AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

105TH CONGRESS, 2d Session | HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES | REPORT 105–749

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1999, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

SEPTEMBER 25, 1998.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. MCDADE, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 4060]

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 105–749)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4060) "making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes", having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief

of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, $161,747,000, to remain available until expended, of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Delaware Bay Coastline, Delaware and New Jersey, $419,000;

Tampa Harbor, Alafia Channel, Florida, $200,000;

Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, $322,000;

Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, $113,000;

Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsend's Inlet, New Jersey, $200,000;

Lower Cape May Meadows—Cape May Point, New Jersey, $100,000;

Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey, $300,000;

Raritan Bay to Sandy Hook Bay, New Jersey, $750,000; and

Townsend's Inlet to Cape May Inlet, New Jersey, $250,000:

Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use $700,000 of the funds appropriated in Public Law 102–377 for the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, project for the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, study: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use $500,000 of the funds appropriated herein to implement section 211(f)(7) of Public Law 104–303 (110 Stat. 3684) and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the Hunting Bayou element of the project for flood control, Buffalo Bayou and tributaries, Texas: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use $300,000 of the funds appropriated herein to implement section 211(f)(8) of Public Law 104–303 (110 Stat. 3684) and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the project for flood control, White Oak Bayou watershed, Texas.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction),

$1,429,885,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary for the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, as authorized by Public Law 104–303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99–662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 25, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 14, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Part 1, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; and Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota, projects, and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Norco Bluffs, California, $4,400,000;

Panama City Beaches, Florida, $6,000,000;

Tybee Island, Georgia, $1,200,000;

Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, $700,000;

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, $4,000,000;

Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, $750,000;

Harlan/Clover Fork, Williamsburg, Pike County, Middlesboro, Martin County, and Town of Martin, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in Kentucky, $25,230,000;

Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, $4,000,000;

Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, $16,000,000;

Lake Pontchartrain (Jefferson Parish) Stormwater Discharge, Louisiana, $4,500,000;

Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, $75,000,000;

Jackson County, Mississippi, $6,200,000;

Pascagoula Harbor, Mississippi, $12,000,000;

Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New Jersey, $3,000,000;

Lackawanna River, Olyphant, Pennsylvania, $6,800,000;

Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, $40,551,000;

South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program, $39,000,000, of which $13,000,000 shall be available only for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in Lackawanna, Lycoming, Susquehanna, Wyoming, Pike, and Monroe counties in Pennsylvania in accordance with the purposes of subsection (a) and requirements of subsections (b) through (e) of section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended;

Wallisville Lake, Texas, $5,500,000;

Virginia Beach, Virginia (Hurricane Protection), $18,000,000;

Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County Tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, Hatfield Bottom, and McDowell County, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Virginia, $11,350,000; and

West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control, West Virginia and Pennsylvania, $750,000:

Provided, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to incorporate the economic analyses for the Green Ridge and Plot sections of the Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, project with the economic analysis for the Albright Street section of the project, and to cost-share and implement these combined sections as a single project with no separable elements, except that each section may be undertaken individually when the non-Federal sponsor provides the applicable local cooperation requirements: Provided further, That any funds heretofore appropriated and made available in Public Law 103–126 for projects associated with the restoration of the Lackawanna River Basin Greenway Corridor, Pennsylvania, may be utilized by the Secretary of the Army in carrying out other projects and activities on the Lackawanna River in Pennsylvania: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use $4,500,000 of the funds appropriated herein to implement section 211(f)(6) of Public Law 104–303 (110 Stat. 3683) and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project construction costs for the flood control components comprising the Brays Bayou element of the project for flood control, Buffalo Bayou and tributaries, Texas: Provided further, That the navigation project for Cook Inlet Navigation, Alaska, authorized by Section 101(b)(2) of Public Law 104–303 is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to construct the project at a total cost of $12,600,000 with an estimated first Federal cost of $9,450,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of $3,150,000: Provided further, That the flood control project for West Sacramento, California, authorized by Section 101(4) of Public Law 102–580 is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to construct the project at a total cost of $32,900,000 with an estimated first Federal cost of $24,700,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of $8,200,000: Provided further, That the flood control project for Sacramento River, Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District, California, authorized by Section 2 of the Act entitled "An Act to provide for the control of floods of the Mississippi River and the Sacramento River, and for other purposes", approved March 1, 1917 (39 Stat. 949), is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to construct the project at a total cost of $20,700,000 with an estimated first Federal cost of $15,570,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of $5,130,000: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use $4,000,000 provided herein to construct bluff stabilization measures at authorized locations for Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, at a total estimated cost of $26,065,000 with an estimated first Federal cost of $19,549,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of $6,516,000 and to award continuing contracts, which are not to be considered fully funded: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use up to $5,000,000 of the funding appropriated herein for construction of an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne River, except that funds shall not become available unless the Secretary of the Army determines that an emergency (as defined in sec-

tion 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)) exists with respect to the emergency need for the outlet and reports to Congress that the construction is technically sound, economically justified, and environmentally acceptable and in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.): Provided further, That the economic justification for the emergency outlet shall be prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines for economic evaluation as required by regulations and procedures of the Army Corps of Engineers for all flood control projects, and that the economic justification be fully described, including the analysis of the benefits and costs, in the project plan documents: Provided further, That the plans for the emergency outlet shall be reviewed and, to be effective, shall contain assurances provided by the Secretary of State, after consultation with the International Joint Commission, that the project will not violate the requirements or intent of the Treaty Between the United States and Great Britain Relating to Boundary Waters Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington January 11, 1909 (36 Stat. 2448; TS 548) (commonly known as the "Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909"): Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army shall submit the final plans and other documents for the emergency outlet to Congress: Provided further, That no funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used by the Secretary of the Army to carry out the portion of the feasibility study of the Devils Lake Basin, North Dakota, authorized under the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102–377), that addresses the needs of the area for stabilized lake levels through inlet controls, or to otherwise study any facility or carry out any activity that would permit the transfer of water from the Missouri River Basin into Devils Lake: Provided further, That, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to transfer remaining General Investigations funds previously appropriated for the Juniata River, Pennsylvania, study and Mussers Dam, Pennsylvania, project to Construction, General for use in equal amounts at Broad Top/Coaldale, Bedford County, Pennsylvania, and Mont Alto Borough, Franklin County, Pennsylvania, which are part of the South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, and rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a, 702g–1), $321,149,000, to remain available until expended.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or

other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removal of obstructions to navigation, $1,653,252,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that account for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities, and of which $4,200,000 is provided for repair of Chickamauga Lock, Tennessee: Provided, That no funds, whether appropriated, contributed, or otherwise provided, shall be available to the United States Army Corps of Engineers for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake authorized maintenance and repairs on the Allegheny River, Pennsylvania, project, using $6,000,000 of funds provided under this heading in Public Law 105–62 for extending the navigation channel on the Allegheny River, Pennsylvania, project to provide passenger boat access to the Kittanning, Pennsylvania, Riverfront Park.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, $106,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary to clean up contaminated sites throughout the United States where work was performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, $140,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the response actions by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers under this program shall consist of the following functions and activities to be performed at eligible sites where remediation has not been completed: sampling and assessment of contaminated areas, characterization of site conditions, determination of the nature and extent of contamination, selection of the necessary and appropriate response actions as the lead Federal agency, preparation of designation reports, cleanup and closeout of sites, and any other functions determined by the Chief of Engineers as necessary for remediation: Provided further, That response actions by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers under this program shall be subject to the administrative, procedural, and regulatory provisions of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., and the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R., Chapter 1, Part 300: Provided further, That, except as stated herein, these provisions do not alter, curtail or limit the authorities, functions or responsibilities of other agencies under the Atomic Energy Act, 42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.: Provided further, That any sums recovered under CERCLA for response actions, or recovered from a con-

tractor, insurer, surety, or other person to reimburse the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for any expenditures for response actions, shall be credited to the account used to fund response actions on eligible sites, and will be available for response action costs for any eligible site: Provided further, That the Secretary of Energy may exercise the authority of 42 U.S.C. 2208 to make payments in lieu of taxes for Federally-owned property where Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program activities are conducted, regardless of which Federal agency has acquired the property and notwithstanding references to "the activities of the Commission" in 42 U.S.C. 2208: Provided further, That the unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for these activities in this Act or any previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act may be transferred to and merged with this appropriation account, and thereafter, may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers; activities of the Coastal Engineering Research Board, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Water Resources Support Center, and headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center; $148,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices.

REVOLVING FUND

Using amounts available in the Revolving Fund, the Secretary of the Army is authorized to renovate office space in the General Accounting Office headquarters building in Washington, DC, for use by the Corps and GAO. The Secretary is authorized to enter into a lease with GAO to occupy such renovated space as appropriate, for the Corps' headquarters. The Secretary shall ensure that the Revolving Fund is appropriately reimbursed from appropriations of the Corps' benefitting programs by collection each year of amounts sufficient to repay the capitalized cost of such renovation and through rent reductions or rebates from GAO.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $5,000); and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no fully allocated funding policy shall be applied to projects for which funds

are identified in the Committee reports accompanying this Act under the Construction, General; Operation and Maintenance, General; and Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, appropriation accounts: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake these projects using continuing contracts, as authorized in section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of September 22, 1922 (33 U.S.C. 621).

SEC. 102. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that such revision provides for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in States that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, and for activities related to the Uintah and Upalco Units authorized by 43 U.S.C. 620, $41,217,000, to remain available until expended, of which $15,476,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account: Provided, That of the amounts deposited into that account, $5,000,000 shall be considered the Federal contribution authorized by paragraph 402(b)(2) of the Central Utah Project Completion Act and $10,476,000 shall be available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission to carry out activities authorized under that Act.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, $1,283,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

For carrying out the functions of the Bureau of Reclamation as provided in the Federal reclamation laws (Act of June 17, 1902, 32 Stat. 388, and Acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto) and other Acts applicable to that Bureau as follows:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian Tribes, and others, $642,845,000, to remain available until expended, of which $2,800,000 shall be for construction of the Tooele Wastewater

Treatment and Reuse, Utah, project, and of which $1,873,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and $45,990,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund, and of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l–6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, $25,800,000 shall be derived by transfer of unexpended balances from the Bureau of Reclamation Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a nonreimbursable basis: Provided further, That the amount authorized for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial water features by section 10 of Public Law 89–108, as amended by section 8 of Public Law 99–294 and section 1701(b) of Public Law 102–575, is increased by $2,000,000 (October 1997 prices): Provided further, That the Secretary of the Interior is directed to use not to exceed $3,600,000 of funds appropriated herein as the Bureau of Reclamation share for completion of the McCall Area Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse, Idaho, project authorized in Public Law 105–62 and described in PN–FONSI–96–05.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, $7,996,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a–422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed $38,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, $425,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, $33,130,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102–575, to remain available until ex-

pended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102–575.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and other participating Federal agencies in carrying out the California Bay-Delta Environmental Enhancement and Water Security Act, consistent with plans to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with such Federal agencies, $75,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such amounts as may be necessary to conform with such plans shall be transferred to appropriate accounts of such Federal agencies: Provided, That such funds may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in accordance with the cost-sharing agreement required under section 102(d) of such Act: Provided further, That such funds may be obligated prior to the completion of a final programmatic environmental impact statement only if: (1) consistent with 40 CFR 1506.1(c); and (2) used for purposes that the Secretary finds are of sufficiently high priority to warrant such an expenditure.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, $47,000,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed six passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY

For expenses of the Department of Energy activities including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for energy supply, and uranium supply and enrichment activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 22 passenger motor ve-

hicles for replacement only, $727,091,000, of which not to exceed $3,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses for transparency activities.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction or expansion, $431,200,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and title X, subtitle A of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, $220,200,000, to be derived from the Fund, to remain available until expended: Provided, That $30,000,000 of amounts derived from the Fund for such expenses shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

SCIENCE

For expenses of the Department of Energy activities including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed 5 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, $2,682,860,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That $7,600,000 of the unobligated balances originally available for Superconducting Super Collider termination activities shall be made available for other activities under this heading.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, $169,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which $165,000,000 is to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund; and of which not to exceed $250,000 may be provided to the Department of Energy to reimburse the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, and not to exceed $5,540,000 may be provided to affected local governments, as defined in Public Law 97–425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided, That the distribution of the funds to

the units of local government shall be determined by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds shall be made available to the units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within ninety days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy, that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities as defined in Public Law 97–425. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-state efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $35,000), $200,475,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total $136,530,000 in fiscal year 1999 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95–238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 1999 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1999 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than $63,945,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $29,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acqui-

sition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; the purchase of not to exceed one fixed wing aircraft; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 32 for replacement only, and one bus), $4,400,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense shall be provided by the Department of Defense according to procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 3 new sedans and 6 for replacement only, of which 3 are sedans, 2 are buses, and 1 is an ambulance), $4,310,227,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, $1,038,240,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

For Department of Energy expenses for privatization projects necessary for atomic energy defense environmental management activities authorized by the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), $228,357,000, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, $1,696,676,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real

property or facility construction or expansion, $189,000,000, to remain available until expended.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93–454, are approved for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500.

During fiscal year 1999, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, $7,500,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed $28,000,000 in reimbursements, of which $20,000,000 is for transmission wheeling and ancillary services and $8,000,000 is for power purchases at the Richard B. Russell Project, to remain available until expended.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, $26,000,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed $4,200,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500, $203,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which $193,787,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, $5,036,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, $1,010,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $3,000), $167,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed $167,500,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 1999 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 1999 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1999 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than $0.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 302. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the

House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy; under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to augment the $29,900,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 305. None of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 306. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Balances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 307. Notwithstanding 41 U.S.C. section 254c(a), the Secretary of Energy may use funds appropriated by this Act to enter into multiyear contracts for the acquisition of property or services without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of the contract. The Secretary of Energy may pay costs of termination or cancellation from—

(1) appropriations originally available for the performance of the contract concerned;

(2) appropriations currently available for procurement of the type of property or services concerned, and not otherwise obligated; or

(3) funds appropriated for those payments.

SEC. 308. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of enactment of this Act, or is generated after such date.

SEC. 309. CHANGE OF NAME OF THE OFFICE OF ENERGY RESEARCH. (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 209 of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7139) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking "ENERGY RESEARCH" and inserting "SCIENCE"; and

(2) in subsection (a), by striking "Energy Research" and inserting "Science".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents in the first section of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. prec. 7101) is amended by striking the item relating to section 209 and inserting the following:

"Section 209. Office of Science.".

(2) REFERENCES IN OTHER LAW.—Each of the following is amended by striking "Energy Research" and inserting "Science":

(A) The item relating to the Director, Office of Energy Research, Department of Energy in section 5315 of title 5, United States Code.

(B) Section 2902(b)(6) of title 10, United States Code.

(C) Section 406(h)(2)(A)(v) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 284a(h)(2)(A)(v)).

(D) Sections 3167(3) and 3168 of the Department of Energy Science Education Enhancement Act (42 U.S.C. 7381d(3), 7381e).

(E) Paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 224(b) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (42 U.S.C. 10204(b)).

(F) Section 2203(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 13503(b)(3)(A)(i)).

SEC. 310. MAINTENANCE OF SECURITY AT DOE URANIUM ENRICHMENT PLANTS.—Section 3107(h) of the USEC Privatization Act (42 U.S.C. 2297h–5(h)) is amended in paragraph (1), by striking "an adequate number of security guards" and inserting "all security police officers"; and by inserting the following paragraph:

"(2) FUNDING.—

"(A) The costs of arming and providing arrest authority to the security police officers required under paragraph (1) shall be paid as follows:

"(i) the Department of Energy (the "Department") shall pay the percentage of the costs equal to the percentage of the total number of employees at the gaseous diffusion plant who are (I) employees of the Department or the contractor or subcontractors of the Department or (II) employees of the private entity leasing the gaseous diffusion plant who perform work on behalf of the Department (including employees of a contractor or subcontractor of the private entity), and

"(ii) the private entity leasing the gaseous diffusion plant shall pay the percentage of the costs equal to the percentage of the total number of employees at the gaseous diffusion plant who are employees of the private entity (including employees of a contractor or subcontractor) other than those employees who perform work for the Department.

"(B) Neither the private entity leasing the gaseous diffusion plant nor the Department shall reduce its payments under any contract or lease or take other action to offset its share of the costs referred to in subparagraph (A), and the Department shall not reimburse the private entity for the entity's share of these costs.

"(C) Nothing in this subsection shall alter the Department's responsibilities to pay the safety, safeguards and se-

curity costs associated with the Department's highly enriched uranium activities.".

SEC. 311. None of the funds in this Act may be used by the Department of Energy to conduct pilot projects simulating external regulation unless the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, and the appropriate State and local regulatory entities are included in the pilot projects.

SEC. 312. Of the amounts provided in this title under the heading, "Atomic Energy Defense Activities, Weapons Activities", $57,000,000 shall not be available for obligation until September 30, 1999.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, notwithstanding section 405 of said Act, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, $66,400,000, to remain available until expended.

DENALI COMMISSION

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, $20,000,000, to remain available until expended, subject to enactment of authorization by law.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100–456, section 1441, $16,500,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed $15,000); $465,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, $17,000,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at $444,800,000 in fiscal year 1999 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided

further, That $3,200,000 of the funds herein appropriated for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy and other Federal agencies shall be excluded from license fee revenues, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 2214: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 1999 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1999 appropriation estimated at not more than $20,200,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $4,800,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 1999 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1999 appropriation estimated at not more than $0.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100–203, section 5051, $2,600,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 502. (a) PURCHASE OF AMERICAN-MADE EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABELING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 503. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of Califor-

nia of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the "SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan" described in the report entitled "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal Reclamation law.

SEC. 504. None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

SEC. 505. Section 6101(a)(3) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 2214(a)(3)) is amended by striking "September 30, 1998" and inserting "September 30, 1999".

SEC. 506. (a) Funds appropriated for "Nuclear Regulatory Commission—Salaries and Expenses" shall be available to the Commission for the following additional purposes:

(1) Employment of aliens.

(2) Services authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code.

(3) Publication and dissemination of atomic information.

(4) Purchase, repair, and cleaning of uniforms.

(5) Reimbursements to the General Services Administration for security guard services.

(6) Hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft.

(7) Transfers of funds to other agencies of the Federal Government for the performance of the work for which such funds are appropriated, and such transferred funds may be merged with the appropriations to which they are transferred.

(8) Transfers to the Office of Inspector General of the Commission, not to exceed an additional amount equal to 5 percent of the amount otherwise appropriated to the Office for the fiscal year. Notice of such transfers shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations.

(b) Funds appropriated for "Nuclear Regulatory Commission—Office of Inspector General" shall be available to the Office for the additional purposes described in paragraphs (2) and (7) of subsection (a).

(c) Moneys received by the Commission for the cooperative nuclear research program, services rendered to State governments, foreign governments, and international organizations, and the material and information access authorization programs, including criminal history checks under section 149 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2169) may be retained and used for salaries and

expenses associated with those activities, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended.

(d) Notwithstanding section 663(c)(2)(D) of Public Law 104–208, and to facilitate targeted workforce downsizing and restructuring, the Chairman of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission may use funds appropriated in this Act to exercise the authority provided by section 663 of that Act with respect to employees who voluntarily separate from the date of enactment of this Act through December 31, 2000. All of the requirements in section 663 of Public Law 104–208, except for section 663(c)(2)(D), apply to the exercise of authority under this section.

(e) Subsections (a), (b), and (c) of this section shall apply to fiscal year 1999 and each succeeding fiscal year.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 507. FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.—Of the amounts appropriated as a Federal payment under the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 1998, to the Pretrial Services, Defense Services, Parole, Adult Probation and Offender Supervision Trustee, $1,700,000 are hereby transferred to the District of Columbia Courts for court operations.

DESIGNATION OF VIC FAZIO YOLO WILDLIFE AREA

SEC. 508. The wetlands located in Yolo County, California, and known as the Yolo Basin Wetlands, shall be known and designated as the "Vic Fazio Yolo Wildlife Area". Any reference in law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the wetlands shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Vic Fazio Yolo Wildlife Area".

DALE BUMPERS WILDLIFE RESOURCES PROTECTION ACT

SEC. 509. The Arkansas Wilderness Act of 1984 (Public Law 98–508, 98 Stat. 2349) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

"SEC. 8. RECOGNIZING THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF SENATOR DALE BUMPERS.

"(a) DEDICATION.—The nine areas in the State of Arkansas comprising approximately 91,100 acres designated as components of the National Wilderness Preservation System pursuant to this Act are hereby dedicated to United States Senator Dale Bumpers in recognition of his leadership and outstanding contributions to the designation of wilderness in the State of Arkansas and to the protection and preservation of natural resources for the benefit of the people of the United States.

"(b) SHORT TITLE.—In further recognition of his efforts to protect wilderness resources in the State of Arkansas, this Act shall, upon enactment of this section, be known as the 'Dale Bumpers Wilderness Resources Protection Act'.

"(c) PUBLIC NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service, shall take such actions as may be necessary to recognize the contributions of Senator Dale Bumpers to the preservation of wilderness in the State of Arkansas.

Such actions shall include, but not be limited to, appropriate signs and other materials, commemorative markers, maps, interpretive programs or other means as will adequately inform the public of the efforts of Senator Bumpers to preserve and protect National Forest wilderness areas in the State of Arkansas.".

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1999".

And the Senate agree to the same.

JOSEPH MCDADE,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
ROD FRELINGHUYSEN,
MIKE PARKER,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
JAY DICKEY,
BOB LIVINGSTON,
VIC FAZIO,
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
DAVID OBEY,
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
R. F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT BYRD,
FRITZ HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DANIEL INOUYE,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4060) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 105–581 and Senate Report 105–206 should be compiled with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates $161,747,000 for General Investigations instead of $162,823,000 as proposed by the House and $165,390,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $100,000 for a reconnaissance study of flood control and related purposes along Burnt Corn Creek and Murder Creek in the vicinity of Brewton and East Brewton, Alabama.

The conference agreement includes $100,000 for a reconnaissance study of the City of Folsom, California, project, as authorized by Section 503 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

The conference agreement includes final year funding to conclude demonstration studies on the seismic reliability of public infrastruture in California.

The conferees direct that the funds provided for the Delaware Bay Coastline, Delaware and New Jersey, project be distributed as follows: $119,000 for the Villas and Vicinity portion; $100,000 for the Roosevelt/Lewes Beach portion; and $200,000 for the Port Mahon portion of the project.

The conference agreement includes $100,000 for a reconnaissance study of the efficiency of existing water systems serving sugar cane plantations and surrounding communities in the State of Hawaii.

The conference agreement does not include funding for the Panther Creek, Kentucky, project in the General Investigations account. Funding for this project has been provided in the Construction, General account, under the Section 205 Small Flood Control Projects program of the Corps of Engineers.

The conference agreement includes $113,000 for the Absecon Island element of the Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, project.

The conferees direct that the funds provided for the Raritan Bay to Sandy Hook Bay, New Jersey, project be distributed as follows: $225,000 for the Cliffwood Beach element; $325,000 for the Union Beach element; $100,000 for the Leonardo element; and $100,000 for the Port Monmouth element of the project.

The conference agreement includes $100,000 for a reconnaissance study of flood control and related purposes within the Woodbridge and Rahway river basins in New Jersey.

The conference agreement includes $500,000 for a feasibility study of the Packery Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas, project.

The conference agreement includes $100,000 for a reconnaissance study as directed by the Water Resources and Development Act of 1996 to determine the minimum safe height for levees along the Columbia River in the Tri-Cities area.

The conference agreement includes $100,000 for a reconnaissance study of flooding problems in the Skokomish River Basin, Washington.

The conference agreement includes $27,000,000 for Research and Development as proposed by the House instead of $30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the amount provided, $750,000 is for the Zebra Mussel Research Program.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Delaware Bay Coastline, Delaware and New Jersey, $419,000; Tampa Harbor, Alafia Channel, Florida, $200,000; Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, $322,000; Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, $113,000; Great Egg Harbor Inlet to

Townsend's Inlet, New Jersey, $200,000; Lower Cape May Meadows—Cape May Point, New Jersey, $100,000; Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey, $300,000; Raritan Bay to Sandy Hook Bay, New Jersey, $750,000; and Townsend's Inlet to Cape May Inlet, New Jersey, $250,000.

The conference agreement deletes funds earmarked in the Senate bill for the Atlanta Watershed, Atlanta, Georgia, project.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for Rehoboth and Dewey Beaches, Delaware. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes $150,000 for this element of the Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to Fenwick Island, Delaware, project.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for Fort Pierce Shore Protection, Florida. This project has been funded in the Construction, General account.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for the Lido Key Beach, Florida, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes $268,000 for this project. The conference agreement also deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for the Paducah, Kentucky, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes $100,000 for a reconnaissance study of the project. The conference agreement also deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Comprehensive Study, Louisiana, project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to use $700,000 of previously appropriated funds to continue the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to use $500,000 to implement Section 211(f)(7) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the Hunting Bayou, Texas, project, and language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to use $300,000 to implement Section 211(f)(8) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor a portion of the Federal share of project costs for the White Oak Bayou, Texas, project.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $1,429,885,000 for Construction, General instead of $1,456,529,000 as proposed by the House and $1,248,068,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $585,000 for channel extension work at the Mobile Harbor, Alabama, project.

The conference agreement provides $3,500,000 for the Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas, project. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the components of the project identified in the House and Senate reports.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to complete a reevaluation report of the Sacramento River Deepwater Ship Channel, California, project using available funds.

Funds provided for the Sacramento River Bank Protection Project in California will permit the Corps of Engineers to complete reinforcement and protection work on a five-mile section of Reclamation District 108's so-called Back Levee, the left bank of the Colusa Basin Drain.

The conferees direct that none of the funds provided for the Dade County, Florida, project shall be used for the acquisition of foreign source materials for the project unless the Secretary of the Army provides written certification to the Committees on Appropriations that domestic sources of materials are not available.

It is the intent of conferees that the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, use appropriations for the Chicago Shoreline, Illinois, project for construction and reimbursement to the non-Federal interest for the Federal share of any costs incurred by the non-Federal interest in reconstructing the revetment structures protecting Solidarity Drive in Chicago completed prior to execution of the Project Cooperation Agreement due to emergency circumstances associated with the potential collapse of existing revetment. It is the intent of the conferees that design, engineering, contracting and construction management of the Chicago Shoreline, Illinois, project be carried out directly by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, except for those segments authorized in subsections 101(a)(12)(A) and (B) of Public Law 104–303.

The conferees remain supportive of the Des Plaines Wetlands Demonstration, Illinois, project and understand that sufficient funds will be carried forward into fiscal year 1999 to meet project requirements for the coming year.

The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for construction of the Comite River, Louisiana, project.

The conference agreement includes $3,800,000 for the Mississippi River, Gulf Outlet, Louisiana, project. The Corps of Engineers is directed to use these funds to begin construction of, and implementation of the community impact mitigation plan for, the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock replacement element of the project.

The conference agreement includes $6,200,000 for the Jackson County, Mississippi, environmental infrastructure project as authorized by section 504 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996. Because of the importance of this project, the Corps of Engineers is encouraged to include within the terms of the local cooperation agreement for construction the determination that all work completed after January 17, 1995 by non-Federal interests which is compatible with the project shall be considered part of the project and may be credited by the Secretary toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project. Such work shall include those activities addressed by the Corps of Engineers conceptual design review report dated June 30, 1994.

The conferees would not oppose the execution of a contract for the Pascagoula Harbor, Mississippi, phase II dredging project that includes project modifications for the extension of Bayou Cassotte.

