

Calendar No. 117

105TH CONGRESS }
1st Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
105-52

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 1998

JULY 17, 1997.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. BURNS, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2016]

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 2016) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with amendments and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

Amount of bill passed by House	\$9,183,000,000
Amount of Senate bill under the House	100,000
<hr/>	
Total of bill as reported to Senate	9,182,900,000
Amount of 1998 budget estimate	8,383,248,000
Amount of 1997 appropriations	9,793,309,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 1998	799,652,000
Under appropriations for fiscal year 1997	610,409,000

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	7
Committee recommendation	7
Items of special interest	8
Military construction, Army	16
Military construction, Navy	18
Military construction, Air Force	19
Military construction, Defense-wide	20
Military construction, Reserve components	22
NATO Security Investment Program	26
Family housing overview	27
Family housing, Army	28
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	28
Family housing, Air Force	28
Family housing, Defense-wide	28
Family housing improvement fund	29
Homeowners assistance fund	29
Base closure overview	29
Base realignment and closure account, part I	33
Base realignment and closure account, part II	34
Base realignment and closure account, part III	34
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	34
General provisions	35
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate ...	35
Compliance with paragraph 7(c), rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	35
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	36
Project listing by location	37

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$9,182,900,000 for fiscal year 1998 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, the President's fiscal year 1998 request, and the House allowance:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

Item	1997 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with—		
					1997 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance
Military construction, Army	\$565,688,000	\$595,277,000	\$721,027,000	\$652,046,000	+\$86,358,000	+\$56,769,000	— \$68,981,000
Rescissions	- 3,028,000				+ 3,028,000		
Total, Military construction, Army (net)	562,660,000	595,277,000	721,027,000	652,046,000	+ 89,386,000	+ 56,769,000	- 68,981,000
Military construction, Navy	707,094,000	540,106,000	685,306,000	605,756,000	- 101,338,000	+ 65,650,000	- 79,550,000
Rescissions	- 19,780,000				+ 19,780,000		
Total, Military construction, Navy (net)	687,314,000	540,106,000	685,306,000	605,756,000	- 81,558,000	+ 65,650,000	- 79,550,000
Military construction, Air Force	754,064,000	495,782,000	662,305,000	662,305,000	- 91,759,000	+ 166,523,000	
Rescissions	- 5,100,000				+ 5,100,000		
Total, Military construction, Air Force (net)	748,964,000	495,782,000	662,305,000	662,305,000	- 86,659,000	+ 166,523,000	
Military construction, Defense-wide	763,922,000	673,633,000	613,333,000	690,889,000	- 73,033,000	+ 17,256,000	+ 77,556,000
Rescissions	- 51,000,000				+ 51,000,000		
Total, Military construction, Defense-wide (net)	712,922,000	673,633,000	613,333,000	690,889,000	- 22,033,000	+ 17,256,000	+ 77,556,000
Total, Active components	2,711,860,000	2,304,798,000	2,681,971,000	2,610,996,000	- 100,864,000	+ 306,198,000	- 70,975,000
Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund	5,000,000				- 5,000,000		
Military construction, Army National Guard	78,086,000	45,098,000	45,098,000	234,614,000	+ 156,528,000	+ 189,516,000	+ 189,516,000
Military construction, Air National Guard	189,855,000	60,225,000	137,275,000	185,115,000	- 4,740,000	+ 124,890,000	+ 47,840,000
Rescission	- 5,000,000				+ 5,000,000		
Total, Military construction, Air National Guard (net)	184,855,000	60,225,000	137,275,000	185,115,000	+ 260,000	+ 124,890,000	+ 47,840,000
Military construction, Army Reserve	55,543,000	39,112,000	77,731,000	96,079,000	+ 40,536,000	+ 56,967,000	+ 18,348,000
Military construction, Naval Reserve	37,579,000	13,921,000	40,561,000	21,111,000	- 16,468,000	+ 7,190,000	- 19,450,000
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	52,805,000	14,530,000	27,143,000	31,830,000	- 20,975,000	+ 17,300,000	+ 4,687,000

