and the recycling industry, a voluntary recycling program for nickel-cadmium batteries has been developed. The final step toward implementation of this program will be completed by passing this legislation today.

Two important provisions in this legislation establish uniform labeling procedures, and uniform collection, storage, and transportation requirements for these recyclable batteries. The labeling requirement will clearly inform those who buy the batteries that they are recyclable. The transportation requirements are streamlined, providing further encouragement for participation in this voluntary program.

The recycling program will promote the shipment of used batteries to a central recycling center, keeping them out of our local landfills and incinerators. The battery industry strongly supports this program, as well as the American people. At no cost to the Federal Government, we have the opportunity to efficiently and swiftly put these recycling programs into action.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to support this legislation which takes a positive step in working for the common goal of preserving the environment.

Mrs. THURMAN. Mr. Speaker, I am delighted to express my strong support for H.R. 2024, the Mercury-containing and Rechargeable Battery Management Act. Today, we will take an important step toward making this earth a cleaner place. The battery bill will ensure that nickel-cadmium batteries get out of the waste stream and into the recycling stream.

In my district, energizer power systems employ 1,400 people. In fact, our Alachua plant is one of only two facilities in the United States that produces nickel-cadmium batteries.

We may be one of the only one's producing them, but you all use them. Nickel-cadmium batteries are used in power tools, appliances, cellular and cordless phones, and so many other every day products.

Recognizing the danger the disposal of these batteries posed, 13 States, including Florida, took the initiative to label and recycle the batteries. Industry has done a terrific job in promoting the labeling and recycling programs, particularly through the creation of the Rechargeable Battery Recycling Corporation [RBRC] Manufacturers fund the recycling programs and the RBRC is charged with collecting and recycling the used batteries. The Federal Government isn't spending tax dollars to set up a new bureaucracy, industry is financing and administering the program itself.

Actions like these are examples of the kind of good corporate citizenship we want to encourage. More than 100 companies helped to create the RBRC and, together, they work to ensure that their products do no harm to our environment.

The problem is that conflicting State regulations about labeling and collecting have hindered the RBRC's ability to fully achieve its goals. Today, we will enact uniform environmental labeling standards and allow for national collection of nickel-cadmium batteries by retail stores. These actions will help the energizer bunny keep going and going—then be recycled—so he can keep going and going again.

I am delighted that we have bipartisan support for this bill that not only addresses nickel-cadmium, but also phases out the use of mercury in batteries. I am pleased that the 1,400 hard-working energizer employees in my district have taken an active role in promoting this legislation.

I commend their efforts and urge the House to vote for the passage of H.R. 2024.

Mr. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to see the House addressing the issue of recycling. The bill under consideration today would encourage voluntary battery recycling, curtail the use of mercury-containing batteries and improve the procedures for recycling such batteries. The bill is a step in the right direction, but it's only a very small, half-step. We can and we should be doing much more to fix our country's critical solid waste disposal problems.

Common items such as lead acid batteries, newsprint, motor oil and tires continue to clog neighborhood landfills, incinerators and sewers. Communities all over America continue to grapple with the serious health and safety hazards that result. There is a way, however, to turn these items usually treated as trash into valuable resources. And there is a way to meet this environmental challenge, which does not rely on command and control regulation.

Mr. Speaker, 7 years ago, along with the late Senator John Heinz and former Senator Wirth, I introduced a innovative concept in environmental protection. The idea was simple—use market forces to achieve environmental protection. Very simply, our legislation offered a solution to the demand side of the supply-and-demand equation.

Recycling is not just the process of having a product collected, recycling means turning the old product into a new product and using it again. Garbage is still garbage unless it has value throughout its lifecycle. Unfortunately, because there is currently no stable market for recycled materials, our separated garbage too often ends up buried in the dump.

The legislation I have reintroduced this Congress would give companies an incentive to recycle the goods they produce, while giving them the freedom to determine the most efficient and least expensive way to do so. The bills employ a system of tradable credits. The credits serve as the medium of exchange in recycling markets. Manufacturers would be required to use an annually increasing percentage of recycled materials. If unable to meet the content standard for a given year, a manufacturer could achieve compliance by purchasing recycling credits from other manufacturers who exceed their targets.

The bills, H.R. 1522, H.R. 1523, H.R. 1524, and H.R. 1525, represent innovative proposals to foster the lead battery, oil, newsprint, and tire recycling industries. I encourage my colleagues to consider these incentive-based bills and join me in promoting a more comprehensive approach to addressing the serious solid waste challenges we face as a nation.

Mr. OXLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. OXLEY] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2024, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. OXLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 2024, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

EXTENDING TIME FOR DEBATE ON H.R. 1965, COASTAL ZONE PROTECTION ACT OF 1996

Mr. SAXTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during the consideration today of H.R. 1965 under suspension of the rules, debate be limited to 60 minutes, equally divided and controlled by the chairman and the ranking member of the Committee on Resources or their designees.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

COASTAL ZONE PROTECTION ACT OF 1996

Mr. SAXTON. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1965) to reauthorize the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 1965

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the ''Coastal Zone Protection Act of 1996''.

SEC. 2. FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF STATE COASTAL PROGRAMS.

(a) REAUTHORIZATION OF PROGRAM.—Section 305(a) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1454(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking ''1991, 1992, and 1993'' and inserting ''1997, 1998, and 1999''; and

(2) by striking ''two'' and inserting ''four''.

(b) TERMINATION OF PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 305 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1454) is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (a);

(B) by striking ''(b)''; and

(C) by amending the heading to read as follows:

''SUBMITTAL OF STATE PROGRAM FOR APPROVAL''.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 308(b)(2)(B) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1457(b)(2)(B)) is amended—

(A) in clause (iv) by adding ''and'' after the semicolon;

(B) by striking clause (v); and

(C) by redesignating clause (vi) as clause (v).

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This subsection shall take effect on October 1, 1999.

SEC. 3. IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE FOR COASTAL ZONE ENHANCEMENT.

Section 309(b) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1456b(b)) is amended—

(1) by inserting ''(1)'' before ''Subject to''; and