</b>	<b>20,464,433</b>	<b>+445,699</b>	<b>+717,493</b>
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION</b>					
	<b>MOBILITY OPERATIONS</b>					
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY .....	316,776	316,776	316,776	.....	.....
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS .....	187,609	187,609	187,609	.....	.....
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	6,463	6,463	86,463	+80,000	+80,000
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2</b> .....	<b>510,848</b>	<b>510,848</b>	<b>590,848</b>	<b>+80,000</b>	<b>+80,000</b>
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
	<b>ACCESSION TRAINING</b>					
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	124,766	124,766	124,766	.....	.....



[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
				Budget estimate	House allowance
EXCESS WORKING CAPITAL FUND CARRYOVER .....			-186,000	-186,000	-186,000
OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....		-247,500	-70,000	-70,000	+177,500
O&M AND IT BUDGET INCONSISTENCIES .....			-108,000	-108,000	-108,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY .....	33,240,148	32,671,980	33,396,688	+156,540	+724,708



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	MANEUVER UNITS .....	969,281	951,281	- 18,000
	Improving funds management: Stryker maintenance decrease not accounted for in budget documentation ..	.....	.....	- 18,000
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,057,453	1,042,953	- 14,500
	Improving funds management: Contractor Logistics Support costs no longer needed in fiscal year 2015 .....	.....	.....	- 14,500
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	3,592,334	3,644,334	+ 52,000
	Transfer funding for 2 CTC rotations: Army-requested to line 1G, National Guard Personnel, Army and line 111, O&M Army National Guard .....	.....	.....	- 68,000
	Program Increase: Force Readiness Operations Support .....	.....	.....	+ 120,000
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,001,232	1,101,909	+ 100,677
	Transfer denied: Fiscal year 2015 funding for depot maintenance back to O&M Army National Guard Line 123 .....	.....	.....	- 8,323
	Program Increase: Depot Maintenance .....	.....	.....	+ 109,000
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	7,428,972	7,370,972	- 58,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Rental payments .....	.....	.....	- 58,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	2,066,434	2,449,956	+ 383,522
	Improving funds management: Arlington National Cemetery funded in the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations bill .....	.....	.....	- 25,000
	Program Increase: FSRM .....	.....	.....	+ 408,522
213	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	6,463	86,463	+ 80,000
	Program increase: Body Armor .....	.....	.....	+ 80,000
335	JUNIOR ROTC .....	169,784	177,784	+ 8,000
	Program increase: JROTC increase only to open new units .....	.....	.....	+ 8,000
411	SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	1,030,411	1,030,252	- 159
	Classified adjustment .....	.....	.....	- 159
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,624,742	1,611,742	- 13,000
	Improving funds management: Integrated Personnel and Pay System [IPPS-A] excess to requirement .....	.....	.....	- 13,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....	.....	- 70,000	- 70,000
UNDIST	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification inconsistencies .....	.....	- 108,000	- 108,000
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Working Capital Fund carry over above allowable ceiling .....	.....	- 186,000	- 186,000

*Automated Biometrics Identification System [ABIS].*—The Committee recognizes that the ABIS has an enduring requirement and therefore encourages the Army to consider funding this capability in the base operation and maintenance budget.

*Productivity Enhancement Program.*—The Department of Defense's organic depot maintenance capability is vital to our military's sustainment infrastructure. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to modernize the organic depots' Electronic Test and Measurement Equipment [ET&ME] to allow cost savings in future maintenance and calibration expenditures.

*Ballistic Protective Eyewear.*—The Committee supports efforts to establish a military combat eye protection program in the Department of Defense to ensure a high standard of performance for all

military-issue protective eyewear. The Committee encourages the rapid fielding of ballistic protective eyewear for all servicemembers so they can receive best-in-class eye protection for a range of threats while deployed and in training.

*Body Armor.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of ensuring that deployed soldiers have the lightest and most advanced body armor available to enhance mission performance and save lives. Modernizing the body armor inventory through replenishment will help the manufacturing base continue the development and manufacturing of more advanced body armor our soldiers need. Therefore, the Committee provides an additional \$80,000,000 for this initiative and encourages the Secretary of the Army to develop and implement a plan to replace and refurbish expired body armor.

*Soldier for Life.*—The Committee has been made aware of an initiative developed by the Chief of Staff of the Army called “Soldier for Life,” which is currently being funded within existing resources. Soldier for Life provides servicemembers, veterans and family members with the skills, knowledge, and tools required to successfully reintegrate into civilian life. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to continue these types of initiatives and properly budget for them in future requests.

*Army Force Structure and Installation Alignment.*—The Army’s active component is set to decline from a peak of approximately 562,000 soldiers in 2012 to 490,000 by 2017. Further reductions would result in additional unit inactivations at Army posts around the country. The Committee believes more information is needed about impacts to readiness from further force structure reductions and affirms its support for the reporting requirements directed in the report accompanying S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, titled “Army Force Structure and Installation Alignment.”

*Army Emergency Management Training Center.*—The 2009 Army Emergency Management Program (AR-525-27) sought to integrate planning, execution, and response management to all-hazard incidents affecting Army installations and activities. Although largely successful, some aspects of the integration and synchronization of emergency management planning assigned to the Department of the Army G-3/5/7 may be more appropriately suited for an Emergency Management Training Center established within the U.S. Training and Doctrine Command [TRADOC], with Army Headquarters continuing to exercise oversight. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to report to the congressional defense committees by no later than June 1, 2015, the feasibility of consolidating homeland defense functions across Army installations to better support the consequences management and homeland defense missions, and of establishing an Emergency Management Training Center to facilitate comprehensive doctrine, training, and leader development for Installation Emergency Management and Defense Support to Civil Authorities.

*National Commission on the Future of the Army.*—Several provisions were included in S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, regarding a National Commission on the Future of the Army. The Commission is to undertake a comprehensive study of the structure of the Army to deter-

mine the proper force mixture of the Active component and Reserve component, and how the structure should be modified to best fulfill current and anticipated mission requirements for the Army in a manner consistent with available resources and estimated future resources. The Committee affirms its support for the National Commission on the Future of the Army.

*National Guard and Reserve Education Benefits.*—The Committee understands that, effective January 1, 2014, members of the Army may become eligible for the Army’s tuition assistance program only after serving a period of 1 year after completing certain training courses, such as advance individual training, officer candidate school, and the basic officer leader course. The Committee is concerned that many members of the National Guard and Reserve, who serve only part time, rely on the tuition assistance program during all years of service and that the change in policy may be causing them to take out additional student loans to cover the cost of education during their first year of service. The Committee is further concerned that this policy is negatively affecting the recruitment efforts of the National Guard and Reserve. For these reasons and others, the Committee recommends the Secretary of the Army to reverse this decision as it applies to the Army National Guard and Reserve so that members of the National Guard and Reserve are eligible for tuition benefits in the same manner as they were prior to January 1, 2014, and to consider reversing the decision for active-duty members as well.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$36,311,160,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	39,316,857,000
House allowance .....	39,073,543,000
Committee recommendation .....	38,822,366,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$38,822,366,000. This is \$494,491,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	<b>AIR OPERATIONS</b>					
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	4,947,202	4,732,371	4,936,202	-11,000	+203,831
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING .....	1,647,943	1,637,808	1,647,943		+10,135
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES .....	37,050	36,828	37,050		+222
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	96,139	93,647	96,139		+2,492
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	363,763	353,763	363,763		+10,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	814,770	824,770	960,870	+146,100	+136,100
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	36,494	36,312	36,494		+182
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	350,641	344,239	350,641		+6,402
	<b>SHIP OPERATIONS</b>					
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	3,865,379	3,865,379	3,865,379		
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING .....	711,243	710,544	711,243		+699
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,296,408	5,297,468	5,330,108	+33,700	+32,640
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,339,077	1,337,162	1,339,377	+300	+2,215
	<b>COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT</b>					
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	708,634	703,250	701,634	-7,000	-1,616
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	91,599	91,591	91,599		+8
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE .....	207,038	206,977	207,038		+61
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	432,715	432,549	432,715		+166
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY .....	338,116	337,219	338,116		+897
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	892,316	889,500	875,316	-17,000	-14,184
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	128,486	128,369	128,486		+117
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,472	2,472	2,472		
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	101,200	97,909	101,200		+3,291
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	188,920	188,623	79,469	-109,451	-109,154
	<b>WEAPONS SUPPORT</b>					
230	CRUISE MISSILE .....	109,911	109,298	109,911		+613
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE .....	1,172,823	1,165,945	1,172,823		+6,878
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	104,139	104,139	104,139		
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	490,911	490,031	511,911	+21,000	+21,880
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	324,861	324,861	324,861		

280	BASE SUPPORT	936,743	936,743	936,743	.....	+ 301
290	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1,483,495	1,631,845	1,594,599	+ 111,104	- 37,246
300	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	4,398,667	4,357,389	4,408,667	+ 10,000	+ 51,278
	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT					
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	31,619,155	31,468,700	31,796,908	+ 177,753	+ 328,208
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION					
	READY RESERVE AND REPOSITIONING FORCES					
320	SHIP REPOSITIONING AND SURGE	526,926	526,906	402,081	- 124,845	- 124,825
330	READY RESERVE FORCE	291,195	291,195	.....	- 291,195	- 291,195
	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS					
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,704	6,704	6,704	.....	.....
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	251,538	251,513	185,538	- 66,000	- 65,975
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS					
340	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	124,323	124,294	97,092	- 27,231	- 27,202
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,323	2,323	2,323	.....	.....
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	20,333	20,333	20,333	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	1,223,342	1,223,268	714,071	- 509,271	- 509,197
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
	ACCESSION TRAINING					
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION	156,214	155,542	156,214	.....	+ 672
380	RECRUIT TRAINING	8,863	8,840	8,863	.....	+ 23
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	148,150	148,082	148,150	.....	+ 68
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	601,501	600,489	601,501	.....	+ 1,012
410	FLIGHT TRAINING	8,239	8,221	8,239	.....	+ 18
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	164,214	165,170	164,214	.....	- 956
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	182,619	182,216	182,619	.....	+ 403
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	230,589	231,418	231,689	+ 1,100	+ 271
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	115,595	115,472	115,595	.....	+ 123
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	79,606	73,118	79,606	.....	+ 6,488
470	JUNIOR ROTC	41,664	41,652	41,664	.....	+ 12
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	1,737,254	1,730,220	1,738,354	+ 1,100	+ 8,134

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
480	ADMINISTRATION .....	858,871	847,881	858,871		+ 10,990
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	12,807	12,777	12,807		+ 30
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	119,863	119,243	119,863		+ 620
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	356,113	354,866	356,113		+ 1,247
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	295,605	292,145	295,605		+ 3,460
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	339,802	339,478	339,802		+ 324
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT					
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	172,203	172,203	172,203		
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN .....	283,621	274,603	283,621		+ 9,018
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	1,111,464	1,106,994	1,111,464		+ 4,470
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT .....	43,232	43,232	43,232		
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS .....	25,689	25,670	25,689		+ 19
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	73,159	73,047	73,159		+ 112
	SECURITY PROGRAMS					
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE .....	548,640	543,429	548,640		+ 5,211
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS					
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES .....	4,713	4,713	4,713		
	OTHER PROGRAMS					
	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	531,324	529,524	531,324		+ 1,800
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	4,737,106	4,699,805	4,737,106		+ 37,301
	O&M AND IT BUDGET INCONSISTENCIES					
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....			- 85,000	- 85,000	- 85,000
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG .....			- 84,000	- 84,000	- 84,000
	NON-CYBER IT PROGRAMS .....		- 48,450			+ 48,450
	RCOH .....			- 5,173	- 5,173	- 5,173
				10,100	+ 10,100	+ 10,100
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY .....	39,316,857	39,073,543	38,822,366	- 494,491	- 251,177

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	4,947,202	4,936,202	- 11,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Contract termination unaccounted for .....			- 11,000
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	814,770	960,870	+ 146,100
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			+ 10,100
	Program increase: Aviation Depot Maintenance .....			+ 136,000
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,296,408	5,330,108	+ 33,700
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			+ 33,700
1B5B	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,339,077	1,339,377	+ 300
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			+ 300
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	708,634	701,634	- 7,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program growth .....			- 7,000
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	892,316	875,316	- 17,000
	Maintain program affordability: Landing Craft Air Cushion retirements not accounted for in budget documentation .....			- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Removal of one-time fiscal year 2014 Costs .....			- 12,000
1CCM	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	188,920	79,469	- 109,451
	Transfer: Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command resources to O&M Defense-Wide for the new defense agency responsible for personnel accounting efforts .....			- 109,451
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	490,911	511,911	+ 21,000
	Program increase: Ship Self Defense System Overhaul .....			+ 21,000
BSM1	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	1,483,495	1,594,599	+ 111,104
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 111,104
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	4,398,667	4,408,667	+ 10,000
	Program increase: Camp Lemonnier Lease Costs .....			+ 10,000
2A1F	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE .....	526,926	402,081	- 124,845
	Transfer to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution: Large Medium Roll-on/Roll-off (LMSR) Maintenance .....			- 105,887
	Transfer to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution: DOD Mobilization Alterations .....			- 18,958
2A2F	READY RESERVE FORCE .....	291,195		- 291,195
	Transfer to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution: Ready Reserve Force .....			- 291,195
2B2G	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	251,538	185,538	- 66,000
	Program decrease: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			- 46,000
	Improving funds management: Removal of one-time fiscal year 2014 costs .....			- 20,000
2C1H	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEMS .....	124,323	97,092	- 27,231
	Transfer to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution: TAH Maintenance .....			- 27,231
3C1L	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	230,589	231,689	+ 1,100
	Program increase: Naval Sea Cadet Corps .....			+ 1,100
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian FTE Targets .....		- 84,000	- 84,000
UNDIST	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification inconsistencies .....		- 85,000	- 85,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Reduction to Non-cyber IT programs .....		- 5,173	- 5,173

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (Manpower) .....	.....	+ 10,100	+ 10,100

*Naval Shipyard Apprentice Program.*—The Committee directs that during fiscal year 2015 the Navy shall induct classes of no fewer than 100 apprentices, respectively, at each of the naval shipyards. The Committee further directs the Navy to include the costs of the fiscal year 2016 class of apprentices in its budget request.

*U.S. Coast Guard.*—The Committee is aware that Department of Defense [DOD] regulations currently restrict DOD mission appropriated funded activities from offering reimbursable rates to non-DOD agencies. This restriction forces the Navy to charge the U.S. Coast Guard fully burdened rates for drydocking services at Navy shipyards rather than reimbursable rates. Therefore, the Committee directs that funds appropriated under Operation and Maintenance, Navy may be used to pay overhead costs incurred by a Naval Shipyard when drydocking U.S. Coast Guard ships.

*Minimum Capital Investment for Certain Depots.*—The Secretary of each military department is directed to invest in the capital budgets of the covered depots set forth in 10 U.S.C. 2476(e) a total amount equal to not less than 6 percent of the average total combined maintenance, repair, and overhaul workload funded at all the depots of that military department for the preceding 3 fiscal years.

*Shipboard Lighting Systems.*—The Committee commends the Navy for its increasing use of light-emitting diode [LED] lighting and encourages it to continue these activities. The Committee urges the Navy to consider updating lighting specifications for ships so LED use is not prohibited, developing an approved products list for LEDs that is broadly available for use in all vessels, using “total lifecycle costs” to determine the value of LEDs, and making the installation of LEDs in vessels a priority when appropriate, such as during ship retrofits and new builds.

*Patuxent Naval Air Station.*—The Committee is aware that the Department of the Navy commissioned the Massachusetts Institute of Technology’s Lincoln Laboratory to conduct a study to determine the effects and a potential mitigation plan between the operation of the proposed wind energy project and the Patuxent Naval Air Station. The study is not yet completed. Therefore, the Committee directs the Navy to refrain from executing any agreement with respect to the operation of the proposed wind energy project until the study is provided to the congressional defense committees.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$5,397,605,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	5,909,487,000
House allowance .....	5,984,680,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,997,507,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,997,507,000. This is \$88,020,000 above the budget estimate.



COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
10	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	905,744	939,544	920,544	+14,800	-19,000
20	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	921,543	921,543	914,443	-7,100	-7,100
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	229,058	239,058	229,058		-10,000
	USMC PREPOSITIONING					
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING .....	87,660	87,660	87,660		
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	573,926	631,319	685,271	+111,345	+53,952
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	1,983,118	1,983,118	1,983,118		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>4,701,049</b>	<b>4,802,242</b>	<b>4,820,094</b>	<b>+119,045</b>	<b>+17,852</b>
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
	ACCESSION TRAINING					
80	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	18,227	18,227	18,227		
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	948	948	948		
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
100	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING .....	98,448	98,448	98,448		
120	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	42,305	42,305	42,305		
130	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	330,156	330,156	327,356	-2,800	
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION					
140	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	161,752	161,752	161,752		
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	19,137	19,137	19,137		
160	JUNIOR ROTC .....	23,277	23,277	23,277		
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3 .....</b>	<b>694,250</b>	<b>694,250</b>	<b>691,450</b>	<b>-2,800</b>	<b>-2,800</b>
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
180	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	36,359	36,359	36,359		
190	ADMINISTRATION .....	362,608	362,608	362,608		
200	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	70,515	70,515	70,515		

SECURITY PROGRAMS	44,706	44,706	44,706	44,706		
SECURITY PROGRAMS						
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	514,188	514,188	514,188	514,188		
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-25,000				+ 26,000
O&M AND IT BUDGET INCONSISTENCIES			-25,000			- 25,000
NON-CYBER IT PROGRAMS			-3,225			- 3,225
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	5,909,487	5,984,680	5,997,507	5,997,507	+ 88,020	+ 12,827

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	905,744	920,544	+ 14,800
	Budget documentation disparity: Contract termination unaccounted for .....			- 19,000
	Program increase: Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for CENTCOM .....			+ 28,600
	Program increase: Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for SOUTHCOM .....			+ 5,200
1A2A	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	921,543	914,443	- 7,100
	Reduce duplication: Enterprise Software License funded by O&M Navy .....			- 7,100
BSM1	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION .....	573,926	685,271	+ 111,345
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 111,345
3B4D	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	330,156	327,356	- 2,800
	Budget documentation disparity: Contract termination unaccounted for .....			- 2,800
UNDIST	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification inconsistencies .....		- 25,000	- 25,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Reduction to Non-cyber IT programs .....		- 3,225	- 3,225

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$33,248,618,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	35,331,193,000
House allowance .....	35,024,160,000
Committee recommendation .....	35,485,568,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$35,485,568,000. This is \$154,375,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	<b>AIR OPERATIONS</b>					
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	3,163,457	3,073,802	3,313,997	+ 150,540	+ 240,195
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	1,694,339	1,689,320	1,853,607	+ 159,268	+ 164,287
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING .....	1,579,178	1,560,540	1,592,511	+ 13,333	+ 31,971
50	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,119,522	6,051,400	6,084,028	- 35,494	+ 32,628
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	1,453,589	1,598,948	1,604,748	+ 151,159	+ 5,800
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	2,599,419	2,549,844	2,577,101	- 22,318	+ 27,257
	<b>COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS</b>					
80	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	908,790	869,894	891,290	- 17,500	+ 21,396
90	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS .....	856,306	856,306	873,388	+ 17,082	+ 17,082
100	TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES .....	800,689	800,689	790,689	- 10,000	- 10,000
	<b>SPACE OPERATIONS</b>					
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	282,710	282,710	282,710	.....	.....
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	397,818	397,818	397,818	.....	.....
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	871,840	852,840	871,840	.....	+ 19,000
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	237,348	237,348	237,348	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	20,965,005	20,821,459	21,371,075	+ 406,070	+ 549,616
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION</b>					
	<b>MOBILITY OPERATIONS</b>					
140	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	1,968,810	1,939,173	1,963,333	- 5,477	+ 24,160
150	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	139,743	125,670	125,670	- 14,073	.....
160	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,534,560	1,534,560	1,534,560	.....	- 15,000
170	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	173,627	189,089	210,007	+ 36,380	+ 20,918
180	BASE SUPPORT .....	688,801	688,801	688,801	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	4,505,541	4,492,293	4,522,371	+ 16,830	+ 30,078

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
190	ACCESSION TRAINING	82,396	82,396	82,396		
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION	19,852	19,852	19,852		
210	RECRUIT TRAINING	76,134	76,134	76,134		
220	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	212,226	233,449	228,716	+16,490	-4,733
230	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	759,809	759,809	759,809		
	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)					
240	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING	356,157	356,157	356,157		
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	697,594	697,594	697,594		
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	219,441	219,441	219,441		
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	91,001	91,001	91,001		
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	316,688	316,688	316,688		
	DEPOT MAINTENANCE					
290	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION	73,920	73,920	73,920		
300	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	3,121	3,121	3,121		
310	EXAMINING	181,718	181,718	181,718		
320	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	147,667	147,667	147,667		
330	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	63,250	63,250	63,250		
	JUNIOR ROTC					
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3</b>	<b>3,300,974</b>	<b>3,322,197</b>	<b>3,317,464</b>	<b>+16,490</b>	<b>-4,733</b>
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,003,513	997,379	997,079	-6,434	-300
350	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	843,449	836,210	836,210	-7,239	
360	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	78,126	78,126	78,126		
370	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	247,677	272,445	310,902	+63,225	+38,457
380	BASE SUPPORT	1,103,442	1,103,442	1,103,442		
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
390	ADMINISTRATION	597,234	597,234	597,234		
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	506,840	506,840	506,840		
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	892,256	892,256	892,256		

420	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION .....	24,981	27,400	27,400	+ 2,419	.....
	SECURITY PROGRAMS					
	SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	1,169,736	1,152,260	1,162,750	- 6,986	+ 10,490
	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS					
450	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	92,419	92,419	92,419	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	6,559,673	6,556,011	6,604,658	+ 44,985	+ 48,647
	O&M AND IT BUDGET INCONSISTENCIES .....	.....	.....	- 130,000	- 130,000	- 130,000
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 224,000	- 200,000	- 200,000	+ 24,000
	AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE .....	.....	34,600	.....	.....	- 34,600
	NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	.....	21,600	.....	.....	- 21,600
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE .....	35,331,193	35,024,160	35,485,568	+ 154,375	+ 461,408

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	3,163,457	3,313,997	+ 150,540
	Improving funds management: Program growth based on fuel rates should be included in pricing calculations .....			- 5,763
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 147,703
	Program increase: Nuclear Force Improvement Program .....			+ 8,600
011C	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	1,694,339	1,853,607	+ 159,268
	Budget documentation disparity: Flying hour and contract reductions not accounted for in justification ..			- 10,000
	Program increase: AWACS .....			+ 34,600
	Program increase: Combat Enhancement Forces .....			+ 134,668
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	1,579,178	1,592,511	+ 13,333
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 13,333
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,119,522	6,084,028	- 35,494
	Budget documentation disparity: Contract reduction not accounted for in justification .....			- 18,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified program growth .....			- 100,000
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 46,281
	Program increase: Depot Maintenance .....			+ 36,225
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	1,453,589	1,604,748	+ 151,159
	Program increase: Nuclear Force Improvement Program .....			+ 3,400
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 147,759
011Z	BASE SUPPORT .....	2,599,419	2,577,101	- 22,318
	Improving funds management: Program growth based on fuel and foreign currency rates should be included in pricing calculations .....			- 12,318
	Improving funds management: Removal of one-time fiscal year 2014 congressional increase .....			- 10,000
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	908,790	891,290	- 17,500
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified growth in service support contracts .....			- 17,500
012C	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS .....	856,306	873,388	+ 17,082
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 7,482
	Program increase: Nuclear Force Improvement Program .....			+ 9,600
012F	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES .....	800,689	790,689	- 10,000
	Classified adjustment .....			- 10,000
021A	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	1,968,810	1,963,333	- 5,477
	Improving funds management: Program growth based on fuel rates should be included in pricing calculations .....			- 5,477
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	139,743	125,670	- 14,073
	Improving funds management: Program growth based on inflation rates should be included in pricing calculations .....			- 14,073
021R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	173,627	210,007	+ 36,380
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 36,380
031R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	212,226	228,716	+ 16,490
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 16,490
041A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	1,003,513	997,079	- 6,434
	Improving funds management: Program growth based on inflation rates should be included in pricing calculations .....			- 6,134



[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Transfer: Life Sciences Equipment Laboratory of the Air Force [LSEL] resources to O&M Defense-Wide for the new defense agency responsible for personnel accounting efforts .....			- 300
041B	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	843,449	836,210	- 7,239
	Improving funds management: Program growth based on DFAS rates should be included in pricing calculations .....			- 7,239
041R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	247,677	310,902	+ 63,225
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 63,225
042I	CIVIL AIR PATROL .....	24,981	27,400	+ 2,419
	Program increase: Civil air patrol .....			+ 2,419
043A	SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	1,169,736	1,162,750	- 6,986
	Classified adjustment .....			- 6,986
UNDIST	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification inconsistencies .....		- 130,000	- 130,000
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....		- 200,000	- 200,000

*Air Force Weather Training Modernization.*—The Air Force Weather Agency makes a significant contribution to improving combat effectiveness of our warfighters. The Air Force needs to modernize its weather training programs to be able to continue that contribution. The Air Force may be able to take advantage of different training regimes as it modernizes its training programs, including reliance on organic training, entering into or expanding cooperative programs with other government agencies, such as the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and entering into or expanding cooperative programs with colleges and universities that have meteorological training programs. The Committee encourages the Air Force to investigate whether the service could improve the effectiveness and efficiency of its weather training programs by placing greater reliance on cooperative training programs.

*Lajes Air Base.*—The Committee supports the continued bilateral relationship the United States has with Portugal, particularly with respect to United States-NATO operations. However, given current budget constraints, the Committee recognizes the Air Force's need to consolidate its force structure and operations at Lajes Air Base on Terceira Island in the Azores. The Committee understands this reduction will also impact host country civilian positions and the local economy. The Committee encourages the Department to continue to work closely with Portuguese and Azorean officials to minimize the economic impacts to the local population and to continue to explore all possibilities for other U.S. Government uses of Lajes Air Base. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after the submission of the European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment on United States Air Force, a report describing the continued U.S. presence at Lajes Air Base; steps to be taken to mitigate adverse effects on the surrounding community; and initiatives to strengthen and enhance the strategic relationship between the United States and Portugal.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$31,450,068,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	31,198,232,000
House allowance .....	30,830,741,000
Committee recommendation .....	31,049,591,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$31,049,591,000.  
This is \$148,641,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF .....	462,107	431,607	432,107	-30,000	+500
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	4,762,245	4,645,029	4,802,697	+40,452	+157,668
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	5,224,352	5,076,636	5,234,804	+10,452	+158,168
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>					
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY .....	135,437	135,437	135,437	.....	.....
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY .....	80,082	80,082	80,082	.....	.....
50	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	371,620	360,443	371,620	.....	+11,177
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3 .....	587,139	575,962	587,139	.....	+11,177
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
60	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS .....	119,888	154,888	179,688	+59,800	+24,800
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	556,493	556,493	548,093	-8,400	-8,400
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	1,340,374	1,299,874	1,289,295	-51,079	-10,579
100	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY .....	633,300	650,225	648,500	+15,200	-1,725
110	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	1,263,678	1,260,833	1,245,778	-17,900	-15,055
130	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY .....	26,710	26,710	26,710	.....	.....
140	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY .....	381,470	391,470	391,070	+9,600	-400
150	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY .....	194,520	190,820	194,520	.....	+3,700
160	DEFENSE POW/MISSING PERSONS OFFICE .....	21,485	21,485	.....	.....	-21,485
170	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	544,786	514,189	537,786	-21,485	+23,597
180	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE .....	532,930	532,338	532,930	-7,000	+592
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY .....	32,787	32,787	32,787	.....	.....
0	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY .....	414,371	414,371	414,371	.....	.....
230	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	2,566,424	2,561,424	2,556,924	-9,500	-4,500
240	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....	416,644	452,242	403,644	-13,000	-48,598
260	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT .....	186,987	186,987	106,391	-80,596	-80,596
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	1,891,163	1,869,931	1,849,763	-41,400	-20,168
280	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	87,915	81,915	87,915	.....	+6,000
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	610,982	587,262	603,882	-7,100	+16,620
	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	13,563,834	13,417,899	13,433,251	-130,583	+15,352

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
				Budget estimate	House allowance
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	25,386,741	25,204,143	25,083,298	- 303,443	- 120,845
IMPACT AID .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES .....	.....	40,000	25,000	+25,000	- 15,000
ADJUSTMENT (HOUSE AMENDMENT) (LEE) (JACKSON LEE) (LAMBORN) (JEFFRIES) (MCGOVERN) (BENSHEK) (RUIYAN) (DELANEY) (GRAYSON) .....	.....	.....	5,000	+5,000	+5,000
TRANSFER FOR NEW AGENCY FOR PERSONNEL ACCOUNTING EFFORTS .....	.....	- 66,000	.....	.....	+ 66,000
NON-CYBER IT PROGRAMS .....	.....	.....	131,236	+131,236	+131,236
NSA CYBERPROGRAMS .....	.....	.....	- 34,386	-34,386	- 34,386
INSIDER THREAT .....	.....	.....	7,500	+7,500	+7,500
.....	.....	.....	10,000	+10,000	+ 10,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE .....	31,198,232	30,830,741	31,049,591	- 148,641	+ 218,850

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF .....	462,107	432,107	- 30,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification are inconsistent .....			- 20,000
	Improving funds management: Inconsistency in management headquarters savings .....			- 10,000
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	4,762,245	4,802,697	+ 40,452
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Naval Special Warfare Maritime Support Vessel .....			- 20,298
	Authorization adjustment: SOCOM NCR .....			- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Programs executing lower than requested .....			- 12,000
	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....			- 18,200
	Program Increase: Flying Hours .....			+ 95,950
	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS .....	119,888	179,688	+ 59,800
	Program increase: Youth Challenge .....			+ 31,000
	Program increase: STARBASE .....			+ 25,000
	Program increase: Innovative Readiness Training .....			+ 3,800
	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	556,493	548,093	- 8,400
	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....			- 8,400
	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	1,340,374	1,289,295	- 51,079
	Improving funds management: Civilian FTE hiring plan ahead of schedule .....			- 50,000
	Improving funds management: Program growth based on rates should be included in pricing calculations .....			- 1,079
	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY .....	633,300	648,500	+ 15,200
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification are inconsistent .....			- 7,000
	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....			- 2,800
	Program increase: Sexual Assault Special Victims' Counsel .....			+ 25,000
	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	1,263,678	1,245,778	- 17,900
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification are inconsistent .....			- 7,500
	Improving funds management: Inconsistency in management headquarters savings .....			- 2,000
	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....			- 8,400
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY .....	381,470	391,070	+ 9,600
	Budget documentation disparity: Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes for DFAS Bill .....			- 1,300
	Budget documentation disparity: Functional transfer to DISA not accounted for in budget documentation .....			- 1,800
	Program increase: Procurement Technical Assistance .....			+ 12,700
	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE .....	21,485		- 21,485
	Transfer: Defense Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Office [DPMO] resources to the new defense agency responsible for personnel accounting efforts .....			- 21,485
	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	544,786	537,786	- 7,000
	Authorization adjustment: Combating terrorism fellowship .....			- 7,000
	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY .....	2,566,424	2,556,924	- 9,500
	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....			- 10,500

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Professional development for mathematics .....			+ 1,000
	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....	416,644	403,644	− 13,000
	Improving funds management: Excess forward financing for BMDS AN/TPY-2 Radars .....			− 13,000
	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT .....	186,987	106,391	− 80,596
	Authorization adjustment: Guam civilian water and wastewater funding ahead of need .....			− 80,596
	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	1,891,163	1,849,763	− 41,400
	Authorization adjustment: OSD AT&L BRAC 2015 planning and analysis .....			− 4,800
	Maintain program affordability: OSD Policy unjustified program growth .....			− 2,600
	Maintain program affordability: OSD [P&R] eliminate contractor growth for CE2T2 program .....			− 25,000
	Maintain program affordability: Directed reduction for OSD management headquarters .....			− 20,000
	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....			− 7,000
	Program increase: Healthy Base Initiative .....			+ 3,000
	Program increase: Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative .....			+ 15,000
	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE .....	610,982	603,882	− 7,100
	Maintain program affordability: Decrease in construction, alteration and fit out costs not accounted for in budget justification .....			− 3,600
	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian full time equivalent targets .....			− 3,500
	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	13,563,834	13,433,251	− 130,583
	Classified Adjustment .....			− 130,583
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Reduction to Non-cyber IT programs .....		− 34,386	− 34,386
UNDIST	Transfer: From O&M Air Force, O&M Navy, and the Defense Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Office [DPMO] to the new defense agency responsible for personnel accounting efforts .....		+ 131,236	+ 131,236
UNDIST	Authorization Adjustment: Impact Aid .....		+ 25,000	+ 25,000
UNDIST	Authorization Adjustment: Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities .....		+ 5,000	+ 5,000
UNDIST	Program Increase: NSA Cyber Research with Universities .....		+ 7,500	+ 7,500
UNDIST	Program Increase: Federal insider threat detection enhancement .....		+ 10,000	+ 10,000

*Defense Language and National Security Education Office.*—The Committee recognizes that, in partnership with universities across the country, the Defense Language and National Security Education Office provides critical training for servicemembers and government officials in a number of languages and strategic cultures, including those of the Arab world, Afghanistan, China, and Iran. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue placing a high priority on these programs to ensure warfighters receive the language and culture training needed to complete their missions effectively.

*Military Use Airspace.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue to utilize national assets, such as the Hays Military Operations Area, to maintain airborne combat readiness for both manned and remotely piloted aircraft.

*Wildlife Trafficking.*—The proceeds of animal poaching and wildlife trafficking are known to fund armed conflict on the African

continent, including by the Lord's Resistance Army and other destabilizing groups in the Great Lakes Region. The Committee supports efforts by Africa Command and Special Operations Command to assist in the mitigation of these illegal activities through their capacity-building engagements with African governments and authorities, including "train the trainer" exchanges and exercises. The Committee expects Africa Command and Special Operations Command to continue these engagements in areas affected by wildlife trafficking.

The Committee also directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 120 days of enactment of this act describing the planned activities to support implementation of the Executive order establishing the Presidential Task Force on Wildlife Trafficking.

*STEM Education and STARBASE.*—The Committee finds that consolidation of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics [STEM] education programs and significant changes to the STARBASE program are not advisable at this time. STARBASE provides a unique low-cost leveraging of community and military resources that another Federal agency will not be able to duplicate. The benefits of cooperative community and military relationships stimulate the long-term interest of youth in STEM careers. Therefore, the Committee provides \$25,000,000 to continue the Department of Defense STARBASE program in fiscal year 2015. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to continue the STARBASE program in future fiscal years.

*Item Unique Identification Initiative.*—The Item Unique Identification [IUID] initiative requires the marking and tracking of assets deployed through the Armed Forces or in the possession of Department of Defense contractors. Improving asset tracking and in-transit visibility has the potential for realizing costs savings by improving the management of defense equipment and supplies throughout their lifecycle. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to take additional actions to improve asset visibility, to include completing and implementing its strategy for coordinating improvement efforts across the Department.

*Defense Personal Property Moves.*—The Committee has a long-standing interest in the quality of life of military members and their families and understands the tremendous challenges associated with completing frequent defense personal property moves. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense has successfully shifted to the Defense Personal Property Program [DP3] and commends the improvements to cost efficiency, the claims experience, and in family satisfaction. The Committee further commends the improvements that the Department and its transportation service providers have made to reduce costs and improve the quality of each military move.

*Flame Retardant Military Uniforms.*—The Committee recognizes that for several years the Army's rapid fielding initiative program, using overseas contingency operations funding, has provided flame retardant clothing to all deploying soldiers to minimize the risk of burn injuries from improvised explosive devices. The Committee notes that the Army's flame retardant uniforms are approximately double the cost of non-flame retardant uniforms. However, the

Committee understands that recent technical developments in flame retardant garments have begun to reduce costs while offering options and varying levels of protection.

These emerging developments provide the services with ways to protect servicemembers, regardless of the environment, without imposing undue costs on already strained budgets. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in coordination with the Secretaries of the Navy and Air Force, to conduct a feasibility study on increased use of flame-retardant uniforms, including a review of available technologies and industry sources of flame retardant fabrics, and to provide a written report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this act.

*Transition Assistance Programs.*—The Committee applauds the Department’s revamped Transition Assistance Program [TAP] to provide assistance to servicemembers transitioning toward a career or education following military separation. The enhanced program called Transition—Goals, Plans, Success (Transition—GPS) was established to assist servicemembers with their transition as the military draws down by providing information, counseling, tools, and training for servicemembers to separating from the military. While the Committee recognizes the achievements of the Department within Transition—GPS, the Committee encourages the Secretary, in conjunction with the Secretary of the Labor and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to continuously improve and build upon the program.

Furthermore, the Committee supports the conclusions reached by the March 2014 Government Accountability Office [GAO] report titled, “Transitioning Veterans: Improved Oversight Needed to Enhance Implementation of Transition Assistance Program.” The report highlighted five areas of concern: the ability for commanders and relevant policy stakeholders to track attendance, establishing training quality, assessing career readiness, ensuring participation and completion, and measuring performance and results in order to evaluate TAP effectiveness. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the implementation status of the GAO recommendations included in the report no later than 180 days after date of enactment of this act.

*Africa Standby Force.*—The Committee commends the African Union for working toward a proposed African Standby Force and supports the more immediate African Capacity for Immediate Response to Crises [ACIRC] force, a multinational African rapid reaction military capability being established to respond to urgent crises and human rights challenges on the African continent. The Committee encourages Africa Command to provide appropriate training and capacity building support when appropriate to the African Union and its member states to realize an effective ACIRC and eventual standby force that are both able counter emerging crises while operating in accordance with international law and human rights norms. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop a roadmap to assist the African Union forces with developing a sustainable logistics and airlift capacity.



*Federal Insider Threat Detection Enhancement.*—The Committee commends the military services for making significant strides towards implementing insider threat detection programs, specifically end-user auditing and monitoring programs. However, the combatant commands have not implemented robust, proven capabilities for end-user auditing and monitoring in support of insider threat detection. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$10,000,000 to be used for the combatant commands to implement proven and effective end-user auditing and monitoring capabilities in support of their existing insider threat detection programs. The Committee urges specific priority be given to U.S. Central Command, U.S. Strategic Command and U.S. Pacific Command.

*Middle East Regional Security Studies Programs.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue to support established university programs that promote regionwide informal workshops and task forces on arms control, regional security, and related topics to the Middle East for Arab, Israeli, and other officials and experts engaged in these issues.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$2,940,936,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	2,490,569,000
House allowance .....	2,535,606,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,474,995,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,474,995,000. This is \$15,574,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	<b>LAND FORCES</b>					
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	15,200	15,200	14,400	- 800	- 800
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES .....	502,664	495,275	498,664	- 4,000	- 611
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	107,489	105,242	102,889	- 4,600	- 2,353
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	543,989	530,162	538,489	- 5,500	+ 8,327
60	AVIATION ASSETS .....	72,963	64,463	72,963	.....	+ 8,500
	<b>LAND FORCES READINESS</b>					
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	360,082	360,082	354,282	- 5,800	- 5,800
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS .....	72,491	72,491	65,091	- 7,400	- 7,400
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	58,873	58,873	58,873	.....	.....
	<b>LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT</b>					
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	388,961	388,961	388,961	.....	.....
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	228,597	251,457	261,023	+ 32,426	+ 9,566
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS .....	39,590	39,590	39,590	.....	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1</b> .....	<b>2,390,899</b>	<b>2,385,796</b>	<b>2,395,225</b>	<b>+ 4,326</b>	<b>+ 9,429</b>
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,608	10,608	10,608	.....	.....
140	ADMINISTRATION .....	18,587	17,527	17,587	- 1,000	+ 60
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	6,681	6,681	6,681	.....	.....
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION .....	9,192	9,192	9,192	.....	.....
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	54,602	54,602	54,602	.....	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4</b> .....	<b>99,670</b>	<b>98,610</b>	<b>98,670</b>	<b>- 1,000</b>	<b>+ 60</b>
	<b>OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>					
	<b>RESTORE READINESS</b> .....					
	<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE</b> .....	<b>2,490,569</b>	<b>2,535,606</b>	<b>2,474,995</b>	<b>- 15,574</b>	<b>- 60,611</b>

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	15,200	14,400	- 800
	Budget documentation disparity: Supplies and materials .....			- 800
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	502,664	498,664	- 4,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Travel .....			- 4,000
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	107,489	102,889	- 4,600
	Budget documentation disparity: Travel .....			- 2,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Supplies and materials .....			- 2,600
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	543,989	538,489	- 5,500
	Budget documentation disparity: Travel .....			- 2,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Management & professional support services .....			- 3,500
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	360,082	354,282	- 5,800
	Budget documentation disparity: Management & professional support services .....			- 5,800
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	72,491	65,091	- 7,400
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification document inconsistencies .....			- 7,400
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION .....	228,597	261,023	+ 32,426
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 32,426
431	ADMINISTRATION .....	18,587	17,587	- 1,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Travel .....			- 1,000
UNDIST	Overestimation of civilian FTE targets .....		- 18,900	- 18,900

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,158,382,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,007,100,000
House allowance .....	1,011,827,000
Committee recommendation .....	990,633,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$990,633,000. This is \$16,467,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS	565,842	565,842	556,967	-8,875	-8,875
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	5,948	5,948	5,948		
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	82,636	82,636	84,936	+2,300	+2,300
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	353	353	353		
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,007	7,007	7,007		
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS					
	<b>RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS</b>					
70	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	8,190	8,190	8,190		
80	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	556	556	556		
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,571	4,571	4,571		
	<b>RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>					
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	14,472	14,472	13,872	-600	-600
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	119,056	119,056	117,056	-2,000	-2,000
	<b>RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT</b>					
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	1,852	1,852	1,852		
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	25,354	25,354	20,984	-4,370	-4,370
	<b>BASE OPERATING SUPPORT</b>					
140	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	48,271	53,098	48,271		-4,827
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	101,921	101,921	101,921		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	986,029	990,856	972,484	-13,545	-18,372
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
160	ADMINISTRATION	1,520	1,520	220	-1,300	-1,300
170	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	12,998	12,998	12,766	-232	-232
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,395	3,395	3,395		
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,158	3,158	3,158		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	21,071	21,071	19,539	-1,532	-1,532

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG .....	-100				+100
NON-CYBER IT PROGRAMS .....		-1,390			-1,390
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE .....	1,007,100	1,011,827	990,633	-16,467	-21,194

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	565,842	556,967	- 8,875
	Budget document disparity: Unjustified buyback of Congressional cuts .....			- 16,775
	Program increase: CVN-73 refueling and complex over-haul .....			+ 7,900
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	82,636	84,936	+ 2,300
	Program increase: CVN-73 refueling and complex over-haul .....			+ 2,300
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	14,472	13,872	- 600
	Budget document disparity: Unjustified buyback of Congressional cuts .....			- 600
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	119,056	117,056	- 2,000
	Budget document disparity: Unjustified buyback of Congressional cuts .....			- 2,000
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION .....	25,354	20,984	- 4,370
	Budget document disparity: Unjustified buyback of Congressional cuts .....			- 4,370
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION .....	1,520	220	- 1,300
	Budget document disparity: Unjustified buyback of Congressional cuts .....			- 1,300
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	12,998	12,766	- 232
	Budget document disparity: Unjustified buyback of Congressional cuts .....			- 232
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Reduction to non-cyber IT programs .....		- 1,390	- 1,390

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$255,317,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	268,582,000
House allowance .....	270,485,000
Committee recommendation .....	270,482,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$270,482,000. This is \$1,900,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	<b>EXPEDITIONARY FORCES</b>					
10	OPERATING FORCES .....	93,093	93,093	93,093	.....	.....
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	18,377	18,377	18,377	.....	.....
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	29,232	32,155	33,132	+ 3,900	+ 977
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	106,447	106,447	104,447	- 2,000	- 2,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	247,149	250,072	249,049	+ 1,900	- 1,023
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
70	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	914	914	914	.....	.....
80	ADMINISTRATION .....	11,831	11,831	11,831	.....	.....
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	8,688	8,688	8,688	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	21,433	21,433	21,433	.....	.....
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG .....	.....	- 1,020	.....	.....	+ 1,020
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE .....	268,582	270,485	270,482	+ 1,900	- 3

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
BSM1	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	29,232	33,132	+ 3,900
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 3,900
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	106,447	104,447	- 2,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification inconsistencies .....			- 2,000

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$3,062,207,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	3,015,842,000
House allowance .....	2,989,214,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,989,206,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,989,206,000. This is \$26,636,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES					
	AIR OPERATIONS					
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,719,467	1,709,067	1,729,924	+10,457	+20,857
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	211,132	208,332	208,532	-2,600	+200
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	530,301	530,301	513,008	-17,293	-17,293
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	85,672	94,239	85,672	.....	-8,567
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	367,966	355,839	363,966	-4,000	+8,127
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	2,914,538	2,897,778	2,901,102	-13,436	+3,324
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
60	ADMINISTRATION .....	59,899	57,596	59,899	.....	+2,303
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	14,509	14,044	12,509	-2,000	-1,535
80	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	20,345	20,345	20,345	.....	.....
90	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	6,551	6,551	6,551	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	101,304	98,536	99,304	-2,000	+768
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	-7,100	-11,200	-11,200	-4,100
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE .....	3,015,842	2,989,214	2,989,206	-26,636	-8

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,719,467	1,729,924	+ 10,457
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 10,457
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	211,132	208,532	- 2,600
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification document inconsistencies .....			- 2,600
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	530,301	513,008	- 17,293
	Budget documentation disparity: Congressional adjustment .....			- 20,000
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 2,707
011Z	BASE SUPPORT .....	367,966	363,966	- 4,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification document inconsistencies .....			- 4,000
042J	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	14,509	12,509	- 2,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification document inconsistencies .....			- 2,000
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian FTE Targets .....		- 11,200	- 11,200

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$6,857,530,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	6,030,773,000
House allowance .....	6,121,307,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,231,351,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,231,351,000. This is \$200,578,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	<b>LAND FORCES</b>					
10	MANEUVER UNITS .....	660,648	660,648	683,648	+23,000	+23,000
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	165,942	165,942	165,942	.....	.....
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	733,800	733,800	733,800	.....	.....
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	83,084	83,084	83,084	.....	.....
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	22,005	22,005	22,005	.....	.....
60	AVIATION ASSETS .....	920,085	920,085	920,085	.....	.....
	<b>LAND FORCES READINESS</b>					
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	680,887	680,887	682,887	+2,000	+2,000
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	69,726	68,552	69,726	+1,174	+1,174
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	138,263	138,263	229,086	+90,823	+90,823
	<b>LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT</b>					
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	804,517	787,353	804,517	+17,164	+17,164
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	490,205	539,226	636,311	+97,085	+97,085
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS .....	872,140	846,243	872,140	+146,106	+146,106
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>					
		5,641,302	5,646,088	5,903,231	+261,929	+257,143
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	6,690	6,690	6,690	.....	.....
150	ADMINISTRATION .....	63,075	63,075	63,075	.....	.....
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	37,372	37,372	37,372	.....	.....
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	6,484	1,197	6,484	+5,287	+5,287
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	274,085	270,823	260,285	-13,800	-10,538
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	1,765	1,765	1,765	.....	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....</b>					
		389,471	380,922	375,671	-13,800	-5,251
	<b>UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION .....</b>					
	SOUTHWEST BORDER (HOUSE AMENDMENT) (LAMBORN) .....	.....	-3,703	.....	.....	+3,703
	COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS .....	.....	5,000	.....	.....	-5,000
	.....	.....	23,000	.....	.....	-23,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
				Budget estimate	House allowance
RESTORE READINESS .....		70,000			- 70,000
REMOVAL OF ONE-TIME FISCAL YEAR 2014 COST .....			- 20,000	- 20,000	- 20,000
SERVICE SUPPORT CONTRACTOR REDUCTION .....			- 20,000	- 20,000	- 20,000
NON-CYBER IT PROGRAMS .....			- 7,551	- 7,551	- 7,551
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD .....</b>	<b>6,030,773</b>	<b>6,121,307</b>	<b>6,231,351</b>	<b>+ 200,578</b>	<b>+ 110,044</b>

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	MANEUVER UNITS .....	660,648	683,648	+ 23,000
	Transfer funding for 2 CTC rotations: Army-requested from line 121 O&M Army .....			+ 23,000
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	680,887	682,887	+ 2,000
	Program increase: Emergency medical training .....			+ 2,000
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	138,263	229,086	+ 90,823
	Transfer denied: Fiscal year 2015 funding for depot maintenance transferred back from O&M Army Line 116 .....			+ 8,323
	Program increase: Depot Maintenance .....			+ 82,500
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	804,517	804,517	
	Improving funds management: Removal of one-time fiscal year 2014 congressional increase .....			- 10,000
	Program increase: National Guard Mental Health Programs .....			+ 10,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION .....	490,205	636,311	+ 146,106
	Program increase: FSRM .....			+ 146,106
434	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	274,085	260,285	- 13,800
	Authorization adjustment: program decrease for advertising .....			- 13,800
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Removal of one-time fiscal year 2014 Costs .....		- 20,000	- 20,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Service support contractor reduction .....		- 20,000	- 20,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Reduction to Non-cyber IT programs .....		- 7,551	- 7,551

*National Guard Embedded Mental Health Programs.*—The Committee recognizes that suicide continues to be an area of concern in the National Guard and Reserve components. Programs to improve access to behavioral healthcare for National Guard and Reserve members and their families through a collaboration of Federal, State, and community partners are helping to address gaps in treatment. The Committee provides \$10,000,000 to be utilized by the Director of Psychological Health Programs of the National Guard Bureau for implementation of embedded mental health programs in States determined by that office to be at high risk for suicides.

