## Union Calendar No. 283

104TH CONGRESS H. CON. RES. 178

[Report No. 104-575]

# CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

May 14, 1996

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

#### Union Calendar No. 283

104TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

## H. CON. RES. 178

[Report No. 104-575]

Establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

#### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

May 14, 1996

Mr. Kasich, from the Committee on the Budget, reported the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

### **CONCURRENT RESOLUTION**

Establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

- 1 Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate
  2 consuming)
- 2 concurring),

1	SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET			
2	FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.			
3	The Congress determines and declares that the con-			
4	current resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is			
5	hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary lev-			
6	els for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set			
7	forth.			
8	SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.			
9	The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the			
10	fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:			
11	(1) Federal revenues.—For purposes of the			
12	enforcement of this resolution:			
13	(A) The recommended levels of Federal			
14	revenues are as follows:			
15	Fiscal year 1997:			
16	\$1,085,363,000,000.			
17	Fiscal year 1998:			
18	\$1,130,426,000,000.			
19	Fiscal year 1999:			
20	\$1,176,236,000,000.			
21	Fiscal year 2000:			
22	$\$1,\!229,\!666,\!000,\!000.$			
23	Fiscal year 2001:			
24	\$1,288,998,000,000.			
25	Fiscal year 2002:			
26	\$1,358,219,000,000.			

1	(B) The amounts by which the aggregate
2	levels of Federal revenues should be changed
3	are as follows:
4	Fiscal year 1997: -\$15,031,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1998: -\$17,817,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 1999: -\$21,488,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2000: -\$21,291,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2001: -\$21,114,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2002: -\$14,466,000,000.
10	(2) New Budget Authority.—For purposes
11	of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate
12	levels of total new budget authority are as follows:
13	Fiscal year 1997: \$1,311,284,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,357,208,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,386,338,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2000: \$1,428,397,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2001: \$1,450,450,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2002: \$1,497,756,000,000.
19	(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the
20	enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels
21	of total budget outlays are as follows:
22	Fiscal year 1997: \$1,306,921,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,350,905,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,379,428,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2000: \$1,413,490,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 2001: \$1,428,809,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 2002: \$1,463,504,000,000.
3	(4) Deficits.—For purposes of the enforce-
4	ment of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits
5	are as follows:
6	Fiscal year 1997: \$221,558,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 1998: \$220,479,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 1999: \$203,192,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2000: \$183,824,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2001: \$139,811,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2002: \$105,285,000,000.
12	(5) Public debt.—The appropriate levels of
13	the public debt are as follows:
14	Fiscal year 1997: \$5,434,400,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 1998: \$5,697,600,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 1999: \$5,938,900,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2000: \$6,159,000,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2001: \$6,332,800,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2002: \$6,464,900,000,000.
20	(6) Direct Loan Obligations.—The appro-
21	priate levels of total new direct loan obligations are
22	as follows:
23	Fiscal year 1997: \$41,353,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 1998: \$39,179,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 1999: \$42,287,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 2000: \$43,200,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 2001: \$44,359,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2002: \$45,532,000,000.
4	(7) Primary Loan Guarantee Commit-
5	MENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan
6	guarantee commitments are as follows:
7	Fiscal year 1997: \$266,271,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 1998: \$264,761,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 1999: \$261,793,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2000: \$261,676,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2001: \$262,429,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2002: \$262,131,000,000.
13	SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
	The Congress determines and declares that the on
14	The Congress determines and declares that the ap-
	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays,
15	•
15 16	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guaran-
15 16 17	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guaran-
15 16 17 18	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1997 through 2002 for
15 16 17 18 19	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1997 through 2002 for each major functional category are:
15 16 17 18 19 20	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1997 through 2002 for each major functional category are:  (1) National Defense (050):
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1997 through 2002 for each major functional category are:  (1) National Defense (050):  Fiscal year 1997:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guaran- tee commitments for fiscal years 1997 through 2002 for each major functional category are:  (1) National Defense (050):  Fiscal year 1997:  (A) New budget authority,

