104TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION S.671

To provide a fair and balanced resolution to the problem of multiple imposition of punitive damages, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

APRIL 4 (legislative day, MARCH 27), 1995 Mr. HATCH introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

- To provide a fair and balanced resolution to the problem of multiple imposition of punitive damages, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Multiple Punitive
- 5 Damages Fairness Act of 1995".

6 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

- 7 For purposes of this Act, the term—
- 8 (1) "claimant" means any person who brings a 9 civil action and any person on whose behalf such an 10 action is brought; if such an action is brought

through or on behalf of an estate, the term includes
 the claimant's decedent; if such action is brought
 through or on behalf of a minor or incompetent, the
 term includes the claimant's legal guardian;

5 (2) "harm" means any legally cognizable wrong
6 or injury for which punitive damages may be im7 posed;

8 (3) "defendant" means any individual, corpora-9 tion, company, association, firm, partnership, soci-10 ety, joint stock company, or any other entity (includ-11 ing any governmental entity);

(4) "punitive damages" means damages awarded against any person or entity to punish or deter
such person or entity, or others, from engaging in
similar behavior in the future;

16 (5) "specific findings of fact" means findings in
17 written form focusing on specific behavior of a de18 fendant; and

(6) "State" means any State of the United
States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the
Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands,
Guam, American Samoa, and any other territory or
possession of the United States, or any political subdivision thereof.

3

1 SEC. 3. MULTIPLE PUNITIVE DAMAGES FAIRNESS.

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the following:

(1) Multiple or repetitive imposition of punitive
damages for harms arising out of a single act or
course of conduct may deprive a defendant of all the
assets or insurance coverage of the defendant, and
may endanger the ability of future claimants to receive compensation for basic out-of-pocket expenses
and damages for pain and suffering.

10 (2) The detrimental impact of multiple punitive 11 damages exists even in cases that are settled, rather 12 than tried, because the threat of punitive damages being awarded results in a higher settlement than 13 14 would ordinarily be obtained. To the extent this pre-15 mium exceeds what would otherwise be a fair and 16 reasonable settlement for compensatory damages, assets that could be available for satisfaction of future 17 18 compensatory claims are dissipated.

(3) Fundamental unfairness results when anyone is punished repeatedly for what is essentially the
same conduct.

(4) Federal and State appellate and trial
judges, and well-respected commentators, have expressed concern that multiple imposition of punitive
damages may violate constitutionally protected due
process rights.

(5) Multiple imposition of punitive damages
 may be a significant obstacle to comprehensive set tlement negotiations in repetitive litigation.

(6) Limiting the imposition of multiple punitive damages awards would facilitate resolution of mass tort claims involving thousands of injured claimants.

7 (7) Federal and State trial courts have not pro-8 vided adequate solutions to problems caused by the 9 multiple imposition of punitive damages because of 10 a concern that such courts lack the power or author-11 ity to prohibit subsequent awards in other courts.

(8) Individual State legislatures can create only
a partial remedy to address problems caused by the
multiple imposition of punitive damages, because
each State lacks the power to control the imposition
of punitive damages in other States.

17 (b) GENERAL RULE.—Except as provided in sub-18 section (c), punitive damages shall be prohibited in any 19 civil action in any State or Federal court in which such 20 damages are sought against a defendant based on the 21 same act or course of conduct for which punitive damages 22 have already been sought or awarded against such defend-23 ant.

24 (c) CIRCUMSTANCES FOR AWARD.—If the court de-25 termines in a pretrial hearing that the claimant will offer

4

4

5

6

new and substantial evidence of previously undiscovered,
 additional wrongful behavior on the part of the defendant,
 other than the injury to the claimant, the court may award
 punitive damages in accordance with subsection (d).

5 (d) LIMITATIONS ON AWARD.—A court awarding pu6 nitive damages pursuant to subsection (c) shall—

7 (1) make specific findings of fact on the record8 to support the award;

9 (2) reduce the amount of the punitive portion 10 of the damage award by the sum of the amounts of 11 punitive damages previously paid by the defendant 12 in prior actions based on the same act or course of 13 conduct; and

14 (3) prohibit disclosure to the jury of the court's15 determination and action under this subsection.

16 (e) APPLICABILITY AND PREEMPTION.—(1) Except
17 as provided in paragraph (3), this section shall apply to—

(A) any civil action brought on any theory
where punitive damages are sought based on the
same act or course of conduct for which punitive
damages have already been sought or awarded
against the defendant; and

(B) all civil actions in which the trial has notcommenced before the effective date of this Act.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), this section
 shall apply to all civil actions in which the trial has not
 commenced before the effective date of this Act.

4 (3) This section shall not apply to any civil action 5 involving damages awarded under any Federal or State 6 statute that prescribes the precise amount of punitive 7 damages to be awarded.

8 (4) This section shall not preempt or supersede any 9 existing Federal or State law limiting or otherwise re-10 stricting the recovery for punitive damages to the extent 11 that such law is inconsistent with the provisions of this 12 section.

13 SEC. 4. EFFECT ON OTHER LAW.

14 Nothing in this Act shall be construed to—

(1) waive or affect any defense of sovereign immunity asserted by any State under any law;

17 (2) supersede any Federal law;

18 (3) waive or affect any defense of sovereign im19 munity asserted by the United States;

20 (4) affect the applicability of any provision of21 chapter 97 of title 28, United States Code;

(5) preempt State choice-of-law rules with respect to claims brought by a foreign nation or a citizen of a foreign nation;

1 (6) affect the right of any court to transfer 2 venue or to apply the law of a foreign nation or to 3 dismiss a claim of a foreign nation or of a citizen 4 of a foreign nation on the ground of inconvenient 5 forum; or

6 (7) create a cause of action for punitive dam-7 ages.

 \bigcirc