It is a milestone that certainly deserves recognition, and I am pleased to have introduced a resolution last week commemorating its 150th year anniversary.

The Chicago Board of Trade, which sits in my Congressional District, has been an integral part of the development of the City of Chicago, and, indeed, of the world. Chicago Board of Trade was founded by 82 visionary Chicago merchants, and made its mark by revolutionizing how grain was stored and sold. Little did these visionaries know 150 years ago that their efforts would lead to the creation of the world's largest futures market and a centralized marketplace for the sellers and buyers of grain. Just last year, the Chicago Board of Trade opened the world's largest trading floor, 60,000 square feet, for financial futures and futures options, and a record one million Treasury bond futures were traded in a single day.

The Chicago Board of Trade has had a significant impact on the lives of all. Food prices in the United States are lower because of the Board of Trade; interest rates on Federal securities are lower than they otherwise would be because of the Chicago Board of Trade. The existence of this extremely efficient, vital marketplace has saved us all money, whether we have ever purchased a futures contract or not.

It is not by accident that this market is located in Chicago. Due to its central location, access to waterways and proximity to farmland, Chicago is the natural crossroads of commerce in the United States.

The Chicago Board of Trade has served as host to Presidents, Members of Congress and dignitaries from throughout the world.

They have been on the cutting edge of technology. In 1995, it became the first futures exchange to open a commercial service on the Internet, and since then they have established an electronic system for overnight trades.

The Chicago Board of Trade has been a real leader in the world. Just this year, the Board of Trade entered into a cooperative agreement with EUREX, its Swiss-German counterpart, and plans are in the works to add a partner in Asia.

The success of the Chicago Board of Trade has not only created huge benefits for our Nation generally, it has also contributed enormously to the economy of Chicago. Chicago's two future exchanges have created over 150,000 jobs and puts millions of dollars each night in the city's banks. In a world class city, renowned for its architecture, the beautiful Board of Trade structure stands out as a major example of art, Deco style, and one of Chicago's most treasured landmarks.

The Chicago Board of Trade is a shining example of the ingenuity, hard work and creativity that is respected throughout the world. As members of the board prepare for your gala celebration on June 13th, I wish you another 150 years of success.

Again, congratulations, and I urge all of my colleagues to join with me in congratulating the Chicago Board of Trade on its 150 years of success and benefit to the American economy.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

CAMPAIGN FINANCE PROBE: 94 WHO AREN'T TALKING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 7, 1997, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I do not anticipate taking the whole 60 minutes, but I did think it was important to illuminate a few issues for my colleagues and for anybody in the country take might be paying attention.

We have been investigating the illegal campaign contributions that have come into the Democrat National Committee and the Clinton-Gore Committee of 1996 for about a year now. One of the biggest problems we have had, Mr. Speaker, is that 94 people, 94 people, have either taken the Fifth Amendment or fled the country.

Now, when I had the FBI director, Mr. Louie Freeh, before my committee not long ago, I asked him if he had ever seen anything of that magnitude, and the FBI director said, ''Well as a matter of fact, Mr. Chairman, I have.'' And I said, ''Really? When was that?'' He said, ''When I was investigating organized crime in New York City.''

Now, during this past week, the Washington Post, on the Federal Page, for the first time of any major newspaper in the country listed everybody who has taken the Fifth Amendment or fled the country, and I commend them for that. The Washington Post is not a bastion of conservatism, as most people know, but the fact of the matter is, they have listed all these people and given a brief explanation as to why they have not testified before our committee or any committee of the Congress.

I want to go through these real briefly for my colleagues and the American people, who have a right to know why people are leaving the country or taking the Fifth Amendment and not telling why these contributions came in from Communist China, from Macao, from Indonesia, from Egypt, from Taiwan and from South America.

Now, this is very important, because these people who are giving contributions from other parts of the world are not doing it for their health. They are doing it because they want something from the United States.

We just heard about the technology transfer that took place, giving China the ability to target more accurately American cities with their ICBM's and nuclear warheads. We also gave them MRVing technology, which allows them to put as many as three or four warheads on each rocket. That means that not just 18 cities are targeted here in the United States by the Communist Chinese Government and the Chinese communist Army, but 54 cities are possibly targeted at one time if we ever have a confrontation with them. That is a very, very sobering thought.