(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
mitments \$800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority,
\$268,958,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$263,618,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
mitments \$200,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority,
• •
\$271,677,000,000.
\$271,677,000,000.
\$271,677,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$192,000,000.
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$192,000,000.  Fiscal year 2000:
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$192,000,000.  Fiscal year 2000:  (A) New budget authority,
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$192,000,000.  Fiscal year 2000:  (A) New budget authority, \$274,377,000,000.
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$192,000,000.  Fiscal year 2000:  (A) New budget authority, \$274,377,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$270,841,000,000.
\$271,677,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$267,049,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$192,000,000.  Fiscal year 2000:  (A) New budget authority, \$274,377,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$270,841,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$277,121,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$270,025,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments \$185,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2002:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$280,101,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$270,122,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments \$183,000,000.
14	(2) International Affairs (150):
15	Fiscal year 1997:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$13,732,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$14,963,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations,
20	\$4,333,000,000.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments \$18,110,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 1998:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$11,551,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$13,484,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations,
3	\$4,342,000,000.
4	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
5	mitments \$18,262,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 1999:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$10,576,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$12,467,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$4,358,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments \$18,311,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2000:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$11,089,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$11,025,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$4,346,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
21	mitments \$18,311,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2001:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$10,890,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$10,584,000,000.

1		(C)	New	direct	loan	obligations,
2		\$4,395,0	00,000.			
3		(D)	New pi	rimary le	oan gua	arantee com-
4		mitments	s \$18,40	09,000,0	000.	
5		Fiscal ye	ear 2002	2:		
6		(A)	Ne	w bu	ıdget	authority,
7		\$11,009,	000,000	Э.		
8		(B)	Outlays	s, \$10,28	81,000,	,000.
9		(C)	New	direct	loan	obligations,
10		\$4,387,0	00,000.			
11		(D)	New pr	rimary l	oan gua	arantee com-
12		mitments	s \$18,40	09,000,0	000.	
13	(3)	General	Science	e, Space	e, and	Technology
14	(250):					
15		Fiscal ye	ear 1997	7:		
16		(A)	Ne	w bu	ıdget	authority,
17		\$16,537,	000,000	Э.		
18		(B)	Outlays	s, \$16,69	97,000,	,000.
19		(C)	New di	rect loar	n obliga	ations, \$0.
20		(D)	New pi	rimary le	oan gua	arantee com-
21		mitments	s \$0.			
22		Fiscal ye	ear 1998	8:		
23		(A)	Ne	w bu	ıdget	authority,
24		\$16,428,	000,000	0.		
25		(B)	Outlays	s, \$16,49	94,000,	,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
2	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
3	mitments \$0.
4	Fiscal year 1999:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$16,313,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$16,224,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments \$0.
11	Fiscal year 2000:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$16,159,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$16,111,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
17	mitments \$0.
18	Fiscal year 2001:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$15,934,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$15,943,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$0.
25	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$15,602,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$15,673,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments \$0.
7	(4) Energy (270):
8	Fiscal year 1997:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$2,380,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$2,729,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$1,033,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 1998:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$2,441,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$2,078,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$1,039,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$0.
24	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$2,034,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$1,327,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$1,045,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$0.
8	Fiscal year 2000:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$1,697,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$815,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$1,036,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 2001:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$1,782,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$740,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$1,000,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$0.
24	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$1,430,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$231,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$1,031,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$0.
8	(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
9	Fiscal year 1997:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$20,529,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$21,322,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations,
14	\$37,000,000.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments \$0.
17	Fiscal year 1998:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$18,902,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$19,654,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations,
22	\$41,000,000.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$19,713,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$20,409,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$38,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$0.
8	Fiscal year 2000:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$18,399,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$18,950,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$38,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 2001:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$18,994,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$19,205,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$38,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$0.
24	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$18,860,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$18,910,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$38,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$0.
8	(6) Agriculture (350):
9	Fiscal year 1997:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$11,840,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$10,238,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations,
14	\$7,794,000,000.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments \$5,870,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 1998:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$11,750,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$9,855,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations,
22	\$9,346,000,000.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$6,637,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$11,367,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$9,483,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$10,743,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$6,586,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2000:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$10,714,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$8,843,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$10,736,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$6,652,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2001:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$9,497,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$7,730,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$10,595,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$6,641,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$8,964,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$7,181,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$10,570,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$6,709,000,000.
8	(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
9	Fiscal year 1997:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$7,838,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, -\$2,319,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations,
14	\$1,856,000,000.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments \$197,340,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 1998:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$9,464,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$5,752,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations,
22	\$1,787,000,000.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$196,750,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$10,476,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$6,043,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$1,763,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$196,253,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2000:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$12,448,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$7,320,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$1,759,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$195,883,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2001:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$11,268,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$7,283,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$1,745,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$195,375,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$11,598,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$7,218,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$1,740,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$194,875,000,000.
8	(8) Transportation (400):
9	Fiscal year 1997:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$41,737,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$39,007,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations,
14	\$15,000,000.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments \$0.
17	Fiscal year 1998:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$43,541,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$37,635,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations,
22	\$15,000,000.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$43,961,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$36,111,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$15,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$0.
8	Fiscal year 2000:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$44,103,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$35,236,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$15,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 2001:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$44,531,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$34,526,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$15,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$0.
24	Fiscal year 2002:

1		(A) New budget authority,
2		\$45,045,000,000.
3		(B) Outlays, \$34,042,000,000.
4		(C) New direct loan obligations,
5		\$15,000,000.
6		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7		mitments \$0.
8	(9)	Community and Regional Development
9	(450):	
10		Fiscal year 1997:
11		(A) New budget authority,
12		\$6,672,000,000.
13		(B) Outlays, \$10,149,000,000.
14		(C) New direct loan obligations,
15		\$1,231,000,000.
16		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
17		mitments \$2,133,000,000.
18		Fiscal year 1998:
19		(A) New budget authority,
20		\$6,605,000,000.
21		(B) Outlays, \$8,640,000,000.
22		(C) New direct loan obligations,
23		\$1,257,000,000.
24		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
25		mitments \$2,133,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 1999:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$6,559,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$7,820,000,000.
5	(C) New direct loan obligations,
6	\$1,287,000,000.
7	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
8	mitments \$1,171,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2000:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$6,595,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$7,040,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations,
14	\$1,365,000,000.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments \$1,171,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2001:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$6,243,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$6,655,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations,
22	\$1,404,000,000.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$2,202,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$6,153,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$6,161,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$1,430,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$2,202,000,000.
8	(10) Education, Training, Employment, and
9	Social Services (500):
10	Fiscal year 1997:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$46,965,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$49,504,000,000.
14	(C) New direct loan obligations,
15	\$16,219,000,000.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
17	mitments \$15,469,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 1998:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$47,416,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$48,112,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations,
23	\$19,040,000,000.
24	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
25	mitments \$14,760,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 1999:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$48,046,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$47,817,000,000.
5	(C) New direct loan obligations,
6	\$21,781,000,000.
7	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
8	mitments \$13,854,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2000:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$48,696,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$48,209,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations,
14	\$22,884,000,000.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments \$14,589,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2001:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$49,410,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$48,704,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations,
22	\$23,978,000,000.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$15,319,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$50,092,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$49,335,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$25,127,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$16,085,000,000.
8	(11) Health (550):
9	Fiscal year 1997:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$129,918,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$130,276,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$187,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 1998:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$137,726,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$138,064,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments \$94,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 1999:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$144,995,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$145,168,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments \$0.
5	Fiscal year 2000:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$152,961,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$152,890,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments \$0.
12	Fiscal year 2001:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$161,114,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$160,789,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18	mitments \$0.
19	Fiscal year 2002:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$167,926,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$167,476,000,000.
23	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
24	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
25	mitments \$0.

1	(12) Medicare (570):
2	Fiscal year 1997:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$193,165,000,000.
5	(B) Outlays, \$191,481,000,000.
6	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
7	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
8	mitments \$0.
9	Fiscal year 1998:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$207,183,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$205,458,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 1999:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	$\$217,\!250,\!000,\!000.$
19	(B) Outlays, \$214,978,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments \$0.
23	Fiscal year 2000:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$229,309,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$227,560,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments \$0.
5	Fiscal year 2001:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$241,641,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$239,907,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments \$0.
12	Fiscal year 2002:
	(A) New budget authority,
13	(A) New budget authority,
13 14	\$255,121,000,000.
	·
14	\$255,121,000,000.
14 15	\$255,121,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$252,720,000,000.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	\$255,121,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$252,720,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	\$255,121,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$252,720,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	\$255,121,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$252,720,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	\$255,121,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$252,720,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  (13) Income Security (600):
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	\$255,121,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$252,720,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  (13) Income Security (600):  Fiscal year 1997:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	\$255,121,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$252,720,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  (13) Income Security (600):  Fiscal year 1997:  (A) New budget authority,

