

104TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. CON. RES. 96

Expressing the sense of the Congress in affirmation of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993, commonly known as the Motor Voter Act.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

AUGUST 4, 1995

Ms. MCKINNEY (for herself, Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mr. BARCIA, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. BISHOP, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. CLAY, Mrs. CLAYTON, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. COLEMAN, Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. DEFazio, Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. DIXON, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. DOYLE, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. EVANS, Mr. FARR, Mr. FATTAH, Mr. FAZIO of California, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, Mr. FILNER, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. FOGLIETTA, Mr. FORD, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Ms. FURSE, Mr. GONZALEZ, Mr. GORDON, Mr. HALL of Ohio, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. HEFNER, Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. HOLDEN, Ms. NORTON, Ms. JACKSON-LEE, Mr. JACOBS, Mr. JEFFERSON, Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida, Mr. KANJORSKI, Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island, Mr. KLECZKA, Mr. KLINK, Mr. LANTOS, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. LUTHER, Mr. MASCARA, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. MCHALE, Mr. MCNULTY, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Mr. MFUME, Mr. MILLER of California, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mr. MORAN, Mr. MURTHA, Mr. NADLER, Mr. OBERSTAR, Mr. OWENS, Mr. PASTOR, Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. RICHARDSON, Ms. RIVERS, Mr. ROSE, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. RUSH, Mr. SABO, Mr. SANDERS, Mrs. SCHROEDER, Mr. SCOTT, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. SKAGGS, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. STARK, Mr. STOKES, Mr. STUDDS, Mr. STUPAK, Mr. THOMPSON, Mrs. THURMAN, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. TUCKER, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. VOLKMER, Ms. WATERS, Mr. WATT of North Carolina, Mr. WILSON, Ms. WOOLSEY, and Mr. WYNN) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on House Oversight

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the Congress in affirmation of the

National Voter Registration Act of 1993, commonly known as the Motor Voter Act.

Whereas section 4 of article I of the Constitution provides that the times, places, and manner of holding elections for Senators and Representatives shall be prescribed by State legislatures, subject to laws passed by the Congress;

Whereas the results of a recent study by the Congressional Budget Office indicate that the costs of implementing the National Voter Registration Act of 1993, commonly known as the Motor Voter Act, are far less than costs that would be considered unfunded mandates under the criteria of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995; and

Whereas, States that have complied with the Motor Voter Act have, through such compliance, registered new voters in proportion to the demographics of those States: Now, therefore, be it

1 *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*
2 *concurring)*, That it is the sense of the Congress that—

3 (1) the Congress is responsible for the ultimate
4 protection of the voting process, which responsibility
5 is to be exercised by making the voting process avail-
6 able to all persons who are eligible to become voters;

7 (2) it is appropriate for the Congress to affirm
8 that the National Voter Registration Act of 1993,
9 commonly known as the Motor Voter Act, is an ap-
10 propriate measure to ensure the full participation of
11 the American electorate in voting;

1 (3) any failure of a State to comply with the
2 Motor Voter Act is illegal;

3 (4) not later than November 5, 1995, the Gov-
4 ernors of the States should comply with the Motor
5 Voter Act; and

6 (5) the actions of the Attorney General in seek-
7 ing enforcement of the Motor Voter Act have the
8 support of the Congress.

○