Every man, woman and child in this country ought to be asking the question, was there a quid pro quo? Was there an exchange of contributions for technology? Was the Loral Company, headed by Mr. Schwartz, involved, and did the contributions he gave have anything to do with it? He was the largest single contributor to the Democrat National Committee and the Clinton Gore campaign.

But let us get to the issue at hand. John Huang, the first person on this chart, he was born in China. He is a U.S. citizen, raised in Taiwan, and a former executive of the Lippo Group in Indonesia. He started out with the Worthen Bank in the United States, in Little Rock, Arkansas, and became a friend of the President of the United States.

Mr. Huang met with the President and others at the White House ten times between June 21 and June 27, 1994, and, right after that, Mr. Web Hubbell, who was about to be indicted by a Federal grand jury, received $100,000 from the Lippo Group. Many people believe that was hush money, and that is one of the things we have been investigating.

Shortly after this possible hush money was given to Mr. Hubbell, Mr. Huang, two weeks later, got a job over at the Commerce Department as Assistant Secretary of the Commerce Department, which was a very influential department, because they had a hand in determining technology transfers and other transfers that went to places like Communist China. Anyhow, Mr. Huang has taken the Fifth Amendment. His wife, Jane Huang, has taken the Fifth Amendment.

Arief and Soraya Wiriadinata, they have left the country. They fled the country. Those people, he was a gardener over in Virginia, a gardener in Virginia, yet he gave $450,000 to the DNC. Now, I do not know how much gardeners make in other parts of the country, Mr. Speaker, but $450,000 from a man who is probably making $20,000 to $25,000 a year is a lot of money. It makes you wonder where that money came from.

Soraya's father, Hashim Ning, was a business partner of Mochtar Riady and the Lippo Group in Indonesia, and he wired $500,000, which the couple used to make these $450,000 in contributions. Evidently they kept $50,000 of that. But that was obviously money that was

laundered from Indonesia through the Wiriadinatas into the Democrat National Committee, and they fled the country.

The next person on the list is Agus Setiawan. He was an another Lippo employee of Indonesia who worked with John Huang and donated $5,500 to the Federal Campaigns and Political Action Committees, which has all since been refunded by the Democrat National Committee because it was illegal. He fled the country.

Pauline Kanchanalak, a business consultant from Thailand and a legal resident of the United States who was solicited by John Huang for donations, the DNC returned all of $253,000 she contributed, because they thought that money came from outside the United States as well, illegal contributions coming from abroad, for what reason we know not. She has fled the country.

Duangnet Kronenberg, she is the sister-in-law of Pauline Kanchanalak. She donated $50,000 to the DNC on the day of a White House coffee that she attended in June 1996. She has taken the Fifth Amendment.

Irene Wo, she worked for Johnny Chung's fax machine business. She has taken the Fifth Amendment.

Na-chi ''Nancy'' Lee, an engineer at Chung's fax machine business who has allegedly solicited contributions from co-workers and reimbursed them, she has taken the Fifth Amendment.

Yah Lin ''Charlie'' Trie, an American citizen and one of the first two suspects, along with Antonio Pan to be indicted on January 29, 1997, as a result of the Justice Department's Task Force Campaign Finance Investigation.

Charlie Trie had a Chinese restaurant in Little Rock, Arkansas, was a close personal friend of the President of the United States, came to Washington without any experience whatsoever, and he gathered $640,000 for President Clinton's legal defense fund. All of that money was returned because it was in sequential money orders, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, with the same handwriting, but different names on them. So the head of the President's legal defense fund thought this was ''phony money'' coming from someplace it should not have, and it was returned.

He also gave an additional $645,000 to the Democrat National Committee. Most of this money was from illegal foreign sources and the money was returned. He fled the country, but ultimately did come back and was indicted by the Justice Department, mainly because our committee proved beyond a reasonable doubt that his sister and her boyfriend and some others were laundering money for Charlie Trie, even though they did not know they were doing it. He used them as dupes to launder money coming from outside the country.

Suma Ching Hai, leader of the Taiwan Buddhist sect whose members gave the bulk of $640,000 that Trie delivered to the President's legal defense fund, they refused to be interviewed.