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments \$0.
3	Fiscal year 1998:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$241,254,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$244,185,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
9	mitments \$0.
10	Fiscal year 1999:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$244,842,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$251,716,000,000.
13 14	<ul><li>(B) Outlays, \$251,716,000,000.</li><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li></ul>
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14 15	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee com-</li></ul>
14 15 16	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li></ul>
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li><li>Fiscal year 2000:</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li><li>Fiscal year 2000:</li><li>(A) New budget authority,</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> <li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li> <li>Fiscal year 2000:</li> <li>(A) New budget authority, \$262,510,000,000.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> <li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li> <li>Fiscal year 2000: <ul> <li>(A) New budget authority,</li> <li>\$262,510,000,000.</li> <li>(B) Outlays, \$263,060,000,000.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> <li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li> <li>Fiscal year 2000: <ul> <li>(A) New budget authority,</li> <li>\$262,510,000,000.</li> <li>(B) Outlays, \$263,060,000,000.</li> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	$\$262,\!260,\!000,\!000.$
3	(B) Outlays, \$265,271,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments \$0.
7	Fiscal year 2002:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$281,100,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$277,213,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments \$0.
14	(14) Social Security (650):
15	Fiscal year 1997:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$7,812,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$10,543,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
21	mitments \$0.
22	Fiscal year 1998:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$8,476,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$11,213,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
2	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
3	mitments \$0.
4	Fiscal year 1999:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$9,219,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$11,922,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments \$0.
11	Fiscal year 2000:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$9,979,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$12,662,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
17	mitments \$0.
18	Fiscal year 2001:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$10,775,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$13,458,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$0.
25	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$11,607,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$14,290,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments \$0.
7	(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
8	Fiscal year 1997:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$39,117,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$39,654,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$935,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$26,362,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 1998:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$38,458,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$39,321,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$962,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$25,925,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$37,712,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$38,063,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$987,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$25,426,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2000:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$37,713,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$39,427,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$1,021,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$24,883,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2001:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$38,002,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$36,882,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$1,189,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$24,298,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$39,713,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$39,912,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$1,194,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments \$23,668,000,000.
8	(16) Administration of Justice (750):
9	Fiscal year 1997:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$22,125,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$19,930,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 1998:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$22,302,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$21,162,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments \$0.
23	Fiscal year 1999:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$23,186,000,000.

1	(D) O 11
1	(B) Outlays, \$22,241,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments \$0.
5	Fiscal year 2000:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$23,235,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$22,944,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments \$0.
12	Fiscal year 2001:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$20,746,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$20,704,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18	mitments \$0.
19	Fiscal year 2002:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$20,740,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$20,700,000,000.
23	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
24	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
25	mitments \$0.

1	(17) General Government (800):
2	Fiscal year 1997:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$11,372,000,000.
5	(B) Outlays, \$11,747,000,000.
6	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
7	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
8	mitments \$0.
9	Fiscal year 1998:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$13,314,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$13,640,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 1999:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$12,592,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$12,928,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments \$0.
23	Fiscal year 2000:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$12,987,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$13,364,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments \$0.
5	Fiscal year 2001:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$12,549,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$12,454,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments \$0.
12	Fiscal year 2002:
13	(A) New budget authority,
13 14	(A) New budget authority, \$13,020,000,000.
14	\$13,020,000,000.
14 15	\$13,020,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,321,000,000.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	\$13,020,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$12,321,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	\$13,020,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$12,321,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	\$13,020,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$12,321,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
14 15 16 17 18 19	\$13,020,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$12,321,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  (18) Net Interest (900):
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	\$13,020,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$12,321,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  (18) Net Interest (900):  Fiscal year 1997:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	\$13,020,000,000.  (B) Outlays, \$12,321,000,000.  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  (18) Net Interest (900):  Fiscal year 1997:  (A) New budget authority,