The conference agreement includes a total of $38,500,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, West Virginia, Virginia and Kentucky, project. These funds are to be distributed as follows: $10,000,000 for the Harlan/Clover Fork, Kentucky, element; $1,000,000 for the Cumberland City/Harlan County, Kentucky, element; $1,500,000 for the Williamsburg, Kentucky, element; $5,000,000 for the Middlesboro, Kentucky, element; $4,150,000 for the Pike County, Kentucky, element; $3,850,000 for the Martin County, Kentucky, element; $730,000 for the Town of Martin, Kentucky, element; $920,000 for the Grundy, Virginia, element; $300,000 for the Hatfield Bottom, West Virginia, element; $3,400,000 for the Lower Mingo County (Kermit), West Virginia, element; $4,500,000 for the McDowell County, West Virginia, element; $1,475,000 for the Upper Mingo County, West Virginia, element; and $1,675,000 for the Wayne County, West Virginia, element.

The conference agreement includes $60,000,000 for the Columbia River Fish Mitigation, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho, project instead of $7,758,000 as proposed by the House and $95,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funds are provided for phase I only of the John Day Reservoir drawdown study as outlined in the scoping document and report of the Corps of Engineers dated February 22, 1998. Funds are also provided to continue the lower Snake River feasibility study and to continue ongoing construction activity.

The conference agreement includes $11,200,000 for the Section 206 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 for the Section 103 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the project described in the House report.

The conferees recognize the serious erosion problems being experienced on the east end of Dauphin Island, Alabama. To counter this threat to property and habitat, the conferees urge the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, acting in coordination with non-Federal interests, to initiate a small beach restoration project on the east end of Dauphin Island, Alabama, utilizing alternative sand recapture technologies. Additionally, the conferees encourage the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to work with non-Federal interests to begin near-shore disposal of appropriate dredge material on the east end of Dauphin Island, Alabama.

The conference agreement includes $300,000 for the Section 208 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes $7,000,000 for the Section 14 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes $34,800,000 for the Section 205 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 205 program, $100,000 is for the Port Indian, West Norriton Township, Pennsylvania, project; $100,000 is for studies of flooding problems along

the Mad River, New Haven River, Otter Creek, White River, Waits River and affected tributaries in Vermont; and $1,550,000 is for the Cedar River, Washington, flood damage reduction project.

The conference agreement includes $200,000 for the Section 111 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the project described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes $6,000,000 for the Section 107 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. The conferees strongly urge the Corps of Engineers to consider the concept design developed by the State of Minnesota in the planning and design of the Duluth (McQuade Road) Harbor, Minnesota, project.

The conference agreement includes $11,000,000 for the Section 1135 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 1135 program, $500,000 is for the Green-Duwamish Ecosystem Restoration project and $1,758,000 is for the Lower Hamm Creek, Washington, project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House providing funds specifically for Part I of the Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri, project instead of language proposed by the Senate providing funds to the project without reference to Part I.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Norco Bluffs, California, $4,400,000; Panama City Beaches, Florida, $6,000,000; Tybee Island, Georgia, $1,200,000; Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, $700,000; Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, $4,000,000; Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, $750,000; Harlan/Clover Fork, Williamsburg, Middlesboro, Martin County, Pike County and Town of Martin (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, $25,230,000; Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, $4,000,000; Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, $16,000,000; Lake Pontchartrain Stormwater Discharge, Louisiana, $4,500,000; Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, $75,000,000; Jackson County, Mississippi, $6,200,000; Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, $4,000,000; Pascagoula Harbor, Mississippi, $12,000,000; Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New Jersey, $3,000,000; Lackawanna River, Olyphant, Pennsylvania, $6,800,000; Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, $40,551,000; South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program, Pennsylvania, $39,000,000; Wallisville Lake, Texas, $5,500,000; Virginia Beach, Virginia (Hurricane Protection), $18,000,000; Upper Mingo County, Lower Mongo County, Wayne County, Hatfield Bottom, and McDowell County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, $11,350,000; and West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control, West Virginia and Pennsylvania, $750,000.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House providing that, of the amount provided for the South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program, $13,000,000 shall be available only for water-related environmental infrastruc-

ture and resource protection and development projects in Lackawanna, Lycoming, Susquehanna, Wyoming, Pike and Monroe counties in Pennsylvania in accordance with the purposes of subsection (a) and requirements of subsections (b) through (e) of section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended. These funds are provided for water-related environmental infrastructure projects at the following sites in the amounts specified: Athens Township, Bradford County, $1,000,000; Central Bradford Progress Authority, Bradford County, $2,000,000; Towanda Area Municipal Authority, Bradford County, $450,000; South Abington Water Authority, Lackawanna County, $350,000; Borough of Clark Summit, Lackawanna County, $500,000; Covington Township Sewer Authority, Lackawanna County, $1,000,000; Moosic Borough, Lackawanna County, $700,000; Williamsport Municipal Authority, Lycoming County, $400,000; Lycoming County Water and Sewer Authority, $2,700,000; Tobyhanna Township, Monroe County, $200,000; Pike County $3,000,000; and Sullivan County, $700,000.

Of the remaining amount provided for the South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program, funds are provided for water-related environmental infrastructure projects at the following sites in the amounts specified: Broad Top Township; Bedford County, $500,000; Chestnut Ridge Municipal Authority, Bedford County, $2,000,000; Northern Blair County Regional Sewer Authority, Blair County, $650,000; Burnside Borough, Clearfield County, $50,000; Graham Township, Clearfield County, $500,000; Curwensville Municipal Authority, Clearfield County, $500,000; Glen Hope Water Association, Clearfield County, $100,000; Irvona Municipal Authority, Clearfield County, $500,000; West Decatur Township, Clearfield County, $200,000; Guilford Township, Franklin County, $500,000; Alexandria Borough/Porter Township, Huntingdon County, $500,000; Mount Union, Huntingdon County, $500,000; Huntingdon Borough, Huntingdon County, $850,000; Orbisonia Borough, Huntingdon County, $300,000; Mifflintown Municipal Authority, Juniata County, $500,000; Juniata terrace Borough, Mifflin County, $500,000; Adams Township, Snyder County, $350,000; and Middleburg Borough, Snyder County, $1,000,000..

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Secretary of the Army to incorporate the economic analyses for the Green Ridge and Plot Sections of the Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, project with the analysis for the Albright Street section of the project and cost-share and implement the combined sections as a single project. The conference agreement also includes language proposed by the House making funds previously appropriated for the restoration of the Lackawanna River Basin Corridor, Pennsylvania, available for other projects and activities on the Lackawanna River in Pennsylvania.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Secretary of the Army to implement Section 211(f)(6) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 and to reimburse the non-Federal sponsor for a portion of the Federal share of the project costs for the Brays Bayou, Texas, project. The conference agreement includes $4,500,000 to implement this direc-

tion instead of $6,000,000 as proposed by the House and $3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate that increases the appropriation ceiling for the Cook Inlet, Alaska, project and for the Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, project. In addition, the conference agreement includes language that increases the appropriation ceiling for the West Sacramento, California, project, and the Sacramento River, Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District, California, project.

The conference agreement includes language providing that the Corps of Engineers may use up to $5,000,000, instead of $8,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, to construct an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne River. The Corps may proceed with this project using available funds. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate designating the appropriation as an emergency. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate subjecting the expenditure of funds on this project to a number of conditions. Although the conferees do not specifically endores the Senate report language regarding this project, they are prepared to consider providing additional resources if circumstances warrant.

The conference agreement includes language providing for the transfer of remaining General Investigations funds previously appropriated for the Juniata River, Pennsylvania, study and the Mussers Dam, Pennsylvania, project to Construction, General for use in specified components of the South Central Pennsylvania Environment Improvement Program.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to use funds previously appropriated for the LaFarge Lake, Kickapoo River, Wisconsin, project to complete and transmit to the appropriate committees of Congress by January 15, 1999 a decision document on the advisability of undertaking activities authorized by Public Law 104–303.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for: a demonstration of sediment remediation technology; the Belle Isle Shoreline Erosion Protection, Michigan, project; the Riverfront Towers to Renaissance Center Shoreline Protection, Michigan, project; and the Great Lakes Basin Sea Lamprey Control, Michigan, project.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates $321,149,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of $312,077,000 as proposed by the House and $313,234,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees observe that Congress provided $1,500,000 above the budget request in fiscal year 1998 for a grant study of Morganza, Louisiana, to the Gulf of Mexico. These funds were provided to the Corps of Engineers to expedite preconstruction engineering and design as recommended in the report issued in response to Section 425 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $1,653,252,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General instead of $1,637,719,000 as proposed by the House and $1,667,572,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The Corps of Engineers is directed to use such available funds as are necessary to continue environmental studies and other activities related to evaluation of the water allocation formulas for the Apalachicola-Chattahooche-Flint (ACF) and Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa (ACT) River Basins.

The conference agreement includes $24,993,000 for operation and maintenance of the McClellan-Kerr Arkansas River Navigation System, Arkansas, project. Within this amount, $3,900,000 is for the acqusition and installation of tow haulage equipment for system locks.

The conference agreement includes $5,200,000 for operation and maintenance of the Apalochicola Chattahooche and Flint Rivers, Georgia, Alabama and Florida, project. The amount above the budget request is for model studies of the Chipola Cutoff to the Corley Slough reach of the river.

The amount above the budget request provided for the Kaskaskia River Navigation, Illinois, project is for the purpose described in the Senate Report.

The conference agreement includes $4,677,000 for operation and maintenance of Wolf Creek Dam, Lake Cumberland, Kentucky, project. The amount above the budget request is for the Corps of Engineers to complete construction of the debris rack at Lake Cumberland, along with landscaping, fencing and bank paving.

The conferees understand that failure of a containment dike threatens to severely impact critical habitat at the Blackwater Wildlife Refuge in Maryland and that the Corps of Engineers has been approached to offer assistance in resolving the problem. Given the potential impact to this significant wildlife resource, the Corps is encouraged to continue to work with interested parties and to use available authorities and resources as appropriate to assist in correcting the damaged dike.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to repair the west breakwater at the Cedar River Harbor, Michigan, project, using funds previously appropriated for the project.

The conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to complete a section 111 report for the Ludington Harbor, Michigan, project to determine critical areas affected by the project, within available funds.

The conferees are aware of the deteriorated condition of the Owasco Seawall, which threatens the water supply of Auburn, New York. The Corps of Engineers is directed to conduct emergency repairs and rehabilitate the east seawall, utilizing fully such authorities as exist in law.

The conference agreement includes $9,546,000 for operation and maintenance of the Garrison Dam, Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota, project. The amount above the budget request is for mosquito control activities at Lake Sakakawea.

The conferees have been informed of the potential need for mitigation dredging of the Charleston Harbor, South Carolina,

navigation channel. The Corps of Engineers is directed to review the situation and to use available funds to perform additional dredging if safety conditions warrant.

The amount above the budget request for the Fort Randall Dam, Lake Francis Case, South Dakota, project is provided for the purposes described in the Senate Report.

The conference agreement includes $10,900,000 for the Grays Harbor and Chehalis River, Washington, project. Of this amount, $4,000,000 is for continued implementation of the south jetty maintenance project.

The conference agreement includes $550,000 for the Tri-Cities Area, Washington, project. This funding is for NEPA and CERCLA costs associated with land conveyance pursuant to section 501(i) of Public Law 104–303, the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 for the Willapa River and Harbor, Washington, project for the Corps of Engineers to determine the appropriate, cost effective, stable channel alignment that will eliminate current unacceptable impacts, and to initiate work once a decision is made. In determining the appropriate alignment, the Corps is to pay particular attention to the erosion damage occurring to State Highway 105, the importance of the highway for access and egress to the local area, and the potential cost of road relocation if the highway is destroyed or has to be rerouted.

The conferees are aware of a plan for the regionalization of water control management activities for projects operated by the Corps of Engineers. The conferees direct the Corps to brief the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate on the elements of such plan (and its impacts on current division and district operations and resources) prior to the plan's adoption.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House providing for the derivation of funding from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

The conference agreement includes language in the bill proposed by the House earmarking $4,200,000 for the repair of Chickamauga Lock in Tennessee. Within this amount, such funds as are necessary may be expended on studies associated with the repair work, including assessments, evaluations, or analyses of the existing lock. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House making the appropriation for Chickamauga Lock subject to authorization.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for the Ponce DeLeon Inlet, Florida, project. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes $4,000,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for the Delaware River, Philadelphia to the Sea, Pea Patch Island, Delaware and New Jersey, project. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes $750,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill providing funds for the Yaquina Bay and Harbor, North Marina Breakwater, Oregon, project. The amount appropriated for

Operation and Maintenance, General includes $1,069,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate making available $460,000 for the Omaha District to pay pending takings claims for flooding of property adjacent to the Missouri River. The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to expeditiously process such claims and make prompt payment upon their disposition by settlement, adjudication, arbitration, or administrative process.

The conference agreement also deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funding for the Missouri River Between Fort Peck Dam and Gavins Point, South Dakota and Montana, project. The amount appropriated for Operation ad Maintenance, General includes $3,000,000 for this project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that none of the funds appropriated in the Act shall be used for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Habor navigation project. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds to reimburse the Tri-Cities Power Authority for the reevaluation study of the Bluestone Lake, West Virginia, project.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Secretary of the Army to undertake maintenance and repairs on the Allegheny River, Pennsylvania, project, using $6,000,000 provided in Public Law 105–62 for extending the navigation channel on the Allegheny River, Pennsylvania, project to provide passenger boat access to the Kittanning, Pennsylvania, Riverfront Park.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates $106,000,000 for the Regulatory Program as proposed by the Senate instead of $110,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funding for the National Contaminated Sediment Task Force.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $140,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) as proposed by both the House and the Senate, and adopts with modifications the statutory provisions proposed by the Senate. The modifications to the language clarify the authority of the Corps of Engineers as the lead Federal agency; permit the use of recovered or contributed funds for credit in the FUSRAP account and use on projects; and permit the Department of Energy to continue to make payments in lieu of taxes for Federally-owned property where FUSRAP activities are conducted.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates $148,000,000 for General Expenses as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House making funding specifically available for headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate making funds available for the implementation of a plan to reduce the number of division offices of the Corps of Engineers.

The conferees are aware of a Defense Finance and Accounting Service initiative to assume the finance and accounting support functions which are now performed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Finance and Accounting Center in Memphis, Tennessee. Because more than ninety-five percent of the Corps-wide operating budget is project funded, active oversight of accounting for operational and project costs is necessary to achieve maximum efficiency for project customers. To this end, the conferees are committed to the highest possible levels of finance and accounting support for the Corps. The Corps must continue to be a competitive engineering service provider, which necessitates full integration of financial and other business processes. This can be achieved only by retaining all of its finance and accounting activities at a central location: the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Finance and Accounting Center. To ensure that the proposed capitalization by the Defense Finance and Accounting Service fully recognizes Corps missions and responsibilities, the Chief of Engineers is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on the progress of capitalization once detailed plans have been formulated.

REVOLVING FUND

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate which permits the Corps of Engineers to use amounts in the Revolving Fund for an addition to the Alaska District's main office building on Elemendorf Air Force Base and which directs that the Revolving Fund shall be reimbursed from the benefitting appropriations by collections each year of user fees sufficient to repay the capital cost of the asset and to operate and maintain the asset. The conferees understand that the language is no longer required.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate authorizing the Secretary of the Army to use amounts in the Revolving Fund for activities associated with the relocation of the headquarters of the Corps of Engineers and requiring that the Revolving Fund be appropriately reimbursed.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to undertake work funded in the conference agreement using continuing contracts and providing that no fully allocated funding policy shall apply to projects for which funds are provided in the conference agreement.

SEC. 102. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that none of the funds made available in the conference agreement may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual if such revision provides for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in states that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate authorizing and directing the Secretary of the Army to provide planning, design, and construction assistance to non-Federal interests in carrying out water related environmental infrastructure and environmental resources development projects in the State of Alaska and earmarking $5,000,000 for such activities.