Wang Jun, a Communist Chinese arms dealer and chairman of the China International Trust and Investment Corporation, the largest Communist Chinese government-owned company. Wang Jun was invited to a February 6, 1996, coffee at the White House at the behest of Charlie Trie, and he refused to be interviewed.

Ng Lap Seng, a Macao businessman and Trie's business partner, they jointly owned a Macao company which, according to the FBI, through which Ng wired Trie more than $900,000, we believe it was well over $1 million which went into New York and Virginia banks, part of which Trie donated to the Democrat National Committee.

Now, listen to this. All these millions of dollars were coming from outside the United States, from all over the world. What did Communist China want in exchange for these campaign contributions being laundered erred to the DNC?

Could it have been the Long Beach Naval Station in Long Beach, California, where the Chinese Shipping Company, which is owned by the Chinese communist government, wanted that whole facility? The DIA, the Defense Intelligence Agency, and the CIA both have concerns about the Chinese Communist Shipping Company having control of the Long Beach Naval Station, and they gave, we believe, millions of dollars through conduits into the United States of America.

Johnny Chung, whom I will talk about in a minute, we know got $300,000 from the head of the aerospace company in Communist China to be laundered and given to the Democrat National Committee, and her father was the head of the Chinese Communist Army, the People's Republican Army in Communist China.

Now, what did they want for that? Could it have been the technology transfer that allowed the Chinese communist military to be able to target American cities more accurately through a technology transfer that the President signed a waiver on? We need to know these things. That is why these people need to testify. But, unfortunately, 94 of them have fled the country or refused to testify or taken the Fifth Amendment.

□ 1830

Ming Chen, general manager of a restaurant in Beijing owned by Ng Lap Seng. Ng Lap Seng is a man called Mr. Wu, who is a man I am going to talk about in just a minute. Mr. Wu, or Ng Lap Seng, reimbursed Ming Chen's wife for the checks she co-wrote to the DNC, thousands of dollars.

Ming Chen's wife, Yuefang Chu, a resident of Gaithersburg. She testified before the Senate about conduit campaign contributions and has taken the fifth amendment.

Stanley Ho, a Macao developer who gave $250,000 to a fund for the FDR Memorial. He refused to be interviewed.

Antonio Pan, former Lippo executive who was indicted on charges related to illegal fund-raising in January of 1997. Pan allegedly received $80,000 in August 1996 from Mr. Wu, or Ng Lap Seng, in Macao and used some of the money to reimburse people he persuaded to write checks to the DNC.

David Wang, one of the people we had before our committee, a California used car dealer. Wang is alleged to be one of Pan's straw donors through whom they ran these payments. He testified before our committee, along with Charlie Trie's sister and her boyfriend, and they all were conduits for campaign contributions.

Daniel Wu, apparently another Pan straw donor. Wu is a Taiwan-based businessman.

Mark Middleton, who worked at the White House, one of the President's close personal aides at this White House. He was a former Democrat fundraiser and White House aid who left the administration in 1995 to pursue business deals with Asian businessmen, sometimes facilitated by Charlie Trie. I am going to talk about Mr. Middleton more in a minute.

Mark Jimenez, a Miami computer entrepreneur and donor who made his largest contribution, $50,000, to the DNC after a February 6, 1996 coffee at the White House. He has taken the fifth amendment.

Manlin Foung, Charlie Trie's sister, whom I have already talked about, who has admitted she was a conduit and whom we have immunized.

Joseph Landon, romantically linked to Manlin Foung, he was involved in the $35,000 donation that Manlin Foung made, and he was immunized by us and explained why that conduit payment was made.

Dia Maria Mapili, a longtime employee of Trie's Daihatsu International Trading Company. An indictment against Trie claims he ordered Mapili to destroy subpoenaed documents. She has taken the fifth amendment.

Keshi Zhan worked for Trie and Ng as an office manager. He has taken the fifth amendment.

James Riady. The Senate draft report on campaign finances accuses the Riady family of having a long-term relationship with the Communist Chinese intelligence agency. They are out of Indonesia. James Riady is an Indonesian who once lived legally in the United States, is the deputy chairman of the family's main business, the Lippo Group in Indonesia. The family, including its businesses and partners, donated more than $700,000 to the Democrats between 1991 and 1996, much of which has been returned. Riady has denied any wrongdoing in a written statement. He has refused to be interviewed.