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments \$0.
3	Fiscal year 1998:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$288,947,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$288,947,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
9	mitments \$0.
10	Fiscal year 1999:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$292,607,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$292,607,000,000.
13 14	<ul><li>(B) Outlays, \$292,607,000,000.</li><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li></ul>
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14 15	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee com-</li></ul>
14 15 16	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li></ul>
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li><li>Fiscal year 2000:</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li><li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li><li>Fiscal year 2000:</li><li>(A) New budget authority,</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> <li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li> <li>Fiscal year 2000:</li> <li>(A) New budget authority, \$294,004,000,000.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> <li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li> <li>Fiscal year 2000: <ul> <li>(A) New budget authority,</li> <li>\$294,004,000,000.</li> <li>(B) Outlays, \$294,004,000,000.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> <li>(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.</li> <li>Fiscal year 2000: <ul> <li>(A) New budget authority,</li> <li>\$294,004,000,000.</li> <li>(B) Outlays, \$294,004,000,000.</li> <li>(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$298,041,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$298,041,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments \$0.
7	Fiscal year 2002:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$302,443,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$302,443,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments \$0.
14	(19) Allowances (920):
15	Fiscal year 1997:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$2,671,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, -\$1,032,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
21	mitments \$0.
22	Fiscal year 1998:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	-\$1,934,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, -\$833,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
2	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
3	mitments \$0.
4	Fiscal year 1999:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	-\$2,025,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, -\$183,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments \$0.
11	Fiscal year 2000:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	-\$2,038,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, -\$271,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
17	mitments \$0.
18	Fiscal year 2001:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	-\$2,026,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, -\$1,770,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments \$0.
25	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	-\$2,182,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, -\$2,139,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments \$0.
7	(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
8	Fiscal year 1997:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	-\$45,574,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, -\$45,574,000,000.
12	(C) New direct loan obligations,
13	\$7,900,000,000.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments \$0.
16	Fiscal year 1998:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	-\$35,574,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, -\$35,574,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations,
21	\$1,350,000,000.
22	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
23	mitments \$0.
24	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	-\$34,762,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, -\$34,762,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments \$0.
7	Fiscal year 2000:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	-\$36,540,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, -\$36,540,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments \$0.
14	Fiscal year 2001:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	-\$38,322,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, -\$38,322,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
20	mitments \$0.
21	Fiscal year 2002:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	-\$40,586,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, -\$40,586,000,000.
25	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee com-2 mitments \$0. 3 SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION. 4 (a) Submissions.— (1) Welfare and medicaid reform.—Not 5 6 later than May 24, 1996, the House committees 7 named in subsection (b) shall submit their rec-8 ommendations to provide direct spending for welfare 9 and medicaid reform to the House Committee on the 10 Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the 11 House Committee on the Budget shall report to the 12 House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such rec-13 ommendations without any substantive revision. 14 (2) Medicare Preservation.—Not later than 15 June 14, 1996, the House committees named in sub-16 section (c) shall submit their recommendations to 17 provide direct spending for medicare preservation to 18 the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving 19 those recommendations, the House Committee on 20 the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation 21 bill carrying out all such recommendations without 22 any substantive revision. 23 (3) Tax relief and miscellaneous direct 24 SPENDING REFORMS.—Not later than July 12,

1996, the House committees named in subsection

1 (d) shall submit their recommendations to provide 2 direct spending, deficit reduction, and revenues to 3 the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving 4 those recommendations, the House Committee on 5 the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation 6 bill carrying out all such recommendations without

any substantive revision.

- (4) Contingent instruction.—In addition to any bill described in paragraph (1), (2), or (3), if the chairman of the House Committee on the Budget submits a letter to the Speaker which sets forth an additional submission date for an omnibus reconciliation bill carrying out all instructions under subsections (b), (c), and (d) and that letter is printed in the Congressional Record, then the House committees named in those subsections shall promptly submit (or resubmit) recommendations to carry out those subsections to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.
- 24 (b) Instructions for Welfare and Medicaid
- 25 Reform.—

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 (1) COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE.—The House 2 Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in 3 laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending for welfare reform such that the total level of di-5 rect spending for that committee does not exceed: 6 \$35,604,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, 7 \$36,597,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and 8 \$216,199,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 9 through 2002.
  - (2) Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending for medicaid reform such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$324,314,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$476,428,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$2,392,181,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (3) COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC AND EDU-CATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.—The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending for welfare reform such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$15,812,000,000 in outlays for fis-