*National Guard Facilities and Assets.*—The Committee recognizes the number of existing National Guard operational, training, logistics, and maintenance capabilities that can be utilized by Federal, State, and local entities to reduce Federal overhead costs. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to coordinate interagency use of facilities and capabilities for cost-savings and improved efficiencies in achieving homeland security training and other core mission objectives.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$6,392,304,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	6,392,859,000
House allowance .....	6,393,919,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,361,281,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,361,281,000. This is \$31,578,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD</b>					
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES</b>					
	<b>AIR OPERATIONS</b>					
10	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS .....	3,367,729	3,366,229	3,400,996	+33,267	+34,767
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	718,295	707,095	705,295	-13,000	-1,800
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,528,695	1,528,695	1,531,850	+3,155	+3,155
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	137,604	151,364	137,604	.....	-13,760
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	581,536	581,536	581,536	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	6,333,859	6,334,919	6,357,281	+23,422	+22,362
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
	<b>SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>					
60	ADMINISTRATION .....	27,812	27,812	27,812	.....	.....
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	31,188	31,188	31,188	.....	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	59,000	59,000	59,000	.....	.....
	O&M AND IT BUDGET INCONSISTENCIES .....	.....	.....	-55,000	-55,000	-55,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD .....	6,392,859	6,393,919	6,361,281	-31,578	-32,638

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011F	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS .....	3,367,729	3,400,996	+ 33,267
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 33,267
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	718,295	705,295	- 13,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Justification does not match summary of price and program changes .....			- 13,000
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,528,695	1,531,850	+ 3,155
	Program increase: A-10 .....			+ 3,155
UNDIST	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification inconsistencies .....		- 55,000	- 55,000

## U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$13,606,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	13,723,000
House allowance .....	13,723,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,723,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,723,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$298,815,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	201,560,000
House allowance .....	201,560,000
Committee recommendation .....	201,560,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$201,560,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$316,103,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	277,294,000
House allowance .....	277,294,000
Committee recommendation .....	277,294,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$277,294,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$439,820,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	408,716,000
House allowance .....	371,716,000
Committee recommendation .....	408,716,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$408,716,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$10,757,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	8,547,000
House allowance .....	8,547,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,547,000



The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,547,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$287,443,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	208,353,000
House allowance .....	233,353,000
Committee recommendation .....	258,353,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$258,353,000. This is \$50,000,000 above the budget estimate to help address unfunded needs.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$109,500,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	100,000,000
House allowance .....	103,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	100,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$100,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$500,455,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	365,108,000
House allowance .....	365,108,000
Committee recommendation .....	365,108,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$365,108,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION .....	365,108	365,108	.....
1	Strategic Offensive Arms Elimination .....	1,000	1,000	.....
2	Chemical Weapons Destruction .....	15,720	15,720	.....
3	Biological Threat Reduction .....	256,762	256,762	.....
4	Threat Reduction Engagement .....	2,375	2,375	.....
5	Other Assessments/Admin Costs .....	27,844	27,844	.....
5	Global Nuclear Security .....	20,703	20,703	.....
6	WMD Proliferation Prevention .....	40,704	40,704	.....
	TOTAL, COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION .....	365,108	365,108	.....

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$51,031,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	212,875,000
House allowance .....	51,875,000
Committee recommendation .....	83,034,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$83,034,000. This is \$129,841,000 below the budget estimate.

**TITLE III  
PROCUREMENT**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget requests a total of \$89,660,299,000 for procurement appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$91,409,693,000 for fiscal year 2015. This is \$1,749,394,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2015 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>Procurement:</b>			
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	5,102,685	4,880,153	- 222,532
Missile Procurement, Army .....	1,017,483	1,008,692	- 8,791
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	1,471,438	1,701,549	+ 230,111
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	1,031,477	1,015,477	- 16,000
Other Procurement, Army .....	4,893,634	4,449,383	- 444,251
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	13,074,317	13,960,270	+ 885,953
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	3,217,945	3,263,794	+ 45,849
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	771,945	754,845	- 17,100
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....	14,400,625	15,895,770	+ 1,495,145
Other Procurement, Navy .....	5,975,828	6,060,433	+ 84,605
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	983,352	944,029	- 39,323
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	11,542,571	11,214,612	- 327,959
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	4,690,506	4,652,552	- 37,954
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	677,400	675,459	- 1,941
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	16,566,018	16,500,308	- 65,710
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	4,221,437	4,380,729	+ 159,292
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	21,638	51,638	+ 30,000
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>89,660,299</b>	<b>91,409,693</b>	<b>+ 1,749,394</b>

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (House Report 110-279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at

\$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

In addition, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this statement.

#### PROCUREMENT OVERVIEW

*Physical Access Control Systems.*—The Committee is concerned with the challenges the Department of Defense continues to face with the efficacy of their physical access control systems that should prevent unauthorized access to Department of Defense installations. The Department of Defense continues to develop and deploy incompatible programs and systems. These solutions increase costs and often fail to meet existing requirements. Commercially available physical access control systems address these shortfalls in that they are affordable, meet Department of Defense requirements, and do not have a significant sustainment cost. Therefore, the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force shall perform a business case analysis that examines the development, procurement, and sustainment cost of existing physical access control systems compared to the cost of physical access control systems available commercially. The Secretaries shall provide a report to the congressional defense committees summarizing the outcome of this business case analysis and actions they plan to take to implement the most affordable solution no later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

*Rocket Motor Industrial Base.*—The Committee is concerned that the domestic industrial base for tactical solid rocket motors continues to be impacted by constrained budgets, the use of foreign vendors, and a lack of competition. For example, a foreign supplier began development and qualification for a new rocket motor on the AMRAAM missile in 2009 after the domestically supplied rocket failed to qualify because of issues with the propellant and the blast tube insulation. The Committee has learned that the Navy may also be exploring a rocket motor source from a foreign vendor for a tactical missile program. Finally, the Committee understands that the Army recently awarded a sole-source contract for rocket

motors for the Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System, a program that has been stable and in production for some time.

The Committee is concerned that in these programs, a competition for a new rocket motor vendor was not executed; and in two programs, the Department is becoming more reliant on a foreign supplier. The Committee is closely following these developments across all services, as rocket motors continue to be a critical component of the defense industrial base. The Committee believes that whenever possible, domestic sources should be considered, and full and open competition employed before awarding contracts.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to conduct an independent assessment of domestic and foreign-sourced rocket motor propulsion for all Department of Defense tactical missile programs. This report should include the impacts of foreign-sourced rocket motors on domestic suppliers, and the national security impacts on the defense industrial base. This report shall be delivered to the congressional defense committee not later than 180 days of enactment of this act.

The Committee also directs the Government Accountability Office [GAO] to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this act that outlines the assumptions and analysis utilized by the Army to justify a sole-source contract to develop and qualify new, insensitive munitions-compliant rocket motors for the Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System, and why a competitive acquisition strategy was not used.

*Army Organic Industrial Base.*—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide 45-day written notification to the congressional defense committees prior to the Secretary approving civilian reductions in force that will result in an employment loss of 50 or more full-time employees at any Army organic industrial base facility. The notification shall include the impact that the proposed reduction in force will have on the ability to maintain the organic industrial base critical manufacturing capabilities as delineated in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, a detailed accounting of the costs of implementing the reduction in force, and an assessment of the cost of, and time necessary, to restore any lost capability to meet future organic wartime manufacturing needs.

*Management of Conventional Ammunition Inventory.*—The Committee is aware of the Department of Defense's efforts to better manage its conventional ammunition inventory. The Government Accountability Office recently reported in "Actions Needed to Improve Department-wide Management of Conventional Ammunition Inventory" that more work needs to be done, particularly regarding information sharing between the services. Incomplete and unreliable inventory systems can lead to the wasteful destruction of ammunition, duplicative procurement of ammunition that may be available in the stockpiles of another service, and shortages of ammunition required for forward-stationed forces. To use limited resources more efficiently and improve support to our warfighters, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to accelerate efforts to automate ammunition tracking and inventory accounting, and affirms its support for the reporting requirements directed in

the report accompanying S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, titled “Management of Conventional Ammunition Inventory.”

*Arsenal Sustainment Initiative.*—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of the Army to develop the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy. This process is identifying manufacturing capabilities at each organic industrial facility that are critical for this country to sustain in times of war and peace. However, the Committee is concerned that while the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy is identifying capabilities, further action is needed to fund these capabilities at a level adequate to maintain them. In particular, the Nation’s arsenals are at risk of not having the capacity to respond rapidly to meet the Department’s needs. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to assign the arsenals sufficient workload to maintain the critical capabilities identified in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, ensure cost efficiency and technical competence in peacetime, and preserve the ability to provide an effective and timely response to mobilizations, national defense contingency situations, and other emergency requirements.

Further, the Committee is aware that while the Army’s manufacturing arsenals have provided critical wartime needs to all the services, they are not always considered by the other services for the work opportunities to sustain them in peacetime. Given the arsenals’ unique capabilities, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act describing steps taken to encourage the Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps to better use the arsenals for their manufacturing needs.

*Arsenal and Ammunition Plant Facility Maintenance.*—The Committee is concerned with the reduction in funding for arsenal and ammunition plant facility maintenance. Established in most cases during World War II or before, the Army’s Government-owned, contractor-operated [GOCO] and Government-owned, Government-operated [GOGO] facilities are critical parts of our Nation’s defense industrial base, each with specific areas of expertise. However, prolonged combat operations in Afghanistan and Iraq and a more constrained budget environment have slowed the pace of necessary investments required for routine maintenance and modernization of production capabilities. With these concerns in mind, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to continue to submit the Long-range Facilities and Construction Planning at Army Ammunition Plants and Arsenals report, consistent with Senate Armed Services Committee Report 110–335, which accompanies the Duncun Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, and 112–26, which accompanies the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, including a detailed strategy to address the most urgent needs of the arsenals and ammunition plants and investments that will improve overall facility competitiveness and efficiency.

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$4,844,891,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	5,102,685,000
House allowance .....	5,295,957,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,880,153,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,880,153,000.  
This is \$222,532,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>									
<b>AIRCRAFT</b>									
<b>FIXED WING</b>									
2	1	13,617	1	13,617	1	10,787			-2,830
3	16	185,090	11	138,690	16	136,290			-48,800
4	19	190,581	19	239,581	19	189,081			-50,500
5		3,964		3,964		3,964			
<b>ROTARY</b>									
6	55	416,617	55	416,617	55	391,617			-25,000
7	25	494,009	28	572,009	25	494,009			-78,000
8		157,338		157,338		85,338			-72,000
12	79	1,237,001	87	1,356,227	79	1,237,001			-119,226
13		132,138		132,138		117,138			-15,000
14	32	892,504	32	892,504	32	892,504			
15		102,361		102,361		102,361			
		3,825,220		4,025,046		3,660,090			-165,130
<b>TOTAL, AIRCRAFT</b>									
<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>									
16	2	26,913	2	26,913	2	25,313			-1,600
18		14,182		14,182		14,182			
19		131,892		131,892		131,892			
20		181,869		181,869		181,869			
21		32,092		32,092		32,092			
22		15,029		15,029		15,029			
23		76,515		76,515		76,515			
25		114,182		114,182		105,380			-8,802
26		115,795		115,795		115,795			
27		54,277		54,277		54,277			
28		125,380		125,380		125,380			
		888,126		888,126		877,724			-10,402
<b>TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>									

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES										
29	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS										
30	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT		66,450		99,059		66,450				-32,609
	SURVIVABILITY CM				7,800						-7,800
31	CMWS		107,364		60,401		60,364			-47,000	-37
	OTHER SUPPORT										
32	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,847		6,847		6,847				
33	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		29,231		29,231		29,231				
34	AIRCRAFT INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		48,081		48,081		48,081				
35	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		127,232		127,232		127,232				
36	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		1,203		1,203		1,203				
37	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	387	2,931	387	2,931	387	2,931				
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		389,339		382,785		342,339			-47,000	-40,446
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,102,685		5,295,957		4,880,153			-222,532	-415,804



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Utility F/W Aircraft .....	13,617	10,787	- 2,830
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth .....			- 2,830
3	Aerial Common Sensor [ACS] [MIP] .....	185,090	136,290	- 48,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ICS/CLS early to need .....			- 8,800
	Budget documentation disparity: QRC breakout .....			- 40,000
4	MQ-1 UAV .....	190,581	189,081	- 1,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth .....			- 1,500
6	Helicopter, Light Utility [LUH] .....	416,617	391,617	- 25,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ECO unjustified growth .....			- 25,000
8	AH-64 Apache Block IIIA Reman .....	157,338	85,338	- 72,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess advance procurement due to quantity reduction .....			- 72,000
13	UH-60 Blackhawk M Model [MYP] .....	132,138	117,138	- 15,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess advance procurement due to quantity reduction .....			- 15,000
16	MQ-1 Payload [MIP] .....	26,913	25,313	- 1,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth .....			- 1,600
25	Network and Mission Plan .....	114,182	105,380	- 8,802
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Aircraft notebook production delay .....			- 8,802
31	CMWS .....	107,364	60,364	- 47,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess request .....			- 47,000

*Army Aviation Restructure Initiative [ARI].*—The Army’s fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes a significant restructuring of Army aviation assets. This includes transferring all Apache helicopters to the active Army from the Army National Guard and shifting Blackhawk helicopters from the active Army to the Army National Guard. The proposal also includes retiring the Kiowa Warrior helicopters and replacing the TH-67 trainer helicopters with Lakota helicopters. The Committee believes the Army has not considered the full fiscal implications of the proposal, and the Army has not provided the Committee a comprehensive divestiture plan for the retiring helicopters.

The Committee understands that the Army estimates saving a total of \$11,942,000,000 under the ARI, including \$10,300,000,000 from divestiture of the Kiowa Warrior helicopters. However, the Committee notes the Army’s cost estimate fails to account for increased costs to procure and maintain additional Lakota helicopters, to train the Army Active Duty and Army National Guard pilots for the new missions, and to operate and maintain Apaches and Blackhawks. Therefore, the Committee directs Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] to do an independent cost analysis of both the ARI and the alternate Army National Guard estimates to complete the proposed transfer and associated out-years costs. The Director, CAPE shall provide a report to the congressional defense committees 120 days after enactment of this act.

In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this act on the divestiture

plan for unneeded Army helicopters. This report should address (1) the number of airframes being divested under the ARI by fiscal year, (2) the number of airframes being transferred to other Government agencies, (3) the number of airframes being offered for sale to other nations, (4) the cost of divesting these aircraft, (5) the impact the divestiture of these airframes will have on the domestic rotary wing industrial base and (6) the impact of the divestiture plan on military readiness. Further, the Secretary of the Army is directed not to allow the resale or auction of any divested airframe until 30 days after the report is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

*Army Oversight of Aviation Programs.*—The Committee is aware of a Department of Army memorandum establishing responsibility for current and future fixed and rotary wing platforms to the Program Executive Office for Aviation. The Committee is also aware that responsibility for key subsystems of the platforms are assigned to several other Program Executive Offices. The Committee understands the Army memorandum has created inefficiencies in program acquisition, material procurements, and support in current systems by establishing multiple organizations within Government to support a single aircraft.

For example, the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System [EMARSS] program, which is covered by the subject memorandum, is under oversight by multiple Program Executive Offices. The combined oversight of multiple Program Executive Offices does not appear to have improved the EMARSS program, as it experienced severe cost growth. These cost overruns led to the Army canceling the program in the fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request. Therefore, the Committee rescinds \$73,500,000 of fiscal year 2014 funds, included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 for new EMARSS aircraft.

In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to justify the confusing and duplicative management structure mandated by this memorandum including a description of the estimated cost savings or efficiencies that are expected by this reorganization, and report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after enactment of this act.

*Army National Guard Blackhawks.*—The Committee acknowledges that the older UH–60As need to be modernized and replaced as quickly as possible for the Army National Guard Blackhawk fleet to remain viable and mission capable. It is projected that the Army National Guard will not retire their last UH–60A until 2025, while the Active Army will retire their last UH–60A in 2020. Therefore, the Committee recommends accelerating the fielding of new UH–60M helicopters to the Army National Guard.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,549,491,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,017,483,000
House allowance .....	1,217,483,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,008,692,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,008,692,000. This is \$8,791,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
									Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY												
OTHER MISSILES												
2 SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM		110,300		110,300		110,300		110,300				
3 LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AMD]	70	384,605	97	532,605	70	384,605		384,605			-27	-148,000
4 MSE MISSILE		4,452		4,452		4,452		4,452				
5 HELIFIRE SYS SUMMARY												
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM												
6 JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	338	77,668	338	77,668	338	77,668		72,877				-4,791
7 TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,008	50,368	1,008	50,368	1,008	50,368		50,368				
8 TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY [AP-CY]		19,984		19,984		19,984		19,984				
9 GUIDED MLRS ROCKET [GMLRS]	534	127,145	534	127,145	534	127,145		127,145				
10 MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	2,994	21,274	2,994	21,274	2,994	21,274		17,274				-4,000
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		795,796		943,796		943,796		787,005				-156,791
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES												
MODIFICATIONS												
12 PATRIOT MODS		131,838		183,838		183,838		131,838				-52,000
13 STINGER MODS		1,355		1,355		1,355		1,355				
14 AVENGER MODS		5,611		5,611		5,611		5,611				
15 ITAS/TOW MODS		19,676		19,676		19,676		19,676				
16 MLRS MODS		10,380		10,380		10,380		10,380				
17 HIMARS MODIFICATIONS		6,008		6,008		6,008		6,008				
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		174,868		226,868		226,868		174,868				-52,000
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS												
18 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		36,930		36,930		36,930		36,930				
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES												
19 AIR DEFENSE TARGETS		3,657		3,657		3,657		3,657				
20 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)		1,522		1,522		1,522		1,522				

21	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT .....	4,710	4,710	4,710	4,710	4,710	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES .....	9,889	9,889	9,889	9,889	9,889	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....	1,017,483	1,217,483	1,217,483	1,008,692	1,008,692	.....	.....	.....	.....	-8,791	-208,791

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	Javelin (Aaws-M) System Summary .....	77,668	72,877	- 4,791
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth .....	.....	.....	- 4,791
9	MLRS Reduced Range Practice Rockets (RRPR) .....	21,274	17,274	- 4,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost efficiencies .....	.....	.....	- 4,000

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES,  
ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,610,811,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,471,438,000
House allowance .....	1,703,736,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,701,549,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,701,549,000. This is \$230,111,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY										
1	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
	STRYKER VEHICLE .....		385,110		435,110		410,110			+ 25,000	- 25,000
2	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
	STRYKER (MOD) .....		39,683		39,683		39,683				
3	FIST VEHICLE (MOD) .....		26,759		26,759		26,759				
4	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD) .....		107,506		107,506		144,506			+ 37,000	+ 37,000
5	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) .....		45,411		45,411		45,411				
6	PALADIN PIPM, MOD IN SERVICE .....	18	247,400	18	247,400	18	247,400				
7	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HER- CULES) .....	15	50,451	40	122,451	15	126,364			+ 75,913	+ 3,913
8	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD) .....		2,473		2,473		2,473				
9	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE .....	7	36,583	7	36,583	7	36,583				
10	M88 FOV MODS .....		1,975		1,975		1,975				
11	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE .....	8	49,462	8	49,462	8	34,362			- 15,100	- 15,100
12	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) .....		237,023		237,023		237,023				
13	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM .....				120,000		120,000			+ 120,000	
14	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) .....		6,478		6,478		6,478				
	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES .....		1,236,314		1,478,314		1,479,127			+ 242,813	+ 813
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES										
16	MORTAR SYSTEMS .....		5,012		5,012		5,012				
17	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) .....	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390				
18	COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM .....		148		148		148				
19	CARBINE .....	38,234	29,366	26,808	20,616	38,234	20,616			- 8,750	+ 11,426
21	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STA- TION .....		8,409		8,409		8,409				
22	HANDGUN .....	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957				
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH M777 MODS .....		18,166		18,166		18,166				
25	M4 CARBINE MODS .....		3,446		6,446		3,446				- 3,000





COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Stryker Vehicle .....	385,110	410,110	+ 25,000
	Program increase: Unfunded priority, fourth DVH brigade set .....			+ 25,000
4	Bradley Program (MOD) .....	107,506	144,506	+ 37,000
	Program increase: Unfunded priority .....			+ 37,000
7	Improved Recovery Vehicle (M88A2 Hercules) .....	50,451	126,364	+ 75,913
	Program increase: Unfunded priority .....			+ 75,913
11	Joint Assault Bridge .....	49,462	34,362	- 15,100
	Improving funds management: Early to need .....			- 15,100
13	Abrams Upgrade Program .....		120,000	+ 120,000
	Program Increase: Maintain critical industrial base .....			+ 120,000
19	Carbine .....	29,366	20,616	- 8,750
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			- 8,750
28	M240 Medium Machine Gun Mods .....	4,635	2,635	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			- 2,000
31	M16 Rifle Mods .....	1,952		- 1,952
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			- 1,952

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,444,067,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,031,477,000
House allowance .....	1,011,477,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,015,477,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,015,477,000. This is \$16,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY AMMUNITION										
1	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION										
2	CTG. 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		34,943		34,943		34,943				
3	CTG. 7.62MM, ALL TYPES		12,418		12,418		12,418				
4	CTG. HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		9,655		9,655		9,655				
5	CTG. 50 CAL, ALL TYPES		29,304		29,304		29,304				
6	CTG. 25MM, ALL TYPES		8,181		8,181		8,181				
7	CTG. 30MM, ALL TYPES		52,667		52,667		52,667				
8	CTG. 40MM, ALL TYPES		40,904		40,904		40,904				
	MORTAR AMMUNITION										
9	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		41,742		41,742		41,742				
10	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		42,433		42,433		42,433				
11	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		39,365		39,365		39,365				
	TANK AMMUNITION										
12	CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES		101,900		101,900		101,900				
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION										
13	CTG. ARTY. 7.5MM AND 10.5MM: ALL TYPES		37,455		37,455		37,455				
14	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES		47,023		47,023		47,023				
15	PROJ. 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	416	35,672	416	35,672	416	35,672				
16	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES		94,010		74,010		78,010			-16,000	+4,000
	ROCKETS										
19	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		945		945		945				
20	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		27,286		27,286		27,286				
	OTHER AMMUNITION										
21	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		22,899		22,899		22,899				
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		22,751		22,751		22,751				
23	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		7,082		7,082		7,082				
24	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES		11,638		11,638		11,638				



COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
16	Artillery Propellants, Fuzes and Primers, All .....	94,010	78,010	- 16,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Precision Guidance Kit schedule slip .....	.....	.....	- 16,000

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$4,936,908,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	4,893,634,000
House allowance .....	4,812,234,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,449,383,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,449,383,000. This is \$444,251,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY									
TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VE-HICLES									
TACTICAL VEHICLES									
1		7,987		7,987		6,416			-1,571
2		160		160		160			
5				50,000		250,000			+200,000
4	176	164,615	176	164,615	176	164,615			
6									
7	19	8,415	19	8,415	19	8,415			
8	444	28,425	444	28,425	444	28,425			-50,000
13	198	89,263	198	89,263	198	89,263			
14	735	38,226	735	38,226	735	38,226			
15	768	91,173	701	83,173		21,173	-768	-701	-62,000
	1	14,731	1	14,731	1	14,731			
NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES									
16	1	175	1	175			-1	-1	-175
17	25	1,338	25	1,338	16	803	-9	-9	-535
18		11,101		11,101		11,101			
TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VE-HICLES									
		455,609		547,609		633,328		+177,719	+85,719
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT									
COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS									
19	1,280	763,087	1,114	664,087	1,194	555,087	-86	+80	-109,000
20	69	21,157	69	21,157	69	21,157			
21									
22		7,915		7,915		7,915			
		5,440		5,440		3,540			-1,900
23	18	118,085	18	118,085	18	118,085			
DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS									

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
24 TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	21	13,999	21	13,999		1,999	-21	-12,000	-12,000
25 SHF TERM		6,494		6,494		6,494			
26 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)		1,635		1,635		1,635			
27 SMART-T (SPACE)		13,554		13,554		11,454		-2,100	-2,100
28 GLOBAL BRODCST SVC—GBS		18,899		18,899		18,899			
29 MOD OF IN—SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)		2,849		2,849		2,849			
COMM—C3 SYSTEM									
COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS									
30 ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC)		100,000		80,000		100,000			+20,000
33 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	2,674	175,711	1,913	125,711		40,711	-2,674	-135,000	-85,000
34 MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO [MNVIR]		9,692		4,692		1,692		-8,000	-3,000
35 RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	620	17,136	620	17,136		15,698		-1,438	-1,438
37 AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPAZ	3,081	22,099	3,081	22,099		22,099			
38 TRACTOR DESK		3,724		3,724		3,724			
39 SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT		969		969		969			
40 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMMELEC-TRONICS		294		294		294			
41 TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	8,344	24,354	8,344	24,354		22,654		-1,700	-1,700
42 UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE		17,445		17,445		17,445			
43 RADIO, IMPROVED HF (GOTS) FAMILY		1,028		1,028		1,028			
44 FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	974	22,614	974	22,614		22,614			
COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM									
46 CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE [IMP]		1,519		1,519		1,519			
47 RESERVE CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	305	12,478	305	12,478		6,078	-155	-6,400	-6,400
50 INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM—ISSP		2,113		2,113					
51 COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY [COMSEC]	2,750	69,646	2,750	69,646		69,646		-2,113	-2,113









(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
147	COMPACTOR .....	617	4,348	617	4,348	617	4,348				
148	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR .....	14	4,938	14	4,938	14	4,938				
149	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED .....	95	34,071	95	34,071	95	34,071				
150	ALL TERRAIN CRANES .....	4	4,938	4	4,938	4	4,938				
151	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING .....		667		667		667				
153	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP .....		14,924		14,924		14,924				
154	CONST EQUIP ESP .....	79	15,933	79	15,933	79	15,933				
155	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) .....	53	6,749	53	6,749	53	6,749				
156	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP .....		10,509		10,509					-10,509	-10,509
157	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL) .....		2,166		2,166		2,166				
158	GENERATORS GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT .....	3,882	115,190	3,882	105,190	3,882	115,190				+10,000
160	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS .....	146	14,327	146	14,327	146	14,327				
161	TRAINING EQUIPMENT COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT .....	1	65,062	1	65,062	1	65,062				
162	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM .....	43	101,295	43	106,295	43	101,295				-5,000
163	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER .....		13,406		13,406		13,406				
164	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA) .....		14,440		14,440		10,040				-4,400
165	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING .....		10,165		10,165		10,165				
166	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT [TMD] CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT .....		5,726		5,726		5,726				
167	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT [IFTE] .....	1,657	37,482	1,657	37,482	1,657	37,482				
168	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION [TEMOD] .....	415	16,061	415	16,061	337	13,061				-3,000
170	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		2,380		2,380						-2,380
171	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPAS) .....		30,686		30,686		30,686				



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Tactical Trailers/Dolly Sets .....	7,987	6,416	- 1,571
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce by 57 for program delay .....			- 1,571
5	Family of Medium Tactical Veh [FMTV] .....		250,000	+ 250,000
	Program increase .....			+ 250,000
14	Modification of In Svc Equip .....	91,173	21,173	- 70,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 70,000
16	Heavy Armored Sedan .....	175		- 175
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 175
17	Passenger Carrying Vehicles .....	1,338	803	- 535
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 535
19	Win-T—Ground Forces Tactical Network .....	763,087	555,087	- 208,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 208,000
22	JCSE Equipment (USREDCOM) .....	5,440	3,540	- 1,900
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 1,900
24	Transportable Tactical Command Communications .....	13,999	1,999	- 12,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 12,000
27	Smart-T (SPACE) .....	13,554	11,454	- 2,100
	Improving funds management: Forward financing—engineering support .....			- 2,100
33	Joint Tactical Radio System .....	175,711	40,711	- 135,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Rifleman radio .....			- 21,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Manpack .....			- 114,000
34	Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio [MNVR] .....	9,692	1,692	- 8,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 8,000
35	Radio Terminal Set, Mids Lvt(2) .....	17,136	15,698	- 1,438
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 1,438
41	Tactical Communications and Protective System .....	24,354	22,654	- 1,700
	Improving funds management: Forward financing .....			- 1,700
47	Army CA/MISO GPF Equipment .....	12,478	6,078	- 6,400
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 6,400
50	Information System Security Program—ISSP .....	2,113		- 2,113
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified request .....			- 2,113
54	Defense Message System [DMS] .....	246		- 246
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 246
76	Night Vision Devices .....	160,901	138,601	- 22,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Laser target locator module .....			- 22,300
81	Family of Weapon Sights [FWS] .....	49,205	26,805	- 22,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: No procurement funds needed prior to Milestone C .....			- 22,400
85	Joint Battle Command—Platform [JBC-P] .....	97,892	87,892	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 10,000
86	Joint Effects Targeting System [JETS] .....	27,450		- 27,450
	Restore acquisition accountability: Optimistic schedule .....			- 27,450
89	Counterfire Radars .....	209,050	128,650	- 80,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excessive LRIP and concurrency .....			- 80,400
102	Integrated Personnel and Pay System—Army .....	32,970		- 32,970
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 32,970
104	Reconnaissance and Surveying Instrument Set .....	10,113	5,413	- 4,700
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 4,700
106	Automated Data Processing Equip .....	155,223	152,282	- 2,941
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay—Army Contract Writing System .....			- 2,941
	Classified Programs .....	3,707	3,585	- 122
	Classified adjustment .....			- 122

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
123	Robotic Combat Support System [RCSS] .....	4,701	.....	-4,701
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Wait on outcome of Analysis of Alternatives .....	.....	.....	-4,701
127	< \$5m, Countermine Equipment .....	4,938	938	-4,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	.....	-4,000
131	Personnel Recovery Support System [PRSS] .....	16,728	10,728	-6,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Optimistic schedule .....	.....	.....	-6,000
132	Ground Soldier System .....	84,761	67,961	-16,800
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	-16,800
141	Combat Support Medical .....	46,957	30,957	-16,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	.....	-16,000
156	Army Watercraft Esp .....	10,509	.....	-10,509
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....	.....	.....	-10,509
164	Aviation Combined Arms Tactical Trainer .....	14,440	10,040	-4,400
	Maintain program affordability: Engineering change proposals excess to need .....	.....	.....	-4,400
168	Test Equipment Modernization [TEMOD] .....	16,061	13,061	-3,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	.....	-3,000
170	Rapid Equipping Soldier Support Equipment .....	2,380	.....	-2,380
	Transfer to Title IX .....	.....	.....	-2,380
173	Modification of In-Svc Equipment (OPA-3) .....	98,559	80,559	-18,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Watercraft C4ISR early to need .....	.....	.....	-18,000
180	Initial Spares—C&E .....	50,032	36,032	-14,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	.....	-14,000
	HMMWV Mod Program .....	.....	60,000	+60,000
	Program increase: HMMWV Ambulance Replacement .....	.....	.....	+60,000

*Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles and the Army Budgeting Process.*—The fiscal year 2015 base budget request includes no funds in fiscal years 2015 and 2016 for the procurement of the Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles [FMTV] but carries funding in fiscal year 2017 and 2018 to resume production and eventually meet the Army's acquisition objective. The Committee notes that the lack of funding in fiscal years 2015 and 2016 will shutdown FMTV production for 2 years and will negatively impact the industrial base, resulting in higher acquisition costs when the Army restarts procurement in fiscal year 2017 as planned. The Committee understands that fiscal constraints force difficult trade-offs; however, during its budget review, the Committee identified over \$750,000,000 in poorly budgeted funds within the Other Procurement, Army account. Had the Army performed a similarly rigorous budget review, these excess funds could have been used to address not only the shortfall in FMTV, but other programs as well. To avoid a production shut down and expensive restart costs, the Committee recommends an additional \$250,000,000 for FMTVs.

The Committee understands that the Army budget is assembled by specific mission areas, and that each mission area receives an allocation of funds to spread across its requirements. The Committee notes that as a result, a requirement in one mission area may receive funding, while a requirement in a different area, such as FMTVs, remains unfunded, even if the FMTV is a higher overall priority within the Army than the funded requirement. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to work with the other Services to review those Service's budgeting processes and to use this information to create an Army budgeting process that al-

lows improved prioritization of requirements so that priority programs do not go unfunded. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to report to the congressional defense committees 180 days after the enactment of this act on the findings of this review.

*Joint Tactical Radio System.*—The Committee commends the Army on implementing an acquisition strategy for Manpack and Rifleman radio procurement that ensures technical and price competition over the next 5 years. However, this strategy revision has taken a significant amount of time to implement and has left \$438,000,000 of previously appropriated funds unobligated, of which \$67,000,000 was offered by the Department of Defense [DOD] for rescission. Due to contract award delays, the Committee recommends an additional rescission of \$103,000,000 and urges the Army to use the remaining unobligated funds to modernize equipment for the “Army of 2020” with the latest in communications and networking capabilities.

*High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicle [HMMWV] Ambulance.*—The Committee recognizes the continued value of the HMMWV ambulance, which is tasked to respond to contingency operations, domestic emergencies, and humanitarian assistance requests. The Committee also recognizes that the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve have identified significant shortfalls in HMMWV ambulances which hinder training and operational capabilities. Therefore, the Committee provides \$60,000,000 for procurement of HMMWV ambulances.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$16,442,794,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	13,074,317,000
House allowance .....	14,054,523,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,960,270,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,960,270,000. This is \$885,953,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>										
	<b>COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b>										
1	EA-18G .....	12	43,547	12	1,018,547	12	1,343,547	.....	.....	.....	+ 325,000
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER .....	4	610,652	4	865,652	2	593,552	.....	.....	.....	- 272,100
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER [AP-CY] .....	.....	29,400	.....	29,400	.....	29,400	.....	.....	.....	.....
7	JSF STOVL .....	6	1,200,410	6	1,200,410	6	1,143,610	.....	.....	.....	- 56,800
8	JSF STOVL [AP-CY] .....	.....	143,885	.....	143,885	.....	143,885	.....	.....	.....	.....
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) .....	19	1,487,000	19	1,481,100	19	1,487,000	.....	.....	.....	+ 5,900
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) [AP-CY] .....	.....	45,920	.....	45,920	.....	45,920	.....	.....	.....	.....
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z .....	26	778,757	27	809,057	26	778,757	.....	.....	.....	- 30,300
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z [AP-CY] .....	.....	80,976	.....	63,354	.....	75,626	.....	.....	.....	+ 12,272
13	MH-60S [MP] .....	8	210,209	8	188,440	8	203,909	.....	.....	.....	+ 15,469
15	MH-60R .....	29	933,882	29	870,101	29	913,882	.....	.....	.....	+ 43,781
16	MH-60R [AP-CY] .....	.....	106,686	.....	106,686	.....	106,686	.....	.....	.....	.....
17	P-8A POSEIDON .....	8	2,003,327	9	2,128,787	8	1,985,927	.....	.....	.....	- 142,860
18	P-8A POSEIDON [AP-CY] .....	.....	48,457	.....	48,457	.....	48,457	.....	.....	.....	.....
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE .....	4	819,870	5	902,271	4	819,870	.....	.....	.....	- 82,401
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE [AP-CY] .....	.....	225,765	.....	178,488	.....	225,765	.....	.....	.....	+ 47,277
	<b>TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b> .....	.....	8,768,693	.....	10,080,555	.....	9,945,793	.....	.....	+ 1,177,100	- 134,762
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>										
23	KC-130J .....	1	92,290	1	92,290	1	92,290	.....	.....	.....	.....
26	MQ-4 TRITON [AP-CY] .....	.....	37,445	.....	67,670	.....	40,663	.....	.....	.....	- 67,670
27	MQ-8 UAV .....	.....	40,663	.....	39,663	.....	40,663	.....	.....	.....	+ 1,000
	<b>TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT</b> .....	.....	170,398	.....	199,623	.....	132,953	.....	.....	- 37,445	- 66,670
	<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>										
29	EA-6 SERIES .....	.....	10,993	.....	10,993	.....	10,993	.....	.....	.....	.....
30	AEA SYSTEMS .....	.....	34,768	.....	44,768	.....	34,768	.....	.....	.....	- 10,000
31	AV-8 SERIES .....	.....	65,472	.....	57,972	.....	65,472	.....	.....	.....	+ 7,500
32	ADVERSARY .....	.....	8,418	.....	8,418	.....	8,418	.....	.....	.....	- 3,500
33	F-18 SERIES .....	.....	679,177	.....	636,030	.....	750,177	.....	.....	+ 71,000	+ 114,147
34	H-46 SERIES .....	.....	480	.....	480	.....	480	.....	.....	.....	.....

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
36	H-53 SERIES	.....	38,159	.....	36,619	.....	38,159	.....	.....	.....	.....
37	SH-60 SERIES	.....	108,850	.....	101,064	.....	108,850	.....	.....	.....	+1,540
38	H-1 SERIES	.....	45,033	.....	42,273	.....	45,033	.....	.....	.....	+7,786
39	EP-3 SERIES	.....	32,890	.....	32,890	.....	32,890	.....	.....	.....	+2,760
40	P-3 SERIES	.....	2,823	.....	2,823	.....	2,823	.....	.....	.....	.....
41	E-2 SERIES	.....	21,208	.....	21,208	.....	21,208	.....	.....	.....	.....
42	TRAINER A/C SERIES	.....	12,608	.....	12,608	.....	12,608	.....	.....	.....	.....
44	C-130 SERIES	.....	40,378	.....	35,522	.....	40,378	.....	.....	.....	+4,856
45	FVMSG	.....	640	.....	640	.....	640	.....	.....	.....	.....
46	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	.....	4,635	.....	4,035	.....	4,635	.....	.....	.....	+600
47	E-6 SERIES	.....	212,876	.....	193,006	.....	212,876	.....	.....	.....	+19,870
48	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	.....	71,328	.....	68,128	.....	71,328	.....	.....	.....	+3,200
49	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	.....	21,317	.....	21,317	.....	21,317	.....	.....	.....	.....
50	T-45 SERIES	.....	90,052	.....	90,052	.....	90,052	.....	.....	.....	.....
51	POWER PLANT CHANGES	.....	19,094	.....	19,094	.....	19,094	.....	.....	.....	.....
52	JPATS SERIES	.....	1,085	.....	1,085	.....	1,085	.....	.....	.....	.....
54	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	.....	155,644	.....	144,427	.....	155,644	.....	.....	.....	+11,217
55	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	.....	157,531	.....	157,531	.....	153,067	.....	.....	.....	-4,464
56	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM	.....	1,958	.....	1,958	.....	1,958	.....	.....	.....	.....
57	ID SYSTEMS	.....	38,880	.....	38,880	.....	38,880	.....	.....	.....	.....
58	P-8 SERIES	.....	29,797	.....	29,797	.....	29,797	.....	.....	.....	.....
59	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION	.....	14,770	.....	14,770	.....	14,770	.....	.....	.....	.....
60	MQ-8 SERIES	.....	8,741	.....	8,741	.....	8,741	.....	.....	.....	.....
61	RQ-7 SERIES	.....	2,542	.....	2,542	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	-2,542
62	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	.....	135,584	.....	128,428	.....	135,584	.....	.....	.....	+7,156
63	F-35 STOVL SERIES	.....	285,968	.....	209,877	.....	205,507	.....	.....	.....	-4,370
64	F-35 CV SERIES	.....	20,502	.....	20,051	.....	1,367	.....	.....	.....	-18,684
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT	.....	2,374,201	.....	2,198,027	.....	2,335,099	.....	.....	.....	+137,072
65	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	.....	1,229,651	.....	1,064,811	.....	1,054,651	.....	.....	.....	-10,160
66	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	.....	418,355	.....	398,488	.....	384,855	.....	.....	.....	-13,633





## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	EA-18G .....	43,547	1,343,547	+ 1,300,000
	Program increase: 12 EA-18G aircraft .....			+ 1,200,000
	Program increase: Extend fiscal year 2014 production .....			+ 100,000
5	Joint Strike Fighter CV .....	610,652	593,552	- 17,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Airframe anticipated negotiations savings .....			- 17,100
7	JSF STOVL .....	1,200,410	1,143,610	- 56,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Airframe anticipated negotiations savings .....			- 56,800
12	H-1 Upgrades (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) Advance Procurement .....	80,926	75,626	- 5,300
	Maintain program affordability: Advance procurement efficiencies .....			- 5,300
13	MH-60S [MYP] .....	210,209	203,909	- 6,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified unit cost growth .....			- 5,100
	Maintain program affordability: Engineering change order funds excess to need .....			- 1,200
15	MH-60R [MYP] .....	933,882	913,882	- 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production line shut down early to need .....			- 20,000
17	P-8A Poseidon .....	2,003,327	1,985,927	- 17,400
	Maintain program affordability: Anticipated unit price savings .....			- 11,300
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth—production engineering support .....			- 6,100
26	MQ-4 TRITON Advance Procurement .....	37,445		- 37,445
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce concurrency .....			- 37,445
32	Adversary .....	8,418	4,918	- 3,500
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 3,500
33	F-18 Series .....	679,177	750,177	+ 71,000
	Program increase: Marine Corps F-18 Improvements .....			+ 71,000
55	Common Avionics Changes .....	157,531	153,067	- 4,464
	Improving funds management: Global Positioning System A-kits early-to-need .....			- 4,464
61	RQ-7 Series .....	2,542		- 2,542
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 2,542
63	F-35 STOVL Series .....	285,968	205,507	- 80,461
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 80,461
64	F-35 CV Series .....	20,502	1,367	- 19,135
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 19,135
65	Spares and Repair Parts .....	1,229,651	1,054,651	- 175,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified increase—spares for aviation outfitting account repairables .....			- 175,000
66	Common Ground Equipment .....	418,355	384,855	- 33,500
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 33,500
70	Special Support Equipment .....	65,839	60,339	- 5,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth .....			- 5,500
71	First Destination Transportation .....	1,768	1,168	- 600
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 600

*EA-18G Aircraft.*—Airborne electronic attack [AEA] is a capability that has proven critical in recent military engagements and for which there will be growing demand in the future. As the primary air platform performing this mission for the Navy, the EA-18G has proven itself to be a key component to the success of the carrier air wing. The Committee is concerned that the fiscal year 2015 budget request does not include any funding for the EA-18G

aircraft even though Navy leadership has publicly expressed their support for continued procurement into 2016. Therefore, the Committee adds \$1,200,000,000 for an additional 12 aircraft, and \$100,000,000 with direction to the Navy to extend current production to a minimum production rate of two aircraft per month.

*MH-60R Seahawk Procurement.*—The Committee is aware that the Navy is considering breaching the joint-service H-60 multi-year procurement contract by not procuring the final 29 aircraft in fiscal year 2016. Because this is a joint-service multi-year contract, the Army will be forced to renegotiate the contract, which will delay deliveries and increase unit costs. The Committee believes the Navy will face significant termination costs that will consume much of the projected savings from truncating the program. The Committee understands that these aircraft were to be assigned to the USS *George Washington* and that if this aircraft carrier were to be retired, the 29 MH-60R helicopters would be excess. In light of the Committee’s support for the overhaul of this aircraft carrier, the fiscal year 2015 advance procurement for these aircraft is fully funded. The Committee directs the Navy to review the total MH-60R requirement and urges restoral of the 29 aircraft to the fiscal year 2016 budget request.

*MQ-4 Triton Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.*—The fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request includes \$37,445,000 for advance procurement of the first production Triton UAV. Due to the deferment of critical mission capabilities into future software blocks within the development program, as discussed elsewhere in this report, it is premature to begin procurement of the Triton aircraft in fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the Committee recommends no funding for advance procurement.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$3,009,157,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	3,217,945,000
House allowance .....	3,111,931,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,263,794,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,263,794,000. This is \$45,849,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY									
	BALLISTIC MISSILES									
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES									
1	TRIDENT II MODS .....		1,190,455		1,166,948		1,185,455		-5,000	+18,507
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES									
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		5,671		5,671		5,671			
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES .....		1,196,126		1,172,619		1,191,126		-5,000	+18,507
	OTHER MISSILES									
	STRATEGIC MISSILES									
3	TOMAHAWK .....	100	194,258	196	271,958	100	276,258		+82,000	+4,300
	TACTICAL MISSILES									
4	AMRAAM .....		32,165				32,165			+32,165
5	SIDEWINDER .....	167	73,928	167	68,248	167	71,878			+3,630
6	JSOW .....	200	130,759	200	108,159	200	128,200		-2,050	+20,041
7	STANDARD MISSILE .....	110	445,836	110	434,836	110	436,498		-2,559	+1,662
8	RAM .....	90	80,792	90	80,792	90	70,829		-9,963	-9,963
11	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION .....	14	1,810	14	1,810	14	1,810			
12	AERIAL TARGETS .....		48,046		45,683		48,046			+2,363
13	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT .....		3,295		3,295		3,295			
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES									
14	ESSM .....	104	119,434	104	114,434	104	119,434			+5,000
15	HARM MODS .....		111,739		106,489		106,431		-5,308	-58
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES									
16	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		2,531		2,531		2,531			
17	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON .....		208,700		206,700		208,700			+2,000
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT									
18	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		73,211		73,211		73,211			
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES .....		1,526,504		1,518,146		1,579,286		+52,782	+61,140

TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT									
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP									
19	SSTD .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+1,500
20	MK-48 TORPEDO .....	6,562	5,062	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+14,153
21	ASW TARGETS .....	14,153	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
22	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS .....	2,515	58,255	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+40,673
23	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
24	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS .....	46,893	42,796	.....	.....	.....	.....	-1,933	+2,164
	QUICKSTRIKE MINE .....	6,966	6,966	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT									
25	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	52,670	50,070	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+2,600
26	ASW RANGE SUPPORT .....	3,795	3,795	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION									
27	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	3,692	3,692	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
TOTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT									
	MENT .....	236,174	173,151	.....	.....	.....	.....	-1,933	+61,090
OTHER WEAPONS									
GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS									
SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS .....									
28	.....	13,240	13,240	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS									
29	CWS MODS .....	75,108	72,258	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+2,850
30	COAST GUARD WEAPONS .....	18,948	13,356	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+5,592
31	GUN MOUNT MODS .....	62,651	59,967	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+2,684
33	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS .....	15,006	15,006	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS .....									
35	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	184,953	173,827	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	+11,126
	.....	74,188	74,188	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....									
	.....	3,217,945	3,111,931	.....	.....	.....	.....	+45,849	+151,863

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Trident II Mods .....	1,190,455	1,185,455	- 5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 5,000
3	Tomahawk .....	194,258	276,258	+ 82,000
	Program increase .....			+ 82,000
5	Sidewinder .....	73,928	71,878	- 2,050
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 2,050
6	JSOW .....	130,759	128,200	- 2,559
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 2,559
7	Standard Missile .....	445,836	436,498	- 9,338
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 9,338
8	RAM .....	80,792	70,829	- 9,963
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost efficiencies .....			- 9,963
15	Harm Mods .....	111,739	106,431	- 5,308
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 5,308
23	MK-48 Torpedo ADCAP Mods .....	46,893	44,960	- 1,933
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 1,933

*MK 45 Gun Mount Industrial Base.*—The Committee understands that no order has been placed in 2 years for naval gun mount modernization. As a result, a significant amount of previously appropriated funds made available for modernization of MK 45 gun mounts remain unobligated. The delays and fluctuation in work increases price and performance risk, and is also harmful to the manufacturer and supplier industrial base. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to utilize available funds to put on contract four MK 45 gun mount mods. Guns would be provided for two DDG upgrades and two CG upgrades.