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	ALABAMA				
	ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL.....	---	---	350,000	---
	BALDWIN COUNTY, AL.....	---	---	100,000	---
	BAYOU LABATRE, AL.....	---	---	100,000	---
(SPE)	BIRMINGHAM WATERSHEDS, VILLAGE CREEK, AL.....	250,000	---	250,000	---
(N)	BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL.....	500,000	---	250,000	---
	BREWTON AND EAST BREWTON, AL.....	---	---	100,000	---
(SPE)	CAHABA RIVER WATERSHED, AL.....	50,000	---	50,000	---
(N)	DOG RIVER, AL.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
	ALASKA				
(N)	AKUTAN HARBOR, AK.....	140,000	---	140,000	---
(FDP)	ANIAK, AK.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
(N)	ANCHORAGE HARBOR DEEPENING, AK.....	---	---	100,000	---
	BREVIG MISSION, AK.....	---	---	200,000	---
(E)	CHENA RIVER WATERSHED, AK.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
(N)	COASTAL STUDIES NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT, AK.....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(N)	DOUGLAS HARBOR EXPANSION, AK.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
(N)	FALSE PASS HARBOR, AK.....	250,000	---	---	---
(N)	KENAI RIVER NAVIGATION, AK.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(E)	KENAI RIVER WATERSHED, AK.....	110,000	---	---	---
(E)	MATANUSKA RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, AK.....	100,000	---	---	---
	NAKNEK RIVER WATERSHED.....	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK.....	---	209,000	---	225,000
(N)	NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK.....	10,000	---	10,000	---
(N)	PORT LIONS HARBOR, AK.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	SAND POINT HARBOR, AK.....	---	217,000	---	217,000
(N)	SEWARD HARBOR, AK.....	---	150,000	---	225,000
(E)	SHIP CREEK WATERSHED, AK.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
(N)	SITKA LIGHTERING FACILITY, AK.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	VALDEZ HARBOR EXPANSION, AK.....	118,000	---	218,000	---
(N)	WRANGELL HARBOR, AK.....	---	60,000	---	60,000
(N)	WRANGELL HARBOR, AK.....	67,000	---	67,000	---
	AMERICAN SAMOA				
	TUTUILA, AMERICAN SAMOA.....	---	---	100,000	---
	ARIZONA				
	COLONIAS ALONG U.S.-MEXICO BORDER, AZ AND TX.....	---	---	600,000	---
(FDP)	GILA RIVER, NORTH SCOTTSDALE, AZ.....	272,000	---	272,000	---
(FDP)	GILA RIVER, SANTA CRUZ RIVER BASIN, AZ.....	407,000	---	407,000	---
	LITTLE COLORADO RIVER WATERSHED, AZ.....	---	---	100,000	---
	RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ.....	---	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ.....	460,000	---	613,000	---
(E)	RIO SALADO, SALT RIVER, AZ.....	---	938,000	---	2,000,000
	SANTA CRUZ RIVER (PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS), AZ.....	---	---	100,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
(E)	TRES RIOS, AZ	610,000	---	610,000	---
(FC)	TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	---	329,000	---	329,000
	ARKANSAS				
	ARKANSAS RIVER, FORT SMITH, AR	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	250,000	---	250,000	---
(N)	WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION TO NEWPORT, AR	---	400,000	---	900,000
	CALIFORNIA				
(E)	ALISO CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	290,000	---	290,000	---
(FC)	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA	---	50,000	---	50,000
(FDP)	ARROYO PASAJERO, CA	200,000	---	200,000	---
(E)	BOLINAS LAGOON ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	100,000	---	175,000	---
	CITIES OF ARCADIA AND SIERRA MADRE, CA	---	---	247,000	---
	CITY OF FOLSOM, CA	---	---	100,000	---
	CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA	---	---	350,000	---
	CLEAR LAKE BASIN WATERSHED RESTORATION, CA	---	---	300,000	---
(E)	HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA	500,000	---	500,000	---
	HUNTINGTON BEACH, BLUFFTOP PARK, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	IMPERIAL COUNTY WATERSHED STUDY, CA	265,000	---	---	---
(FC)	KAWEAH RIVER, CA	---	1,165,000	---	1,165,000
(FDP)	KERN RIVER VALLEY, ISABELLA LAKE, CA	100,000	---	---	---
(E)	LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA, RUSSIAN RIVER, CA	150,000	---	150,000	---
(E)	MALIBU CREEK WATERSHED, CA	100,000	---	---	---
	MARE ISLAND STRAIT DREDGING EXPANSION, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA	520,000	---	520,000	---
(SPE)	MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	300,000	---	300,000	---
(E)	MORRO BAY ESTUARY, CA	100,000	---	100,000	---
(SPE)	MUGU LAGOON, CA	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	N CA STREAMS, DRY CREEK, MIDDLETOWN, CA	100,000	---	100,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, FAIRFIELD STREAMS AND CORDELIA MARSH, CA	300,000	---	300,000	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRAMENTO RVR RIPARIAN REVEGETATI	250,000	---	---	---
(E)	N CA STREAMS, MIDDLE CREEK, CA	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	N CA STREAMS, VACAVILLE, DIXON AND VICINITY, CA	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FC)	N CA STREAMS, YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	---	100,000	---	100,000
(FC)	NAPA RIVER, CA	---	744,000	---	744,000
(E)	NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	300,000	---	300,000	---
	NAPA VALLEY WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA	142,000	---	142,000	---
	NEWPORT BAY (LA-3 SITE DESIGNATION STUDY), CA	---	---	350,000	---
	NEWPORT BAY/SAN DIEGO CREEK WATERSHED, CA	---	---	250,000	---
(N)	OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	---	300,000	---	300,000
	ORANGE COUNTY, SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	PAJARO RIVER AT WATSONVILLE, CA	---	433,000	---	325,000
(N)	PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CA	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	30,000	---	150,000	---
(E)	PRADO BASIN WATER SUPPLY, CA	333,000	---	333,000	---
	RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CA	---	---	---	200,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
(N)	REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	200,000	---	200,000	---
(E)	RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	285,000	---	285,000	---
(SPE)	SACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CA	555,000	---	555,000	---
(E)	SACRAMENTO AND SAN JOAQUIN COMPREHENSIVE BASIN STUDY,	3,500,000	---	3,500,000	---
	SACRAMENTO WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA	---	---	400,000	---
	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(BE)	SAN CLEMENTE CREEK, CA	---	50,000	---	50,000
(N)	SAN DIEGO HARBOR (DEEPENING), CA	260,000	---	260,000	---
(N)	SAN DIEGO HARBOR, NATIONAL CITY, CA	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CA	200,000	---	200,000	---
(E)	SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, PINE FLAT DAM, F&WL HABITAT RESTO	265,000	---	265,000	---
(RCP)	SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, STOCKTON METRO AREA, FARMINGTON D	500,000	---	500,000	---
(E)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, CONSUMNES & MOKELUMNE RIVERS,	18,000	---	18,000	---
(FC)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREA	---	900,000	---	900,000
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, C	400,000	---	400,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, TULE RIVER, CA	103,000	---	103,000	100,000
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, TUOLUMNE RIVER, CA	40,000	---	40,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, CA	100,000	---	200,000	---
(E)	SAN JUAN CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	535,000	---	535,000	---
(E)	SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CA	100,000	---	500,000	---
(FDP)	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY STREAMS, LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA.	129,000	---	129,000	---
(FDP)	SANTA MARGARITA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, CA	400,000	---	800,000	---
(N)	SOUTHAMPTON SHOAL CHANNEL AND EXTENSION, CA	460,000	---	530,000	---
	SOUTHEAST LOS ANGELES CNTY WATER CONSERVATION & SUPPLY	---	---	300,000	---
	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AQUATIC RESOURCES, CA	---	---	300,000	---
	STRONG AND CHICKEN RANCH SLOUGHS, CA	---	---	100,000	---
	SUTTER BASIN, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	TAHOE BASIN, CA & NV	400,000	---	400,000	---
(SPE)	TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, CA	150,000	---	200,000	---
	TUOLUMNE RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	---	575,000	---	575,000
(FDP)	UPPER PENITENCIA CREEK, CA	250,000	---	250,000	---
	UPPER SANTA ANA RIVER WATERSHED, CA	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	VENTURA HARBOR SAND BYPASS, CA	250,000	---	250,000	---
(FDP)	WHITEWATER RIVER BASIN, CA	310,000	---	310,000	---
(FDP)	WHITE RIVER, POSO AND DEER CREEKS, CA	---	---	100,000	---
	COLORADO				
(RCP)	CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	158,000	---	158,000	---
	CONNECTICUT				
(E)	COASTAL CONNECTICUT ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT	250,000	---	200,000	---
	DELAWARE				
(N)	C&D CANAL, BALTIMORE HBR CONN CHANNELS, DE & MD (DEEPE	---	600,000	---	600,000
(SP)	DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ	51,000	---	---	419,000
(SP)	DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, D	150,000	---	150,000	250,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	FLORIDA				
(FDP)	BISCAYNE BAY, FL	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FC)	CEDAR HAMMOCK, WARES CREEK, FL	---	242,000	---	242,000
(N)	FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL	270,000	---	270,000	---
(N)	HILLSBORO INLET, FL	---	262,000	---	262,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL	---	270,000	---	270,000
(N)	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	---	600,000	---	600,000
(N)	LAKE WORTH INLET SAND TRANSFER PLANT, FL	---	297,000	---	297,000
	LIDO KEY BEACH, FL	---	---	268,000	---
(BE)	NASSAU COUNTY, FL	---	86,000	---	86,000
(N)	PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL	---	370,000	---	370,000
(N)	PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	---	262,000	---	262,000
	ST JOHNS RIVER, FL	---	---	---	100,000
(N)	ST LUCIE INLET, FL	---	205,000	---	205,000
	TAMPA HARBOR, ALAFIA CHANNEL, FL	---	---	---	200,000
	GEORGIA				
(FDP)	AUGUSTA, GA	200,000	---	200,000	---
(N)	BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	---	250,000	---	250,000
(FDP)	CHATHAM COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, GA	125,000	---	125,000	---
(FDP)	CITY OF SAVANNAH FLOOD CONTROL, GA	125,000	---	125,000	---
(E)	LONG ISLAND, MARSH AND JOHNS CREEKS, GA	100,000	---	---	---
(E)	METRO ATLANTA WATERSHED, GA	550,000	---	550,000	---
(RCP)	NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA & SC	350,000	---	350,000	---
(N)	SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA	---	250,000	---	250,000
(COM)	SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA & SC	300,000	---	300,000	---
	HAWAII				
(E)	ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	BARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OAHU, HI	136,000	---	136,000	---
	HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	HONOLULU HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, OAHU, HI	125,000	---	125,000	---
(N)	KAHULUI HARBOR, HI	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI	---	100,000	---	100,000
(FDP)	WAILUPE STREAM FLOOD CONTROL STUDY, OAHU, HI	318,000	---	318,000	---
(FC)	WAILUPE STREAM FLOOD CONTROL STUDY, OAHU, HI	---	40,000	---	40,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	ILLINOIS				
(FDP)	ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL	178,000	---	178,000	---
(FC)	DES PLAINES RIVER, IL	---	300,000	---	300,000
(RCP)	ILLINOIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL	479,000	---	479,000	---
	ILLINOIS SHORELINE EROSION (INTERIM IV), IL	---	---	140,000	---
(FDP)	KANKAKEE RIVER BASIN, IL & IN	940,000	---	940,000	---
(FDP)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER AT QUINCY, IL	195,000	---	195,000	---
(FC)	NUTWOOD DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL	---	325,000	---	325,000
(SPE)	PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL	377,000	---	377,000	---
	ROCK RIVER DRAINAGE BASIN, IL	---	---	100,000	---
(SPE)	UPPER MISS RVR SYS FLOW FREQUENCY STUDY, IL, IA, MN, M	1,331,000	---	1,331,000	---
(RCP)	UPPER MISSISSIPPI & ILLINOIS NAV STUDY, IL, IA, MN, MO	5,700,000	---	5,700,000	---
(RDP)	WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	73,000	---	73,000	---
(FC)	WOOD RIVER DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, MADISON COUNTY	---	175,000	---	175,000
(FDP)	WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	100,000	---	100,000	---
	INDIANA				
	BEAUTY CREEK WATERSHED, VALPARAISO, IN	---	---	100,000	---
	DEEP RIVER BASIN, IN	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	MIDDLE WABASH, GREENFIELD BAYOU ENVIRON RESTORATION, I	---	200,000	---	200,000
	OHIO RIVER GREENWAY, IN	---	---	---	250,000
(E)	TIPPECANOE RIVER, IN	200,000	---	---	---
	WOLF AND GEORGE LAKES, IN	---	---	100,000	---
	IOWA				
(FDP)	DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA	218,000	---	218,000	---
(FDP)	INDIAN CREEK, COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA	---	---	100,000	---
	KANSAS				
(RCP)	TOPEKA, KS	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FC)	TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	---	400,000	---	400,000
	KENTUCKY				
(FDP)	AUGUSTA, KY	318,000	---	318,000	---
	GRAYSON LAKE, KY	---	---	50,000	---
(N)	GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS NAVIGATION DISPOSITION STUDY,	255,000	---	255,000	---
(FDP)	GREENUP, KY	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	KENTUCKY RIVER TRIBUTARIES, FRANKFORT, KY	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	LEXINGTON, FAYETTE COUNTY, KY	228,000	---	228,000	---
(FDP)	LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY	250,000	---	250,000	---
(FDP)	LICKING RIVER, FALMOUTH, KY	250,000	---	250,000	---
(FC)	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, BEARGRASS CREEK, KY	---	356,000	---	356,000
(FDP)	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY	295,000	---	295,000	---
	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY	---	---	155,000	---
(N)	OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL, IN, PA, WV	10,150,000	---	10,150,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
(FDP)	OLIVE HILL, KY	218,000	---	218,000	---
(FDP)	PADUCAH, KY	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	PANTHER CREEK, KY	150,000	---	---	---
	RUSSELL, KY	---	---	100,000	---
	LOUISIANA				
(FDP)	AMITE RIVER, DARLINGTON RESERVOIR, LA	400,000	---	400,000	---
	CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	COMITE RIVER, LA	---	100,000	---	---
(FC)	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA	---	395,000	---	395,000
(N)	EAST FORK CALCASIEU PASS, LA (SEC. 509)	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS, LA	550,000	---	600,000	---
(FDP)	JEFFERSON PARISH, LA	428,000	---	428,000	---
(FDP)	LAFAYETTE PARISH, LA	550,000	---	550,000	---
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS, LA	415,000	---	415,000	---
(FDP)	ORLEANS PARISH, LA	574,000	---	574,000	---
	PORT FOURCHON, LA	---	---	---	50,000
(FDP)	WALLACE LAKE AREA, LA	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	WEST SHORE, LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	388,000	---	388,000	---
	MARYLAND				
(E)	ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, M	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	ANACOSTIA RIVER, NORTHWEST BRANCH, MD & DC	108,000	---	108,000	---
(FDP)	ANACOSTIA RIVER, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC	231,000	---	231,000	---
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR ANCHORAGES AND CHANNELS, MD & VA	---	207,000	---	207,000
(FDP)	BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, DEEP RUN/TIBER HUDSON, MD	35,000	---	35,000	---
(FC)	BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, DEEP RUN/TIBER HUDSON, MD	---	50,000	---	50,000
(FDP)	BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, GWYNNS FALLS, MD	199,000	---	199,000	---
	CHESAPEAKE BAY INTEGRATED ECOSYSTEM & ATLANTIC COAST SHELF MODEL, MD	---	---	400,000	---
(SP)	EASTERN SHORE, MD	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	HAVRE DE GRACE, MD	110,000	---	---	---
(E)	LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, MATTAWOMAN, MD	300,000	---	300,000	---
(E)	LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, WICOMICO AND ST MARY'	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC RIVER, GEORGES CREEK, MD	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	PATUXENT RIVER, ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MD	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	PATUXENT RIVER, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD	360,000	---	360,000	---
(E)	SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD	300,000	---	300,000	---
	MASSACHUSETTS				
(E)	BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	393,000	---	393,000	---
(E)	COASTAL MASSACHUSETTS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MA	100,000	---	---	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	MICHIGAN				
	PAW PAW RIVER, MI	---	---	100,000	---
	SAULT STE MARIE, MI	---	---	---	500,000
	MINNESOTA				
(FC)	CROOKSTON, MN	---	255,000	---	255,000
(FC)	GRAND FORKS, ND - EAST GRAND FORKS, MN	---	945,000	---	945,000
	MISSISSIPPI				
(E)	BAYOU PIERRE, MS	100,000	---	---	---
(N)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, BAYOU CASOTTE EXTENSION, MS	150,000	---	150,000	---
(FC)	PEARL RIVER WATERSHED, MS	---	400,000	---	100,000
	MISSOURI				
(FDP)	BALLWIN, ST LOUIS COUNTY, MO	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FC)	BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO	---	457,000	---	457,000
(FDP)	CHESTERFIELD, MO	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MO	61,000	---	61,000	---
(FC)	FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MO	---	153,000	---	100,000
(RCP)	KANSAS CITY, MO & KS	245,000	---	450,000	---
(FC)	LOWER RIVER DES PERES, MO	---	64,000	---	64,000
(FDP)	LOWER RIVER DES PERES, MO	30,000	---	30,000	---
(RCP)	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO	311,000	---	311,000	---
(RCP)	ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	200,000	---	200,000	---
(N)	ST LOUIS HARBOR, MO & IL	---	314,000	---	314,000
(FDP)	SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO	196,000	---	196,000	---
	NEBRASKA				
(FC)	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	---	74,000	---	74,000
(FDP)	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	160,000	---	160,000	---
(FDP)	LOWER PLATTE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	310,000	---	310,000	---
	NEVADA				
(FDP)	CARSON RIVER, NV	---	---	100,000	---
	FALLON, NV	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV	300,000	---	300,000	---
(E)	LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE RESERVATION,	230,000	---	230,000	---
(E)	LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, WASHOE COUNTY, NV	50,000	---	50,000	---
(FC)	TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	---	500,000	---	1,100,000
(E)	WALKER RIVER BASIN, NV	150,000	---	400,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	NEW JERSEY				
	ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, PERTH AMBOY, NJ	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	BARNEGAT BAY, NJ	400,000	---	400,000	---
	BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	---	---	322,000	---
	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	---	---	---	113,000
	GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NJ	---	---	200,000	---
	LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NJ	---	---	---	100,000
	MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	---	---	---	300,000
(E)	NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION, NJ	400,000	---	400,000	---
(SP)	RARITAN BAY TO SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ	425,000	---	650,000	100,000
(FDP)	SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	382,000	---	382,000	---
	TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	---	---	---	250,000
(FDP)	UPPER PASSAIC RIVER AND TRIBS, LONG HILL, MORRIS COUNT	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	UPPER ROCKAWAY RIVER, MORRIS COUNTY, NJ	100,000	---	100,000	---
	WOODBRIDGE AND RAHWAY, NJ	---	---	100,000	---
	NEW MEXICO				
(E)	RIO GRANDE WATER MANAGEMENT, NM, CO & TX	210,000	---	210,000	---
(E)	SW VALLEY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION STUDY, ALBUQUERQUE, N	210,000	---	210,000	---
	NEW YORK				
(RCP)	ADDISON, NY	92,000	---	92,000	---
(N)	ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY.	---	845,000	---	1,100,000
(FDP)	AUSABLE RIVER BASIN, ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTIES, NY	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	BOQUET RIVER BASIN AND TRIBUTARIES, ESSEX COUNTY, NY	100,000	---	100,000	---
	BRONX RIVER, NY	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	CHEMUNG RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NY & PA	400,000	---	400,000	---
(E)	FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	250,000	---	250,000	---
(N) -	HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY	250,000	---	250,000	---
(SP)	JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, ARVERNE, NY.	100,000	---	---	---
(SP)	JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	LINDENHURST, NY	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	7,902,000	---	7,902,000	---
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR ANCHORAGE AREAS, NY	125,000	---	125,000	---
(SP)	NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, BAYVILLE, NY	210,000	---	210,000	---
	ONEIDA LAKE, NY	---	---	100,000	---
(SPE)	ONONDAGA LAKE, NY	125,000	---	125,000	---
	OTSEGO LAKE, NY	---	---	250,000	---
	SAW MILL RIVER BASIN, NY	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY	100,000	---	100,000	---
(SP)	SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY	250,000	---	250,000	---
(FDP)	SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN WATER MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD.	320,000	---	320,000	---
(E)	UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NY	351,000	---	351,000	---
(E)	UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN ENVIRON RESTORATION, NY.	300,000	---	400,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	NORTH CAROLINA				
(FC)	BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC	---	658,000	---	658,000
(SP)	DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC	342,000	---	342,000	---
	LOCKWOODS FOLLY INLET, NC	---	---	100,000	---
	NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, EASTERN BAND CHEROKEE NATIO	300,000	---	300,000	---
(E)	TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, FRANKLIN, MACON COUNTY, NC.	305,000	---	305,000	---
	NORTH DAKOTA				
(SPE)	DEVILS LAKE, ND	300,000	---	300,000	---
	OHIO				
(FDP)	COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN AREA, OH	100,000	---	100,000	---
(E)	GREAT MIAMI RIVER, OXBOW AREA, OH	250,000	---	---	---
(E)	HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, MONDAY CREEK, OH.	500,000	---	500,000	---
(E)	HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, SUNDAY CREEK, OH.	300,000	---	---	---
(N)	MAUMEE RIVER, OH	223,000	---	223,000	---
	OKLAHOMA				
(FDP)	CIMARRON RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, OK, KS, NM & CO	100,000	---	---	---
	OREGON				
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA	335,000	---	335,000	---
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA	---	300,000	---	300,000
(E)	COLUMBIA SLOUGH, OR	275,000	---	275,000	---
(E)	LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA	100,000	---	---	---
(E)	TILLAMOOK BAY AND ESTUARY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR	168,000	---	168,000	---
(E)	WALLA WALLA RIVER WATERSHED, OR & WA	240,000	---	240,000	---
(COM)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR	440,000	---	440,000	---
(E)	WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR	278,000	---	278,000	---
(MP)	WILLAMETTE RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR	---	29,000	---	1,000,000
	PENNSYLVANIA				
	BEAVER RIVER, PA	---	---	---	375,000
(FDP)	BLOOMSBURG, PA	210,000	---	210,000	---
(E)	CONEMAUGH RVR BASIN, NANTY GLO ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATI	---	227,000	---	227,000
(E)	LOWER WEST BR, SUS RIVER, ENV RESTORATION, BUFFALO CRE	120,000	---	120,000	---
(FDP)	LOWER WEST BRANCH SUSQUEHANNA RIVER, LYCOMING CREEK, P	200,000	---	---	---
(E)	TURTLE CREEK BASIN, BRUSH CREEK ENV RESTORATION, PA	150,000	---	---	---
(E)	TURTLE CREEK BASIN, LYONS RUN ENV RESTORATION, PA	150,000	---	---	---
(E)	TURTLE CREEK BASIN, UPPER TURTLE CREEK ENV RESTORATION	150,000	---	150,000	---
(RCP)	YOUGHIOGHENY LAKE, PA	148,000	---	148,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	PUERTO RICO				
(FC)	RIO GUANAJIBO, PR	---	600,000	---	600,000
(FC)	RIO NIGUA AT SALINAS, PR	---	306,000	---	306,000
	RHODE ISLAND				
(E)	RHODE ISLAND SOUTH COAST, HABITAT REST AND STRM DMG RE	350,000	---	200,000	---
	RHODE ISLAND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, RI	---	---	200,000	---
	SOUTH CAROLINA				
(RCP)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	500,000	---	500,000	---
(E)	CHARLESTON ESTUARY, SC	175,000	---	175,000	---
(SP)	PAWLEY'S ISLAND, SC	150,000	---	150,000	---
(E)	SANTEE, COOPER, CONGAREE RIVERS, SC	150,000	---	150,000	---
(E)	YADKIN - PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC	150,000	---	150,000	---
	SOUTH DAKOTA				
(FDP)	JAMES RIVER, SD & ND	90,000	---	90,000	---
(FC)	WATERTOWN AND VICINITY, SD	---	380,000	---	380,000
	TENNESSEE				
	DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	DUCK RIVER WATERSHED, TN	300,000	---	100,000	---
	FRENCH BROAD WATERSHED, TN	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	METRO CENTER LEVEE, DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN	---	250,000	---	250,000
	NOLICHUCKY WATERSHED, TN	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	NORTH CHICKAMAUGA CREEK, TN	250,000	---	250,000	---
	TEXAS				
(FDP)	BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX	300,000	---	300,000	---
(N)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	280,000	---	280,000	---
(E)	CYPRESS VALLEY WATERSHED, TX	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FC)	DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER, TX	---	1,330,000	---	1,330,000
(FC)	FORT WORTH SUMPS 14 AND 15, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN,	---	490,000	---	490,000
(RCP)	GIWW, BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX	935,000	---	935,000	---
(N)	GIWW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX	1,100,000	---	1,100,000	---
(RCP)	GIWW, PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX	400,000	---	400,000	---
(FC)	GRAHAM, TX (BRAZOS RIVER BASIN)	---	64,000	---	64,000
(FC)	GREENS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	---	600,000	---	600,000
(FC)	HUNTING BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	---	500,000	---	500,000
(FC)	JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX	---	600,000	---	600,000
(FDP)	MIDDLE BRAZOS RIVER, TX	200,000	---	200,000	---
(N)	NECHES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES SALTWATER BARRIER, TX	---	1,050,000	---	1,050,000
	PACKERY CHANNEL, CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	---	---	500,000	---
(FDP)	NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX	180,000	---	180,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	ONION CREEK, TX	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	PECAN BAYOU, BROWNWOOD, TX	---	350,000	---	350,000
(E)	PLAINVIEW, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN, TX	150,000	---	150,000	---
(FC)	RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX	---	400,000	---	400,000
(N)	SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	500,000	---	500,000	---
(FC)	SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX	---	600,000	---	600,000
	SULPHUR RIVER, TX	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	1,000,000	---	1,000,000	---
	UTAH				
(FDP)	PROVO AND VICINITY, UT	150,000	---	150,000	---
	VIRGIN ISLANDS				
(N)	CROWN BAY CHANNEL, VI	---	130,000	---	130,000
	VIRGINIA				
(N)	AIWW, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA	425,000	---	425,000	---
	CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, HAMPTON, VA	---	---	150,000	---
(SPE)	ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, ENVIR RESTORATION, HAMPTON ROAD	450,000	---	450,000	---
(N)	JAMES RIVER, VA	190,000	---	190,000	---
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND, VA	250,000	---	250,000	---
(FDP)	POQUOSON, VA	100,000	---	100,000	---
	PULASKI, VA	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA	400,000	---	400,000	---
(E)	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY WATERSHED, VA	250,000	---	250,000	---
(E)	RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, EMBREY DAM, VA	200,000	---	200,000	---
	WASHINGTON				
(N)	BLAIR WATERWAY, TACOMA HARBOR, WA	---	176,000	---	---
(N)	BLAIR WATERWAY, TACOMA HARBOR, WA	49,000	---	---	---
	CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	---	---	200,000	---
	COLUMBIA RIVER, TRI-CITIES AREA, WA	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	428,000	---	---	---
(FC)	HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	---	600,000	---	600,000
(RCP)	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	100,000	---	100,000	---
	OCEAN SHORES, WA	---	---	100,000	---
	TRI-CITIES AREA, WA	---	---	100,000	---
(N)	PUGET SOUND CONFINED DISPOSAL SITES, WA	665,000	---	665,000	---
(FDP)	RODEO LAKE, OTHELLO, WA	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	SKAGIT RIVER, WA	678,000	---	678,000	---
	SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	---	---	100,000	---
(E)	STILLAGUAMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	156,000	---	156,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	WEST VIRGINIA				
(E)	CHEAT RIVER BASIN, BEAVER CREEK ENVIRON RESTORATION, W	215,000	---	215,000	---
(E)	CHEAT RIVER BASIN, SOVERN RUN ENVIRON RESTORATION, WV.	137,000	---	137,000	---
(N)	KANAWHA RIVER NAVIGATION, WV.....	800,000	---	800,000	---
	LOWER MUD RIVER, WV.....	---	---	300,000	---
	MORGANTOWN, WV.....	---	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	MERCER COUNTY, WV.....	350,000	---	350,000	---
(FC)	NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC RIVER ENVIRON RESTORATION, WV, MD	---	240,000	---	240,000
(FDP)	NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC RIVER ENVIRON RESTORATION, WV, MD	69,000	---	69,000	---
(E)	TYGART THREE-WATERSHED ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FORDS RU	100,000	---	100,000	---
(E)	TYGART THREE-WATERSHED ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MAPLE RU	287,000	---	287,000	---
(FC)	WEST VIRGINIA STATEWIDE FLOOD PROTECTION PLAN.....	---	---	624,000	---
	WYOMING				
(E)	JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY.....	202,000	---	202,000	---
	MISCELLANEOUS				
	COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION.....	1,500,000	---	1,300,000	---
	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
	FLOOD DAMAGE DATA.....	500,000	---	400,000	---
	FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.....	9,400,000	---	9,000,000	---
	GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM (SEC. 401).....	---	---	500,000	---
	HYDROLOGIC STUDIES.....	600,000	---	500,000	---
	INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES.....	1,900,000	---	1,000,000	---
	OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS.....	8,400,000	---	8,000,000	---
	PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES.....	5,300,000	---	6,300,000	---
	PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE).....	450,000	---	400,000	---
	REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT..	400,000	---	400,000	---
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	30,000,000	---	27,000,000	---
	SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
	STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY).....	900,000	---	900,000	---
	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.....	850,000	---	800,000	---
	TRI-SERVICE CADD/GIS TECHNOLOGY CENTER.....	650,000	---	650,000	---
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-25,777,000	---	-27,420,000	---
	TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....	123,151,000	26,849,000	128,849,000	32,898,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	ALABAMA		
(N)	BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF JACKSO	500,000	500,000
	MOBILE HARBOR, AL	---	585,000
(MP)	WALTER F GEORGE POWERHOUSE AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REH	1,000,000	---
(MP)	WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)	4,000,000	4,000,000
	ALASKA		
(N)	CHIGNIK HARBOR	---	748,000
(N)	COOK INLET, AK	---	6,000,000
(N)	KAKE HARBOR, AK	5,000,000	5,000,000
(N)	ST. PAUL HARBOR	---	5,000,000
	ARIZONA		
(FC)	CLIFTON, AZ	1,600,000	1,500,000
	ARKANSAS		
(MP)	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB)	5,000,000	5,000,000
(N)	MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	550,000	550,000
(N)	MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, AR	19,000,000	44,000,000
	RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR	---	3,500,000
	RED RIVER WTRWY, INDEX, AR TO DENISON DAM, AR,LA,OK,TX	---	800,000
	CALIFORNIA		
(FC)	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON ELEMENTS), CA	1,000,000	15,000,000
	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (NATOMAS)	---	9,000,000
(FC)	CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA	500,000	500,000
(FC)	COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA	100,000	100,000
	CRESCENT CITY HARBOR, CA	---	340,000
(FC)	GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	4,000,000	7,000,000
(N)	HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	3,600,000	6,000,000
(FC)	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	11,000,000	50,000,000
(N)	LOS ANGELES HARBOR, CA	12,000,000	52,000,000
(FC)	LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	952,000	952,000
(FC)	MARYSVILLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	746,000	1,000,000
(FC)	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	500,000	900,000
(FC)	MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	1,700,000	1,700,000
	NORCO BLUFFS, CA	---	4,400,000
	PORT OF LONG BEACH, CA	---	6,000,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	7,080,000	10,080,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	700,000	2,000,000
(FC)	SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA	2,800,000	3,200,000
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	20,035,000	44,000,000
	SANTA MONICA BREAKWATER, CA	---	3,026,000
(FC)	SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA	2,700,000	4,000,000
(FC)	UPPER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	400,000	400,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
(FC)	WEST SACRAMENTO, CA	2,500,000	13,000,000
	CONNECTICUT		
	FAULKNER ISLAND, CT	---	2,600,000
	DELAWARE		
(BE)	DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	233,000	233,000
	FLORIDA		
	BROWARD COUNTY, FL	---	1,500,000
(N)	CANAVERAL HARBOR DEEPENING, FL	640,000	800,000
(FC)	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	40,800,000	21,000,000
	DADE COUNTY, FL	---	5,700,000
(E)	EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	20,000,000	7,000,000
	FORT PIERCE SHORE PROTECTION, FL	---	300,000
	INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FL	---	100,000
(MP)	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R	5,000,000	5,000,000
(E)	KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL	27,300,000	8,000,000
	LEE COUNTY, FL	---	300,000
	MIAMI HARBOR CHANNEL, FL	---	8,000,000
	PALM VALLEY BRIDGE, FL	---	2,000,000
	PANAMA CITY BEACHES, FL	---	6,000,000
	PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	---	5,000,000
	ST JOHNS COUNTY, FL	---	250,000
	GEORGIA		
(MP)	BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB)	4,000,000	4,000,000
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	5,900,000	5,900,000
(MP)	RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	1,685,000	1,685,000
(MP)	THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	9,500,000	9,500,000
	TYBEE ISLAND, GA	---	1,200,000
	HAWAII		
(FC)	IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR)	270,000	270,000
(N)	MAALAEA HARBOR, MAUI, HI	230,000	400,000
	ILLINOIS		
(N)	CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	700,000	500,000
	CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL.	---	300,000
(BE)	CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL	5,050,000	10,050,000
(FC)	EAST ST LOUIS, IL	500,000	500,000
	EAST ST LOUIS & VICINITY (INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL), IL.	---	375,000
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 24 PART 1, MISS RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	7,100,000	7,400,000
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 24 PART 2, MISS RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	2,400,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 25, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	4,900,000	6,000,000
(FC)	LOVES PARK, IL	200,000	200,000
(FC)	MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL	900,000	3,250,000
(N)	MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO	1,330,000	1,330,000
	O'HARE RESERVOIR, IL	---	1,000,000
(N)	OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, IL & KY	54,500,000	54,500,000
(N)	UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MN, MO	18,355,000	18,900,000
	INDIANA		
(FC)	FORT WAYNE METROPOLITAN AREA, IN	5,900,000	6,700,000
	INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN	---	700,000
	INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN	---	4,000,000
(FC)	LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN	4,000,000	7,000,000
	OHIO RIVER FLOOD PROTECTION, IN	---	750,000
(FC)	PATOKA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB)	3,600,000	---
	WABASH RIVER, NEW HARMONY, IN	---	2,000,000
	IOWA		
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)	4,400,000	4,400,000
(N)	MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K	1,391,000	8,000,000
(FC)	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO	824,000	900,000
(FC)	MUSCATINE ISLAND, IA	290,000	1,000,000
(FC)	PERRY CREEK, IA	1,367,000	3,500,000
	KANSAS		
(FC)	ARKANSAS CITY, KS	300,000	2,000,000
(FC)	WINFIELD, KS	2,330,000	2,330,000
	KENTUCKY		
(MP)	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	300,000	1,600,000
(FC)	DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY)	900,000	900,000
	KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, KY	---	8,500,000
(N)	MCALPINE LOCKS AND DAM, KY & IN	1,000,000	5,300,000
(FC)	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY	1,500,000	1,500,000
	SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY	---	4,000,000
	LOUISIANA		
(FC)	ALOHA - RIGOLETTE, LA	320,000	800,000
	COMITE RIVER, LA	---	1,000,000
(FC)	LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	5,676,000	16,000,000
	LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORMWATER DISCHARGE, LA	---	4,500,000
(FC)	LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	250,000	1,600,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA	2,000,000	3,800,000
	MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, GULF TO BATON ROUGE, L	---	1,000,000
(FC)	NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	500,000	750,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
(N)	RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L	5,392,000	8,000,000
(FC)	SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA	15,279,000	75,000,000
(FC)	WEST BANK VICINITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LA	3,936,000	7,000,000
	MARYLAND		
(E)	ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC	36,000	2,400,000
(BE)	ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD	4,000,000	---
(BE)	ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD	100,000	100,000
	CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM, MD, VA, PA	---	750,000
(E)	CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD	23,000	543,000
(E)	POPLAR ISLAND, MD	157,000	8,300,000
	MASSACHUSETTS		
(N)	BOSTON HARBOR, MA	40,000	7,500,000
(FC)	HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA (MAJOR REHAB)	5,443,000	5,443,000
(FC)	ROUGHANS POINT, REVERE, MA	2,680,000	2,680,000
(FC)	TOWN BROOK, QUINCY AND BRAINTREE, MA	20,000	300,000
	MINNESOTA		
(N)	LOCK AND DAM 3, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN (MAJOR REHAB)	6,200,000	6,200,000
(FC)	MARSHALL, MN	40,000	1,500,000
(N)	PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY)	1,487,000	1,487,000
	ST CROIX RIVER, STILLWATER, MN	---	2,000,000
	MISSISSIPPI		
	JACKSON COUNTY, MS	---	6,200,000
(E)	NATCHEZ BLUFF, MS	---	4,000,000
	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	---	12,000,000
	MISSOURI		
(FC)	BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	9,600,000	15,300,000
(FC)	CAPE GIRARDEAU, JACKSON, MO	400,000	2,000,000
(FC)	MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO	1,980,000	3,800,000
(N)	MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	1,200,000	1,600,000
(FC)	ST GENEVIEVE, MO	4,617,000	6,200,000
(MP)	TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR (DAM SAFETY)	2,650,000	2,650,000
	NEBRASKA		
(FC)	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD	125,000	125,000
(FC)	WOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE	69,000	500,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	NEVADA		
(FC)	TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV.....	12,295,000	23,000,000
	NEW JERSEY		
(BE)	CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ.....	60,000	1,000,000
(BE)	GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ.....	150,000	150,000
(FC)	MOLLY ANN'S BROOK AT HALEDON, PROSPECT PARK AND PATERS	4,170,000	4,170,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHANNELS, PORT JERSEY CHANN	300,000	5,000,000
(FC)	PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N	200,000	1,000,000
	PASSAIC RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ.....	---	3,000,000
(FC)	RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ.....	75,000	3,000,000
	RARITAN BAY TO SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ.....	---	150,000
	RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ.....	---	9,900,000
(BE)	SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.....	3,300,000	10,000,000
	NEW MEXICO		
