

103<sup>D</sup> CONGRESS  
1<sup>ST</sup> SESSION

# H. R. 1158

To provide for the affordability of prescription drug prices by reducing certain nonresearch related tax credits to pharmaceutical manufacturers and to generate previously uncollected tax revenues for the Federal Government.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 1, 1993

Mr. MACHTLEY (for himself and Mr. MURTHA) introduced the following bill; which was referred jointly to the Committees on Ways and Means and Energy and Commerce

---

## A BILL

To provide for the affordability of prescription drug prices by reducing certain nonresearch related tax credits to pharmaceutical manufacturers and to generate previously uncollected tax revenues for the Federal Government.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3       **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “Prescription Drug Af-  
5       fordability Act of 1993”.

6       **SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.**

7       (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

1 (1) although prescription drugs represent one of  
2 the most frequently used medical care interventions  
3 in treating common acute and chronic diseases,  
4 many Americans, especially elderly and other vulner-  
5 able populations, are unable to afford their medica-  
6 tions because of excessive and persistent prescription  
7 drug price inflation;

8 (2) between 1980 and 1990, prescription drug  
9 price inflation was 3 times the rate of general infla-  
10 tion;

11 (3) between 1985 and 1991, the prices of the  
12 20 top selling prescription drugs, which account for  
13 almost a third of prescription sales, rose 79 per-  
14 cent—nearly 4 times the general rate of inflation;

15 (4) prescription drug manufacturers continue to  
16 make enormous profits on the backs of the elderly,  
17 poor, and other vulnerable populations that are un-  
18 able to afford their medications;

19 (5) because of the limited availability of private  
20 or public prescription drug coverage for the elderly,  
21 prescription drugs represent the highest out-of-pock-  
22 et medical care cost for 3 of 4 elderly patients, sur-  
23 passed only by costs of long-term care services;

24 (6) individuals over 65 fill an average of 15  
25 prescriptions a year to treat chronic health condi-

1 tions compared to 5 prescriptions for those under  
2 65;

3 (7) the Federal Government and American tax-  
4 payer provide substantial subsidies to the pharma-  
5 ceutical industry in the form of tax incentives, tax  
6 write-offs, and grants for non-research activities;

7 (8) for example, in 1987 alone, the pharma-  
8 ceutical industry received a section 936 tax credit of  
9 more than \$1,400,000,000, and such credit is esti-  
10 mated to have yielded over \$2,000,000,000 in tax  
11 breaks in 1990 to such industry;

12 (9) when Congress enacted section 936 in 1976,  
13 it sought to help Puerto Rico obtain employment-  
14 producing investments, however, in 1987 the phar-  
15 maceutical industry received over half of the tax  
16 benefits provided by section 936 but employed less  
17 than 20 percent of the workers;

18 (10) the Department of the Treasury will lose  
19 \$15,000,000,000 in tax revenues during the 1993  
20 through 1997 period due to section 936; and

21 (11) 17 of the 21 most prescribed drugs in the  
22 United States in 1990 are authorized for Puerto  
23 Rican manufacture.

1 (b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this Act are to in-  
2 sure that the elderly, the chronically ill, and all Americans  
3 have access to reasonably-priced pharmaceutical products.

4 **SEC. 3. REDUCTION IN POSSESSIONS TAX CREDIT FOR EX-**  
5 **CESSIVE PHARMACEUTICAL INFLATION.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 936 of the Internal Reve-  
7 nue Code of 1986 (relating to Puerto Rico and possession  
8 tax credit) is amended by adding at the end the following  
9 new subsection:

10 “(i) REDUCTION FOR EXCESSIVE PHARMACEUTICAL  
11 INFLATION.—

12 “(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any manu-  
13 facturer of single source drugs or innovator multiple  
14 source drugs, the amount by which the credit under  
15 this section for the taxable year (determined without  
16 regard to this subsection) exceeds the manufactur-  
17 er’s wage base for such taxable year shall be reduced  
18 by the product of—

19 “(A) the amount of such excess, multiplied  
20 by

21 “(B) the sum of the reduction percentages  
22 for each single source drug or innovator mul-  
23 tiple source drug of the manufacturer for such  
24 taxable year.

1           “(2) MANUFACTURER’S WAGE BASE.—For pur-  
2           poses of this subsection—

3                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—The manufacturer’s  
4                   wage base for any taxable year is equal to the  
5                   total amount of wages paid during such taxable  
6                   year by the manufacturer to eligible employees  
7                   in Puerto Rico with respect to the manufacture  
8                   of single source drugs and innovator multiple  
9                   source drugs.

10                   “(B) ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES.—The term  
11                   ‘eligible employee’ means any employee of the  
12                   manufacturer (as defined in section 3121(d))  
13                   who is a bona fide resident of Puerto Rico and  
14                   subject to tax by Puerto Rico on income from  
15                   sources within and without Puerto Rico during  
16                   the entire taxable year.

17                   “(C) WAGES.—The term ‘wages’ has the  
18                   meaning given such term by section 3121(a).

19           “(3) REDUCTION PERCENTAGE.—For purposes  
20           of this subsection—

21                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—The reduction per-  
22                   centage for any drug for any taxable year is the  
23                   percentage determined by multiplying—

24                           “(i) the sales percentage for such  
25                           drug for such taxable year, by

1           “(ii) the price increase percentage for  
2           such drug for such taxable year.

3           “(B) SALES PERCENTAGE.—The sales per-  
4           centage for any drug for any taxable year is the  
5           percentage determined by dividing—

6           “(i) the total sales of such drug by  
7           the manufacturer for such taxable year, by

8           “(ii) the total sales of all single source  
9           drugs and innovator multiple source drugs  
10          by the manufacturer for such taxable year.

