widow, Ronalee, and the rest of the family, and a posthumous salute to a fallen hero, Brian D. Myers, Sr., of Schuylerville, NY.

CONSUMER INTERNET PRIVACY PROTECTION ACT OF 1996

HON. BRUCE F. VENTO

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, January 7, 1997

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Speaker, the age of the Internet puts more and more Americans online—evolving faster than we ever imagined. Each day new companies and industries grow out of the constant technological innovation that has come to symbolize this information superhighway. The Internet has reached into our schools, businesses, and homes. It has allowed average Americans sitting in the privacy of their living rooms to connect with and explore the world. The Internet provides us with entertainment, information, and communication. But with all the wonders of the Internet comes the potential for problems. Today, I am introducing the Consumer Internet Privacy Protection Act of 1997 in an effort to address just one such glaring problem.

To gain access to the Internet's endless web of sites, users must work through an Internet provider or server. While these servers provide a valuable service to their customers, they are also capable of collecting an enormous amount of personal information about these individual consumers. Besides the personal information an Internet server may collect when they enroll a subscriber, servers are also capable of identifying the sites their subscribers visit. Without doubt such information would be quite valuable to those interested in marketing, while providing servers with yet another source of revenue for providing such personal and private information about consumers. The result—subscribers are inundated with junk mail and/or e-mail, based on such sales of their profiles to third parties.

My legislation is intended to inform and protect the privacy of the Internet user by requiring servers to obtain the written consent of their subscribers before disclosing any of their personal information to third parties. In addition, my bill requires a server to provide its subscribers access to any personal information collected by the server on its users, along with the identity of any recipients of such personal information.

While this bill addresses many concerns, I do not view this legislation as a final draft, complete with every detail, but rather as a first step down a road we are bound to travel. Obviously, issues involving the Internet are new and complex and deserve careful and thoughtful consideration. The Internet touches an incredible and increasing number of people and industries, and it is clear that the perspective and input from these interests are vital to the success of this process.

As the Internet becomes a more integral part of our daily lives, it is important that we in Congress take a commonsense approach, like this proposed legislation, to ensure the citizens of our Nation are able to benefit and retain a voice in the use of this technology without involuntarily sacrificing their personal privacy. My legislation will not hamper the growth and innovation of the Internet in any way. It will merely provide an opportunity for the consumers of Internet services to protect their privacy if they so wish. After all, the preservation of our privacy is one of our Nation's most cherished freedoms, which unchecked technology must not be allowed to circumvent.

END THE ABUSE OF PUSH POLLS

HON. JOSEPH R. PITTS

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, January 7, 1997

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, in recent years, many campaigns have used unsubstantiated allegations against an opponent in their polls. While these push polls may be sound politics to some, I believe that the use of negative, suggestive, and unfounded information in a poll fails to meet the democratic goal of persuading voters with truth and fairness.

That's why I introduced the Push Poll Disclaimer Act today. This bill will discourage the practice of slandering a candidate in a Federal election under the guise of a legitimate poll. The Push Poll Disclaimer Act will require that any person or organization conducting a poll by telephone give the source of any information provided in the poll, or a statement that there is no source if this is the case. Further, my bill will require that the identity of the person or group sponsoring the poll, as well as the identity of the caller, be disclosed.

Mr. Speaker, it is vital that we work together to reduce the negative impact push polls have on the Federal election process. I urge that the provisions in my bill be included in the larger campaign finance reform bill which is expected to be considered this Congress. I thank the Speaker, and look forward to working with him during the 105th Congress on this important issue.

BASEBALL FANS AND COMMUNITIES PROTECTION ACT OF 1997

HON. JOHN CONYERS, JR.

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, January 7, 1997

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing the ''Baseball Fans and Communities Protection Act of 1997.'' It is time that Congress finally steps up to the plate and ends baseball's antitrust exemption which was at the root of the debilitating strike of 1994–95.

Professional baseball is the only industry in the United States that is exempt from the antitrust laws without being subject to alternative regulatory supervision. This circumstance resulted from an erroneous 1922 Supreme Court decision holding that baseball did not involve ''interstate commerce'' and was therefore beyond the reach of the antitrust laws. Congress has failed to overturn this decision despite subsequent court decisions holding that the other professional sports were fully subject to the antitrust laws.

There may have been a time when baseball's unique treatment was a source of pride and distinction for the many loyal fans who loved our national pastime. But with baseball suffering more work stoppages over the last 25 years than all of the other professional sports combined—including the 1994–95 strike which ended the possibility of a World Series for the first time in 90 years and deprived our cities of thousands of jobs and millions of dollars in tax revenues—we can no longer afford to treat professional baseball in a manner enjoyed by no other professional sport.

The bill I am introducing today is based on a legislation approved by the Senate Judiciary Committee last Congress and is similar to legislation adopted by the House Judiciary Committee during the 103d Congress partially repealing the antitrust exemption. Because concerns have previously been raised that by repealing the antitrust exemption we could somehow be disrupting the operation of the minor leagues, or professional baseball's ability to limit franchise relocation or jointly negotiate network broadcasting arrangements, the legislation carefully eliminates these matters from the scope of the new antitrust coverage.

After advocating repeal of the exemption for many years, I believe the time is finally ripe for enactment of this legislation. In the past some legislators had objected to legislating in this area because of their hesitancy to take any action which could impact the ongoing labor dispute. But because the owners and players have recently agreed to enter into a new collective bargaining agreement, this objection no longer exists.

In addition, the baseball owners have agreed to work with the players to seek a partial repeal of the antitrust exemption as part of their new labor accord. Their memorandum of understanding provides, ''[t]he clubs and the [Major League Baseball Players Association] will jointly request and cooperate in lobbying the Congress to pass a law clarifying that Major League baseball players are covered under the antitrust laws (i.e., that major league players will have the same rights under the antitrust laws as do other professional athletes, e.g., football and basketball players), along with a provision which makes it clear that passage of the bill does not change the application of the antitrust laws in any other context or with respect to any other person or entity.''

I have asked that the bill be introduced as H.R. 21, in honor of the courageous center fielder, Curt Flood. Mr. Flood, one of the greatest players of his time, risked his career when he challenged baseball's reserve clause after he was traded from the St. Louis Cardinals to the Philadelphia Phillies. Although the Supreme Court rejected Flood's challenge in 1972, we all owe a debt of gratitude for his willingness to challenge the baseball oligarchy.

Professional baseball is now a more than $2 billion annual business and the time has long since passed when it could be contended that baseball did not constitute ''interstate commerce.'' There is bipartisan support in both the House and Senate for taking action on this issue, and I look forward to Congress finally repealing the longstanding anomaly of baseball's antitrust exemption.

THE STATE WATER SOVEREIGNTY PROTECTION ACT

HON. MICHAEL D. CRAPO

OF IDAHO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, January 7, 1997

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to introduce the State Water Sovereignty Protection Act, a