(FC)	ABIQUIU DAM EMERGENCY GATES, NM.....	3,569,000	3,569,000
(FC)	ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM.....	150,000	500,000
(FC)	ALAMOGORDO, NM.....	300,000	300,000
(FC)	GALISTEO DAM, NM (DAM SAFETY).....	2,000,000	2,500,000
(FC)	LAS CRUCES, NM.....	150,000	3,470,000
(FC)	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE	510,000	510,000
(FC)	RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE,.	300,000	750,000
	NEW YORK		
(BE)	ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT,	300,000	800,000
(BE)	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY,	300,000	3,300,000
(BE)	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY.....	200,000	2,500,000
(BE)	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY.....	2,400,000	4,400,000
	HUDSON RIVER, ATHENS, NY.....	---	3,000,000
(N)	KILL VAN KULL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL, NY & NJ.....	32,000,000	30,000,000
	LONG BEACH ISLAND, NY.....	---	7,500,000
	NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY.....	---	1,000,000
	NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM, NY.....	---	1,800,000
	ORCHARD BEACH, NY.....	---	2,000,000
	NORTH CAROLINA		
(N)	AIWW, REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NC.....	6,000,000	6,000,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.....	5,300,000	8,300,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	NORTH DAKOTA		
(FC)	BUFORD-TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, N	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	DEVILS LAKE EMERGENCY OUTLET, ND	16,000,000	---
(MP)	GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB)	274,000	274,000
(FC)	HOMME LAKE, ND (DAM SAFETY)	750,000	750,000
(FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND (DAM SAFETY)	499,000	499,000
(FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND (MAJOR REHAB)	1,000,000	1,000,000
(FC)	SHEYENNE RIVER, ND	400,000	400,000
	OHIO		
(FC)	BEACH CITY LAKE, MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH (DAM SAFETY	200,000	200,000
(FC)	HOLES CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OH	1,131,000	1,131,000
(FC)	METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH	669,000	669,000
(FC)	MILL CREEK, OH	700,000	700,000
(FC)	WEST COLUMBUS, OH	1,800,000	14,000,000
	OKLAHOMA		
(FC)	MINGO CREEK, TULSA, OK	6,328,000	6,328,000
(FC)	SKIATOOK LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	500,000	500,000
(MP)	TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	25,000	2,300,000
	OREGON		
(MP)	BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB)	8,000,000	8,000,000
(MP)	COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA	1,700,000	2,500,000
(FC)	ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	300,000	300,000
	PENNSYLVANIA		
(FC)	JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB)	4,450,000	6,450,000
(FC)	LACKAWANNA RIVER, OLYPHANT, PA	50,000	6,800,000
(FC)	LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA	100,000	40,551,000
(N)	LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	4,500,000	26,500,000
(BE)	PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT)	500,000	500,000
(FC)	SAW MILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA	400,000	1,200,000
	SOUTH CENTRAL PA ENVIRONMENT IMPROVEMENT, PA	---	39,000,000
	SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, PA	---	750,000
(FC)	WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)	3,250,000	4,000,000
	PUERTO RICO		
(FC)	PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	6,082,000	6,500,000
(FC)	RIO DE LA PLATA, PR	426,000	3,000,000
(FC)	RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	7,052,000	7,052,000
(N)	SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	500,000	3,300,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	SOUTH CAROLINA		
	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	---	22,000,000
(N)	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	500,000	500,000
(BE)	MYRTLE BEACH, SC	3,000,000	3,000,000
	SOUTH DAKOTA		
(FC)	BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD	2,200,000	---
	TENNESSEE		
	BLACK FOX, MURFREE AND OAKLANDS SPRINGS WETLANDS, TN	---	500,000
	TENNESSEE RIVER, HAMILTON COUNTY, TN	---	1,500,000
	TEXAS		
	BEALS CREEK, BIG SPRING, TX	---	1,200,000
	BRAYS BAYOU, TX	---	4,500,000
(N)	CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	1,560,000	1,560,000
(FC)	CLEAR CREEK, TX	1,770,000	1,770,000
(FC)	EL PASO, TX	400,000	4,000,000
	GIWW, ARANSAS NATIONAL WILDLIFE, REFUGE, TX	---	3,200,000
(N)	HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX	5,220,000	49,000,000
(FC)	MCGRATH CREEK, WICHITA FALLS, TX	1,514,000	1,514,000
(FC)	SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX	800,000	800,000
(FC)	SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	9,450,000	12,000,000
(FC)	WACO LAKE, TX (DAM SAFETY)	500,000	3,934,000
	WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	---	5,500,000
	UTAH		
(FC)	UPPER JORDAN RIVER, UT	200,000	850,000
	VIRGINIA		
(N)	AIWW, BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA	393,000	3,800,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA	420,000	420,000
(FC)	ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	200,000	600,000
	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA	---	18,000,000
	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (REIMBURSEMENT)	---	1,460,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	WASHINGTON		
(MP)	COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID.....	117,000,000	60,000,000
(MP)	LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR	650,000	650,000
(MP)	THE DALLES POWERHOUSE (UNITS 1-14), WA & OR (MAJOR REH	900,000	2,000,000
	WEST VIRGINIA		
	GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV.....	---	1,000,000
(FC)	LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V	3,000,000	38,500,000
(N)	LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV (MAJOR REHAB).	1,700,000	---
(N)	MARMET LOCK, KANAWHA RIVER, WV.....	1,500,000	6,500,000
(N)	ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, WV & OH.....	7,000,000	8,000,000
	SOUTHERN WEST VA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROG, WV	---	1,500,000
(FC)	TYGART LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY).....	2,400,000	2,400,000
	WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL.....	---	750,000
(N)	WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, WV.....	4,500,000	4,500,000
	WISCONSIN		
	LAFARGE LAKE, KICKAPOO RIVER, WI.....	---	2,800,000
(FC)	PORTAGE, WI.....	3,199,000	3,199,000
	MISCELLANEOUS		
	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM.....	2,000,000	3,000,000
	AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206).....	2,000,000	11,200,000
	BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 103).....	2,600,000	2,000,000
	BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204).....	200,000	350,000
	CLEARING AND SNAGGING PROJECT (SECTION 208).....	100,000	300,000
	DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM.....	2,000,000	3,500,000
	EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14).	15,000,000	7,000,000
	EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION.....	18,289,000	18,289,000
	FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205).....	26,500,000	34,800,000
	INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE.....	45,000	45,000
	INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE.....	185,000	185,000
	NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 111).....	100,000	200,000
	NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107).....	2,700,000	6,000,000
	PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME	5,300,000	11,000,000
	RIVERINE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD HAZARD MITIGA	25,000,000	---
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-32,388,000	-62,046,000
	TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL.....	806,000,000	1,429,885,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
	SURVEYS:		
	GENERAL STUDIES:		
	DONALDSONVILLE TO GULF OF MEXICO	---	100,000
(FDP)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, ALEXANDER COUNTY, IL AND SCOTT	100,000	100,000
(FDP)	ALEXANDRIA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	500,000	500,000
(FDP)	MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	755,000	755,000
(FDP)	MEMPHIS METRO AREA, TN & MS	800,000	800,000
(FDP)	REELFOOT LAKE, TN & KY	66,000	66,000
	SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR	---	500,000
(FDP)	WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN	190,000	190,000
(FC)	BAYOU METO BASIN, AR	2,500,000	2,500,000
(FC)	REELFOOT LAKE, TN & KY	450,000	450,000
	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA	360,000	360,000
	SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	5,721,000	6,321,000
	CONSTRUCTION		
(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	44,599,000	44,599,000
(FC)	EIGHT MILE CREEK, AR	581,000	581,000
(FC)	GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR	11,500,000	8,000,000
(FC)	HELENA AND VICINITY, AR	910,000	910,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	23,750,000	30,750,000
(FC)	ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO	4,900,000	4,900,000
(FC)	WHITEMAN'S CREEK, AR	674,000	674,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	7,500,000	7,500,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	21,023,000	27,000,000
(FC)	LOUISIANA STATE PENITENTIARY, LA	400,000	4,500,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS	250,000	250,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	14,000,000	16,000,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	10,100,000	10,100,000
	YAZOO BASIN, MS:	(18,665,000)	(30,565,000)
(FC)	BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	3,450,000	4,500,000
(FC)	DEMONSTRATION EROSION CONTROL, MS	3,900,000	13,500,000
(FC)	MAIN STEM, MS	25,000	25,000
(FC)	REFORMULATION UNIT, MS	1,840,000	1,840,000
(FC)	TRIBUTARIES, MS	200,000	200,000
(FC)	UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS	9,250,000	10,000,000
	YAZOO BACKWATER PUMP	---	500,000
(FC)	ST JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO	250,000	6,000,000
(FC)	NONCONNAH CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL FEATURE, TN & MS	122,000	370,000
(FC)	WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN	3,750,000	3,750,000
	SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	162,974,000	196,449,000
	MAINTENANCE		
(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	53,329,000	53,329,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N)	HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	293,000	293,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	457,000	457,000
(FC)	LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR	112,000	112,000
(FC)	LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR	124,000	124,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	6,271,000	6,500,000
(FC)	ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO	7,600,000	9,300,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,374,000	2,374,000
(FC)	WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR	1,400,000	1,400,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	47,000	47,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	26,000	26,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	613,000	613,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	9,425,000	10,500,000
(FC)	BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA	146,000	146,000
(FC)	BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	90,000	90,000
(FC)	BONNET CARRE, LA	975,000	1,800,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	368,000	368,000
(FC)	LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA	1,773,000	1,773,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	402,000	402,000
(FC)	OLD RIVER, LA	4,100,000	4,100,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	2,820,000	2,820,000
(N)	GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	361,000	361,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	195,000	195,000
(N)	VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS	247,000	247,000
	YAZOO BASIC, MS:	(20,966,000)	(24,711,000)
(FC)	ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	3,193,000	3,700,000
(FC)	BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	242,000	2,500,000
(FC)	ENID LAKE, MS	3,273,000	3,273,000
(FC)	GREENWOOD, MS	837,000	837,000
(FC)	GRENADA LAKE, MS	4,330,000	4,330,000
(FC)	MAIN STEM, MS	1,631,000	1,631,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE, MS	4,320,000	5,300,000
(FC)	TRIBUTARIES, MS	1,238,000	1,238,000
(FC)	WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	498,000	498,000
(FC)	YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	621,000	621,000
(FC)	YAZOO CITY, MS	783,000	783,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	210,000	210,000
(FC)	WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO	6,833,000	6,833,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	118,000	118,000
(N)	MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN	1,400,000	1,400,000
(FC)	MAPPING	998,000	998,000
	SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE	124,073,000	131,647,000
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-12,768,000	-13,268,000
	TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	280,000,000	321,149,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	ALABAMA		
(N)	ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL	4,900,000	4,900,000
(N)	BAYOU LA BATRE, AL	1,800,000	1,800,000
(N)	BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	16,000,000	20,000,000
	BON SECOUR RIVER, AL	---	500,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	7,726,000	7,726,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	30,000	30,000
(MP)	MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LA	4,000,000	7,325,000
(N)	MOBILE HARBOR, AL	21,000,000	24,400,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	300,000	300,000
(MP)	ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL	3,900,000	5,000,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL	20,000	20,000
(N)	TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	17,000,000	20,200,000
(MP)	WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	6,400,000	6,400,000
	ALASKA		
(N)	ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	1,600,000	1,600,000
(FC)	CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	1,591,000	1,591,000
(N)	DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	592,000	592,000
(N)	HOMER HARBOR, AK	243,000	243,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	20,000	20,000
(N)	NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	200,000	200,000
(N)	NOME HARBOR, AK	265,000	265,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	489,000	489,000
	ST. PAUL HARBOR AK	---	500,000
	WRANGELL NARROWS, AK	---	600,000
	ARIZONA		
(FC)	ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,114,000	1,114,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	73,000	73,000
(FC)	PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	1,079,000	1,079,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	25,000	25,000
(FC)	WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	192,000	192,000
	ARKANSAS		
(MP)	BEAVER LAKE, AR	3,585,000	3,585,000
(MP)	BLAKELY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	5,464,000	5,464,000
(FC)	BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	998,000	998,000
(MP)	BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	4,652,000	4,652,000
(MP)	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	5,861,000	5,861,000
(MP)	DEGRAY LAKE, AR	3,988,000	3,988,000
(FC)	DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	965,000	965,000
(FC)	DIERKS LAKE, AR	954,000	954,000
(FC)	GILLHAM LAKE, AR	896,000	896,000
(MP)	GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR	4,148,000	4,148,000
(N)	HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	278,000	278,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	253,000	253,000
(N)	MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	22,093,000	24,993,000
(FC)	MILLWOOD LAKE, AR	1,571,000	1,571,000
(MP)	NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR	3,834,000	3,834,000
(FC)	NIMROD LAKE, AR	1,397,000	1,397,000
(MP)	NORFORK LAKE, AR	3,471,000	3,471,000
(N)	OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	383,000	383,000
(N)	OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	6,332,000	6,332,000
(MP)	OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR	4,185,000	4,185,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR	4,000	4,000
(N)	WHITE RIVER, AR	2,747,000	2,747,000
(N)	YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	119,000	119,000
	CALIFORNIA		
(FC)	BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	1,782,000	1,782,000
(FC)	BUCHANAN DAM, H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA	1,820,000	1,820,000
(N)	CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA	3,246,000	3,246,000
(FC)	COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	3,121,000	3,121,000
(FC)	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA	4,060,000	4,060,000
(FC)	FARMINGTON DAM, CA	374,000	374,000
(FC)	HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA	1,843,000	1,843,000
(N)	HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	3,910,000	3,910,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	973,000	973,000
(FC)	ISABELLA LAKE, CA	1,401,000	1,401,000
(N)	LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA	165,000	165,000
(FC)	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	3,613,000	3,613,000
	MARINA DEL RAY, CA	---	1,500,000
(FC)	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	288,000	288,000
(FC)	MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	237,000	237,000
	MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	---	1,000,000
	MOSS LANDING HARBOR, CA	---	1,000,000
(FC)	NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	1,732,000	1,732,000
(MP)	NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	1,101,000	1,101,000
(N)	OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	3,424,000	3,424,000
(N)	OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	622,000	622,000
(FC)	PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	2,197,000	2,197,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	1,100,000	1,100,000
	REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	---	2,500,000
(N)	RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	5,384,000	5,384,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	2,182,000	2,182,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA.	1,069,000	1,069,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	133,000	133,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA	2,211,000	2,211,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA	2,392,000	2,392,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	2,339,000	2,339,000
(N)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA	3,004,000	3,004,000
(N)	SAN PABLO BAY AND MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CA	1,500,000	1,500,000
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	3,023,000	3,023,000
(N)	SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	1,541,000	1,541,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA	1,081,000	1,081,000
(FC)	SUCCESS LAKE, CA	1,890,000	2,390,000
(N)	SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA	1,044,000	1,044,000
(FC)	TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA	1,570,000	1,570,000
(N)	VENTURA HARBOR, CA	2,705,000	2,705,000
(N)	YUBA RIVER, CA	35,000	35,000
	COLORADO		
(FC)	BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO	460,000	460,000
(FC)	CHATFIELD LAKE, CO	648,000	648,000
(FC)	CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO	965,000	965,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO	101,000	101,000
(FC)	JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO	1,771,000	1,771,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO	398,000	398,000
(FC)	TRINIDAD LAKE, CO	767,000	767,000
	CONNECTICUT		
(FC)	BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT	440,000	440,000
(FC)	COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT	516,000	516,000
	FIVE MILE RIVER, CT	---	700,000
(FC)	HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT	216,000	216,000
(FC)	HOP BROOK LAKE, CT	867,000	867,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT	33,000	33,000
(FC)	MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT	418,000	418,000
(FC)	NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT	319,000	319,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CT	971,000	971,000
(FC)	STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT	295,000	295,000
(FC)	THOMASTON DAM, CT	672,000	672,000
(FC)	WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT	496,000	496,000
	DELAWARE		
(N)	CEDAR CREEK, DE	250,000	250,000
(N)	CHESAPEAKE AND DELAWARE CANAL - ST GEORGE'S BRIDGE REP	14,000,000	14,000,000
(N)	INDIAN RIVER INLET AND BAY, DE	280,000	280,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D	12,816,000	12,816,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY, D	43,000	43,000
(N)	MISPILLION RIVER, DE	225,000	225,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DE	50,000	50,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	5,590,000	5,590,000
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DC	5,000	5,000
(N)	POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC	880,000	880,000
(N)	POTOMAC RIVER BELOW WASHINGTON, DC	183,000	183,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC	32,000	32,000
(N)	WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC	35,000	35,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	FLORIDA		
(N)	AIWW, NORFOLK, VA TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC &.	30,000	30,000
(N)	CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	3,367,000	3,367,000
(FC)	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	8,598,000	8,598,000
(N)	CHARLOTTE HARBOR, FL	40,000	2,500,000
(N)	FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL	1,615,000	1,615,000
	FORT MYERS BEACH, FL	---	1,000,000
(N)	FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL	441,000	441,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	75,000	75,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R,.	88,000	88,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	3,153,000	3,153,000
(N)	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	7,625,000	7,625,000
(MP)	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA.	5,400,000	5,400,000
	LA GRANGE BAYOU, FL	---	250,000
(N)	MANATEE HARBOR, FL	20,000	20,000
(N)	MIAMI HARBOR, FL	200,000	200,000
(N)	OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	3,159,000	3,159,000
(N)	OKLAWAHA RIVER, FL	5,000	5,000
(N)	PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL	2,190,000	4,000,000
(N)	PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	20,000	20,000
(N)	PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL	30,000	4,000,000
(N)	PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	50,000	50,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL	425,000	425,000
	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	2,700,000	2,700,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL	34,000	34,000
	SCIPIO CREEK, FL	---	500,000
(N)	ST AUGUSTINE HARBOR, FL	60,000	60,000
(N)	ST LUCIE INLET, FL	60,000	60,000
(N)	TAMPA HARBOR, FL	5,201,000	5,201,000
(N)	WITHLACOOCHIE RIVER, FL	34,000	34,000
	GEORGIA		
(MP)	ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	4,900,000	4,900,000
(N)	APALACHICOLA CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL &.	4,700,000	5,200,000
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	2,162,000	2,162,000
(N)	BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	9,728,000	9,728,000
(MP)	BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	6,400,000	6,400,000
(MP)	CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	4,600,000	4,600,000
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC	8,588,000	8,588,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA	41,000	41,000
(MP)	J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	8,200,000	8,200,000
(MP)	RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	6,380,000	6,380,000
(N)	SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	8,161,000	13,700,000
(N)	SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA	200,000	200,000
(MP)	WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	4,800,000	4,800,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	HAWAII		
(N)	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	916,000	916,000
(N)	HONOLULU HARBOR, HI	1,580,000	1,580,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	262,000	262,000
(N)	KAHULUI HARBOR, HI	910,000	910,000
(N)	NAWILIWILI HARBOR, HI	962,000	962,000
(N)	PORT ALLEN HARBOR, KAUAI, HI	292,000	292,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI	416,000	416,000
	IDAHO		
(MP)	ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	1,432,000	1,432,000
(MP)	DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID	3,743,000	3,743,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID	89,000	89,000
(FC)	LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	975,000	975,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID	190,000	190,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ID	62,000	62,000
	ILLINOIS		
(N)	CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	1,444,000	1,444,000
(FC)	CARLYLE LAKE, IL	6,337,000	6,337,000
(N)	CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	4,889,000	4,889,000
(N)	CHICAGO RIVER, IL	362,000	362,000
(FC)	FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL	135,000	135,000
(N)	ILLINOIS WATERWAY, IL & IN	22,934,000	22,934,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	657,000	657,000
(N)	KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL	2,273,000	2,600,000
(N)	LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	537,000	1,037,000
(FC)	LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL	4,219,000	4,500,000
(N)	MISS R BETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS, IL, IA, MN, MO &.	96,985,000	93,000,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL	72,000	72,000
(FC)	REND LAKE, IL	3,868,000	3,868,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL	96,000	96,000
(N)	WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	995,000	995,000
	INDIANA		
(FC)	BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN	776,000	776,000
(N)	BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN	925,000	925,000
(FC)	CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN	797,000	797,000
(FC)	CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN	924,000	924,000
(N)	INDIANA HARBOR, IN	564,000	564,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN	80,000	80,000
(FC)	J EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN	733,000	733,000
(N)	MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN	57,000	57,000
(FC)	MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN	851,000	851,000
(FC)	MONROE LAKE, IN	806,000	806,000
(FC)	PATOKA LAKE, IN	836,000	836,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN	67,000	67,000
(FC)	SALAMONIE LAKE, IN	768,000	768,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	62,000	62,000
	IOWA		
(FC)	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	2,615,000	2,615,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA	170,000	170,000
(FC)	MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA	154,000	154,000
(N)	MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	6,280,000	6,280,000
(FC)	RATHBUN LAKE, IA	2,156,000	2,156,000
(FC)	RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA	3,365,000	3,365,000
(FC)	SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	4,170,000	4,170,000
	KANSAS		
(FC)	CLINTON LAKE, KS	2,389,000	2,389,000
(FC)	COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	956,000	956,000
(FC)	EL DORADO LAKE, KS	461,000	461,000
(FC)	ELK CITY LAKE, KS	585,000	585,000
(FC)	FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	1,092,000	1,092,000
(FC)	HILLSDALE LAKE, KS	949,000	949,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS	267,000	267,000
(FC)	JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	913,000	913,000
(FC)	KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS	1,352,000	1,352,000
(FC)	MARION LAKE, KS	1,206,000	1,206,000
(FC)	MELVERN LAKE, KS	1,683,000	1,683,000
(FC)	MILFORD LAKE, KS	1,699,000	1,699,000
(FC)	PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	787,000	787,000
(FC)	PERRY LAKE, KS	1,850,000	1,850,000
(FC)	POMONA LAKE, KS	1,632,000	1,632,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	333,000	333,000
(FC)	TORONTO LAKE, KS	440,000	440,000
(FC)	TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	1,977,000	1,977,000
(FC)	WILSON LAKE, KS	1,655,000	1,655,000
	KENTUCKY		
(MP)	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	8,005,000	8,005,000
(FC)	BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,077,000	2,077,000
(N)	BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,170,000	1,170,000
(FC)	BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,317,000	1,317,000
(FC)	CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,406,000	1,406,000
(FC)	CAVE RUN LAKE, KY	808,000	808,000
(FC)	DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,431,000	1,431,000
(N)	ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	325,000	325,000
(FC)	FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	1,450,000	1,450,000
(FC)	GRAYSON LAKE, KY	1,048,000	1,048,000
(N)	GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	1,601,000	1,601,000
(FC)	GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	1,672,000	1,672,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	105,000	105,000
(N)	KENTUCKY RIVER, KY	4,488,000	4,488,000
(MP)	LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	1,266,000	1,266,000
(N)	LICKING RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY	17,000	17,000
(FC)	MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	686,000	686,000
(FC)	MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	52,000	52,000
(FC)	NOLIN LAKE, KY	1,764,000	1,764,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	59,814,000	55,814,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	5,447,000	5,447,000
(FC)	PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	920,000	920,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, KY	4,000	4,000
(FC)	ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	1,531,000	1,531,000
(FC)	TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,056,000	1,056,000
(MP)	WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	3,927,000	4,677,000
(FC)	YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1,090,000	1,090,000
	LOUISIANA		
(N)	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	7,681,000	7,681,000
(N)	BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA	1,450,000	1,450,000
(FC)	BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA	481,000	481,000
(N)	BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA	5,000	5,000
(FC)	BAYOU PIERRE, LA	25,000	25,000
(N)	BAYOU TECHE AND VERMILION RIVER, LA	50,000	50,000
(N)	BAYOU TECHE, LA	140,000	2,750,000
(FC)	CADDO LAKE, LA	114,000	114,000
(N)	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	6,980,000	7,000,000
(N)	FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	2,960,000	2,960,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA & TX	19,561,000	21,000,000
(N)	HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	841,000	841,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	423,000	423,000
(N)	LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	368,000	368,000
(N)	MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	43,000	43,000
(N)	MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	2,808,000	2,808,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	1,095,000	1,095,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,	46,220,000	46,220,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA	11,580,000	13,000,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA	80,000	80,000
(N)	RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L	8,337,000	9,837,000
	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA	1,960,000	1,960,000
	TCHEFUNCTE RIVER AND BOGUE FALAYA RIVER, LA	---	250,000
(FC)	WALLACE LAKE, LA	184,000	184,000
(N)	WATERWAY FROM EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA	5,000	5,000
(N)	WATERWAY FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO B DULAC, LA	165,000	165,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	MAINE		
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ME	15,000	15,000
(N)	KENNEBEC RIVER, ME	301,000	301,000
(N)	PORTLAND HARBOR, ME	400,000	4,500,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	1,596,000	1,596,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME	17,000	17,000
	MARYLAND		
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD	440,000	440,000
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	570,000	570,000
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	14,558,000	14,558,000
(N)	CHESTER RIVER, MD	335,000	335,000
(FC)	CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	105,000	105,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD	32,000	32,000
(FC)	JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,492,000	1,492,000
(N)	KNAPPS NARROWS, MD	70,000	70,000
(N)	NANTICOKE RIVER NORTHWEST FORK, MD	75,000	75,000
	NORTHEAST RIVER, MD	---	450,000
(N)	OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	330,000	330,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	306,000	306,000
(N)	ROCK HALL HARBOR, MD	260,000	260,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	83,000	83,000
	SHALLOW CREEK, MD	---	250,000
(N)	TWITCH COVE AND BIG THOROFARE RIVER, MD	575,000	575,000
(N)	WICOMICO RIVER, MD	305,000	305,000
	MASSACHUSETTS		
	AUNT LYDIA'S COVE, MA	---	750,000
(FC)	BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	409,000	409,000
(FC)	BIRCH HILL DAM, MA	695,000	695,000
(N)	BOSTON HARBOR, MA	7,000,000	7,000,000
(FC)	BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	367,000	367,000
(N)	CAPE COD CANAL, MA	8,416,000	8,416,000
(FC)	CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	232,000	232,000
(FC)	CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	133,000	133,000
(FC)	EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	273,000	273,000
(FC)	HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	349,000	349,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	72,000	72,000
(FC)	KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	381,000	381,000
(FC)	LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	526,000	526,000
(FC)	NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER,	329,000	329,000
(N)	NEWBURYPORT HARBOR, MA	594,000	594,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	873,000	873,000
(FC)	TULLY LAKE, MA	401,000	401,000
(FC)	WEST HILL DAM, MA	633,000	633,000
(FC)	WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	333,000	333,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	MICHIGAN		
(N)	CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	110,000	110,000
(N)	CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI	194,000	194,000
(N)	DETROIT RIVER, MI	2,392,000	2,392,000
(N)	FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI	49,000	49,000
(N)	GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	704,000	704,000
(N)	HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	497,000	497,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	205,000	205,000
(N)	KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	286,000	286,000
(N)	LELAND HARBOR, MI	154,000	154,000
(N)	LEXINGTON HARBOR, MI	259,000	259,000
(N)	LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	1,641,000	1,641,000
(N)	MANISTEE HARBOR, MI	421,000	421,000
(N)	MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI	247,000	247,000
(N)	MENOMINEE HARBOR, MI & WI	4,000	4,000
(N)	MONROE HARBOR, MI	622,000	622,000
(N)	MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI	881,000	881,000
(N)	ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI	724,000	724,000
	PENTWATER HARBOR, MI	---	1,900,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	367,000	367,000
(N)	ROUGE RIVER, MI	416,000	416,000
(N)	SAGINAW RIVER, MI	1,275,000	1,275,000
(N)	SAUGATUCK HARBOR, MI	2,003,000	2,003,000
(FC)	SEBEWAING RIVER (ICE JAM REMOVAL), MI	10,000	10,000
(N)	ST CLAIR RIVER, MI	571,000	571,000
(N)	ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI	1,422,000	1,422,000
(MP)	ST MARYS RIVER, MI	20,720,000	20,720,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	3,192,000	3,192,000
(N)	WHITE LAKE HARBOR, MI	1,874,000	1,874,000
	MINNESOTA		
	ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY PROJECT, DULUTH, MN	---	500,000
(FC)	BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	566,000	566,000
(N)	DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	4,085,000	4,085,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	97,000	97,000
(FC)	LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	490,000	490,000
(N)	MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	155,000	155,000
(FC)	ORWELL LAKE, MN	797,000	797,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN	17,000	17,000
(FC)	RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	444,000	444,000
(N)	RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	3,699,000	3,699,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	31,000	31,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	MISSISSIPPI		
(N)	BILOXI HARBOR, MS	10,000	10,000
(N)	CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	8,000	8,000
(FC)	EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS	120,000	120,000
(N)	GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	2,200,000	2,200,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	114,000	114,000
(N)	MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	101,000	101,000
(FC)	OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS	1,700,000	1,700,000
(N)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	2,900,000	2,900,000
(N)	PEARL RIVER, MS & LA	263,000	263,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS	4,000	4,000
(N)	ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	415,000	415,000
(N)	YAZOO RIVER, MS	15,000	15,000
	MISSOURI		
(N)	CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	159,000	159,000
(MP)	CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO	4,445,000	4,445,000
(FC)	CLEARWATER LAKE, MO	2,067,000	2,067,000
(MP)	HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO	7,444,000	7,444,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	377,000	377,000
(FC)	LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO	777,000	777,000
(FC)	LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO	814,000	814,000
(N)	MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	13,908,000	13,908,000
(N)	NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	206,000	206,000
(FC)	POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO	1,789,000	1,789,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO	5,000	5,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MO	50,000	50,000
(FC)	SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO	1,049,000	1,049,000
(N)	SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO	280,000	280,000
(MP)	STOCKTON LAKE, MO	3,560,000	3,560,000
(MP)	TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO	5,051,000	5,051,000
(FC)	WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO	20,000	20,000
	MONTANA		
(MP)	FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT	4,671,000	4,671,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT	23,000	23,000
(MP)	LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT	1,570,000	1,570,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MT	67,000	67,000
	NEBRASKA		
(MP)	GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	7,138,000	7,138,000
(FC)	HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE	1,679,000	1,679,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE	170,000	170,000
	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE	---	350,000
(MP)	MISSOURI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE, IA, KS, MO,	1,900,000	1,900,000
(MP)	MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING, NE.	200,000	200,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE	597,000	597,000
(FC)	SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	786,000	786,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NE	113,000	113,000
	NEVADA		
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	36,000	36,000
(FC)	MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA	588,000	588,000
(FC)	PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	284,000	284,000
	NEW HAMPSHIRE		
(FC)	BLACKWATER DAM, NH	410,000	410,000
	COCHECHO RIVER, NH	---	1,000,000
(FC)	EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH	522,000	522,000
(FC)	FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH	591,000	591,000
(FC)	HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH	964,000	964,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NH	10,000	10,000
(FC)	OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH	493,000	493,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NH	126,000	126,000
(FC)	SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH	485,000	485,000
	NEW JERSEY		
(N)	BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	1,050,000	1,050,000
(N)	COLD SPRING INLET, NJ	390,000	390,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ	305,000	305,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ; PA & DE	16,550,000	17,400,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ	1,000,000	1,000,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ	429,000	429,000
(N)	NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ	2,195,000	2,195,000
(N)	NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ	590,000	590,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ	805,000	805,000
	NEW MEXICO		
(FC)	ABIQUIU DAM, NM	1,287,000	1,287,000
(FC)	COCHITI LAKE, NM	1,944,000	1,944,000
(FC)	CONCHAS LAKE, NM	1,293,000	1,293,000
(FC)	GALISTEO DAM, NM	277,000	277,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM	83,000	83,000
(FC)	JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM	339,000	339,000
(FC)	SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM	969,000	969,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM	124,000	124,000
(FC)	TWO RIVERS DAM, NM	337,000	337,000
	UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL	---	850,000
	NEW YORK		
(FC)	ALMOND LAKE, NY	449,000	449,000