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$549,316,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	771,945,000
House allowance .....	629,372,000
Committee recommendation .....	754,845,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$754,845,000. This is \$17,100,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS									
	PROC AMMO, NAVY									
	NAVY AMMUNITION									
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		107,069		70,700		107,069			+ 36,369
2	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		70,396		67,416		70,396			+ 2,980
3	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		20,284		20,284		20,284			
4	PRACTICE BOMBS		26,701		26,701		26,701			
5	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES		53,866		50,866		53,866			+ 3,000
6	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		59,294		59,294		59,294			
7	JATOS		2,766		2,766		2,766			+ 2,766
8	LRLAP		113,092		113,092		113,092			
9	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION		35,702		35,702		35,702			
10	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION		36,475		2,133		19,375			+ 17,242
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		43,906		30,116		43,906			+ 13,790
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO		51,535		50,535		51,535			+ 1,000
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		11,652		11,652		11,652			
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,473		1,507		4,473			+ 2,966
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY		637,211		539,998		620,111			+ 80,113
	PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS									
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION									
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		31,708		31,708		31,708			
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		692		692		692			
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES		13,630		6,965		13,630			+ 6,665
18	60MM, ALL TYPES		2,261		2,261		2,261			
19	81MM, ALL TYPES		1,496		1,496		1,496			
20	120MM, ALL TYPES		14,855		7,144		14,855			+ 7,711
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		4,000		3,000		4,000			+ 1,000
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		16,853		545		16,853			+ 16,308
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES		14,772		12,068		14,772			+ 2,704
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES		9,972				9,972			+ 9,972
27	NON LETHALS		998		998		998			

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
							Budget estimate	House estimate	Qty.	House estimate
28 AMMO MODERNIZATION .....	.....	12,319	.....	11,319	.....	12,319	.....	.....	.....	.....
29 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	.....	11,178	.....	11,178	.....	11,178	.....	.....	.....	+ 1,000
TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS .....	.....	134,734	.....	89,374	.....	134,734	.....	.....	.....	+ 45,360
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS .....	.....	771,945	.....	629,372	.....	754,845	.....	- 17,100	.....	+ 125,473



COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Intermediate Caliber Gun Ammunition .....	36,475	19,375	- 17,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MK-296 contract delay .....			- 17,100

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$15,231,364,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	14,400,625,000
House allowance .....	14,256,361,000
Committee recommendation .....	15,895,770,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,895,770,000. This is \$1,495,145,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	<b>SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY</b>										
	<b>OTHER WARSHIPS</b>										
1	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM .....		1,300,000		1,289,425		1,230,000			-70,000	-59,425
2	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE .....	2	3,553,254	2	3,507,175	2	3,553,254				+46,079
3	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY) .....		2,330,325		2,301,825		2,330,325				+28,500
	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY) .....				491,100		483,600			+483,600	-7,500
6	DDG 1000 .....		419,532		419,532		419,532				
7	DDG-51 .....	2	2,671,415	2	2,655,785	2	2,671,415				+15,630
8	DDG-51 (AP-CY) .....		134,039		134,039		134,039				
9	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP .....	3	1,427,049	2	951,366	3	1,507,049			+80,000	+555,683
	<b>TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS .....</b>		<b>11,835,614</b>		<b>11,750,247</b>		<b>12,329,214</b>			<b>+493,600</b>	<b>+578,967</b>
	<b>AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS</b>										
10	LPD-17 .....		12,565		12,565	1	800,000		+1	+787,435	+787,435
14	LHA REPLACEMENT (AP-CY) .....		29,093		29,093		29,093				
15	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL .....		4,590			1	200,000		+1	+195,410	+200,000
	<b>TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS .....</b>		<b>46,248</b>		<b>41,658</b>		<b>1,029,093</b>			<b>+982,845</b>	<b>+987,435</b>
	<b>AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS</b>										
16	MOORED TRAINING SHIP .....	1	737,268	1	737,268	1	737,268				
17	MOORED TRAINING SHIP (AP) .....		64,388		64,388		64,388				
18	OUTFITTING .....		546,104		491,797		503,804			-42,300	+12,007
19	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR .....	2	123,233	2	123,233	3	184,233		+1	+61,000	+61,000
20	LCAC SLEP .....	2	40,485	2	40,485	2	40,485				
21	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS .....		1,007,285		1,007,285		1,007,285				
	<b>TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM .....</b>		<b>2,518,763</b>		<b>2,464,456</b>		<b>2,537,463</b>			<b>+18,700</b>	<b>+73,007</b>
	<b>TOTAL SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY .....</b>		<b>14,400,625</b>		<b>14,256,361</b>		<b>15,895,770</b>			<b>+1,495,145</b>	<b>+1,639,409</b>

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Carrier Replacement Program .....	1,300,000	1,230,000	- 70,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contracting efficiencies .....			- 70,000
5	CVN Refueling Overhauls .....		483,600	+ 483,600
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			+ 483,600
9	Littoral Combat Ship .....	1,427,049	1,507,049	+ 80,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Long lead time materials .....			+ 80,000
10	LPD-17 .....	12,565	800,000	+ 787,435
	Improving funds management: Program closeout ahead of need .....			- 12,565
	LPD-17 .....			+ 800,000
15	Joint High Speed Vessel .....	4,590	200,000	+ 195,410
	Improving funds management: Program closeout ahead of need .....			- 4,590
	Joint High Speed Vessel .....			+ 200,000
18	Outfitting .....	546,104	503,804	- 42,300
	Improving funds management: Early to need .....			- 25,000
	Outfitting and Post Delivery—Transfer to NDSF for execution .....			- 17,300
19	Ship to Shore Connector .....	123,233	184,233	+ 61,000
	SSC—Transfer from Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy for operational craft .....			+ 61,000

*Shipbuilding Industrial Base and Workload Allocation.*—The Committee remains concerned generally about the overall health of the shipbuilding industrial base and specifically about the health of the non-nuclear surface combatant shipbuilding industry. The Committee reiterates its commitment to the goal of reducing costs and increasing value in the shipbuilding program and believes that cooperative workload allocation agreements between the Navy and industry may provide an alternative method to obtain efficiency and economies in Navy ship design and construction with the goals of closing the shortfalls in the fleet and retain the shipbuilding industrial base needed for future military requirements. Therefore, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to engage industry in discussions on future shipbuilding workload distribution and methods to ensure the viability of the non-nuclear shipyards over the long term.

For instance, when the LPD-17 program was experiencing significant production issues, the Department of the Navy entered into a workload agreement, “Memorandum of Understanding Concerning the Reallocation of LPD-17 and DDG-51 Ship Construction Workload” (SWAP 1), with shipbuilders on June 17, 2002. The purpose of the agreement was to reallocate workload to ensure “stability at both yards, stabilize and reduce total projected shipbuilding costs for the LPD-17 Program, and maintain properly balanced sources of supply for future Navy surface combatant shipbuilding”. The agreement also requires the Navy to award a compensatory DDG-51 or equivalent workload if the Navy awards a shipbuilding contract for LPD 28. The Committee understands that

the Navy considers this agreement to remain in full force and effect, and that the Navy will engage with shipbuilders involved in the agreement to discuss workload distribution. While Congress is not a party to this agreement, the Committee directs the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than March 1, 2015, on the Navy's options and potential courses of action to fulfill the requirements of the SWAP 1 agreement preceding or concurrent with when LPD 28 is placed under contract. The report should also address strategies to ensure the viability and stability of the non-nuclear shipyards over the long term to preserve the defense maritime industrial base and achieve the highest level of performance and quality from the shipbuilders.

*CVN 79.*—The Committee understands that in light of significant cost overruns on CVN 78, USS *Ford*, the Navy is working diligently to reduce costs of the follow-on ship of the class, CVN 79, USS *Kennedy*. The Committee further understands that this includes innovative contracting strategies leading to greater competition. The Committee commends the Navy for this approach and believes that additional savings could be achieved as a result of increased competitive acquisition strategies.

*DDG 1000 Destroyer.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$419,532,000 for the DDG 1000 program, an increase of \$187,838,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2014. The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2015 request is \$98,200,000 above the projected amount required for fiscal year 2015 during last year's budget submission and that in total, the Navy has added \$451,900,000 from fiscal years 2015 to 2017 to the DDG 1000 program in the fiscal year 2015 budget submission. The Committee understands that these increases are attributed to the fact that the Navy had not previously funded the program to the cost estimate provided by the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE]. The Committee further understands that the Navy does not plan to budget to the CAPE estimate in fiscal year 2016.

The Committee notes that the DDG 1000 program breached Nunn-McCurdy cost caps in 2010 and was re-certified by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics on June 1, 2010. The recertification found that the primary root cause for the Nunn-McCurdy breach was the reduction in quantity from ten ships to three ships. The Committee further notes that subsequent to the Nunn-McCurdy recertification, Congress has fully funded annual budget requests, supported multiple above threshold reprogrammings, provided Special Transfer Authority, supported changes to the acquisition strategy, and supported a litigation settlement that provided \$198,000,000 to the DDG 1000 program.

In the fiscal year 2015 budget submission, the Navy's estimated procurement cost for the three DDG 1000 ships of the class is \$12,069,400,000, an increase of 34.4 percent since fiscal year 2009, when the third ship of the class was procured. Given the steadily rising costs of the DDG 1000 program since authorization and appropriation of the third and final ship of the class, the Committee believes the Navy and the congressional defense committees would be well-served with an updated Independent Cost Estimate. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director, CAPE, to provide with the

fiscal year 2016 budget submission an updated Independent Cost Estimate for the DDG 1000 program.

*Littoral Combat Ship [LCS].*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes full funding for the procurement of three Littoral Combat Ships [LCS], a reduction of one ship compared to the prior year budget request. The Committee notes that the Navy agreed to a block buy contract for 20 LCS in fiscal year 2010, ramping two shipyards up to a rate of two LCS per year beginning in fiscal year 2012, and that the Navy had planned on procuring the last four ships of that block buy in fiscal year 2015. The fiscal year 2015 budget submission does not support that plan. The Committee understands that the Navy now plans to extend the block buy contract into fiscal year 2016, and that to preserve block buy pricing additional funds for the acquisition of long lead materials are required in fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$80,000,000 only for preservation of block buy pricing. The Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition is directed to provide the congressional defense committees an update on the Navy's acquisition strategy for LCS prior to the obligation of these funds.

*Amphibious Warships.*—According to section 123 of S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, the Secretary of the Navy is authorized to incrementally fund an additional LPD-17 *San Antonio*-class amphibious ship. The Committee continues to be concerned about the level of risk being assumed with amphibious lift capability. Therefore, to help address the amphibious lift shortfall that exists today, the Committee recommendation provides \$800,000,000 to incrementally fund an additional LPD-17 class amphibious ship.

*Joint High Speed Vessel [JHSV].*—The Department of the Navy is procuring JHSVs for fast intra-theater transportation of troops, military vehicles and equipment. With submission of the fiscal year 2013 budget, the Navy assumed risk with the overall JHSV requirement and reduced the program procurement objective from 18 to 10 ships. Under this plan, the final ship would be purchased with fiscal year 2013 funding. However, based on the ability of the JHSV to support all branches of the military services, provide intra-theater sealift, operate in littoral environments and austere port environments, and support humanitarian/disaster relief activities, the Committee supports procuring additional JHSVs to address the original requirement. Further, the Committee notes that one JHSV is being used as an experimental test platform for Navy technology projects. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$200,000,000 to procure one JHSV in fiscal year 2015.

*Polar-Class Icebreakers.*—The Committee notes that since 2006, the United States has been operating a *Polar*-class icebreaker fleet consisting of one heavy and one medium vessel. The Committee understands that this falls short of U.S. requirements. While the United States has deferred investment in its polar fleet, other nations such as China and Russia are pressing ahead to develop robust icebreaking capability, allowing them to pursue their national interests in the polar regions. The Committee supports the inter-agency process to develop requirements for a new *Polar*-class icebreaker or similarly Arctic-capable surface vessel, recognizing the

strategic importance of Arctic operations to our Nation's future security and prosperity. Therefore, the Committee directs the Deputy Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Navy and the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard, to provide the congressional defense committees a plan to begin expanding U.S. icebreaking capacity that will result in the approval of an operational requirements document no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this act.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$5,572,618,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	5,975,828,000
House allowance .....	5,923,379,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,060,433,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,060,433,000. This is \$84,605,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY									
SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT									
SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT									
1 LW-2500 GAS TURBINE	7,822	7,822		7,822		7,822			
2 ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	2,155	2,155		2,155		2,155			
3 HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED)	22,704	22,704		19,278		16,064			-3,214
GENERATORS									
4 SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E	29,120	29,120		26,664		29,120			+2,456
NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT									
5 OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	45,431	45,431		44,311		39,881			-4,430
PERISCOPES									
6 SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	60,970	60,970		57,221		52,603			-4,618
OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT									
7 DDG MOD	338,569	338,569		324,219		338,569			+14,350
8 FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	15,486	15,486		15,486		15,134			-352
9 COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	2,219	2,219		2,219		2,219			
10 LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	17,928	17,928		14,048		17,928			+3,880
11 LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE	22,025	22,025		22,025		22,025			
12 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	12,607	12,607		10,146		12,607			+2,461
13 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	16,492	16,492		11,815		16,492			+4,677
14 VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	74,129	74,129		70,689		74,129			+3,440
15 LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	36,206	36,206		25,742		27,206			+1,464
16 SUBMARINE BATTERIES	37,352	37,352		36,352		37,352			+1,000
17 LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	49,095	49,095		44,562		44,052			-510
18 DDG-1000 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,996	2,996				2,996			+2,996
19 STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	11,558	11,558		11,558		11,558			
20 DSSP EQUIPMENT	5,518	5,518		5,518		5,518			
22 LCAC	7,158	7,158		7,158		7,158			
23 UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	58,783	58,783		52,708		54,321			+1,613
24 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	68,748	68,748		62,772		68,748			+5,976
25 CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	2,937	2,937		2,937		2,937			





47	SSID .....	12,051	10,653	-1,398	-1,398
48	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	170,831	170,831		
49	SURTASS .....	9,619	9,619		
50	MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISSANCE FORCE .....	14,390	14,390		
51	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT ANS/LO-32 .....	195,002	195,196	-19,386	+194
52	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT .....	124,862	124,862		+1,500
53	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) .....	164	164		
54	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG .....	36,938	45,362		+8,424
55	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY .....	33,939	33,939		
56	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS) .....	324	324		
57	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (INTCSS) .....	18,192	18,192		
58	ATDLS .....	16,768	16,768		
59	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS) .....	5,219	5,219		
60	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT .....	40,499	41,482	-626	+983
62	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) .....	15,232	15,232		
63	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV .....	4,524	4,524		
64	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....	6,382	6,382		
65	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....	44,058	42,810	-3,312	-1,248
66	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT MATCALS .....	16,999	16,999		
67	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	9,366	9,366		
68	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM .....	21,357	21,357		
69	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM .....	26,639	26,639		
70	FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	9,214	9,214		
71	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM .....	13,902	13,902		
72	ID SYSTEMS .....	34,901	34,901		+6,358
73	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS (TAMPS) .....	13,950	13,950		
74	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT .....	1,205	1,205		
75	MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEMS .....	3,447	3,447		



	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT .....		2,239,291	2,257,678	-69,167		+18,387
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....						
	SONOBUOYS .....	182,946	182,946	182,946			
100	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES .....						
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	47,944	47,944	47,944			
101	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	76,683	55,738	71,721	-4,962		+15,983
103	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	12,575	12,575	12,875	+300		+300
106	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT .....	1,415	1,415	1,415			
107	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT .....	23,152	23,152	23,152			
109	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES .....	52,555	45,705	52,555			+6,850
114	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....						
	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	397,270	369,475	392,608	-4,662		+23,133
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....						
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT .....	5,572	5,572	5,572			
115	SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT .....						
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT .....	165,769	143,570	165,769			+22,199
118	SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	61,462	60,062	61,462			+1,400
123	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....						
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	229,832	201,832	229,832			+28,000
126	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP .....						
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	66,020	60,804	60,767	-5,253		-37
127	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	7,559	7,559	7,559			
128	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....						
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	20,619	20,619	20,619			
132	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP .....	11,251	10,759	11,251			+492
133	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....						
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE .....	84,080	70,672	84,080			+13,408
137	TRAINING DEVICE MODS .....						
	TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ..	652,164	581,449	646,911	-5,253		+65,462
138	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ..						
139	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....	2,282	2,282	2,282			
	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS .....	547	547	547			

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
140	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP .....		8,949		6,187		8,949				+2,762
141	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....		14,621		14,621		14,621				
142	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....		957		957		957				
143	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT .....		8,187		8,187		8,187				
144	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....		2,942		2,942		2,942				
145	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION .....		17,592		16,142		16,143		-1,449		+1
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES .....		1,177		1,177		1,177				
	TOTAL CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		57,254		53,042		55,805		-1,449		+2,763
147	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		10,937		10,937		10,937				
148	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT .....		10,374		6,674		10,374				+3,700
149	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		5,668		5,668		5,668				
149	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....		90,921		39,921		90,921				+51,000
150	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS .....										
	TOTAL SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		117,900		63,200		117,900				+54,700
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....										
151	TRAINING DEVICES .....		22,046		22,046		22,046				
	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....										
152	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		24,208		24,208		24,208				
153	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		874		874		874				
154	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		2,634		2,634		2,634				
155	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		3,573		3,573		3,573				
157	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		3,997		3,997		3,997		-3,997		
158	CAISR EQUIPMENT .....		9,638		9,638		9,638				
159	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		21,001		21,001		21,001				+4,000
160	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....		94,957		90,957		94,957				-15,000
161	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....		87,214		87,214		72,214		-15,000		+10,000
164	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE .....		116,165		106,165		116,165				

165	TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUP- PORT EQUIPMENT .....	386,307	372,307	367,310	- 18,997	- 4,997
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	325,084	325,084	325,084		
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	10,847	10,847	10,847		
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....	5,975,828	5,923,379	6,060,433	+ 84,605	+ 137,054

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Hybrid Electric Drive [HED] .....	22,704	16,064	- 6,640
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce one kit due to testing delay .....			- 6,640
5	Other Navigation Equipment .....	45,431	39,881	- 5,550
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Surface inertial navigation system ECP kits cost growth .....			- 2,282
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Surface scalable ECDIS-N kits cost growth .....			- 2,731
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ANWSN-9 speed log cost growth .....			- 537
6	Sub Periscopes & Imaging Equip .....	60,970	52,603	- 8,367
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Low profile photonics mast concurrency .....			- 8,367
8	Firefighting Equipment .....	15,486	15,134	- 352
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Emergency escape breathing devices cost growth .....			- 352
15	LCS Class Support Equipment .....	36,206	27,206	- 9,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 9,000
17	LPD Class Support Equipment .....	49,095	44,052	- 5,043
	Restoring acquisition accountability: HW/SW Obsolescence cost growth .....			- 5,043
23	Underwater Eod Programs .....	58,783	54,321	- 4,462
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MK 18 Mod 1 retrofit kits ahead of need .....			- 2,120
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MK 18 Mod 2 unit cost savings .....			- 2,342
27	Reactor Power Units .....		298,200	+ 298,200
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			+ 298,200
30	Standard Boats .....	129,784	126,445	- 3,339
	Improving funds management: CNIC force protection large contract delay .....			- 791
	Improving funds management: CNIC workboat contract delay .....			- 1,776
	Improving funds management: Rigid inflatable boat contract delay .....			- 772
34	LCS Common Mission Modules Equipment .....	37,413	33,438	- 3,975
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MPCE cost growth .....			- 1,032
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Containers cost growth .....			- 2,943
37	LCS SUW Mission Modules .....	44,208	19,145	- 25,063
	Improving funds management: SUW mission package ahead of need .....			- 20,552
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Gun module cost growth .....			- 3,080
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Maritime security module cost growth .....			- 1,431
38	Remote Minehunting System [RMS] .....	42,276		- 42,276
	Improving funds management: Ahead of need .....			- 42,276
47	SSTD .....	12,051	10,653	- 1,398
	Improving funds management: AN/SLQ-25A installation funding .....			- 1,398
51	AN/SLQ-32 .....	214,582	195,196	- 19,386
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 1B3 contract delay due to test schedule slips .....			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 1B3 installation funding ahead of need due to contract delay .....			- 3,034

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 2 installation funding ahead of need due to contract delay .....			- 6,352
60	Minesweeping System Replacement .....	42,108	41,482	- 626
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AN/SQQ-32 cost growth .....			- 626
65	Other Training Equipment .....	46,122	42,810	- 3,312
	Restoring acquisition accountability: BFFT ship sets cost growth .....			- 1,032
	Improving funds management: BFFT ship sets installation funding .....			- 2,280
78	CANES .....	357,589	321,373	- 36,216
	Maintain program affordability: CANES afloat excess growth .....			- 26,606
	Maintain program affordability: CANES afloat excess installation funding .....			- 9,610
80	CANES—Intell .....	65,015	58,050	- 6,965
	Maintain program affordability: CANES—Intell afloat excess growth .....			- 5,865
	Maintain program affordability: CANES—Intell afloat excess installation funding .....			- 1,100
95	Info Systems Security Program [ISSP] .....	110,766	109,502	- 1,264
	Improving funds management: COMSEC installation cost growth .....			- 1,264
103	Aircraft Support Equipment .....	76,683	71,721	- 4,962
	Improving funds management: Advanced arresting gear contract savings .....			- 4,962
106	Meteorological Equipment .....	12,575	12,875	+ 300
	Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			+ 300
127	SSN Combat Control Systems .....	66,020	60,767	- 5,253
	Improving funds management: SSN688 class installation funding .....			- 5,253
145	Items Under \$5 Million .....	17,592	16,143	- 1,449
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Truck emergency response cost growth .....			- 1,449
157	Operating Forces Support Equipment .....	3,997		- 3,997
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 3,997
161	Enterprise Information Technology .....	87,214	72,214	- 15,000
	Improving funds management: Authorization program reduction .....			- 15,000

*Littoral Combat Ship [LCS] Mission Modules.*—In February 2014, the Secretary of Defense announced the Department's decision to reduce the planned LCS buy from 52 to 32 ships and ordered the Secretary of the Navy to conduct a review of the Navy's small surface combatant needs. The Committee understands these actions were taken due to concerns raised by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation and Congress on the escalating costs of the ship as well as its survivability. Additionally, the Government Accountability Office [GAO] has repeatedly noted that the Navy's strategy includes buying significant numbers of LCS mission modules before their performance is demonstrated and that the current inventory of mission packages exceeds the quantities necessary for operational testing.

Given the testing concerns raised by GAO and the Department's current strategic pause on the LCS program, the Committee finds it prudent to also slow the procurement of LCS mission modules. Therefore, the Committee recommends a total reduction of

\$71,314,000 to the fiscal year 2015 budget request for LCS mission modules and related components.

*Consolidated Afloat Networks and Enterprise Services [CANES].*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$422,604,000 for continued procurement and installation of CANES and CANES—Intell. The Committee understands that the CANES program declared a Major Automated Information System [MAIS] Critical Change in December 2013 due to the inability to achieve a full deployment decision within 5 years of selection of the preferred alternative. The testing schedule for the CANES program has faced significant delays due to unrelated mechanical problems on the original test ship USS *Milius* (DDG 69), and initial operational test and evaluation is now scheduled to take place in August 2014 aboard the USS *Higgins* (DDG 76). The Committee is concerned with the steep increase in procurement of systems planned for fiscal year 2015, and that the program will reach its maximum production rate before significant testing results can be completed. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of six systems from the fiscal year 2015 budget request, which would support an overall increase in the number of systems procured from 20 in fiscal year 2014 to 27 in fiscal year 2015.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,240,958,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	983,352,000
House allowance .....	927,232,000
Committee recommendation .....	944,029,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$944,029,000. This is \$39,323,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Qty.	Budget estimate	
PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS									
WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES									
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES									
1	.....	16,756	.....	15,356	.....	16,756	.....	.....	+1,400
2	.....	77,736	.....	66,736	.....	67,536	.....	-10,200	+800
ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS									
EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM									
3	.....	5,742	.....	642	.....	5,742	.....	.....	+5,100
4	.....	4,532	.....	4,532	.....	4,532	.....	.....	.....
5	.....	19,474	.....	19,474	.....	19,474	.....	.....	.....
6	.....	7,250	.....	7,250	.....	7,250	.....	.....	.....
OTHER SUPPORT									
7	.....	21,909	.....	20,809	.....	21,909	.....	.....	+1,100
8	.....	3,208	.....	3,208	.....	1,608	.....	-1,600	-1,600
TOTAL WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES									
	.....	156,607	.....	138,007	.....	144,807	.....	-11,800	+6,800
GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT									
GUIDED MISSILES									
9	.....	31,439	.....	30,339	.....	31,439	.....	.....	+1,100
10	.....	343	.....	343	.....	343	.....	.....	.....
11	.....	4,995	.....	4,995	.....	4,867	.....	-128	-128
12	.....	1,589	.....	1,589	.....	1,589	.....	.....	.....
OTHER SUPPORT									
13	.....	5,134	.....	5,134	.....	5,134	.....	.....	.....
TOTAL GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT									
	.....	43,500	.....	42,400	.....	43,372	.....	-128	+972

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
14	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS		9,178		9,178		9,178				
15	COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER		12,272		12,272		12,272				
	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS										
16	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		30,591		27,591		30,334			-257	+2,743
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT										
17	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		2,385		2,385		2,385				
	COMMAND AND CONTROL										
19	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)		4,205		4,205		4,205				
20	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		8,002		8,002		8,002				
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
21	RADAR SYSTEMS		19,595		14,925		19,375			-220	+4,450
22	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	2	89,230	2	81,730		89,230				+7,500
23	RQ-21 UAS	3	70,565	3	68,065		70,565				+2,500
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
24	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		11,860		11,860		11,860				
25	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		44,340		42,550		37,872			-6,468	-4,678
28	RQ-11 UAV		2,737		2,737		2,737				
30	DGGS-MC		20,620		20,620		20,620				
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
31	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		9,798		7,338		9,798				+2,460
32	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN)		2,073		2,073		2,073				
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)										
33	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		33,570		33,570		28,442			-5,128	-5,128
34	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		38,186		38,186		38,186				
35	RADIO SYSTEMS		64,494		64,494		64,394			-100	-100
36	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS		72,956		63,956		64,325			-8,631	+369

37	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	43,317 2,498	37,817 2,498	43,317 4,417	+1,919	+5,500 +1,919
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELEC- TRONICS EQUIPMENT	592,472	556,052	573,587	-18,885	+17,535
	SUPPORT VEHICLES					
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES					
38	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	332	332	332		
39	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	11,035	11,035	11,035		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES					
40	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV [MYP]	57,255	57,255	51,055	-6,200	-6,200
41	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	938	938	938		
44	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	7	7,500	7,500		
45	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	10,179	10,179	10,179		
	OTHER SUPPORT					
46	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,023	11,023	11,023		
	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES	98,262	98,262	92,062	-6,200	-6,200
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT					
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT					
47	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	994	994	994		
48	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	1,256	1,256	1,256		
49	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	3,750	3,750	3,750		
50	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	8,985	8,985	8,985		
51	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,418	4,418	4,418		
52	EOD SYSTEMS	6,528	6,528	6,280	-248	-248
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT					
53	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	26,510	26,510	24,643	-1,867	-1,867
54	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP	1,910	1,910	1,910		
55	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	8,807	8,807	8,807		
56	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	128	128	128		
	GENERAL PROPERTY					
58	TRAINING DEVICES	3,412	3,412	3,217	-195	-195
59	CONTAINER FAMILY	1,662	1,662	1,662		
60	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	3,669	3,669	3,669		

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
							Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
62 OTHER SUPPORT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		4,272		4,272		4,272				
TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT .....		76,301		76,301		73,991				-2,310
63 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		16,210		16,210		16,210				
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS ....		983,352		927,232		944,029				-39,323
										+16,797

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	LAV PIP .....	77,736	67,536	-10,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-10,200
8	Weapons Enhancement Program .....	3,208	1,608	-1,600
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified MEP program growth .....			-1,600
11	Follow On To SMAW .....	4,995	4,867	-128
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-128
16	Repair and Test Equipment .....	30,591	30,334	-257
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-257
21	Radar Systems .....	19,595	19,375	-220
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified AN/TPS-59 ELS growth .....			-220
25	Intelligence Support Equipment .....	44,340	37,872	-6,468
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program growth .....			-2,000
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies .....			-4,468
33	Common Computer Resources .....	33,570	28,442	-5,128
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies .....			-5,128
35	Radio Systems .....	64,494	64,394	-100
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies .....			-100
36	Comm Switching & Control Systems .....	72,956	64,325	-8,631
	Improving funds management: Forward financing and project delays .....			-7,404
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program support growth .....			-769
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies .....			-458
40	5/4T Truck HMMWV [MYP] .....	57,255	51,055	-6,200
	Improving funds management: HMMWV funding excess to need .....			-6,200
52	EOD Systems .....	6,528	6,280	-248
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ..			-248
53	Physical Security Equipment .....	26,510	24,643	-1,867
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies .....			-1,867
58	Training Devices .....	3,412	3,217	-195
	Budget documentation disparity: PMC and IT budget document inconsistencies .....			-195
	Classified programs .....	2,498	4,417	+1,919
	Classified adjustment .....			+1,919

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$10,379,180,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	11,542,571,000
House allowance .....	12,046,941,000
Committee recommendation .....	11,214,612,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$11,214,612,000. This is \$327,959,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
							Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
COMBAT AIRCRAFT										
TACTICAL FORCES										
1 F-35 .....	26	3,553,046	28	3,777,046	26	3,331,046	-222,000	-2	-446,000	
2 F-35 [AP-CY] .....		291,880		291,880		291,880				
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT .....		3,844,926		4,068,926		3,622,926	-222,000		-446,000	
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
OTHER AIRLIFT										
3 KC-46A TANKER .....	7	1,582,685	7	1,582,685	7	1,582,685				
4 C-130J .....	7	482,396	7	482,396	7	482,396				
5 C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		140,000		140,000		140,000				
6 HC-130J .....	4	332,024	4	332,024	4	332,024				
7 HC-130J .....		50,000		50,000		50,000				
3 MC-130J .....	2	190,971	2	190,971	2	292,971	+102,000		+102,000	
9 MC-130J .....		80,000		80,000		80,000				
TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT .....		2,858,076		2,858,076		2,960,076	+102,000		+102,000	
HELICOPTERS										
CV-22 OSPREY .....				15,000					-15,000	
MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT										
CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C .....	6	2,562	6	10,400	6	10,400	+7,838			
OTHER AIRCRAFT										
TARGET DRONES .....	37	98,576	37	98,576	37	98,576				
17 AC-130J .....		1		1		1				
16 RQ-4 UAV .....		54,475		54,475		44,475	-10,000		-10,000	
18 MQ-9 .....	12	240,218	24	373,218	12	202,418	-37,800	-12	-170,800	
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT .....		395,832		551,670		355,870	-39,962		-195,800	

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
	<b>MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT</b>										
	<b>STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT</b>										
20	B-2A .....		23,865		24,365		23,865				-500
21	B-1B .....		140,252		140,252		127,990				-12,262
22	B-52 .....		180,148		180,148		172,448				-7,700
23	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES ...		13,159		13,159		13,159				
	<b>TACTICAL AIRCRAFT</b>										
25	F-15 .....		387,314		498,314		387,314				-111,000
26	F-16 .....		12,336		9,042		12,336				+3,294
27	F-22A .....		180,207		180,207		180,207				
28	F-35 MODIFICATIONS .....		187,646		156,146		187,646				+31,500
29	INCREMENT 3.2b .....		28,500		28,500		187,646				-28,500
	<b>AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT</b>										
30	C-5 .....		14,731		14,731		14,731				
31	C-5M .....		331,466		331,466		226,131				-105,335
33	C-17A .....		127,494		89,394		127,494				+38,100
34	C-21 .....		264		264		264				
35	C-32A .....		8,767		4,767		8,767				+4,000
36	C-37A .....		18,457		457		18,457				+18,000
	<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>										
38	GLIDER MODS .....		132		132		132				
39	T6 .....		14,486		14,486		14,486				
40	T-1 .....		7,650		7,650		7,650				
41	T-38 .....		34,845		28,845		34,845				+6,000
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>										
44	KC-10A (ATCA) .....		34,313		77,513		34,313				-43,200
45	C-12 .....		1,960		1,960		1,960				
48	VC-25A MOD .....		1,072		1,072		1,072				
49	C-40 .....		7,292		3,292		7,292				+4,000
50	C-130 .....		35,869		109,671		58,469				-51,202
51	C-130J MODS .....		7,919		7,919		7,919				
52	C-135 .....		63,568		63,568		63,568				





[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	House estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate
86	WAR CONSUMABLES		82,906		82,906		82,906				
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES										
87	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		1,007,276		1,007,276		939,476				- 67,800
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,243,584		1,242,584		1,175,784				- 66,800
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		69,380		69,380		69,380				
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		11,542,571		12,046,941		11,214,612				- 832,329

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	F-35 .....	3,553,046	3,331,046	- 222,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Airframe anticipated negotiations savings .....			- 222,000
8	MC-130J .....	190,971	292,971	+ 102,000
	Program increase: Add one aircraft .....			+ 102,000
12	Civil Air Patrol A/C .....	2,562	10,400	+ 7,838
	Program increase .....			+ 7,838
16	RQ-4 .....	54,475	44,475	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 10,000
18	MQ-9 .....	240,218	202,418	- 37,800
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 37,800
21	B-1B .....	140,252	127,990	- 12,262
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Change in acquisition strategy .....			- 12,262
22	B-52 .....	180,148	172,448	- 7,700
	Program increase: Anti-skid replacement .....			+ 6,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth .....			- 14,000
29	Increment 3.2b .....	28,500		- 28,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy early to need .....			- 28,500
31	C-5M .....	331,466	226,131	- 105,335
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 36,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production contract delays .....			- 69,335
50	C-130 .....	35,869	58,469	+ 22,600
	C-130 engine upgrades .....			+ 22,600
58	Airborne Warning & Control System .....	160,284	191,284	+ 31,000
	Program increase .....			+ 31,000
87	Other Production Charges .....	1,007,276	939,476	- 67,800
	Classified adjustment .....			- 67,800

*C-130 Avionics Modernization Program.*—The Committee supports modernization of the C-130H fleet and understands the Air Force plans to operate approximately 150 C-130H models for the foreseeable future. As such, the Committee supports continuation of the C-130 Avionics Modernization Program [AMP] program to ensure the Air National Guard operates relevant and modernized aircraft. Therefore, consistent with the report accompanying S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, the Committee directs the Air Force to obligate prior year funds authorized and appropriated for the C-130 AMP program to conduct such activities as are necessary to complete testing and transition the program to production and installation of modernization kits.

*C-130 Aircraft Fleet.*—The Committee recognizes the Air Force's commitment to intra-theater lift as supported by the multi-year procurement plan of the C-130J aircraft. However, with a sizable fleet of older model C-130s residing within the Reserve components, the Committee is concerned with the long term sustainment of the fleet. Consistent with section 138 of S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015, the Committee directs the Air Force to develop a modernization, recapitalization and fielding plan for the current C-130 fleet that addresses the most

recent Quadrennial Defense Review requirement that the Air Force maintain 300 intra-theater lift aircraft and addresses the recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force.

*B-52 Upgrades.*—The Committee commends the Department’s efforts to upgrade the B-52 aircraft. While supportive of the aircraft and its valuable legacy within the Air Force, the Committee is monitoring the costs associated with follow-on modifications to the aircraft. As such, the Committee requests that the Secretary of the Air Force provide regular updates on progress of the internal weapons bay upgrade and the combat network communications technology enhancement and how these modernization efforts will allow the B-52 to conduct additional missions and participate in future operations.

*Undefinitized Contract Actions.*—During review of the budget request, the Committee notes several programs within the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account that had large amounts of unobligated balances in prior years. For example, two programs had over \$600,000,000 unobligated between fiscal year 2012 and 2013. Among those programs, the Air Force had chosen undefinitized contract actions [UCAs] in awarding contracts. While these contract actions are certainly within the bounds of authority, as outlined in Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation, Part 217, UCAs are required to be definitized 180 days after award; however, many of these contract awards took longer. For example, in documentation provided to the Committee for the HC/MC 130 recapitalization program, the obligation data indicated that typical contract negotiations take 27 months for completion. The Committee believes such practice is unacceptable. The Government Accountability Office [GAO] has investigated the use of UCAs and has made recommendations to reduce their usage noting that the risk to the government are greater when there is little incentive for contractors to finalize the contract. Therefore, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office to review the use of UCAs within the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account to determine how often this contracting option is being utilized and for what purpose; if other contract award options are more efficient and effective; and what recommendations GAO can make to reduce the number of UCAs within the account in the future and submit a report 120 days after enactment of this act.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$4,446,763,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	4,690,506,000
House allowance .....	4,546,211,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,652,552,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,652,552,000. This is \$37,954,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Qty.	Budget estimate	
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE									
	BALLISTIC MISSILES									
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC									
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ—BALLISTIC		80,187		80,187		80,187			
	OTHER MISSILES									
	TACTICAL									
3	JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE [JASSM]	224	337,438	224	337,438	224	329,158			-8,280
4	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	303	132,995	303	132,995	303	129,121			-3,874
5	AMRAAM	200	329,600	200	329,600	200	319,600			-10,000
6	PREDATOR HELIFIRE MISSILE	283	33,878	283	33,878	283	33,878			
7	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	246	70,578	246	18,047	246	50,578			+32,531
7A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS				10,000					-10,000
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES									
8	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		749		749		749			
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		905,238		862,707		863,084			-42,154
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES									
	CLASS IV									
9	MM III MODIFICATIONS		28,477		28,477		28,477			
10	AGM-650 MAVERICK		276		276		276			
11	AGM-88A HARM		297		297		297			
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		16,083		16,083		16,083			
13	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB		6,924		6,924		6,924			
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		52,057		52,057		52,057			
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS									
14	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		87,366		87,366		87,366			

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	OTHER SUPPORT									
	SPACE PROGRAMS									
15	ADVANCED EHF .....		298,890		298,890		298,890			.....
16	WIDEBAND GAPPILLER SATELLITES .....		38,971		34,998		36,071			+ 1,073
17	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	1	235,397	1	235,397	1	228,797			- 6,600
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT [AP-CY] .....		57,000		87,000		87,000			.....
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) .....		16,201		10,500		16,201			+ 5,701
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) .....		52,090		50,000		52,090			+ 2,090
21	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE) .....		87,000		78,000		30,000			- 48,000
22	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH INFRASTRUCTURE (SPACE) .....		750,143		715,143		688,143			- 27,000
23	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE) .....		630,903		630,903		733,603			+ 102,700
24	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) .....		450,884		444,884		450,884			+ 6,000
28	SPECIAL PROGRAMS									
	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS .....		60,179		60,179		60,179			.....
	TOTAL OTHER SUPPORT .....		2,677,658		2,645,894		2,681,858			+ 35,964
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....		888,000		818,000		888,000			+ 70,000
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....		4,690,506		4,546,211		4,652,552			+ 106,341

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Joint Air-Surface Standoff Missile .....	337,438	329,158	- 8,280
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Baseline missile unit cost growth .....			- 8,280
4	Sidewinder .....	132,995	129,121	- 3,874
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 3,874
5	AMRAAM .....	329,600	319,600	- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program decrease ..			- 10,000
7	Small Diameter Bomb .....	70,578	50,578	- 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone C slip ....			- 20,000
16	Wideband Gapfiller Satellites (Space) .....	38,971	36,071	- 2,900
	Maintain program affordability: Support cost growth .....			- 2,900
17	GPS III Space Segment .....	287,564	280,964	- 6,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Launch support and on-orbit check-out early to need .....			- 6,600
18	GPS III Space Segment .....	57,000	87,000	+ 30,000
	Program increase: Additional funds for advance procurement .....			+ 30,000
21	Def Meterological Sat Prog (Space) .....	87,000	30,000	- 57,000
	Maintain program affordability: Compete DMSP F-20 storage .....			- 57,000
22	Evolved Expendable Launch Veh (Infrast.) .....	750,143	688,143	- 62,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing .....			- 62,000
23	Evolved Expendable Launch Veh (Space) .....	630,903	733,603	+ 102,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth ....			- 22,300
	Program increase: One competitive launch .....			+ 125,000

*Global Positioning System [GPS] Digital Payload.*—The Committee is aware of technical and cost challenges with the current analog navigation payload on GPS III. The Committee believes that early Air Force investment, when combined with industry investment, into the development of a digital navigation payload will significantly reduce cost and schedule risk for the future GPS constellation. The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$32,900,000 for GPS III Space Modernization Initiative [SMI]. The Committee fully supports the Air Force's SMI request and directs that of the amount appropriated, not less than \$20,000,000 shall be used to mature an alternate GPS digital payload.

*Space Modernization Initiative [SMI].*—Recently, the Department of Defense has begun to shift its perspective of the architecture for space-based capabilities away from monolithic space platforms to creative distribution of payloads on national, civil, and commercial satellites. The Committee firmly believes that movement away from large satellites, where possible, will result in significant cost savings and reduce the schedule to deliver payloads into orbit. Further, the Air Force is entering into a pathfinder program with the commercial satellite communications industry that may provide enhanced coverage at a lower cost to both the Air Force and the commercial provider. The Committee commends the Department of Defense and the Air Force for their unconventional approach to ensure viable space-based capability for years to come. The Committee encourages the Air Force to use funds appropriated for the

space modernization initiative to further implement these new space-based capability strategies.

*Defense Meteorological Satellite Program [DMSP].*—The budget request includes \$87,000,000 for storage, integration, test, launch, and early-orbit checkout of one Defense Meteorological Satellite Program [DMSP] satellite. Air Force analysis indicates this satellite will not be needed on-orbit until 2020, costing an additional \$425,000,000 in storage during that period. This amount is excessive for a 1990s technology satellite originally costing approximately \$500,000,000. The Committee is aware that only a few of the capabilities provided by this satellite cannot be met by other existing civil and commercial satellites. The Committee questions the Air Force’s current plan to launch this satellite in 2020 at a significant cost to the Government for a capability that may be met through other space-based assets. Therefore, Committee directs the Air Force to reassess its plan for the last DMSP and pursue a least cost approach for the disposition of this satellite. Of the amount requested for DMSP, the Committee provides \$30,000,000.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$729,677,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	677,400,000
House allowance .....	648,200,000
Committee recommendation .....	675,459,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$675,459,000. This is \$1,941,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
								Budget estimate	Qty.	
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE									
1	ROCKETS .....		4,696		4,696		4,696			
2	CARTRIDGES .....		133,271		114,971		133,271			+ 18,300
	BOMBS									
3	PRACTICE BOMBS .....		31,998		30,098		31,998			+ 1,900
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....		148,614		148,614		148,614			
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	2,973	101,400	2,973	101,400	2,973	101,400			
5A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS .....			10,000						- 10,000
	FLARE, IR MU-7B									
6	CAD/PAD .....		29,989		29,989		29,989			
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) .....		6,925		6,925		6,925			
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		494		494		494			
9	MODIFICATIONS .....		1,610		1,610		1,610			
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 .....		4,237		4,237		4,237			
	FUZES									
11	FLARES .....		86,101		86,101		84,160			- 1,941
12	FUZES .....		103,417		84,417		103,417			+ 19,000
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE .....		652,752		623,552		650,811			+ 27,259
	WEAPONS									
13	SMALL ARMS .....		24,648		24,648		24,648			
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE .....		677,400		648,200		675,459			+ 27,259

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
11	Flares .....	86,101	84,160	- 1,941
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MJU-7A/B unit cost growth .....	.....	.....	- 1,941

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$16,572,754,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	16,566,018,000
House allowance .....	16,639,023,000
Committee recommendation .....	16,500,308,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,500,308,000. This is \$65,710,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



(Dollars in thousands)

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		House estimate
							Budget estimate	Qty.	
ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS									
17 TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING		42,200		25,818		30,000		-12,200	+4,182
18 NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		6,333		6,333		6,333			
19 BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED		2,708		2,708		2,708			
20 THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO		50,033		50,033		50,033			
21 WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST		16,348		16,348		16,348			
22 STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL		139,984		139,984		139,984			
23 CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		20,101		20,101		20,101			
26 INTEGRATED STRAT PLAN & ANALY NETWORK [ISPAN]		9,060		9,060		9,060			
SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS									
27 GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		39,100		39,100		39,100		-9,912	-9,912
28 AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM		19,010		19,010		19,010			
29 MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL		11,462		11,462		11,462			
30 AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM		37,426		37,426		37,426			
31 COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		26,634		26,634		53,634		+27,000	+27,000
32 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N		1,289		1,289		1,289			
33 C3 COUNTERMEASURES		11,508		11,508		11,508			
34 GCSS-AF FOS		3,670		3,670		3,670			
35 DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT		15,298		15,298		15,298			
36 THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS		9,565		9,565		9,565			
37 AIR OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC]		25,772		25,772		25,772			
AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS									
38 INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS		81,286		81,286		112,586		+31,300	+31,300
39 AFNET		122,228		90,928		90,928		-31,300	
41 USCENTCOM		16,342		16,342		16,342			
42 FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT TERMINALS		60,230		50,230		60,230			+10,000
DISA PROGRAMS									
43 SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE		26,100		26,100		26,100			
44 NAVSTAR GPS SPACE		2,075		2,075		2,075			
45 NUDET DETECTION SYS [NDS] SPACE		4,656		4,656		4,656			
46 AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE		54,630		54,630		54,630			
47 SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE		69,713		69,713		62,713		-7,000	-7,000

48	MILSATCOM SPACE .....	41,355	33,755	-7,600	.....	-7,600
49	SPACE MODS SPACE .....	31,722	31,722	.....	.....	.....
50	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM .....	39,203	59,603	-2,000	.....	+20,400
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
51	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT .....	50,335	50,335	.....	.....	.....
53	RADIO EQUIPMENT .....	14,846	14,846	.....	.....	.....
54	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT .....	3,635	3,635	.....	.....	.....
55	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE .....	79,607	89,519	+9,912	.....	+9,912
	MODIFICATIONS .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
56	COMM ELECT MODS .....	56,398	105,398	.....	.....	+49,000
	TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNI- CATIONS EQUIP .....	1,471,617	1,459,468	-12,149	.....	+124,833
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
57	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES .....	12,577	12,577	.....	.....	.....
58	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY) .....	31,209	31,209	.....	.....	.....
	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
59	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING .....	7,670	7,670	.....	.....	.....
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
60	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT .....	14,125	14,125	.....	.....	.....
61	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	16,744	16,744	.....	.....	.....
62	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT .....	2,495	2,495	.....	.....	.....
63	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT .....	10,573	10,573	.....	.....	.....
64	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT) .....	5,462	5,462	.....	.....	.....
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
66	DARP RC135 .....	24,710	24,710	.....	.....	.....
67	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS .....	206,743	206,743	.....	.....	.....
69	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM .....	537,370	534,370	-3,000	.....	-3,000
70	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM .....	77,898	77,898	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP .....	947,576	944,576	-3,000	.....	-3,000
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
72	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	32,813	32,813	.....	.....	.....