And the Riadys. James Riady was one of those that met at the White House, along with John Huang, to talk about the problems, we believe, of Webb Hubbell 10 times between June 21 and June 27, 1994. Shortly thereafter, $100,000 came from his company to

Webb Hubbell who was about to be indicted. As I said before, many think that was hush money.

Later, John Huang, an associate, employee of the Lippo Group and a friend of James Riady, got a job at the Commerce Department which was very influential in making decisions regarding foreign commerce.

Mochtar Riady, James Riady's father and chairman of the Lippo Group, he refused to be interviewed.

Stephen Riady, another son of Mochtar Riady. Stephen heads the Chinese operations of the Lippo Group, Lippo Limited, and the Hong Kong Chinese Bank.

Roy Tirtadji, he is the managing director of the Lippo Group. He refused to be interviewed.

Ken Hsui, a dual national. Hsui gave at least $300,000 to the Democrats, half soon after he attended a dinner at the Jefferson Hotel with Clinton and three other Asian businessmen.

Eugene Wu, chairman of one of the largest corporations in Taiwan, Shin Kong Life Insurance. He attended the Jefferson Hotel dinner, and he refused to be interviewed.

James Lin, Wu's brother-in-law and owner of a Taipei construction company. Lin attended the Jefferson Hotel dinner and gave money.

John Muncy, executive vice president of the Lippo Group's Hong Kong Chinese Bank, refused to be interviewed.

Webster Hubbell. He received hundreds of thousands of dollars, we believe as much as $700,000, and possibly more, between the time he left the White House and the time he was indicted by Mr. Starr and the grand jury. We believe that is, in large part, hush money. We believe that is a real possibility because he did very little work for this money. He has taken the fifth amendment and has been once again indicted by the grand jury and Mr. Starr.

Hogen Fukunaga, a leader of the Honohana Sampogyo, a Japanese cult. In 1995, a follower wired $500,000 to Yogesh Gandhi, a man who tried to flee this country but was caught by the FBI at the airport before he left and went to New Delhi. This fellow refused to be interviewed.

Yogesh Gandhi is a great-grandnephew of Mohandas Gandhi, he says, and a California businessman. Gandhi gave the DNC $320,000, which has since been returned. Again it was foreign money, illegally given.

Ten Sioeng, an Indonesian-born businessman who travels on a Belize passport, suspected by committee members of working, along with his family, on behalf of the Chinese Government interests in the United States. Senate investigators have found that more than half of the $400,000 that Sioeng's family contributed to the Democratic National Committee in 1996 was transferred from a Hong Kong based firm. This is unbelievable, all this money coming from overseas.

Jessica Elnitiarta, Sioeng's daughter, took the fifth amendment.

I can go on and on and on. I think my friends and colleagues get the message. All of this money, millions and millions of dollars, was coming from foreign sources into the Democratic National Committee and all of these people, all 94 of them, have taken the fifth amendment.

I want to give a graphic illustration of how some of this worked. Ng Lap Seng, better known as Mr. Wu, from Macao, came into the country on June 20, 1994, and he brought with him a suitcase with $175,000. Two days later, he met at the White House with Mark Middleton, one of the President's chief aides. Two days later, the same day, he went to a DNC dinner with the President and was seated at the number one table. He gives $175,000, and 2 days later, he is meeting at the White House and going to a presidential dinner.

On July 31, 1994, he comes back in with another satchel, $42,000 later. One and 2 days later, he meets at the White House with Mark Middleton and went to the DNC birthday party for President Clinton, $42,000.

On October 19, 1994, he came into the country with $25,000 in a suitcase or a bag. One day later, he met with Mark Middleton again at the White House.

On February 15, 1995, he brought $12,000 into the country. How do we know he brought all this money in? Because he had to declare it if it was over $10,000. He brought in $12,000. One day later, he met with Mark Middleton at the White House, and he met with the President upstairs at the President's residence.

February 18, 1996, he brought $19,000 into the country. One day later, he went to the President's Asian dinner at the Hay Adams Hotel.

