

than to enforce the mandate of that clause. In perhaps the closest analogy, the Supreme Court has interpreted another of the Constitution's few clauses expressly authorizing Congress to enforce a constitutional mandate addressed to the States to mean that Congress may effectuate such a mandate but may not "exercise discretion in the other direction [by] enact[ing]" statutes that "dilute" the mandate's self-executing force as authoritatively construed by the Supreme Court. *Katzenbach v. Morgan*, 384 U.S. 641, 651 n. 10 (1966) (Section 5 of the Fourteenth Amendment). A similar principle must guide interpretation of the Full Faith and Credit Clause, whose text leaves no real doubt that its self-executing reach, as authoritatively determined by the Supreme Court, may not be negated or nullified, in whole or in part, under the guise of legislatively enforcing or effectuating that clause. This is especially so in light of "the strong unifying principle embodied in the Full Faith and Credit Clause looking toward maximum enforcement in each state of the obligation's or rights created or recognized by . . . sister states . . ." *Hughes v. Fetter* 341 U.S. 609, 612 (1951).

It would do violence not only to the letter but also to the spirit of the Full Faith and Credit Clause to construe it as a fount of affirmative authority for Congress—if I may be excused for borrowing a marriage metaphor—to set asunder the States that this clause brought together. The Constitution's plan to form a "more perfect Union," in the preamble's words, would be inexcusably subverted by treating its most vital unifying provision as a license for legislation that does not unify or integrate but divides and disintegrates.

It is no answer at all to say that some purported marriages—e.g., marriages entered into in one State by residents of another in order to evade the latter State's prohibition against bigamy—might in any event be entitled to no "faith and credit" under Art. IV, §1, cl. 1, as occasionally construed by the courts. To the degree that this is in fact true of any given category of marriages, divorces, or other official state acts—itsself a complex and controversial question (see Robert H. Jackson, *Full Faith and Credit—the Lawyer's Clause of the Constitution*, 45 Colum. L. Rev. 1, 27 (1945); Douglas Laycock, *Equal Citizens of Equal and Territorial States*, 92 Colum. L. Rev. 249, 313-37 (1992))—all that follows is that, with respect to such marriages, divorces, or other official acts, the proposed federal legislation would be entirely redundant and indeed altogether devoid of content.

In any such context, "[e]ven if the Federal Government possessed the broad authority to facilitate state powers, in this case there would be nothing that suggests that States are in need of federal assistance." *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.*, 115 S. Ct. 1585, 1591 (1995) (rejecting on First Amendment grounds a "let-Congress-assist-the-States" argument in support of a federal regulation of beer advertising). The essential point is that States need no congressional license to deny enforcement of whatever sister-state decisions might fall within any judicially recognized full faith and credit exception. The only authority the proposed statute could possibly add to whatever discretion States already possess would be authority to treat a sister State's binding acts as though they were the acts of a foreign nation—authority that Congress has no constitutional power to confer.

Sincerely,

LAURENCE H. TRIBE,
RALPH S. TYLER, Jr.,
Professor of Constitutional Law, Harvard Law School.

RACE FOR THE CURE

Mr. HEFLIN. Mr. President, on June 15, in Washington, there will be a race to raise money to find a cure for a disease that will take the lives of an estimated 44,560 women this year. Appropriately titled *Race for the Cure*, it stresses the importance of finding a cure for breast cancer, a disease that will claim one in nine women. This race is one of people who care coming together for a cause in which they believe. However, this race is much more than that. It is symbolic of the race women are running against time. The *Race for the Cure* represents our efforts and concern in finding a cure for breast cancer and helping many women achieve a greater peace of mind.

This terrible disease affects women everywhere. Here in the United States, breast cancer is second to lung cancer in cancer-related deaths among women. However, in spite of its prevalence, we still cling to the belief that it will not happen to us or those we are close to. Chances are that someone you know and love will be a victim of this tragic disease. Chances are that someone will be your wife, mother, daughter, or sister.

As with most types of cancer, a primary cause has not been found. Young women are increasingly dealing with the fear of this potentially threatening disease. Older women, who are at a much higher risk, are often not aware of their vulnerability to breast cancer. Only 34 percent of women over the age of 50 receive regular mammograms.

Until a cure is found, we all must join in the effort to raise money for research and continually improve education and awareness of this disease. I am proud to say that Alabama has been a driving force in our Nation's efforts toward these goals. Advances at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, like the identification of the human natural killer cell thought to play a key role in the body's destruction of cancer cells, are vital to the discovery of a cure. The consistent support of research centers, like the Marshall Space Flight Center, which assist with and support cancer research, are crucial to our progression toward a cure. Not unlike UAB and Marshall Space Flight Center, cancer research and education facilities across the country must receive funding. This signifies the importance of the *Race for the Cure* which allows individuals, who are essentially helpless against cancer, to work in unison for cancer research and awareness.

Having chaired the Alabama Breast Cancer Summit, I have been amazed at the aggressiveness and frequency of this disease. An article which appeared in *The Journal of the American Medical Association* on February 9, 1994, told of how the baby boom generation have about twice the risk of developing cancer as their grandparents. The threat becomes even more imminent when one considers how quickly the percentage of elderly people in this

country is growing. Even now, the risk for women is greater than before. Women born in the 1950's have almost a 3 times greater risk of being diagnosed with breast cancer than women born 50 years earlier. Some of this increase can be attributed to the improved methods of diagnosing breast cancer. However, because the trends are steady and are seen in women over 50, who receive less screening, researchers believe better diagnoses cannot explain the whole picture.

The *Race for the Cure* is, therefore, important not only in terms of raising money for breast cancer research but also in providing a forum for awareness and education. I encourage everyone who can to participate in the *Race* on June 15. Also, I would like to encourage everyone in the Nation to get involved in efforts to fight breast cancer in their communities. We all have to work diligently toward a cure for this tragic disease.

THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, the impression will not go away: The \$5 trillion Federal debt stands today as an increasingly grotesque parallel to the TV energizer bunny that keeps moving and moving and moving—precisely in the same manner and to the same extent that the President is sitting on his hands while the Federal debt keeps going up and up and up into the stratosphere.

Same old story. Some politicians talk a good game—"talk" is the operative word here—about cutting Federal spending and thereby bringing the Federal debt under control. But watch what they do when efforts are made to balance the Federal budget.

Mr. President, as of the close of business yesterday, Wednesday, June 5, the Federal debt stood at exactly \$5,141,669,992,686.17, which amounts to \$19,401.82 per man, woman, child on a per capita basis.

A TRIBUTE TO GEORGE L. WESSEL

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to George L. Wessel, a friend and associate, who is stepping down as president of the Buffalo AFL-CIO Council after 27 years as Erie County's foremost labor leader representing more than 100,000 workers in more than 200 labor locals. Though he will continue to stay active in the community, he will now be fortunate enough to spend more time with his wife of 49 years, Mary; his daughter, Mary Catherine; and his three grandchildren, Joseph, Mary Anna, and Catherine Victoria. I thank him for his good work and wish him the best of luck in the future.

George Wessel's career involvement with the labor movement began when he returned home from serving his country in the U.S. Navy during World War II. He worked for Remington Rand, joined the Printing Pressmen's