Total, Reserve components	408,868,000	172,885,000	327,808,000	568,749,000	+ 159,881,000	+ 395,863,000	+ 240,941,000
Total, Military construction	3,125,728,000	2,477,684,000	3,009,779,000	3,179,745,000	+ 54,017,000	+ 702,061,000	+ 169,966,000
Appropriations	(3,209,636,000)	(2,477,684,000)	(3,009,779,000)	(3,179,745,000)	(- 29,891,000)	(+ 702,061,000)	(+ 169,966,000)
Rescissions	(- 83,908,000)				(+ 83,908,000)		
NATO Security Investment Program	172,000,000	176,300,000	166,300,000	152,600,000	- 19,400,000	- 23,700,000	- 13,700,000
Family housing, Army:							
Construction	158,503,000	143,000,000	202,131,000	167,100,000	+ 8,597,000	+ 24,100,000	- 35,031,000
Operation and Maintenance	1,212,466,000	1,148,937,000	1,148,937,000	1,149,937,000	- 62,539,000	+ 1,000,000	+ 1,000,000
Total, Family housing, Army	1,370,969,000	1,291,937,000	1,351,068,000	1,317,037,000	- 53,932,000	+ 25,100,000	- 34,031,000
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:							
Construction	499,886,000	278,933,000	409,178,000	362,619,000	- 137,267,000	+ 83,686,000	- 46,559,000
Operation and Maintenance	1,020,721,000	976,504,000	976,504,000	976,504,000	- 44,217,000		
Total, Family housing, Navy	1,520,607,000	1,255,437,000	1,385,682,000	1,339,123,000	- 181,484,000	+ 83,686,000	- 46,559,000
Family housing, Air Force:							
Construction	317,507,000	253,128,000	341,409,000	296,633,000	- 20,874,000	+ 43,505,000	- 44,776,000
Operation and Maintenance	816,509,000	830,234,000	830,234,000	830,234,000	+ 13,725,000		
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,134,016,000	1,083,362,000	1,171,643,000	1,126,867,000	- 7,149,000	+ 43,505,000	- 44,776,000
Family housing, Defense-wide:							
Construction	4,371,000	4,950,000	4,950,000	4,950,000	+ 579,000		
Operation and Maintenance	30,963,000	32,724,000	32,724,000	32,724,000	+ 1,761,000		
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	35,334,000	37,674,000	37,674,000	37,674,000	+ 2,340,000		
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	25,000,000				- 25,000,000		
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	36,181,000				- 36,181,000		
Total, Family housing	4,122,107,000	3,668,410,000	3,946,067,000	3,820,701,000	- 301,406,000	+ 152,291,000	- 125,366,000
Construction	(980,267,000)	(680,011,000)	(957,668,000)	(831,302,000)	(- 148,965,000)	(+ 151,291,000)	(- 126,366,000)
Operation and Maintenance	(3,080,659,000)	(2,988,399,000)	(2,988,399,000)	(2,989,399,000)	(- 91,260,000)	(+ 1,000,000)	(+ 1,000,000)
Family Housing Improvement Fund	(25,000,000)				(- 25,000,000)		
Homeowners Assistance Fund	(36,181,000)				(- 36,181,000)		
Base realignment and closure accounts:							
Part II	352,800,000	116,754,000	116,754,000	116,754,000	- 236,046,000		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