Mr. Trie, a friend of his, to whom he wired, we believe, well over a million dollars to New York and Virginia banks, gave $12,500 to the President's Asian dinner. Two days later, Ng met with Susan Levine at the White House.

On August 17, 1996, just before the election, he brought $70,000 into the country. And 2 days later, he went to the President's 50th birthday party. Charlie Trie and his friends contributed over $100,000.

My colleagues might say all this is coincidence, that nobody at the White House knew about this, they did not know this money was coming in from Ng Lap Seng and Macao, and possibly the Communist Chinese Government. But you have hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars coming in, and right after he comes in, he goes to the White House and meets with Mark Middleton or goes to some function with the President or at the DNC.

It sure sounds suspicious. But, once again, we cannot get people to testify to get to the bottom of this. It is something that we cannot tolerate.

I want to read to you a little bit about the Ng Lap Seng connection, Mr. Wu here. He is one of the most prominent people we are going to have on this list. He is a wealthy Macao businessman with strong ties to the Chinese Communist Government and has refused to be interviewed.

A former DNC fund-raiser, his good friend and friend of the President, Charlie Trie, received $1.4 million in wire transfers from this fellow, in addition to all this money they brought in, $1.4 million in addition to this money, which is about $400,000 between 1994 and 1996. It became, in addition to all these contributions, Mr. Trie's main source of income, who had been appointed by President Clinton to a major international trading commission.

Ng Lap Seng visited the White House 12 times during the time he was wiring hundreds of thousands of dollars to the United States as well as bringing all this money in. These funds enable Trie, his wife, and two of Trie's sham corporations, Daihatsu International Trading and San Kin Yip International Trading, to contribute $215,000 to the DNC. The President appointed Trie to the Commission on U.S. Pacific Trade and Investment Policy in April of 1996.

Our committee released documents this year showing that Ng Lap Seng carried large amounts of cash, this money, totaling $330,000 and possibly more with him on trips to the United States between 1994 and 1996. Why do I say possibly more?. Because he only had to declare, I believe, the money he brought in over $10,000. So he may have come in several times in between here and met at the White House with money that did not exceed the $10,000 limit.

The committee compared the dates of Ng's trips with his visits to the White House, as I just illustrated, to show that on five occasions when Ng arrived in the United States with cash, he visited the White House within 2 days of his arrival.

The American people have the right to know the facts. The reason we have a right to know the facts is that strange things have happened. The Long Beach Naval Station, strategically located, that was closed down during the base closure bill that we passed here not long ago, a couple years ago, the Long Beach Naval Station is being given to the Chinese Communist shipping company.

It is strategically located on the West Coast. Our Defense Intelligence Agency and the Central Intelligence Agency and the customs people have grave concerns about giving the Chinese Communist Government that whole facility because it is so large.

The Chinese shipping company owned by the Chinese Communist Government, the People's Liberation Army over there, we know have brought thousands of AK–47s in to be given to street gangs in Los Angeles on their ships. Customs has a very difficult time policing all of that.

Yet the President has been involved in a number of meetings trying to help the Chinese Government get the Long Beach Naval Station. Why is that? Why

was the President involved in that? We wonder sometimes if there is any connection between all these contributions coming from the Far East and the President's decision to be involved in that.

The Riady group and the Lippo Group, the President made the Utah Monument a national park. What is the significance of that? The largest clean-burning coal facility in the United States, billions and billions of dollars of clean-burning coal are in the Utah Monument. It could have been mined environmentally safely according to U.S. engineers.

Who would benefit from turning that into a national park so you cannot mine there? The Riady group, the Lippo Group, and Indonesia has the largest clean-burning coal facility, mining facility, in southeast Asia. They were one of the largest contributors. Their hands are all over, all over these contributions coming in from Communist China, from Macao and from Indonesia. Could there be a connection there? We need to know. The American people have a right to know, but we do not know.

These things are of grave concern to me because some of them involve our national security, as I talked to you about earlier, the technology transfer that allows the Communist Chinese to be able to more accurately target targets halfway around the world; i.e., American cities.

These are things that we need to find out about. These are things the American people have a right to know. We have 94 people, 94 people that could shed light on this but have taken the fifth amendment or fled the country. That is a huge number.

