

[Roll No. 504]

AYES—224

Aderholt	Graham	Petri
Archer	Granger	Pickering
Armey	Gutknecht	Pitts
Bachus	Hall (OH)	Pombo
Baker	Hall (TX)	Portman
Ballenger	Hamilton	Quinn
Barcia	Hansen	Radanovich
Barr	Hastert	Rahall
Barrett (NE)	Hastings (WA)	Redmond
Bartlett	Hayworth	Regula
Barton	Hefley	Riggs
Bateman	Herger	Riley
Bereuter	Hill	Roemer
Bilirakis	Hilleary	Rogan
Bishop	Hoekstra	Rogers
Bliley	Holden	Rohrabacher
Blunt	Hostettler	Ros-Lehtinen
Boehner	Hulshof	Roukema
Bonilla	Hunter	Royce
Bono	Hutchinson	Ryun
Brady (TX)	Hyde	Salmon
Bryant	Inglis	Sandlin
Bunning	Istook	Sanford
Burr	Jenkins	Saxton
Burton	John	Scarborough
Callahan	Johnson, Sam	Schaefer, Dan
Calvert	Jones	Schaffer, Bob
Camp	Kanjorski	Sensenbrenner
Canady	Kasich	Sessions
Cannon	Kildee	Shadegg
Chabot	Kim	Shaw
Chambliss	King (NY)	Shinkus
Chenoweth	Kingston	Shuster
Christensen	Knollenberg	Skeen
Coble	LaFalce	Skelton
Coburn	LaHood	Smith (MI)
Collins	Largent	Smith (NJ)
Combest	Latham	Smith (OR)
Cooksey	Lewis (CA)	Smith (TX)
Costello	Lewis (KY)	Smith, Linda
Cox	Linder	Snowbarger
Cramer	Lipinski	Solomon
Crane	Livingston	Souder
Crapo	LoBiondo	Spence
Cubin	Lucas	Stearns
Cunningham	Manzullo	Stenholm
Danner	Mascara	Stump
Deal	McCollum	Stupak
DeLay	McCrery	Sununu
Diaz-Balart	McHugh	Talent
Dickey	McIntosh	Tanner
Doolittle	McIntyre	Tauzin
Doyle	McKeon	Taylor (MS)
Dreier	Metcalf	Taylor (NC)
Duncan	Mica	Thornberry
Dunn	Miller (FL)	Thune
Ehlers	Mollohan	Tiahrt
Emerson	Moran (KS)	Trafficant
English	Murtha	Turner
Ensign	Myrick	Visclosky
Everett	Nethercutt	Walsh
Ewing	Neumann	Wamp
Forbes	Ney	Watkins
Fossella	Northup	Watts (OK)
Fowler	Norwood	Weldon (FL)
Fox	Nussle	Weldon (PA)
Galleghy	Ortiz	Weller
Gekas	Oxley	White
Gibbons	Packard	Whitfield
Gillmor	Pappas	Wicker
Goode	Parker	Wilson
Goodlatte	Paul	Wolf
Goodling	Paxon	Young (AK)
Gordon	Pease	Young (FL)
Goss	Peterson (MN)	

NOES—200

Abercrombie	Boucher	Cummings
Ackerman	Boyd	Davis (FL)
Allen	Brady (PA)	Davis (IL)
Andrews	Brown (CA)	Davis (VA)
Baesler	Brown (FL)	DeFazio
Baldacci	Brown (OH)	DeGette
Barrett (WI)	Campbell	Delahunt
Bass	Capps	DeLauro
Becerra	Cardin	Deutsch
Bentsen	Carson	Dicks
Berman	Castle	Dingell
Berry	Clay	Dixon
Bilbray	Clayton	Doggett
Blagojevich	Clement	Dooley
Blumenauer	Clyburn	Edwards
Boehlert	Condit	Ehrlich
Bonior	Conyers	Engel
Borski	Cook	Eshoo
Boswell	Coyne	Etheridge