Item	1997 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with—	
					1997 adjusted	Budget estimate
Rescissions	- 35,391,000				+ 35,391,000	
Subtotal	317,409,000	116,754,000	116,754,000	116,754,000	- 200,655,000	
Part III	971,925,000	768,702,000	768,702,000	768,702,000	- 203,223,000	
Rescissions	- 75,638,000				+ 75,638,000	
Subtotal	896,287,000	768,702,000	768,702,000	768,702,000	- 127,585,000	
Part IV	1,182,749,000	1,175,398,000	1,175,398,000	1,175,398,000	- 7,351,000	
Rescissions	- 22,971,000				+ 22,971,000	
Subtotal	1,159,778,000	1,175,398,000	1,175,398,000	1,175,398,000	+ 15,620,000	
Total, Base realignment and closure accounts (net)	2,373,474,000	2,060,854,000	2,060,854,000	2,060,854,000	- 312,620,000	
Revised Economic Assumption (sec. 125)				- 31,000,000	- 31,000,000	- 31,000,000
Grand total:	9,793,309,000	8,383,248,000	9,183,000,000	9,182,900,000	- 610,409,000	- 100,000
New budget (obligational) authority	(10,011,217,000)	(8,383,248,000)	(9,183,000,000)	(9,182,900,000)	(- 828,317,000)	(- 100,000)
Appropriations					(+ 799,652,000)	
Rescissions	(- 217,908,000)				(+ 217,908,000)	

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the First Concurrent Resolution for 1998: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
Defense discretionary	9,183	9,183	9,920	¹ 9,902
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
1998				² 3,064
1999				2,841
2000				1,731
2001				916
2002 and future year				504
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 1998 in bill	NA		NA	

¹ Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

² Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 1998 appropriations of \$9,182,900,000. This is \$799,652,000 over the budget request, \$100,000 under the House appropriations, and \$610,409,000 under the appropriations for fiscal year 1997. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Items of special interest," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COMPLIANCE WITH 602(B) ALLOCATION

The Appropriations Committee conformed fully to the budget resolution for defense spending in its 602(b) allocation. This allocation divided the budget authority and outlays among the subcommittees with jurisdiction over discretionary spending. In this recommended bill, the Appropriations Committee has remained within the constraints of its 602(b) allocation for military construction.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Committee supports the authorization appropriation process. Therefore, the Committee has provided construction funds only for specific projects included in either the Senate- or House-passed versions of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 or for projects specifically authorized in prior years. No unauthorized projects have been approved.

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held hearings on the fiscal year 1998 budget request in March and May 1997. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the military services and defense agencies.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The budget request for fiscal year 1998 reflects a reduction of \$1,410,061,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 1997. This proposed level of funding does not provide sufficient resources to continue the Department's efforts to modernize, renovate, and improve aging defense facilities. With approximately 22 percent of the Department's military construction budget request dedicated to the base realignment and closure accounts, readiness, and quality of life for the Active and Reserve components remain in need of substantial improvement and dedication of adequate resources. The requested funding level reflects a lack of commitment by the administration to reduce the serious backlog of readiness, revitalization and quality of life projects.

The Committee recommends an additional \$799,652,000 above the administrations's fiscal year 1998 budget request to correct some of these deficiencies. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 1998 is \$9,182,900,000, a reduction of \$610,409,000 from fiscal year 1997 funding.

GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE AND RESULTS ACT

The Committee considers the full and effective implementation of the Government Performance and Results Act [GPRA], Public Law 103-62, to be a priority for all agencies of Government.

Starting with fiscal year 1999, the Results Act requires each agency to prepare an annual performance plan covering each program activity set forth in the budget of such agency. Specifically, for each program activity the agency is required to establish performance goals to define performance to be achieved by a program activity and performance indicators to be used in assessing the relevant outputs, service levels, and outcomes of each program activity.

The Department of Defense is pursuing accomplishment of the GPRA through the "Quadrennial Defense Review" [QDR], which was completed on May 15, 1997. The Secretary of Defense transmitted that report to Congress and it has served as a central vehicle for hearings and deliberations over future Department of Defense and military priorities, organizational structure, and resource allocation. The Secretary recently indicated that the QDR meets the strategic plan requirements of the act. In his letter to the chairman of the Senate Appropriations Committee he stated that: "the QDR involved a comprehensive reexamination of defense strategy, the force structure of the Active, Guard, and Reserve components and policies to determine and express the defense strategy of the United States and to establish a revised defense program through the year 2003."

The Department of Defense has begun to incorporate the GPRA into the Department's planning, programming, and budgeting system [PPBS]. It will conduct GPRA evaluations annually in the PPBS, which will take place in September and early October of this year.