11          “(C) PRICE INCREASE PERCENTAGE.—The  
12          price increase percentage for any drug for any  
13          taxable year is the percentage determined by  
14          multiplying—

15          “(i) 20, times

16          “(ii) the excess (if any) of—

17                  “(I) the percentage increase in  
18                  the average manufacturer’s price for  
19                  such drug for the taxable year over  
20                  such average price for the base tax-  
21                  able year, over

22                  “(II) the percentage increase in  
23                  the Consumer Price Index (as defined  
24                  in section 1(g)(5)) for the taxable  
25                  year over the base taxable year.

1           “(D) TOTAL SALES.—

2                   “(i) DOMESTIC SALES ONLY.—Total  
3 sales shall only include sales for use or  
4 consumption in the United States.

5                   “(ii) SALES TO RELATED PARTIES  
6 NOT INCLUDED.—Total sales shall not in-  
7 clude sales to any related party (as defined  
8 in section 267(b)).

9           “(E) AVERAGE MANUFACTURER’S  
10 PRICE.—The term ‘average manufacturer’s  
11 price’ for any taxable year means the average  
12 price paid to the manufacturer by wholesalers  
13 or direct buyers and purchasers for each single  
14 source drug or innovator multiple source drug  
15 sold to the various classes of purchasers.

16           “(F) BASE TAXABLE YEAR.—The base tax-  
17 able year for any single source drug or innova-  
18 tor multiple source drug is the later of—

19                   “(i) the last taxable year ending in  
20 1991, or

21                   “(ii) the first taxable year beginning  
22 after the date on which the marketing of  
23 such drug begins.

24           “(4) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of  
25 this subsection—

1 “(A) MANUFACTURER.—

2 “(i) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘manu-  
3 facturer’ means any person which is en-  
4 gaged in—

5 “(I) the production, preparation,  
6 propagation, compounding, conver-  
7 sion, or processing of prescription  
8 drug products, either directly or indi-  
9 rectly by extraction from substances  
10 of natural origin, or independently by  
11 means of chemical synthesis, or by a  
12 combination of extraction and chemi-  
13 cal synthesis, or

14 “(II) in the packaging, repackag-  
15 ing, labeling, relabeling, or distribu-  
16 tion of prescription drug products.

17 Such term does not include a wholesale  
18 distributor of drugs or a retail pharmacy  
19 licensed under State law.

20 “(ii) CONTROLLED GROUPS.—For  
21 purposes of clause (i)—

22 “(I) CONTROLLED GROUP OF  
23 CORPORATIONS.—All corporations  
24 which are members of the same con-  
25 trolled group of corporations shall be



1 treated as 1 person. For purposes of  
2 the preceding sentence, the term ‘con-  
3 trolled group of corporations’ has the  
4 meaning given to such term by section  
5 1563(a), except that ‘more than 50  
6 percent’ shall be substituted for ‘at  
7 least 80 percent’ each place it appears  
8 in section 1563(a)(1), and the deter-  
9 mination shall be made without re-  
10 gard to subsections (a)(4) and  
11 (e)(3)(C) of section 1563.

12 “(II) PARTNERSHIPS, PROPRI-  
13 ETORSHIPS, ETC., WHICH ARE UNDER  
14 COMMON CONTROL.—Under regula-  
15 tions prescribed by the Secretary, all  
16 trades or business (whether or not in-  
17 corporated) which are under common  
18 control shall be treated as 1 person.  
19 The regulations prescribed under this  
20 subclause shall be based on principles  
21 similar to the principles which apply  
22 in the case of subclause (I).

23 “(B) SINGLE SOURCE DRUG.—The term  
24 ‘single source drug’ means a drug or biological  
25 which is produced or distributed under an origi-

1           nal new drug application or product licensing  
2           application, including a drug product or biological  
3           marketed by any cross-licensed producers or  
4           distributors operating under the new drug ap-  
5           plication or product licensing application.

6           “(C) INNOVATOR MULTIPLE SOURCE  
7           DRUG.—The term ‘innovator multiple source  
8           drug’ means a multiple source drug (within the  
9           meaning of section 1927(k)(7)(A)(i) of the So-  
10          cial Security Act) that was originally marketed  
11          under an original new drug application or a  
12          product licensing application approved by the  
13          Food and Drug Administration.

14          “(5) SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this  
15          subsection—

16               “(A) DOSAGE TREATMENT.—Except as  
17               provided by the Secretary, each dosage form  
18               and strength of a single source drug or innova-  
19               tor multiple source drug shall be treated as a  
20               separate drug.

21               “(B) ROUNDING OF PERCENTAGES.—Any  
22               percentage shall be rounded to the nearest hun-  
23               dredth of a percent.”.

1 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by  
2 this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after  
3 December 31, 1993.

4 **SEC. 4. ALLOCATION OF ADDITIONAL REVENUES.**

5 The additional revenues received in the Treasury dur-  
6 ing any fiscal year by reason of the provisions of section  
7 936(i) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as added  
8 by section 3 of this Act) are hereby allocated for account-  
9 ing purposes to a separate account in the Treasury to be  
10 used as follows:

11 (1) 75 percent of such additional revenues shall  
12 be used for deficit reduction purposes.

13 (2) 25 percent of such additional revenues shall  
14 be used for purposes of developing State prescription  
15 drug assistance programs (or supplementing existing  
16 State prescription drug assistance programs) for  
17 those States with the highest percentage of elderly  
18 or poor populations (as determined by the Bureau of  
19 the Census).

○