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
							Budget estimate	House estimate	Qty.	House estimate
JOINT TRAINING PLATFORM/FACILITY UPGRADES (HOUSE AMENDMENT) (RINYAN) CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	.....	13,990,196	.....	6,000 14,193,444	.....	13,938,896	.....	.....	.....	- 6,000 - 254,548
TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	.....	16,566,018	.....	16,639,023	.....	16,500,308	.....	.....	.....	- 138,715

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	CAP vehicles .....	961	1,700	+ 739
	Program increase .....			+ 739
11	Comsec Equipment .....	106,182	95,833	- 10,349
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ....			- 8,260
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Management client unit cost growth .....			- 2,089
17	Air Traffic Control & Landing Sys .....	42,200	30,000	- 12,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip .....			- 12,200
28	AF Global Command & Control Sys .....	19,010	9,098	- 9,912
	AF requested transfer to line 55 .....			- 9,912
31	Combat Training Ranges .....	26,634	53,634	+ 27,000
	Program increase .....			+ 27,000
38	Information Transport Systems .....	81,286	112,586	+ 31,300
	AF requested transfer from line 39 .....			+ 31,300
39	AFNET .....	122,228	90,928	- 31,300
	AF requested transfer to line 38 .....			- 31,300
47	Spacelift Range System Space .....	69,713	62,713	- 7,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ....			- 7,000
48	Milsatcom Space .....	41,355	33,755	- 7,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Enterprise terminals unit cost growth .....			- 7,600
50	Counterspace System .....	61,603	59,603	- 2,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Counter Communications System unjustified unit cost growth .....			- 2,000
55	Base Comm Infrastructure .....	79,607	89,519	+ 9,912
	AF requested transfer from line 28 .....			+ 9,912
69	Special Update Program .....	537,370	534,370	- 3,000
	Classified adjustment .....			- 3,000
71	Classified Programs .....	13,990,196	13,938,896	- 51,300
	Classified adjustment .....			- 51,300

*Energy Efficient Military Billeting.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue efforts to leverage technology and deliver energy efficient returns on investments, such as thermal technologies in battlefield housing. Such technologies will realize cost savings by reducing the military's battlefield fuel footprint while also making current billeting structures more energy efficient.

*Weapon Storage Area Recapitalization.*—The Committee commends the Air Force for its focus on weapon storage area recapitalization and encourages the Air Force to take into consideration the amount of immediate use when choosing the sequence for recapitalization projects. With this in mind, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 90 days of enactment of this act detailing the rationale for the order chosen and the plan to recapitalize the identified weapon storage areas.

## PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$4,240,416,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	4,221,437,000
House allowance .....	4,353,121,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,380,729,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,380,729,000. This is \$159,292,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:





[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate	Qty.
25	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA		1,039		1,039		1,039				
28	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		68,880		68,880						
	AEGIS BMD ADVANCE PROCUREMENT										
29	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA										
29	THAAD SYSTEM	31	464,424	31	414,624	31	464,424				+49,800
30	AEGIS BMD	30	435,430	52	556,050	30	504,310				-51,740
31	BMDs AN/TPY-2 RADARS		48,140		48,140		88,140				+40,000
32	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III		225,774		225,774		225,774				
34	IRON DOME SYSTEM	1	175,972	1	350,972	1	350,972				
41	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM [ISSP]		3,448		23,448		3,448				-20,000
42	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		43,708		43,708		39,708				-4,000
44	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, IJS		10,783		10,783		10,283				-500
46	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		29,599		29,599		29,599				
	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT		1,835,579		2,101,399		2,044,341				-57,058
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND										
	AVIATION PROGRAMS										
47	MC-12		40,500				35,100				+5,400
48	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT										
49	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM		112,226		112,226		112,226				
50	NON-STANDARD AVIATION		3,021		19,821		3,021				-16,800
52	MH-47 CHINOOK		48,200		37,700		30,200				-7,500
53	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE		22,230		22,230		22,230				
54	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION		6,397		6,397		6,397				
56	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE		25,578		25,578		18,894				-6,684
			15,651		12,893		15,651				+2,758



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
21	Major Equipment .....	11,640	11,402	- 238
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AFRTS cost growth .....			- 238
24	Vehicles .....	1,500		- 1,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified requirement .....			- 1,500
28	Aegis BMD Advance Procurement .....	68,880		- 68,880
	Transfer to line 30 for All Up Round procurement .....			- 68,880
30	Aegis BMD .....	435,430	504,310	+ 68,880
	Transfer from line 28 for All Up Round procurement ..			+ 68,880
31	BMDS AN/TPY-2 Radars .....	48,140	88,140	+ 40,000
	TPY-2 spares .....			+ 40,000
34	Iron Dome .....	175,972	350,972	+ 175,000
	Program Increase .....			+ 175,000
42	Major Equipment, OSD .....	43,708	39,708	- 4,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Cost growth .....			- 4,000
44	Major Equipment, TJS .....	10,783	10,283	- 500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Classified adjustment .....			- 500
47	MC-12 .....	40,500	35,100	- 5,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need .....			- 5,400
50	Non-Standard Aviation .....	48,200	30,200	- 18,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce 1 aircraft .....			- 18,000
54	CV-22 Modification .....	25,578	18,894	- 6,684
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified request for aviation equipment .....			- 6,684
58	Precision Strike Package .....	145,929	134,929	- 11,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified recurring cost growth .....			- 11,000
59	AC/MC-130J .....	65,130	70,988	+ 5,858
	Program Increase for modifications .....			+ 5,858
72	Combatant Craft Systems .....	51,937	50,337	- 1,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Combat craft medium excess costs .....			- 1,600
90	Installation Force Protection .....		12,740	+ 12,740
	Transfer from Line #95 .....			+ 12,740
91	Individual Protection .....		79,605	+ 79,605
	Transfer from Line #96 .....			+ 79,605
92	Joint Bio Defense Program (Medical) .....		13,784	+ 13,784
	Transfer from Line #95 .....			+ 4,872
	Transfer from Line #96 .....			+ 8,912
93	Collective Protection .....		55,560	+ 55,560
	Transfer from Line #96 .....			+ 55,560
94	Contamination Avoidance .....		175,840	+ 175,840
	Program Increase .....			+ 17,000
	Transfer from Line #95 .....			+ 152,525
	Transfer from Line #96 .....			+ 6,315
95	Chemical Biological Situational Awareness .....	170,137		- 170,137
	Transfer to Line #90 .....			- 12,740
	Transfer to Line #92 .....			- 4,872
	Transfer to Line #94 .....			- 152,525
96	CB Protection & Hazard Mitigation .....	150,392		- 150,392
	Transfer to Line #91 .....			- 79,605
	Transfer to Line #92 .....			- 8,912
	Transfer to Line #93 .....			- 55,560
	Transfer to Line #94 .....			- 6,315
	Classified Programs .....	540,894	511,250	- 29,644

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified Adjustment .....	.....	.....	-29,644

*Special Operations Command [SOCOM] Manned Intelligence Surveillance Reconnaissance [ISR] Aircraft.*—The Committee notes the fiscal year 2015 budget request of \$40,500,000 for modification of MC-12 aircraft being transferred from the Air Force to Special Operations Command. However, the Committee is concerned with SOCOM's continuing changes to its requirements for manned ISR aircraft. The incremental changes to the manned ISR fleet, including the proposed divestiture of recently upgraded aircraft, seems to indicate that SOCOM does not understand its long-term ISR requirements. As a result, the Committee concurs with the language as directed by S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, to complete a review of the platform requirements for manned ISR aircraft to support United States Special Operations Forces and includes a reduction of \$5,400,000.

#### DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$60,135,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	21,638,000
House allowance .....	51,638,000
Committee recommendation .....	51,638,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,638,000. This is \$30,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
								Budget estimate	House estimate	Qty.	Budget estimate
1	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES .....		21,638		51,638		51,638		+ 30,000		
	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES .....										

*Additional Funding.*—The Committee recognizes the critical role that the DPA title III program serves in strengthening the U.S. defense industrial base and believes that this work is in the national interest. Therefore, the Committee increases funding for DPA by \$30,000,000 over the budget request. The Committee directs that the additional funding be competitively awarded to new initiatives and priority consideration should be given to completion of DPA projects initiated in prior years. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to inform the congressional defense committees 30 days prior to any obligation or expenditure of these funds.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to conduct a program of research, development, test and evaluation, including research in basic science, applied research, advanced technology development, demonstration and validation, engineering and manufacturing development, and operational systems development.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget requests a total of \$63,533,947,000 for research, development, test and evaluation appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends research, development, test and evaluation appropriations totaling \$62,566,834,000 for fiscal year 2015. This is \$967,113,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended research, development, test and evaluation appropriations for fiscal year 2015 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:			
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	6,593,898	6,544,151	- 49,747
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	16,266,335	15,920,372	- 345,963
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	23,739,892	23,082,702	- 657,190
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	16,766,084	16,805,571	+ 39,487
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	167,738	214,038	+ 46,300
Total .....	63,533,947	62,566,834	- 967,113

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (House Report 110-279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

In addition, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appro-



priations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this statement.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION OVERVIEW

*Use of Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Funding for Procurement of End Items.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$69,670,000 in two separate programs in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy account for the acquisition of weapons that will be used operationally. The Committee strongly believes that these requests violate intent of section 8055 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014, which prohibits the use of research and development funding to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational use. The Committee further notes that this legislative provision has been carried annually for several years, and has again been requested by the President for inclusion in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015. The Committee recommendation once again includes this restriction.

In particular, the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$25,000,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy to procure two incrementally funded CH-53K helicopters that will be utilized as operational platforms after completion of the program's test schedule. The Committee is aware of the need to maintain the industrial base following a 1 year schedule delay that shifted the program's production decision into fiscal year 2016. However, this circumstance does not justify the violation of public law and sound acquisition practice. Therefore, the recommendation denies \$25,000,000 requested for these operational aircraft and provides \$15,000,000 in research and development to sustain qualified vendors pending a production decision for the CH-53K program. This recommendation allows the test program to proceed as scheduled and has no negative impact on the program's production schedule.

In addition, the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$44,670,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy to incrementally fund a Ship to Shore Connector ship in addition to the previously funded test asset. All of the program's test and training activities will be conducted with the first Ship to Shore Connector test craft. This second ship will deliver after completion of the program's test activities and be utilized as an operational asset. Further, the Committee notes that the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$123,233,000 in Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy for the procurement of two operational Ship to Shore Connec-

tors. The Committee denies the use of research and development funds for the procurement of an operational craft, and realigns funds from Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy to Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy for the procurement of a third Ship to Shore Connector in fiscal year 2015. The realignment of funds has no impact on the program's acquisition schedule and allows the test program to proceed as scheduled.

*Navy Justification Material.*—The Committee is concerned with the quality of the material provided by the Navy to justify the fiscal year 2015 President's budget request. The budget justification was incomplete, and lacked several mandatory documents, such as R-4a forms. The deletion of these exhibits is at odds with the Financial Management Regulations and the principles of justifying the Navy's budget to Congress. Additionally, several exhibits contained the words "to be determined" in areas where projections could be made such as contract award date, vendor, and contract type.

The supplemental information that was provided to the Committee offered little further insight. For example, several major acquisition programs requested large amounts of funding for "primary hardware development," without further explanation. These types of summary entries limited Committee insight into the planned work. As a result, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide the mandated justification documents and the required level of detail in the fiscal year 2016 budget request.

*Alternative Energy Research.*—The Committee continues to support the fiscal and operational value of investing in alternative energy research. The recommendation includes an additional \$75,000,000 for Army, Navy and Air Force research and development to continue research of promising alternative energy technologies, such as renewable energies, alternative fuels, and energy efficiencies. The Committee encourages the services to focus on the ability of platforms, installations, and personnel to operate with a diverse mix of fuels.

*F135 Engine.*—When the Department of Defense made the decision to terminate the alternate engine for the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter [JSF] in May of 2011, it reassured the Committee that a second engine was no longer necessary as a hedge against the failure of the main JSF engine program. The Department also stated that the financial benefits, such as savings from competition, were small if they existed at all. Since that time, the F135 engine has experienced numerous problems, including the failure of an oil flow management valve and a pre-take-off fire in the past few weeks, both of which grounded the entire fleet of over 100 aircraft. Further, the F135 engine unit cost has not declined as projected. However, the Committee believes that had the alternate engine program continued, competition would have incentivized the F135 engine manufacturer to find creative methods to drive down prices and ensure timely delivery of a high quality product, which is consistent with current Department preference for competition in acquisitions. Therefore, the Committee recommends the Secretary of Defense reassess the value of an alternate engine program creating competition to improve price, quality, and operational availability.

*Solar Research in Dry-Dust Areas.*—The Committee supports efforts by the Department of Defense to become more energy efficient. These efforts have demonstrated cost savings and are an important part of the 2010 and 2014 Quadrennial Defense Reviews and the Department of Defense’s Operational Energy Strategy. Renewable energy, including solar, is an important part of these efforts. Earlier this year, the Army broke ground on its largest solar energy plant at Fort Huachuca in Arizona. Covering 155 acres, the plant is projected to provide not less than 25 percent of the Fort’s electricity. The Committee believes solar initiatives are also important for overseas operations, particularly in the Middle East and Africa, where in country supplies are unreliable and large amounts of energy often need to be transported to theater. However, dry-dust problems can prevent the optimal use of solar energy in some areas. Therefore, the Committee urges the Department of Defense to continue research into the use of solar energy in dry-dust regions.

*Basic Research.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$2,017,502,000 for basic research to be performed by all Department of Defense [DOD] services and agencies. This amount is \$149,096,000, or 7 percent below the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee is discouraged by this sharp decline, because basic research is the foundation upon which other technology is developed. Further, basic research performed by DOD spans across universities and colleges, small businesses and laboratories, growing future scientists and creating new business opportunities. Earlier this year, the Committee held a hearing on Defense Research and Innovation. The testimony provided by the witnesses indicated that a sharp decline in science and technology investment could threaten America’s technological edge. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$2,274,928,000 for basic research, a 5 percent increase for the Department of the Army, Department of the Air Force, Department of the Navy and DOD, above previously enacted levels. The Committee also expects that the Department not make disproportionate or unjustified reductions to science and technology entities as part of the headquarters management reduction initiative.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$7,126,318,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	6,593,898,000
House allowance .....	6,720,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,544,151,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,544,151,000. This is \$49,747,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY					
	BASIC RESEARCH					
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	13,464	13,464	21,464	+ 8,000	+ 8,000
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	238,167	238,167	238,167		
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	69,808	69,808	89,808	+ 20,000	+ 20,000
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS .....	102,737	102,737	108,837	+ 6,100	+ 6,100
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....	424,176	424,176	458,276	+ 34,100	+ 34,100
	APPLIED RESEARCH					
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY .....	28,006	28,006	48,006	+ 20,000	+ 20,000
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY .....	33,515	33,515	49,115	+ 15,600	+ 15,600
7	TRACTOR HIP .....	16,358	16,358	16,358		
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY .....	63,433	63,433	63,433		
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	18,502	18,502	18,502		
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY .....	46,194	56,194	66,194	+ 20,000	+ 10,000
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	28,528	28,528	40,528	+ 12,000	+ 12,000
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION .....	27,435	27,435	27,435		
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY .....	72,883	72,883	72,883		
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY .....	85,597	85,597	85,597		
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY .....	3,971	3,971	3,971		
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	6,853	6,853	6,853		
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	38,069	63,069	48,069	+ 10,000	- 15,000
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES .....	56,435	56,435	77,435	+ 21,000	+ 21,000
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY .....	38,445	38,445	46,445	+ 8,000	+ 8,000
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS .....	25,939	25,939	29,939	+ 4,000	+ 4,000
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	23,783	23,783	23,783		
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY .....	15,659	15,659	15,659		
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	33,817	33,817	33,817		
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY .....	10,764	10,764	10,764		
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	63,311	63,311	68,311	+ 5,000	+ 5,000
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY .....	23,295	23,295	23,295		
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY .....	25,751	32,051	25,751		- 6,300
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	76,068	76,068	76,068		

	862,611	903,911	978,211	+ 115,600	+ 74,300
TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....					
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....					
29 WARRIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	65,139	66,139	77,139	+ 12,000	+ 11,000
30 MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	67,291	98,291	75,291	+ 8,000	- 23,000
31 AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	88,990	88,990	108,990	+ 20,000	+ 20,000
32 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	57,931	72,931	57,931	.....	- 15,000
33 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	110,031	110,031	155,031	+ 45,000	+ 45,000
34 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	6,883	6,883	6,883	.....	.....
35 MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	13,580	13,580	13,580	.....	.....
36 ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	44,871	44,871	44,871	.....	.....
37 TRACTOR HIKE .....	7,492	7,492	7,492	.....	.....
38 NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS .....	16,749	16,749	16,749	.....	.....
39 TRACTOR ROSE .....	14,483	14,483	14,483	.....	.....
41 COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	24,270	24,270	24,270	.....	.....
42 TRACTOR NAIL .....	3,440	3,440	3,440	.....	.....
43 TRACTOR EGGS .....	2,406	2,406	2,406	.....	.....
44 ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	26,057	26,057	26,057	.....	.....
45 MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	11,105	11,105	11,105	.....	.....
46 TRACTOR CAGE .....	181,609	181,609	221,609	+ 40,000	+ 40,000
47 HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	13,074	13,074	13,074	.....	.....
48 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	7,321	7,321	7,321	.....	.....
49 JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	44,138	44,138	44,138	.....	.....
50 NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	9,197	9,197	11,697	+ 2,500	+ 2,500
51 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	17,613	17,613	17,613	.....	.....
52 MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	39,164	39,164	39,164	.....	.....
53 ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....					
TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	917,791	964,791	1,080,291	+ 162,500	+ 115,500
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION .....					
54 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	12,797	12,797	25,797	+ 13,000	+ 13,000
55 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE) .....	13,999	13,999	13,999	.....	.....
58 TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION .....	29,334	29,334	29,334	.....	.....
60 SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY .....	9,602	11,002	3,482	- 6,120	- 7,520
61 TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—AD .....	8,953	8,953	8,953	.....	.....
62 NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	3,052	3,052	3,052	.....	.....
63 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY .....	7,830	7,830	7,830	.....	.....
65 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	2,954	2,954	2,954	.....	.....
67 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV .....	13,386	13,386	13,386	.....	.....
69 MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV .....	23,659	23,659	23,659	.....	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	6,830	9,830	6,830		—3,000
72	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES .....	9,913	9,913	9,913		
73	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES .....	74,740	74,740	14,740	—60,000	—60,000
74	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT) .....	9,930	9,930	9,930		
76	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERC .....	96,177	71,177	96,177		+25,000
	TOTAL DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION .....	323,156	302,556	270,036	—53,120	—32,520
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT					
79	AIRCRAFT AVONICS .....	37,246	57,246	34,294	—2,952	—22,952
81	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	6,002	6,002	6,002		
82	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO .....	9,832	9,832	9,832		
83	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO .....	9,730	9,730	9,730		
84	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM .....	5,532	5,532	5,532		
85	TRACTOR CAGE .....	19,929	19,929	19,929		
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS .....	27,884	34,586	27,884		—6,702
87	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	210	210	210		
88	JAVELIN .....	4,166	4,166	4,166		
89	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	12,913	12,913	12,913		
90	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	16,764	16,764	16,764		
91	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE .....	6,770	6,770	2,770	—4,000	—4,000
92	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—SDD .....	65,333	65,333	65,333		
93	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT .....	1,335	3,035	1,335		—1,700
94	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—SDD .....	8,945	8,945	8,945		
96	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD .....	15,906	15,906	15,906		
97	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	4,394	4,394	4,394		
98	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT .....	11,084	11,084	11,084		
99	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—SDD .....	10,027	10,027	10,027		
100	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE .....	42,430	42,430	34,730	—7,700	—7,700
101	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION .....	105,279	105,279	80,279	—25,000	—25,000
102	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—SDD .....	15,006	15,006	15,006		
103	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—SDD .....	24,581	24,581	24,581		
104	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—SDD .....	4,433	4,433	4,433		
105	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT .....	30,397	30,397	30,397		
106	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—SDD .....	57,705	57,705	57,705		
108	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE .....	29,683	29,683	29,683		



[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
150	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER .....	55,039	55,039	55,039		
151	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART) .....	1,125	1,125	1,125		
152	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	64,169	64,169	64,169		
153	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES .....	32,319	32,319	32,319		
154	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY .....	49,052	64,052	49,052		-15,000
155	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT .....	2,612	2,612	2,612		
156	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT) .....	49,592	49,592	49,592		
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	1,000,430	1,015,430	1,001,326	+896	-14,104
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....					
158	MLRS PRODUCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	17,112	17,112	17,112		
159	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	3,654	3,654	3,654		
160	BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY (BEC) .....	1,332	1,332	1,332		
161	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT .....	152,991	152,991	37,991	-115,000	-115,000
162	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE .....	54,076	29,076	54,076		+25,000
163	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM .....	22,374	22,374	1,274	-21,100	-21,100
164	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM .....	24,371	24,371	36,671	+12,300	+12,300
165	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	295,177	320,177	305,177	+10,000	-15,000
166	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM .....	45,092	45,092	45,092		
167	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	264,887	274,887		-264,887	-274,887
167A	IMPROVED CARGO HELICOPTER .....			35,424	+35,424	+35,424
167B	BLACKHAWK RECAP/MODERNIZATION .....			48,446	+48,446	+48,446
167C	APACHE BLOCK III .....			90,099	+90,099	+90,099
167D	FIXED WING AIRCRAFT .....			819	+819	+819
167E	IMPROVED TURBINE ENGINE PROGRAM .....			39,328	+39,328	+39,328
168	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	381	381	381		
169	DIGITIZATION .....	10,912	10,912	5,996	-4,916	-4,916
169A	EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES FROM NIE .....			4,916	+4,916	+4,916
170	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	5,115	5,115	5,115		
171	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	49,848	44,848	38,348		
172	TRACTOR CARD .....	22,691	22,691	22,691		
173	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV .....	4,364	4,364	4,364		
174	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT .....	834	834	834		
175	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—OPERATIONAL .....	280	280	280		
176	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM .....	78,758	78,758	78,758		



177	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM [GMLRS]	45,377	45,377	45,377	45,377	.....
178	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	10,209	10,209	10,209	10,209	.....
181	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	12,525	12,525	12,525	12,525	.....
182	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	14,175	14,175	14,175	14,175	.....
183	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	4,527	4,527	4,527	4,527	.....
184	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	11,011	11,011	11,011	11,011	.....
185	WMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	2,151	2,151	2,151	2,151	.....
187	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	22,870	22,870	22,870	22,870	.....
188	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	20,155	20,155	20,155	20,155	.....
189	MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV (MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS)	46,472	46,472	46,472	46,472	.....
191	VERTICAL UAS	16,389	16,389	16,389	16,389	.....
192	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	1,974	1,974	1,974	1,974	.....
193	WIN-T INCREMENT 2—INITIAL NETWORKING	3,249	3,249	3,249	3,249	.....
194	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	76,225	76,225	76,225	76,225	.....
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,341,558	1,346,558	1,165,487	1,165,487	— 181,071
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,802	4,802	4,802	4,802	.....
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY	6,593,898	6,720,000	6,544,151	6,544,151	— 175,849

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	In-House Laboratory Independent Research .....	13,464	21,464	+ 8,000
	Basic research program increase .....			+ 8,000
3	University Research Initiatives .....	69,808	89,808	+ 20,000
	Basic research program increase .....			+ 20,000
4	University and Industry Research Centers .....	102,737	108,837	+ 6,100
	Basic research program increase .....			+ 6,100
5	Materials Technology .....	28,006	48,006	+ 20,000
	Program increase .....			+ 20,000
6	Sensors and Electronic Survivability .....	33,515	49,115	+ 15,600
	Cyberspace security funding .....			+ 9,600
	Force protection radar development .....			+ 6,000
10	Missile Technology .....	46,194	66,194	+ 20,000
	Program increase .....			+ 20,000
11	Advanced Weapons Technology .....	28,528	40,528	+ 12,000
	Directed energy/thermal management program in- crease .....			+ 12,000
17	Weapons and Munitions Technology .....	38,069	48,069	+ 10,000
	Program increase .....			+ 10,000
18	Electronics and Electronic Devices .....	56,435	77,435	+ 21,000
	Silicon carbide research .....			+ 15,000
	Program increase .....			+ 6,000
19	Night Vision Technology .....	38,445	46,445	+ 8,000
	Program increase .....			+ 8,000
20	Countermeasure Systems .....	25,939	29,939	+ 4,000
	Program increase .....			+ 4,000
25	Military Engineering Technology .....	63,311	68,311	+ 5,000
	Program increase .....			+ 5,000
29	Warfighter Advanced Technology .....	65,139	77,139	+ 12,000
	Environmental control systems .....			+ 12,000
30	Medical Advanced Technology .....	67,291	75,291	+ 8,000
	Program increase: Peer-reviewed military burn re- search program .....			+ 8,000
31	Aviation Advanced Technology .....	88,990	108,990	+ 20,000
	Future Vertical Lift consortium .....			+ 20,000
33	Combat Vehicle and Automotive Advanced Technology .....	110,031	155,031	+ 45,000
	Program increase .....			+ 20,000
	Alternative energy research .....			+ 25,000
45	Missile and Rocket Advanced Technology .....	44,957	79,957	+ 35,000
	Restore unjustified cut .....			+ 35,000
47	High Performance Computing Modernization Program .....	181,609	221,609	+ 40,000
	Program increase .....			+ 40,000
51	Environmental Quality Technology Demonstrations .....	9,197	11,697	+ 2,500
	Program increase .....			+ 2,500
54	Army Missile Defense Systems Integration .....	12,797	25,797	+ 13,000
	Program increase .....			+ 13,000
60	Soldier Support and Survivability .....	9,602	3,482	- 6,120
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Rapid Equip- ping Force non-base budget program .....			- 6,120
72	Analysis Of Alternatives .....	9,913	9,913	
	Army Multi-Purpose Vehicle Echelons Above Brigade Analysis of Alternatives acceleration .....			[4,000]
73	Technology Maturation Initiatives .....	74,740	14,740	- 60,000
	Maintaining program affordability: DS3 unjustified request .....			- 60,000
79	Aircraft Avionics .....	37,246	34,294	- 2,952
	Restoring acquisition accountability: VU3 ALE-P delays .....			- 5,752
	Restoring acquisition accountability: C97 JTRS inte- gration delays .....			- 15,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: C97 DGNS upgrade forward financing .....			- 2,200
	Degraded Visual Environment .....			+ 20,000
91	Tactical Unmanned Ground Vehicle (TUGV) .....	6,770	2,770	- 4,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: New start acquisition strategy .....			- 4,000
100	Combined Arms Tactical Trainer (CATT) Core .....	42,430	34,730	- 7,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: P582 unjustified growth .....			- 7,700
101	Brigade Analysis, Integration and Evaluation .....	105,279	80,279	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Execution delays .....			- 25,000
111	Firefinder .....	37,492	20,492	- 17,000
	Improving funds management: Unsustained growth .....			- 17,000
117	Integrated Personnel and Pay System—Army (IPPS-A) .....	138,465	68,465	- 70,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Concurrency and forward financing .....			- 70,000
126	Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (AIAMD) .....	142,584	147,584	+ 5,000
	Cyber security and supply chain .....			+ 5,000
132	Paladin Integrated Management (PIM) .....	83,300	71,300	- 12,000
	Improving funds management: Contract savings .....			- 12,000
135	Threat Simulator Development .....	18,062	22,962	+ 4,900
	Program increase .....			+ 4,900
137	Major T&E Investment .....	60,317	56,313	- 4,004
	Restoring acquisition accountability: P984 NETCM lack of acquisition strategy .....			- 4,004
161	Patriot Product Improvement .....	152,991	37,991	- 115,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Only for near-term urgent improvements .....			- 115,000
163	Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data System .....	22,374	1,274	- 21,100
	AFATDS Inc II: Army requested transfer to line 164, for AFATDS Inc I only .....			- 12,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Inc II program delays .....			- 8,800
164	Joint Automated Deep Operation Coordination System (JADOCS) .....	24,371	36,671	+ 12,300
	AFATDS Inc I only: Army requested transfer from line 163 .....			+ 12,300
165	Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs .....	295,177	305,177	+ 10,000
	Improving funds management: Abrams excess Government costs .....			- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Bradley continued underexecution .....			- 16,200
	Stryker—Engineering Change Proposal acceleration .....			+ 36,200
167	Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs .....	264,887		- 264,887
	Improving funds management: Transfer to lines 167A–167E for execution .....			- 198,116
	Improving funds management: D17 Apache forward financing .....			- 50,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: P504 H–60L Digital excess to requirement .....			- 16,771
167A	Improved Cargo Helicopter .....		35,424	+ 35,424
	Improved funds management: P430 transferred from line 167 .....			+ 35,424
167B	Black Hawk Recapitalization/Modernization .....		48,446	+ 48,446
	Improved funds management: P504 transferred from line 167 .....			+ 48,446
167C	Apache Block III .....		90,099	+ 90,099
	Improved funds management: D17 transferred from line 167 .....			+ 74,099
	Ground fire acquisition development .....			+ 16,000
167D	Fixed Wing Aircraft .....		819	+ 819
	Improved funds management: D18 transferred from line 167 .....			+ 819
167E	Improved Turbine Engine Program .....		39,328	+ 39,328

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improved funds management: EB1 transferred from line 167 .....			+ 39,328
169	Digitization .....	10,912	5,996	- 4,916
	Transfer EC8: Emerging Technologies from NIEs to line 169A .....			- 4,916
169A	Emerging Technologies from NIEs .....		4,916	+ 4,916
	Transfer EC8: Emerging Technologies from NIEs from line 169 .....			+ 4,916
171	Other Missile Product Improvement Programs .....	49,848	38,348	- 11,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: DZ9 program delay .....			- 11,500

*Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle [AMPV].*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$92,353,000 for the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle [AMPV], a Family of Vehicles based on a military vehicle derivative that will replace the M-113 Armored Personnel Carriers to support Armored Brigade Combat Teams across the range of military operations. The Committee notes that the Army Acquisition Objective of 3,007 vehicles for the AMPV program of record does not include vehicles for Echelons Above Brigade [EAB], which could require additional quantities in excess of 1,900 vehicles. The Committee understands that the Army is preparing a report to the congressional defense committees on its plan to eventually replace all M-113 Armored Personnel Carriers within Echelons Above Brigade formations; however, the Committee notes that any potential acquisition program requires an Analysis of Alternatives to support decision milestones.

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$9,913,000 in a separate program element for several Analyses of Alternatives [AoA], and further notes that while the Army is considering selection of the AMPV EAB as a potential AoA candidate in fiscal year 2015, the Army has not yet decided whether to proceed with that particular AoA. Therefore, the Committee directs the Army to conduct an Analysis of Alternatives for an AMPV EAB requirement in fiscal year 2015, and allocates \$4,000,000 only for that purpose from within available funds. Further, the Army is directed to report to the congressional defense committees within fifteen days of completion of the AoA on its findings and results.

*Patriot Modernization.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$152,991,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army for Patriot Modernization, an increase of \$117,957,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2014. The Committee has previously expressed concerns in Senate Reports 113-85 and 112-196 regarding the lack of clearly defined requirements for this program, the absence of an acquisition strategy that embraces competition, and a lack of full understanding of costs. These concerns are exacerbated by the fiscal year 2015 budget submission, which more than doubles projected funding required for Patriot Modernization through fiscal year 2018, compared to estimates provided for that same time period just 1 year ago. The Committee notes that this comparison does not include funding identified in this year's budget submission for a future radar competition beginning in fiscal year 2017. Further, the Committee notes that exclud-

ing the development and acquisition of a new radar, the Army plans to invest over \$2,200,000,000 for modernization of the Patriot system over the next 5 years in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army and in Missile Procurement, Army, and that the large majority of these funds would not be competitively awarded. The Committee does not believe this to be in the best interest of the Army or the U.S. taxpayer.

Finally, the Committee notes that the Army has delayed submission to the congressional defense committees of reports required in Senate Report 113-85, which direct a plan from the Secretary of the Army that establishes an open system software architecture for future upgrades and technology refresh to the Patriot system in the near-term, and an acquisition strategy from the Secretary of the Army in conjunction with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics that incorporates full and open competition for Patriot Modernization in the near-, mid- and long-term. Therefore, the Committee does not find the requested program increase justified, and recommends \$37,991,000 for Patriot Modernization in fiscal year 2015, consistent with amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2014.

In addition, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, in conjunction with the Director of the Office of Secretary of Defense Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, to provide with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission a cost assessment of the acquisition strategy for Patriot Modernization, as well as an estimate of savings that would result from inserting competition in the acquisition strategy in the near-term.

*Network Integration Evaluation [NIE].*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$105,279,000 to conduct two Network Integration Evaluation [NIE] exercises. The Committee notes that the Army continues to over-estimate costs for the NIE, resulting in repeated significant underexecution, accumulating carry-over funding and annual budget re-estimates. The Committee further notes that the Army intends to apply \$20,000,000 of funds appropriated in fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2015 requirements. Accordingly, the Committee recommends a reduction to the fiscal year 2015 request to ensure the Army can execute the funds it is provided.

*Army Aviation Modernization Programs.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget submission includes \$264,887,000 for the modification and product improvement of multiple aircraft. The Committee notes that this includes funds for no less than five major efforts, including two major acquisition programs, the UH-60L Digital Cockpit program and the Improved Turbine Engine Program [ITEP]. The Committee further notes that neither the UH-60L Digital Cockpit program nor the ITEP program has been fully funded for two consecutive budget cycles and that both programs are beset by repeated program delays. The Committee is concerned that the Army is again heading towards failure with two major acquisition programs, and does not believe this matter is alleviated by co-mingling funding for these programs with other aviation modernization efforts. Therefore, the Committee recommends adjusting the budget structure for the modernization of aviation platforms and directs

the Army to maintain the revised budget alignment in the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

*Precision Weapons Testing.*—The Committee understands that the Army is executing on-going technology and development programs for small, precision weapon munitions that provide low-collateral damage capabilities in urban environments and counter-insurgency operations. The Committee encourages the Army to continue to develop and flight test guidance, navigation, control, and targeting capabilities on small, precise weapons.

*Military Batteries.*—The Committee recognizes the critical nature of high quality batteries to power military wheeled and tracked vehicles in austere, demanding environments. The Committee understands that utilizing batteries based on decades-old design poses potential risks to equipment and personnel, and that the Army has increased use of batteries based on modern designs that deliver improved performance in tactical environments. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission a report detailing safety incidents by different types of battery models used.

*Materials Technology for Strategic Defense.*—The Committee notes the Army Research Laboratory [ARL] is expanding research, education, and technology development efforts in materials and metals processing science and engineering, aiming to transform the affordability, performance, and environmental sustainability of strategic materials. The Committee further notes that ARL's Open Campus concept benefits the academic community and industry through collaboration with ARL's research staff, leading to continued technological superiority for the U.S. warfighter, and encourages the Army to review whether its strategic materials efforts could benefit from the Open Concept approach.

*Army Inventory Tracking.*—The Committee is concerned about difficulties to effectively and efficiently manage and account for equipment returning from Afghanistan. This challenge also affects cataloguing, inventorying, and tracking of equipment in the continental United States. The Committee understands that the Army is testing automated inventory tracking and management solutions to address capability gaps, and encourages further testing and evaluation to determine if these solutions can be cost-effective, time-saving alternatives to the current means of cataloguing and tracking returning equipment. The Committee directs the Army to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this act on the result of these evaluations.

*Health Surveillance Technologies.*—The Committee is aware of Department of Defense efforts to use technology to improve surveillance of hospital-based patient safety and quality initiatives as well surveillance of acute and chronic public health disease threats. Deployment of these critical technologies has the ability not only to detect rarely occurring biological threat agents, but the ability to identify the occurrence of naturally occurring infectious illnesses. The technologies can be further modified to detect non-infectious diseases and chronic illness, and to conduct patient safety surveillance in the hospital and outpatient settings. The Committee encourages the Department to work with the medical and academic

community and continue its efforts in reaching the full deployment of these technologies.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$14,949,919,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	16,266,335,000
House allowance .....	15,877,770,000
Committee recommendation .....	15,920,372,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,920,372,000. This is \$345,963,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL., NAVY					
	BASIC RESEARCH					
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	113,908	113,908	133,908	+20,000	+20,000
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	18,734	18,734	19,142	+408	+408
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	443,697	443,697	497,145	+53,448	+53,448
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....	576,339	576,339	650,195	+73,856	+73,856
	APPLIED RESEARCH					
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	95,753	95,753	95,753	.....	.....
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	139,496	139,496	169,496	+30,000	+30,000
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY .....	45,831	45,831	45,831	.....	.....
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	43,541	43,541	43,541	.....	.....
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	46,923	46,923	46,923	.....	.....
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	107,872	107,872	107,872	.....	.....
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	45,388	65,388	45,388	.....	-20,000
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	5,887	5,887	5,887	.....	.....
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	86,880	86,880	86,880	.....	.....
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV .....	170,786	176,086	170,786	.....	-5,300
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	32,526	32,526	32,526	.....	.....
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....	820,883	846,183	850,883	+30,000	+4,700
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	37,734	37,734	37,734	.....	.....
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	25,831	25,831	25,831	.....	.....
17	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	64,623	64,623	64,623	.....	.....
18	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION [ATD] .....	128,397	128,397	128,397	.....	.....
19	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	11,506	11,506	11,506	.....	.....
20	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV .....	256,144	261,144	256,144	.....	-5,000
21	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	4,838	40,538	4,838	.....	-35,700
22	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	9,985	9,985	9,985	.....	.....
23	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS .....	53,956	53,956	53,956	.....	.....
24	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	2,000	2,000	2,000	.....	.....
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	595,014	635,714	595,014	.....	-40,700



25	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	40,429	40,429	40,429	40,429
26	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	4,325	4,325	4,325	4,325
27	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	2,991	2,991	2,991	2,991
28	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	12,651	12,651	12,651	12,651
29	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7,782	7,782	7,782	7,782
30	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	5,275	5,275	5,275	5,275
31	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,646	1,646	1,646	1,646
32	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	100,349	83,158	86,734	+ 3,576
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	52,781	48,481	52,781	+ 4,300
34	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	148,865	138,865	148,865	+ 10,000
35	PILOT FISH	25,365	25,365	25,365	+ 8,000
36	RETRACT LARCH	80,477	72,477	80,477	
37	RETRACT JUNIPER	669	669	669	
38	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	1,060	1,060	1,060	
39	SURFACE ASW	67,551	67,551	67,551	+ 3,000
40	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	8,044	8,044	8,044	
41	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	17,864	17,864	17,864	- 5,593
42	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	23,716	17,736	2,448	- 15,288
43	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	499,961	499,961	499,961	
44	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	21,026	21,026	21,026	
45	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	542,700	542,700	542,700	
46	CHALK EAGLE	88,734	88,734	88,734	+ 2,000
47	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	20,881	20,881	20,881	
48	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	849,277	849,277	849,277	+ 4,000
49	OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	196,948	168,648	173,348	+ 4,700
50	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) MISSION PACKAGES	8,115	8,115	8,115	
51	AUTOMATIC TEST AND RE-TEST	7,603	7,603	7,603	
52	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	105,749	105,749	105,749	
53	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	1,342	1,342	1,342	
54	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	21,399	21,399	21,399	
55	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	43,578	39,310	37,478	- 6,100
56	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	7,764	6,264	7,764	+ 1,500
57	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,200	13,200	13,200	+ 14,022
58	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	69,415	55,393	69,415	
59	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	2,588	2,588	2,588	
60	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	176,301	176,301	176,301	
61	CHALK CORAL	3,873	3,873	3,873	
62	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	376,028	376,028	376,028	- 400
63	RETRACT MAPLE				

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
64	LINK PLUMERIA .....	272,096	252,496	272,096		+ 19,600
65	RETRACT ELM .....	42,233	42,233	42,233		
66	LINK EVERGREEN .....	46,504	46,504	46,504		
67	SPECIAL PROCESSES .....	25,109	25,109	25,109		
68	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	9,659	9,659	9,659		
69	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY .....	318	318	318		
70	NONLETHAL WEAPONS .....	40,912	35,627	40,912		+ 5,285
71	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS .....	54,896	41,896	23,124	-31,772	- 18,772
73	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	58,696	52,696	58,696		+ 6,000
74	GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER .....	43,613	43,613	43,613		
75	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS) .....	21,110	21,110	21,110		
76	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....	5,657	5,657	5,556	- 101	- 101
77	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION .....	8,033	4,033	5,923	- 2,110	+ 1,890
78	LX (R) .....	36,859	30,859	36,859		+ 6,000
79	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	15,227	15,227	15,227		
81	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEWJ) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE .....	22,393	22,393	18,798		- 3,595
82	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT .....	202,939	161,939	202,939		+ 41,000
83	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING .....	11,450	9,450	11,450		+ 2,000
84	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	6,495	6,495	6,495		
85	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	332	332	332		
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION .....	4,591,812	4,396,366	4,487,658	- 104,154	+ 91,292
86	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	25,153	25,153	25,153		
87	TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT .....	46,154	32,035	40,099	- 6,055	+ 8,064
87X	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT .....			6,055	+ 6,055	+ 6,055
88	MH-XX .....	25,372	25,372	25,372		
89	AV-88 AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV .....	53,712	53,712	53,712		
90	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT .....	11,434	11,434	11,434		
91	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT .....	2,164	2,164	2,164		
92	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING .....	1,710	1,710	710	- 1,000	- 1,000
93	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	9,094	9,094	9,094		
94	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	70,248	62,140	62,748		+ 608
95	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM .....	193,200	146,200	193,200	- 7,500	+ 47,000
96	ADVANCED HAWKEYE .....	44,115	44,115	44,115		
	H-1 UPGRADES .....					