I would like to state one more time, FBI Director Freeh said the only time he had heard of anything like this was when he was investigating organized crime in New York, the John Gotti's and so forth. This is huge. The American people ought to be outraged because national security questions have been raised. These people can help us get to the bottom of it.

The President of the United States, after this technology transfer took place, and I might add the President signed a waiver which okayed that after the Justice Department and the FBI had started investigation into the Loral Company which gave that technology to the Communist Chinese, and the FBI and the Justice Department told the White House that they did not think that a waiver should be signed because they were investigating whether or not national security had been breached or whether the law had been violated by the Loral Company, and Mr. Schwartz, the largest Democrat contributor and the largest contributor to the President's reelection committee, they did not think there should be a waiver signed.

□ 1845

Yet the President, after the fact, signed that waiver, which weakened the Justice Department investigation of the possible case against the Loral Company and Mr. Schwartz. Why did that happen?

We need to know. These people can testify to many of these issues. Yet, the President has not insisted that these people, many of whom worked at the White House, who were friends of his, testify before the Congress of the United States.

When Ronald Reagan was President we had the Iran-Contra affair, and he did not hold back any documents. The White House has been unbelievably hard to get documents from relating to any of this. He insisted that his staff come down and testify. Nobody took the fifth amendment. Yet, we have 94 people who have taken the fifth or fled the country. There is a real contrast between the Reagan administration and the Clinton administration.

National security questions need to be answered, commerce questions need to be answered, and the only person who can really force the issue is the President. He needs to tell these people to come and testify before our committee.

I do not believe the President should be going to Communist China, especially after this technology transfer took place. We need to find the answer to these questions before he goes over there. But he is taking 1,250 people with him.

He is going to go to Tiananmen Square, where they have a reception center. Members remember Tiananmen Square, where 9 years ago many young people were ground under tanks, and hundreds, possibly thousands, were murdered, and then many thousands later went into Communist gulags.

We now know the Communist Chinese government is killing people in their prisons and harvesting their kidneys, livers, and hearts, and selling them around the world for $30,000 to $100,000 a crack. They are getting at least $60 million by killing people in prisons and giving their body parts for money around the world. Some of those people are probably these political prisoners who were at Tiananmen Square. We do not know.

But all these things bother me a great deal. That is one of the reasons why I think the President should not be going to Communist China.

My committee has been investigating this for over a year. I must tell my colleagues that I have a great sense of frustration, because every time we ask for documents, every time we try to get to the bottom of this, the White House throws up another stone wall. They will not give us documents. They will not let people testify, even people who have worked at the White House and are friends of the President.

All I can say is the American people ought to ask, why? Why, Mr. President, are we not allowing people to testify? Why is the President not insisting that all of these friends of his come before the Congress of the United States and tell the truth?

All we have to do is get the truth. Lincoln said, let the people know the facts and the country will be saved. We are talking about national security. We are talking about foreign entities, from South America to Egypt to southwest Asia, Macao, China, Taiwan, Indonesia, giving campaign contributions to the DNC through illegal conduits in this country.

Why, Mr. President, did these things happen? I submit that the White House cannot be ignorant of all of this, because most of these people were going in and out of the White House on a regular basis, meeting with the President, getting their pictures taken with him, going to dinners, and raising funds for him.

The American people have a right to know the facts. I hope the President of the United States will help us get the facts. If he does go to China, which I do not think he should, but if he does go to China, I hope he will ask the Chinese government to let our investigators in there.

They will not let our investigators in there to talk to the Bank of China or to find out why these Communist Chinese contributions were coming into the United States, and from whom they were coming. They will not let us in there. So if the President is going over there, I think he ought to ask the President of China to work with us to get to the bottom of this. But I doubt that that will happen.

I would like to end up by saying one more time, the American people have a right to know. As long as I am chairman of this committee, I am going to work my dead level best to get to the bottom of this so that they do have all the facts.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. SHAYS (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for after 12:30 today on account of attending his daughter's high school graduation.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

The following Members (at the request of Mr. ENGEL) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:

Mr. CONYERS, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. PALLONE, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. NORTON, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. ENGEL, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, for 5 minutes, today.

The following Members (at the request of Mr. THUNE) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:

Mr. ROHRABACHER, for 5 minutes, today.