59

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	ARKPORT DAM, NY	227,000	227,000
(N)	BAY RIDGE AND RED HOOK CHANNELS, NY	75,000	75,000
(N)	BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY	4,057,000	4,057,000
(N)	BRONX RIVER, NY	700,000	700,000
(N)	BUFFALO HARBOR, NY	1,027,000	1,027,000
(N)	BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY	730,000	730,000
(N)	DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY	434,000	434,000
(N)	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY	384,000	384,000
(N)	EASTCHESTER CREEK, NY	900,000	900,000
(N)	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	1,650,000	1,650,000
(N)	FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	75,000	75,000
(N)	HUDSON RIVER, NY	2,380,000	2,380,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY	543,000	543,000
(N)	JAMAICA BAY, NY	1,000,000	1,000,000
	MAMARONECK HARBOR, NY	---	1,500,000
(FC)	MT MORRIS LAKE, NY	1,340,000	1,340,000
(N)	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	760,000	760,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ	4,930,000	4,930,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	740,000	740,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	3,310,000	3,310,000
(N)	OSWEGO HARBOR, NY	345,000	345,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	1,710,000	1,710,000
(N)	ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY	680,000	680,000
(FC)	SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	715,000	715,000
(N)	STURGEON POINT HARBOR, NY	15,000	15,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	538,000	538,000
(N)	WESTCHESTER CREEK, NY	700,000	700,000
(FC)	WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	517,000	517,000
	NORTH CAROLINA		
	AVON HARBOR, NC	---	1,000,000
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	5,454,000	5,454,000
(FC)	B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,119,000	1,119,000
(N)	BEAUFORT HARBOR, NC	350,000	350,000
(N)	BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC	490,000	490,000
(N)	CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	667,000	667,000
(N)	CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC	700,000	700,000
(FC)	FALLS LAKE, NC	842,000	842,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	22,000	22,000
(N)	LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC	503,000	503,000
(N)	MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	4,865,000	4,865,000
(N)	MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	3,885,000	3,885,000
(N)	NEW RIVER INLET, NC	800,000	800,000
(N)	NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	575,000	575,000
(N)	PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC	75,000	75,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	59,000	59,000
(N)	ROANOKE RIVER, NC	75,000	75,000
(FC)	W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	1,472,000	1,472,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	5,700,000	5,700,000
	NORTH DAKOTA		
(FC)	BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND	179,000	179,000
(MP)	GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND	9,471,000	9,546,000
(FC)	HOMME LAKE, ND	177,000	177,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND	105,000	105,000
(FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND	1,206,000	1,206,000
(FC)	PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	409,000	409,000
(FC)	SOURIS RIVER, ND	276,000	276,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND	31,000	31,000
	OHIO		
(FC)	ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	628,000	628,000
(N)	ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	1,420,000	1,420,000
(FC)	BERLIN LAKE, OH	3,189,000	3,189,000
(FC)	CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,060,000	1,060,000
(FC)	CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH	724,000	724,000
(N)	CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	6,456,000	6,456,000
(N)	CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH	325,000	325,000
(FC)	DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	720,000	720,000
(FC)	DELAWARE LAKE, OH	680,000	680,000
(FC)	DILLON LAKE, OH	768,000	768,000
(N)	FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	385,000	385,000
(N)	HURON HARBOR, OH	1,000,000	1,000,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	217,000	217,000
(N)	LORAIN HARBOR, OH	530,000	530,000
	MAHONING RIVER, OH AND PA	---	250,000
(FC)	MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	25,000	25,000
(FC)	MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	1,032,000	1,032,000
(FC)	MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	1,234,000	1,234,000
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	6,186,000	6,186,000
(FC)	NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	319,000	319,000
(FC)	PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	595,000	595,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	75,000	75,000
	ROCKY RIVER, OH	---	750,000
(FC)	ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	30,000	30,000
(N)	SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	935,000	935,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	166,000	166,000
(N)	TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	3,385,000	3,385,000
(FC)	TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	251,000	251,000
(FC)	WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	543,000	543,000
(FC)	WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH	818,000	818,000
	OKLAHOMA		
(FC)	ARCADIA LAKE, OK	347,000	347,000
(FC)	BIRCH LAKE, OK	635,000	635,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(MP)	BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	1,350,000	1,350,000
(FC)	CANDY LAKE, OK	18,000	18,000
(FC)	CANTON LAKE, OK	1,509,000	1,509,000
(FC)	COPAN LAKE, OK	618,000	618,000
(MP)	EUFAULA LAKE, OK	4,074,000	4,074,000
(MP)	FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	3,647,000	3,647,000
(FC)	FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	696,000	696,000
(FC)	GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	240,000	240,000
(FC)	HEYBURN LAKE, OK	651,000	651,000
(FC)	HUGO LAKE, OK	1,285,000	1,285,000
(FC)	HULAH LAKE, OK	433,000	433,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	75,000	75,000
(FC)	KAW LAKE, OK	1,446,000	1,446,000
(MP)	KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	3,367,000	3,367,000
(FC)	OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	1,915,000	1,915,000
(FC)	OPTIMA LAKE, OK	54,000	54,000
(FC)	PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	36,000	36,000
(FC)	PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	1,112,000	1,112,000
(MP)	ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK	3,695,000	3,695,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE, OK	908,000	908,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	344,000	344,000
(FC)	SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	869,000	869,000
(MP)	TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	3,296,000	3,296,000
(FC)	WAURIKA LAKE, OK	1,393,000	1,393,000
(MP)	WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	3,795,000	3,795,000
(FC)	WISTER LAKE, OK	1,201,000	1,201,000
	OREGON		
(FC)	APPLEGATE LAKE, OR	740,000	740,000
(FC)	BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	233,000	233,000
(MP)	BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	5,111,000	5,111,000
(N)	CHETCO RIVER, OR	383,000	383,000
(N)	COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA	12,122,000	12,122,000
	COLUMBIA AND LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVERS BELOW VANCOUVER, WA AND PORTLAND, ASTORIA BOAT BASIN NORTH BREAKWATER	---	4,000,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	6,960,000	6,960,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O	391,000	391,000
(N)	COOS BAY, OR	4,601,000	4,601,000
(N)	COQUILLE RIVER, OR	421,000	421,000
(FC)	COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	751,000	751,000
(MP)	COUGAR LAKE, OR	855,000	855,000
(N)	DEPOE BAY, OR	9,000	9,000
(MP)	DETROIT LAKE, OR	951,000	951,000
(FC)	DORENA LAKE, OR	399,000	399,000
(FC)	FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	523,000	523,000
(FC)	FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	905,000	905,000
(MP)	GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	1,245,000	1,245,000
(MP)	HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	422,000	422,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	180,000	180,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(MP)	JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	3,936,000	3,936,000
(MP)	LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	1,941,000	1,941,000
(MP)	LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	2,889,000	2,889,000
(MP)	MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	3,304,000	3,304,000
(N)	PORT ORFORD, OR	502,000	502,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	135,000	135,000
(N)	ROGUE RIVER, OR	1,056,000	1,056,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR	120,000	120,000
(N)	SIUSLAW RIVER, OR	878,000	878,000
(N)	SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR	175,000	175,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	7,000	7,000
(N)	TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR	13,000	13,000
(N)	UMPQUA RIVER, OR	1,294,000	1,294,000
(N)	WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	497,000	497,000
(FC)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	499,000	499,000
(FC)	WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR	590,000	590,000
(N)	YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	2,891,000	3,960,000
	PENNSYLVANIA		
(N)	ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	6,791,000	8,291,000
(FC)	ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	659,000	659,000
(FC)	AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	223,000	223,000
(FC)	BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	916,000	916,000
(FC)	BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,236,000	2,236,000
(FC)	CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	1,149,000	1,149,000
(FC)	COWANESQUE LAKE, PA	1,512,000	1,512,000
(FC)	CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	1,648,000	1,648,000
(FC)	CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	672,000	804,000
(FC)	EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	916,000	916,000
(N)	ERIE HARBOR, PA	15,000	15,000
(FC)	FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	723,000	723,000
(FC)	FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	688,000	688,000
(FC)	GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	271,000	271,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	215,000	215,000
(FC)	JOHNSTOWN, PA	288,000	1,388,000
(FC)	KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,423,000	1,423,000
(FC)	LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	1,121,000	1,121,000
(FC)	MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	1,930,000	1,930,000
(N)	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	14,438,000	14,438,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	15,000	15,000
(FC)	PROMPTON LAKE, PA	408,000	408,000
(FC)	PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	14,000	14,000
(FC)	RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	3,084,000	4,690,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA	56,000	56,000
(N)	SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	50,000	50,000
(FC)	SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	2,167,000	2,167,000
(FC)	STILLWATER LAKE, PA	333,000	333,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	66,000	66,000
(FC)	TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	1,917,000	1,917,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	TIONESTA LAKE, PA	1,437,000	1,437,000
(FC)	UNION CITY LAKE, PA	284,000	284,000
(FC)	WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA	798,000	798,000
(FC)	YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	566,000	566,000
(FC)	YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	1,795,000	1,795,000
	RHODE ISLAND		
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, RI	5,000	5,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI	527,000	527,000
(N)	PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	1,143,000	1,143,000
	SOUTH CAROLINA		
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	3,325,000	3,425,000
(N)	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	4,716,000	5,616,000
(N)	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	3,211,000	3,211,000
(N)	FOLLY RIVER, SC	230,000	430,000
(N)	GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	2,414,000	2,414,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC	24,000	24,000
	LITTLE RIVER INLET, SC & NC	---	40,000
	MURRELLS INLET, SC	---	42,000
	PORT ROYAL, SC	---	100,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	40,000	40,000
(N)	SHIPYARD RIVER, SC	270,000	270,000
(N)	TOWN CREEK, SC	340,000	340,000
	SOUTH DAKOTA		
(MP)	BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD	6,476,000	6,576,000
(FC)	COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	204,000	204,000
(FC)	COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD	184,000	184,000
(MP)	FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	7,417,000	7,567,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD	14,000	14,000
(FC)	LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN	1,440,000	1,440,000
(MP)	MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT	3,000,000	3,000,000
(MP)	OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND	8,467,000	9,217,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD	70,000	70,000
	TENNESSEE		
(MP)	CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	5,635,000	5,635,000
(MP)	CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN	4,826,000	4,826,000
(MP)	CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	4,554,000	4,554,000
(MP)	DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	3,810,000	3,810,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	18,000	18,000
(MP)	J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	3,571,000	3,571,000
(MP)	OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	5,925,000	5,925,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN	5,000	5,000
(N)	TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	12,886,000	12,886,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N)	WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	285,000	285,000
	TEXAS		
(FC)	AQUILLA LAKE, TX	585,000	585,000
(FC)	ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI	1,090,000	1,090,000
(N)	BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX	909,000	909,000
(FC)	BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,465,000	1,465,000
(N)	BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX	1,170,000	1,170,000
(FC)	BELTON LAKE, TX	2,835,000	2,835,000
(FC)	BENBROOK LAKE, TX	2,080,000	2,080,000
(N)	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	1,400,000	1,400,000
(FC)	BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	2,175,000	2,175,000
(FC)	CANYON LAKE, TX	2,516,000	2,516,000
	CHANNEL TO LIBERTY, TX	---	600,000
(N)	CHANNEL TO PORT MANSFIELD, TX	1,790,000	1,790,000
(N)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	6,845,000	6,845,000
	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL (RINCON CANAL), TX	---	275,000
(MP)	DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX	5,895,000	5,895,000
(FC)	ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	14,000	14,000
(FC)	FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	2,584,000	2,584,000
(N)	FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	4,050,000	4,050,000
(N)	GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	1,755,000	1,755,000
(N)	GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	1,160,000	1,160,000
(N)	GIWW, CHOCOLATE BAYOU, TX	100,000	100,000
(FC)	GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,578,000	1,578,000
(FC)	GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	2,388,000	2,388,000
(N)	GREENS BAYOU CHANNEL, TX	660,000	660,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	18,381,000	18,381,000
(FC)	HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX	1,378,000	1,378,000
(N)	HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	7,930,000	7,930,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	355,000	355,000
(FC)	JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	3,302,000	3,302,000
(FC)	JOE POOL LAKE, TX	863,000	863,000
(FC)	LAKE KEMP, TX	208,000	208,000
(FC)	LAVON LAKE, TX	3,851,000	3,851,000
(FC)	LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	3,170,000	3,170,000
(N)	MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	110,000	110,000
(N)	MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX	1,770,000	1,770,000
(FC)	NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	1,554,000	1,554,000
(FC)	NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	1,817,000	1,817,000
(FC)	O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	893,000	893,000
(FC)	PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	928,000	928,000
(FC)	PROCTOR LAKE, TX	1,711,000	1,711,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	50,000	50,000
(FC)	RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	777,000	777,000
(N)	SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	7,200,000	7,200,000
(MP)	SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	4,346,000	4,346,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	222,000	222,000
(FC)	SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	3,033,000	3,033,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	1,888,000	1,888,000
(MP)	TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	1,612,000	1,612,000
(FC)	WACO LAKE, TX	2,299,000	2,299,000
(FC)	WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	780,000	780,000
(MP)	WHITNEY LAKE, TX	3,815,000	3,815,000
(FC)	WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,605,000	2,605,000
	UTAH		
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	55,000	55,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	496,000	496,000
	VERMONT		
(FC)	BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT	731,000	731,000
	BURLINGTON HARBOR BREAKWATER, VT	---	875,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT	28,000	28,000
(N)	NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY	536,000	536,000
(FC)	NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	586,000	586,000
(FC)	NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	680,000	680,000
(FC)	TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT	547,000	547,000
(FC)	UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	602,000	602,000
	VIRGINIA		
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, VA	2,300,000	2,300,000
(N)	CHANNEL TO NEWPORT NEWS, VA	45,000	45,000
(N)	CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	800,000	800,000
(FC)	GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	1,602,000	1,602,000
(N)	HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM	912,000	912,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	84,000	84,000
(N)	JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	3,333,000	4,100,000
(MP)	JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC	7,950,000	7,950,000
(FC)	JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	1,246,000	1,246,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V	280,000	280,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	6,483,000	6,483,000
(FC)	NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	333,000	333,000
(MP)	PHILPOTT LAKE, VA	2,027,000	2,027,000
(N)	POTOMAC RIVER AT ALEXANDRIA, VA	180,000	180,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA	723,000	723,000
(N)	RUDEE INLET, VA	794,000	794,000
(N)	THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA	159,000	159,000
(N)	WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	1,115,000	1,115,000
	WASHINGTON		
(MP)	CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	1,019,000	1,019,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR	3,000	3,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA	6,000	6,000
(N)	EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,212,000	1,212,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N)	GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	6,900,000	10,900,000
(FC)	HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	1,421,000	1,421,000
(MP)	ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,269,000	2,269,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	175,000	175,000
(N)	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	7,608,000	7,608,000
(MP)	LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,069,000	1,069,000
(MP)	LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,389,000	2,389,000
(MP)	LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,169,000	1,169,000
(FC)	MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	1,722,000	1,722,000
(FC)	MT ST HELENS, WA	404,000	404,000
(FC)	MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	2,188,000	2,188,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	302,000	302,000
(N)	PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA	1,013,000	1,013,000
(N)	QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	1,213,000	1,213,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	400,000	400,000
(N)	SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	780,000	780,000
(FC)	STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	180,000	180,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	58,000	58,000
(N)	SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA	457,000	457,000
(FC)	TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	68,000	68,000
(MP)	THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR	1,929,000	1,929,000
(N)	WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	75,000	2,000,000
	SEATTLE HARBOR, EAST WATERWAY CHANNEL DEPENING, WA	---	1,400,000
	TRI-CITIES AREA, WASHINGTON	---	550,000
	WEST VIRGINIA		
(FC)	BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	976,000	976,000
(FC)	BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	1,021,000	1,441,000
(FC)	BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,294,000	1,294,000
(FC)	EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	1,513,000	1,513,000
(N)	ELK RIVER HARBOR, WV	385,000	385,000
(FC)	ELKINS, WV	11,000	11,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	103,000	103,000
(N)	KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV	8,130,000	8,130,000
(FC)	R D BAILEY LAKE, WV	1,484,000	1,484,000
(FC)	STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	914,000	914,000
(FC)	SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,298,000	1,298,000
(FC)	SUTTON LAKE, WV	1,470,000	1,470,000
(N)	TYGART LAKE, WV	2,235,000	2,235,000
	WISCONSIN		
(N)	ASHLAND HARBOR, WI	171,000	171,000
(FC)	EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	674,000	674,000
(N)	FOX RIVER, WI	2,360,000	3,000,000
(N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	1,212,000	1,212,000
(N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI (DIKE DISPOSAL)	3,603,000	3,603,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI	42,000	42,000
(N)	KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI	325,000	325,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC)	LA FARGE LAKE, WI	51,000	51,000
(N)	MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI	274,000	274,000
(N)	MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	1,629,000	1,629,000
(N)	PORT WASHINGTON HARBOR, WI	201,000	201,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI	8,000	8,000
(N)	SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI	619,000	619,000
(N)	STURGEON BAY, WI	475,000	475,000
(N)	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI	27,000	27,000
	WYOMING		
(FC)	JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	1,506,000	1,506,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	340,000	340,000
	MISCELLANEOUS		
	COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM	4,000,000	2,500,000
	CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION)	2,000,000	1,500,000
	DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM	1,075,000	500,000
	DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)	8,000,000	5,000,000
	DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM	2,000,000	1,500,000
	EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES	2,000,000	1,900,000
	GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS	---	500,000
	HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION	575,000	500,000
	MANAGEMENT TOOLS FOR O&M	600,000	---
	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN MAIN STEM MODEL DEVELOPMENT	2,000,000	1,000,000
	MONITORING OF COASTAL NAVIGATION PROJECTS	2,000,000	1,500,000
	NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	40,000	20,000
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP)	6,000,000	5,500,000
	NATIONAL RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT (NRMS) PROGRAM	1,850,000	1,000,000
	PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM	515,000	415,000
	PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SECTION 3)	50,000	50,000
	RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION	675,000	500,000
	REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS	500,000	500,000
	WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM	850,000	750,000
	WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS	4,400,000	4,000,000
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-22,918,000	-44,253,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,603,000,000	1,653,252,000

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates $42,500,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act instead of $40,948,000 as proposed by the House and $44,948,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount above the budget request is for Central Utah Project construction.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates $617,045,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of $596,254,000 as proposed by the House and $671,869,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $45,990,000 for the Central Arizona Project. The conferees direct that $3,718,000 of the reduction below the budget request be distributed as described in the House report.

The conferees understand that the Department of the Interior has not responded to a request for exemption from the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 submitted by the Harquahala Valley Irrigation District. The managers urge the Department to respond to this request as expeditiously as possible.

The conference agreement includes $4,900,000 for the American River Division of the Central Valley Project for the Placer County Water Agency permanent replacement pumping facility.

The conference agreement includes $2,250,000 for the Delta Division of the Central Valley Project for construction of the fish screen at the Contra Costa Canal intake at Rock Slough.

Of the amount provided for Miscellaneous Project Programs, Central Valley Project, $5,500,000 is for Refuge Water Supply.

The conference agreement includes $13,776,000 for resources management and development activities of the Sacramento River Division of the Central Valley Project. The conferees that the amount above the budget request be distributed as follows: an addition of $850,000 for the integrated resources management program of the Colusa Basin Drainage District; an addition of $600,000 for the Hamilton City Pumping Plant of the Glenn Colusa Irrigation District; and $400,000 for the Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Captive Broodstock Program.

The conference agreement includes $200,000 in final year funding for the Walker River Basin, Nevada, project.

The conference agreement includes $2,100,000 for the Upper Rio Grande Basin Water Management and Technical Assistance

Program in New Mexico. Of this amount, $2,000,000 is for the confirmatory well drilling program described in the Senate report.

The conference agreement includes $200,000 for a feasibility study of the Curry and Roosevelt County portion of the Ute Reservoir Pipeline project.

The conference agreement includes $15,248,000 for the Endangered Species Recovery Implementation program. Of this amount, $700,000 is for endangered species recovery activities in the San Juan River Basin.

The conference agreement includes $6,000,000 for the Reclamation Recreation Management (Title XXVIII) program. Of this amount, $2,500,000 is for projects in Colorado, including the Bonny Reservoir Project; $2,000,000 is for recreation facility improvements in New Mexico, as described in the Senate report; and $1,500,000 is for the Yuma West Wetlands Restoration project.

The conferees have not provided funding for the proposed Unscheduled Maintenance program. The conferees note, however, that generous funding has been provided for facilities operations, maintenance and rehabilitation for Bureau projects throughout the West. The conferees expect the Bureau to efficiently manage these resources and to reorder priorities and utilize its existing reprogramming authority to address unanticipated needs as they arise.

The conferees are aware that, contrary to the Department of the Interior's budget justification, the Department has failed to finalize an implementation plan for the Anadromous Fish Restoration Plan (AFRP) in fiscal year 1999. The conferees believe that the activities carried out under the AFRP should be based on sound science and carried out in a manner that is consistent with and complementary to the activities being undertaken by the CALFED program. Further, without such an implementation plan, the conferees are unable to determine whether or not the activities proposed to be carried out under the AFRP are ecologically justified as well as reasonable and prudent. The conferees direct the Department to provide the relevant committees of the House and Senate, as soon as possible the Department's ARFP implementation plan and an explanation of how each activity expected to be undertaken with funds appropriated in fiscal year 1999 is consistent with such implementation plan.

The Department is directed to conform to the following reprogramming guidelines. The Bureau is permitted to transfer, without prior Congressional approval and without regard to percentage limitation, not more than $5,000,000 in any one case to provide adequate funds for settled contractor claims, increased contractor earnings due to accelerated rates of operations, and real estate deficiency judgments, provided that such reprogramming is necessary to discharge legal obligations of the Bureau of Reclamation.

As to each project within the Resources Management and Development category for which $2,000,000 or more is available at the beginning of the fiscal year, the Bureau is permitted to transfer to such project in that fiscal year no more than fifteen percent of the amount available at the beginning of the fiscal year for such project, without prior Congressional approval. As to each project

within the Resources Management and Development category for which less than $2,000,000 is available at the beginning of the fiscal year, the Bureau is permitted to transfer to such project no more than $300,000 in that fiscal year without prior Congressional approval.

The Bureau if further permitted to transfer funds within the Facility Operation, Maintenance and Rehabilitation category without prior Congressional approval and without regard to percentage or dollar limitation.

The Bureau may not transfer, without prior Congressional approval, more than $500,000 from either the Facilities Operation, Maintenance and Rehabilitation category or the Resources Management and Development category to any project in the other category. The Bureau is prohibited from initiating any program, project or activity through an internal reprogramming action.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and Senate providing that, of the total amount provided for Water and Related Resources, $25,800,000 shall be derived by transfer of unexpended balances from the Bureau of Reclamation Working Capital Fund.

The conference agreement includes language providing for the transfer of $45,990,000 to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund instead of $49,908,000 as proposed by the House and $46,218,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate to increase the authorized level of appropriations for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial features of the Garrison Unit Diversion project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing $3,600,000 to complete the McCall Area Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse, Idaho, project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funding to study measures to increase the efficiency of existing water systems developed to serve sugar cane plantations and surrounding communities in the State of Hawaii. Funding for such a study has been included in the General Investigations account of the Corps of Engineers.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate waiving the scheduled annual payments for fiscal years 1998 and 1999 under section 208 of Public Law 100–202.