The Committee expects the Department to monitor closely other agency plans and initiatives that could achieve significant improvements in military construction activities. In such areas as family housing operations, the base realignment and closure accounts, and overseas construction priorities, the Department can use the guidelines applied under the Results Act to achieve qualitative improvements.

REVISED ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS

The following table reflects the reestimation of inflation undertaken by the Office of Management and Budget in June. The Committee recommends reductions to the specific appropriations identified in the table in those amounts, for a total of \$31,000,000. Because of existing shortfalls in the family housing accounts, the Committee directs that these savings be applied to these deficits. The Committee has included a provision which directs the Department to distribute these reductions against each project, program and activity on a pro rata basis.

<i>Account</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Military construction:	
Army	-\$2,000,000
Navy	-3,000,000
Air Force	-4,000,000
Defense-wide	-5,000,000
NATO infrastructure	-1,000,000
Base realignment and closure	-16,000,000
	<hr/>
Total	-31,000,000

USE OF PRIOR-YEAR SAVINGS

The budget request proposed the use of prior-year savings to finance fiscal year 1998 projects and programs as follows:

<i>Account</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Military construction:	
Air Force	\$23,858,000
Army Reserve	7,900,000
Family housing, Navy	8,463,000
	<hr/>
Total	40,221,000

The Committee does not approve of this method of financing and reminds the Department that they should request rescissions of these funds by account and by fiscal year. The Committee rejects the proposed use of these funds for fiscal year 1998 activities and projects and has determined that these funds are necessary to complete ongoing projects within the Military Construction appropriations. Their proposed use for fiscal year 1998 projects and programs could jeopardize the successful completion of projects appropriated in prior years.

DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE

The Defense Finance and Accounting Service [DFAS] is consolidating more than 300 accounting offices into five large existing finance centers and 15 operating locations. This effort is aimed at reducing the number of accounting and finance personnel and streamlining the Department of Defense's financial operations. However, the Committee is concerned that the number of operating locations is excessive to the Department's overall finance and accounting requirements. Many of these proposed centers require extensive alterations and construction, diverting scarce military construction funding from higher priority requirements. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense, Comptroller, to review the total DFAS infrastructure requirement, including justification for each operating location, and report to the congressional defense committees on the results by October 15, 1997. This review should encompass how finance and accounting operations within the Department of Defense could be more effectively streamlined with fewer operating locations.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

The Committee continues to be concerned that maintaining historic quarters is overburdening the military housing accounts. Last year, the Committee directed each military service to review current inventories of historic quarters and to report on specific plans to remove all but the most significant historic homes.

The services researched the legal mandates and determined that they were unable to remove facilities from the National Register as recommended by the Marsh Panel. They found that legal mandates prevented the removal from the National Register and that the eligibility criterion needs to be revised before action can be taken. This revision includes a formula for determining levels of historic significance, the development of stratified maintenance standards, and an amendment to 36 CFR part 60.15 to incorporate levels of

significance in the criteria for removing a resource from the National Register. The Committee directs that the Department of Defense work with the appropriate Federal agencies to initiate these changes in the National Register eligibility criteria.

SINGLE MEMBER HOUSING

The Committee continues to recognize the requirement for better barracks and dormitories for our service members. The Committee supports the Department's initiative to renovate barracks from the "Real property maintenance" account of the Defense Appropriation Act. This effort is critical at a time when resources are limited. The Committee, however, is concerned that requiring all new construction to meet the one plus one standard is unrealistic and should not be mandated across the Department of Defense.

The fiscal year 1998 budget request reflects that the Army, Navy, nor the Marine Corps cannot meet the one plus one standard in the near future. The Committee recommends that the Department review the overall allocation of barracks/dormitories funding among the services to ensure some degree of parity is reached in the next 10 years.