97	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	23,227	23,227	23,227	23,227
98	V-22A	61,249	61,249	61,249	+ 7,000
99	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	15,014	15,014	15,014	
100	EA-18	18,730	18,730	18,730	
101	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	28,742	28,742	28,742	
102	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	388,086	388,086	388,086	-40,000
103	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	246,856	246,856	246,856	+ 16,123
104	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	7,106	7,106	7,106	+ 10,000
105	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	189,112	189,112	189,112	
106	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	376	376	376	
107	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	71,849	61,849	51,286	-20,563
108	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	53,198	53,198	36,698	-16,500
109	AIRBORNE MCM	38,941	38,941	38,941	
110	NAVAL AIR GROUND TASK FORCE ELECTRONIC WARFARE	7,832	7,832	7,832	
111	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG	15,263	15,263	15,263	
112	FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM	403,017	403,017	403,017	
113	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	20,409	20,409	19,809	-600
114	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	71,565	71,565	71,565	
115	AIR CONTROL	29,037	29,037	29,037	
116	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	122,083	122,083	122,083	
118	ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM	144,706	144,706	144,706	
119	NEW DESIGN SSN	72,695	87,695	72,695	
120	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	48,470	48,470	40,016	-8,454
121	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	3,935	3,935	3,935	
122	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	19,067	19,067	14,067	-5,000
123	VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM)	25,280	35,280	25,280	
124	MINE DEVELOPMENT	8,985	8,985	8,985	
125	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	19,067	14,067	14,067	
126	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	7,669	7,669	7,669	
127	PERSONNEL TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	4,400	4,400	4,400	
128	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	56,889	56,889	56,889	
129	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	96,937	81,937	101,937	+ 20,000
130	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	134,564	121,339	116,904	-4,435
131	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	200	200	200	
132	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	8,287	27,287	8,287	
133	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	29,504	29,504	29,504	
134	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	513,021	513,021	499,048	-13,973
135	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD			10,399	+ 10,399
135X	JSF FOD—MC			502,260	-14,196
136	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	516,456	516,456	502,260	-14,196

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
136X	J5F FOD-N .....	2,887	2,887	10,622	+ 10,622	+ 10,622
137	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	66,317	66,317	2,887	.....	.....
138	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	573,187	573,187	61,317	- 5,000	- 5,000
139	CH-53K .....	67,815	55,026	563,187	- 10,000	- 10,000
140	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC) .....	6,300	6,300	23,145	- 44,670	- 31,881
141	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	308,037	319,037	6,300	.....	.....
142	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) .....	202,522	202,522	278,037	- 30,000	- 41,000
143	DDG 1000 .....	1,011	1,011	202,522	.....	.....
144	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP .....	10,357	10,357	1,011	.....	.....
145	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS .....	23,975	23,975	10,357	.....	.....
146	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM .....	5,419,108	5,298,605	23,975	.....	.....
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	5,419,108	5,298,605	5,190,013	- 229,095	- 108,592
	ROT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	45,272	45,272	40,793	- 4,479	- 4,479
147	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	79,718	66,718	69,718	- 10,000	+ 3,000
148	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	123,993	123,993	123,993	.....	.....
149	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	4,960	4,960	4,960	.....	.....
150	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION .....	8,296	8,296	3,502	- 4,794	- 4,794
151	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY .....	.....	.....	4,794	+ 4,794	+ 4,794
151X	FA-XX (NEXT GENERATION FIGHTER) .....	45,752	45,752	45,752	.....	.....
152	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES .....	876	876	876	.....	.....
154	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES .....	72,070	72,070	87,070	+ 15,000	+ 15,000
155	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	3,237	3,237	2,590	- 647	- 647
156	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT .....	73,033	73,033	73,033	.....	.....
157	ROT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT .....	138,304	138,304	138,304	.....	.....
158	ROT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT .....	336,286	336,286	336,286	.....	.....
159	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	16,658	16,658	16,658	.....	.....
160	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY .....	2,505	2,505	2,505	.....	.....
161	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT .....	8,325	8,325	8,325	.....	.....
162	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT .....	17,866	17,866	17,866	.....	.....
163	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT .....	977,151	964,151	977,025	- 126	+ 12,874
	TOTAL, ROT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	977,151	964,151	977,025	- 126	+ 12,874

168	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	35,949	35,949	35,949	35,949
169	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE [UCAV] ADVANCED COMPONENT	215	215	215	215
170	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS	8,873	8,873	8,873	8,873
171	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY FOLLOW ON	94,525	94,525	94,525	94,525
172	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	30,057	30,057	30,057	30,057
173	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	4,509	4,509	4,509	4,509
174	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	13,676	13,676	13,676	13,676
175	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	9,480	9,480	9,480	9,480
176	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION [RTT]	76,216	76,216	76,216	76,216
177	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	27,281	27,281	27,281	27,281
179	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	2,878	2,878	2,878	2,878
180	SURFACE SUPPORT	27,685	27,685	27,685	27,685
181	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER [TMPC]	39,371	39,371	39,371	39,371
182	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	4,609	4,609	4,609	4,609
183	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	99,106	99,106	99,106	99,106
184	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	39,922	39,922	39,922	39,922
185	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,157	1,157	1,157	1,157
186	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	22,067	22,067	22,067	22,067
187	ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW] READINESS SUPPORT	17,420	17,420	17,420	17,420
188	HARM IMPROVEMENT	133,594	133,594	133,594	133,594
189	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	26,366	26,366	26,366	26,366
190	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	25,952	25,952	25,952	25,952
191	MIK-48 ADCAP	75,037	75,037	75,037	75,037
192	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	104,023	104,023	104,023	104,023
194	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	77,398	77,398	77,398	77,398
195	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	32,495	32,495	32,495	32,495
196	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	142,076	142,076	142,076	142,076
197	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	20,999	20,999	20,999	20,999
197X	AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE	14,179	14,179	14,179	14,179
198	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	47,258	47,258	47,258	47,258
199	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS [MIP]	10,210	10,210	10,210	10,210
200	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	41,829	41,829	41,829	41,829
201	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE [AMRAAM]	22,780	22,780	22,780	22,780
206	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	23,053	23,053	23,053	23,053
207	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES	296	296	296	296
208	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	359	359	359	359
209	WMWCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	6,166	6,166	6,166	6,166
212	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS—SPACE [METOC]	8,505	8,505	8,505	8,505
213	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS				
214	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES				

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
216	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	11,613	11,613	11,613	.....	.....
217	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	18,146	18,146	18,146	.....	.....
218	RQ-4 UAV .....	498,003	463,003	426,503	- 71,500	- 36,500
218X	RQ-4 MODERNIZATION .....	.....	.....	5,000	+ 5,000	+ 5,000
219	MQ-8 UAV .....	47,294	43,294	47,294	.....	+ 4,000
220	RQ-11 UAV .....	718	718	718	.....	.....
221	RQ-7 UAV .....	851	851	851	.....	.....
222	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO) .....	4,813	4,813	4,813	.....	.....
223	RQ-21A .....	8,192	8,192	8,192	.....	.....
224	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT .....	22,559	18,664	17,751	- 4,808	- 913
225	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS [UAS] PAYLOADS [MIP] .....	2,000	2,000	2,000	.....	.....
226	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT .....	4,719	4,719	4,719	.....	.....
227	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	21,168	21,168	21,168	.....	.....
228	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	37,169	37,169	37,169	.....	.....
229	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARTTECH) .....	4,347	4,347	4,347	.....	.....
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	2,123,344	1,997,728	2,006,900	- 116,444	+ 9,172
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,162,684	1,162,684	1,162,684	.....	.....
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY .....	16,266,335	15,877,770	15,920,372	- 345,963	+ 42,602

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	University Research Initiatives .....	113,908	133,908	+ 20,000
	Program Increase .....			+ 20,000
2	In-House Laboratory Independent Research .....	18,734	19,142	+ 408
	Program Increase .....			+ 408
3	Defense Research Sciences .....	443,697	497,145	+ 53,448
	Program Increase .....			+ 53,448
5	Force Protection Applied Research .....	139,496	169,496	+ 30,000
	Program Increase .....			+ 5,000
	Program Increase: Alternative energy .....			+ 25,000
32	Surface and Shallow Water Mine Countermeasures .....	100,349	86,734	- 13,615
	Budget documentation disparity: USV product development undefined .....			- 13,615
42	Ship Concept Advanced Design .....	17,864	12,271	- 5,593
	Transfer to National Defense Sealift Fund: Strategic Sealift Research and Development .....			- 5,593
43	Ship Preliminary Design & Feasibility Studies .....	23,716	2,448	- 21,268
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CSC contract award delay .....			- 3,305
	Budget documentation disparity: Undefined contract design for Naval Operational Logistics Information .....			- 2,000
	Transfer to National Defense Sealift Fund: Naval Operational Logistics Integration .....			- 15,963
49	Ohio Replacement .....	849,277	853,277	+ 4,000
	Program Increase: Submarine propulsion component development .....			+ 4,000
50	LCS Mission Modules .....	196,948	173,348	- 23,600
	Improving funds management: Prior year unobligated balances and schedule slips .....			- 23,600
56	Cooperative Engagement .....	43,578	37,478	- 6,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CAB contract award delay .....			- 3,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AMDR delay .....			- 3,100
62	Navy Logistic Productivity .....	3,873	3,473	- 400
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 400
71	Joint Precision Approach and Landing Systems—Dem/Val .....	54,896	23,124	- 31,772
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Removing F-18 and MH-60R integration .....			- 31,772
76	Tactical Air Directional Infrared Countermeasures [TADIRCM] ..	5,657	5,556	- 101
	Program terminations: JATAS .....			- 101
77	ASE Self-Protection Optimization .....	8,033	5,923	- 2,110
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified request for test assets .....			- 2,110
81	Space and Electronic Warfare [SEW] Architecture/Engineering Support .....	22,393	18,798	- 3,595
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification materials .....			- 3,595
87	Other Helo Development .....	46,154	40,099	- 6,055
	Transfer MH-XX: To program Line #87X .....			- 6,055
87X	MH-XX .....		6,055	+ 6,055
	Transfer MH-XX: From program Line #87 .....			+ 6,055
92	P-3 Modernization Program .....	1,710	710	- 1,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 1,000
94	Tactical Command System .....	70,248	62,748	- 7,500
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified request for JMPS 64 bit .....			- 7,500
95	Advanced Hawkeye .....	193,200	193,200	
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Cost growth for modernization and poor execution .....			- 10,000
	Program increase: Advanced Hawkeye .....			+ 10,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
102	Executive Helo Development .....	388,086	348,086	-40,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Savings from early down select .....			-40,000
107	Small Diameter Bomb [SDB] .....	71,849	51,286	-20,563
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JSF integration .....			-20,563
108	Standard Missile Improvements .....	53,198	36,698	-16,500
	Improving funds management: Behind in execution .....			-16,500
113	Advanced Above Water Sensors .....	20,409	19,809	-600
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified advanced radar technology .....			-600
121	Ship Contract Design/ Live Fire T&E .....	48,470	40,016	-8,454
	Transfer to National Defense Sealift Fund: Maritime Prepositioning Force .....			-8,454
123	Virginia Payload Module [VPM] .....	132,602	112,602	-20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program execution .....			-20,000
124	Mine Development .....	19,067	14,067	-5,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified offensive mining .....			-5,000
130	Ship Self Defense (Engage: Hard Kill) .....	96,937	101,937	+5,000
	Program Increase: Systems overhaul .....			+5,000
131	Ship Self Defense (Engage: Soft Kill/EW) .....	134,564	116,904	-17,660
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified RCIP .....			-4,435
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SEWIP block 3 PDR delay .....			-13,225
135	Joint Strike Fighter [JSF]—EMD .....	513,021	499,048	-13,973
	Transfer Follow on development to Line #135X .....			-10,399
	Excess FOD .....			-3,574
135X	JSF FOD—MC .....		10,399	+10,399
	Transfer Follow on development from Line #135 .....			+10,399
136	Joint Strike Fighter [JSF]—EMD .....	516,456	502,260	-14,196
	Transfer Follow on development to Line #136X .....			-10,622
	Excess FOD .....			-3,574
136X	JSF FOD—N .....		10,622	+10,622
	Transfer Follow on development from Line #136 .....			+10,622
138	Information Technology Development .....	66,317	61,317	-5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AAUSN IT Contract undefined .....			-5,000
	Enterprise Product Lifecycle Management Integrated Decision Environment program .....			[5,000]
139	CH—53K RDTE .....	573,187	563,187	-10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce SDTA aircraft incremental funding .....			-25,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Vendor Production Qualification .....			+15,000
140	Ship to Shore Connector [SSC] .....	67,815	23,145	-44,670
	Transfer Ship to Shore Connector: To SCN Line #19 for operational craft .....			-44,670
142	Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft [MMA] .....	308,037	278,037	-30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Spiral 2 growth .....			-30,000
147	Threat Simulator Development .....	45,272	40,793	-4,479
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			-4,479
148	Target Systems Development .....	79,718	69,718	-10,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			-10,000
151	Studies and Analysis Support—Navy .....	8,296	3,502	-4,794
	Transfer FA—XX to Line #151X .....			-4,794
151X	FA—XX (Next Generation Fighter) .....		4,794	+4,794
	Transfer from Line #151 for FA—XX .....			+4,794
155	Management, Technical & International Support .....	72,070	87,070	+15,000
	Program Increase: Printed Circuit Board Executive Agent—Funds Previous NDAA mandate .....			+15,000
156	Strategic Technical Support .....	3,237	2,590	-647
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			-647
169	Marine Corps Data Systems .....	215	115	-100



[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 100
172	Strategic Sub & Weapons System Support .....	96,943	89,943	- 7,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request for integrated warhead study .....			- 7,000
176	Rapid Technology Transition (RTT) .....	12,480	9,480	- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 3,000
181	Tomahawk and Tomahawk Mission Planning Center (TMPC) ....	32,385	26,145	- 6,240
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified A2AD improvements .....			- 6,240
184	Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar (G/ATOR) .....	99,106	92,106	- 7,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: GATOR Block 2 undefined .....			- 7,000
185	Consolidated Training Systems Development .....	39,922	37,922	- 2,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Tactical combat training execution .....			- 2,000
189	Tactical Data Links .....	151,208	136,708	- 14,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified requirement for NTCDL .....			- 14,500
192	Aviation Improvements .....	106,936	111,936	+ 5,000
	Expeditionary program Increase .....			+ 5,000
197	Marine Corps Ground Combat/Supporting Arms Systems .....	156,626	52,419	- 104,207
	Transfer Amphibious Assault Vehicle to Line #197X .....			- 104,207
197X	Amphibious Assault Vehicle .....		104,207	+ 104,207
	Transfer Amphibious Assault Vehicle from Line #197 ....			+ 104,207
200	Tactical AIM Missiles .....	47,258	37,258	- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AIM-9X Block III development .....			- 10,000
209	WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System .....	296	—	- 296
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program was terminated last year .....			- 296
218	RQ-4 UAV .....	498,003	426,503	- 71,500
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified primary hardware development .....			- 6,500
	Delay start of modernization due to 3 years of slip in Production .....			- 60,000
	Transfer to RQ-4 Modernization Line #218X .....			- 5,000
218X	RQ-4 Modernization .....		5,000	+ 5,000
	Transfer from RQ-4 UAV for modernization Line #218 ....			+ 5,000
224	Multi-Intelligence Sensor Development .....	22,559	17,751	- 4,808
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 4,808

*Ocean Exploration Program.*—As recommended by the President’s Commission on Ocean Policy, the Office of Naval Research [ONR] should support the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration’s “America’s Ocean Exploration Program” through continued cooperation on advanced technology, such as remotely controlled and autonomously operated vehicles. Where appropriate, the Committee encourages ONR to enable information sharing with other Federal research agencies by integrating and leveraging relevant research and data.

*Commonality for Command and Control Software.*—The Department of the Navy spends a significant portion of its annual budget to procure, develop, and maintain numerous Command and Control [C2] software systems to meet the needs of Navy Flight Test Ranges. These systems contain common software components. Typically, software components are not off-the-shelf systems, leading to complex interoperability challenges which limit data sharing. Therefore, the Committee urges the Navy to identify concepts that enable the decoupling of software components for reuse in future

Government C2 systems at Naval Flight Test Ranges. In addition, the Committee encourages the Navy to evaluate the value of establishing a government owned-government led open source code repository.

*Navy Alternative Energy.*—The Committee provides an additional \$25,000,000 for Navy alternative energy research. The Committee encourages the Navy to expand ocean renewable energy testing, research, develop, and deploy for maritime security systems, support at-sea surveillance and communications systems, and explore opportunities to reduce the cost of energy and increase energy security at coastal Department of Defense [DOD] facilities. The Committee encourages the Navy to continue its investments in developing ocean renewable energy technologies, as defined in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, and to coordinate with the Department of Energy and designated National Marine Renewable Energy Centers for ocean renewable energy demonstration activities at or near DOD facilities.

*Power Generation and Energy Storage.*—The Committee supports the Navy's investments in power generation and energy storage research. The Committee notes that development and deployment of lithium-ion batteries are critical to current and future missions, but safety incidents and concerns have made it challenging for operational utility. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department of the Navy to continue development and qualification of technologies to reduce the risk of thermal runaway in lithium-ion batteries.

*HAZMAT Elimination.*—Until recently, most on-board ship water purification systems used bromine as an antimicrobial. However, bromine is toxic, and requires special HAZMAT handling which is time-consuming and expensive. While the Navy has placed bromine-free systems on most of the large deck surface ship classes, it has not yet removed bromine systems from the LCS, CG, DDG, and FFG classes. The Committee urges the Navy to explore using systems that eliminate this HAZMAT threat to its personnel.

*Counter Anti-Access/Area Denial Capabilities.*—The Committee remains concerned about the ability of U.S. naval forces to confront anti-access/area-denial environments in maritime domains, particularly in the Asia Pacific region. U.S. Forces face complex and sophisticated emerging ballistic and cruise missile threats that impact operational access and mission effectiveness. The Committee urges the Office of Naval Research to conduct research efforts on technologies that enhance the ability of U.S. naval forces to operate in heavily contested environments.

*Integrated Undersea Surveillance System.*—The Committee understands that the Integrated Undersea Surveillance System provides the Navy with its primary means of submarine detection. The Committee believes that developing technology that is capable of autonomous installation of an undersea passive acoustic array should be a Navy priority. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to continue developing this technology.

*Navy Integrated Capability Concept.*—The Committee notes the Department of the Navy is pursuing a streamlined approach to integrating multiple weapon systems under the Navy Integrated Capability Concept. This concept will greatly enhance interoperability

across weapon systems in the Navy; however, the other military departments are not participating in these integration activities. In addition, this concept is directing the modification of Navy weapons systems, which is expected to cost over \$4,000,000,000. The Committee believes that such a large financial commitment cannot be carried out without proper validation of requirements, which is required of any major defense acquisition program. As a result, the Committee directs the Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] and the Joint Requirements Oversight Council [JROC] to review and validate this cost and requirements of the concept and report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this act.

*Ohio Replacement Program.*—The fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request includes \$853,277,000 to continue development of the *Ohio*-class replacement submarine. The current fleet of 14 ballistic submarines are scheduled to retire in fiscal year 2027, after 42 years of service. The Committee received testimony from the Chief of Naval Operations that replacement of the *Ohio*-class SSBN “is the top priority program for the Navy” and encourages the same priority for the program in future years’ budgets. The Committee strongly urges the Navy to keep this program on schedule and within budget.

*F/A-18 and EA-18G Propulsion Upgrade Study.*—The Committee encourages the Department of the Navy to continue researching potential F414-400 turbofan engine upgrades for the F/A-18 and EA-18G. Therefore, the Committee fully funds the fiscal year 2015 request for the F/A-18 and EA-18G modernization plan.

*Amphibious Combat Vehicle.*—This fiscal year 2015 request includes \$105,749,000 to begin development of the Amphibious Combat Vehicle Increment 1.1. The funding is requested to manufacture 33 prototype vehicles, conduct testing and other associated costs. The Committee is supportive of the Marine Corps’ Amphibious Combat Vehicle [ACV] program but is concerned with aspects of the proposed acceleration of the acquisition strategy, such as inadequate test schedules and excessive numbers of prototypes. Therefore, the Committee fully funds the fiscal year 2015 request, but rescinds \$40,000,000 previously appropriated in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014. The combined fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2015 funds allows ample funding for competitive contract awards in fiscal year 2015.

*Electromagnetic Railgun.*—The Navy has initiated a new effort to transition several breakthrough technologies to the warfighter, including the electromagnetic railgun. The advantages of this capability include increased range over traditional propellant guns, enhanced lethality, increased ammunition storage capacity, and decreased costs when compared to current land attack missiles. The fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request includes, and the Committee fully funds, \$58,696,000 to procure equipment and define ship modifications for a railgun demonstration on a Joint High Speed Vessel.

In an effort to accelerate the railgun’s deployment and reduce the risk of this technology, the Committee encourages the Navy to fund a small railgun demonstration as proposed by the Defense Ordnance Technology Consortium. Demonstration of a smaller weapon

would allow for the rapid production, testing, and early fielding of a tactical railgun system. Once developed, this system may also be used for early fielding of an integrated air and missile defense system to provide testing components for other systems.

By April 1, 2015, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees that outlines the Navy and Strategic Capabilities Office's plan to demonstrate a small (10 mega-joule or less system) electromagnetic railgun system as a step to reduce program risk for follow-on development efforts.

*Unmanned Carrier Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike [UCLASS] System.*—The Committee supports the request of \$403,017,000 to continue the development of the UCLASS program in three segments: the air segment, the control system and connectivity segment, and the carrier segment. The Committee is concerned that the Navy is proceeding with UCLASS development prior to the formal establishment of stable requirements.

For example, earlier this year, the Navy issued a second draft request for proposals for the air segment, which included changes to the key performance parameters from the original draft. The changes in requirements forced industry to significantly change their air vehicle designs to better meet the amended parameters. This could have been avoided if the UCLASS requirements had been formally established through a Joint Requirements Oversight Council approved capability development document [CDD] prior to issuing a draft request for proposal. The Committee is concerned that the Navy is avoiding basic acquisition practices at the outset of a very large development program. To help ensure key performance parameters are well established and understood in the future, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to obtain Joint Requirements Oversight Council approval of the UCLASS CDD before issuing the final request for proposal.

*MQ-4 Triton Unmanned Aerial Vehicle [UAV].*—The fiscal year 2015 President's budget requests \$498,003,000 to continue system development and begin modernization of the RQ-4 Triton UAV program. Last year, the program experienced a 2 year delay in development and added \$312,000,000 across fiscal years 2014 and 2015. This year, the program has experienced an additional year delay and added \$407,600,000 across fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017. The Committee is concerned with the cost and schedule breaches over the past few years. In addition, the Committee is troubled by the software development delays, the reduction of a test article, the development of a unique ground station, and the lack of anti-tamper systems to protect the critical technologies. Therefore, the Committee recommends deferring modernization until a review of the capabilities development document outlining the modernization program is performed by the Joint Review Oversight Council [JROC]. The Committee reduces the fiscal year 2015 research and development request by \$60,000,000, and directs the Navy to use \$5,000,000 to complete the analysis in support of the JROC review. The recommendation includes a separate modernization budget line item for transparency.

*Satellite Communications Interference.*—The Committee is aware that the Department of the Navy is investigating methodologies to

decrease interference in satellite communication between the Mobile User Objective System [MUOS] satellite transmission and the terminals. The Committee is concerned about problems associated with interference in ground terminals for wideband satellite communications systems and encourages further development of technologies that can effectively address this interference. Therefore, the Committee fully funds the budget request for the satellite communication development program and encourages the Navy to work with small businesses to provide an affordable solution.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$23,585,292,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	23,739,892,000
House allowance .....	23,438,982,000
Committee recommendation .....	23,082,702,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$23,082,702,000. This is \$657,190,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE					
	BASIC RESEARCH					
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	314,482	314,482	389,979	+75,497	+75,497
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	127,079	127,079	147,079	+20,000	+20,000
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	12,929	12,929	13,950	+1,021	+1,021
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....	454,490	454,490	551,008	+96,518	+96,518
	APPLIED RESEARCH					
4	MATERIALS .....	105,680	110,680	105,680	.....	-5,000
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES .....	105,747	105,747	105,747	.....	.....
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	81,957	96,957	81,957	.....	-15,000
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION .....	172,550	172,550	197,550	+25,000	+25,000
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	118,343	118,343	118,343	.....	.....
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY .....	98,229	91,229	98,229	.....	+7,000
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	87,387	87,387	87,387	.....	.....
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY .....	125,955	125,955	125,955	.....	.....
12	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS .....	147,789	147,789	147,789	.....	.....
13	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH .....	37,496	37,496	37,496	.....	.....
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....	1,081,133	1,094,133	1,106,133	+25,000	+12,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	32,177	39,677	42,177	+10,000	+2,500
15	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) .....	15,800	15,800	15,800	.....	.....
16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	34,420	34,420	34,420	.....	.....
17	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO .....	91,062	91,062	91,062	.....	.....
18	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY .....	124,236	124,236	134,236	+10,000	+10,000
19	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY .....	47,602	47,602	47,602	.....	.....
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY .....	69,026	63,026	69,026	.....	+6,000
21	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS) .....	14,031	14,031	14,031	.....	.....
22	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	21,788	21,788	21,788	.....	.....
23	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	42,046	42,046	42,046	.....	.....
24	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	23,542	23,542	23,542	.....	.....
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	42,772	52,772	42,772	.....	-10,000

	35,315	35,315	35,315	35,315	35,315	35,315
26	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION .....					
	593,817	605,317	613,817	613,817	613,817	+8,500
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....					
27	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT .....					
	5,408	5,408	5,408	5,408	5,408	
31	6,075	6,075	6,075	6,075	6,075	
32	10,980	10,980	10,980	10,980	10,980	
33	2,392	2,392	2,392	2,392	2,392	
34	833	833	833	833	833	
35	32,313	30,955	32,313	32,313	32,313	+1,358
37	30,885	30,885	30,885	30,885	30,885	
39	1,798	1,798	998	998	998	-800
40	913,728	913,728	913,728	913,728	913,728	
42	2,669	12,669	5,169	5,169	5,169	-7,500
45	39,901	39,901	39,901	39,901	39,901	
49	4,976					-4,976
	59,004	59,004	20,000	20,000	20,000	+20,000
51	15,722	15,722	84,004	84,004	84,004	+25,000
54	88,825	88,825	6,000	6,000	6,000	-9,722
55	156,659	156,659	88,825	88,825	88,825	
56			156,659	156,659	156,659	
	1,372,168	1,375,834	1,404,170	1,404,170	1,404,170	+28,336
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT .....					
59	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....					
60	13,324	13,324	13,324	13,324	13,324	
61	1,965	1,965	1,965	1,965	1,965	
62	39,110	39,110	39,110	39,110	39,110	+8,100
63	3,926	3,926	3,926	3,926	3,926	
64	68,759	68,759	68,759	68,759	68,759	
65	23,746	23,476	23,746	23,746	23,746	+270
66	9,462	9,462	9,462	9,462	9,462	
67	214,131	200,131	154,131	154,131	154,131	-60,000
68	30,687	30,687	10,687	10,687	10,687	-20,000
69	319,501	309,501	319,501	319,501	319,501	+10,000
70	31,112	31,112	27,112	27,112	27,112	-4,000
71	2,543	2,543	2,543	2,543	2,543	
72	46,340	46,340	42,840	42,840	42,840	-3,500
73	8,854	8,854	8,854	8,854	8,854	
	10,129	10,129	10,129	10,129	10,129	

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
74	ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)	.....	220,000	.....	.....	-220,000
77	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)	.....	.....	7,000	+7,000	+7,000
75	F-35—EMD	563,037	563,037	568,013	+4,976	+4,976
78	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	4,938	3,438	1,938	-3,000	-1,500
79	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	59,826	29,826	59,826	.....	+30,000
80	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	78	78	.....	-78	-78
81	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	173,647	173,647	156,347	-17,300	-17,300
82	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	5,332	5,332	5,332	.....	.....
83	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT KC-46	776,937	766,937	776,937	.....	+10,000
84	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	8,201	8,201	8,201	.....	.....
85	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	.....	100,000	100,000	+100,000	.....
86	HC/MC-130 RECAP RT&E	7,497	7,497	4,497	-3,000	-3,000
87	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	314,378	296,038	308,578	-5,800	+12,540
88	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	103,552	103,552	103,552	.....	.....
89	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	31,425	23,925	31,425	.....	+7,500
90	AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2	85,938	85,938	85,938	.....	.....
91	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	98,768	98,768	98,768	.....	.....
92	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	193,357	193,357	148,357	-50,000	-45,000
94	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	8,831	8,831	8,831	.....	.....
95	NEXTGEN JSTARS	73,088	73,088	10,000	-63,088	-63,088
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	3,337,419	3,560,809	3,227,729	-109,690	-333,080
97	RT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	24,418	24,418	24,418	.....	.....
98	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	47,232	47,232	47,232	.....	.....
99	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	30,443	30,443	30,443	.....	.....
101	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	12,266	12,266	10,266	-2,000	-2,000
102	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	689,509	689,509	689,509	.....	.....
103	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	34,364	34,364	34,364	.....	.....
104	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	21,161	21,161	21,161	.....	.....
105	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—TEST & EVAL	46,955	46,955	46,955	.....	.....
106	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	32,965	32,965	32,965	.....	.....
107	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	13,850	13,850	16,850	+3,000	+3,000
108	SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT	19,512	19,512	19,512	.....	.....
110	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER [SMC] CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	181,727	177,800	176,727	-5,000	-1,073



111	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS)	4,938	4,938	4,938	4,938		
112	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	18,644	18,644	18,644	18,644		
113	ELECTRONIC ACQUISITION SERVICES ENVIRONMENT	1,425	1,425	1,425	1,425		
114	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	3,790	3,790	3,790	3,790		
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,183,199	1,179,272	1,179,199	1,179,199	-4,000	-73
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT						
115	GPS III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT	299,760	299,760	299,760	299,760		
117	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000		-2,000
118	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,469	2,469	2,469	2,469		
119	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	90,218	90,218	60,218	60,218	-30,000	-30,000
120	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	34,815	34,815	34,815	34,815		
122	B-52 SQUADRONS	55,457	55,457	33,857	33,857	-21,600	-21,600
123	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	450	450	450	450		
124	B-1B SQUADRONS	5,353	4,353	2,353	2,353	-3,000	-2,000
125	B-2 SQUADRONS	131,580	105,680	111,580	111,580	-20,000	+5,900
126	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	139,109	139,109	139,109	139,109		
127	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	35,603	35,603	28,703	28,703	-6,900	-6,900
128	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM	32	32			-32	-32
130	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION	1,522	1,522	1,522	1,522		
131	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES	3,134	3,134	3,134	3,134		
133	MQ-9 UAV	170,396	170,396	149,096	149,096	-21,300	-21,300
136	F-16 SQUADRONS	133,105	133,105	133,105	133,105		
137	F-15E SQUADRONS	261,969	251,969	236,969	236,969	-25,000	-15,000
138	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	14,831	14,831	14,831	14,831		
139	F-22 SQUADRONS	156,962	151,362	151,962	151,962	-5,000	+600
140	F-35 SQUADRONS	43,666	43,666	24,477	24,477	-19,189	-19,189
141	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	29,739	29,739	29,739	29,739		
142	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	82,195	82,195	82,195	82,195		
144	F-15 EPAWSS	68,944	68,944	38,944	38,944	-30,000	-30,000
145	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	5,095	5,095	5,095	5,095		
146	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	883	883	883	883		
147	AF TENCAP	5,812	5,812	5,812	5,812		
148	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,081	1,081	1,081	1,081		
149	COMPASS CALL	14,411	14,411	14,411	14,411		
150	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	109,664	94,177	109,664	109,664		+15,487
151	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	15,897	15,897	12,897	12,897	-3,000	-3,000
152	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	41,066	41,066	26,666	26,666	-14,400	-14,400
153	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	552	552			-552	-552
154	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	180,804	180,804	180,804	180,804		

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
155	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	3,754	3,754	3,754		
157	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES .....	7,891	7,891	7,891		
158	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY—MOD .....	5,891	5,891	5,891		
159	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK .....	1,782	1,782	1,782		
161	DCAPES .....	821	821	821		
163	SEEK EAGLE .....	23,844	23,844	23,844		
164	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION .....	16,723	16,723	12,123	-4,600	-4,600
165	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS .....	5,956	5,956	5,956		
166	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES .....	4,457	4,457	3,357	-1,100	-1,100
167	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....	60,679	60,679	60,679		
169	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES .....	67,057	67,057	67,057		
170	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	13,355	13,355	13,355		
171	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	5,576	5,576	5,576		
179	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE .....	12,218	10,697	12,218		+1,521
180	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC) .....	28,778	1,700	22,978	-5,800	+21,278
181	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK .....	81,035	81,035	81,035		
182	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	70,497	70,497	70,497		
183	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	692	692	692		
185	MILSATCOM TERMINALS .....	55,208	49,950	55,208		+5,258
187	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE .....	106,786	106,786	74,496	-32,290	-32,290
190	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) .....	4,157	4,157	4,157		
193	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) .....	20,806	20,806	20,806		
194	WEATHER SERVICE .....	25,102	25,102	20,102	-5,000	-5,000
195	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC) .....	23,516	23,516	26,516	+3,000	+3,000
196	AERIAL TARGETS .....	8,639	8,639	8,639		
199	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES .....	498	498		-498	-498
200	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION .....	13,222	13,222	13,222		
201	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	360	360	40	-320	-320
206	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER .....	3,674	3,326	3,674		+348
207	SPACE WARFARE CENTER .....	2,480	2,071	2,480		+409
208	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE .....	8,592	6,954	8,592		+1,638
209	SPACE/LIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	13,462	13,462	13,462		
210	DRAGON U-2 .....	5,511	5,511	5,511		
211	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....			20,000	+20,000	+20,000
212	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	28,113	38,113	28,113		-10,000

213	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	13,516	13,516	13,516	13,516	13,516	13,516	13,516	13,516	.....	.....	.....	.....					
214	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	27,265	27,265	27,265	27,265	27,265	27,265	27,265	27,265	.....	.....	.....	.....					
215	PREDATOR UAV (JIMP) .....	1,378	1,378	1,378	1,378	1,378	1,378	1,378	1,378	.....	.....	.....	.....					
216	RQ-4 UAV .....	244,514	244,514	244,514	244,514	244,514	244,514	244,514	244,514	.....	.....	.....	.....					
217	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA) .....	11,096	11,096	11,096	11,096	11,096	11,096	11,096	11,096	.....	.....	.....	.....					
218	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL) .....	36,137	36,137	36,137	36,137	36,137	36,137	36,137	36,137	.....	.....	.....	.....					
219	NATO AGS .....	232,851	232,851	232,851	232,851	232,851	232,851	232,851	232,851	.....	.....	.....	.....					
220	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE .....	20,218	20,218	20,218	20,218	20,218	20,218	20,218	20,218	.....	.....	.....	.....					
221	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	212,571	212,571	212,571	212,571	212,571	212,571	212,571	212,571	.....	.....	.....	.....					
222	JSOPC MISSION SYSTEM .....	73,779	73,779	73,779	73,779	73,779	73,779	73,779	73,779	.....	.....	.....	.....					
223	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION .....	4,102	4,102	4,102	4,102	4,102	4,102	4,102	4,102	.....	.....	.....	.....					
225	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	20,468	20,468	20,468	20,468	20,468	20,468	20,468	20,468	.....	.....	.....	.....					
226	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS .....	11,596	11,596	11,596	11,596	11,596	11,596	11,596	11,596	.....	.....	.....	.....					
227	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	4,938	4,938	4,938	4,938	4,938	4,938	4,938	4,938	.....	.....	.....	.....					
228	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) .....	1,212	1,212	1,212	1,212	1,212	1,212	1,212	1,212	.....	.....	.....	.....					
230	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS .....	38,773	38,773	38,773	38,773	38,773	38,773	38,773	38,773	.....	.....	.....	.....					
231	C-17 AIRCRAFT .....	83,773	83,773	83,773	83,773	83,773	83,773	83,773	83,773	.....	.....	.....	.....					
232	C-130J PROGRAM .....	26,715	26,715	26,715	26,715	26,715	26,715	26,715	26,715	.....	.....	.....	.....					
233	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCMI) .....	5,172	5,172	5,172	5,172	5,172	5,172	5,172	5,172	.....	.....	.....	.....					
234	KC-10S .....	2,714	2,714	2,714	2,714	2,714	2,714	2,714	2,714	.....	.....	.....	.....					
235	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT .....	27,784	27,784	27,784	27,784	27,784	27,784	27,784	27,784	.....	.....	.....	.....					
236	CV-22 .....	38,719	38,719	38,719	38,719	38,719	38,719	38,719	38,719	.....	.....	.....	.....					
237	PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR) .....	11,006	11,006	11,006	11,006	11,006	11,006	11,006	11,006	.....	.....	.....	.....					
238	SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL .....	8,405	8,405	8,405	8,405	8,405	8,405	8,405	8,405	.....	.....	.....	.....					
239	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	1,407	1,407	1,407	1,407	1,407	1,407	1,407	1,407	.....	.....	.....	.....					
241	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) .....	109,685	109,685	109,685	109,685	109,685	109,685	109,685	109,685	.....	.....	.....	.....					
242	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	16,209	16,209	16,209	16,209	16,209	16,209	16,209	16,209	.....	.....	.....	.....					
243	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING .....	987	987	987	987	987	987	987	987	.....	.....	.....	.....					
244	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES .....	126	126	126	126	126	126	126	126	.....	.....	.....	.....					
245	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY .....	2,603	2,603	2,603	2,603	2,603	2,603	2,603	2,603	.....	.....	.....	.....					
246	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM .....	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	.....	.....	.....	.....					
247	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....	5,026	5,026	5,026	5,026	5,026	5,026	5,026	5,026	.....	.....	.....	.....					
248	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY .....	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	.....	.....	.....	.....					
249	FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATION .....	3,798	3,798	3,798	3,798	3,798	3,798	3,798	3,798	.....	.....	.....	.....					
250	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	107,314	87,314	87,314	102,685	102,685	102,685	102,685	102,685	.....	.....	.....	.....					
											TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....		3,977,708		-298,838		-196,599	
											CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....		11,022,938		-418,182		+28,118	
													4,174,307		-418,182		+28,118	
													10,994,820					

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
				Budget estimate	House allowance
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE .....	23,739,892	23,438,982	23,082,702	- 657,190	- 356,280

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Program element title	Fiscal year 2014 base	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Defense Research Sciences .....	314,482	389,979	+ 75,497
	Program increase .....			+ 75,497
2	University Research Initiatives .....	127,079	147,079	+ 20,000
	Program increase .....			+ 20,000
3	High Energy Laser Research Initiatives .....	12,929	13,950	+ 1,021
	Program increase .....			+ 1,021
7	Aerospace Propulsion .....	172,550	197,550	+ 25,000
	Program increase: Liquid rocket engine develop- ment .....			+ 25,000
14	Advanced Materials for Weapon Systems .....	32,177	42,177	+ 10,000
	Program increase: Metals affordability research .....			+ 10,000
18	Aerospace Propulsion and Power Technology .....	124,236	134,236	+ 10,000
	Program increase: Silicon Carbide research .....			+ 10,000
39	Pollution Prevention—Dem/Val .....	1,798	998	– 800
	Improving funds management: Forward financing .....			– 800
42	Technology Transfer .....	2,669	5,169	+ 2,500
	Program increase .....			+ 2,500
49	F–35—EMD .....	4,976		– 4,976
	Transfer F–35 EMD: Air Force requested to line #75 .....			– 4,976
50	Operationally Responsive Space .....		20,000	+ 20,000
	Program increase .....			+ 20,000
51	Tech Transition Program .....	59,004	84,004	+ 25,000
	Program increase: Alternative energy research .....			+ 25,000
54	Next Generation Air Dominance .....	15,722	6,000	– 9,722
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Concept devel- opment studies inherently governmental .....			– 9,722
60	Electronic Warfare Development .....	1,965	10,065	+ 8,100
	Program increase: Digital radar warning receiver for the Air National Guard .....			+ 10,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing .....			– 1,900
66	Space Fence .....	214,131	154,131	– 60,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			– 60,000
67	Airborne Electronic Attack .....	30,687	10,687	– 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Next Gen Elec- tronic Attack analysis-of-alternatives inherently governmental .....			– 20,000
69	Armament/Ordnance Development .....	31,112	27,112	– 4,000
	Improving funds management: Add lead time for acquisition planning—Improved Lethality .....			– 4,000
71	Agile Combat Support .....	46,340	42,840	– 3,500
	Improving funds management: Forward financing— airfield damage repair .....			– 3,500
75	F–35—EMD .....	563,037	568,013	+ 4,976
	Transfer F–35 EMD: Air Force requested from line #49 .....			+ 4,976
77	Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program (SPACE)— EMD .....		7,000	+ 7,000
	Program increase: Space Launch Range services and capability .....			+ 7,000
78	Long Range Standoff Weapon .....	4,938	1,938	– 3,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			– 3,000
80	Joint Tactical Network Center [JTNC] .....	78		– 78
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			– 78
81	F–22 Modernization Increment 3.2B .....	173,647	156,347	– 17,300
	Improving funds management: Historic underexecu- tion .....			– 17,300
85	CSAR HH–60 Recapitalization .....		100,000	+ 100,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Program element title	Fiscal year 2014 base	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase .....			+ 100,000
86	HC/MC-130 Recap RDT&E .....	7,497	4,497	- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 3,000
87	Advanced EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	314,378	308,578	- 5,800
	Budget document disparity: Excessive program management services—Evolved AEHF .....			- 5,800
92	Nuclear Weapons Modernization .....	198,357	148,357	- 50,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 50,000
95	NextGen JSTARS .....	73,088	10,000	- 63,088
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need—awaiting Concept Development Document approval .....			- 63,088
101	Initial Operational Test & Evaluation .....	12,266	10,266	- 2,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified increase—Weapons OT&E .....			- 2,000
107	Requirements Analysis and Maturation .....	13,850	16,850	+ 3,000
	Program increase .....			+ 3,000
110	Space and Missile Center [SMC] Civilian Workforce .....	181,727	176,727	- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Optimistic hiring forecast .....			- 5,000
119	AF Integrated Personnel and Pay System [AF-IPPS] .....	90,218	60,218	- 30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Delayed contract award .....			- 30,000
122	B-52 Squadrons .....	55,457	33,857	- 21,600
	Maintain program affordability: 1760 Internal Weapons Bay Upgrade Inc 2 .....			- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 11,600
124	B-1B Squadrons .....	5,353	2,353	- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 3,000
125	B-2 Squadrons .....	131,580	111,580	- 20,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 20,000
127	Strat War Planning System—USSTRATCOM .....	35,603	28,703	- 6,900
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Increment 4 contract award delay .....			- 5,500
	Maintain program affordability: Data integration—unjustified cost increase .....			- 1,400
128	Night Fist—USSTRATCOM .....	32		- 32
	Budget document disparity: Unjustified request .....			- 32
133	MQ-9 UAV .....	170,396	149,096	- 21,300
	Maintain program affordability: System Development and Demonstration .....			- 21,300
137	F-15E Squadrons .....	261,969	236,969	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Infrared Search and Track .....			- 5,000
139	F-22A Squadrons .....	156,962	151,962	- 5,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified increase—laboratory test and operations .....			- 5,000
140	F-35 Squadrons .....	43,666	24,477	- 19,189
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Delay dual capable aircraft until Capability Development Document approval .....			- 15,615
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition Decision Memorandum \$40 million limitation .....			- 3,574
144	F-15 EPAWSS .....	68,944	38,944	- 30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Optimistic schedule .....			- 30,000
151	Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile [JASSM] .....	15,897	12,897	- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 3,000
152	Air & Space Operations Center [AOC] .....	41,066	26,666	- 14,400
	Maintain program affordability: Applications development—unjustified increase .....			- 14,400
153	Control and Reporting Center [CRC] .....	552		- 552
	Improving funds management: Forward financing ...			- 552

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Program element title	Fiscal year 2014 base	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
164	USAF Modeling and Simulation .....	16,723	12,123	-4,600
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified Increase—Air Constructive Environment .....			-4,600
166	Distributed Training and Exercises .....	4,457	3,357	-1,100
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified Increase .....			-1,100
180	E-4B National Airborne Operations Center (NAOC) .....	28,778	22,978	-5,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Low Frequency Transmit System—delay to contract award .....			-5,800
187	Airborne SIGINT Enterprise .....	106,786	74,496	-32,290
	Improving funds management: Medium Altitude on hold .....			-32,290
194	Weather Service .....	25,102	20,102	-5,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing .....			-5,000
195	Air Traffic Control, Approach, and Landing System [ATCALs] .....	23,516	26,516	+3,000
	Program increase: Ground Based Sense and Avoid .....			+3,000
199	Security and Investigative Activities .....	498		-498
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Pursue commercial off-the-shelf products .....			-498
201	Defense Joint Counterintelligence Activities .....	360	40	-320
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			-320
211	Endurance Unmanned Aerial Vehicles .....		20,000	+20,000
	Long-range, multi-day endurance ISR capability development for AFRICOM Joint Emerging Operational Need Statement (AF-0005) .....			+20,000
215	MQ-1 Predator A UAV .....	1,378		-1,378
	Improving funds management: Air Force divesting MQ-1 fleet .....			-1,378
216	RQ-4 UAV .....	244,514	242,214	-2,300
	Maintain program affordability: Test and Non Prime Support—unjustified increase .....			-2,300
218	Common Data Link (CDL) .....	36,137	27,137	-9,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing .....			-9,000
220	Support to DCGS Enterprise .....	20,218	17,118	-3,100
	Improving funds management: Forward financing .....			-3,100
232	C-130J Program .....	26,715	22,415	-4,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 8.1 Software—unjustified increase .....			-4,300
233	Large Aircraft IR Countermeasures [LAIRCM] .....	5,172	4,272	-900
	Maintain program affordability: Studies and analysis—unjustified increase .....			-900
241	Logistics Information Technology [LOGIT] .....	109,685	63,035	-46,650
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Delay transformation projects .....			-46,650
250	Financial Management Information Systems Development .....	107,314	102,685	-4,629
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Defense Enterprise Accounting Management System Increment 2 .....			-4,629
	Classified Programs .....	11,441,120	11,022,938	-418,182
	Classified adjustment .....			-418,182

*Technology Transfer.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of the technology transfer program and recommends an additional \$2,500,000 to complement and leverage current program efforts largely focused on licensing Department of Defense patents across a broad range of industries. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to apply the additional funds to universities with robust programs in aviation and aerospace research. The funds will allow these universities to lead the transfer of defense technology

to address specific technology shortfalls within the United States aviation and aerospace industry.

*The Competitive Rocket Innovation—Modern Engine Arrangement.*—The Committee is concerned with the Air Force’s reliance on the Russian RD–180 engine to power the first stage of the Atlas V launch vehicle for assured access to space. When the Department originally decided to use the RD–180 engine, the Air Force committed to develop an advanced rocket engine that would eventually replace the RD–180. Unfortunately, the Air Force failed to make rocket engine development a priority, so the program remains a science and technology project with no formal completion schedule that would deliver a new engine in this decade.

The fiscal year 2015 science and technology budget request includes \$43,059,000 for liquid rocket engine technology development. The Committee believes this level of funding falls short of the investment needed to create a viable new engine program and therefore adds \$25,000,000.

The Committee also recognizes that, in addition to assuring access to space for national payloads, civil space programs and the commercial launch industry would benefit from an affordable, domestically manufactured, advanced technology rocket engine that would be available to all launch providers. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to develop an affordable, competitive rocket engine development strategy that delivers a rocket engine by 2019. The strategy should include an assessment of the potential benefits and challenges of using public-private partnerships and innovative teaming arrangements. The Secretary of the Air Force shall submit the development strategy to the congressional defense committees no later than 180 days after the enactment of this act.

*Space Launch Range Services and Capability.*—The Committee notes that a lack of competition for launch services over the past decade has resulted in significant launch costs and disincentives for industry to invest in development to improve launch capabilities. The Committee believes additional competition can be achieved by creating new opportunities within the United States launch infrastructure, including commercial and State-owned launch facilities. Increasing the capability and number of launch facilities helps to ensure our Nation’s ability to launch priority space assets. Therefore, to promote competition at launch facilities, \$7,000,000 is provided to spaceports or launch and range complexes that are commercially licensed by the Federal Aviation Administration and receive funding from the local or State government. These funds shall be used to develop the capacity to provide mid-to-low inclination orbits or polar-to-high inclination orbits in support of the national security space program.

*Combat Rescue Helicopter.*—The Committee fully supports the Air Force’s decision to proceed with an acquisition program to replace the aging HH–60G Pave Hawk combat search and rescue helicopter. Due to the timing of this decision, the President’s budget request for fiscal year 2015 reflects no funding in fiscal year 2015 and a shortfall of \$436,000,000 from fiscal year 2016 through 2018.

Since 2010, the HH–60G Pave Hawk readiness rate has remained around 60 percent. However, the cost to achieve this rate



has grown an average of 7 percent year-by-year. Based on this data, the Committee believes it is unaffordable not to recap the aging Pave Hawk fleet. Therefore, the Committee provides an additional \$100,000,000 in fiscal year 2015 and urges the Secretary of the Air Force to fully fund this program in the out-years consistent with the most recent decision on the program.

The Committee notes that some combat rescue scenarios in the Africa Command and Pacific Command areas of responsibilities could create challenges to a pure rotor wing fleet. Therefore, to ensure the program addresses the full spectrum of combat rescue missions, the Committee encourages the Air Force to review the joint operational needs and requirements to determine if a high-low capability would best meet the combatant commander's needs.

The Committee also directs that should the Secretary of the Air Force consider terminating the combat rescue helicopter program, a 30-day written notification must first be provided to the congressional defense committees. This program is designated as a congressional special interest item.

*Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System [JSTARS] Recapitalization.*—The Committee is encouraged by the Air Force's effort to replace the aging, and increasingly costly, JSTARS E-8C aircraft, and supports the conclusions of Air Force's Analysis of Alternatives [AOA] study. The AOA determined that a business jet aircraft outfitted with existing moving target indicator [MTI] radar and battle management command and control [BMC2] technology would meet the combatant commanders' warfighting requirements at the lowest cost. However, the budget request shows that the Air Force intends to pursue a traditional acquisition program and expend nearly \$2,000,000,000 on a research and development effort despite the fact that existing radars could be integrated onto existing business jet airframes.

The Committee is concerned that the Air Force plans to begin retirement of this high demand/low density asset in 2016 just as it is embarking on an extensive development program with no production planned until 2019. Further, the Air Force plans to launch into competitive prototyping in fiscal year 2015 before a formal capabilities development document is approved that finalizes the system requirements to be prototyped. The Air Force states their plan is to leverage high technology readiness level communication, sensor, and BMC2 system technologies to reduce program cost, schedule, and risk.

However, the program, as laid out, will not enter into the engineering, manufacturing, and development phase until fiscal year 2017, with over \$400,000,000 spent prior to that phase. The current acquisition strategy does not reflect the mature, affordable and existing components that will be utilized.

The Committee believes this is an integration effort rather than a research and development effort, and for that reason, reduces the fiscal year 2015 request by \$63,088,000, and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to reassess the acquisition strategy to shorten the development phase and enter into production earlier.

*B-52 Radar Replacement.*—In March 2013, the Air Force submitted a report to the Committee that stated replacing the B-52 radar will cost less than sustaining its existing radar for the re-

maining service life of the aircraft. The Committee also understands that legacy radar sustainment costs will increase in the coming years, but the Air Force cannot afford the up-front development cost of a replacement radar. The Committee recommends the Secretary of the Air Force reassess the cost of a new radar versus the cost of sustaining the legacy radar, and consider including funds in the fiscal year 2016 budget request to begin replacement of the B-52 legacy radar system.

*Requirements Analysis and Maturation.*—The Committee understands that the Department of Defense [DOD] has spent over \$130,000,000 to develop and deploy multi-physics engineering software applications. These applications have been used in over 70 pilot demonstration programs to improve the outcomes of DOD weapon system acquisitions through rapid virtual prototyping using high performance computing and software tailored for complex DOD weapon system issues. The Committee believes that the Department is on the verge of making a paradigm change in weapons system engineering. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department to expand and institutionalize virtual prototyping in the weapon system acquisition process. The Committee provides an additional \$3,000,000 to establish a systems engineering capability for DOD weapon system virtual prototyping based on high performance computing.

*Advanced Extremely High Frequency Terminals.*—The Committee is concerned with the change to the Air Force's plan that delays development and acquisition of Advanced Extremely High Frequency [AEHF] terminals for the bomber fleet of aircraft. The Committee believes that any gap in command and control of nuclear forces is unacceptable. Therefore, the Committee directs that the Secretary of the Air Force provide a detailed plan on how it will mitigate any bomber related command and control shortfall resulting from the revised AEHF terminal acquisition strategy. The report shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days from enactment of this act.

*C-130H Upgrades for the Air National Guard.*—The Committee received a letter from the State Adjutants General of the National Guard outlining their support for continued modernization of the Air National Guard's C-130H fleet. In this letter, the Adjutants General expressed their concern that the C-130H fleet would not be modified with Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast [ADS-B] Out capability prior to 2020. The letter highlights that the current \$2,300,000,000 C-130 Avionics Modernization Program [AMP] does not include this critical ADS-B Out capability. Because the AMP does not include the ADS-B Out modification, the Committee is encouraged by the State Adjutants General request to pursue an alternative C-130 Communication, Navigation, Surveillance/Air Traffic Management [CNS/ATM] solution for the Air National Guard to meet the 2020 mandate. Therefore, the Committee would be willing to consider a reprogramming request submitted to the congressional defense committees transferring fiscal year 2015 funds to the C-130 Airlift Squadrons budget line item in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force appropriation to begin a program in fiscal year 2015.

*High-Altitude Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance [ISR] Programs.*—The RQ-4 Global Hawk provides unique capabilities that are in high demand supporting critical missions in all six geographic combatant command regions across the globe. Likewise, the U-2 aircraft provides unique intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance [ISR] capabilities and treaty verification data currently unmet by any other Air Force platform. In the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Air Force outlines its intent to begin retiring the U-2 fleet in 2016. The Air Force also proposes to begin work that will integrate the U-2 sensor onto the Global Hawk platform at a cost of \$487,000,000, as reported in 2013. The Committee is concerned by the Air Force's decision to retire a critical piece of its high-altitude ISR fleet without a high-altitude ISR transition plan, and the projected cost to upgrade the Global Hawk Block 30. Therefore, of the amounts appropriated in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force for Global Hawk RQ-4 UAV, \$77,100,000 intended for payload integration may not be obligated until the Secretary of Defense provides a classified report to the congressional defense committees. The report should identify the different types of high-altitude, combatant commander strategic ISR requirements, which platforms are or can be used to fulfill these requirements, and which requirements will not be met if the U-2 is retired. This report shall also contain an updated high-altitude ISR transition plan and an estimate of the cost to modify the Global Hawk Block 30 or any other platform.