The conference agreement includes language providing $2,800,000 for the Tooele Wastewater Treatment and Reuse Project.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates $8,421,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account instead of $12,425,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $33,130,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund as proposed by the House instead of $39,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate which directs the Bureau of Reclamation to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102–575.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

The conference agreement appropriates $75,000,000 for the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration program as proposed by the House instead of $65,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates $47,000,000 for Policy and Administration instead of $46,000,000 as proposed by the House and $48,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	BUDGET ESTIMATES FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OM&R
	WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES				
	ARIZONA				
	AK CHIN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	---	7,080,000	---	7,080,000
	CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT (LCRBDF)	49,908,000	---	45,990,000	---
	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE I	2,407,000	6,966,000	2,407,000	6,966,000
	COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM	2,950,000	---	2,950,000	---
	NORTHERN AZ WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	650,000	---	---	---
	SALT RIVER PROJECT, HORSE MESA DAM	---	1,500,000	---	1,500,000
	SOUTH/CENTRAL AZ WATER MANAGEMENT & TECH ASST PROGRAM	1,050,000	---	525,000	---
	SOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT	3,000,000	---	3,000,000	---
	TUCSON AREA WATER RECLAMATION STUDY	---	---	200,000	---
	TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION	400,000	---	1,200,000	---
	YUMA AREA PROJECTS	---	22,213,000	---	22,213,000
	CALIFORNIA				
	CACHUMA PROJECT	531,000	6,160,000	531,000	6,160,000
	CALIFORNIA WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	1,863,000	---	600,000	---
	CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PROJECT	1,300,000	---	---	---
	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT:				
	AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION	9,722,000	9,558,000	9,176,000	9,558,000
	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT IMPROVEMENT ACT	1,222,000	---	1,222,000	---
	DELTA DIVISION	13,216,000	4,791,000	15,216,000	4,791,000
	EAST SIDE DIVISION	413,000	3,543,000	413,000	3,543,000
	FRIANT DIVISION	2,602,000	2,196,000	2,602,000	2,196,000
	MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS	15,846,000	2,754,000	15,846,000	2,754,000
	SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	11,926,000	735,000	13,776,000	735,000
	SAN FELIPE DIVISION	692,000	---	692,000	---
	SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	7,900,000	---	7,900,000	---
	SHASTA DIVISION	4,457,000	8,965,000	4,457,000	8,965,000
	TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	3,653,000	6,759,000	3,653,000	6,759,000
	WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	1,014,000	5,063,000	1,014,000	5,063,000
	WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT	5,331,000	6,993,000	5,331,000	6,993,000
	YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION	2,000,000	---	2,000,000	---
	LONG BEACH/LA COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT	1,300,000	---	---	---
	LOS ANGELES AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	10,000,000	---	10,000,000	---
	LOWER COLORADO WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	500,000	---	150,000	---
	NORTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	1,300,000	---	---	---
	ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT	1,000,000	---	---	---
	ORLAND PROJECT	---	685,000	---	685,000
	SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	400,000	---	400,000	---
	SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM	13,000,000	---	13,000,000	---
	SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT	2,500,000	---	2,500,000	---
	SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	3,000,000	---	3,000,000	---
	SOLANO PROJECT	906,000	975,000	906,000	975,000
	SO. CALIF. COMPREHENSIVE WATER RECLAMATION STUDY	200,000	---	200,000	---
	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER MGMT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	680,000	---	320,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	BUDGET ESTIMATES FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OM&R
	COLORADO				
	ANIMAS-LAPLATA PROJECT, SECTIONS 5 & 8	3,000,000	---	3,000,000	---
	COLLBRAN PROJECT	1,206,000	1,039,000	1,206,000	1,039,000
	COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	104,000	7,158,000	104,000	7,158,000
	COLORADO WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	733,000	---	300,000	---
	FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT	50,000	12,000	50,000	12,000
	FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	160,000	4,447,000	160,000	4,447,000
	GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP	506,000	150,000	506,000	150,000
	LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT	722,000	908,000	720,000	908,000
	LOWER GUNNISON BASIN UNIT, CRBSCP	---	318,000	---	318,000
	MANCOS PROJECT	55,000	18,000	55,000	18,000
	PARADOX UNIT, CRBSCP	---	2,474,000	---	2,474,000
	PINE RIVER PROJECT	76,000	46,000	76,000	46,000
	SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CLOSED BASIN/CONEJOS	234,000	3,152,000	234,000	3,152,000
	UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT	79,000	18,000	79,000	18,000
	UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN SELENIUM STUDY	440,000	---	440,000	---
	IDAHO				
	BOISE AREA PROJECTS	2,837,000	2,340,000	2,837,000	2,340,000
	COLUMBIA-SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	13,116,000	---	10,500,000	---
	DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY, BOISE PROJECT	50,000	---	50,000	---
	IDAHO WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	715,000	---	547,000	---
	MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	3,639,000	1,832,000	3,639,000	1,832,000
	MINIDOKA NORTHSIDE DRAINWATER PROJECT	300,000	---	300,000	---
	MCCALL AREA WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	---	---	3,600,000	---
	KANSAS				
	EQUUS BEDS GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT	---	---	500,000	---
	KANSAS WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	613,000	---	515,000	---
	WICHITA PROJECT	---	188,000	---	188,000
	MONTANA				
	FORT PECK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	---	---	1,500,000	---
	FORT PECK RESERVATION, MR&I WATER SYSTEM	---	---	360,000	---
	HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT	---	770,000	---	770,000
	MILK RIVER PROJECT	250,000	479,000	250,000	479,000
	MONTANA WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	863,000	---	315,000	---
	ROCKY BOYS INDIAN WTR RIGHTS SETTLEMENT STUDY	1,000,000	---	1,000,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	BUDGET ESTIMATES FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OM&R
	NEBRASKA				
	MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	44,000	22,000	44,000	22,000
	NEBRASKA WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	337,000	---	180,000	---
	NEVADA				
	CARSON RIVER BASIN GROUNDWATER STUDY, NV	---	---	100,000	---
	LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL WETLANDS DEVEL., NV	---	---	500,000	---
	LAS VEGAS SHALLOW AQUIFER DESALINATION, NV	---	---	2,300,000	---
	NEWLANDS PROJECT	5,360,000	344,000	5,360,000	344,000
	SOUTHERN NEVADA/UTAH WATER MGMT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	125,000	---	---	---
	SPARKS WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	---	---	250,000	---
	WALKER RIVER BASIN	---	---	200,000	---
	WASHOE PROJECT	1,021,000	590,000	1,008,000	590,000
	NEW MEXICO				
	CARLSBAD PROJECT	845,000	490,000	845,000	490,000
	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	2,015,000	8,929,000	2,015,000	8,929,000
	PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT	---	178,000	---	178,000
	RIO GRANDE PROJECT	685,000	2,972,000	685,000	2,972,000
	SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN WATER MGMT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	171,000	---	100,000	---
	SAN JUAN GALLUP-NAVAJO WATER SUPPLY	---	---	150,000	---
	SAN JUAN RIVER GALLUP. MT. TAYLOR MINE	---	---	200,000	---
	SOUTHERN NM/WEST TX WATER MGMT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	225,000	---	200,000	---
	UPPER RIO GRANDE BSN WATER MGMT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	356,000	---	2,100,000	---
	UTE RESERVOIR PIPELINE	---	---	200,000	---
	VELARDE COMMUNITY DITCH PROJECT	3,995,000	---	3,995,000	---
	NORTH DAKOTA				
	DAKOTA TRIBES WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	165,000	---	165,000	---
	DAKOTA WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	362,000	---	250,000	---
	GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP	20,402,000	3,712,000	22,402,000	3,712,000
	OKLAHOMA				
	ARBUCKLE PROJECT	---	140,000	---	140,000
	MCGEE CREEK PROJECT	---	493,000	---	493,000
	MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	---	193,000	---	193,000
	NORMAN PROJECT	---	126,000	---	126,000
	OKLAHOMA WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	367,000	---	255,000	---
	WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	---	599,000	---	599,000
	W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	---	223,000	---	223,000
	OREGON				
	CENTRAL OREGON IRRIG. SYS. CONSERVATION FEASIBILITY	125,000	---	125,000	---
	CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	116,000	318,000	116,000	318,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	BUDGET ESTIMATES FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OM&R
	DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	1,000,000	---	500,000	---
	DESCHUTES PROJECT	105,000	115,000	100,000	115,000
	GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY	50,000	---	50,000	---
	KLAMATH PROJECT	5,429,000	354,000	5,429,000	354,000
	OREGON WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	910,000	---	525,000	---
	ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	93,000	1,105,000	93,000	1,105,000
	TUALATIN PROJECT	13,000	96,000	13,000	96,000
	UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT, PHASE III STUDY	200,000	---	200,000	---
	UMATILLA PROJECT	331,000	1,815,000	331,000	1,815,000
	SOUTH DAKOTA				
	MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT	10,000,000	---	15,000,000	---
	MNI WICONI PROJECT	26,717,000	4,627,000	26,717,000	4,627,000
	RAPID CITY WASTEWATER REUSE STUDY	100,000	---	100,000	---
	RAPID VALLEY PROJECT	---	16,000	---	16,000
	TEXAS				
	CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT	---	92,000	---	92,000
	NUECES RIVER PROJECT	---	324,000	---	324,000
	EL PASO-LAS CRUCES REGIONAL SUSTAINABLE WATER PROJ	---	---	200,000	---
	EL PASO WATER RECLAMATION & REUSE	---	---	750,000	---
	PALMETTO BEND PROJECT	---	504,000	---	504,000
	SAN ANGELO PROJECT	---	2,017,000	---	2,017,000
	TEXAS WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	325,000	---	400,000	---
	UTAH				
	CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT, BONNEVILLE	800,000	451,000	800,000	451,000
	HYRUM PROJECT	38,000	23,000	38,000	23,000
	MOON LAKE PROJECT	11,000	19,000	11,000	19,000
	NAVAJO SANDSTONE AQUIFER RECHARGE STUDY	---	---	100,000	---
	NEWTON PROJECT	33,000	9,000	33,000	9,000
	NORTHERN UTAH WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	278,000	---	260,000	---
	OGDEN RIVER PROJECT	47,000	12,000	47,000	12,000
	PROVO RIVER PROJECT	265,000	197,000	241,000	197,000
	SCOFIELD PROJECT	40,000	3,000	40,000	3,000
	SOUTHERN UTAH WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	403,000	---	200,000	---
	STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	84,000	3,000	84,000	3,000
	TOOELE WASTEWATER TREATMENT & REUSE PROJECT	800,000	---	2,800,000	---
	WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,445,000	3,525,000	1,427,000	3,525,000
	WEBER RIVER PROJECT	210,000	7,000	210,000	7,000
	WASHINGTON				
	COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	3,865,000	6,749,000	3,712,000	6,749,000
	WASHINGTON WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	395,000	---	200,000	---
	YAKIMA PROJECT	204,000	9,764,000	204,000	9,764,000
	YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WTR ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	8,980,000	---	8,980,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	BUDGET ESTIMATES FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OM&R
	WYOMING				
	KENDRICK PROJECT	4,000	2,660,000	4,000	2,660,000
	NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	84,000	1,126,000	84,000	1,126,000
	SHOSHONE PROJECT	34,000	845,000	34,000	845,000
	WYOMING WATER MANAGEMENT AND TECH ASST PROGRAM	300,000	---	150,000	---
	VARIOUS				
	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, T. II BASINWIDE	12,300,000	---	12,300,000	---
	COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SEC. 5	1,931,000	902,000	1,931,000	902,000
	COLORADO RIVER STORAGE, SECT. 8, REC, FISH & WILDLIFE.	3,682,000	---	3,476,000	---
	COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT	75,000	---	75,000	---
	DEPARTMENT IRRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM	3,505,000	---	3,103,000	---
	EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES PROGRAM	5,250,000	---	3,000,000	---
	ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION	15,007,000	---	15,248,000	---
	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	1,993,000	---	1,979,000	---
	EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES	---	3,898,000	---	3,898,000
	FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM	---	281,000	---	281,000
	GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES	2,247,000	---	1,700,000	---
	LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	6,129,000	---	6,129,000	---
	LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	6,603,000	---	5,752,000	---
	MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS	24,000	950,000	24,000	950,000
	NATIONAL FISH & WILDLIFE FOUNDATION	1,500,000	---	1,500,000	---
	NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS	8,905,000	---	7,680,000	---
	NEGOTIATION & ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING	884,000	---	662,000	---
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	85,000	464,000	85,000	464,000
	PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN - OTHER PROJECTS	2,668,000	23,678,000	2,450,000	23,678,000
	POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	1,023,000	550,000	1,023,000	550,000
	PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM	371,000	9,000	371,000	9,000
	RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION	4,873,000	---	4,696,000	---
	RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE 28	4,440,000	---	6,000,000	---
	RECREATION & FISH & WILDLIFE PROG. ADMININISTRATION	1,891,000	---	1,891,000	---
	SAFETY OF DAMS:				
	DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	---	1,500,000	---	1,500,000
	SAFETY OF DAMS EVALUATION & MODIFICATION	---	56,550,000	---	47,763,000
	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY:				
	APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	6,140,000	---	3,242,000	---
	DESALINATION RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	1,300,000	---	2,500,000	---
	GROUNDWATER RECHARGE	250,000	---	250,000	---
	HYDROELECTRIC INFRASTR. PROTECTION & ENHANCE	700,000	---	700,000	---
	TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT	300,000	---	285,000	---
	WATERSHED/RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT	1,500,000	---	1,000,000	---
	SITE SECURITY	---	5,000,000	---	5,000,000
	SOIL & MOISTURE CONSERVATION	144,000	---	144,000	---
	TITLE XVI WATER RECLAMATION & REUSE STUDY	2,100,000	---	---	---
	UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES	100,000	---	100,000	---
	UNSCHEDULED MAINTENANCE	---	1,500,000	---	---
	WATER MANAGEMENT CONSERVATION PROGRAM	7,551,000	---	6,000,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	BUDGET ESTIMATES FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE RESOURCES MGMT & DEVELOPMENT	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE FACILITIES OM&R
	WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT	7,296,000	---	5,700,000	---
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS	---	-30,093,000	---	-39,050,000
	WORKING CAPITAL FUND TRANSFER	-25,800,000	---	-25,800,000	---
	TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES	396,863,000	243,261,000	393,028,000	224,017,000
	LOAN PROGRAM				
	CALIFORNIA				
	CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER	2,600,000	---	2,600,000	---
	CHINO BASIN DESALINATION	2,114,000	---	2,114,000	---
	SALINAS VALLEY	1,700,000	---	1,700,000	---
	SAN SEVAINE PROJECT	781,000	---	781,000	---
	TEMESCAL VALLEY PROJECT	801,000	---	801,000	---
	OREGON				
	MILLTOWN HILL, DOUGLAS COUNTY	4,004,000	---	---	---
	VARIOUS				
	LOAN ADMINISTRATION	425,000	---	425,000	---
	TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM	12,425,000	---	8,421,000	---

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreements are discussed below.

REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 1999 unless specifically identified by the House, Senate, or conference agreement. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance in writing and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS

The Department is directed to ensure that all nuclear facilities for which construction begins in the year 2000 and beyond, with the exception of those defense nuclear facilities and naval reactor facilities deemed by the Secretary of Energy to be critical to national security needs, are constructed in accordance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing standards. The Department should ensure that this requirement does not result in a program requirement to meet two separate sets of standards (both DOE and NRC), but should ensure a smooth transition for meeting NRC standards.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House report language which directs the Department to take certain actions and provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations reports on the computer security and year 2000 computer problem, the Department of Energy organizational structure, the functional support cost system, and augmenting Federal staff.

CONTRACTOR TRAINING

The conferees agree with the House report language on excessive contractor training costs. The conferees are also aware of a recent Inspector General report indicating that the Department was not acquiring hazardous materials training in the most cost-effective manner. The conferees direct the Department to use the most cost-effective alternatives available to meet all training needs at Departmental sites.

INAPROPRIATE USE OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS

Both the House and Senate included language citing the inappropriate use of funds by the Department. In response to congressional concerns, the Department conducted an internal review entitled: *A Review of the Department of Energy's Discretionary Financial Assistance Programs* which was prepared by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Procurement and Assistance Management. This

September 1997 report documented various shortcomings in the Department's procedures for awarding funds to certain outside groups, particularly to certain groups funded by the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. The conferees support the recommendations of the Department's report including the introduction of merit review and competitive procedures, and education of Department employees, contractors and financial recipients with regard to lobbying restrictions. All of these concerns have also been documented in a separate report prepared by the investigative staff of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives. The conferees commend the Department for taking an interest in these long-standing problems and fully support the Department's internal efforts to correct procedures and improve management of these programs.

After careful consideration of the House and Senate positions, the conferees have decided that the following language should guide the Department of Energy's work with industry associations. The conferees conclude that, as a general rule, appropriated funds should not be used to underwrite the operating expenses of industry associations. The restriction should not be broadly interpreted by the Department to prevent the Department from securing the services of an association for appropriate discrete tasks. In the funding of specific tasks carried out by industry associations, the Department is directed to use merit review procedures and to observe government-wide rules for financial assistance, including rules governing the payment of overhead expenses.

Financial assistance activities for information dissemination and outreach activities conducted by industry associations shall be competed, and these awards shall be processed by Headquarters Procurement Operations or the Chicago or Idaho Operations Offices. The Department is expected to make substantial progress in fiscal year 1999 in the use of broad area solicitations and other appropriate mechanisms to facilitate increased competition for discretionary financial assistance. Any non-competitive financial assistance awards in excess of $5,000 made by the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy to trade associations or other not-for-profit groups for information dissemination or outreach activities from funds provided in this bill must be reviewed by the Assistant Secretary of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. The Department shall apply these mechanisms to the extent practicable to any follow-on tasks or expansion of existing tasks under financial assistance agreements for information dissemination and outreach.

The conferees also share the Department's concerns about the structure of the Golden field office organization. In particular, the conferees are concerned about organizational arrangements under which contracting personnel report directly to project and administrative managers. While a "teaming" concept may be appropriate under certain circumstances, the conferees support the Department's statements that an independent financial assistance and contracting organization would provide clearer lines of authority and professional accountability to ensure sound grant processing and award decisions. Accordingly, the conferees direct that the financial assistance and contracting organization at the Golden field office be reorganized as an independent organization, separate from

the organizations which it supports. This direction is consistent with the recommendation of the Department's procurement executive.

The conferees also support the Department's recommendation that the Department educate Department employees, contractors and recipients of Federal funds about statutory lobbying prohibitions. The conferees are very concerned about the lack of understanding of existing statutes including the Simpson-Craig amendment to the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995. This provision prohibits the award of Federal grants, contracts and financial assistance to 501(c)(4) organizations which engage in lobbying activities. The Department issued guidance in December 1997 to contractors and Departmental employees on lobbying prohibitions. The Department is directed to ensure that all program offices are aware of this guidance, and take all necessary steps to ensure its implementation.

COMPETING WITH PRIVATE SECTOR COMPANIES

The Department of Energy's laboratories are prohibited from competing with the private sector by numerous statutes and regulations including the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and provisions in the Federal Acquisition Regulation regarding Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

The conferees have received complaints that the Department of Energy has failed to enforce these provisions at the laboratories and other facilities, and that adequate recourse is not available to those that allege harm.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to assess the statutory and regulatory limitations on laboratories and other Departmental entities allegedly competing with the private sector, and to ascertain what grievance mechanisms are available to the private sector. The Secretary is directed to provide this information to the Committees by March 1, 1999, and make such information readily available to the private sector.

GENERAL REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

In the event that specific program guidance contained in the House, Senate, or conference reports requires a general reduction of available funding, such reductions shall not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity.

ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement appropriates $727,091,000 instead of $882,834,000 as proposed by the House of $786,854,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference report includes $3,000 for official reception and representation expenses for transparency activities as proposed by the House, instead of $25,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference report has provided funding on an annual basis as recommended by the House instead of two-year funding as provided by the Senate. The conference report does not include bill language stipulating certain amounts for various solar and renewable programs as provided in the Senate bill. The con-

ference report does not include the $1,500,000 provided in the Senate bill for expenses related to the U.S. membership in the Nuclear Energy Agency.

SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES

The conference agreement appropriations $365,905,000 instead of $351,405,000 as proposed by the House or $415,292,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Solar building technology research.—The conference agreement includes $2,900,000, instead of $2,200,000 as proposed by the House or $3,860,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $100,000 to improve computer models that predict the reliability of solar systems made of new materials. The remainder of the funds are provided for technology development.

Photovoltaic systems research and development.—The conference agreement includes $69,683,000 as proposed by the House instead of $75,849,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $2,883,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. Within the $66,800,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, the conferees have provided $27,000,000 for advanced materials and devices, $16,000,000 for systems engineering and reliability, $11,000,000 for fundamental research, $9,000,000 for manufacturing research and development and $1,500,000 for the PV Building Opportunities program. Within available funds, the conferees have included $1,500,000 to support ongoing photovoltaic research done by the Southeast and Southwest regional experiment stations. The conferees agree with the observations made in the House report, but have not included a prohibition regarding the Department's participation in the Million Solar Roofs Initiative. The conferees have included $1,500,000 for work on financing mechanisms, measurement and evaluation, technical standards and infrastructure such as net metering capability in support of the partnership.

Solar thermal energy systems.—The conference agreement includes $17,000,000, instead of $17,100,000 as proposed by the House or $21,618,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $5,500,000 for thermal systems research, $5,000,000 for dish/engine development, $3,200,000 for power tower development, $1,000,000 for the SOLMAT initiative and $1,000,000 for systems and markets/industrial assistance. The conferees direct that the Department submit its plan to complete its participation in the Solar Two project with submission of the fiscal year 2000 budget request.

Biomass/biofuels research and developments.—The conference agreement includes $99,949,000, instead of $100,799,000 as proposed by the House or $104,033,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $27,199,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request.

The conference agreement includes $31,000,000 for power systems of which $15,000,000 is provided for rural development, $2,500,000 is provided for co-firing biomass with coal, $750,000 for the Plunas county ethanol project, and $1,000,000 is provided for

demonstration of black liquor gasification. The recommendation includes $41,750,000 for transportation of which $4,000,000 is included for the Sacramento Valley ehtanol project. The conference agreement also includes $1,750,000 for the Gridley project which, combined with amounts provided in previous fiscal years, results in a total of $5,000,000 available for the Gridley project. The conferees urge the Department to complete the Jennings, Lousiana, plant and Vermont gasifier projects as agreed upon with its partners.

The conference agreement includes $300,000 for the Vermont methane energy production proposal and $100,000 to evaluate the amount, distribution and best method of extraction and utilization of methane gas from the Sunrise Mountain landfill in Nevada.

The recommendation also includes $2,500,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research, $4,600,000 for feedstock development and $2,500,000 for regional biomass each of which is to be equally derived from the power systems and transportation programs. The conferees have also provided $3,000,000 for accelerated demonstration of Federally-sponsored research for renewable energy production and environmental remediation projects at the Michigan Biotechnology Institute. The Institute will work with the Department to identify and manage projects related to the mission of the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. Proposals will be subject to merit review and competition.

Wind energy research and development.—The conference agreement includes $33,483,000, as proposed by the House, instead of $38,548,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $283,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. Within the $33,200,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, $10,700,000 is for applied research, $16,400,000 is for turbine research, $3,000,000 is for industry support and utility analysis, $1,300,000 is for operations at the National Wind Technology Center; and a minimum of $1,700,000, the amount requested, is for certification and standards activities. The conferees have been assured that the certification program will be in place in fiscal year 1999. The conferees welcome the attainment of one of the goals of the wind energy program. In the event that funding requirements for certification exceed the amount requested, the Department may reduce funding for other non-Energy Research wind activities to supplement the $1,700,000 provided for certification.

Renewable energy production incentive.—The conference agreement includes $4,000,000, as proposed by the Senate instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Solar program support.—The conference agreement does not include funding for this new spending program proposed by the Administration. This is consistent with the proposal of the House. The Senate proposed $7,000,000 for this program. The conferees have provided $1,500,000 for electricity restructuring activities as part of the amount provided below for program direction.

International solar energy.—The conference agreement includes $3,750,000 instead of $500,000 as proposed by the House or $5,088,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within this amount, $2,500,000 is exclusively for the U.S. Initiative on Joint Implementation. Of this amount, $1,250,000 is to be provided expeditiously

to International Utility Efficiency Partnerships, Inc. (IUEP). IUEP shall competitively award projects continuing its leadership role in reducing carbon dioxide emissions using market-based mechanisms. The Department shall consolidate any international projects funded from other solar programs under the $1,250,000 provided for joint implementation activities to be managed by the Department.

No funds provided in this or any prior Act are to be made available for the America's 21st Century or CORECT programs. The conferees have also provided $1,250,000 for the Federal Energy Technology Center for design and siting analysis for an electron scrubbing demonstration project.

Solar technology transfer.—The conference agreement does not include funding for this new spending program proposed by the Administration. This is consistent with the proposal of the House. The Senate proposed $680,000 for this program.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL).—The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $1,000,000 for infrastructure and general purpose equipment. The remaining $1,000,000 is to be made available following submission of a program plan by the winner of the competition for the management and operating contract at NREL.

Geothermal technology development.—The conference agreement includes $28,500,000 instead of $27,500,000 as proposed by the House or $31,250,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $11,000,000 for exploration and production technology, $5,000,000 for drilling technology and $6,000,000 for energy conversion technology.

The conferees have provided $6,500,000 for the geothermal heat pump deployment program as proposed by the House, a $5,500,000 increase over the amount proposed by the Senate. The conferees note that fiscal year 1999 is the last year of funding for this program.

Hydrogen research and development.—The conference agreement includes $24,008,000 instead of $18,008,000 as proposed by the House or $32,008,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $3,008,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. The conferees have provided $2,225,000 for the Hydrogen Fuel Cell Power and Refueling Station in Nevada and $350,000 for the Montana Trade Port Authority in Billings, Montana, to complete a resource assessment and feasibility study on construction of a solid waste hydrogen fuel cell manufacturing facility. The conference agreement does not include the Senate proposal to provide $250,000 for gasification of switchgrass for use in fuel cells.

Hydropower.—The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of $4,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and an increase of $1,250,000 over the amount provided last year. The amount provided is exclusively for cost-shared research and development of "fish-friendly" turbines.

Renewable Indian energy resources.—The conference agreement includes $3,500,000 instead of $4,000,000 as proposed by the Senate or no funds as proposed by the House. The conferees have pro-

vided $1,000,000 for the Pyramid Creek hydroelectric project, $1,000,000 for a diesel backup system at Sitka, Alaska; $1,000,000 for the Power Creek hydroelectric project and $500,000 for hydroelectric and transmission projects partially funded in fiscal year 1998.

Electric energy systems and storage.—The conference agreement includes $39,500,000 instead of $38,000,000 as proposed by the House or $42,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $32,500,000 for high-temperature superconducting research and development, $4,500,000 for energy storage systems and $2,500,000 to support a national laboratory/utility industry partnership to conduct research on reliability of the nation's electricity infrastructure including the impact of electricity restructuring on safety and reliability.

Federal buildings/remote power initiative.—The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the House and Senate. The conferees understand that the Department has been successful in identifying and funding proposals that met the criteria established last year by the Congress. The conferees direct that the funding for these programs be equally divided. Projects funded under the remote power and Federal buildings programs are required to meet a twenty-five year payback period.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes $17,100,000 instead of $15,600,000 as proposed by the House or $16,326,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy continues to lead the Department in the ratio of salaries and expenses to program dollars. All funding for support service contractors and Assistant Secretary/cross-cutting activities is provided in program direction. The conference agreement includes $1,500,000 for electricity restructuring activities. The Department is directed to prepare and submit a program plan to the Committees on Appropriations describing this new program. The proposal should identify a discrete role the Department would play that would not be duplicative of services provided by the private sector, state governments or other Federal agencies. Within the amount provided, the conferees have included funds for technical assistance to states undergoing restructuring, including $150,000 in support of restructuring activities of the California Energy Commission. The conferees support the Department's efforts to continue its work with electric utilities to facilitate voluntary, cost-effective means to reduce emissions from power generation and approve the use of limited funding from program direction for this purpose.

NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conference agreement appropriates $283,966,000 instead of $227,769,000 as proposed by the House or $308,662,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $19,000,000 for the nuclear energy research initiative instead of $24,000,000 as recommended by the Senate or $5,000,000 as recommended by the House. The conferees have not included funding for the nuclear energy plant optimization program for which the Senate provided $10,000,000 and the House provided no funds.

Advanced radioisotope power systems.—The conference agreement includes $37,000,000 instead of $40,500,000 as provided by the Senate and $35,000,000 as provided by the House. The conferees continue to be concerned about the lack of interest the Department has shown in streamlining management, reducing the infrastructure, and reducing the extensive level of support service contractors in this program. The Department is directed to prepare a plan to streamline and reduce costs for this program. The plan is to be included with the fiscal year 2000 budget request.

