Act, would cut off United States development aid to India until basic human rights are respected, and House Concurrent Resolution 32 calls for a plebiscite in India under international supervision to let the Sikh nation have a free and fair vote on its political future. The sooner we pass these bills, the sooner the people of South Asia can live in freedom, security, and dignity. I call upon my colleagues to pass these bills as soon as possible.

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL AND INDIA

This report is an introduction to Amnesty International and its concerns in India. It answers basic questions about Amnesty International: its role as a non-governmental international human rights organization; its worldwide membership, its mandate for action, its campaigning methods; and its work and membership in India.

The bulk of the report deals with human rights violations that Amnesty International has documented in India over several decades. It shows that violations such as torture, including rape, and deaths in custody remain endemic, and that political prisoners continue to face unfair trials. It highlights a legal and judicial system that facilitates these and many other abuses, often allowing the perpetrators to act with impunity. Even the safeguards that do exist are regularly disregarded. The report also summarizes human rights abuses committed by armed opposition groups.

Human rights violations affect most sections of Indian society, with people from some groups, particularly the socially or economically disadvantaged, being especially vulnerable. In a complex society of approximately 920 million people, speaking dozens of languages and dialects, living in 25 states and seven union territories, not everyone has equal access to justice or an equal chance to be allowed to live in safety and with dignity.

TRIBUTE TO KIM PUTENS

HON. JAMES A. HAYES

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 20, 1996

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I want to express my appreciation publicly for the excellent job that Kim Putens has done the last 3 years as executive director of the National Wetlands Coalition. Kim departed her position on March 15 to move to the next exciting professional chapter in her life.

The National Wetlands Coalition was formed in September 1989 by a broad cross-section of trade associations, companies, public entities, and individuals that are directly affected by the Federal Wetlands Regulatory Program, either because they own or live on land that is considered Federal jurisdictional wetlands or because they undertake economic activities that encounter wetlands. The group was formed to participate in the anticipated debate over how to achieve President Bush's goal of no overall net loss of wetlands. Longstanding concerns about the program, coupled with issuance of the 1989 manual that greatly broadened the description of lands that are Federal jurisdictional wetlands, expanded the debate to one over the entire wetlands permitting program under section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Mr. Speaker, this House, on May 16, 1995, by a vote of 240 to 185, adopted a number of reforms that are very similar to those that have been advocated by the National Wetlands Coalition since 1990. In fact, this was the first time since 1977 that either the House of Congress has adopted a comprehensive set of reforms of the section 404 program.

Kim Putens made a major contribution to the wetlands regulatory reform victory in the House. We all know that no victory on a major issue in the House of Representatives is achieved easily and without an enormous amount of work. There are 435 of us and our staffs to educate on the issues; there are innumerable inquiries to which to respond; there are press inquiries and the need to keep private sector coalition participants informed and coordinated in their activities. Obviously, Kim did all of these tasks successfully and for the first time in 18 years, a House of Congress took action on this controversial regulatory program.

Mr. Speaker, again I thank Kim for her efforts and wish her the best in her future endeavors.

LEGISLATION TO IMPROVE SERVICE DELIVERY TO VETERANS

HON. G.V. (SONNY) MONTGOMERY

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 20, 1996

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Mr. Speaker, I am introducing legislation to enable VA to provide health care to Medicare-eligible veterans who cannot now gain access to VA care.

The VA's health care system serves a veteran population made up almost exclusively of veterans whose eligibility for care is based either on their income or on their service-incurred disability. Under tight budgets that for years have not fully kept pace with rising health-care delivery costs, most VA facilities have shut their doors to veterans with income exceeding VA's means test—approximately $21,000 in the case of a veteran without dependents. While eligible for VA care, these veterans have neither an entitlement to care nor sufficient priority to assure them access. Many, however, are former VA patients, locked out of a system on which they once depended. VA now provides care to only a small number of these individuals. In all, only 2 percent of VA's patients are higher income veterans.

While large numbers of veterans who routinely receive VA care are also Medicare-eligible, VA is barred under existing law from receiving Medicare reimbursement for their care. Veterans' advocates have, understandably, long bristled at what appears to be VA subsidization of the Medicare trust fund. This has prompted calls for legislation to reimburse VA for care provided Medicare-eligible non-service-connected veterans.

This bill provides for Medicare payments to VA only for higher income, Medicare-eligible veterans who are largely shut out of the VA system today. The bill would further limit the circumstances under which VA could receive Medicare payments—to covered veterans who enroll in a VA managed-care plan. My legislation would provide a long-sought avenue for former VA patients to regain access to VA care. At the same time, it could actually lower Medicare costs, as proposed in pending Medicare reforms, by encouraging numbers of Medicare beneficiaries to abandon the traditional fee-for-service Medicare Program in favor of enrollment in a lower cost managed-care plan administered by VA.

REMEMBERING THE TRAGEDY OF THE ''LEOPOLDVILLE''

HON. GARY L. ACKERMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 20, 1996

Mr. ACKERMAN. Mr. Speaker, today I would like to pay tribute to 802 brave American soldiers who lost their lives while defending freedom during World War II. Until recently, the tragic story of the 66th Infantry Division remained untold in U.S. history. These men made the ultimate sacrifice for their country and are worthy of a much greater tribute than the statistics or the footnotes in history books that have already been granted to them. As the worst troopship loss in World War II, and the third worst naval disaster in U.S. history, the story of the sinking of the *Leopoldville* deserves full recognition.

On Christmas Eve, 1944, 2,235 American soldiers were crossing the English Channel as reinforcements to fight in the Battle of the Bulge, when their Belgian troopship, the *Leopoldville*, was torpedoed and sunk 5½ miles from Cherbourg, France. The result was a tremendous loss of lives—almost one-third of the division was killed. There were 493 bodies that were never recovered from the English Channel. Most of the soldiers who lost their lives were young boys, from 18 to 20 years old, barely out of high school. They represented 46 out of the 48 States that were part of the Union at the time.

However, the most tragic and troubling part of this story is the American public's general ignorance of the facts. All of us, and particularly the family members of the lost soldiers, should be told the full story of their loved ones' valiant efforts in their fight to preserve democracy.

Therefore, I ask my colleagues to join me in remembering and honoring those that gave their lives in protecting the ideals that all Americans cherish. I would also like to remind my colleagues that this story should hold a special place in ever State's history. Simply put, the 802 soldiers that lost their lives deserve the proper respect and remembrance for their sacrifice, and those that survived need to be recognized for their valor.

COMMEMORATING THE LIFE OF FREDERICK MCKINNEY

HON. GLENN POSHARD

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 20, 1996

Mr. POSHARD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life of Mr. Frederick McKinney, who died on March 2 in Decatur, IL, at the age of 66. Frederick lived a full life, giving not only to his family and friends, but to his country and community. I would like to send my condolences to his wife, Louise, as well as to his children, grandchildren, and great-grandchild, and let them know that the city of Decatur has lost a dear friend.