

to those willing to give up their citizenship. Under the House proposal, several categories of taxpayers would continue to owe no tax at all should the IRS be unable to prove a tax avoidance motive for expatriating. As under current law, taxpayers who are patient would avoid all tax on accrued gains by simply holding their assets for 10 years. A wealthy expatriate in need of funds during the 10-year period could simply borrow money using his or her assets as security. Since the income from foreign assets generally would remain exempt as under current law, clever tax practitioners would continue to find ways to convert U.S. assets into foreign assets in order to avoid tax on the income earned during the 10-year period.

The House approach also would be destined to fail because it relies on the voluntary payment of taxes by people who have moved beyond the reach of U.S. courts. In contrast, the Senate version would collect tax while the individual is still subject to the taxing power of the United States, which is surely a more administrable approach.

A separate objection to the House bill is that it would unilaterally override existing tax treaties. In its report on expatriation, the Joint Tax Committee staff stated that the House version may ultimately require that as many as 41 of our 45 existing tax treaties be renegotiated and that it might be necessary for the United States to forego benefits to accomplish renegotiation. This is a serious matter.

Article VI of our Constitution states:

. . . [A]ll Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land.

Further, our treaties come into being through a singular exacting sequence. Treaties are entered into by the United States with other nations either directly or through adherence to a common document. They are signed by a member of the executive branch. Thereafter, the Senate of the United States must by resolution, two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein, give its advice and consent to ratification. This advice and consent having been given—by an extraordinary majority—the President then ratifies and confirms the treaty in an instrument of ratification. Only at that point shall the said treaty become “the supreme Law of the Land.” Matters that survive this singularly exacting process should not be abrogated lightly.

One final point, of utmost importance. During the time we have taken to write this law carefully and well, billionaires have not been slipping through the loophole and escaping tax by renouncing their citizenship. The President announced the original proposal on February 6, 1995 and made it effective for taxpayers who initiate a renunciation of citizenship on or after that date. This was an entirely appropriate way to put an end to an abusive

practice under current law. Likewise all the proposals considered by the Senate, including my bill S. 700, used February 6, 1995 as their effective date. The House conferees on the self-employed bill had proposed moving the effective date forward to March 15, 1995, the date of Senate Finance Committee action on the provision. But the two chairmen of the tax-writing committees ultimately—and wisely—resisted that overture, and issued a joint statement giving notice that February 6, 1995 would be the effective date of any legislation affecting the tax treatment of those who relinquish citizenship.

Now that the Senate has had adequate opportunity to fully explore the best way to address the expatriation problem, it is time to act. As the first Senator to have introduced legislation to end tax avoidance by so-called expatriates, and as one who urged that it be acted upon by the Senate expeditiously, I am pleased that the Dole/Roth amendment incorporates the expatriation changes I have favored. I hope that the conferees will retain the superior Senate expatriation provision, and that it will be enacted as soon as possible.●

#### AMENDING THE INDIAN SELF-DETERMINATION AND EDUCATION ASSISTANCE ACT

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 3034 just received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 3034) to amend the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act to extend for two months the authority for promulgating regulations under the Act.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be deemed read a third time and passed, that the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the bill appear at the appropriate place in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 3034) was deemed read the third time and passed.

#### ORDERS FOR FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 1996

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand in adjournment until the hour of 10 a.m., on Friday, April 19; further, that immediately following the prayer, the Journal of proceedings be deemed approved to date, no resolutions come over under the rule, the call of the cal-

endar be dispensed with, the morning hour deemed to have expired, and the time for the two leaders reserved for their use later in the day; that there then be a period for morning business until the hour of 12 noon, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 5 minutes each, with the first 75 minutes under the control of Senator COVERDELL, or his designee, and the last 45 minutes under the control of Senator DASCHLE, or his designee, with 10 minutes of that time reserved for Senator MURRAY; further, that at the hour of 12 noon the Senate begin consideration of Calendar No. 201, S.J. Res. 21, regarding a constitutional amendment to limit congressional terms.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PROGRAM

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, for the information of all Senators, the Senate will convene at 10 a.m. Shortly after convening, the Senate will consider a sense-of-the-Senate resolution regarding the anniversary of the Oklahoma City bombing. The Senators are asked to be on the floor promptly at 10 a.m., as there will be a brief period of silence to remember the tragedy.

Following morning business, the Senate will then begin consideration of the term limits legislation. No rollcall votes will occur during Friday's session.

When the Senate completes debate Friday, it will resume consideration of the term limits legislation on Monday. No rollcall votes will occur during Monday's session. However, Senators are encouraged to debate the legislation and offer any amendments during Friday's and Monday's sessions of the Senate. The Senate may also be asked to turn to any other legislative items that can be cleared for action.

#### ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I now ask that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order following the remarks of Senator LAUTENBERG.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LAUTENBERG addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Jersey is recognized.

#### TOXIC WASTE CLEANUP

Mr. LAUTENBERG. Mr. President, at this moment, though the hour is late, and I apologize to those who are inconvenienced while I make my remarks, this is a topic of great importance to me and my home State of New Jersey, and a number of communities across the country—that is, the cleanup of toxic waste.

Mr. President, 73 million Americans live near toxic waste sites. That is