The Committee recommends \$659,891,000 for barracks construction in fiscal year 1998, an increase of \$17,190,000 over the budget request. The projects are as follows:

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Fort Huachuca, AZ	\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000
Fort Gordon, GA	22,000,000	22,000,000
Schofield Barracks, HI	44,000,000	44,000,000
Fort Riley, KS	18,500,000	18,500,000
Fort Campbell, KY	37,000,000	37,000,000
Fort Knox, KY	22,000,000	22,000,000
Fort Sill, OK	8,000,000	8,000,000
Fort Sam Houston, TX	16,000,000	16,000,000
Fort Myer, VA	8,200,000	8,200,000
Fort Lewis, WA	31,000,000	31,000,000
Ansbach, Germany	22,000,000	22,000,000
Heidelberg, Germany	8,800,000	8,800,000
Kaiserslautern, Germany	6,000,000	6,000,000
Mannheim, Germany	6,200,000	6,200,000
Camp Casey, Korea	5,100,000	5,100,000
Camp Castle, Korea	8,400,000	8,400,000
Camp Humphreys, Korea	32,000,000	32,000,000
Camp Red Cloud, Korea	23,600,000	23,600,000
Camp Stanley, Korea	7,000,000	7,000,000
Navy/Naval Reserve:		
Camp Pendleton MCB, CA	12,000,000	12,000,000
Kaneohe Bay MCAS, HI	19,000,000	19,000,000
Washington NAF, DC	4,640,000	4,640,000
Great Lakes NH, IL	5,200,000	5,200,000
Great Lakes NTC, IL	26,690,000	26,690,000
Gulfport, MS	22,440,000	22,440,000
Meridian NAS, MS	7,050,000	7,050,000
New River MCAS, NC	10,600,000	10,600,000
Dam Neck FCTC, VA	7,000,000	7,000,000

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Oceana NAS, VA	20,900,000	20,900,000
Bahrain Island	25,000,000	25,000,000
Sigonella NAS, Italy	21,440,000	21,440,000
Roosevelt Roads NS, Puerto Rico	24,100,000	24,100,000
Air Force:		
Clear AFS, AK	20,285,000	20,285,000
Peterson AFB, CO	4,081,000	4,081,000
Eglin AFB, FL:		
Auxiliary field 9	6,470,000	6,470,000
Auxiliary field 3		7,300,000
Mountain Home AFB, ID	8,959,000	8,959,000
Keesler AFB, MS	30,855,000	30,855,000
Offutt AFB, NE		6,900,000
Pope AFB, NC	8,356,000	8,356,000
Spangdahelm AB, Germany	18,500,000	
Kunsan AB, Korea	8,325,000	8,325,000
Osan AB, Korea	11,100,000	
RAF Lakenheath, UK	11,400,000	11,400,000
Defense-wide: Fort Bragg, NC		8,300,000
Total	642,701,000	659,891,000

OVERSEAS CONSTRUCTION

The U.S. military presence overseas has significantly diminished over the past several years, as our country has transitioned from a forward-based strategy to one of forward presence. The complexion of our overseas commitments continues to change, causing uncertainty about the size, location, and composition of our forces into the next century. The “Quadrennial Defense Review” [QDR] recently noted that proposed force reductions may lead to reductions in overseas presence and forward deployments in order to avoid additional increases in operating optempo.

The Committee believes that there is a strong likelihood for additional force structure reductions in the next few years. The fiscal year 1998 budget request proposes over \$600,000,000 for overseas military construction, almost 24 percent of the construction budget for the Department of Defense.

While these proposed projects support U.S. missions and forces around the world, the Committee cautions that we must be prepared for reductions from overseas deployments if force levels decline further. While recognizing the importance of providing improvements to those essential quality of life facilities overseas, the Committee believes the Department should be particularly conservative in committing to additional construction requirements. The Department should consider every opportunity to lease facilities or share infrastructure with host nations, where possible. Accordingly, the Committee has recommended funding only those most essential service priorities overseas.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The Department has requested \$103,581,000 for environmental compliance project construction during fiscal year 1998. This Com-

mittee recommends funding for 24 class I violation projects, as follows:

<i>Installation/project title</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
Fort Lewis, WA, tank trail erosion mitigation	\$2,000,000
Fort A.P. Hill, VA, central vehicle wash facility	5,400,000
Camp Pendleton, CA, emergency spill control	2,840,000
Pearl Harbor Naval Station, HI, oily waste collection treatment facility	25,000,000
Norfolk Naval Shipyard, VA, oily waste collection system	9,500,000
Indian Mountain, AK, upgrade POL system	1,991,000
Edwards Air Force Base, CA:	
Add/alter sewer line	1,394,000
Upgrade wastewater treatment plant	1,493,000
MacDill Air Force Base, FL, remediate small arms range	1,543,000
Arnold Air Force Base, TN, wastewater treatment facilities	10,750,000
Aviano Air Base, Italy, wastewater disposal system areas	7,900,000
Kunsan Air Base, Korea, fire training facility	2,000,000
Lajes Field, Portugal, water treatment plant	4,800,000
Westover Air Reserve Base, MA, fire training facility	1,800,000
Minneapolis/St. Paul IAP, MN:	
Add/alter aircraft corrosion control facility	1,550,000
Vehicle wash facility	360,000
Gulfport IAP, MS, regional fire training facility	900,000
Schenectady ANG, NY, fuel cell/corrosion control hangar	5,700,000
Charlotte/Douglas IAP, NC, alter fuel cell/corrosion control facility	2,550,000
Klamath Falls, IAP, OR, vehicle refueling shop and paint bay	520,000
Quonset State Airport, RI, add to corrosion control facility	355,000
McEntire ANGB, SC, add/alter fuel and corrosion control facility	1,500,000
Salt Lake City IAP, UT, add/alter vehicle wash and corrosion control facility	460,000
Various locations, Defense Logistics Agency conforming storage (for hazardous materials)	11,275,000
Total	103,581,000

The Committee again directs the Department to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanups and to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations, to the greatest extent possible.

UNIFIED DESIGN GUIDANCE

While the Committee agrees with the House that more progress could be made in eliminating redundant design criteria systems, it seems premature to mandate creation of a new or expanded organization within the Office of the Secretary of Defense to consolidate design criteria. The Committee is aware that the services have already jointly developed uniform criteria in areas such as specifications (in conjunction with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration), computer aided design and drafting, geographical information systems, design and technical manuals (except those for service unique requirements), and construction cost estimating.

In the interest of achieving further uniformity and efficiency, the Committee directs the Department and the services to submit a joint report to the congressional defense committees by March 31, 1998, which addresses: (1) areas where uniform procedures, systems, and/or criteria are already in use; (2) other possible areas where it may be practical to create more uniformity; and (3) the most cost effective system for implementing improvements either through a greater use of tri-service groups; centralized development and management under one of the services with design and con-

struction authorities; or centralizing the development and management of design guidance under the Secretary of Defense.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS

The Committee recognizes the value of predisaster construction techniques and the positive results they have in saving lives and protecting facilities and property in the event of a natural disaster. The Committee acknowledges that other Government agencies, such as FEMA and HUD, are working toward increasing research to best minimize property damage during disasters. The Committee, therefore, encourages the Department of Defense to consider using construction techniques that minimize destruction during disasters and follow local building codes that address such requirements.

REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements more than \$2,000,000. To provide the individual services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included \$2,060,854,000 for the "Base realignment and closure" account. The Committee has fully funded the budget request for base closure and realignment. This includes full funding of the requirements for environmental cleanup at closing and realigning bases.

The Committee again asks the General Accounting Office to continue its annual review of the base closure accounts. The Committee requests GAO's review of and recommendations on the validity of DOD's proposed budget requests for base closure activities. In particular, the Committee seeks GAO's assistance in validating the requests for individual construction projects, the operation and maintenance costs, and the environmental cleanup costs associated with base closures and realignments. The Committee believes the annual GAO review will continue to give the Congress a better foundation for approving future requests. This report should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than May 15, 1998.

