

103D CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. CON. RES. 201

Expressing the sense of the Congress that any Federal Government mandated health care reform should be on-budget.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 2, 1994

Mr. ALLARD (for himself, Mr. PENNY, Mr. MICHEL, Mr. GINGRICH, Mr. STENHOLM, Mr. ARMEY, Mr. DEAL, Mr. KASICH, Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas, Mr. ARCHER, Mr. ROWLAND, Mr. DELAY, Mr. JACOBS, Mr. HYDE, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. THOMAS of California, Mr. KNOLLENBERG, Mr. LANCASTER, Mr. MILLER of Florida, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. GOSS, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Mr. GRANDY, Mr. BLILEY, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. WALKER, Mr. BAKER of California, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland, Mr. BATEMAN, Mr. BEREUTER, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. BOEHNER, Mr. BONILLA, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. BUYER, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. CAMP, Mr. CANADY, Mr. CASTLE, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. COMBEST, Mr. COX, Mr. CRANE, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. DICKEY, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. DUNCAN, Ms. DUNN, Mr. EHLERS, Mr. EMERSON, Mr. EVERETT, Mr. EWING, Mr. FIELDS of Texas, Mrs. FOWLER, Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey, Mr. FRANKS of Connecticut, Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. GILLMOR, Mr. GOODLING, Mr. GREENWOOD, Mr. HASTERT, Mr. HEFLEY, Mr. HERGER, Mr. HOBSON, Mr. HOEKSTRA, Mr. HOKE, Mr. HORN, Mr. HOUGHTON, Mr. INGLIS of South Carolina, Mr. ISTOOK, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. KLUG, Mr. KOLBE, Mr. KYL, Mr. LIGHTFOOT, Mr. LINDER, Mr. LIVINGSTON, Mr. MCCRERY, Mr. MCINNIS, Mr. McKEON, Mr. McMILLAN, Mr. MACHTLEY, Mr. MANZULLO, Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas, Mr. MICA, Mr. MYERS of Indiana, Mr. NUSSLE, Mr. OXLEY, Mr. PACKARD, Mr. PAXON, Mr. POMBO, Mr. PORTMAN, Ms. PRYCE of Ohio, Mr. RAMSTAD, Mr. REGULA, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. SCHAEFER, Mr. SHAW, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. SHUSTER, Mr. SKEEN, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. SMITH of Michigan, Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. SPENCE, Mr. STEARNS, Mr. STUMP, Mr. SUNDQUIST, Mr. TALENT, Mr. THOMAS of Wyoming, Mr. TORKILDSEN, Mr. UPTON, Mrs. VUCANOVICH, Mr. WELDON, Mr. WOLF, Mr. ZELIFF, Mr. ZIMMER, Mr. CLINGER, Ms. SNOWE, Mr. INHOFE, and Mr. LAZIO) submitted the

following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Government Operations

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the Congress that any Federal Government mandated health care reform should be on-budget.

Whereas the administration's proposed health care reform plan would constitute the largest expansion of Federal entitlements in history;

Whereas the proposed health care premiums would be mandatory taxes;

Whereas the administration's proposed health care reform plan would constitute a massive tax increase;

Whereas the cost of any health care reform plan that is kept off-budget would be difficult to control and account for;

Whereas placing health care reform off-budget means that it would be exempt from annual budget reviews and would have no meaningful restraints on growth;

Whereas the Office of Management and Budget's own risk tables and past and present entitlement growth trends show that the administration's proposed health care reform plan could increase Federal budget deficits by up to \$800,000,000,000 by the year 2000;

Whereas the Federal Government has already run up massive unfunded liabilities outside the budget process; and

Whereas the attempt to place the health care reform plan off-budget is a move to hide the true cost of the plan from the American public: Now, therefore, be it

1 *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*
2 *concurring), That it is the sense of the Congress that any*
3 *Federal Government mandated health care reform should*
4 *be on-budget.*

○