*Arctic Domain Awareness.*—The Committee is concerned with the pace of needed development in the arctic region, particularly with respect to arctic domain awareness. The United States currently has a limited weather satellite presence covering the region that will be further reduced by 2019. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, within 180 days of enactment of this act, outlining a plan to ensure arctic domain awareness coverage for the foreseeable future, including an assessment of the potential to partner with Canada on the Canadian Weather Satellite mission.

*Air Force T-1A Aircraft.*—The T-1A aircraft is used to train all tanker and transport pilots and, due to its age, requires significant upgrades or a service life extension. The Committee supports section 139 of S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, which requires the Air Force to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the options for replacing or upgrading the T-1A aircraft's capability.

*Minority Leaders Program.*—The Committee encourages the Air Force Research Laboratory to invest in research activities that can be conducted by the Air Force Minority Leaders Program to meet critical defense capabilities, science and technology, future workforce, and technical program objectives for the Air Force. Additionally, the Committee urges the Air Force Research Laboratory to expand the research areas in which the Air Force Minority Leaders Program can participate.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$17,086,412,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	16,766,084,000
House allowance .....	17,067,900,000
Committee recommendation .....	16,805,571,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,805,571,000.  
This is \$39,487,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL., DEFENSE-WIDE</b>					
	<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>					
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH .....	37,778	37,778	37,778		
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	312,146	312,146	332,146	+20,000	+20,000
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	44,564	34,564	44,564		+10,000
4	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE .....	49,848	49,848	60,757	+10,909	+10,909
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM .....	45,488	55,488	60,488	+15,000	+5,000
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU) .....	24,412	34,412	31,412	+7,000	-3,000
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	48,261	48,261	48,261		
	<b>TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>562,497</b>	<b>572,497</b>	<b>615,406</b>	<b>+52,909</b>	<b>+42,909</b>
	<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>					
8	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	20,065	20,065	20,065		
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	112,242	114,790	112,242		-2,548
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	51,875	47,875	51,875		+4,000
12	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES .....	41,965	41,965	41,965		
13	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	334,407	334,407	324,407	-10,000	-10,000
15	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE .....	44,825	44,825	44,825		
16	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	226,317	226,317	226,317		
18	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH .....	15,000	15,000	15,000		
20	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	305,484	305,484	300,484	-5,000	-5,000
21	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	160,389	160,389	150,389	-10,000	-10,000
22	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY .....	179,203	179,203	169,203	-10,000	-10,000
23	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES .....	151,737	151,737	151,737		
24	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE .....	9,156	9,156	9,156		
25	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	39,750	34,750	39,750		+5,000
	<b>TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>1,692,415</b>	<b>1,685,963</b>	<b>1,657,415</b>	<b>-35,000</b>	<b>-28,548</b>
	<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>					
26	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD .....	26,688	26,688	26,688		
27	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	8,682	8,682	8,682		
28	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT .....	69,675	79,675	99,675	+30,000	+20,000
29	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING .....	30,000	24,000	20,000	-10,000	-4,000
30	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIF PREVY & DEFEAT .....	283,694	291,694	283,694		-8,000

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
32	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT .....	8,470	8,470	8,470	.....	.....
33	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	45,110	43,110	32,110	.....	- 11,000
34	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	14,068	34,068	14,068	.....	- 20,000
35	ADVANCED CAISR .....	15,329	13,284	15,329	.....	+ 2,045
36	ADVANCED RESEARCH .....	16,584	16,584	16,584	.....	.....
37	JOINT DOD—DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	19,335	19,335	19,335	.....	.....
38	AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY [AT21]—THEATER CA .....	2,544	2,544	2,544	.....	.....
39	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY .....	51,033	40,433	51,033	.....	+ 10,600
40	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS .....	129,723	129,723	129,723	.....	.....
41	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY .....	179,883	179,883	179,883	.....	.....
42	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS .....	12,000	12,000	12,000	.....	.....
43	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS .....	60,000	50,000	53,000	.....	+ 3,000
44	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY .....	25,639	22,639	25,639	.....	+ 3,000
45	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEV .....	132,674	132,674	132,674	.....	.....
46	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	10,965	10,965	10,965	.....	.....
47	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	131,960	121,960	119,960	.....	- 2,000
52	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG .....	91,095	91,095	91,095	.....	.....
53	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	33,706	33,706	33,706	.....	.....
54	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	16,836	16,836	21,836	.....	+ 5,000
55	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY .....	29,683	29,683	29,683	.....	.....
56	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	57,796	57,796	57,796	.....	.....
57	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT .....	72,144	82,700	72,144	.....	- 10,556
58	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM .....	7,405	5,405	7,405	.....	+ 2,000
59	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES .....	92,246	92,246	92,246	.....	.....
60	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	243,265	243,265	239,265	.....	- 4,000
60XX	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM .....	.....	250,000	.....	.....	- 250,000
62	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	386,926	386,926	350,426	.....	- 36,500
63	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	312,821	312,821	302,821	.....	- 10,000
64	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	10,692	10,692	10,692	.....	.....
65	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE .....	15,776	15,776	15,776	.....	.....
66	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS .....	69,319	64,319	59,319	.....	- 10,000
68	MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE .....	3,000	3,000	3,000	.....	.....
71	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY .....	81,148	81,148	81,148	.....	.....
72	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT .....	31,800	31,800	48,300	.....	+ 16,500
73	CWMD SYSTEMS .....	46,066	46,066	46,066	.....	.....



[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
107	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	7,002	7,002	7,002		
108	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM .....	7,102	7,102	7,102		
109	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3) .....	123,444	123,444	123,444		
110	AGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT .....	263,695	263,695	263,695	+20,000	+20,000
113	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION .....	12,500	12,500	12,500		
114	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM .....	2,656	2,656	2,656		
115	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	961	961	961		
116	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	7,936	7,936	7,936		
117	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT .....	70,762	90,762	95,762	+25,000	+5,000
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION .....	6,125,760	6,171,885	6,293,920	+168,160	+122,035
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....					
118	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	345,883	345,883	335,883	-10,000	-10,000
119	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) .....	25,459	25,459	25,459		
120	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) .....	17,562	17,562	17,562		
121	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES .....	6,887	6,887	6,887		
122	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	12,530	12,530	12,530		
123	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	286	286	286		
124	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM .....	3,244	3,244	3,244		
125	OU5D(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES .....	6,500	6,500	6,500		
126	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....	15,326	15,326	15,326		
127	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION .....	19,351	19,351	19,351		
128	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM .....	41,465	41,465	41,465		
129	DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS) .....	10,135	10,135	10,135		
130	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY .....	9,546	9,546	9,546		
131	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	14,241	14,241	14,241		
132	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEM) .....	3,660	3,660	3,660		
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	532,075	532,075	522,075	-10,000	-10,000
	RD&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....					
133	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS) .....	5,616	5,616	5,616		
134	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT .....	3,092	3,092	3,092		
135	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT .....	254,503	159,003	254,503		+95,500
136	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS .....	21,661	21,661	15,661	-6,000	-6,000



138	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY [JMTC]	27,162	27,162	27,162	27,162	27,162	
139	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	24,501	24,501	24,501	24,501	24,501	
142	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	43,176	43,176	43,176	43,176	43,176	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)		100,000				-100,000
145	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	44,246	44,246	44,246	44,246	44,246	-500
146	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	
147	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY	4,366	4,366	4,366	4,366	4,366	
148	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	27,901	27,901	27,901	27,901	27,901	
149	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	2,855	2,855	2,855	2,855	2,855	
150	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	105,944	105,944	105,944	105,944	105,944	
156	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH	400	400	400	400	400	
159	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	1,634	1,634	1,634	1,634	1,634	
160	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	12,105	12,105	12,105	12,105	12,105	-4,000
161	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER [DTIC]	50,389	50,389	50,389	50,389	50,389	
162	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	8,452	8,452	8,452	8,452	8,452	
163	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	15,187	15,187	15,187	15,187	15,187	-4,000
164	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)	71,362	71,362	71,362	71,362	71,362	
165	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100	
166	OPERATIONS SECURITY [OPSEC]	1,956	1,956	1,956	1,956	1,956	
167	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	10,321	10,321	10,321	10,321	10,321	
170	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS [IO] CAPABILITIES	11,552	11,552	11,552	11,552	11,552	
172	CYBER INTELLIGENCE	6,748	6,748	6,748	6,748	6,748	
174	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION	44,005	39,005	44,005	44,005	44,005	+5,000
175	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—MDA	36,998	36,998	36,998	36,998	36,998	+36,998
176	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—WHS	612	612	612	612	612	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		44,367				
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	887,876	854,878	877,876	854,878	877,876	+22,998
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT						
178	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)	3,988	3,988	3,988	3,988	3,988	
179	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750	
180	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY	286	286	286	286	286	
181	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	14,778	14,778	14,778	14,778	14,778	
182	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,953	2,953	2,953	2,953	2,953	
183	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT	10,350	10,350	10,350	10,350	10,350	
184	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS D	28,496	28,496	28,496	28,496	28,496	
185	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	11,968	11,968	11,968	11,968	11,968	
186	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM	1,842	1,842	1,842	1,842	1,842	
187	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	63,558	63,558	63,558	63,558	63,558	

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
189	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING .....	3,931	3,931	3,931		
193	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT .....	924	924	924		
194	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION .....	9,657	9,657	9,657		
195	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS) .....	25,355	25,355	25,355		
196	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK .....	12,671	12,671	12,671		
197	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) .....	222	222	222		
198	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) .....	32,698	32,698	32,698		
199	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	11,304	11,304	11,304		
200	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	125,854	145,854	125,854		
202	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	33,793	33,793	33,793		
203	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER .....	13,423	13,423	13,423		
204	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) .....	3,774	3,774	3,774		
205	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE .....	951	951	951		
206	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	2,697	2,697	2,697		
208	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES .....	19,294	15,794	19,294		+ 3,500
212	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	3,234	3,234	3,234		
213	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP) .....	8,846	8,846	8,846		
217	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS .....	7,065	7,065	7,065		
218	NET CENTRICITY .....	23,984	23,984	23,984		
221	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	5,286	5,286	5,286		
224	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	3,400	3,400	3,400		
229	INSIDER THREAT .....	8,670	8,670	8,670		
230	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM .....	2,110	2,110	2,110		
239	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	22,366	22,366	22,366		
240	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	1,574	1,574	1,574		
241	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS) .....	4,409	4,409	4,409		
242	MQ-9 UAV .....	9,702	1,314	9,702		+ 8,388
243	RQ-11 UAV .....	259		259		+ 259
245	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV .....	164,233	154,821	164,233		+ 9,412
247	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	9,490	9,490	9,490		
248	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	75,253	70,089	75,253		+ 5,164
252	WARRIOR SYSTEMS .....	24,661	20,573	24,661		+ 4,088
253	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....	20,908	20,908	20,908		
259	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	3,672	3,672	3,672		
262	SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS .....	57,905	55,046	57,905		+ 2,859

264	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....	3,788	3,788	3,788	3,788	.....	.....
265	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....	16,225	15,225	16,225	16,225	.....	+ 1,000
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	913,557	898,887	913,557	913,557	.....	+ 14,670
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	3,118,502	3,257,402	3,042,920	3,042,920	.....	- 214,482
	DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION .....	.....	- 69,000	.....	.....	.....	+ 69,000
	ADJUSTMENT HOUSE AMENDMENT (GRAYSON) .....	.....	- 10,000	.....	.....	.....	+ 10,000
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-WIDE .....	16,766,084	17,067,900	16,805,571	16,805,571	+ 39,487	- 262,329

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Defense Research Sciences .....	312,146	332,146	+ 20,000
	Basic research program increase .....			+ 20,000
4	Basic Operational Medical Research Science .....	49,848	60,757	+ 10,909
	Basic research program increase .....			+ 10,909
5	National Defense Education Program .....	45,488	60,488	+ 15,000
	Military Child STEM Education programs .....			+ 15,000
6	Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority Institutions .....	24,412	31,412	+ 7,000
	Basic research program increase .....			+ 7,000
13	Information & Communications Technology .....	334,407	324,407	- 10,000
	Maintain program affordability: Eliminate program growth in new starts .....			- 10,000
20	Tactical Technology .....	305,484	300,484	- 5,000
	Program increase: Arctic Operations .....			+ 5,000
	Maintain program affordability: Lack of transition plan .....			- 10,000
21	Materials and Biological Technology .....	160,389	150,389	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Poor execution .....			- 10,000
22	Electronics Technology .....	179,203	169,203	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Poor execution .....			- 10,000
28	Combating Terrorism Technology Support .....	69,675	99,675	+ 30,000
	Program increase .....			+ 30,000
29	Foreign Comparative Testing .....	30,000	20,000	- 10,000
	Maintain program affordability: Reduce program growth .....			- 10,000
33	Discrimination Sensor Technology .....	45,110	32,110	- 13,000
	Improving funds management: Project MD95 excess growth .....			- 13,000
43	Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts .....	60,000	53,000	- 7,000
	Maintain program affordability: Eliminate program growth .....			- 7,000
47	Joint Capability Technology Demonstrations .....	131,960	119,960	- 12,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 12,000
54	Generic Logistics R&D Technology Demonstrations .....	16,836	21,836	+ 5,000
	Program increase .....			+ 5,000
60	Command, Control and Communications Systems .....	243,265	239,265	- 4,000
	Maintain program affordability: Excessive growth in new starts .....			- 4,000
62	Network-Centric Warfare Technology .....	386,926	350,426	- 36,500
	Authorization adjustment: Hellads .....			- 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Classified program adjustment .....			- 16,500
63	Sensor Technology .....	312,821	302,821	- 10,000
	Maintain program affordability: Excessive growth in new starts .....			- 10,000
66	Quick Reaction Special Projects .....	69,319	59,319	- 10,000
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of effort .....			- 10,000
72	Operational Energy Capability Improvement .....	31,800	48,300	+ 16,500
	Restore reduced funding level .....			+ 16,500
80	Advanced Sensors Application Program .....	15,518	19,518	+ 4,000
	Program increase .....			+ 4,000
81	Environmental Security Technical Certification Program .....	51,462	66,462	+ 15,000
	Restore funding to the fiscal year 2014 enacted level .....			+ 15,000
82	Ballistic Missile Defense Terminal Defense Segment .....	299,598	170,832	- 128,766
	MT07 Test: Transfer to line 82a .....			- 111,366
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Software build concurrency .....			- 17,400
82a	Ballistic Missile Defense Terminal Defense Segment Test .....		111,366	+ 111,366
	MT07 Test: Transfer from line 82 .....			+ 111,366
83	Ballistic Missile Defense Midcourse Defense Segment .....	1,003,768	854,391	- 149,377
	Authorization adjustment: Ground-Based Midcourse Defense reliability and maintenance .....			+ 30,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MT08 Test: Transfer to line 83a .....			-79,877
	MD97: Transfer to line 83b .....			-99,500
83a	Ballistic Missile Defense Midcourse Defense Segment Test .....		79,877	+79,877
	MT08 Test: Transfer from line 83 .....			+79,877
83b	Improved Homeland Defense Interceptors .....		99,500	+99,500
	MD97: Transfer Improved Homeland Defense Interceptors from line 83 .....			+99,500
84	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—Dem/Val .....	179,236	163,236	-16,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: INATS Milestone B delay .....			-10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Equine Encephalitis vaccine delay .....			-6,000
85	Ballistic Missile Defense Sensors .....	392,893	271,084	-121,809
	MT11 Test: Transfer to line 85a .....			-71,309
	MD96: Transfer LRDR to line 85b .....			-50,500
85a	Ballistic Missile Defense Sensors Test .....		71,309	+71,309
	MT11 Test: Transfer from line 85 .....			+71,309
85b	Long Range Disc Radar .....		50,500	+50,500
	MD96: Transfer LRDR from line 85 .....			+50,500
88	AEGIS BMD .....	929,208	753,779	-175,429
	MT09 Test: Transfer to line 88a .....			-89,628
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SM-3 BLK IIA Manufacturing quantities excess to test requirements .....			-50,801
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AEGIS BMD 5.1 unjustified budget increase .....			-35,000
88a	AEGIS BMD Test .....		89,628	+89,628
	MT09 Test: Transfer from line 88 .....			+89,628
91	Ballistic Missile Defense Command and Control, Battle Management and Communications .....	443,484	413,484	-30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess ramp up prior to completion of program baseline .....			-30,000
96	Israeli Cooperative Programs .....	96,803	270,603	+173,800
	Israeli Upper tier .....			+22,100
	Israeli Arrow program .....			+45,500
	Short range ballistic missile defense .....			+106,200
97	Ballistic Missile Defense Test .....	386,482	366,482	-20,000
	Improving funds management: Test efficiencies .....			-20,000
98	Ballistic Missile Defense Targets .....	485,294	465,294	-20,000
	Improving funds management: Program adjustment .....			-20,000
101	Department of Defense Corrosion Program .....	2,907	12,907	+10,000
	Program increase .....			+10,000
103	Department of Defense [DOD] Unmanned Aircraft System [UAS] Common Development .....	3,702	8,263	+4,561
	Program increase .....			+4,561
106	Defense Rapid Innovation Program .....		75,000	+75,000
	Program increase .....			+75,000
110	AEGIS SM-3 Block IIA Co-Development .....	263,695	283,695	+20,000
	Development risk reduction .....			+20,000
117	Prompt Global Strike Capability Development .....	70,762	95,762	+25,000
	Additional hypersonics funding .....			+25,000
118	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—EMD .....	345,883	335,883	-10,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			-10,000
136	Assessments and Evaluations .....	21,661	15,661	-6,000
	Maintain program affordability: Reduce program growth .....			-6,000
160	Defense Technology Analysis .....	12,105	8,105	-4,000
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of effort .....			-4,000
	Classified Programs .....	3,118,502	3,042,920	-75,582
	Classified adjustment .....			-75,582

*Manufacturing and Innovation Centers.*—The Committee notes the budget request supports five manufacturing innovation institutes. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to use these institutes to provide advanced manufacturing capabilities in

critical technology sectors, strengthen the defense industrial base, and promote the training of a technical manufacturing and engineering workforce. The Committee directs the Department to ensure that the private sector's cost share at least equally matches the funding from Federal sources, and recommends that the Department limit direct core support for these institutes to 5 fiscal years. Prior to establishing a new institute, the Committee directs the Department to submit to the congressional defense committees a business case analysis of costs, requirements, and justifications for the selection of the award and include metrics-based performance measures to monitor performance and success of the institute. The institute performers can compete for other manufacturing technology and research funding available from the Federal Government at all times. Finally, the Department should encourage institutes to work with private sector partners on pre-competitive research activities, common technical standards, and joint technology and manufacturing roadmaps.

*Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency [DARPA] Basic Research.*—The Committee provides an increase in basic research of 5 percent over the fiscal year 2014 enacted levels across the services and the defense wide research and development accounts. Of that increase, the Committee provides an additional \$30,909,000 for DARPA basic research. As the Department's leading research agency, the Committee understands that DARPA is best positioned to identify cutting edge research but believes it must utilize a wider set of colleges and universities, smaller defense contractors, and commercial firms. The Committee directs DARPA to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 120 days after enactment of this act on its plan to utilize the additional funding.

*Additive Technology.*—The Committee notes that additive manufacturing has revolutionized production in key commercial industries, ranging from automotive and aerospace to medical devices and now cellular phone sectors. Innovations in this field have resulted in unprecedented efficiencies in product design, energy consumption, and supply chain management. However, it can take a decade or more to insert new materials and processes into key defense supply chains. The Committee urges the Department of Defense to focus efforts on breaking down key barriers for wider usage of this groundbreaking technology in the defense industrial base. The Committee directs that the Under Secretary for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics report on the Department's activities to: accelerate quality control and qualification of additive manufacturing technology in various defense supply chains; increase speed of additive manufacturing production processes to meet both low and high volume needs; and integrate commercial advances in additive manufacturing and 3D scanning into defense supply chains, including investment casting, electronics and communications, medical bracing and devices, and ground and aerospace vehicle production.

*Strategic Capabilities Office [SCO].*—The Committee commends the Department of Defense for standing up the Strategic Capabilities Office to provide lower-cost, strategic alternatives for shaping and countering emerging threats. The Committee believes that

such efforts are a wise use of Government resources that can enhance current capabilities available to the services and combatant commanders. While supportive of these efforts, the Committee is concerned with the coordination of SCO initiatives with other service and Department of Defense research and development priorities. Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics [AT&L] to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on SCO's current and long-term mission, a timeline for SCO project development and transition to Department or service activities, and the process by which SCO initiatives are coordinated with other service and Department research priorities. The report should also address how SCO efforts differ from those efforts typically funded through the joint capabilities and technology demonstration [JCTD] and rapid fielding initiatives.

*Technology Transfer Pilot Program.*—The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to conduct a pilot program on public-private technology transfer ventures between Department of Defense research and development labs and centers and regionally-focused technology commercialization organizations, including accelerators, incubators, and innovation centers. The primary goal of the pilot program should be to increase the commercialization of intellectual property developed in the Department's research and development enterprise in support of critical cross-service technological needs. Areas of focus should include but not be limited to energetics, aviation, unmanned systems, rapid prototyping, corrosion control, and water quality improvement. Technology commercialization partners and initial technology incubator partnerships should be selected through full and open competition emphasizing strong business plans, demonstrated expertise in mentorship and commercialization, and strong regional partnerships. The Secretary of Defense shall report to the Committee on the implementation of the pilot program by April 1, 2015.

*Gas Reactors.*—The Committee is aware of the Department of Defense's interest in developing capabilities that would allow for self-contained power generation for military installations. In 2011, the Center for Naval Analysis released a study outlining new nuclear plant designs which could provide modular power generation, such as smaller scale high temperature gas reactors that use pebble bed technology. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to study the feasibility of such technology to include an evaluation of the safety and efficacy of this technology and determine whether a future set of prototype systems should be considered for development. The Committee requests that the Secretary of Defense consider the expedited licensing paths, development strategies, and recommended next steps for maturing this technology as part of the recommended study.

*UAS Common Development Program.*—The Committee notes that the Federal Aviation Administration [FAA] recently designated six unmanned aerial system [UAS] national test sites and plans to announce a UAS Center of Excellence soon that together will develop policies and standards to govern the integration of UAS into the national airspace system [NAS]. The Committee provides an additional \$4,561,000 for the UAS Common Development and encour-

ages the Department of Defense to coordinate with the FAA and National Aeronautics and Space Administration [NASA] in the development and demonstration of common UAS standards, architecture, and technologies. The intent of the effort is to ensure a consistent, nationwide approach to airspace integration across both civil and public sectors and in a way that protects privacy and the safety of other aircraft and people on the ground.

#### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

*Major Acquisition Program New Starts.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$99,500,000 to initiate development of improved homeland defense interceptors, and \$50,500,000 to initiate development of a long-range discrimination radar. The Committee notes that these are major defense acquisition programs with acquisition costs expected to exceed \$1,000,000,000. Therefore, the Committee recommends transferring funding for these programs to separate program elements and directs MDA to follow that program element structure in future budget submissions.

Further, the Committee believes that for each program, MDA should follow robust acquisition practices, to include conducting a full Analysis of Alternatives; seeking approval of requirements by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council; developing an acquisition strategy in accordance with DOD 5000.02 regulations; maximizing competition; and providing Office of Secretary of Defense Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation sufficient time to conduct an Independent Cost Estimate prior to reaching the milestone B equivalent of the development effort. The Committee directs the Director, Missile Defense Agency to provide the congressional defense committees an update on MDA's progress implementing these acquisition practices within 60 days of enactment of this act.

*Ground-Based Midcourse Defense [GMD] Reliability and Maintenance Shortfalls.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$1,003,768,000 for Ground-Based Midcourse Defense [GMD]. The Committee understands that subsequent to the budget submission, the Director, Missile Defense Agency, completed an assessment of the GMD system, which identified funding shortfalls of certain reliability and maintenance functions. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$30,000,000 only for Ground-Based Midcourse Defense [GMD] reliability and maintenance efforts, as authorized by S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported. The Committee understands that the Director, Missile Defense Agency plans to report to the congressional defense committees no later than November 15, 2014, on an implementation plan for executing GMD reliability and maintenance improvement efforts. The Committee directs the Director, Missile Defense Agency, to include in that implementation plan details on MDA's contracting strategy for GMD reliability and maintenance activities that meets program goals within cost and schedule while ensuring Government and industry accountability.

*Acquisition Accountability in MDA Software Development Programs.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes no less than \$510,200,000 for Aegis and \$417,816,000 for Command and Control, Battle Management and Communications [C2BMC] software development efforts. The Committee has previously expressed con-



cerns regarding excess concurrency due to simultaneous development of multiple software spirals; the absence of acquisition program baselines for individual software spirals; the instability of software spiral content; and annual funding adjustments without explanation. The Committee notes that none of these concerns have been addressed with the fiscal year 2015 budget submission. Therefore, the Committee recommends sustaining Aegis and C2BMC software development efforts at prior year levels and adjusts the budget request accordingly.

*Acquisition of Targets.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$485,294,000 for the acquisition of targets. The Committee notes significant volatility in MDA’s targets program, to include the adjustment of more than a dozen targets or target modules among multiple target classes between fiscal years. The Committee understands that MDA is working to address these issues, and expects to see improved acquisition performance for all target classes. The Committee further understands that improved acquisition performance will likely yield contracting efficiencies and recommends a reduction of \$20,000,000.

*Standard Missile-3 Block IIA.*—The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$263,395,000 to continue co-development of the Standard Missile-3 Block IIA interceptor. This interceptor contributes to the European Phased Adaptive Approach [EPAA], which provides regional ballistic missile defense of deployed U.S. forces and our allies. The Committee is concerned by some of the technical challenges encountered during program development and recommends an additional \$20,000,000 only for risk reduction activities. The Committee is further concerned that the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes initial funding for 17 SM–3 Block IIA rounds—well in excess of test requirements—even though the design has not yet proven mature and appears to be cost-prohibitive at this point. Therefore, the Committee recommends reducing the budget request only for SM–3 Block IIA rounds by \$50,801,000. The Committee notes that this recommendation provides sufficient funds to manufacture rounds in support of the flight test schedule, and does not delay the development or test schedule.

*Sharing of Classified United States Ballistic Missile Defense Information With the Russian Federation.*—The Committee is concerned with the potential security risks associated with sharing sensitive U.S. missile defense data and technology with the Russian Federation. The Committee recognizes existing law restricts the sharing of sensitive and classified ballistic missile defense information with the Russian Federation, as established in Public Law 113–66, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014. The Committee expects the administration to continue to adhere to current law until superseded by an act authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015.

#### OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$246,800,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	167,738,000
House allowance .....	248,238,000
Committee recommendation .....	214,038,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$214,038,000. This is \$46,300,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RDT&E Management Support			
1	Operational Test and Evaluation .....	74,583	97,883	+ 23,300
	Program increase: Cyber force training and resiliency .....	.....	.....	+ 12,500
	Program increase: PACOM cyber ranging training .....	.....	.....	+ 6,100
	Program increase: Cyber RED team and training .....	.....	.....	+ 4,700
2	Live Fire Test and Evaluation .....	45,142	45,142	.....
3	Operational Test Activities and Analyses .....	48,013	71,013	+ 23,000
	Program increase: Threat Resource Analysis .....	.....	.....	+ 5,000
	Program increase: Joint test and evaluation .....	.....	.....	+ 18,000
	RDT&E Management Support .....	167,738	214,038	+ 46,300
	Total, Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	167,738	214,038	+ 46,300

*Threat Emitters.*—In fiscal year 2014, the Department of Defense initiated an effort to address deficiencies in future weapon system capabilities by developing and funding a plan to acquire advanced electronic warfare threat emitters. In response to the Department's actions, Congress transferred funds from the Test Resources Management Center [TRMC] program element to the Operational Test and Evaluation [OT&E] funding line to accelerate the development and fielding of these emitters. In transferring the funds, it was not the intent of Congress to create two duplicative efforts between the two testing agencies but rather to address a technology shortfall. While the Committee believes that TRMC is the best agency to carry out the overall mission of upgrading range infrastructure to address multi-service systems, there is concern the TRMC approach may not meet a timeline to address inadequacies of systems that are nearing initial operational capability.

In an effort to eliminate duplication and encourage a streamlined approach to addressing the threat, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics [AT&L] and the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation [DOT&E] to develop a threat emitter execution plan, to be approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense, that allows for rapid acquisition of both open and closed loop threat emitters that can be used to test future weapons systems. The plan shall emphasize DOT&E and TRMC collaboration and transparency while eliminating mission duplication between the two organizations; and identify a single program executive to execute the open loop and closed loop fielding plan. Furthermore, the Committee directs that any purchases of open loop emitters beyond fiscal year 2015 be conducted under an open and competitive process. The Committee believes that it is in the best interest of national security and to the taxpayer that both testing agencies set aside their competing plans and work together to find common solutions to this threat.

TITLE V  
 REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS  
 DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,649,214,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,234,468,000
House allowance .....	1,334,468,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,659,468,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,659,468,000. This is \$425,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Prepositioned War Reserve Stocks .....	13,727	13,727	.....
Arsenal Initiative .....	.....	.....	+ 225,000
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Army .....	13,727	238,727	+ 225,000
Supplies and Materials (Medical/Dental) .....	61,717	61,717	.....
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force .....	61,717	61,717	.....
Defense Logistics Agency .....	44,293	44,293	.....
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide .....	44,293	44,293	.....
Working Capital Fund—DECA .....	1,114,731	1,314,731	+ 200,000
DECA Increase .....	.....	.....	+ 200,000
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide .....	1,114,731	1,314,731	+ 200,000
Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds .....	1,234,468	1,659,468	+ 425,000

*Meals Ready-to-Eat War Reserve.*—The Committee commends the Defense Logistics Agency [DLA] for initiating action to study the Meals Ready to Eat [MRE] War Reserve and industrial base. The Committee encourages the Director of the DLA to maintain the recommendations outlined in DLA’s September 2013 Meals Ready to Eat [MRE] Strategic Plan. This plan outlined an objective to maintain a stockage level of 5 million cases of MREs and projected an annual purchase rate of approximately 2.5 million cases through 2016 to meet the stockage objective and ensure the industrial base is able to meet surge requirements. The Director of the DLA shall submit written notification to the congressional defense committees

no later than 90 days prior to seeking any potential modifications to the War Reserve after September 30, 2014. Additionally, the Director shall provide an updated report on War Reserve requirements no later than March 31, 2015.

*Defense Commissary Agency.*—The Committee recommends an increase of \$200,000,000 for the Defense Commissary Agency [DECA] in fiscal year 2015 which is consistent with S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported. The Committee also directs that the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate be recipients of the reporting requirements directed in the report accompanying S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, titled “Department of Defense proposal to modify commissary benefit.”

Additionally, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the following information to the congressional defense committees no later than December 1, 2014:

- a breakdown showing the average percentage of commissary patrons by enlisted members, officers and retirees;
- surveys or other data on the value placed on commissary access by its patrons, especially in comparison to other benefits;
- a description of the impact of sequestration in fiscal year 2013 on the Defense Commissary Agency and commissaries; and
- a detailed description of how the fiscal year 2015 proposal to limit appropriated fund support to commissaries would be implemented in each year of the future years defense program if approved by Congress.

NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$597,213,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	
House allowance .....	
Committee recommendation .....	490,610,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$490,610,000. This is \$490,610,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
20	Outfitting and Post Delivery .....		17,300	+ 17,300
	Outfitting and Post Delivery—Transfer from Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution .....			+ 17,300
50	Lg Med Spd RO/RO (LMSR) Maintenance .....		105,900	+ 105,900
	Lg Med Spd RO/RO (LMSR) Maintenance—Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution .....			+ 105,900
60	DOD Mobilization Alterations .....		19,000	+ 19,000
	DOD Mobilization Alterations—Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution .....			+ 19,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
70	TAH Maintenance .....		27,200	+ 27,200
	TAH Maintenance—Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution .....			+ 27,200
90	Ready Reserve Force .....		291,200	+ 291,200
	Ready Reserve Force—Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution .....			+ 291,200
80	Maritime Prepositing Force (Future) .....		8,454	+ 8,454
	Maritime Prepositing Force (Future)—Transfer from Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution .....			+ 8,454
80	Strategic Sealift Research & Development .....		5,593	+ 5,593
	Strategic Sealift Research & Development—Transfer from Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution ..			+ 5,593
80	Naval Operational Logistics Integration .....		15,963	+ 15,963
	Naval Operational Logistics Integration—Transfer from Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy to National Defense Sealift Fund for execution .....			+ 15,963
	Total, National Defense Sealift Fund .....		490,610	+ 490,610

*National Defense Sealift Fund [NDSF].—*In the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Navy proposes the elimination of the National Defense Sealift Fund [NDSF], which was established in fiscal year 1993 to address shortfalls in U.S. sealift capabilities. While the Committee has lingering concerns over some previous application of NDSF funds, the Committee sees no reason to eliminate the NDSF in its entirety. Therefore, the Committee recommends retaining the NDSF and transferring funds included in the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy; Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy; and Operation and Maintenance, Navy accounts for functions previously funded in the NDSF back into the NDSF. The Committee directs that none of these funds may be used for the development or acquisition of ships.

TITLE VI  
 OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS  
 DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$32,699,158,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	31,994,918,000
House allowance .....	31,690,370,000
Committee recommendation .....	31,570,895,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$31,570,895,000. This is \$424,023,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2015 budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Budget estimate	House allowance
	<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>					
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>					
10	IN-HOUSE CARE .....	8,799,086	8,680,090	8,770,186	-28,900	+ 90,096
20	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	15,412,599	14,582,044	14,317,599	-1,095,000	-264,445
30	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	2,462,096	2,347,972	2,378,396	-83,700	+ 30,424
40	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	1,557,347	1,534,096	1,560,947	+ 3,600	+ 26,851
50	MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	366,223	364,192	366,223		+ 2,031
60	EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	750,866	738,475	750,866		+ 12,391
70	BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,683,694	1,833,694	1,682,471	-1,223	-151,223
160	HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENTS (MCGOVERN) (MURPHY) .....		13,000			-13,000
	<b>SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....</b>	<b>31,031,911</b>	<b>30,093,563</b>	<b>29,826,688</b>	<b>-1,205,223</b>	<b>-266,875</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT</b>					
160	INITIAL OUTFITTING .....	13,057	13,057	13,057		
170	REPLACEMENT AND MODERNIZATION .....	283,030	283,030	283,030		
180	THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM .....	3,145	3,145	3,145		
190	INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD (IEHR) .....	9,181	9,181	9,181		
	<b>SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT .....</b>	<b>308,413</b>	<b>308,413</b>	<b>308,413</b>		
	<b>RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION</b>					
90	RESEARCH .....	10,317	10,317	10,317		
100	EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT .....	49,015	49,015	49,015		
110	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	226,410	226,410	226,410		
120	DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION .....	97,787	97,787	97,787		
130	ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT .....	217,898	217,898	217,898		
140	MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT .....	38,075	38,075	38,075		
150	CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT .....	15,092	15,092	15,092		
160	UNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH .....		591,300	781,200	+ 781,200	+ 189,900
	(BENSISHEK) (GRAYSON) (JACKSON LEE) (GRAYSON) .....		42,500			-42,500
	<b>SUBTOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>654,594</b>	<b>1,288,394</b>	<b>1,435,794</b>	<b>+ 781,200</b>	<b>+ 147,400</b>
	<b>TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....</b>	<b>31,994,918</b>	<b>31,690,370</b>	<b>31,570,895</b>	<b>-424,023</b>	<b>-119,475</b>

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance .....	31,031,911	29,826,688	-1,205,223
In-House Care .....	8,799,086	8,770,186	-28,900
Improving funds management: TRICARE consolidation not authorized .....			-30,000
Program increase: CVN-73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul .....			+1,100
Private Sector Care .....	15,412,599	14,317,599	-1,095,000
Improving funds management: TRICARE historical under-execution .....			-855,000
Improving funds management: Pharmaceutical drugs excess growth .....			-182,000
Improving funds management: TRICARE consolidation not authorized .....			-58,000
Consolidated Health Care .....	2,462,096	2,378,396	-83,700
Improving funds management: Travel excess growth .....			-3,700
Improving funds management: Historical under-execution .....			-80,000
Information Management/IT .....	1,557,347	1,560,947	+3,600
Program increase: HAIMS initiative .....			+3,600
Management Activities .....	366,223	366,223	
Education and Training .....	750,866	750,866	
Base Operations and Communications .....	1,683,694	1,682,471	-1,223
Improving funds management: DHHQ force protection and physical security excess to requirement .....			-1,223
Procurement .....	308,413	308,413	
Research and Development .....	654,594	1,435,794	+781,200
Program increase: Restore core research funding reduction .....			+162,200
Peer-reviewed breast cancer research .....			+120,000
Peer-reviewed cancer research .....			+50,000
Peer-reviewed epilepsy research .....			+7,500
Peer-reviewed medical research .....			+247,500
Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research .....			+10,000
Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research .....			+64,000
Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological health research .....			+60,000
Joint warfighter medical research .....			+50,000
Orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research .....			+10,000
Total .....	31,994,918	31,570,895	-424,023

*Investments in Medical Research.*—The Committee notes that Federal investment in medical research has generated medical discoveries and scientific innovations that have led to longer lives, created new industries, and established the United States as a leader in research and development. In order to maintain our Nation's global leadership and facilitate future live-saving discoveries, the Committee adds a total of \$789,200,000 for medical research, a 5 percent increase over the Committee's fiscal year 2014 recommendation.

*Defense Health Program Reprogramming Procedures.*—The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available



for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language should not be interpreted by the Department as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the Direct Care System from other budget activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the In-House Care budget sub-activity as a special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity into the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity or any other budget sub-activity will require the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for operation and maintenance funds.

The Committee has received and also remains concerned with reports that substantial amounts of funding are transferred from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity without the submission of written notification, as required by previous Department of Defense Appropriations Acts. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$10,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity not later than 15 days after such a transfer. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this act that delineates transfers of funds in excess of \$10,000,000, and the dates any transfers occurred, from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity to any other budget sub-activity groups for fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program budget activities and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the services in future budget submissions.

*Carryover.*—For fiscal year 2015, the Committee recommends 1 percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2014 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

*Private Sector Care Underexecution.*—According to a review by the Government Accountability Office, the Department under-executed its Private Sector Care budget by \$1,356,245,000 in fiscal year 2011, \$1,463,178,000 in fiscal year 2012, and \$519,427,000 in fiscal year 2013 for an average of 7.1 percent underexecution. Given the continued trend of prior-year underexecution, the Committee believes that additional savings can be realized and recommends a reduction of \$855,000,000 to the fiscal year 2015 budget request. The Committee urges the Department to submit future year budgets that are more closely aligned with recent provided care patterns.

*Core Medical Research Funding.*—The Committee notes that the Department's fiscal year 2015 budget for medical research, excluding information technology, severely declined. Core research and

development funding supports medical research in medical training and health information sciences, military infectious diseases, military operational medicine, combat casualty care, radiological health, and clinical and rehabilitation medicine. The Committee believes that this research yields important advances in medical care for servicemembers and their families, as well as the larger civilian population. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$162,200,000 to restore this unjustified funding reduction and allow the Department to continue funding its core research priorities.

*Collaboration with the Department of Veterans Affairs.*—Over the past several years, collaboration between the Department of Defense [DOD] and the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] has significantly increased and the number of joint projects and services has expanded. The Committee applauds these efforts and believes that future information sharing between DOD and VA must strengthen in order to ensure a seamless transition from active duty and timely access to VA benefits. Nowhere is this more fundamental than in the transmission of Service Treatment Records [STRs] from DOD to VA. These records are essential in the VA's process of making accurate and timely determinations of benefits to which a veteran may be entitled.

The Committee applauds the electronic transfer from DOD to the VA, beginning on January 1, 2014, of certified and complete STRs for all separating servicemembers via the Health Artifacts and Imaging Management System [HAIMS]. This process has streamlined the exchange of a significant amount of data between DOD and VA and shortened the amount of time it takes for VA claims adjudicators to receive critical information from DOD. The Committee applauds DOD on the establishment of a liaison cell whose focus is uploading certified STRs into HAIMS. The Committee recommends an additional \$3,600,000 for the continuation and improvement of HAIMS and directs DOD to upload the STR of all separating servicemembers into HAIMS within 45 calendar days of a servicemember's separation.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 directed the DOD Inspector General to work in coordination with the VA Office of the Inspector General to assess the time it takes for Service Treatment records to be transmitted to VA, impediments to providing the records in a useable electronic format, and recommendations to streamline this process. The Committee is currently waiting for the completion and release of the report and looks forward to reviewing its recommendations.

The Committee understands that Guard and Reserve records are often the most difficult for the VA to obtain because many of these veterans saw multiple, non-consecutive deployments with different units. In January 2014, DOD created three service Single Points of Entry for VA to contact for information requests. The Committee understands that DOD and VA are still collaborating on expanding the Single Points of Entry concept to assist in the transfer of Guard and Reserve records and encourages both Departments to standardize this process in order to ensure the timely processing of benefits for Guard and Reserve servicemembers.

In October 2010, DOD and VA established a first-of-its-kind partnership with the opening of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal

Health Care Center [FHCC]. This is a fully integrated Federal healthcare facility that serves active duty military, their family members, military retirees, and veterans. The Committee continues to support the pilot program at FHCC.

*Electronic Health Record [EHR].*—The Committee remains concerned about the progress being made by the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to develop fully interoperable electronic health records. The ultimate goal of the efforts of both Departments is to have systems that can exchange data in a meaningful way and be used in a dynamic environment to improve patient care and facilitate smoother transitions for servicemembers from military service to veteran status.

The Committee notes that, despite improvements in providing information on prior year budgets and expenditures on its electronic health record, the Department of Defense failed to provide the Committee with an equivalent level of detail for its fiscal year 2015 budget request. The Committee continues its restriction on the amount of funding that may be obligated for the Interagency Program Office [IPO], the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization [DHMSM] program, and the Defense Information Management Exchange [DMIX] to 25 percent of the funding provided until the Secretary of Defense provides the House and Senate Appropriations committees an expenditure plan that includes numerous reporting requirements. The Committee also directs the Department of Defense to provide written notification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate prior to obligating any contract or combination of contracts in excess of \$5,000,000.

The Committee directs the Program Executive Officer [PEO] for DHMSM to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees and the Government Accountability Office on the cost and schedule of the program, to include milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligation reports. The Committee further directs the PEO DHMSM to continue briefing the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on a quarterly basis, coinciding with the report submission.

Finally, the Committee directs the IPO to continue to provide quarterly briefings to the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Defense and Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies regarding standards development, how those standards are being incorporated by both DOD and VA, and the progress of interoperability between the two Departments. In an effort to ensure Government-wide accountability, the Committee also directs the DOD in coordination with the VA to provide the Federal Chief Information Officer of the United States with monthly updates on progress made by the two Departments to reach interoperability and modernize their respective electronic health records.

*Integrated Disability Evaluation System.*—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall develop a plan to improve the sharing of information necessary to predict and address surges in workload within the Integrated Disability Evaluation System. Further, the Departments shall integrate information technology systems to ensure an end-to-end in-

formation technology solution is in place for both the transfer and management of Integrated Disability Evaluation system cases between the military services and Department of Veterans Affairs no later than December 31, 2014.

*Traumatic Brain Injury [TBI]/Psychological Health.*—The Committee recommends \$60,000,000 above the fiscal year 2015 budget request for continued research into treatment and prevention of traumatic brain injuries and improved psychological health. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this act on expenditure and obligation data of additional funding added by Congress for psychological health and traumatic brain injury. This report should include information on agreements made with other government agencies. Additionally, the Committee is aware of recent medical advances in drug development for neurodegenerative diseases and encourages the Department to further its research into developing drugs that reverse, halt, or slow the neurodegenerative process associated with traumatic brain injury.

*Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program.*—The Committee recommends \$247,500,000 for the Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Service Surgeons General, to select medical research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health. Research areas considered under this funding are restricted to: acute lung injury, advanced prosthetics, alcohol and substance abuse, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, arthritis, autism, chronic migraine and post-traumatic headache, congenital heart disease, Dengue, diabetes, DNA vaccine technology for postexposure prophylaxis, duchenne muscular dystrophy, dystonia, food allergies, Fragile X syndrome, gulf war illness, healthcare-acquired infection reduction, hepatitis B, hereditary angioedema, hydrocephalus, inflammatory bowel disease, integrative medicine, interstitial cystitis, lupus, malaria, metals toxicology, mitochondrial disease, multiple sclerosis, nanomaterials for bone regeneration, neurofibromatosis, orthopedics, osteoarthritis, pancreatitis, Parkinson's, pathogen-inactivated dried plasma, polycystic kidney disease, post-traumatic osteoarthritis, psychotropic medications, pulmonary fibrosis, reconstructive transplantation, respiratory health, rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, sleep disorders, spinal cord injury, tinnitus, tuberous sclerosis complex, vascular malformations, vision, and women's heart disease. The Committee emphasizes that the additional funding provided under the Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program shall be devoted only to the purposes listed above.

The Committee remains supportive of the medical research being conducted by the Department that yields medical breakthroughs for servicemembers and often translates to the civilian population, as well. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this act on the breakdown of funding in the Congressionally Directed Medical Research program between basic and advanced research.

*Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program.*—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program. Funds shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and Service medical requirements and to continue prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. These funds shall not be used for new projects or basic research, and they shall be awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps, as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees, which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research, and the benefit the research will provide to the Department of Defense.

*Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs.*—The Committee recommends \$120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$64,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$10,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, and \$50,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: colorectal cancer, kidney cancer, liver cancer, melanoma, mesothelioma, myeloproliferative disorders, neuroblastoma, pancreatic cancer, and stomach cancer.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers.

*Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research.*—The Committee provides \$10,000,000 in support of orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the peer-reviewed projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project and the anticipated effect on patient care.

*Collaboration on Medical Research.*—The Committee notes that the Department of Defense [DOD] coordinates with several other Federal agencies, especially the National Institutes of Health [NIH], through its peer-review process for medical research. NIH currently uses the Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools [REPORTER] to consolidate reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities, including information on expenditures and the re-

sults of supported research. The Committee understands that DOD signed a memorandum of agreement in February 2014, to initiate a pilot program to begin sharing DOD research data into REPORTER. The Committee is supportive of this collaboration and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs to provide the Committee a report on the status of this pilot program not later than 90 days after enactment of this act. The report should include a full description of the pilot program, a timeline of its implementation, and any impediments for the Department in uploading all of its research information into the database.

Additionally, DOD and NIH worked together to create the Federal Interagency Traumatic Brain Injury Research [FITBIR] system, a centralized database for traumatic brain injury [TBI] research. FITBIR serves as a central repository for new data, links to current databases, and allows valid comparison of results across studies. The Committee supports this type of collaboration and believes it is a crucial step in addressing the critical gaps in knowledge regarding the diagnosis and treatment of TBI. The Committee encourages continued collaboration between DOD and NIH in order to avoid duplication of effort and maximize Government investments in medical research.

In order to build on this collaboration, the Committee directs the Department to contract with the Institute of Medicine [IOM] to evaluate the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program [CDMRP] and provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 12 months after enactment of this act. The report should include an evaluation of the CDMRP two-tiered peer review process, its coordination of research priorities with NIH, and recommendations for how the process can be improved. The Committee notes that the peer review system used in the CDMRP is the recommendation of a 1993 IOM report and was modeled after the NIH system. The inclusion of patient advocates in the CDMRP peer review has been a highly regarded addition to the process, and the Committee believes that these voices provide a valuable contribution.