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The conference agreement includes $11,000,000 instead of $10,000,000 as provided by the Senate and $12,000,000 as provided by the House. The conferees have provided $4,500,000 for the nuclear engineering education research grant program and $1,000,000 each for the university graduate fellowship and industry matching programs. The conferees note that the Department has recommended a 50% increase for Historically Black Colleges and Universities over the amount set aside in fiscal year 1997 and a new start to begin a pre-college nuclear science and technology program. The conferees include funding for these two programs at the same levels provided in fiscal year 1997.

Termination costs.—The conference agreement provides $85,000,000 instead of $81,150,000 as recommended by the House or no funding under this heading as recommended by the Senate. The Senate provided funding for these activities in two other budget lines: Facilities and Nuclear technology research and development. The conference agreement provides funding for these activities consistent with how funds have been provided in fiscal year 1998. The conferees have provided a total of $45,000,000 for electrometallurgical-related activities including $20,000,000 for nuclear technology research and development.

Fast Flux Text Facility.—The conference agreement includes a new line item for this facility. The conferees have provided $30,000,000 instead of $31,200,000 recommended by the House in the Non-Defense Environmental Management account or $28,100,000 recommended by the Senate in the Facilities program included in the Energy Supply account.

Uranium programs.—The conference agreement includes $49,000,000 instead of $53,518,000 as proposed by the House or $55,362,000 as proposed by the Senate. The recommendation reflects the elimination of increases requested in the budget request and acceptance of decreases.

The conferees urge the Secretary to implement a program to begin the stabilization and disposal of depleted uranium hexafluoride stockpiles located at the Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio gaseous diffusion plants, and at Oak Ridge, Tennessee. The conferees urge the Secretary of Engery to develop a plan consistent with the intent of Public Law 105–204.

The conferees are aware that the Department has signed a memorandum of agreement with the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) to transfer $50,000,000 from USEC in the exchange for accepting the disposal of depleted uranium hexafluoride stockpiles, and an additional $16,000,000 to cover the costs of storing USEC-generated depleted uranium hexafluoride canisters. The

conferees direct the Secretary to provide the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with an accounting of how the Department intends to use this additional funding within 90 days of enactment of this legislation.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House requiring submission of a report on the status of safeguards at the gaseous diffusion plants.

Isotope support.—The conference agreement includes $21,500,000 instead of $14,000,000 as proposed by the House or $22,450,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Department is directed to submit its plan for privatizing the molybdenum-99 production activities to the Committees on Appropriations no later than December 31, 1998.

Program direction.—The conferees have provided $24,700,000 which includes all direct and indirect funding for Office of Nuclear Energy employees, including those transferred to the Office of Nonproliferation and National Security. The conferees have included $3,700,000 for all support service contracts in accordance with Departmental budget rules. The conferees have not stipulated the amount to be provided for employees transferred to the Office of Nonproliferation and National Security.

The conferees note that the Department has requested $1,005,000 for travel which represents approximately $5,500 per employee of the Office of Nuclear Energy. The conferees believe this amount to be excessive. In particular, the conferees are concerned about the unprecedented level of travel undertaken by the current Director of International Nuclear Safety Programs (formerly, the Director of Nuclear Energy). The conferees have not included any travel funds for the Director in fiscal year 1999. Any changes to the amounts provided require submission and approval of a reprogramming request.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes $50,398,000 instead of $46,000,000 recommended by the House or $56,000,000 recommended by the Senate. The conferees have reduced the funding for support service contractors by $5,000,000 instead of $10,000,000 recommended by the House or no reduction as recommended by the Senate.

The Department is currently conducting pilot projects to determine the impacts of external regulation on various facilities. However, several of the pilot projects have included only the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and not the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) or affected State and local authorities. Since there are many issues involving the interface between NRC and OSHA and other State and local authorities as well as with DOE, the usefulness of these pilots to determine the full impacts of external regulation is limited. The Department is directed to include all affected regulatory authorities in all future pilot projects. The conferees have provided funding to support OSHA participation in these pilot projects.

ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

Technical information management program.—The conference agreement includes $8,600,000 instead of $9,100,000 as proposed by the House or $8,100,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Transfer of funds to the Occupational Safety And Health Administration.—The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 to be transferred to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) for conducting pilot programs and other activities necessary to simulate the transition of regulatory authority over occupational safety and health at DOE facilities to OSHA.

With the funding provided, OSHA is to participate in all DOE external regulation pilot projects. OSHA has declined to participate in several of the earlier pilot projects due to limited resources. This has severely limited the usefulness of the pilots.

Field offices.—The conference agreement provides $104,127,000 instead of $85,000,000 as proposed by the House or $95,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided funding for Federal employees at the Idaho field office in this account as proposed by the Senate.

Oak Ridge landlord.—The conference agreement includes $11,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of $12,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The reduction from the budget request reflects the availability of $1,500,000 as a result of the reprogramming approved by the Committees on Appropriations on March 16, 1998.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference report includes two funding adjustments. The $47,905,000 adjustment represents the funding provided for renewable energy research programs managed by the Office of Energy Research and funded in the Science account. The conferees have included a prior year balance adjustment of $50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of $31,535,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees have not included the general reduction of $10,795,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates $431,200,000 instead of $466,700,000 as proposed by the House and $418,254,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have provided funding for the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) at Richland, Washington, in the Energy Supply account as proposed by the Senate, rather than in this account as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement provides an additional $5,700,000 to accelerate cleanup at the Brookhaven National Laboratory. The conferees are aware of several smaller sites and laboratories that could benefit from additional funds and urge the Department to seek additional funding in fiscal year 2000 to accelerate the cleanup of these sites.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $220,200,000 instead of $225,000,000 as proposed by the House and $196,827,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees understand that an increase in the authorization for the Federal reimbursement for thorium mill tailings is necessary to raise the ceiling from $65,000,000 to $140,000,000. The conferees support this necessary increase in the Federal reimbursement for thorium mill tailings.

SCIENCE

The conference agreement appropriates $2,682,860,000 for Science instead of $2,399,500,000 as proposed by the House and $2,634,207,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the University of Nevada Las Vegas.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides $696,500,000 for high energy physics. This is the amount provided by the House and represents a $3,000,000 increase over the budget request for facilities operations and a $2,500,000 increase for research and technology over the amount requested by the Administration. The increase is provided for maximum use of university and laboratory-based user facilities.

Nuclear physics.—The conference agreement provides $335,100,000 for nuclear physics. This is the amount provided by the House and represents a $2,500,000 increase over the amount requested by the Administration. The increase is provided for maximum use of university and laboratory-based user facilities.

Biological and environmental research.—The conference agreement includes $443,600,000 instead of $405,900,000 as recommended by the House or $407,600,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have included $3,000,000 in addition to the amount in the budget request for the low-dose effects program for which an additional $5,000,000 is provided in the Defense Environmental Management account. The conferees have provided $10,500,000 only for the Institute of Molecular Biology and Medicine, to continue microbial genomics research initiated in fiscal year 1998. The conferees have also provided $8,000,000 to Sacramento County as the Local Redevelopment Authority for medical research and educational development at the McClellan nuclear reactor center, in conjunction with the University of California—Davis.

The conferees have provided $1,000,000 for the Gallo Institute of the Cancer Institute of New Jersey for regional prostate cancer research, education and treatment initiatives to develop model outreach and early diagnosis and intervention strategies, focusing on one of the highest incidence regions in the nation and of prostate cancer in minority men. The conference agreement also includes $1,000,000 to begin planning for the marine mammal research and education center at the National Energy Laboratory in Hawaii. The conferees have also provided $2,500,000 for the bone marrow trans-

plantation/radioimmunotherapy demonstration project at the City of Hope National Medical Center in California.

The conference report includes $10,000,000 for the creation of a program to develop technologies using advanced functional brain imaging methodologies, including magnetoencephalography, for conduct of basic research in mental illness and neurological disorders. The conference report includes $2,000,000 for the State University (New York), Stony Brook, to create a comprehensive cancer institute to serve as a focal point (in conjunction with regional cancer centers, the Brookhaven National Laboratory and the Cold Springs Harbor Laboratory) for a concentrated, multi-disciplinary approach to basic and clinical research, detection and molecular analysis of cancer, and development of new diagnostics and therapies targeting cancer. The conference report includes $1,000,000 for the design, planning and construction of an interdisciplinary science facility at the University of Alabama Tuscaloosa. The conference report includes $1,000,000 for the continued construction of the Highlands University Science Center in New Mexico. The conference report includes $7,000,000 to be evenly divided between the West Virginia University National Education and Technology Center and the University of South Carolina Medical Center to support the utilization of Positron Emission Tomography.

Basic energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes $809,100,000 instead of $779,100,000 as recommended by the House or $836,100,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have included $7,000,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, the same as the House-approved level and $3,000,000 less than the amount provided by the Senate. The conference agreement also includes $500,000 for research related to identification of trace element isotopes in environmental samples to be done at the University of Nevada Las Vegas.

Spallation Neutron Source.—The recommendation includes $130,000,000 to begin construction of a new spallation neutron source. The conferees have provided $101,400,000 for line-item construction costs and $28,600,000, the amount of the budget request, for related research and development. The total amount provided is a reduction of $27,000,000 from the budget request and an increase of $107,000,000 over the current fiscal year.

Computational and technology research.—The conference agreement includes $143,000,000 instead of $138,640,000 as recommended by the House or $150,000,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees support the House provision regarding funding for the Next Generation Internet initiative. However, the conferees have provided $5,000,000 more than the amount provided by the House for improved utilization of the Department's existing computing infrastructure. Funding is provided for unique Internet tools for technologies that will not be available in the commercial marketplace in any reasonable timeframe and to maintain existing connections to the university community that are supported in the present research network.

FUSION ENERGY SCIENCES

The conferees have provided a total of $229,750,000 for fusion energy sciences, a $1,590,000 increase over the amount in the budget request. The conference agreement includes $223,300,000 for the fusion energy sciences program. Funding for this program has been provided in the Science account as recommended by the Senate instead of the Energy Supply account as recommended by the House. The conferees have provided up to $6,450,000 for all program direction expenses related to the fusion program within the $49,800,000 provided in the Science account for program direction. The conferees note that the Department continues to emphasize tokamak development at the expense of other promising technologies. The conferees continue to be very supportive of the increased emphasis on innovative confinement concepts and university-based experiments. The conferees encourage the Secretary to provide sufficient resources for these efforts. In particular, special emphasis should be placed on funding operations, upgrades, and enhanced design work on both existing and proposed alternative concept experiments at the proof-of-principle level, including an increase for inertial confinement.

International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER).—The conferees note that the ITER agreement expired on July 21, 1998. For the past several years, Congress has been clear that the U.S. commitment to ITER extended only through fiscal year 1998. The Department is directed not to sign an extension of this agreement without the written consent of the authorizing and appropriations committees of the House and Senate. The conferees understand and support the value of international collaboration. The Department is encouraged to consider the possibility of utilizing the existing international fusion center in San Diego in future collaborations.

The conferees note that the description of ITER and ITER-related activities in the budget request is not comparable to the classification of these activities in fiscal year 1998, but support the orderly completion of research and development of components that can be completed in fiscal year 1999. For example, the conferees fully expect the Department to meet its commitment to the delivery and testing of the central solenoid model coil.

The conferees have included $12,200,000 as directly related to completion of ITER-related activities, including funds to complete research and development in the base technology program and to provide for orderly ITER closeout costs. The Department must submit a reprogramming request if requirements exceed the $12,200,000 provided.

Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR).—In fiscal year 1997, Congress terminated funding for the TFTR. The conferees note that TFTR has ceased operation and that many parts of the TFTR facility will be re-used for the new National Spherical Torus Experiment. Currently, the Department is spending approximately $4,000,000 annually for care-taking of the remaining TFTR components. The Department has no immediate plans for the decommissioning of the TFTR unit, proposing to continue care-taking expenses indefinitely. The conferees have been made aware of decom-

missioning proposals to complete decommissioning in three years, with estimated savings of $25,000,000. The conferees direct the Department to prepare a reasonable, timely and cost-effective decommissioning plan and to submit a plan to begin decommissioning in the fiscal year 2000 budget request. The Department shall consult with the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory throughout the development of this plan.

University and Science Education.—The conferees have adopted the House provision to provide funding for the Laboratory Cooperative, National Science Bowl and Albert Einstein Distinguished Educator Fellowships programs within the amount provided for program direction. Consistent with action taken over the last two fiscal years, the conferees have not included funding for grade school curriculum development programs and other education initiatives included in the Department of Energy's budget request. The conferees continue to support the various programs offered through the nation's laboratories. The conferees encourage the Department to seek opportunities to support work such as that performed by the Science and Technology Alliance.

PROGRAM DIRECTION

The recommendation is $49,800,000, instead of $43,100,000 as proposed by the House or $37,600,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $45,300,000 for standard program direction activities including up to $6,450,000 for salaries and expenses for the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences. The conferees have also provided an additional $4,500,000 to fund the Laboratory Cooperative, National Science Bowl, and Albert Einstein Distinguished Educator Fellowships programs as proposed by the House. The conferees take this action to establish a legitimate funding mechanism for these activities.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes four funding adjustments. The $7,600,000 adjustment represents previously appropriated funds the Department has identified as surplus. The funds were provided as part of the closeout costs related to cancellation of the Superconducting Super Collider. The $13,500,000 adjustment represents an estimate of the policy-related work requested as part of the Climate Change Technology Initiative. This adjustment is to be made exclusively to the Basic Energy Sciences and Biological and Environmental Research programs. The conference agreement includes a $13,000,000 prior year balance adjustment as proposed by the Senate instead of no adjustment as proposed by the House. The conference agreement also includes a $5,700,000 general reduction. To the extent practicable, the conferees direct that general reductions are not applied to operation of user facilities. The conferees have not included the general reduction of $42,353,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement appropriates $169,000,000 instead of $160,000,000 as proposed by the House and $190,000,000 as pro-

posed by the Senate. The conference agreement changes the name of this account to "Nuclear Waste Disposal", and provides $165,000,000 to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund to continue the repository program.

The conference agreement provides $4,000,000 to be appropriated from the General Fund for a civilian research and development program to conduct a study of accelerator transmutation of waste (ATW) technology. The Department is to establish, in coordination with its laboratories, a road map for the development of ATW technology. The road map should identify the technical issues that must be resolved, a proposed time schedule and program to resolve these issues, and the estimated cost of such a program. The road map should also consider and propose collaborative efforts with other countries developing ATW technology and other programs developing accelerator technology. In addition, the report should include an assessment of the institutional challenges of this program, the impact this technology could have on the civilian spent nuclear fuel program, areas of development which could have benefits to other ongoing programs, and the estimated capital and operational life cycle costs to treat civilian spent nuclear fuel.

The conference agreement includes $250,000 for the State of Nevada instead of $4,875,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funds as proposed by the House. This funding will be provided to the Department of Energy which will reimburse the State for actual expenditures on appropriate scientific oversight responsibilities conducted pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. These funds may not be used for salaries and expenses for State employees in the oversight office.

The conference agreement includes $5,540,000 for affected units of local government as proposed by the Senate instead of no funds as proposed by the House. Funding for the affected local governments is to be allocated in the same proportion as was provided to each affected local government in fiscal year 1998.

The conference agreement includes $500,000 for the University of Nevada-Las Vegas to manage data from scientific studies of Yucca Mountain. No funds have been earmarked to study canister aging and corrosion. The conference agreement includes a 10 percent reduction from the budget request for the management and administrative support service contractors at the Yucca Mountain Office and Headquarters. The House had proposed reducing all support service contracts by 10 percent.

Consistent with the requirement in Public Law 104–206, the conferees reiterate the importance of the timely completion of the Viability Assessment of the Yucca Mountain site and expect the Department to provide this assessment to the President and Congress during 1998. The Viability Assessment is a significant prerequisite to the national decision to accept high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel at a Federal facility.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates $200,475,000 for Departmental Administration instead of $175,365,000 as proposed by the House and $234,755,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding of $37,627,000 is to be transferred to this account from Other De-

fense Activities. Revenues of $136,530,000 are estimated to be received in fiscal year 1999, resulting in a net appropriation of $63,945,000.

The conference agreement includes bill language proposed by the House providing additional amounts for cost of work for others provided that such increases are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount.

The conferees have provided $35,000 for official reception and representation expenses of the Department of Energy as proposed by the Senate instead of $5,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Department to be prudent in the use of these funds and to submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations providing a detailed description of each expenditure from this account in fiscal year 1999.

The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of $500,000 or 5 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage this account. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of $500,000 or 5 percent to an individual program account during the fiscal year require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

The conferees direct the Department to develop guidelines for its overseas employees based on the guidelines in effect for employees of the Department of State.

INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $29,000,000 for the Inspector General instead of $29,500,000 as proposed by the House and $27,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates $4,400,000,000 instead of $4,142,100,000 as proposed by the House and $4,445,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense must be provided in accordance with procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

Stockpile stewardship.—The conference agreement supports funding for activities in the core stockpile stewardship program with the following specific adjustments. The accelerated strategic computing initiative (ASCI) program has been reduced by $23,200,000 resulting in a total program level of $305,900,000. The agreement includes the additional $10,000,000 proposed by the House for the inertial fusion program to further development of high average power lasers.

Testing capabilities and readiness.—Up to $6,000,000 is available for continued development and procurement of a dual stage gas gun to be located at the Nevada Test Site. The conferees are aware of the memorandum of agreement between the Department and the Nevada university and community college system and urge the Department to find mutually beneficial projects which can be conducted under this agreement.

Technology transfer.—The conference agreement provides $45,000,000 for the technology transfer program. Any necessary program reductions should be applied proportionally.

Construction projects.—At the request of the Department, the conferees have reallocated $1,800,000 provided by the House and Senate for project 99–D–107, the joint computational engineering laboratory, to operating expenses for the accelerated strategic computing initiative. Construction of the laboratory is now planned to begin in fiscal year 2001.

The conference agreement provides a total of $15,000,000 for new construction projects in fiscal year 1999. The budget request for new construction starts was $25,300,000. The conferees acknowledge the need for some new construction funding, but remain concerned that the Department has not yet resolved its serious project management deficiencies. Thus, none of the funds may be obligated for a project until an independent assessment validating the cost and schedule for the specific project has been completed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Stockpile management.—For core stockpile management activities, the conference agreement provides $1,986,803,000, which includes the following adjustments to the budget request. Additional funding of $6,500,000 is provided for handling uranium materials and infrastructure upgrades at the Y–12 plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; $12,000,000 is provided to support advanced manufacturing and other capital investment needs at the Kansas City plant; $17,500,000 is provided to support scheduled workload requirements and other stockpile management requirements at the Pantex plant in Amarillo, Texas; and $5,000,000 is provided to support infrastructure and maintenance needs at the Savannah River Site.

None of the funds provided for new construction project starts may be obligated until an independent assessment validating the cost and schedule for the specific project has been completed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Tritium.—A total of $167,000,000, an increase of $10,000,000 over the budget request, is provided for continued research and development on a new source of tritium.

Program direction.—For program direction funding, the conference agreement provides $250,000,000, a reduction of $10,500,000 from the budget request. The conferees believe that further savings can be achieved through efficiencies from realignment efforts proposed in the Institute for Defense Analysis report on the Department's management structure for weapons activities. The conference agreement includes $7,000,000 for the Los Alamos schools and $3,000,000 for the Los Alamos Educational Foundation.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of $82,536,000 of prior year balances instead of $305,436,000

as proposed by the House and $50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates $4,310,227,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of $4,358,554,000 as proposed by the House and $4,293,403,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of $1,038,240,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and $228,357,000 in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account, for a total of $5,576,824,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

Site/Project Completion.—The conference agreement provides $1,052,741,000 for sites and projects to be completed by 2006. The conference agreement provides an additional $5,000,000 to process tritium-contaminated heavy water currently being stored at the Savannah River Site. The conferees recognize the importance of this project and appreciate this innovative way of doing business. The conferees urge the Department to do what is necessary in future years to bring this project to a successful conclusion.

Funding of $5,000,000 has been provided for cleanup activities related to TA–21 at the Los Alamos National Laboratory. The Department is directed to prepare a detailed project plan for the cleanup of TA–21 project which includes the cost and schedule for each of the activities to be conducted, have the plan independently assessed, and submit the plan with the fiscal year 2000 budget request.

At the request of the Department, the conference agreement moves $4,512,000 from project 96–D–408, waste management upgrades, to project 93–D–187, high-level waste removal from waste tanks, at the Savannah River Site.

The conferees remain concerned about the high cost of temporary storage and management of low-level wastes that are ready for permanent disposal and believe that available technologies demonstrated and certified by the Department's Environmental Management program as cost-effective alternatives should, to the extent appropriate, receive priority funding to dispose of these wastes. The conferees are aware of the Department's efforts to replace costly programs like the Interim Waste Management Facilities for low-level mixed waste at Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and other sites, and encourage the Department to implement promptly replacement programs and alternatives.

Post 2006 Completion.—The conference agreement includes an additional $7,000,000 for research and treatment of high level waste at Idaho; $3,000,000 to support operational needs at the Waste Isolation Pilot Project; $5,000,000 to reimburse the State of New Mexico; $25,000,000 to support modifications to the Defense Waste Processing Facility in-tank precipitation process; and $15,000,000 for increased tank farm operations and reactor decommissioning at the Hanford site. The conference agreement also includes $5,500,000 for the hazardous materials management and emergency response training facility at Hanford.

The conference agreement does not include the proposal by the House for submission by the Department of a report on transportation of hazardous materials.

The conferees recognize that universities in South Carolina, Georgia, and Louisiana have provided valuable technological and research assistance to the Department's environmental cleanup program, and recommend that the Department continue using these institutions where possible.

The conference reports accompanying the fiscal year 1997 and 1998 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts urged the Department's Offices of Waste Management and Technology Development to undertake jointly research and development focused on higher risk, high pay-off modular in-can vitrification technology as an alternative or backup to achieve satisfactory cleanup results at a significantly lower cost. The conferees are aware of the Department's recent award of a contract in compliance with these recommendations and strongly urge the Department to continue to fund this important research and development work in fiscal year 1999 to ensure that its potential for lower cost cleanup may be determined at the earliest possible date.

The conference agreement includes $350,000 to cover the cost of an on-line tritium monitor for the City of Savannah, Georgia.

Health effects studies.—The conferees have provided $12,000,000 for worker and public health effects studies, instead of $15,000,000 as proposed by the House and $10,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. These funds are to be managed by the Office of Environment, Safety, and Health. Demands for funding by various groups to conduct worker and public health studies at each Department of Energy site are increasing. The conferees agree that all funding for Health and Human Services (HHS) managed studies, either through the Center for Disease Control and Prevention or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry shall be incorporated into a single memorandum of understanding with HHS, and that DOE and HHS will prepare a consolidated and coherent strategy which includes a public health agenda for each DOE site. All DOE-funded health studies and proposals shall be independently peer-reviewed, and consistent with the public health agenda for each site.

The conferees have deferred without prejudice funding to initiate the proposed Hanford Medical Monitoring program, but within the health effects studies funding have allocated $2,000,000 for the Department to initiate a public information and education program.

Science and Technology Development.—The conference agreement provides $247,000,000 for the technology development program including $8,500,000 to support the Department's efforts to deploy cost-effective new technologies. Deployment of new technologies is a strategic activity affecting virtually all environmental management programs and sites, and should be strongly supported as a complex-wide program, not another initiative established and maintained in isolation in the technology development organization.

The conferees urge the Department to continue research on hazardous materials in aquatic environments that supports the

technology focus areas in mixed waste characterization, treatment, and disposal of subsurface contaminants.

The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 for the national pilot program for electronics recovery and recycling; up to $2,000,000 to use a technology that will safely and effectively destroy the asbestos removed from Federal facilities during the decontamination and decommissioning process; and $4,500,000 for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory (DIAL).

Environmental science program.—The conference agreement provides $47,000,000 for the environmental basic research science program, an increase of $15,000,000 over the budget request which had included no funding for new proposals in fiscal year 1999. This increase includes $5,000,000 for proposals to determine the biological effects of exposure to low doses of ionizing radiation. These proposals are to be coordinated with the program being conducted by the Office of Energy Research.

Risk Policy.—The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for the Consortium for Risk Evaluation and Stakeholder Participation (CRESP) and $2,000,000 for the Consortium for Environmental Risk Evaluation (CERE).

Program direction.—The conferees have provided $337,073,000 for the program direction account. The recommendation does not include the transfer of the Federal employees at the Idaho Operations Office. The reduction of $9,126,000 from the budget request should be applied to support service contracts, travel, and other lower priority activities at Headquarters and in the field.

Economic development.—The conference agreement maintains the current policy that no cleanup funds are to be used for economic development activities. The conferees have provided $29,900,000 in the worker and community transition program which was established and authorized to fund such activities, and expect all economic development activities to be funded from that program.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

The conference agreement appropriates $1,038,240,000 for the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account as proposed by the House instead of $1,048,240,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees expect the Department to request adequate funds to keep each of these projects on a schedule for closure by 2006.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement provides $228,357,000 for the environmental management privatization program instead of $286,857,000 as proposed by the House and $241,857,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes $100,000,000 for the tank waste remediation system (TWRS) project as proposed by the House. The remaining projects and the use of prior year balances are funded at the levels recommended by the Senate.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates $1,696,676,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of $1,761,260,000 as proposed by the House and $1,658,160,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

NONPROLIFERATION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

The conference agreement provides $701,600,000 for nonproliferation and national security programs instead of $696,600,000 as proposed by the House and $696,300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree that the nonproliferation and verification research and development program currently executed at the nuclear weapons laboratories looks much like a static, generally unfocussed, level of effort research program. The conferees direct the Department to initiate an external review of the projects being conducted, their progress to date, and their value to the overall needs of the program.

The conferees have deleted the bill language included by the Senate for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (IPP) program and the nuclear cities initiative. However, from within available funds and prior year balances, the conference agreement includes $25,000,000 for IPP and $15,000,000 for the nuclear cities initiative.

The conference agreement includes an additional $1,000,000 for a study of the vulnerabilities of security equipment; $2,000,000 for the procurement of safety locks to meet Federal specifications; and $500,000 for the continued development of the Raman spectroscopy technology.

No funds have been provided for development of the dielectric wall accelerator technology or for assistance to the Russian nuclear weapons dismantlement program to develop an emergency response capability.

Counterintelligence.—The conference agreement includes a total of $15,641,000 to support the Department's new counterintelligence program. This is an increase of $8,000,000 over the budget request. Needs in excess of this amount have been identified for computer security activities, but the conferees believe the Department should look to currently available computer resources to see if funds can be reallocated to these higher priority activities. If not, the Department should submit a reprogramming or supplemental budget request for fiscal year 1999.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides $86,900,000 for the program direction account. Reductions should be applied to the use of management and administration support service contractors throughout the organization and lower priority activities. None of these funds may be used to support the employees transferred from the Nuclear Energy office in fiscal year 1999. All funding for these employees has been provided in the Nuclear Energy program direction account.

The conference agreement includes $600,000 for operation of the Department of Energy's Moscow office. Collaborative efforts between the Department of Energy and the Russian Ministry of

Atomic Energy on implementation of the Highly Enriched Uranium Agreement, plutonium disposition, and weapons dismantlement is one of the Department's highest priorities, and that effort should receive the full administrative support of the Department.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides $91,500,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities instead of $94,000,000 as proposed by the House and $89,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The reduction of $2,500,000 from the budget request should be applied to the use of support service contractors.

The conferees have provided $53,456,000 for worker and public health effects studies to be managed by the Office of Environment, Safety, and Health. This amount includes the budget request of $41,456,000 in this account and $12,000,000 in the Defense Environmental Management program. The conferees agree that all funding for Health and Human Services (HHS) managed studies, either through the Center for Disease Control and Prevention or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry shall be incorporated into a single memorandum of understanding with HHS, and that DOE and HHS will prepare a consolidated and coherent strategy which includes a public health agenda for each DOE site. The agencies are directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on the status of the implementation of the public health agenda by December 31, 1998. The final public health agenda for each site shall be provided to the Committees no later than September 30, 1999. The conferees strongly endorse the concept of peer-reviewed health effects studies, and direct that all DOE-funded health studies shall be independently peer-reviewed, and consistent with the public health agenda for each site.

WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides $29,900,000 for the worker and community transition program instead of $29,800,000 as proposed by the House and $40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Since there are no significant program funding decreases in the Department of Energy in fiscal year 1999, the conferees have reduced the funding allocated for enhanced severance benefits and local assistance grants. The conferees direct that no other Departmental funds be used to provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits under the provisions of Section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993.

The conferees direct that none of the funds provided for this program be used for additional severance payments and benefits for Federal employees of the Department of Energy. Federal employees are covered by a multitude of laws which control employee benefits and protections during the downsizing of Federal agencies.

FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

The conference agreement provides the budget request of $168,960,000 for fissile materials disposition, but reallocates $5,000,000 from the pit disassembly and conversion facility project to operating expenses.

The conferees have not included the bill language proposed by the Senate earmarking $5,000,000 for a joint U.S.-Russian development program of advanced reactor technology to dispose of Russian excess weapons-derived plutonium. However, the conference agreement includes $5,000,000 for the joint U.S.-Russian development of gas reactor technology to dispose of excess weapons-derived plutonium. Of this funding, $2,000,000 is available for work to be performed in the United States by the Department of Energy and other U.S. contractors, and $3,000,000 is to be expended for work in Russia. The $3,000,000 shall be made available for work in Russia on the gas reactor technology on the condition and only to the extent that the Russian Federation matches these contributions with either comparable funding or contributions-in-kind.

The conferees have provided funds for this program in part because of the possibility that other countries will contribute to this effort. The conferees expect the Department and U.S. contractors to make every effort to gain commitments from other countries in this regard, and to seek private sector funding for continued future funding of this effort. Future support for this effort is contingent upon agreement on a U.S.-Russian agreement on the disposition of excess weapons-derived plutonium. The Department should report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations at the end of fiscal year 1999 on the progress which has been made in this program, the status of the matching funds or in-kind contributions from the Russian Federation and other countries, and the success of efforts to procure private sector funding for this effort.

The conferees have deleted bill language proposed by the Senate which limited the design and procurement activities for the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility. The Department of Energy should proceed with preparations for plutonium disposition to include the design and licensing of key disposition facilities as well as qualification of mixed oxide fuel. The United States, however, should not proceed unilaterally to dispose of excess plutonium without parallel progress on the Russian side. No funds have been provided to initiate actual construction of plutonium disposition facilities without such an agreement.

NUCLEAR ENERGY (DEFENSE)

Due to severe funding constraints, the conference agreement provides $30,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program to improve the safety of Soviet-designed nuclear reactors, a decrease of $5,000,000 from the budget request.

NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides $37,627,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of $75,000,000 as proposed by the House and no funding as proposed by the Senate.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides $670,189,000, instead of $681,500,000 as proposed by the House and $665,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. An additional $4,689,000 over the budget re-

quest has been provided to continue test reactor inactivation efforts and environmental cleanup activities.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides $189,000,000 instead of $190,000,000 as proposed by the House and $185,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding proposed by the Senate for the accelerator transmutation of waste program has been included in the non-defense portion of this bill.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement does not include additional funding for the Alaska Power Administration as proposed by the House instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Unobligated balances of the Alaska Power Administration shall be available to pay any remaining obligations of the Administration. The conferees encourage the Department to reprogram any further unobligated balances.

The managers expect more than just a perfunctory report to Congress on the asset sales. Basic factual information should include: final sales price, terms of the sale, and identification of assets sold, as well as copies of primary sales documents. In addition, the report should include information on unexpected problems encountered and how those problems were resolved, lessons learned that could have improved the asset sales process, and any information that might be relevant to similar asset sales. The managers expect to receive the report prior to the end of fiscal year 1999, as stipulated in the authorizing legislation.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conferees take no position on the extension of the current levels of funding for mitigation of fish and wildlife impacts. The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate pertaining to the authority of the Administrator to sell Federal power to an entity formed by existing regional public body and cooperative customers of Bonneville.

Independent Scientific Review Panel.—The conferees recommend that, with regard to Columbia Basin fish and wildlife projects, programs, or measures proposed in a Federal agency budget to be reimbursed by the Bonneville Power Administration, the Independent Scientific Review Panel should annually review such proposals, determine whether the proposals are consistent with the criteria in Section 4(h)(10)(D) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act, make any recommendations that the Panel considers appropriate to make the project, program, or measure meet the criteria in that Section, and transmit the recommendations to the Northwest Power Planning Council no later than April 1 of each year. These Panel recommendations should be available to the public and should be subject to public comment.

The conferees further recommend that the Panel recommendations should be fully considered by the Northwest Power Planning Council when making its final recommendations of projects proposed by Federal agencies and reimbursed by the Bonneville Power Administration.

The conferees direct the Panel to submit its recommendations to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and relevant authorizing Committees no later than May 15 of each year. If the Northwest Power Planning Council does not incorporate a recommendation of the Panel in its recommendations, the Council should explain in writing its reasons for not accepting Panel recommendations.

SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $7,500,000, a reduction of $1,000,000 from the amount provided by the House and Senate. The conferees have recently been made aware of an additional $1,500,000 that will be available in fiscal year 1999 from funds carried over from fiscal year 1998.

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $26,000,000, as proposed by the Senate instead of $24,710,000 as proposed by the House.

WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $203,000,000, instead of $205,000,000 as provided by the House or $212,018,000 as provided by the Senate.

FALCON AND AMISTAD FUND

The conference agreement includes $1,010,000, the same amount recommended by the Senate instead of $970,000 as provided by the House.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $167,500,000 instead of $166,500,000 as recommended by the House or $168,898,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have provided the Commission with an increase of $5,359,000 over the current fiscal year.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. At least 60 days before such action, the Secretary of Energy must submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report notifying the Committees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver. Section 301 does not pre-

clude extension of a contract awarded using competitive procedures.

SEC. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. At least 60 days before such action, the Secretary of Energy must submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report notifying the Committees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

SEC. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to augment the $29,900,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants authorized under the provisions of section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

SEC. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress.

SEC. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill.

SEC. 307. The conference agreement includes a provision allowing the Secretary of Energy to enter into multiyear contracts without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of the contract. This provides the Department of Energy with the same flexibility provided to the Department of Defense.

SEC. 308. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate that limited the types of waste that could be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision applies to the five material categories addressed in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues on Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site", Table S–2, Notice of Intent Categories.

SEC. 309. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate changing the name of the Office of Energy Re-

search. The name of the office has been changed to "Science" instead of "Science Research" as proposed by the Senate.

SEC. 310. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate pertaining to maintenance of security at the DOE uranium enrichment plants. Costs of implementing this provision will be allocated between the Department of Energy and the United States Enrichment Corporation.

SEC. 311. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House in title V and requires the Department of Energy to include all appropriate regulatory entities when conducting pilot projects to simulate external regulation at Departmental facilities.

The Department is directed not to initiate any pilot projects to simulate external regulation of Departmental facilities which do not include the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the appropriate State and local entities. The Department has been conducting pilot projects to simulate the external regulation of its facilities. However, the pilot projects to date have included only the NRC, and not OSHA, or the appropriate State and local regulatory entities which could also have oversight of worker safety and health at Departmental facilities. The Department has touted its successful pilot project at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, but the pilot project was completely inadequate because it did not include the participation of OSHA or State and local entities. Thus, the pilot project failed to address many of the issues involving the interactions among all of these entities and the Department of Energy. Obvious questions were left unanswered in the pilot project.

The conferees direct the Department to address all of the issues involving OSHA and State and local regulation of worker safety and health at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory in conjunction with NRC regulation. The Department should provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations by March 31, 1999, on the results of the comprehensive pilot project. Additionally, the Department is directed to initiate in fiscal year 1999 a pilot project for a multi-program non-defense laboratory such as Argonne National Laboratory or Brookhaven National Laboratory which includes a large accelerator project. The Department should not conduct simulations of external regulation at sites with weapons activities responsibilities.

SEC. 312. The conference agreement includes a provision delaying until September 30, 1999, the obligation of $57,000,000 in the Atomic Energy Defense Activities, Weapons Activities appropriation account.

Provisions not adopted by the conferees

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House limiting the ability of Department of Energy facilities and laboratories to compete with the private sector. This provision has been addressed in report language.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House limiting economic assistance payments to the State of New Mexico until the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant commences disposal operations.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate permitting the Bonneville Power Administration to sell at wholesale rates to joint operating entities.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing offsetting funding reductions in various appropriation accounts.

CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title III are contained in the following table.

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES		
Solar energy		
Solar building technology research	5,000	2,900
Photovoltaic energy systems	78,800	66,800
Photovoltaic energy research	2,883	2,883
Subtotal, Photovoltaic	81,683	69,683
Solar thermal energy systems	22,500	17,000
Biomass/biofuels energy systems		
Power systems	42,900	31,000
Transportation	46,891	41,750
Subtotal, Biomass/biofuels energy systems	89,791	72,750
Biomass/biofuels energy research	27,199	27,199
Subtotal, Biomass	116,990	99,949
Wind energy systems	43,500	33,200
Wind energy research	283	283
Subtotal, Wind	43,783	33,483
Renewable energy production incentive program	4,000	4,000
Solar program support	14,000	---
International solar energy program	8,800	3,750
Solar technology transfer	1,360	---
National renewable energy laboratory	5,000	2,000
Solar photoconversion (ER)	14,532	14,532
Total, Solar Energy	317,648	247,297

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Geothermal		
Geothermal technology development	33,000	28,500
Hydrogen research	24,000	21,000
Hydrogen energy research	3,008	3,008
Total, Hydrogen	27,008	24,008
Hydropower	4,000	2,000
Renewable Indian energy resources	---	3,500
Electric energy systems and storage		
Transmission reliability	---	2,500
High temperature superconducting R&D	32,000	32,500
Energy storage systems	6,000	4,500
Climate challenge	500	---
Total, Electric energy systems and storage	38,500	39,500
Federal building/Remote power initiative	---	4,000
Program direction	17,000	17,100
TOTAL, SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES	437,156	365,905

	Budget Estimate	Conference
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Nuclear energy R&D		
Advanced radioisotope power system	40,500	37,000
Nuclear technology R&D	25,000	---
Test reactor area landlord	4,634	4,000
Construction		
99-E-200 Test reactor area electrical utility upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	341	341
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	2,425	2,425
Subtotal, Construction	2,766	2,766
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	7,400	6,766
University reactor fuel assistance and support	10,000	11,000
Nuclear energy research initiative	24,000	19,000
Total, Nuclear energy R&D	106,900	73,766
Fast flux test facility (FFTF)	---	30,000
Facilities	96,150	---
Termination costs	---	85,000
Uranium programs	66,700	49,000
Isotope support	16,450	15,500
Construction		
99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL)	6,000	6,000
Total, Isotope support	22,450	21,500
Nuclear energy plant optimization	10,000	---
Program direction	23,550	24,700
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	325,750	283,966

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Environment, safety and health	37,602	32,000
Program direction	38,398	18,398
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	76,000	50,398
ENERGY RESEARCH		
Fusion energy sciences program	228,160	---
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
Technical information management program	2,340	1,600
Program direction	7,500	7,000
Total, Technical information management program	9,840	8,600
Transfer to OSHA for external regulation pilot projects	---	1,000
Field office management	104,541	104,127
Oak Ridge Landlord	12,500	11,000
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	126,881	124,727
Subtotal, Energy supply	1,193,947	824,996
Renewable energy research program	-47,905	-47,905
Use of prior year balances	-17,000	-50,000
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY	1,129,042	727,091

	Budget Estimate	Conference
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Site closure	254,344	254,344
Site/project completion	97,248	102,948
Post 2006 completion	83,908	83,908
Science and technology	26,500	---
Use of prior year balances	-10,000	-10,000
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	452,000	431,200
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND		
Decontamination and decommissioning	242,000	190,200
Uranium/thorium reimbursement	35,000	30,000
Use of prior year balances	-5,000	---
TOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING	272,000	220,200

	Budget Estimate	Conference
SCIENCE		
High energy physics		
Research and technology	213,365	215,865
Facility operations	456,635	459,635
Construction		
99-G-306 Wilson hall safety improvements, Fermilab	6,700	6,700
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab	14,300	14,300
Subtotal, Construction	21,000	21,000
Subtotal, Facility operations	477,635	480,635
Total, High energy physics	691,000	696,500
Nuclear physics	315,980	318,480
Construction		
91-G-300 Relativistic heavy ion collider (BNL)	16,620	16,620
Total, Nuclear physics	332,600	335,100
Biological and environmental research	392,600	443,600
Basic energy sciences		
Materials sciences	417,216	417,216
Chemical sciences	209,582	209,582
Engineering and geosciences	44,413	44,413
Energy biosciences	32,489	32,489
Construction		
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	128,400	101,400
96-E-300 Combustion research facility, Phase II, SNL/L	4,000	4,000
Subtotal, Construction	132,400	105,400
Total, Basic energy sciences	836,100	809,100

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Other energy research		
Computational and technology research	160,640	143,000
Energy research analyses	1,000	1,000
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support		
Multiprogram general purpose facilities		
Infrastructure support	1,160	1,160
Construction		
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	14,924	14,924
94-E-363 Roofing improvements (ORNL)	4,908	4,908
Subtotal, Construction	19,832	19,832
Subtotal, Multiprogram gen. purpose facilities	20,992	20,992
Environment, safety and health		
Construction		
96-E-333 Multiprogram energy laboratories upgrades, various locations	268	268
Subtotal, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. suppor	21,260	21,260
Total, Other energy research	182,900	165,260
Fusion energy sciences program	---	223,300
University science education programs		
Laboratory cooperative science centers	15,000	---
Program direction	39,860	49,800
Subtotal, Science	2,490,060	2,722,660
Use of prior year SSC balances	-7,600	-7,600
Use of other prior year balances	-12,000	-13,000
General reduction	---	-5,700
General reduction for policy papers for CCTI	---	-13,500
TOTAL, SCIENCE	2,470,460	2,682,860

	Budget Estimate	Conference
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations		
Salaries and expenses		
Office of the Secretary	4,251	4,175
Board of contract appeals	---	715
Chief financial officer	---	22,350
Contract reform	---	3,200
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs	---	4,900
Economic impact and diversity	---	4,700
Field management	---	7,500
General counsel	---	19,250
Human resources and administration	---	97,000
Policy office	---	14,000
Public affairs	---	3,500
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	4,251	181,290
General management - personnel compensation and benefits	106,210	---
General management - other expenses	77,578	---
Program support		
Minority economic impact	1,880	1,700
Policy analysis and system studies	500	350
Consumer affairs	19	---
Public affairs	38	---
Environmental policy studies	2,500	2,000
Scientific and technical training	500	450
Information management	8,000	8,000
Subtotal, Program support	13,437	12,500
Total, Administrative operations	201,476	193,790
Cost of work for others	44,312	44,312
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	245,788	238,102
Transfer from other defense activities	---	-37,627
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	245,788	200,475
Miscellaneous revenues	-136,530	-136,530
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	109,258	63,945

	Budget Estimate	Conference
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General.....	29,500	29,000

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Stockpile stewardship		
Core stockpile stewardship	1,505,832	1,482,632
Construction		
99-D-102 Rehabilitation of maintenance facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	6,500	---
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	4,000	---
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction, Phase II) (LLNL), Livermore, CA.	7,300	---
99-D-105 Central health physics calibration facility (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	3,900	---
99-D-106 Model validation and system certification test center (SNL), Albuquerque, NM	1,600	---
99-D-107 Joint computational engineering laboratory (JCEL, SNL), Albuquerque, NM	1,800	---
99-D-108 Renovate existing roadways, Nevada test site, NV	2,000	---
FY 1999 new construction project funding	---	15,000
97-D-102 Dual-axis radiographic hydrotest facility (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	36,000	36,000
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization (Phase VI), various locations	20,423	20,423
96-D-103 ATLAS, Los Alamos National Laboratory	6,400	6,400
96-D-104 Processing and environmental technology laboratory (SNL)	18,920	18,920
96-D-105 Contained firing facility addition (LLNL)	6,700	6,700
Subtotal, Construction	115,543	103,443
Subtotal, Core stockpile stewardship	1,621,375	1,586,075

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Inertial fusion	213,800	223,800
Construction		
96-D-111 National ignition facility - TBD	284,200	284,200
Subtotal, Inertial fusion	498,000	508,000
Technology transfer/education		
Technology transfer	60,000	45,000
Education	9,000	9,000
Subtotal, Technology transfer/education	69,000	54,000
Total, Stockpile stewardship	2,188,375	2,148,075
Stockpile management	1,935,803	1,986,803
Construction		
99-D-122 Rapid reactivation,various locations	11,200	11,200
99-D-123 Replace mechanical utility systems, Y-12, Oak Ridge, TN	1,900	1,900
99-D-125 Replace boilers and controls, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	1,000	1,000
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	13,700	13,700
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX	1,108	1,108
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	9,700	9,700
98-D-123 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River, SC	27,500	27,500
98-D-124 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN	10,700	10,700
97-D-122 Nuclear materials storage facility renovation (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	9,164	2,500

	Budget Estimate	Conference
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	6,400	6,400
96-D-122 Sewage treatment quality upgrade (STQU) Pantex plant	3,700	3,700
95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project (LANL)	16,000	5,000
93-D-122 Life safety upgrades, Y-12 plant	3,250	3,250
Subtotal, Construction	115,322	97,658
Total, Stockpile management	2,051,125	2,084,461
Program direction	260,500	250,000
Subtotal, Weapons activities	4,500,000	4,482,536
Use of prior year balances	---	-82,536
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	4,500,000	4,400,000

	Budget Estimate	Conference
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Site/project completion		
Operation and maintenance	848,090	858,090
Construction		
99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	2,745	2,745
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation laboratory (INEL), ID	950	950
98-D-401 H-tank farm storm water systems upgrade, Savannah River, SC	3,120	3,120
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA	26,814	26,814
98-D-700 Road rehabilitation (INEL), ID	7,710	7,710
97-D-450 Savannah River nuclear material storage, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	79,184	79,184
97-D-470 Regulatory monitoring and bioassay laboratory, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	7,000	7,000
96-D-406 Spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, WA	38,680	38,680
96-D-408 Waste management upgrades, Kansas City plant and Savannah River	4,512	---
96-D-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho chemical processing plant (INEL), ID	11,544	11,544
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	8,000	8,000
95-D-456 Security facilities consolidation, Idaho chemical processing plant (INEL), ID	485	485

	Budget Estimate	Conference
92-D-140 F&H canyon exhaust upgrades Savannah River, SC	3,667	3,667
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	4,752	4,752
Subtotal, Construction	199,163	194,651
Total, Site/project completion	1,047,253	1,052,741
Post 2006 completion		
Operation and maintenance	2,194,107	2,261,107
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	398,088	398,088
Construction		
99-D-403 Privatization Phase I infrastructure support, Richland, WA	14,800	14,800
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	22,723	22,723
96-D-408 Waste management upgrades, Richland, WA	171	171
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	32,860	32,860
93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	10,702	15,214
Subtotal, Construction	81,256	85,768
Total, Post 2006 completion	2,673,451	2,744,963
Science and technology	193,000	247,000
Program direction	346,199	337,073
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	4,259,903	4,381,777
Use of prior year balances/general reduction	---	-71,550
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	4,259,903	4,310,227

	Budget Estimate	Conference
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		
Closure projects.....	1,006,240	1,038,240
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION		
Privatization initiatives, various locations.....	516,857	228,357
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.....	5,783,000	5,576,824

	Budget Estimate	Conference
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs		
Nonproliferation and national security		
Verification and control technology		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D	210,000	210,000
Arms control	256,900	256,900
Intelligence	33,600	41,600
Subtotal, Verification and control technology	500,500	508,500
Emergency management	23,700	21,000
Nuclear safeguards and security	53,200	55,200
Security investigations	30,000	30,000
Program direction - NN	88,900	86,900
Subtotal, Nonproliferation and national security	696,300	701,600
Environment, safety and health (Defense)	69,231	66,731
Program direction - EH	4,769	24,769
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	74,000	91,500
Worker and community transition	41,000	26,000
Program direction - WT	4,000	3,900
Subtotal, Worker and community transition	45,000	29,900
Fissile materials disposition	111,372	116,372
Program direction - MD	4,588	4,588
Construction		
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion Facility, Various locations	25,000	20,000
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Various locations	28,000	28,000
Subtotal, Construction	53,000	48,000
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition	168,960	168,960

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Nuclear energy (Defense)		
International nuclear safety:		
Soviet designed reactors	35,000	30,000
National Security programs administrative support	---	37,627
Office of hearings and appeals	2,400	2,400
Total, Other national security programs	1,021,660	1,061,987
Naval reactors		
Naval reactors development	623,600	628,289
Construction		
GPN-101 General plant projects, various locations	9,000	9,000
98-D-200 Site laboratory facility upgrade, various locations	7,000	7,000
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	5,800	5,800
Subtotal, Construction	21,800	21,800
Subtotal, Naval reactors development	645,400	650,089
Program direction	20,100	20,100
Total, Naval reactors	665,500	670,189
Subtotal, Other defense activities	1,687,160	1,732,176

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Use of prior year balances	---	-15,500
Offset to user organizations	-20,000	-20,000
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	1,667,160	1,696,676
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	190,000	189,000
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	12,140,160	11,862,500
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operation and maintenance/program direction	4,370	4,370
Purchase power and wheeling	6,130	6,130
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	10,500	10,500
Use of prior year balances	-2,000	-3,000
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	8,500	7,500
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operating expenses	2,722	2,722
Purchase power and wheeling	59	59
Program direction	16,402	16,402
Construction	6,817	6,817
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	26,000	26,000

	Budget Estimate	Conference
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Construction and rehabilitation	20,802	20,802
System operation and maintenance	36,469	36,469
Purchase power and wheeling	53,886	53,886
Program direction	107,383	107,383
Utah mitigation and conservation	5,036	5,036
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	223,576	223,576
Use of prior year balances	-8,141	-20,576
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	215,435	203,000
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance	1,010	1,010
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	250,945	237,510
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission	168,898	167,500
FERC revenues	-168,898	-167,500
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	---	---
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Repository program	129,511	112,000
Program direction	60,489	53,000
Subtotal from Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund	190,000	165,000
Civilian research and development	---	4,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	190,000	169,000
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	17,043,365	16,423,306

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $66,400,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission instead of $65,900,000 as proposed by the House and $67,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate appropriating $20,000,000 for the Denali Commission, amended to subject the appropriation to authorization enacted by law.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates $16,500,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board as proposed by the House instead of $17,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $465,000,000 instead of $462,700,000 as recommended by the House or $466,000,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have provided $17,000,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, for the Commission's ongoing work to characterize Yucca Mountain as a potential site for a permanent nuclear waste repository. The conference agreement also includes $3,200,000 for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy.

The conferees concur with the concerns raised by both the House and Senate with regard to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and have provided the Commission with buyout authority.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes $4,800,000, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates $2,600,000 as proposed by the House and Senate.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate appropriating $70,000,000 for the Tennessee Valley Authority.

No funding is provided for Land Between the Lakes.

If the Chairman of the Tennessee Valley Authority Board of Directors determines and certifies in writing to the Congress and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget that the elimination of appropriated funds is the primary reason which

would cause the TVA Board to increase power rates, the Chairman of the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Secretary of the Army, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall jointly submit to Congress a report with recommendations regarding whether a transfer of Federal stewardship responsibilities along the Tennessee River to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers would be in the best interest of Tennessee Valley ratepayers by preventing or mitigating the need for such a rate increase, and if so, shall submit a legislative proposal to transfer these functions to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This report shall be submitted at least six months prior to the institution of the proposed rate increase.

The conferees acknowledge TVA's traditional role in controlling aquatic vegetation growth along the Tennessee River and its tributaries. Accordingly, the conferees urge TVA to continue these efforts in Jackson County and Marshall County, Alabama with internally generated revenues.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by both the House and Senate directing that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 502. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America.

SEC. 503. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate which provides that none of the funds made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters. The language also provides that the costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified as reimbursable or non-reimbursable by the Secretary of the Interior as described in the Bureau of Reclamation report entitled, "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995" and that any future obligation of funds for drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries pursuant to Reclamation law.

SEC. 504. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by both the House and Senate prohibiting the restart of the High Flux Beam Reactor.

SEC. 505. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by both the House and Senate providing a one-year extension of the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to collect fees and charges to offset appropriated funds.

SEC. 506. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House providing permanent authority for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to expend funds for various purposes for which the Committees on Appropriations have been providing annual authorization. The conference agreement also includes buyout authority for NRC employees through December 31, 2000.

SEC. 507. The conference agreement includes language transferring funds between two accounts in the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 1998.

The National Capitol Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33), enacted as part of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, called for the Federal government to assume responsibility for financing the District of Columbia Courts and the newly created trustees for offender supervision and corrections. In order to facilitate transition to these new responsibilities, the District of Columbia Appropriations Act for fiscal year 1998 (Public Law 105–100) provided the requested $108,000,000 to finance Court operations and the requested $20,000,000 to the new District of Columbia Offender Supervision, Defender and Court Services Agency to finance the adult probation function which was transferred from the Courts.

The conferees understand that the District of Columbia Courts have deferred paying court-appointed attorneys for services rendered because of a shortage of funds. The conferees have approved a transfer of $1,700,000 from the District of Columbia Offender Services Trustee to the District of Columbia Courts. The transferred funds are to be used solely for the purpose of reimbursing court-appointed attorneys, in addition to any other funds for that purpose currently available or which may become available at a later date.

SEC. 508. The conference agreement includes language designating the wetlands located in Yolo County, California, and known as the Yolo Basin Wetlands, as the "Vic Fazio Yolo Wildlife Area."

SEC. 509. The conference agreement includes language dedicating to United States Senator Dale Bumpers nine areas in the State of Arkansas' National Wilderness Preservation System, and renaming the Arkansas Wilderness Act of 1984 as the "Dale Bumpers Wilderness Resources Protection Act."

Provisions not adopted by the conferees

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the award of funds to institutions not in compliance with certain requirements regarding campus access for units of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps and Federal military recruitment personnel.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds to enter into or renew contracts

with entities failing to comply with statutory reporting requirements concerning the employment of certain veterans.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate pertaining to the Animas-La Plata project in Colorado and New Mexico.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House repealing section 505 of Public Law 102–377, the Fiscal Year 1993 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, and section 208 of Public Law 99–349, the Urgent Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1986.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House implementing external regulation of environment, safety and health activities at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. A provision requiring the Department to include all appropriate regulatory entities when conducting pilot projects to simulate external regulation at Departmental facilities has been included in title III.

TITLE VI

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate establishing and authorizing the Denali Commission.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1999 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1998 amount, the 1999 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1999 follow:

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1998	$21,261,907,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1999	21,720,462,000
House bill, fiscal year 1999	21,077,465,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1999	21,373,861,000
Conference agreement, fiscal year 1999	21,332,135,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1998	+70,228,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1999	−388,327,000
House bill, fiscal year 1999	+254,670,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1999	−41,726,000

JOSEPH MCDADE,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
ROD FRELINGHUYSEN,
MIKE PARKER,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
JAY DICKEY,
BOB LIVINGSTON,
VIC FAZIO,
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
DAVID OBEY,
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI,

THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
R.F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT BYRD,
FRITZ HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DANIEL INOUYE,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

○