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 cal year 1997, \$19,677,000,000 in outlays for fiscal 2 year 2002, and \$105,343,000,000 in outlays in fis-3 cal years 1997 through 2002.
- (4) Committee on ways and means.—The 5 House Committee on Ways and Means shall report 6 changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide 7 direct spending for welfare reform such that the 8 total level of direct spending for that committee does 9 not exceed: \$382,631,000,000 in outlays for fiscal 10 year 1997, \$563,077,000,000 in outlays for fiscal 11 year 2002, and \$2,810,370,000,000 in outlays in fis-12 cal years 1997 through 2002.
- 13 (c) Instructions for Medicare Preserva-14 tion.—
- 15 (1) COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE.—The House 16 Committee on Commerce shall report changes in 17 laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spend-18 ing for medicare preservation such that the total 19 level of direct spending for that committee does not 20 exceed: \$317,514,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 21 1997, \$425,828,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 22 2002, and \$2,234,080,000,000 in outlays in fiscal 23 years 1997 through 2002.
- (2) COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.—The
   House Committee on Ways and Means shall report

- 1 changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide
- 2 direct spending for medicare preservation such that
- 3 the total level of direct spending for that committee
- 4 does not exceed: \$375,831,000,000 in outlays for
- 5 fiscal year 1997, \$512,477,000,000 in outlays for
- 6 fiscal year 2002, and \$2,652,269,000,000 in outlays
- 7 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
- 8 (d) Instructions for Tax Relief and Mis-
- 9 CELLANEOUS DIRECT SPENDING REFORMS.—
- 10 (1) Committee on banking and financial
- 11 SERVICES.—(A) The House Committee on Banking
- and Financial Services shall report changes in laws
- within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending
- such that the total level of direct spending for that
- committee does not exceed: -\$12,249,000,000 in out-
- lays for fiscal year 1997, -\$6,116,000,000 in outlays
- 17 for fiscal year 2002, and -\$42,310,000,000 in out-
- lays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
- 19 (B) The House Committee on Banking and Fi-
- 20 nancial Services shall report changes in laws within
- 21 its jurisdiction that would reduce the deficit by: \$0
- 22 in fiscal year 1997, \$115,000,000 for fiscal year
- 23 2002, and \$305,000,000 in fiscal years 1997
- 24 through 2002.

- 1 (2) Committee on commerce.—The House 2 Committee on Commerce shall report changes in 3 laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for 5 that committee does not exceed: \$316,013,000,000 6 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$419,609,000,000 in 7 outlays for fiscal 2002, year and 8 \$2,213,093,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 9 through 2002.
  - (3) Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.—The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$14,968,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$18,818,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$101,044,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (4) COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM AND OVERSIGHT.—(A) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$65,130,000,000 in

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$82,548,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$442,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (B) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that would reduce the deficit by: \$255,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$575,000,000 for fiscal years 2002, and \$2,886,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (5) Committee on International Relations.—The House Committee on International Relations shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$13,025,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$10,311,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$67,953,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
    - (6) Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$2,784,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$4,586,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and

- \$24,982,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997through 2002.
- (7) COMMITTEE ON NATIONAL SECURITY.—The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$39,787,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$49,551,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$270,749,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (8) Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$2,132,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,057,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$11,739,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (9) Committee on Science shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$40,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$46,000,000 in outlays for fis-

- cal year 2002, and \$242,000,000 in outlays in fiscal
   years 1997 through 2002.
- (10) Committee on transportation and in-FRASTRUCTURE.—The House Committee on Trans-portation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spend-ing such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$18,254,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$17,890,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$106,903,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (11) Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed: \$21,375,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$22,217,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002, and \$130,468,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
  - (12) COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.—(A) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee does not exceed:

- 1 \$373,764,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997,
- 2 \$509,912,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002,
- 3 and \$2,638,286,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years
- 4 1997 through 2002.
- 5 (B) The House Committee on Ways and Means
- 6 shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction
- 7 such that the total level of revenues for that commit-
- 8 tee is not less than: \$1,050,476,000,000 in revenues
- 9 for fiscal year 1997, \$1,319,852,000,000 in revenues
- 10 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,047,865,000,000 in rev-
- enues in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.
- 12 (e) Definition.—For purposes of this section, the
- 13 term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such
- 14 term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and
- 15 Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.
- 16 SEC. 5. SALE OF GOVERNMENT ASSETS.
- 17 (a) Budgetary Treatment.—For purposes of the
- 18 Congressional Budget Act of 1974, amounts realized from
- 19 sales of assets shall be scored with respect to the level
- 20 of budget authority, outlays, or revenues.
- 21 (b) Definition.—For purposes of this section, the
- 22 term "sale of an asset" shall have the same meaning as
- 23 under section 250(c)(21) of the Balanced Budget and
- 24 Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