*Mental Health Professionals.*—The Committee recognizes that servicemembers and their families face unique stresses beyond those of everyday life. After over a decade of war, the need for mental health professionals in the Department is at an all-time high, and the Committee believes that every beneficiary of the Military Health System should have timely access to mental health services. However, the Committee is concerned with the Department's inability to recruit and retain enough psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, nurse practitioners, and registered nurses to provide adequate mental healthcare. The Committee has asked the Government Accountability Office to review this issue including the Departments' current inventory of mental health providers, current and future needs for providers, challenges the Department faces in recruitment and retention, actions taken to mitigate these challenges, and recommendations going forward to ensure an adequate inventory of mental health professionals within the Military Health System. The Committee looks forward to the receiving the results of this review and working with the Department to provide the tools necessary to implement any recommendations.

*Brain Tissue Repository.*—The Committee applauds the Department's recent efforts in advancing the study and treatment of traumatic brain injury [TBI] in servicemembers by partnering with the National Institutes of Health to create the world's first human brain tissue repository for military personnel at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. Its researchers need access to post-mortem tissues from servicemembers affected by blast injury, but there are currently significant hurdles to gaining access to these invaluable resources. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report outlining strategies for overcoming roadblocks to post-mortem brain donation in the military, including consent issues, that are preventing access to needed resources not later than 180 days after enactment of this act. The Committee maintains that respect and care for the deceased and their families is of utmost consideration moving forward and believes that thoughtful and effective strategies for increasing post-mortem donation will be key in developing potential interventions, treatments, and cures for this devastating condition.

*Global Health.*—The Committee recognizes the critical contribution that the Department's research and development [R&D] portfolio makes in protecting our troops from infectious and neglected diseases they may encounter on missions around the world. The Committee also recognizes the need to sustain and support U.S. investment in this area by fully funding those R&D programs that carry out this work within the Defense Health Program; Department of the Army and Department of the Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation [RDT&E]; and RDT&E, Defense-Wide budgets.

The Committee directs each program within the Department of Defense currently involved in infectious disease related research to submit a report on its R&D activities to the congressional defense committees outlining the program's funding and accomplishments from fiscal years 2011 through 2014. The report should also include each program's goals moving forward and funding needs across the Future Years Defense Program.

Additionally, the Committee recognizes that the Department's global health engagements support combatant commanders' efforts to build the capacity of partner nations, manage and respond to local health challenges, and promote regional stability by increasing access to basic health services. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), in collaboration with the Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences, to establish a learning tool to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of global health engagements in meeting national security goals. The Committee further encourages the Department to fund this modest investment from within appropriated funds in order to better inform future investments in global health engagements.

*Healthy Base Initiative.*—The Committee is encouraged by the Department's development of the Healthy Base Initiative [HBI], which holds the promise of substantially shifting behavior and practice to improve the wellness and resilience of the Nation's military, their families, and the communities that support them. The Committee is particularly supportive and encouraged that, to date, the program has included bases representing each service, as well

as the Guard and Reserve. The Committee encourages the Department to commit internal structures and processes to ensure the program's permanence.

The Committee recommends that the Department: (1) issue necessary instructions or directions to ensure HBI's sustainability, including the training of DOD leadership at all levels about HBI; (2) expand HBI's scope beyond tobacco use cessation and obesity to better align with a broader whole systems approach, such as Total Force Fitness; (3) develop a multi-stakeholder working group, including strong public-private partnerships that engage surrounding communities and leaders, to support the design and execution of an expanded HBI; (4) identify and implement appropriate program metrics to measure impact on health as described in Total Force Fitness; and (5) establish a single, fully accountable, vested DOD official in charge of HBI within the Office of Military Community and Family Policy of the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel & Readiness).

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 included \$3,000,000 to expand HBI, and the Committee looks forward to reviewing the progress report on the status of the program due in July 2014. The Committee recommends \$3,000,000 in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide for the continuation and expansion of HBI.

*Reconstructive Transplantation.*—The Committee understands that the Department's continued research into reconstructive transplantation will allow surgeons to refine techniques for hand, face, and other vascularized composite tissue allografts such as the transplants of skin, muscle, tendon, nerves, bone, and blood vessels. This work has immediate and transformative implications for wounded warriors and civilian patients alike, allowing those with debilitating, disfiguring and disabling injuries to regain their independence. The Committee includes reconstructive transplant as eligible to compete for funds in the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program and supports this important research to improve access to reconstructive transplants and state-of-the-art immunotherapy.

*Prescription Effectiveness of Psychotropic Medications.*—The Committee supports the Department's efforts to treat patients diagnosed with behavioral health disorders and believes that recent efforts to use database-supported methods in order to increase the accuracy and effectiveness of prescription practices for mental health medications may not only achieve cost savings but also improve patient care. The Committee understands that this research is currently taking place at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and Fort Belvoir Community Hospital and encourages its expansion to additional sites as preliminary findings have shown promising results.

*Trauma Research Clinical Trials Network.*—The Committee recognizes that trauma-related deaths impact our military services as well as the general civilian population, and the life-saving impact of trauma clinical research can be seen on the battlefield and in civilian trauma response. The Committee believes the Department of Defense should maintain the momentum on advances in trauma care and build on the success achieved by the Armed Forces Institute of Regenerative Medicine [AFIRM] and the recent consortiums on Traumatic Brain Injury [TBI] and Post-Traumatic Stress Dis-



order [PTSD], which combine efforts across the Federal Government. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the Director of the Defense Health Agency to work with other Federal agencies focused on trauma research to establish a task force to create a Trauma Research Clinical Trials Network and Repository.

*Military Integrative Medicine.*—The Committee recognizes that chronic pain disorders are increasingly prevalent among servicemembers and that the Department has established a Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program to focus research on alternatives to opioid analgesics for pain management. Further, the Committee supports the growing focus on integrated and patient-centered practices and models of care within the Military Health System. The Department's Pain Task Force has highlighted the challenges of pain management for today's servicemembers and their families, the problem of overmedication, and the necessity to evaluate and implement non-pharmaceutical pain management approaches. Several recently published systematic reviews and expert roundtables show the promise of active self-care, complementary, and integrative practices as varied as meditation, tai chi, yoga, and acupuncture for the management of chronic pain.

The Committee strongly encourages the Department to create a systematic, centralized approach to evaluating the implementation of integrative medicine by working with organizations that conduct research in these areas and have relevant subject matter expertise and significant military experience. The evaluation should measure and analyze the cost effectiveness of integrative medicine approaches and establish timely and articulate channels to disseminate the findings throughout the Military Health System. The Committee believes that such an evaluation of integrative medicine approaches will show which practices are most effective and efficient and allow the Department to confidently expand their implementation.

*Hydrocephalus Research.*—The Committee is concerned that of the estimated 294,000 servicemembers who have sustained a traumatic brain injury [TBI] in Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom, over 30,000 are expected to develop hydrocephalus. Hydrocephalus, an increased accumulation of fluid in the brain, often has a delayed onset and can easily be misdiagnosed as dementia or other aging related diseases. Given that there is currently no cure for hydrocephalus and current treatment options are limited and have high failure rates, the Committee encourages the Department to increase its investments in hydrocephalus research.

*Warfighter Respiratory Health.*—The Committee understands that respiratory diseases affect more than 100,000 servicemembers each year and is concerned about respiratory ailments among deployed and returning servicemembers. Beyond the decreased quality of life for affected servicemembers, respiratory diseases result in almost 27,000 lost workdays per year. The Committee encourages the Department to provide adequate resources for research on respiratory health.

*Epilepsy Research.*—The Committee is concerned about the large number of service men and women returning from the Persian Gulf

Wars and Afghanistan who have sustained traumatic brain injuries [TBI] and the long term consequences of TBI. These wounded warriors are at high risk for developing posttraumatic epilepsy, depression, cognitive difficulties, and posttraumatic stress disorder, which may be interconnected. As current TBI longitudinal studies have not included epilepsy, the Committee encourages the Department to place greater priority and invest more funding in longitudinal epidemiological research, including epilepsy surveillance, to better understand the magnitude of the problem and improve patient care and outcomes. To assist in these efforts, the Committee provides \$7,500,000 in support of epilepsy research. Additionally, the Committee urges the Department to expand research into the mechanisms by which brain injury produces epilepsy and research directed at the prevention of epilepsy and concomitant comorbidities in those known to be at high risk.

*Melanoma Research.*—The Committee understands that melanoma diagnoses are increasing among active duty servicemembers and that melanoma is the fifth most common cancer among veterans. Recent research suggests that exposure to high levels of solar radiation in young adulthood is associated with a higher risk of melanoma mortality. Given the extreme and harsh conditions servicemembers face in theater and the rise of this aggressive and frequently deadly form of cancer, the Committee encourages the Department to continue its investments in melanoma research.

*Sleep Disorder Research.*—The Committee recognizes that sleep disorders are increasingly prevalent among servicemembers and that such disruptions have been associated with diverse mental and physical disorders, including traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress. The Committee applauds the Army for acknowledging the importance of sleep in achieving optimal physical, mental, and emotional health and including sleep as a focus in the Performance Triad.

In support of this effort, the Committee urges the Department to support basic, translational, and clinical research on how the disruption of normal sleep and circadian biological rhythms adversely affects the health, safety, performance and productivity of our military and civilian populations.

*Obesity Treatment Options.*—The Committee recognizes that obesity is an underlying cause of several chronic conditions such as diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, and certain cancers including breast and colon cancer. While the Department has taken some steps to address obesity, it does not currently provide comprehensive access to a full complement of medical treatments for obesity. The Committee encourages the Department to meet with experts in the field of obesity, such as the Obesity Action Coalition, the American Medical Association, and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, to better understand the science and current medical treatments for obese or overweight patients.

*Military Medical Photonics.*—Military Medical Photonics research improves battlefield patient care using photomedicine technologies and exemplifies how mission-oriented research can benefit both military and civilian populations. Recent breakthroughs in this research include advances in the removal of kidney stones, diagnosis and treatment of major eye diseases, normalization of se-

vere scarring from war wounds, and rapid imaging of coronary artery disease. The Committee understands that the budget for Military Medical Photonics research decreased significantly from \$6,238,000 in fiscal year 2014 to \$2,087,000 in the fiscal year 2015 budget request. The Committee encourages the Department to fund this important research at historical levels in future budgets.

*DNA Vaccine Technology for Post-Exposure Prophylaxis.*—The Committee is concerned that the Army has not emphasized the production of polyclonal antibodies as part of its DNA vaccine technology research. The Committee continues to encourage the Army to conduct research that focuses on producing polyclonal antibodies in anseriformes through DNA vaccines.

*Medical Defense Against Infectious Disease.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of medical countermeasures to naturally occurring infectious diseases, such as malaria, Dengue, and Chikungunya viruses. These pose a significant threat to the strategic access and operational effectiveness of forces deployed outside the United States. The Committee is concerned with the Department's decisions over recent years to precipitously decrease funding for malaria research and encourages the Department to address diseases of military importance and invest in research for chemoprophylaxis, surveillance, novel approaches to vaccine development, and other countermeasures. Finally, the Committee urges the Department to partner with colleges and universities that have strong research programs in infectious diseases, as well as other Federal agencies, foreign governments, international agencies, and non-profit organizations to mitigate duplication of effort and maximize the use of Department resources.

*Biomarkers for Toxic Exposures.*—The Committee recognizes that hazardous exposures cost the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs billions of dollars annually in medical care, reduce servicemember performance, and create a multitude of health compensation claims. Identifying biomarkers for toxic or psychologically traumatic exposures could allow for faster diagnosis and treatment of a number of exposure-related conditions among military and veteran populations. The Committee supports research efforts that capitalize on recent advances in chemical surveillance and systems biology including proteomics, genomics, and metabolomics.

*Access to Military Treatment Facilities.*—The Committee is aware of unobligated balances from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2011. The Committee believes that these unobligated balances should be utilized for the purposes for which they were originally appropriated. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act on any remaining balances from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2011. The report shall include the amount of remaining balances and an execution plan for these funds that will improve access to the Department's military treatment facilities.

*Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs.*—The Committee encourages the Department to implement antimicrobial stewardship pro-

grams at all its medical facilities and develop consistent ways to collect and analyze data on antibiotic usage, healthcare outcomes (such as Clostridium difficile infections), and antimicrobial resistance trends in order to evaluate how well these programs are improving patient care and reducing inappropriate antibiotic use. The Committee also encourages the Department to provide antibiotic usage and antimicrobial resistance data to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Healthcare Safety Network.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,004,123,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	828,868,000
House allowance .....	828,868,000
Committee recommendation .....	798,268,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$798,268,000. This is \$30,600,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	House recommendation	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from		Change from	
							Budget estimate	House estimate	Budget estimate	House estimate
CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE										
1 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	.....	222,728	.....	222,728	.....	192,128	.....	— 30,600	.....	— 30,600
3 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—PROCUREMENT	.....	10,227	.....	10,227	.....	10,227	.....	.....	.....	.....
2 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	.....	595,913	.....	595,913	.....	595,913	.....	.....	.....	.....
TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE	.....	828,868	.....	828,868	.....	798,268	.....	— 30,600	.....	— 30,600

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Chemical Demilitarization O&M .....	222,728	192,128	- 30,600
Improving funds management: Recovered Chemical Warfare Material Project excess to requirement .....			- 30,600

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,015,885,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	820,687,000
House allowance .....	944,687,000
Committee recommendation .....	950,687,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$950,687,000. This is \$130,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Counter-Narcotics Support .....	719,096	632,396	- 86,700
	Transfer to National Guard Counter-Drug Program .....			- 86,700
30	Drug Demand Reduction Program .....	101,591	101,591	
30A	National Guard Counter-Drug Program .....		216,700	+ 216,700
	Transfer from Counter-Narcotics Support .....			+ 86,700
	Program increase .....			+ 130,000
	Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities .....	820,687	950,687	+ 130,000

*National Guard Counter-Drug Program.*—The Committee remains concerned that the Department continues to reduce funding for the National Guard Counter-Drug program, and disagrees with the fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request to eliminate funding for operation of the Counter-Drug Schools program. The counter-drug schools’ mission of providing combatant commands, law enforcement agencies, community-based organizations and military personnel with training to support and enhance their capabilities to detect, interdict, disrupt and curtail drug trafficking is an important component of our overall effort to reduce drug crimes and protect national security. To ensure this training remains available, the Committee provides \$216,700,000 to the National Guard Counter-Drug program and directs the National Guard Bureau to continue operation of the existing counter-drug schools to achieve their full mission.

## JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$879,225,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	115,058,000
House allowance .....	65,464,000
Committee recommendation .....	

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund in the base budget and addresses this requirement in title IX.

*Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization [JIEDDO].*—The fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request includes \$115,058,000 to develop technologies to defeat improvised explosives devices in the base budget. However the Committee denies this request in base and transfers \$65,463,000 to title IX, as these requirements are war-related and should be funded through Overseas Contingency Operations [OCO] funding.

## JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	\$20,000,000
House allowance .....	
Committee recommendation .....	

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

## SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	\$10,000,000
House allowance .....	10,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$316,000,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	311,830,000
House allowance .....	311,830,000
Committee recommendation .....	311,830,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$311,830,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

TITLE VII  
RELATED AGENCIES

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY  
SYSTEM FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$514,000,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	514,000,000
House allowance .....	514,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	514,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$514,000,000.  
This is equal to the budget estimate.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$528,229,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	510,194,000
House allowance .....	501,194,000
Committee recommendation .....	509,374,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$509,374,000.  
This is \$820,000 below the budget estimate.



## TITLE VIII

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested for inclusion by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 8001. *Publicity/Propaganda Limitation.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8002. *Compensation/Employment of Foreign Nationals.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8003. *Obligation Rate of Appropriations.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8004. *Obligations in Last 2 Months of Fiscal Year.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8005. *General Transfer Authority.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8006. *Project Level Adjustments.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8007. *Establishment of Reprogramming Baseline.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8008. *Working Capital Fund Cash Disbursements.*—Retains a provision carried in previous year.

SEC. 8009. *Special Access Programs Notification.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8010. *Multiyear Procurement Authority.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8011. *Humanitarian and Civic Assistance.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8012. *Civilian Personnel Ceilings.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8013. *Lobbying.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8014. *Educational Benefits and Bonuses.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8015. *Mentor-Protégé Program.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8016. *Public Schools on Military Facilities.*—Inserts a new provision providing authority to make available funds through the Office of Economic Adjustment or by transfer to the Department of Education for construction, renovation, repair, and expansion of public schools on military facilities.

SEC. 8017. *Demilitarization of Surplus Firearms.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8018. *Relocations Into the National Capital Region.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8019. *Indian Financing Act Incentives*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8020. *Defense Media Activity*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8021. *Burden Sharing With Kuwait*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8022. *Civil Air Patrol*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8023. *Federally Funded Research and Development Centers*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8024. *Carbon, Alloy, or Armor Steel Plate*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8025. *Congressional Defense Committee Definition*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8026. *Depot Maintenance Competition*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8027. *Reciprocal Trade Agreements*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8028. *Overseas Military Facility Investment*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8029. *Walking Shield*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8030. *Investment Item Unit Cost*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8031. *Working Capital Fund Investment Item Restrictions*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8032. *CIA Availability of Funds*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8033. *GDIP Information System*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8034. *Tribal Lands Environmental Impact*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8035. *Buy America Act Compliance*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8036. *Competition for Consultants and Studies Programs*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8037. *Field Operating Agencies*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8038. *Contractor Conversion and Performance*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8039. *Rescissions*.—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from the prior years as displayed below:

2013 Appropriations	Amount
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
Kiowa Warrior program termination .....	\$18,242,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
NAVSTAR GPS .....	5,842,000
Joint Tactical Radio System .....	67,000,000
Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio .....	68,884,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
MQ-4 Triton .....	47,200,000
Procurement, Marine Corps:	
LAV PIP .....	10,000,000
Combat Support System .....	2,000,000
Common Computer Resources .....	42,000,000

	Amount
Comm & Elec Infrastructure .....	15,000,000
HMMWV .....	5,000,000
Motor Transport Mod .....	15,000,000
LVSR .....	10,000,000
EOD Systems .....	16,900,000
Material Handling Equipment .....	3,500,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C-130 Mods .....	39,000,000
MQ-1B Mods .....	16,300,000
RQ-4 .....	33,000,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide:	
Non standard Aviation .....	11,500,000
2014 Appropriations	
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
Aerial Common Sensor .....	73,500,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio .....	19,200,000
Transportable Tactical Command Communications .....	598,000
Joint Tactical Radio System .....	103,000,000
Fire Support C2 Family .....	4,400,000
Tactical Bridge .....	6,000,000
Personnel Recovery Support System .....	9,400,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
Joint Strike Fighter CV .....	196,000,000
Joint Strike Fighter STOVL .....	172,000,000
EA-18G .....	25,000,000
FA-18E/F Advance Procurement .....	75,000,000
Executive Helicopter Series .....	15,000,000
Aviation Life Support Mods .....	6,267,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy:	
Virginia Class Submarine: Economic Change Orders .....	20,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C-5 Modifications .....	36,000,000
Joint Strike Fighter CTOL .....	196,000,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle .....	118,685,000
Small Diameter Bomb .....	36,024,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide:	
JBPS program termination .....	12,100,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
Amphibious Combat Vehicle .....	40,000,000
JATAS termination .....	14,000,000
Marine Corps combat service support .....	6,600,000
Ship to Shore Connector .....	16,330,000
Advance Submarine System Development Test and Evaluation .....	2,795,000
JPALS Increment 2 .....	38,762,000
Tactical Cryptologic Activities .....	497,000
JHSV .....	986,000
Harpoon Mods termination .....	500,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	
Cyber Security Initiative .....	2,048,000
DOD Cyber Crime Center .....	288,000
F-22 Increment 3.2B .....	23,000,000
ICBM Fuze Modernization .....	14,000,000

SEC. 8040. *Civilian Technician Reductions.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8041. *North Korea.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8042. *Reserve Component Intelligence Reimbursement.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8043. *Investment Mobilization Capacity Account.*—Inserts a new provision to provide funding to sustain work rates at the manufacturing arsenals and maintain the defense industrial base.

SEC. 8044. *Counter-Drug Activities Transfer*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8045. *United Service Organizations Grant*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8046. *Buy American Computers*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8047. *Small Business Set-Asides*.—Inserts a new provision directing that transfers to SBIR and STTR be taken proportionally.

SEC. 8048. *Transfer of Equipment and Supplies*.—Retains and modifies to make permanent a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8049. *Contractor Bonuses*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8050. *Reserve Peacetime Support*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8051. *Unexpended Balances*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8052. *National Guard Distance Learning*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8053. *Sexual Assault Prevention Programs*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8054. *End-Item Procurement*.—Retains a provisions carried in previous years.

SEC. 8055. *Buy American Waivers*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8056. *Training of Foreign Security Forces*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8057. *Repair and Maintenance of Military Family Housing*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8058. *Joint Capability Demonstration Project*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8059. *Secretary of Defense Reporting Requirement*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8060. *Support to Other Government Agencies*.—Makes permanent a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8061. *Missile Defense Authorization*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8062. *Armor-Piercing Ammo*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8063. *Funding Arlington National Cemetery*.—Inserts a new provision directing the Army to request funding for Arlington National Cemetery in the Cemeterial Expenses, Army appropriation.

SEC. 8064. *Alcoholic Beverages*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8065. *O&M, Army Transfer*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8066. *National Intelligence Program Separation*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8067. *Global Security Contingency Fund Authority*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8068. *Tobacco Use in the Military*.—Inserts a new provision eliminating the 5 percent discount on tobacco products at military exchanges as authorized by Department of Defense Instruction 1330.9.

SEC. 8069. *Israeli Cooperative Programs*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8070. *Enlistment Waivers*.—Inserts a new provision prohibiting the use of funds from being used to grant an enlistment waiver for an offense within offense code 433 related to certain sex crimes.

SEC. 8071. *Prior Year Shipbuilding*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8072. *Intelligence Authorization*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8073. *New Start Authority*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8074. *Contingency Operations Budget Justification*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8075. *Nuclear Armed Interceptors*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8076. *Grants*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8077. *53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8078. *Integration of Foreign Intelligence*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8079. *Evolved Expendable Launch Competition*.—Inserts a new provision that directs the acceleration of a competitively awarded launch.

SEC. 8080. *SCN Transfer Authority*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8081. *Army Tactical UAVs*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8082. *Asia-Pacific Regional Initiative*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8083. *DNI R&D Waiver*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8084. *Shipbuilding Obligations*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8085. *DNI Reprogramming Baseline*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8086. *Child Soldiers*.—Makes permanent a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8087. *DNI Information Sharing*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8088. *NIP Reprogramming*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8089. *Future-Years Intelligence Program*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8090. *Congressional Intelligence Committee Definition*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8091. *Cost of War Report*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8092. *Fisher House Authorization*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8093. *Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8094. *Public Disclosure of Agency Reports.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8095. *Contractor Compliance With the Civil Rights Act of 1964.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8096. *DOD-VA Medical Facility Demonstration.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8097. *Travel and Conference Activities.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8098. *Senior Mentors.*—Makes permanent a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8099. *Armored Vehicles.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8100. *Missile Defense Cooperation With Russia.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8101. *BRAC Parking.*—Inserts a new provision limiting parking at the BRAC 133 project.

SEC. 8102. *Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh Regarding Private Label Brands.*—Inserts a new provision requiring the military exchanges to become a signatory to or abide by the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh for purposes of sourcing private label brands of the exchanges.

SEC. 8103. *Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh Regarding Trademarked Products.*—Inserts a new provision directing that if the military services license their logos, then the licensees must become a signatory or otherwise abide by the Accord for garments produced in Bangladesh.

SEC. 8104. *NIP Reprogramming.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8105. *Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8106. *Combat Uniforms.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8107. *Transfer of Detainees to or Within the United States.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8108. *Detainee Transfer to a Foreign Country or Entity.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8109. *Corporations With Tax Liabilities.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8110. *Corporations Convicted of Felonies.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8111. *For Profit Advertising.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8112. *For Profit Rule.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8113. *Rosoboronexport.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8114. *President of Afghanistan.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8115. *Ex Gratia Payments.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8116. *Strategic Delivery Vehicles.*—Inserts a new provision that prohibits the use of funds to be used to reduce strategic delivery vehicles and launchers below compliance with the New START Treaty.

SEC. 8117. *Publishing Grants in Searchable Format.*—Inserts a new provision requiring the Department of Defense to post grants on a public website in a searchable format.

SEC. 8118. *Detainee Facilities.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8119. *8(a) Firms.*—Inserts a new provision that requires the Department of Defense to report on contracting with 8(a) firms.

SEC. 8120. *Dixon Tribute at Scott Air Force Base.*—Inserts a new provision directing the Secretary of the Air Force to designate a facility located on Scott Air Force Base, Illinois, to be named after Senator Alan J. Dixon in recognition of his significant public service achievements.

SEC. 8121. *American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.*—Inserts a new provision extending the life of funds provided in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

SEC. 8122. *Inverted Corporations.*—Inserts a new provision that does not allow funds made available by this Act to be used for any Department of Defense contract with a foreign incorporated entity which is treated as an inverted domestic corporation.

SEC. 8123. *Seafood Procurement.*—Inserts a new provision on Department of Defense seafood procurement.

SEC. 8124. *Firefighter Property Program.*—Inserts a new provision prohibiting the suspension, termination, or alteration of the Federal Excess Personal Property program or the Firefighter Property program.

SEC. 8125. *Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.*—Inserts a new provision precluding the use of funds appropriated in this act for the disestablishment of any Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program.

SEC. 8126. *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis.*—Inserts a new provision making \$7,500,000 available for peer-reviewed medical research on ALS.

SEC. 8127. *DFAS.*—Inserts a new provision requiring the Secretary of Defense to notify Congress before implementing any changes to the operations of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service.

TITLE IX  
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$58,255,711,000 for operations related to overseas contingency operations. In fiscal year 2014 Congress appropriated \$85,026,942,000 for activities funded in this title.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the Committee recommendation:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2014 enacted	Fiscal year 2015 estimate	Committee recommendation
Military Personnel .....	7,971,993	5,394,983	5,424,983
Operation and Maintenance .....	68,397,122	46,494,661	45,271,342
Procurement .....	6,233,156	5,598,560	6,755,240
Research and Development .....	135,134	79,977	82,677
Revolving and Management Funds .....	264,910	91,350	91,350
Other Department of Defense Programs .....	2,164,997	926,499	961,962
General Provisions (net) .....	-140,370	-117,000	-331,843
Total, Overseas Contingency Operations .....	85,026,942	58,469,030	58,255,711

OVERVIEW

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$58,255,711,000 of additional appropriations for overseas contingency operations in fiscal year 2015. This funding will ensure that resources, equipment, and supplies are available for our servicemembers without interruption, and will enable the Department to avoid absorbing operational costs from within baseline programs that are critical to future readiness and home-station activities.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs that the Department of Defense continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Enduring Freedom on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, chapter 23, volume 12. The Committee further directs the Department to continue providing the Cost of War reports to the congressional defense committees that include the following information by appropriation: funding appropriated, funding allocated, monthly obligations, monthly disbursements, cumulative fiscal year obligations, and cumulative fiscal year disbursements.



The Committee expects that in order to meet unanticipated requirements, the Department of Defense may need to transfer funds within these appropriations accounts for purposes other than those specified in this report. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to follow normal prior approval reprogramming procedures should it be necessary to transfer funding between different appropriations accounts in this title.

#### COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND

The Overseas Contingency Operations budget request includes \$4,000,000,000 for the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund [CTPF] in the Department of Defense. An additional \$1,000,000,000 was requested for the Department of State in a similar fund. The intent of the CTPF is to supplement ongoing counterterrorism and crisis response activities by the United States, and to accelerate cooperation with key partner nations.

The Committee supports increased engagement with partner nations to address emerging threats. The United States Armed Forces cannot be expected to respond unilaterally to an increasing number of complex terrorist threats in the Middle East, North Africa, the Sahel, the Horn of Africa, and other regions. The United States must have effective partners which can take responsibility for new security threats, and additional engagement with those nations can enhance those capabilities.

However, the Committee is concerned with several aspects of the proposal. In particular, the Committee does not support broad exemptions from current laws that could result in the training of foreign security services that would otherwise be ineligible for such assistance. The Committee similarly does not support waiving the statutory caps on the amount of funds that may be used for cooperative counterterrorism activities. Additionally, the Committee has not been satisfied that there is a sufficiently specific plan in place to execute \$4,000,000,000 over the next 3 years for the requested activities. Finally, additional policy questions should be raised as to whether the Department of Defense or the Department of State should be in the lead over the long term for training and equipping foreign security forces.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes \$1,900,000,000 for the CTPF, available for 2 years, with narrower authorities than those proposed in the request. These narrower authorities require that funds may be transferred only to certain appropriations which are actually related to counterterrorism missions and that human rights vetting must occur for any train and equip program for foreign security services. The Committee does not include a waiver for the authorized caps contained in the National Defense Authorization Act section 1206 and section 1208 training and support activities; instead the Committee authorizes an increase in the caps when CTPF funds are transferred for use in those programs. The recommendation allows for up to \$700,000,000 in 1206 train and equip programs in any fiscal year, and up to \$80,000,000 in 1208 counterterrorism support programs in any fiscal year.

In addition, the Committee recommendation includes \$1,000,000,000 in additional funds for Operation and Maintenance,

Defense-wide, which may be used in fiscal year 2015 for additional counterterrorism partnership activities under existing authorities and budgeting mechanisms. Providing additional funds for counterterrorism activities within existing accounts will increase accountability and oversight.

#### SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP AUTHORITY

The Committee is gravely concerned about the continuing civil war in Syria, including the serious humanitarian toll and the expanding counterterrorism crisis caused by the brutal actions of the illegitimate Assad regime. The Overseas Contingency Operations request includes a proposal to authorize the Department of Defense to engage in a train and equip mission for vetted, moderate elements of the Syrian opposition.

The Committee supports increased action by the United States and its regional partners to contain the crisis in Syria and hasten a negotiated settlement to end the conflict. The Committee also notes that S. 2410, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as reported, contains a similar authorization for the Department of Defense to supply equipment, supplies, training, and defense services to vetted elements of the Syrian opposition.

The recommendation includes a provision which authorizes these activities through September 30, 2015, or until enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015. The provision requires that the Department of Defense conduct vetting of candidates for assistance from the Department of Defense, including for associations with terrorist groups and for commitments to a peaceful and democratic Syria under civilian rule.

To remove any ambiguity on the role of the United States Armed Forces in supporting moderate elements of the Syrian opposition, the provision states that it does not authorize the use of the Armed Forces for combat operations covered by the War Powers Resolution.

Finally, the authorization allows for the use of up to \$500,000,000 from Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, to carry out these activities. As noted above, the recommendation includes a \$1,000,000,000 increase to this account for counterterrorism activities. The Committee strongly urges the Department of Defense to include funding for support to the Syrian opposition through normal budgeting processes in future requests, in order to maximize transparency and accountability in this sensitive, but critical, initiative.

#### BALTIC STATES

The Committee is deeply concerned about the threat Russia's recent aggression poses to Baltic States and supports programs that provide training and assistance to bolster the abilities of these nations to defend against possible future provocations. The Committee supports Department of Defense efforts to maintain U.S. military personnel rotations in these countries along with NATO's Baltic Air Policing program. To that end, the Committee recommends not less than \$30,000,000 from the European Reassurance Initiative be made available for the countries of Estonia, Lithuania, and Latvia.

## OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS FUNDING MIGRATION

The Committee notes that there is not yet an understanding of enduring activities funded by the Overseas Contingency Operations [OCO] budget or a clear path forward in migrating enduring requirement resources to the base budget. The Committee is concerned about the potential for large portions of enduring activities, training, sustainment, and other military requirements being funded through the OCO budget. The Committee believes the Department of Defense would be accepting high levels of risk in continuing to fund non-contingency related activities through the OCO budget. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report showing transfers of OCO funding to the base budget, at the program, project and activity level, for fiscal year 2016. This report shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees at the time of the President's budget submission for fiscal year 2016.

## MILITARY PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends a total of \$5,424,983,000 for pay, allowances, and other personnel costs for Active, Reserve, and Guard troops activated for duty in Afghanistan and other contingency operations. This recommendation includes funding for subsistence, permanent change of station travel, and special pays including imminent danger pay, family separation allowance, and hardship duty pay.

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$5,449,726,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	3,711,003,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,711,003,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,711,003,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay .....	637,011	637,011	.....
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	170,145	170,145	.....
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	202,041	202,041	.....
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	24,486	24,486	.....
35	Incentive Pays .....	3,149	3,149	.....
40	Special Pays .....	19,518	19,518	.....
45	Allowances .....	13,507	13,507	.....
50	Separation Pay .....	262,099	262,099	.....
55	Social Security Tax .....	48,642	48,642	.....
	TOTAL .....	1,380,598	1,380,598	.....
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay .....	782,189	782,189	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	206,855	206,855	.....
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	341,661	341,661	.....
85	Incentive Pays .....	1,329	1,329	.....
90	Special Pays .....	73,575	73,575	.....
95	Allowances .....	68,644	68,644	.....
100	Separation Pay .....	111,841	111,841	.....
105	Social Security Tax .....	59,837	59,837	.....
	TOTAL .....	1,645,931	1,645,931	.....
	BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	141,839	141,839	.....
120	Subsistence-In-Kind .....	257,125	257,125	.....
	TOTAL .....	398,964	398,964	.....
	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
125	Accession Travel .....	3,860	3,860	.....
130	Training Travel .....	4,778	4,778	.....
135	Operational Travel .....	53,145	53,145	.....
140	Rotational Travel .....	32,391	32,391	.....
145	Separation Travel .....	8,064	8,064	.....
150	Travel of Organized Units .....	114	114	.....
	TOTAL .....	102,352	102,352	.....
	BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
175	Interest On Uniformed Services Savings .....	1,986	1,986	.....
180	Death Gratuities .....	3,300	3,300	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	167,381	167,381	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	4,623	4,623	.....
219	Traumatic Injury Protection Coverage [T-SGLI] .....	5,868	5,868	.....
	TOTAL .....	183,158	183,158	.....
	Total, Military Personnel, Army .....	3,711,003	3,711,003	.....

### MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$558,344,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	331,347,000
Committee recommendation .....	331,347,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$331,347,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay .....	59,609	59,609	.....
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	13,412	13,412	.....
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	19,110	19,110	.....
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	2,124	2,124	.....
35	Incentive Pays .....	526	526	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
40	Special Pays .....	3,854	3,854	.....
45	Allowances .....	7,484	7,484	.....
55	Social Security Tax .....	4,560	4,560	.....
	TOTAL .....	110,679	110,679	.....
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay .....	70,993	70,993	.....
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	15,974	15,974	.....
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	33,590	33,590	.....
85	Incentive Pays .....	141	141	.....
90	Special Pays .....	7,035	7,035	.....
95	Allowances .....	15,967	15,967	.....
105	Social Security Tax .....	5,430	5,430	.....
	TOTAL .....	149,130	149,130	.....
	BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	8,149	8,149	.....
120	Subsistence-In-Kind .....	24,811	24,811	.....
	TOTAL .....	32,960	32,960	.....
	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
125	Accession Travel .....	2,203	2,203	.....
135	Operational Travel .....	3,584	3,584	.....
140	Rotational Travel .....	12,316	12,316	.....
145	Separation Travel .....	557	557	.....
	TOTAL .....	18,660	18,660	.....
	BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
180	Death Gratuities .....	700	700	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	8,071	8,071	.....
212	Reserve Income Replacement Program .....	30	30	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	11,117	11,117	.....
	TOTAL .....	19,918	19,918	.....
	Total, Military Personnel, Navy .....	331,347	331,347	.....

### MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$777,922,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	420,627,000
Committee recommendation .....	420,627,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$420,627,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
5	Basic Pay .....	52,490	52,490	.....
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	13,889	13,889	.....
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	19,010	19,010	.....
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	1,894	1,894	.....
40	Special Pays .....	1,897	1,897	.....
45	Allowances .....	2,549	2,549	.....
50	Separation Pay .....	26,101	26,101	.....
55	Social Security Tax .....	4,016	4,016	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>121,846</b>	<b>121,846</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	Basic Pay .....	56,031	56,031	.....
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	14,793	14,793	.....
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	18,554	18,554	.....
90	Special Pays .....	8,769	8,769	.....
95	Allowances .....	11,461	11,461	.....
100	Separation Pay .....	130,117	130,117	.....
105	Social Security Tax .....	4,286	4,286	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>244,011</b>	<b>244,011</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	18,243	18,243	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>18,243</b>	<b>18,243</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
145	Separation Travel .....	13,109	13,109	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>13,109</b>	<b>13,109</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
175	Interest on Uniformed Services Savings .....	302	302	.....
180	Death Gratuities .....	300	300	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	19,600	19,600	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	3,216	3,216	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>23,418</b>	<b>23,418</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>Total, Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....</b>	<b>420,627</b>	<b>420,627</b>	<b>.....</b>

**MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$832,862,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	708,347,000
Committee recommendation .....	708,347,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$708,347,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
5	Basic Pay .....	102,604	102,604	.....
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	23,086	23,086	.....
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	30,053	30,053	.....
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	3,721	3,721	.....
40	Special Pays .....	7,868	7,868	.....
45	Allowances .....	8,082	8,082	.....
55	Social Security Tax .....	7,849	7,849	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>183,263</b>	<b>183,263</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	Basic Pay .....	188,990	188,990	.....
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	42,521	42,521	.....
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	81,683	81,683	.....
90	Special Pays .....	28,848	28,848	.....
95	Allowances .....	26,608	26,608	.....
105	Social Security Tax .....	14,458	14,458	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>383,108</b>	<b>383,108</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	21,609	21,609	.....
120	Subsistence-In-Kind .....	85,511	85,511	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>107,120</b>	<b>107,120</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
180	Death Gratuities .....	1,000	1,000	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	23,708	23,708	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	10,148	10,148	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>34,856</b>	<b>34,856</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>Total, Military Personnel, Air Force .....</b>	<b>708,347</b>	<b>708,347</b>	<b>.....</b>

**RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$33,352,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	24,990,000
Committee recommendation .....	24,990,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,990,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING</b>			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	10,955	10,955	.....
80	Special Training .....	14,035	14,035	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>24,990</b>	<b>24,990</b>	<b>.....</b>

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Army .....	24,990	24,990	.....

**RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$20,238,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	13,953,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,953,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,953,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
70	School Training .....	1,785	1,785	.....
80	Special Training .....	11,497	11,497	.....
90	Administration And Support .....	671	671	.....
	TOTAL .....	13,953	13,953	.....
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	13,953	13,953	.....

**RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$15,134,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	5,069,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,069,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,069,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
80	Special Training .....	4,919	4,919	.....
90	Administration And Support .....	150	150	.....
	TOTAL .....	5,069	5,069	.....
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	5,069	5,069	.....



## RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$20,432,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	19,175,000
Committee recommendation .....	19,175,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$19,175,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
80	Special Training .....	19,175	19,175	.....
	TOTAL .....	19,175	19,175	.....
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	19,175	19,175	.....

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$257,064,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	155,578,000
Committee recommendation .....	185,578,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$185,578,000. This is \$30,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	28,674	28,674	.....
70	School Training .....	7,318	37,318	+ 30,000
	Program increase: Training shortfall .....			+ 30,000
80	Special Training .....	105,591	105,591	.....
90	Administration And Support .....	13,995	13,995	.....
	TOTAL .....	155,578	185,578	+ 30,000
	Total, National Guard Personnel, Army .....	155,578	185,578	+ 30,000

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$6,919,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	4,894,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,894,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,894,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
80	Special Training .....	4,894	4,894	.....
	TOTAL .....	4,894	4,894	.....
	Total, National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	4,894	4,894	.....

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends \$45,271,342,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. These funds are available to fund overseas deployments and other activities by the services and Special Operations Forces to include financing flying hours, ship steaming days, ground operations, special airlift missions, increased ship and aircraft maintenance, logistics support, fuel purchases, base support, civilian personnel, personnel support costs, overseas transportation, communications support, facility management, and other operation and maintenance requirements.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$32,369,249,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	16,355,722,000
Committee recommendation .....	16,355,722,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,355,722,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units .....	77,419	77,419	.....
112	Modular Support Brigades .....	3,827	3,827	.....
113	Echelons Above Brigade .....	22,353	22,353	.....
114	Theater Level Assets .....	1,231,128	1,231,128	.....
115	Land Forces Operations Support .....	452,332	452,332	.....
116	Aviation Assets .....	47,522	47,522	.....
121	Force Readiness Operations Support .....	1,043,683	1,043,683	.....
122	Land Forces Systems Readiness .....	166,725	166,725	.....
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance .....	87,636	87,636	.....
131	Base Operations Support .....	291,977	291,977	.....
135	Additional Activities .....	7,041,667	7,041,667	.....
136	Commander's Emergency Response Program .....	10,000	10,000	.....
137	Reset .....	2,834,465	2,834,465	.....
421	Servicewide Transportation .....	1,776,267	1,776,267	.....
424	Ammunition Management .....	45,537	45,537	.....
432	Servicewide Communications .....	32,264	32,264	.....
434	Other Personnel Support .....	98,171	98,171	.....
435	Other Service Support .....	99,694	99,694	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
437	Real Estate Management .....	137,053	137,053	.....
999	Classified Programs .....	856,002	856,002	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	16,355,722	16,355,722	.....

*Commanders Emergency Response Program.*—The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 for the Commanders Emergency Response Program [CERP] in Afghanistan in fiscal year 2015. The Committee directs the Army to submit monthly commitment, obligation, and expenditure data for CERP to the congressional defense committees no later than 30 days after each month.

The Committee includes language in section 9005 that requires all CERP projects executed under this authority shall be small scale, and shall not exceed \$2,000,000 in cost (including any ancillary or related elements in connection with such project).

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$8,470,808,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	5,477,240,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,263,921,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,263,921,000. This is \$213,319,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission And Other Flight Operations .....	547,145	547,145	.....
1A4A	Air Operations And Safety Support .....	2,600	2,600	.....
1A4N	Air Systems Support .....	22,035	22,035	.....
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance .....	192,411	192,411	.....
1A6A	Aviation Depot Operations Support .....	1,116	1,116	.....
1A9A	Aviation Logistics .....	33,900	33,900	.....
1B1B	Mission And Other Ship Operations .....	1,105,500	1,105,500	.....
1B2B	Ship Operations Support & Training .....	20,068	20,068	.....
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance .....	1,922,829	1,922,829	.....
1C1C	Combat Communications .....	29,303	29,303	.....
1C4C	Warfare Tactics .....	26,229	26,229	.....
1C5C	Operational Meteorology And Oceanography .....	20,398	20,398	.....
1C6C	Combat Support Forces .....	676,555	676,555	.....
1C7C	Equipment Maintenance .....	10,662	10,662	.....
1D3D	In-Service Weapons Systems Support .....	90,684	90,684	.....
1D4D	Weapons Maintenance .....	189,196	189,196	.....
B5M1	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration And Modernization .....	16,220	16,220	.....
B5S1	Base Operating Support .....	88,688	88,688	.....
2C1H	Expeditionary Health Service Systems .....	5,307	5,307	.....
2C3H	Coast Guard Support .....	213,319	.....	-213,319
	Coast Guard funded in Department of Homeland Security bill .....	.....	.....	-213,319
3B1K	Specialized Skill Training .....	48,270	48,270	.....
4A1M	Administration .....	2,464	2,464	.....
4A2M	External Relations .....	520	520	.....
4A4M	Military Manpower And Personnel Management .....	5,205	5,205	.....
4A5M	Other Personnel Support .....	1,439	1,439	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4B1N	Servicewide Transportation .....	186,318	186,318	.....
4B2N	Planning, Engineering and Design .....	1,350	1,350	.....
4B3N	Acquisition And Program Management .....	11,811	11,811	.....
4C1P	Naval Investigative Service .....	1,468	1,468	.....
9999	Classified Programs .....	4,230	4,230	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	5,477,240	5,263,921	- 213,319

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$3,369,815,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,474,804,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,474,804,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,474,804,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces .....	467,286	467,286	.....
1A2A	Field Logistics .....	353,334	353,334	.....
1A3A	Depot Maintenance .....	426,720	426,720	.....
BSS1	Base Operating Support .....	12,036	12,036	.....
3B4D	Training Support .....	52,106	52,106	.....
4A3G	Servicewide Transportation .....	162,000	162,000	.....
4A4G	Administration .....	1,322	1,322	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	1,474,804	1,474,804	.....

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$12,746,424,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	8,177,556,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,177,556,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,177,556,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces .....	1,136,015	1,136,015	.....
011C	Combat Enhancement Forces .....	803,939	803,939	.....
011D	Air Operations Training .....	8,785	8,785	.....
011M	Depot Maintenance .....	1,146,099	1,146,099	.....
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization .....	78,000	78,000	.....
011Z	Base Operating Support .....	1,113,273	1,113,273	.....
012A	Global C3I And Early Warning .....	92,109	92,109	.....
012C	Other Combat Ops Spt Programs .....	168,269	168,269	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
012F	Tactical Intel and Other Special Activities .....	26,337	26,337	.....
013A	Launch Facilities .....	852	852	.....
013C	Space Control Systems .....	4,942	4,942	.....
015A	Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support .....	69,400	69,400	.....
021A	Airlift Operations .....	2,417,280	2,417,280	.....
021D	Mobilization Preparedness .....	138,043	138,043	.....
021M	Depot Maintenance .....	437,279	437,279	.....
021R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization .....	2,801	2,801	.....
021Z	Base Support .....	15,370	15,370	.....
031A	Officer Acquisition .....	39	39	.....
031B	Recruit Training .....	432	432	.....
031Z	Base Support .....	1,617	1,617	.....
032A	Specialized Skill Training .....	2,145	2,145	.....
033C	Off-Duty and Voluntary Education .....	163	163	.....
041A	Logistics Operations .....	85,016	85,016	.....
041B	Technical Support Activities .....	934	934	.....
041Z	Base Support .....	6,923	6,923	.....
042A	Administration .....	151	151	.....
042B	Service-wide Communications .....	162,106	162,106	.....
042G	Other Service-wide Activities .....	246,256	246,256	.....
044A	International Support .....	60	60	.....
999	Classified Programs .....	12,921	12,921	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	8,177,556	8,177,556	.....

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$6,226,678,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	5,707,463,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,722,463,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,722,463,000. This is \$1,015,000,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Special Operations Command .....	2,390,521	2,390,521	.....
	Defense Contract Audit Agency .....	22,847	22,847	.....
	Defense Contract Management Agency .....	21,516	21,516	.....
	Defense Human Resource Activity .....	.....	15,000	+ 15,000
	Beyond Yellow Ribbon Programs .....	.....	.....	+ 15,000
	Defense Information Systems Agency .....	36,416	36,416	.....
	Defense Legal Services Agency .....	105,000	105,000	.....
	Defense Media Activity .....	6,251	6,251	.....
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency .....	1,660,000	1,660,000	.....
	Department of Defense Education Activity .....	93,000	93,000	.....
	Office of the Secretary of Defense .....	28,264	28,264	.....
	Washington Headquarters Services .....	2,424	2,424	.....
	Classified Programs .....	1,341,224	1,341,224	.....
UNDIST	Counterterrorism Partnerships Initiative .....	.....	1,000,000	+ 1,000,000
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	5,707,463	6,722,463	+ 1,015,000

*National Guard and Reserve Reintegration Programs.*—The Committee believes that National Guard and Reserve reintegration pro-

grams, like the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program [YRRP] and the Beyond Yellow Ribbon program, continue to provide value to service members and their families. Since 2008, more than 1.3 million National Guard members and reservists and their families have benefited from the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program. Information and counseling provided during YRRP events help mitigate the unique challenges associated with repeated transitions between military and civilian life, particularly for service members returning to rural areas far removed from traditional military support networks. In addition, State programs supported through the Beyond Yellow Ribbon program provide community-based outreach services that coordinate State and local resources into a single, comprehensive network of support throughout the deployment cycle.

Given the proven track record of these programs in addressing many of the reintegration challenges facing Guard and reservists, particularly the vital mission of suicide prevention, the Committee believes the YRRP and Beyond Yellow Ribbon program have enduring value and encourages the Department to ensure their continued viability by transitioning each to its base budget request.