Evans	Kucinich	Porter
Farr	Lampson	Price (NC)
Fattah	Lantos	Ramstad
Fawell	LaTourette	Rangel
Filner	Lazio	Reyes
Foley	Leach	Rivers
Ford	Lee	Rodriguez
Frank (MA)	Levin	Rothman
Franks (NJ)	Lewis (GA)	Roybal-Allard
Frelinghuysen	Lofgren	Rush
Frost	Lowe	Sabo
Furse	Luther	Sanchez
Ganske	Maloney (CT)	Sanders
Gejdenson	Maloney (NY)	Sawyer
Gephardt	Manton	Schumer
Gilchrest	Markay	Scott
Gilman	Matsui	Serrano
Gonzalez	McCarthy (MO)	Shays
Green	McCarthy (NY)	Sherman
Greenwood	McDermott	Sisisky
Gutierrez	McGovern	Skaggs
Harman	McHale	Slaughter
Hastings (FL)	McInnis	Smith, Adam
Hefner	McKinney	Snyder
Hilliard	McNulty	Spratt
Hinchoy	Meehan	Stabenow
Hinojosa	Meek (FL)	Stark
Hobson	Meeks (NY)	Stokes
Hoolley	Menendez	Strickland
Horn	Millender-	Tauscher
Houghton	McDonald	Thomas
Hoyer	Miller (CA)	Thompson
Jackson (IL)	Minge	Thurman
Jackson-Lee	Mink	Tierney
(TX)	Moran (VA)	Torres
Jefferson	Morella	Towns
Johnson (CT)	Nadler	Upton
Johnson (WI)	Neal	Velazquez
Johnson, E. B.	Oberstar	Vento
Kaptur	Obey	Waters
Kelly	Olver	Watt (NC)
Kennedy (MA)	Owens	Waxman
Kennedy (RI)	Pallone	Wexler
Kilpatrick	Pascrell	Weygand
Kind (WI)	Pastor	Wise
Kleccka	Payne	Woolsey
Klink	Pelosi	Wynn
Klug	Pickett	
Kolbe	Pomeroy	

NOT VOTING—10

Buyer	McDade	Pryce (OH)
Fazio	Moakley	Yates
Kennelly	Peterson (PA)	
Martinez	Poshard	

□ 2001

Ms. HOOLEY of Oregon changed her vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. STUPAK and Mr. NEY changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment offered as a substitute for the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. GREENWOOD), as amended.

The amendment, as amended, was agreed to.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS) having assumed the chair, Mr. BEREUTER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4274) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the consideration of H.R. 4274, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1999—VETO MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-321)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following veto message from the President of the United States:

To the House of Representatives:

I am returning herewith without my approval, H.R. 4101, the "Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1999." I am vetoing this bill because it fails to address adequately the crisis now gripping our Nation's farm community.

I firmly believe and have stated often that the Federal Government must play an important role in strengthening the farm safety net. This appropriations bill provides an opportunity each year for the Government to take steps to help hardworking farmers achieve a decent living, despite the misfortune of bad weather, crop disease, collapsing markets, or other forces that affect their livelihoods. It is especially necessary for the Government to act this year, with prices dropping precipitously, crops destroyed by flood, drought, and disease, and where many farmers will see their net income drop by as much as 40 percent below a 5-year average.

Two years ago, when I signed the "Freedom to Farm Bill," I made clear that it did not provide an adequate safety net for our Nation's farmers. There is no better proof of that bill's shortcomings than the hardship in America's farm country this year. Our farm families are facing their worst crisis in a decade.

My Administration has already taken steps to address this crisis. In July, we announced the purchase of \$250 million of wheat to export to hungry people around the world. In August, I signed legislation to speed up farm program payments. But in the face of a growing emergency for our Nation's farmers, we must do more to ensure that American farmers can continue to provide, for years to come, the safest and least expensive food in the world. Last month, I sent to the Congress a request for \$2.3 billion in emergency aid for our farmers, and I supported Senator Daschle's and Harkin's proposal to boost farm income by lifting the cap on marketing loan rates.