1	(c) Treatment of Loan Assets.—For purposes of
2	this section, the sale of loan assets or the prepayment of
3	a loan shall be governed by the terms of the Federal Credit
4	Reform Act of 1990.
5	SEC. 6. CREDIT REFORM AND DIRECT STUDENT LOANS.
6	For the purposes of any concurrent resolution on the
7	budget and the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the
8	cost of a direct loan under the Federal direct student loan
9	program shall be the net present value, at the time when
10	the direct loan is disbursed, of the following cash flows
11	for the estimated life of the loan—
12	(1) loan disbursements;
13	(2) repayments of principal;
14	(3) payments of interest and other payments by
15	or to the Government over the life of the loan after
16	adjusting for estimated defaults, prepayments, fees,
17	penalties, and other recoveries; and
18	(4) direct expenses, including—
19	(A) activities related to credit extension,
20	loan origination, loan servicing, management of
21	contractors, and payments to contractors, other
22	government entities, and program participants;
23	(B) collection of delinquent loans; and
24	(C) writeoff and closeout of loans.

1	SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON BASELINES.
2	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that:
3	(1) Baselines are projections of future spending
4	if existing policies remain unchanged.
5	(2) Under baseline assumptions, spending auto-
6	matically rises with inflation even if such increases
7	are not mandated under existing law.
8	(3) Baseline budgeting is inherently biased
9	against policies that would reduce the projected
10	growth in spending because such policies are de-
11	picted as spending reductions from an increasing
12	baseline.
13	(4) The baseline concept has encouraged Con-
14	gress to abdicate its constitutional obligation to con-
15	trol the public purse for those programs which are
16	automatically funded.
17	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Con-
18	gress that baseline budgeting should be replaced with a
19	budgetary model that requires justification of aggregate
20	funding levels and maximizes congressional accountability
21	for Federal spending.
22	SEC. 8. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON EMERGENCIES.
23	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that:
24	(1) The Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 ex-

(1) The Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 ex-25 empted from the discretionary spending limits and 26 the Pay-As-You-Go requirements for entitlement and

1	tax legislation funding requirements that are des-
2	ignated by Congress and the President as an emer-
3	gency.
4	(2) Congress and the President have increas-
5	ingly misused the emergency designation by—
6	(A) designating as emergencies funding re-
7	quirements that are predictable and do not pose
8	a threat to life, property, or national security,
9	(B) designating emergencies with the sole
10	purpose of circumventing statutory and con-
11	gressional spending limitations and
12	(C) adding to emergency legislation con-
13	troversial items that would not otherwise with-
14	stand public scrutiny.
15	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Con-
16	gress that in order to balance the Federal budget Congress
17	should consider alternative approaches to budgeting for
18	emergencies, including codifying the definition of an emer-
19	gency, establishing contingency funds to pay for emer-
20	gencies, and fully offsetting the costs of emergencies with
21	rescissions of spending authority that would have been ob-
22	ligated but for the rescission.
23	SEC. 9. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON LOAN SALES.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that:

- (1) The House and Senate Appropriations Sub-committees on Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government have stated that "more consideration should be given to the sale of nonperforming loans held not only by HUD, but by all Federal agencies that provide credit programs" and directed the Of-fice of Management and Budget to direct Federal agencies to evaluate the value of their credit pro-grams and develop a plan for the privatization of such credit programs.
  - (2) The Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies has directed that the Small Business Administration should study and report to Congress on the feasibility of private servicing of SBA loan activities.
  - (3) The House Appropriations Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies previously directed the Farmers Home Administration to "explore the potential savings that might occur from contract centralized servicing."
  - (4) The Committee on Agriculture of the House has consistently urged the Secretary of Agriculture to explore contracting out loan servicing operations.

- 1 (5) The General Accounting Office has found 2 that "Allowing the public and private sectors to 3 compete for the centralized servicing (of loans) could 4 mean reaping the benefits of the competitive market-5 place - greater efficiency, increased focus on cus-6 tomer needs, increased innovation, and improved 7 morale."
  - (6) The House Committee on Small Business has recommended "that 40 percent of the loan servicing portfolio (for Disaster Loans) be privatized."
- 11 (7) The President's Budget for Fiscal Year 12 1997 proposes to review options for improving the 13 quality of loan portfolio management including con-14 tracting to the private sector.
- 15 (b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Con-16 gress that the appropriate committees of the House and 17 the Senate should report legislation authorizing the sale 18 of such loan assets as they deem appropriate in order to 19 contribute to Government downsizing, administrative cost 20 savings, and improved services to borrowers.