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$34,674,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	36,572,000
Committee recommendation .....	36,572,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$36,572,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
113	Echelons Above Brigade .....	3,726	3,726	.....
115	Land Forces Operations Support .....	1,242	1,242	.....
121	Force Readiness Operations Support .....	608	608	.....
131	Base Operations Support .....	30,996	30,996	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	36,572	36,572	.....

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$55,700,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	45,876,000
Committee recommendation .....	45,876,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$45,876,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission And Other Flight Operations .....	16,133	16,133	.....
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance .....	6,150	6,150	.....
1B1B	Mission And Other Ship Operations .....	12,475	12,475	.....
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance .....	2,700	2,700	.....
1C6C	Combat Support Forces .....	8,418	8,418	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	45,876	45,876	.....

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$12,534,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	10,540,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,540,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,540,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces .....	9,740	9,740	.....
BSS1	Base Operating Support .....	800	800	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	10,540	10,540	.....

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$32,849,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	77,794,000
Committee recommendation .....	77,794,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$77,794,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011M	Depot Maintenance .....	72,575	72,575	.....
011Z	Base Operating Support .....	5,219	5,219	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	77,794	77,794	.....

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$130,471,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	76,461,000
Committee recommendation .....	76,461,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$76,461,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units .....	12,593	12,593	.....
112	Modular Support Brigades .....	647	647	.....
113	Echelons Above Brigade .....	6,670	6,670	.....
114	Theater Level Assets .....	664	664	.....
116	Aviation Assets .....	22,485	22,485	.....
121	Force Readiness Operations Support .....	14,560	14,560	.....
131	Base Operations Support .....	13,923	13,923	.....
133	Management and Operational Headquarters .....	4,601	4,601	.....
431	Administration .....	318	318	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	76,461	76,461	.....

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$22,200,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	20,300,000
Committee recommendation .....	20,300,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,300,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011G	Mission Support .....	20,300	20,300	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	20,300	20,300	.....

AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$4,726,720,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	4,109,333,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,109,333,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,109,333,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:



[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Infrastructure .....	20,000	20,000	.....
	Equipment And Transportation .....	21,442	21,442	.....
	Training And Operations .....	359,645	359,645	.....
	Sustainment .....	2,514,660	2,514,660	.....
	Subtotal, Ministry of Defense .....	2,915,747	2,915,747	.....
	Infrastructure .....	15,155	15,155	.....
	Equipment And Transportation .....	18,657	18,657	.....
	Training And Operations .....	174,732	174,732	.....
	Sustainment .....	953,189	953,189	.....
	Subtotal, Ministry of Interior .....	1,161,733	1,161,733	.....
	Infrastructure .....	.....	.....	.....
	Equipment And Transportation .....	.....	.....	.....
	Training And Operations .....	2,250	2,250	.....
	Sustainment .....	29,603	29,603	.....
	Subtotal, Related Activities .....	31,853	31,853	.....
	Total, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund .....	4,109,333	4,109,333	.....

*Recruiting and Retention of Women in Afghanistan National Security Forces.*—Of the funds provided for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, the Committee directs that \$25,000,000 be directed toward the recruitment and retention of women in the Afghan security forces. The funds should be focused on, but not limited to, providing appropriate equipment for female security and police forces, modification of facilities to allow for female participation within the security and police forces' training to include literacy training for women recruits, and gender awareness training for male counterparts and recruitment of women to support the 2015 parliamentary elections. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to provide periodic updates to the congressional defense committees on the efforts to increase women participation in the Afghan security forces.

*Afghan Pilot Training.*—The Secretary of the Air Force has recommended the training of Afghan Air Force pilots and maintenance personnel on the A-29 Light Air Support [LAS] aircraft within the United States. The Committee is concerned about the aggressive training timeline planned by the Air Force and whether trainees will be able to operate and maintain U.S. provided aircraft in Afghanistan without coalition support and protection. The Committee also has questions about the rigor of planned threat vetting and screening before pilot trainees arrive in the United States. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the defense committees, no later than 60 days prior to the start of pilot training, outlining the plan to train Afghan LAS pilots, including pilot background checks, threat vetting, and screening planned prior to the arrival of pilots in the United States and any other planned safeguards. The report shall also include the timeline for aircraft delivery to Afghanistan and the plan to enable the Afghans to successfully operate and maintain the aircraft at a permanent base in Afghanistan.

## COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	\$4,000,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,900,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,900,000,000. This is \$2,100,000,000 below the budget estimate.

## EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	\$925,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,000,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,000,000,000. This is \$75,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## PROCUREMENT

The Committee recommends \$6,755,240,000 for the procurement accounts. The overseas contingency operations funding supports our forces engaged in Operation Enduring Freedom and other contingency operations. The Committee provides funding to replace combat losses and equipment left behind in theater, augment and upgrade equipment for deploying units, sustain munitions and other war consumables, and reset the units returning home to an equipment-ready status. Funding adjustments have been made in instances where the requirement was poorly defined, funding was requested ahead of need or program execution has been delayed.

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$669,000,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	36,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	196,200,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$196,200,000. This is \$160,200,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Aerial Common Sensor [ACS] [MIP] .....	36,000	36,000	.....
9	AH-64 Apache Block IIIB Reman .....		144,000	+ 144,000
	Program increase only for Army National Guard .....			+ 144,000
13	UH-60 Blackhawk M Model .....		16,200	+ 16,200
	Program increase for combat loss .....			+ 16,200
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	36,000	196,200	+ 160,200

## MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$128,645,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	29,100,000
Committee recommendation .....	29,100,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$29,100,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Hellfire Sys Summary .....	29,100	29,100	.....
	Total, Missile Procurement, Army .....	29,100	29,100	.....

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....  
 Budget estimate, 2015 .....  
 Committee recommendation ..... \$10,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,000,000. This is \$10,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
21	Common Remotely Operated Weapons Station .....	.....	10,000	+ 10,000
	Program increase .....	.....	.....	+ 10,000
	Total, Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army ..	.....	10,000	+ 10,000

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 ..... \$190,900,000  
 Budget estimate, 2015 ..... 140,905,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 140,905,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$140,905,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
8	CTG, 30mm, All Types .....	35,000	35,000	.....
10	60MM Mortar, All Types .....	5,000	5,000	.....
14	Artillery Cartridges, 75MM & 105MM, All Types .....	10,000	10,000	.....
15	Artillery Projectile, 155MM, All Types .....	15,000	15,000	.....
21	Rocket, Hydra 70, All Types .....	66,905	66,905	.....
22	Demolition Munitions, All Types .....	3,000	3,000	.....
23	Grenades, All Types .....	1,000	1,000	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
24	Signals, All Types .....	5,000	5,000	.....
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	140,905	140,905	.....

**OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$653,902,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	727,553,000
Committee recommendation .....	614,424,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$614,424,000. This is \$113,129,000 below the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	Family of Medium Tactical Veh [FMTV] .....	95,624	95,624	.....
8	PLS ESP .....	60,300	60,300	.....
10	Hvy Expanded Mobile Tactical Truck Ext Serv .....	192,620	192,620	.....
15	Mine-Resistant Ambush-Protected [MRAP] Mods .....	197,000	197,000	.....
63	DCGS-A [MIP] .....	48,331	.....	- 48,331
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 48,331
67	CI HUMINT Auto Reporting and Coll [CHARCS] .....	4,980	.....	- 4,980
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 4,980
71	Family Of Persistent Surveillance Capabilities .....	32,083	.....	- 32,083
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 32,083
72	Counterintelligence/Security Countermeasures .....	17,535	.....	- 17,535
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 17,535
133	Force Provider .....	51,500	51,500	.....
135	Cargo Aerial Del & Personnel Parachute System .....	2,580	.....	- 2,580
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 2,580
170	Rapid Equipping Soldier Support Equipment .....	25,000	17,380	- 7,620
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 10,000
	Transfer from Title III .....	.....	.....	+ 2,380
	Total, Other Procurement, Army .....	727,553	614,424	- 113,129

**AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY**

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$211,176,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	141,247,000
Committee recommendation .....	158,503,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$158,503,000. This is \$17,256,000 above the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
11	UH-1&AH-1Z .....	30,000	26,000	- 4,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			- 4,000
27	MQ-8 UAV .....	40,888	70,000	+ 29,112
	Program increase: 3 additional MQ-8 UAVs .....			+ 29,112
39	EP-3 Series .....	34,955	34,955	
49	Special Project Aircraft .....	2,548	2,548	
54	Common ECM Equipment .....	31,920		- 31,920
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			- 31,920
65	Spares and Repair Parts .....		25,000	+ 25,000
	Program increase .....			+ 25,000
67	Aircraft Industrial Facilities .....	936		- 936
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....			- 936
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	141,247	158,503	+ 17,256

### WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$86,500,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	12,456,000
Committee recommendation .....	12,456,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,456,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Laser Maverick .....	7,656	7,656	
11	Stand off Precision Guided Munitions [SOPGM] .....	4,800	4,800	
	Total, Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	12,456	12,456	

### PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$169,362,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	152,009,000
Committee recommendation .....	152,009,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$152,009,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	General Purpose Bombs .....	5,086	5,086	
2	Airborne Rockets, All Types .....	8,862	8,862	
3	Machine Gun Ammunition .....	3,473	3,473	
6	Air Expendable Countermeasures .....	29,376	29,376	
11	Other Ship Gun Ammunition .....	3,919	3,919	
12	Small Arms & Landing Party Ammo .....	3,561	3,561	
13	Pyrotechnic and Demolition .....	2,913	2,913	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
14	Ammunition Less Than \$5 Million .....	2,764	2,764	.....
15	Small Arms Ammunition .....	9,475	9,475	.....
16	Linear Charges, All Types .....	8,843	8,843	.....
17	40 Mm, All Types .....	7,098	7,098	.....
18	60mm, All Types .....	5,935	5,935	.....
19	81mm, All Types .....	9,318	9,318	.....
20	120mm, All Types .....	6,921	6,921	.....
22	Grenades, All Types .....	3,218	3,218	.....
23	Rockets, All Types .....	7,642	7,642	.....
24	Artillery, All Types .....	30,289	30,289	.....
25	Demolition Munitions, All Types .....	1,255	1,255	.....
26	Fuze, All Types .....	2,061	2,061	.....
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	152,009	152,009	.....

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	.....
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	\$298,498,000
Committee recommendation .....	219,370,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$219,370,000. This is \$79,128,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
23	Underwater EOD Programs .....	8,210	8,210	.....
88	Communications Items Under \$5M .....	1,100	.....	- 1,100
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 1,100
132	Explosive Ordnance Disposal Equipment .....	207,860	207,860	.....
138	Passenger Carrying Vehicles .....	1,063	.....	- 1,063
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 1,063
139	General Purpose Trucks .....	152	.....	- 152
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 152
142	Tactical Vehicles .....	26,300	.....	- 26,300
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 26,300
145	Items Under \$5 Million .....	3,300	3,300	.....
152	Command Support Equipment .....	10,745	.....	- 10,745
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 10,745
157	Operating Forces Support Equipment .....	3,331	.....	- 3,331
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 3,331
158	C4ISR Equipment .....	35,923	.....	- 35,923
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 35,923
159	Environmental Support Equipment .....	514	.....	- 514
	Improving funds management: Excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 514
	Total, Other Procurement, Navy .....	298,498	219,370	- 79,128

## PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$125,984,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	53,589,000
Committee recommendation .....	67,589,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$67,589,000. This is \$14,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
7	Modification Kits .....	3,190	3,190	.....
10	Javelin .....	17,100	17,100	.....
13	Modification Kits .....	13,500	13,500	.....
16	Repair and Test Equipment .....	980	980	.....
19	Items Under \$5 Million (Comm & Elec) .....	996	996	.....
25	Intelligence Support Equipment .....	1,450	1,450	.....
28	RQ-11 UAV .....	1,740	1,740	.....
31	Night Vision Equipment .....	134	134	.....
36	Comm Switching & Control Systems .....	3,119	3,119	.....
42	Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement .....	584	584	.....
52	EOD Systems .....	5,566	5,566	.....
55	Material Handling Equip .....	3,230	3,230	.....
58	Training Devices .....	2,000	2,000	.....
60	Family of Construction Equipment .....	.....	14,000	+ 14,000
	Program Increase .....	.....	.....	+ 14,000
	Total, Procurement, Marine Corps .....	53,589	67,589	+ 14,000

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$188,868,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	646,219,000
Committee recommendation .....	296,200,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$296,200,000. This is \$350,019,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	C-130J .....	70,000	70,000	.....
18	MQ-9 .....	192,000	26,000	- 166,000
	Improving funds management: Reduce quantity by 10 .....	.....	.....	- 166,000
21	B-1B .....	91,879	.....	- 91,879
	Improving funds management: Lack of justification .....	.....	.....	- 91,879
50	C-130 .....	47,840	.....	- 47,840
	Improving funds management: Lack of justification .....	.....	.....	- 47,840
51	C-130J Mods .....	18,000	18,000	.....
53	Compass Call Mods .....	24,800	24,800	.....
63	HC/MC-130 Modifications .....	44,300	—	- 44,300
	Improving funds management: Lack of justification .....	.....	.....	- 44,300
64	Other Aircraft .....	111,990	111,990	.....
70	Initial Spares/Repair Parts .....	45,410	45,410	.....
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	646,219	296,200	- 350,019

## MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$24,200,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	114,939,000
Committee recommendation .....	114,939,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$114,939,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	Predator Hellfire Missile .....	114,939	114,939	.....
	Total, Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	114,939	114,939	.....

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$137,826,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	170,732,000
Committee recommendation .....	170,732,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$170,732,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Cartridges .....	2,163	2,163	.....
4	General Purpose Bombs .....	41,545	41,545	.....
5	Joint Direct Attack Munition .....	90,330	90,330	.....
11	Flares .....	18,916	18,916	.....
12	Fuzes .....	17,778	17,778	.....
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	170,732	170,732	.....

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$2,517,846,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	2,886,272,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,361,272,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,361,272,000. This is \$475,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:



[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	Items Less Than \$5 Million .....	3,000	3,000	.....
6	Items Less Than \$5 Million .....	1,878	1,878	.....
8	Items Less Than \$5 Million .....	5,131	5,131	.....
9	Runway Snow Remov & Cleaning Equip .....	1,734	1,734	.....
10	Items Less Than \$5 Million .....	22,000	22,000	.....
27	General Information Technology .....	3,857	3,857	.....
33	C3 Countermeasures .....	900	900	.....
46	Milsatcom Space .....	19,547	19,547	.....
55	Base Comm Infrastructure .....	1,970	1,970	.....
57	Night Vision Goggles .....	765	765	.....
60	Base Procured Equipment .....	2,030	2,030	.....
61	Contingency Operations .....	99,590	99,590	.....
63	Mobility Equipment .....	107,361	107,361	.....
64	Items Less Than \$5 Million .....	10,975	10,975	.....
70	Defense Space Reconnaissance Prog. ....	6,100	6,100	.....
68A	Classified Programs .....	2,599,434	3,074,434	+ 475,000
	Classified adjustment .....			+ 475,000
	Total, Other Procurement, Air Force .....	2,886,272	3,361,272	+ 475,000

### PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$128,947,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	189,041,000
Committee recommendation .....	211,541,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$211,541,000. This is \$22,500,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Teleport Program .....	4,330	4,330	.....
49	MH-60 Modernization Program .....		16,800	+ 16,800
	Combat Loss .....			+ 16,800
56	MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle .....		5,700	+ 5,700
	MQ-9 Capability Enhancements .....			+ 5,700
65	Ordnance Items <\$5M .....	14,903	14,903	.....
68	Intelligence Systems .....	13,549	13,549	.....
71	Other Items <\$5M .....	32,773	32,773	.....
76	Warrior Systems <\$5M .....	78,357	78,357	.....
88	Operational Enhancements .....	3,600	3,600	.....
	Classified Programs .....	41,529	41,529	.....
	Total, Procurement Defense-Wide .....	189,041	211,541	+ 22,500

### NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$1,000,000,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	1,000,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,000,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,000,000,000. This is \$1,000,000,000 above the budget estimate.

The appropriation includes direction for each Reserve and National Guard component commander to submit to the congressional defense committees a detailed assessment of that component's modernization priorities, not later than 30 days after enactment of this act.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT			
RESERVE EQUIPMENT:			
ARMY RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment .....		175,000	+ 175,000
NAVY RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment .....		65,000	+ 65,000
MARINE CORPS RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment .....		60,000	+ 60,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment .....		70,000	+ 70,000
TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT .....		370,000	+ 370,000
NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT:			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
Miscellaneous Equipment .....		315,000	+ 315,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
Miscellaneous Equipment .....		315,000	+ 315,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT .....		630,000	+ 630,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT .....		1,000,000	+ 1,000,000

*High-Priority Items.*—The Committee directs that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment account shall be executed by the Chiefs of the National Guard and Reserve components with priority consideration given to the following items: C-130 Propulsion Upgrades; C-130 and KC-135 Secure Line-of-Sight/Beyond Line-of-Sight [SLOS/BLOS] Data Link and Situational Awareness Cockpit Displays; Chemical and Biological Protective Shelters; Coastal Riverine Force Boats and Communications Upgrades; Combat Mobility Equipment; Communications, Navigation and Surveillance/Air Traffic Management [CNS/ATM]; Construction Engineering Equipment; Crashworthy Auxiliary Fuel Systems; Cyber Range Training Equipment; F-15C/D AESA Radars; F-15/F-16 Sensor Upgrades; Fire-Resistant Environmental Ensemble; FMTV Virtual Trainers; HMMWV Ambulances; HMMWV Modernization; In-Flight Propeller Balancing System; Integrated Vehicle Health Management System for UH-72As; Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures [LAIRCM]; Light Utility Helicopters; Mobile Satellite Networking Technology; Naval Construction Force Equipment; Radio Enhancements; Palletized Loading Systems; Reactive Skin Decontamination Lotion; Rotary Medium Cargo [H-60M] modernization; Security and Support/Civil Support Communication Package for UH-60s; Semi-permanent Humidity Controlled Shelters; Semitrailers; Simulation Training Systems; Small Arms Sim-

ulation Training Systems; TACSAT Radios; Tactical Communications Equipment for MQ-9s; Tactical Trucks; Ultra-Light Tactical Vehicles; and Wireless Mobile Mesh Network Systems.

*National Guard and Reserve Equipment Report.*—The Committee utilizes the annual National Guard and Reserve Equipment Report [NGRER] as a valuable repository for information on equipment requirements, inventories, and shortages for the Guard and Reserve components. In the fiscal year 2015 NGRER, the Army changed the way in which it calculated its equipment shortages to include modernized substitutes, and therefore the Army’s equipment shortage data was not included in the Report. The Committee notes that this is a break from past reports and that this change in calculation detracts from the usefulness of the Report. The Committee directs the Army to provide data excluding modernized substitutes in future NGRERs.

*Simulation Training Systems.*—The Committee acknowledges that simulation training is a cost-effective means by which military units can improve tactical decisionmaking skills and readiness. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to use a portion of National Guard and Reserve Equipment account funding to continue the procurement of simulation training systems and to seek the appropriate combination of Government-owned and operated simulators as well as contractor support in order to maximize efficiency and effectiveness.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The Committee recommends \$82,677,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$13,500,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	4,500,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,000,000. This is \$2,500,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
60	Soldier Support and Survivability .....	4,500	2,000	– 2,500
	Improving funds management: Unexecutable request .....	.....	.....	– 2,500
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	4,500	2,000	– 2,500

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$34,426,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	35,080,000
Committee recommendation .....	35,080,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$35,080,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified Programs .....	35,080	35,080	.....
	Total, Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy .....	35,080	35,080	.....

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$78,208,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	40,397,000
Committee recommendation .....	45,597,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$45,597,000. This is \$5,200,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
242	MQ-9 UAV .....	.....	5,200	+ 5,200
	MQ-9 enhancements .....	.....	.....	+ 5,200
	Classified Programs .....	40,397	40,397	.....
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	40,397	45,597	5,200

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$264,910,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	91,350,000
Committee recommendation .....	91,350,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$91,350,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the programs recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Transportation .....	5,000	5,000	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force .....	5,000	5,000	.....
	Defense Logistics Agency .....	86,350	86,350	.....
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide ...	86,350	86,350	.....
	Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds .....	91,350	91,350	.....

## OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

## DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$898,701,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	300,531,000
Committee recommendation .....	300,531,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$300,531,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Operation and Maintenance			
	In-House Care .....	65,902	65,902	.....
	Private Sector Care .....	214,259	214,259	.....
	Consolidated Health Care .....	15,311	15,311	.....
	Education and Training .....	5,059	5,059	.....
	Total, Defense Health Program .....	300,531	300,531	.....

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$376,305,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	189,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	209,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$209,000,000. This is \$20,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities .....	189,000	209,000	+ 20,000
	Program increase: SOUTHCOM ISR .....	.....	.....	+ 20,000
	Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities ...	189,000	209,000	+ 20,000

JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$879,225,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	379,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	444,463,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$444,463,000. This is \$65,463,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Attack the Network .....	189,700	189,700	.....
2	Defeat the Device .....	94,600	94,600	.....
3	Train the Force .....	15,700	15,700	.....
4	Operations .....	79,000	144,463	+ 65,463
	Transfer from Base .....	.....	.....	+ 65,463
	Total, JIEDDO .....	379,000	444,463	+ 65,463

*Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization [JIEDDO].*—The fiscal year 2015 President’s budget request includes \$115,058,000 in base funding and an additional \$379,000,000 in title IX Oversees Contingency Operations [OCO] funding. However, the Committee denies this request in base and transfers \$65,463,000 to title IX, as these requirements are war-related and should be funded through OCO funding. In all, the recommendation provides \$444,463,000 for JIEDDO.

The Committee recently received an interim report stating that the Department of Defense is transitioning JIEDDO’s roles and missions from its current focus on counter-improvised explosive devices [C-IED] to a much broader mission. The reports states the new mission “includes an ability to prepare for and react to battle-field surprise in counterterrorism, counter-insurgency, and other related mission areas beyond C-IED but with a significantly smaller footprint.” The final report with the detailed concept of operations will be finalized in September 2014. The Committee believes that the proposed change in roles and missions has not been justified, in part because there are already organizations that exist to conduct those missions.

In addition, the Committee strongly believes that the request to establish a new organization runs counter to the letter and intent of section 8037 of Public Law 113–76, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014, which prohibits the establishment of a new field operating agency. The Committee notes that this legislative provision has been carried annually for several years, and the Committee recommendation continues that provision.

JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Appropriations, 2014 .....	.....
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	\$50,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	.....

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2014 .....	\$10,766,000
Budget estimate, 2015 .....	7,968,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,968,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,968,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS TITLE

SEC. 9001. *Funds in Addition to Base.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9002. *Special Transfer Authority.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9003. *Supervision and Administration Costs.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9004. *Vehicle Procurement.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9005. *Commander’s Emergency Response Program.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9006. *Coalition Lift and Sustainment.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9007. *Permanent Military Installations.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9008. *U.N. Convention Against Torture.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9009. *Afghanistan Resource Oversight Council.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9010. *Investment Unit Cost.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9011. *Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9012. *Rescissions.*—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from prior years as displayed below:

	Amount
2013 Appropriations	
Other Procurement, Army:	
Single Army Logistics Enterprise .....	\$5,000,000
Fire Support C2 Family .....	3,200,000
2014 Appropriations	
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
CH-47 Chinook .....	347,000,000
Kiowa Warrior program termination .....	117,000,000
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund:	
Program adjustment .....	109,643,000

SEC. 9013. *Syria War Powers Contravention.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9014. *Unexploded Ordnance.*—Inserts a new provision funding the surface and subsurface clearance of unexploded ordnance in Afghanistan.

SEC. 9015. *Syria Opposition.*—Inserts a new provision to train and assist the Syrian opposition.

SEC. 9016. *C-130 Cargo Aircraft Transfers*.—Inserts a new provision prohibiting the use of funds appropriated by this act to transfer additional C-130 cargo aircraft to the Afghan National Security Forces until the Department of Defense submits a review of the Afghanistan Air Force's requirements.

SEC. 9017. *Emergency Authorization*.—Inserts a new provision limiting the disbursement of OCO funds to those authorized by the President.



TITLE X  
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE  
FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT  
COMPLEX CRISES FUND

The Committee recommends \$1,000,000,000 for Complex Crises Fund for the extraordinary costs of undertaking counterterrorism partnership efforts, responding to crises, and addressing regional instability resulting from the conflict in Syria, including to rescue scholars, and is designated for Overseas Contingency Operations [OCO]. The Committee authorizes up to 5 percent, in addition to amounts otherwise available, for administrative expenses.

The Committee also provides authority to the Secretary of Defense to transfer and merge funds from the heading Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund in this act to this heading.

INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE  
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

CONTRIBUTIONS FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACEKEEPING ACTIVITIES

The Committee recommends \$278,000,000 for Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities for the extraordinary costs related to new and expanded international peacekeeping missions, including in the Central African Republic, and is designated for OCO.

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT  
FOREIGN MILITARY FINANCING PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$75,000,000 for Foreign Military Financing Program for the extraordinary costs of strengthening the capacity of partner states in Europe, including to support security sector reform, and is designated for OCO.

GENERAL PROVISION—THIS TITLE

SEC. 10001. This provision provides that sections 8002 and 8003 of S. 2499, as reported to the Senate on June 19, 2014, shall apply to funds appropriated under this heading.

## TITLE XI

### OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE- WIDE

The Committee recommends \$46,000,000 for “Military Construction, Defense-Wide”, as requested, for a classified project at a classified location.

*European Reassurance Initiative Military Construction Proposal.*—The funding request for the European Reassurance Initiative [ERI] included a provision allowing the use of up to \$175,000,000 for unspecified military construction projects associated with the initiative. The ERI is funded through Department of Defense Operation and Maintenance [O&M] accounts. The Committee believes that military construction projects should be identified and funded within the appropriate Military Construction account, not with O&M funding over which the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs subcommittee has no visibility or oversight. Therefore, the Committee recommendation does not include a provision allowing the use of ERI funding for military construction. The Department is encouraged to work with the Committee to provide project-level detail, justification, and Military Construction funding requirements for any projects required to support the ERI.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill “which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.”

The Committee recommends funding for the following accounts which currently lack authorization for fiscal year 2015:

Military Personnel, Army  
Military Personnel, Navy  
Military Personnel, Marine Corps  
Military Personnel, Air Force  
Reserve Personnel, Army  
Reserve Personnel, Navy  
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps  
Reserve Personnel, Air Force  
National Guard Personnel, Army  
National Guard Personnel, Air Force  
Operation and Maintenance, Army  
Operation and Maintenance, Navy  
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps  
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force  
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide  
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard  
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard  
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces  
Environmental Restoration, Army  
Environmental Restoration, Navy  
Environmental Restoration, Air Force  
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide  
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites  
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid  
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account  
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund  
Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund  
European Reassurance Initiative  
Aircraft Procurement, Army  
Missile Procurement, Army  
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army  
Procurement of Ammunition, Army  
Other Procurement, Army

Aircraft Procurement, Navy  
Weapons Procurement, Navy  
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps  
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy  
Carrier Replacement Program  
Virginia Class Submarine  
Virginia Class Submarine [AP]  
CVN Refueling Overhauls [AP]  
DDG 1000  
DDG-51  
DDG-51 [AP]  
Littoral Combat Ship  
LPD-17  
LHA Replacement  
Joint High Speed Vessel  
Moored Training Ship  
Outfitting, Post Delivery, Conversions and First Destination  
Transportation  
Ship to Shore Connector  
LCAC Service Life Extension Program  
Completion of Prior Year Shipbuilding Programs  
Other Procurement, Navy  
Procurement, Marine Corps  
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force  
Missile Procurement, Air Force  
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force  
Other Procurement, Air Force  
Procurement, Defense-Wide  
National Guard and Reserve Equipment  
Defense Production Act Purchases  
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army  
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy  
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force  
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide  
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense  
Defense Working Capital Funds  
National Defense Sealift Fund  
Defense Health Program  
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense  
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense  
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund  
Support for International Sporting Competitions  
Office of the Inspector General  
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System  
Fund  
Intelligence Community Management Account  
Complex Crises Fund  
Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities  
Foreign Military Financing Program  
Military Construction Defense-Wide

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the Committee.”

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount in bill	Committee allocation	Amount in bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with the subcommittee allocation for 2015: Subcommittee on Defense:				
Mandatory .....	514	514	514	<sup>1</sup> 514
Discretionary .....	489,605	549,324	523,730	<sup>1</sup> 550,504
Security .....	489,413	547,779	NA	NA
Nonsecurity .....	192	1,545	NA	NA
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2015 .....	.....	.....	.....	<sup>2</sup> 346,859
2016 .....	.....	.....	.....	118,085
2017 .....	.....	.....	.....	43,714
2018 .....	.....	.....	.....	20,669
2019 and future years .....	.....	.....	.....	15,349
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2015 .....	NA	.....	NA	.....

<sup>1</sup> Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

NOTE.—Consistent with the funding recommended in the bill for overseas contingency operations and in accordance with section 251(b)(2)(A)(ii) of the BBEDCA of 1985, the Committee anticipates that the Budget Committee will provide a revised 302(a) allocation for the Committee on Appropriations reflecting an upward adjustment of \$59,719,000,000 in budget authority plus associated outlays.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015  
 (In thousands of dollars)

Item	2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)		
					2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
<b>TITLE I</b>							
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL</b>							
Military personnel, Army .....	40,787,967	41,225,339	41,183,729	41,222,729	+434,762	-2,610	+39,000
Military personnel, Navy .....	27,231,512	27,489,440	27,387,344	27,515,655	+284,143	+26,215	+128,311
Military personnel, Marine Corps .....	12,766,099	12,919,103	12,785,431	12,826,843	+60,744	-92,260	+41,412
Military personnel, Air Force .....	28,519,993	27,815,926	27,564,362	27,928,039	-591,954	+112,113	+363,677
Reserve personnel, Army .....	4,377,563	4,459,130	4,304,159	4,223,400	-154,163	-235,730	-80,759
Reserve personnel, Navy .....	1,843,966	1,863,034	1,836,024	1,841,624	-2,342	-21,410	+5,600
Reserve personnel, Marine Corps .....	655,109	670,754	659,224	661,174	+6,065	-9,580	+1,950
Reserve personnel, Air Force .....	1,723,159	1,675,518	1,652,148	1,660,148	-63,011	-15,370	+8,000
National Guard personnel, Army .....	7,776,498	7,682,892	7,644,632	7,425,722	-350,776	-257,170	-218,910
National Guard personnel, Air Force .....	3,114,421	3,156,457	3,110,587	3,125,209	+10,788	-31,248	+14,622
<b>Total, Title I, Military Personnel .....</b>	<b>128,796,287</b>	<b>128,957,593</b>	<b>128,127,640</b>	<b>128,430,543</b>	<b>-365,744</b>	<b>-527,050</b>	<b>+302,903</b>
<b>TITLE II</b>							
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>							
Operation and maintenance, Army .....	30,768,069	33,240,148	32,671,980	33,396,688	+2,628,619	+156,540	+724,708
Operation and maintenance, Navy .....	36,311,160	39,316,857	39,073,543	38,822,366	+2,511,206	-494,491	-251,177
Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps .....	5,397,605	5,909,487	5,984,680	5,997,507	+599,902	+88,020	+12,827
Operation and maintenance, Air Force .....	33,248,618	35,331,193	35,024,160	35,485,568	+2,236,950	+154,375	+461,408
Operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	31,450,068	31,198,232	30,830,741	31,049,591	-400,477	-148,641	+218,850
Operation and maintenance, Army Reserve .....	2,940,936	2,490,569	2,535,606	2,474,995	-465,941	-15,574	-60,611
Operation and maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	1,158,382	1,007,100	1,011,827	990,633	-167,749	-16,467	-21,194
Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	255,317	268,582	270,485	270,482	+15,165	+1,900	-3
Operation and maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	3,062,207	3,015,842	2,989,214	2,989,206	-73,001	-26,636	-8
Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard .....	6,857,530	6,030,773	6,121,307	6,231,351	-626,179	+200,578	+110,044
Operation and maintenance, Air National Guard .....	6,392,304	6,392,859	6,393,919	6,361,281	-3,1023	-31,578	-32,638
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account .....		5,000				-5,000	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued

(In thousands of dollars)

Item	2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)		
					2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....	13,606	13,723	13,723	13,723	+ 117	.....	.....
Environmental restoration, Army .....	298,815	201,560	201,560	201,560	-97,255	.....	.....
Environmental restoration, Navy .....	316,103	277,294	277,294	277,294	-38,809	.....	.....
Environmental restoration, Air Force .....	439,820	408,716	371,716	408,716	-31,104	.....	+ 37,000
Environmental restoration, Defense-Wide .....	10,757	8,547	8,547	8,547	-2,210	.....	.....
Environmental restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....	287,443	208,353	233,353	258,353	-29,090	.....	+ 25,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....	109,500	100,000	103,000	100,000	-9,500	.....	-3,000
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....	500,455	365,108	365,108	365,108	-135,347	.....	.....
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund .....	51,031	212,875	51,875	83,034	+ 32,003	-129,841	+ 31,159
Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance .....	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,533,638	165,786,003	+ 5,916,277	-216,815	+ 1,252,365
TITLE III							
PROCUREMENT							
Aircraft procurement, Army .....	4,844,891	5,102,685	5,295,957	4,880,153	+ 35,262	-222,532	-415,804
Missile procurement, Army .....	1,549,491	1,017,483	1,217,483	1,008,692	-540,799	-8,791	-208,791
Procurement of weapons and tracked combat vehicles, Army .....	1,610,811	1,471,438	1,703,736	1,701,549	+ 90,738	+ 230,111	-2,187
Procurement of ammunition, Army .....	1,444,067	1,031,477	1,011,477	1,015,477	-428,590	-16,000	+ 4,000
Other procurement, Army .....	4,936,908	4,893,634	4,812,234	4,449,383	-487,525	-444,251	-362,851
Aircraft procurement, Navy .....	16,442,794	13,074,317	14,054,523	13,960,270	-2,482,524	+ 885,953	-94,253
Weapons procurement, Navy .....	3,009,157	3,217,945	3,111,931	3,263,794	+ 254,637	+ 45,849	+ 151,863
Procurement of ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	549,316	771,945	629,372	754,845	+ 205,529	-17,100	+ 125,473
Shipbuilding and conversion, Navy .....	15,231,364	14,400,625	14,256,361	15,895,770	+ 664,406	+ 1,495,145	+ 1,639,409
Other procurement, Navy .....	5,572,618	5,975,828	5,923,379	6,060,433	+ 487,815	+ 84,605	+ 137,054
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	1,240,958	983,352	927,232	944,029	-296,929	-39,323	+ 16,797
Aircraft procurement, Air Force .....	10,379,180	11,542,571	12,046,941	11,214,612	+ 835,432	-327,959	-832,329
Missile procurement, Air Force .....	4,446,763	4,690,506	4,546,211	4,652,552	+ 205,789	-37,954	+ 106,341
Procurement of ammunition, Air Force .....	729,677	677,400	648,200	675,459	-54,218	-1,941	+ 27,259
Other procurement, Air Force .....	16,572,754	16,566,018	16,639,023	16,500,308	-72,446	-65,710	-138,715
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	4,240,416	4,221,437	4,353,121	4,380,729	+ 140,313	+ 159,292	+ 27,608



Defense Production Act purchases .....	60,135	21,638	51,638	51,638	- 8,497	+ 30,000	.....	
Total, Title III, Procurement .....	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,228,819	91,409,693	- 1,451,607	+ 1,749,394	+ 180,874	
TITLE IV								
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION								
Research, development, test and evaluation, Army .....	7,126,318	6,593,898	6,720,000	6,544,151	- 582,167	- 49,747	- 175,849	
Research, development, test and evaluation, Navy .....	14,949,919	16,266,335	15,877,770	15,920,372	+ 970,453	- 345,963	+ 42,602	
Research, development, test and evaluation, Air Force .....	23,585,292	23,739,892	23,438,982	23,082,702	- 502,590	- 657,190	- 356,280	
Research, development, test and evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	17,086,412	16,766,084	17,067,900	16,805,571	- 280,841	+ 39,487	- 262,329	
Operational test and evaluation, Defense .....	246,800	167,738	248,238	214,038	- 32,762	+ 46,300	- 34,200	
Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,352,890	62,566,834	- 427,907	- 967,113	- 786,056	
TITLE V								
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS								
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	1,649,214	1,234,468	1,334,468	1,659,468	+ 10,254	+ 425,000	+ 325,000	
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	597,213	.....	.....	490,610	- 106,603	+ 490,610	+ 490,610	
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds .....	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	2,150,078	- 96,349	+ 915,610	+ 815,610	
TITLE VI								
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS								
Defense Health Program:								
Operation and maintenance .....	30,704,995	31,031,911	30,093,563	29,826,688	- 878,307	- 1,205,223	- 266,875	
Procurement .....	441,764	308,413	308,413	308,413	- 133,351	.....	.....	
Research, development, test and evaluation .....	1,552,399	654,594	1,288,394	1,435,794	- 116,605	+ 781,200	+ 147,400	
Total, Defense Health Program <sup>1</sup> .....	32,699,158	31,994,918	31,690,370	31,570,895	- 1,128,263	- 424,023	- 119,475	
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:								
Operation and maintenance .....	398,572	222,728	222,728	192,128	- 206,444	- 30,600	- 30,600	
Procurement .....	1,368	10,227	10,227	10,227	+ 8,659	.....	.....	
Research, development, test and evaluation .....	604,183	595,913	595,913	595,913	- 8,270	.....	.....	
Total, Chemical Agents <sup>2</sup> .....	1,004,123	828,868	828,868	798,268	- 205,855	- 30,600	- 30,600	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued

(In thousands of dollars)

Item	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)			
	2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,015,885	719,096	669,631	632,396
Counter-narcotics support		101,591	105,591	101,591
Drug demand reduction program			169,465	216,700
National Guard counter-drug program				
Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,015,885	820,687	944,687	950,687
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund		115,058	65,464	
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund		20,000		
Support for international sporting competitions <sup>1</sup>		10,000	10,000	10,000
Office of the Inspector General <sup>1</sup>	316,000	311,830	311,830	311,830
Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,851,219	33,641,680
TITLE VII				
RELATED AGENCIES				
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	514,000	514,000	514,000	514,000
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA)	528,229	510,194	501,194	509,374
Total, Title VII, Related agencies	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	1,023,374
TITLE VIII				
GENERAL PROVISIONS				
Additional transfer authority (Sec. 8005)	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(4,500,000)
Indian Financing Act incentives	15,000			(15,000)
(Transfer authority) (Sec. 8019)				-40,000
FFRDC (Sec. 8023)	-40,000		-40,000	
Rescissions (Sec. 8039)	-1,906,089	-265,685	-964,648	-1,710,348
				+195,741
				-1,444,663
				-745,700
				+6,000
				+130,000
				-86,700
				-37,235
				-4,000
				+47,235
				-1,015,885
				+632,396
				+101,591
				+216,700
				-115,058
				-20,000
				+10,000
				-4,170
				-1,393,486
				-459,681
				-209,539
				-18,855
				-820
				+8,180
				-820
				+8,180
				(-500,000)
				-15,000
				(+15,000)
				-40,000
				-1,444,663
				-745,700



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued

(In thousands of dollars)

Item	2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)		
					2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
National Guard personnel, Air Force [OCO]	6,919	4,894	5,100,000	4,894	-2,025	+4,894	
Military personnel [OCO]	7,971,993	5,394,983	5,100,000	5,424,983	-2,547,010	-5,100,000	
Total, Military Personnel						+324,983	
Operation and Maintenance							
Operation and maintenance, Army [OCO]	32,369,249	16,355,722		16,355,722	-16,013,527	+16,355,722	
Operation and maintenance, Navy [OCO]	8,470,808	5,477,240		5,263,921	-3,206,887	+5,263,921	
Coast Guard (by transfer) [OCO]		(213,319)				(-213,319)	
Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps [OCO]	3,369,815	1,474,804		1,474,804	-1,895,011	+1,474,804	
Operation and maintenance, Air Force [OCO]	12,746,424	8,177,556		8,177,556	-4,568,868	+8,177,556	
Operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide [OCO]	6,226,678	5,707,463		6,722,463	+495,785	+6,722,463	
Coalition support funds [OCO]	(1,257,000)	(1,260,000)		(1,260,000)	(+3,000)	(+1,260,000)	
Operation and maintenance, Army Reserve [OCO]	34,674	36,572		36,572	+1,898	+36,572	
Operation and maintenance, Navy Reserve [OCO]	55,700	45,876		45,876	-9,824	+45,876	
Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve [OCO]	12,534	10,540		10,540	-1,994	+10,540	
Operation and maintenance, Air Force Reserve [OCO]	32,849	77,794		77,794	+44,945	+77,794	
Operation and maintenance, Air National Guard [OCO]	130,471	76,461		76,461	-54,010	+76,461	
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund [OCO]	22,200	20,300		20,300	-1,900	+20,300	
Operation and maintenance [OCO]			58,675,000			-58,675,000	
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	63,471,402	37,460,328	58,675,000	38,262,009	-25,209,393	-20,412,991	
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund [OCO]	199,000				-199,000		
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund [OCO]	4,726,720	4,109,333		4,109,333	-617,387	+4,109,333	
Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund [OCO]		4,000,000		1,900,000	+1,900,000	+1,900,000	
European Reassurance Initiative [OCO]		925,000		1,000,000	+1,000,000	+1,000,000	
Total, Operation and Maintenance	68,397,122	46,494,661	58,675,000	45,271,342	-23,125,780	-13,403,658	

Procurement	669,000	36,000	196,200	- 472,800	+ 160,200	+ 196,200
Aircraft procurement, Army [OCO] .....	128,645	29,100	29,100	- 99,545	.....	+ 29,100
Missile procurement, Army [OCO] .....	.....	.....	10,000	+ 10,000	+ 10,000	+ 10,000
Procurement of weapons and tracked combat vehicles, Army [OCO] .....	.....	.....	140,905	- 49,995	.....	+ 140,905
Procurement of ammunition, Army [OCO] .....	190,900	140,905	614,424	- 39,478	- 113,129	+ 614,424
Other procurement, Army [OCO] .....	653,902	727,553	158,503	- 52,673	+ 17,256	+ 158,503
Aircraft procurement, Navy [OCO] .....	211,176	141,247	12,456	- 74,044	.....	+ 12,456
Weapons procurement, Navy [OCO] .....	86,500	12,456	152,009	- 17,353	.....	+ 152,009
Procurement of ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps [OCO] .....	169,362	298,498	219,370	+ 219,370	- 79,128	+ 219,370
Other procurement, Navy [OCO] .....	.....	.....	67,589	- 58,395	+ 14,000	+ 67,589
Procurement, Marine Corps [OCO] .....	125,984	53,589	296,200	+ 107,332	- 350,019	+ 296,200
Aircraft procurement, Air Force [OCO] .....	188,868	646,219	114,939	+ 90,739	.....	+ 114,939
Missile procurement, Air Force [OCO] .....	24,200	114,939	170,732	+ 32,906	.....	+ 170,732
Procurement of ammunition, Air Force [OCO] .....	137,826	170,732	3,361,272	+ 843,426	+ 475,000	+ 3,361,272
Other procurement, Air Force [OCO] .....	2,517,846	2,886,272	211,541	+ 82,594	+ 22,500	+ 211,541
Procurement, Defense-Wide [OCO] .....	128,947	189,041	1,000,000	.....	+ 1,000,000	+ 1,000,000
National Guard and Reserve equipment [OCO] .....	1,000,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	- 12,220,000
Procurement [OCO] .....	.....	.....	12,220,000	.....	.....	.....
<b>Total, Procurement .....</b>	<b>6,233,156</b>	<b>5,598,560</b>	<b>6,755,240</b>	<b>+ 522,084</b>	<b>+ 1,156,680</b>	<b>- 5,464,760</b>
<b>Research, Development, Test and Evaluation</b>						
Research, development, test and evaluation, Army [OCO] .....	13,500	4,500	2,000	- 11,500	- 2,500	+ 2,000
Research, development, test and evaluation, Navy [OCO] .....	34,426	35,080	35,080	+ 654	.....	+ 35,080
Research, development, test and evaluation, Air Force [OCO] .....	9,000	.....	.....	- 9,000	.....	.....
Research, development, test and evaluation, Defense-Wide [OCO] .....	78,208	40,397	45,597	- 32,611	+ 5,200	+ 45,597
<b>Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....</b>	<b>135,134</b>	<b>79,977</b>	<b>82,677</b>	<b>- 52,457</b>	<b>+ 2,700</b>	<b>+ 82,677</b>
<b>Revolving and Management Funds</b>						
Defense Working Capital Funds [OCO] .....	264,910	91,350	91,350	- 173,560	.....	+ 91,350
National Guard and Reserve equipment	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
National Guard and Reserve equipment [OCO] .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	- 2,000,000
Other Department of Defense Programs	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Defense Health Program:	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Operation and maintenance [OCO] .....	898,701	300,531	300,531	- 598,170	.....	+ 300,531
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense [OCO] .....	376,305	189,000	209,000	- 167,305	+ 20,000	+ 209,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued  
[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)		
					2014 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Joint IED Defeat Fund [OCO] <sup>2</sup> .....	879,225	379,000	.....	444,463	+ 65,463	+ 444,463	
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund [OCO] .....	.....	50,000	.....	.....	- 50,000	.....	
Office of the Inspector General [OCO] .....	10,766	7,968	.....	7,968	.....	+ 7,968	
Other [OCO] .....	.....	.....	1,450,000	.....	.....	- 1,450,000	
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs .....	2,164,997	926,499	1,450,000	961,962	+ 35,463	- 488,038	
TITLE IX General Provisions							
Additional transfer authority [OCO] (Sec. 9002) .....	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(3,500,000)	(- 500,000)	(- 500,000)	
Rescissions [OCO] (Sec. 9012) .....	- 140,370	- 117,000	.....	- 581,843	- 464,843	- 581,843	
Unexploded ordnance [OCO] (Sec. 9014) .....	.....	(250,000)	.....	250,000	+ 250,000	+ 250,000	
(By transfer) .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	(- 250,000)	.....	
Total, General Provisions .....	- 140,370	- 117,000	.....	- 331,843	- 214,843	- 331,843	
Total, Title IX .....	85,026,942	58,469,030	79,445,000	58,255,711	- 213,319	- 21,189,289	
TITLE X							
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS [OCO]							
DEPARTMENT OF STATE							
International Organizations							
Peacekeeping response mechanism [OCO] .....	.....	278,000	.....	.....	- 278,000	.....	
BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE							
Funds Appropriated to the President	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
Complex Crises Fund [OCO] .....	.....	.....	.....	1,000,000	+ 1,000,000	+ 1,000,000	

INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE										
DEPARTMENT OF STATE										
Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund [OCO]										
Contributions for International Peacekeeping activities [OCO]	1,000,000		278,000						-1,000,000	+278,000
Total, Department of State	1,000,000		278,000						-722,000	+278,000
Funds Appropriated to the President										
Foreign Military Financing program [OCO]	75,000		75,000							+75,000
Total, Title X	1,353,000		1,353,000							+1,353,000
TITLE XI										
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS [OCO]										
Military construction, Defense-Wide [OCO]	46,000		46,000							+46,000
Total for the bill (net)	565,093,629	544,122,025	542,771,568	563,865,320				-22,322,061	-1,350,457	-21,093,752
Grand Total	565,093,629	544,122,025	542,771,568	563,865,320				-22,322,061	-1,350,457	-21,093,752
Appropriations	(483,892,776)	(484,519,680)	(484,827,205)	(485,384,968)				(+307,525)	(+307,525)	(-557,763)
Overseas contingency operations	(85,167,312)	(59,985,030)	(60,236,554)	(79,445,000)				(-24,930,758)	(+251,524)	(-19,208,446)
Rescissions	(-3,826,089)	(-265,685)	(-1,710,348)	(-964,648)				(+2,115,741)	(-1,444,663)	(-745,700)
Rescissions [OCO]	(-140,370)	(-117,000)	(-581,843)					(-441,473)	(-464,843)	(-581,843)

<sup>1</sup> Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance.

<sup>2</sup> Included in Budget under Procurement.

<sup>3</sup> Global War on Terrorism (GWOT).

○