I am extremely disappointed that the Congress has reacted to this agriculture emergency situation by sending me a bill that fails to provide an adequate safety net for our farmers. I have repeatedly stated that I would veto any emergency farm assistance bill if it did not adequately address our farmers' immediate needs, and this bill does not do enough.

The lack of sufficient emergency aid for farmers in this bill is particularly problematic in light of the bill's other provisions that affect farmers and their rural communities. Cutting edge agricultural research is absolutely essential to improve our farmers' productivity and to maintain their advantage over our competitors around the world. But this bill eliminates the \$120 million in competitive research grants for this year that I strongly supported and signed into law just last June. It also blocks the \$60 million from the Fund for Rural America provided through that same bill, preventing needed additional rural development funds that would help our Nation's rural communities to diversify their economies and improve their quality of life. The bill also cuts spending for our food safety initiative in half, denying funds for research, public education, and other food safety improvements.

Many of our most vulnerable farmers have also had to face an obstacle that no one in America ever should have to confront: racial discrimination. Over 1,000 minority farmers have filed claims of discrimination by USDA's farm loan programs in the 1980s and early 1990s that the statute of limitations bars from being addressed. While I am pleased that this legislation contains a provision waiving the statute of limitations, I am disappointed that it does not contain the language included in the Senate's version of this bill, which accelerates the resolution of the cases, provides claimants with a fair and full court review if they so choose, and covers claims stemming from USDA's housing loan programs.

Therefore, as I return this bill, I again call on the Congress to send me a comprehensive plan, before this session ends, that adequately responds to the very real needs of our farmers at this difficult time.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, October 7, 1998.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The objections of the President will be spread at large upon the Journal, and the veto message and the bill will be printed as a House document.

Mr. SKEEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the veto message of the President, together with the accompanying bill, be referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SKEEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the veto message of the President to the bill, H.R. 4101, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 3150, BANKRUPTCY REFORM ACT OF 1998

Mr. LINDER, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 105-799) on the resolution (H. Res. 586) waiving points of order against the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 3150) to amend title 11 of the United States Code, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REFERRAL OF H.R. 1804, JOHN MCKINLEY FEDERAL BUILDING

Mr. KIM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure be discharged from further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1804) to designate the Federal building located at 210 Seminary Street in Florence, Alabama, as the "John McKinley Federal Building" and that the bill be rereferred to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

REFERRAL OF H.R. 4668, JOHN T. MYERS FEDERAL BUILDING

Mr. KIM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure be discharged from further consideration of the bill (H.R. 4668) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service at 30 North 7th Street in Terre Haute, Indiana, as the "John T. Myers Federal Building" and that the bill be rereferred to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

AUTHORIZING AWARD OF CONGRESSIONAL MEDAL OF HONOR TO THEODORE ROOSEVELT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question de novo of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 2263.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUYER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2263.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5 of rule I, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings today on each motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote is objected to under clause 4 of rule XV.

Such rollcall votes, if postponed, will be taken tomorrow.

SENSE OF HOUSE REGARDING NATIONAL SCIENCE POLICY

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 578) expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the print of the Committee on Science entitled "Unlocking Our Future: Toward a New National Science Policy" should serve as a framework for future deliberations on congressional science policy and funding.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. RES. 578

Whereas the United States must maintain and improve its preeminent position in science and technology in order to advance human understanding of the universe and all it contains, and to improve the lives, health, and freedom of all peoples; and

Whereas the Committee on Science of the House of Representatives is hereby submitting a print to Congress entitled "Unlocking Our Future: Toward a New National Science Policy": Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the House of Representatives that the print from the Committee on Science entitled "Unlocking Our Future: Toward a New National Science Policy" should serve as a framework for future deliberations on congressional science policy and funding.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the resolution under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.