## 21 SEC. 10. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CHANGES IN MEDICAID.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation changing the medicaid program pursuant to this resolution should—

8

9

1	(1) guarantee coverage for low-income children,
2	pregnant women, the elderly, and the disabled as de-
3	scribed in the National Governors' Association Feb-
4	ruary 6, 1996, policy on reforming medicaid, which
5	was endorsed unanimously by our Nation's
6	governors;
7	(2) maintain the medicaid program as a match-
8	ing program while providing a fairer and more equi-
9	table formula for calculating the matching rate;
10	(3) reject any illusory financing schemes;
11	(4) continue Federal minimum standards for
12	nursing homes;
13	(5) continue Federal rules that prevent wives or
14	husbands from being required to impoverish them-
15	selves in order to obtain and keep medicaid benefits
16	for their spouse requiring nursing home care; and
17	(6) provide coverage of medicare premiums and
18	cost-sharing payments for low-income seniors con-
19	sistent with the unanimous National Governors'
20	Association medicaid policy.
21	SEC. 11. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
22	AND FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.
23	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
24	(1) domestic violence is the leading cause of
25	physical injury to women: the Department of Justice

- estimates that over one million violent crimes against women are committed by intimate partners annually;
  - (2) domestic violence dramatically affects the victim's ability to participate in the workforce; a University of Minnesota survey reported that one-quarter of battered women surveyed had lost a job partly because of being abused and that over half of these women had been harassed by their abuser at work;
  - (3) domestic violence is often intensified as women seek to gain economic independence through attending school or training programs; batterers have been reported to prevent women from attending these programs or sabotage their efforts at self-improvement;
  - (4) nationwide surveys of service providers prepared by the Taylor Institute of Chicago, document, for the first time, the interrelationship between domestic violence and welfare by showing that between 50 percent and 80 percent of AFDC recipients are current or past victims of domestic violence;
  - (5) over half of the women surveyed stayed with their batterers because they lacked the resources to support themselves and their children; the surveys

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- also found that the availability of economic support is a critical factor in poor women's ability to leave abusive situations that threaten them and their children; and
- 5 (6) proposals to restructure the welfare pro-6 grams may impact the availability of the economic 7 support and the safety net necessary to enable poor 8 women to flee abuse without risking homelessness 9 and starvation for their families.
- 10 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-11 gress that—
  - (1) no welfare reform provision shall be enacted by Congress unless and until Congress considers whether such welfare reform provisions will exacerbate violence against women and their children, further endanger women's lives, make it more difficult for women to escape domestic violence, or further punish women victimized by violence; and
  - (2) any welfare reform measure enacted by Congress shall require that any welfare-to-work, education, or job placement programs implemented by the States will address the impact of domestic violence on welfare recipients.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	SEC. 12. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION
2	ON CHILDREN.
3	(a) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Con-
4	gress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legisla-
5	tion that will increase the number of children who are
6	hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.
7	(b) Legislative Accountability for Impact on
8	CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply
9	with this resolution results in an increase in the number
10	of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the
11	end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provi-
12	sions of such legislation which caused such increase and
13	shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation
14	which would halt any continuation of such increase.
15	SEC. 13. SENSE OF HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ON DEBT
16	REPAYMENT.
17	It is the sense of the House of Representatives that—
18	(1) Congress has a basic moral and ethical re-
19	sponsibility to future generations to repay the Fed-
20	eral debt;
21	(2) Congress should enact a plan that balances
22	the budget, and then also develops a regimen for
23	paying off the Federal debt;
24	(3) after the budget is balanced, a surplus
25	should be created which can be used to begin paying
26	off the debt; and

1	(4) such a plan should be formulated and im-
2	plemented so that this generation can save future
3	generations from the crushing burdens of the Fed-
4	eral debt.
5	SEC. 14. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COMMITMENT TO A BAL-
6	ANCED BUDGET BY FISCAL YEAR 2002.
7	It is the sense of Congress that the President and
8	Congress should continue to adhere to the statutory com-
9	mitment made by both parties on November 20, 1995, to
10	enact legislation to achieve a balanced budget not later
11	than fiscal year 2002 as estimated by the Congressional
12	Budget Office.