Oxley Packard Thompson Thornberry Sanford Sawver Pallone Thornton Saxton Parker Scarborough Thurman Tiahrt Pastor Schaefer Torkildsen Paxon Schiff Torres Torricelli Payne (VA) Schroeder Pelosi Schumer Peterson (FL) Towns Scott Traficant Seastrand Peterson (MN) Tucker Petri Sensenbrenner Pickett Upton Serrano Pombo Shadegg Vento Volkmer Pomeroy Shaw Vucanovich Porter Shavs Waldholtz Portman Shuster Walker Poshard Sisisky Walsh Pryce Skeen Quinn Skelton Wamp Ward Radanovich Slaughter Watt (NC) Smith (MI) Rahall Watts (OK) Ramstad Smith (NJ) Waxman Reed Smith (TX) Weldon (FL) Regula Smith (WA) Weldon (PA) Reynolds Solomon Richardson Souder White Riggs Spence Whitfield Rivers Spratt Roberts Stearns Williams Roemer Stenholm Wilson Rogers Stockman Wise Rohrabacher Studds Wolf Ros-Lehtinen Stump Woolsey Rose Stunak Wyden Roth Talent Wvnn Roukema Tanner Young (AK) Roybal-Allard Tate Young (FL) Tauzin Royce Zeliff Taylor (MS) Rush Zimmer Sabo Taylor (NC) Salmon Tejeda Sanders Thomas

#### NAYS-28

Gutierrez Becerra Rangel Beilenson Levin Skaggs Collins (MI) Lewis (GA) Stark Convers Martinez Stokes Dellums McDermott Velazquez McKinney Dingell Visclosky Fattah Mollohan Waters Filner Nadler Yates Foglietta Owens Payne (NJ) Gibbons

# NOT VOTING—12

Brown (CA) de la Garza Miller (CA)
Collins (IL) Fields (TX) Montgomery
Coyne Johnson, E.B. Myers
Cubin Johnston Quillen

## □ 1441

The Clerk announced the following pair:

On this vote:

Mrs. Cubin for, with Mr. Johnston against. Messrs. FATTAH, FOGLIETTA, and VISCLOSKY changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. CLINGER

Mr. CLINGER. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Mr}}.$  CLINGER moves that the House recede from its amendment to the title.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLINGER].

The motion was agreed to.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1

minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask for this time in order that I might yield to my good friend, the chairman of the Committee on Rules, the gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON], for the purposes of enlightening us on the coming schedule.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. SOLOMON. On behalf of the majority leader, I will be happy to try to enlighten you, my good friend.

The House will not be in session on Monday, March 20.

On Tuesday, the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will take up the rule and general debate on H.R. 4, the Personal Responsibility Act.

Members are advised we expect no votes to be held before 5 p.m. on Tuesday.

On Wednesday the House will meet at 11 a.m. to continue consideration of the welfare reform bill.

On Thursday and Friday of next week the House will meet at 10 a.m. to complete consideration of H.R. 4. We expect to complete this legislation on Friday, and it is our hope to have Members on their way home to their districts and their families by at least 3 p.m. on that Friday.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his enlightening us on next week's schedule.

I take it then the week will be concerned with the consideration of the rule and the bill on welfare reform?

Mr. SOLOMON. We would at this time not expect any other business. As the gentleman knows, that is a very, very important piece of legislation. After consulting with the minority leader, the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. GEPHARDT] and others, we want to make sure that ample time is given to that issue, and we would expect to devote the whole week to it.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for that clarification.

I would like to ask the gentleman from New York, on Tuesday, it is my understanding that the only vote we expect is the vote on the rule. Am I correct on that?

Mr. SOLOMON. Yes. And it is the expectation right now that there would not be a vote on that rule, if we have an agreement with the minority. The rule passed by unanimous vote in the Committee on Rules. It is simply providing for 5 hours of general debate at which time, if the rule does pass, then we would go into that 5 hours of general debate, and there would be no vote that day at all.

## □ 1445

But we cannot make that promise, as the gentleman knows. We do not expect a vote and we do not expect the gentleman's side to ask for a vote either.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, it was our understanding—and I was just checking to make sure with our minority leader's staff to make sure—we do not expect any Member to ask for and we do not plan to ask for a vote on the rule, as the gentleman suggests.

In light of that, I ask the gentleman, is it possible, therefore, for us to notify Members that pursuant to an agreement between the majority and the minority that there would be no votes on Tuesday, so that Members, if they need to, could return either late Tuesday or Wednesday morning?

Mr. SOLOMON. Let me just say it is very important, because we will have completed the rule in the Committee on Rules on the welfare reform bill. We would want the opportunity to explain that rule to our Members who will be returning Tuesday night and therefore we would want them early Wednesday morning. We do not intend to ask for a vote at this time and we do not expect to on Tuesday.

Mr. HOYEŘ. So that the gentleman feels relatively confident that Members, if they were here early Wednesday morning, they would not miss any votes?

Mr. SOLOMON. We would want to discuss that further with the gentleman, but, yes, we feel very comfortable with that.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his information and look forward to next week.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. EMERSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

AUTHORIZING THE SPEAKER AND MINORITY LEADER TO ACCEPT RESIGNATIONS AND MAKE AP-POINTMENTS, NOTWITHSTAND-ING ADJOURNMENT

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that notwithstanding any adjournment of the House until Tuesday, March 21, 1995, the Speaker and the minority leader be authorized to accept resignations and to make appointments authorized by law or by the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.