## 104TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION H. RES. 122

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the levels for higher education financial aid programs should not be reduced.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 29, 1995

Mr. GORDON submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities

## **RESOLUTION**

- Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the levels for higher education financial aid programs should not be reduced.
- Whereas having a college-educated work force is becoming more vital to our national economic security;
- Whereas college tuition is rising at a faster rate than both national wages and inflation;
- Whereas over half of all college students must finance their educations;
- Whereas millions of students use Pell Grants, Subsidized Stafford Loans, Perkins Loans, Federal Work Study Programs, and other Federal education programs to make their college tuition affordable;

- Whereas a student who borrows the maximum under the Stafford Loan Program would have his or her loan payments increase by 20 percent for a 4-year degree, 27 percent for a 4-year and master's degree, and 48 percent for a 4-year and Ph.D. degree if the in-school interest exemption were eliminated;
- Whereas a student saddled with this extra debt would have to postpone buying a car or a home, which would hurt the Nation's overall economy;
- Whereas these cuts would be false savings because the added debt would cause more students to default on their loans, raising the costs for all taxpayers; and
- Whereas millions of students not able to find affordable college financing would have to postpone their education or forego it all together: Now, therefore, be it
  - 1 *Resolved*, That the subsidized Stafford Loan Program
  - 2 (including the in-school interest exemption), the Perkins
  - 3 Loan Program, the Federal Work Study Program, the Pell
  - 4 Grant Program, the Federal Supplemental Educational
  - 5 Opportunity Grant Program and the State Student Incen-
  - 6 tive Grant Program should not be reduced from their cur-
  - 7 rent and anticipated levels.

## 0