In past years, the Committee directed that any transfer of funds for construction projects, which deviated from the approved projects for BRAC IV, should be treated like any other reprogramming within the military construction appropriation. The Committee also directs that any transfer of funds for construction projects, which

deviate from the projects currently approved for BRAC II and BRAC III should be treated like any other reprogramming within the military construction appropriation.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 1997	\$565,688,000
Rescission	- 3,028,000
Net	562,660,000
Budget estimate, 1998	595,277,000
House allowance	721,027,000
Committee recommendation	652,046,000

The Committee is recommending \$652,046,000 for the Army for fiscal year 1998. This is an increase of \$56,769,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 1998 and \$68,981,000 below the House allowance. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

U.S. Southern Command senior officer housing, Miami, FL.—The Army plans to move the U.S. Southern Command from Panama to the Miami, FL, area. The Army’s budget request recommended \$2,300,000 to purchase eight units of family housing for senior officers assigned to headquarters, U.S. Southern Command. The average price of each housing unit is approximately \$287,500. Five of the eight units are designated for general/flag officers. The Committee believes that all actions relating to the relocation of Southern Command should be accomplished by lease, rather than by military construction. Further, the Committee continues strongly to support the need to provide adequate housing for enlisted members of the Armed Forces. This concern takes precedent over housing for more senior personnel. The Army recently informed the Committee that they support the House position, that this requirement should be satisfied through leasing. Accordingly, the Committee has provided additional leasing funds with the “Army family housing” account to continue the current leasing arrangement.

Road improvement, Pohakuloa training range, Hawaii.—Of the \$57,646,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of the Saddle Road improvement, Pohakuloa training range, Hawaii. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Centralized vehicle wash facility, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$57,646,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of a centralized vehicle wash facility, Fort Wainwright. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

National Ground Intelligence Center, Charlottesville, VA.—The budget request included \$3,100,000 for planning and design of the National Ground Intelligence Center in Charlottesville, VA. This project should have been shown as a component within the total amount requested for planning and design. The Committee directs

the Secretary of the Army to use \$3,100,000 for the planning and design of this project from the additional amount provided to the Army for this purpose.

Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.—The Army's embedded software mission for weapons and munitions systems at Picatinny Arsenal is in serious need of consolidation. In 1993, the Army approved the initial design for a new Armament Software Engineering Center, estimated to save the Army \$5,000,000 per year in operation and maintenance costs. Realizing the workload for this mission will increase significantly in the near future, the surface area needed for that increase is inadequate to meet the needs of the anticipated 150-percent increase in personnel by the year 2000. The Committee encourages the Army to incorporate the Armament Software Engineering Center in its fiscal year 1999 budget request.

Of the \$57,646,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,300,000 be made available for the design of this center at Picatinny Arsenal, NJ. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Gymnasium, West Point, NY.—Of the \$57,646,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of a gymnasium at West Point, NY. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

MWR facility, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$11,900,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the Army account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,400,000 be made available to provide refrigeration equipment and improvements at the Fort Wainwright skating facility. The Committee fully expects these contracts to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Emergency services center, Fort Meade, MD.—Of the \$57,646,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$450,000 be made available for the design of an emergency services center for the provost marshal, and fire prevention and protection, including ambulance service at Fort Meade, MD. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Camp Bonneville, Vancouver, WA.—The 1995 Base Realignment and Closure Commission recommended the closure of Camp Bonneville in Vancouver, WA. This closure was done in an effort to save base operations and maintenance funds and provide reuse opportunities. The closure of Camp Bonneville will provide the local community with an opportunity to expand recreation and foster economic growth in the region.

However, significant questions regarding the status of this facility must be addressed before eventual disposition of the property. The Committee directs the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the following issues: (1) the threat to public safety by unexploded ordnance on site, and (2) the need for the Army to fence the site in the interest of protecting public safety. This report is to be provided not later than November 1, 1997.

MOUT facility, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$57,646,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available for the design of a MOUT collective training facility at Fort Wainwright. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Appropriations, 1997	\$707,094,000
Rescission	- 19,780,000
<hr/>	
Net	687,314,000
Budget estimate, 1998	540,106,000
House allowance	685,306,000
Committee recommendation	605,756,000

The Committee is recommending \$605,756,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 1998. This amount is an increase of \$65,650,000 from the fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$79,550,000 below the House allowance. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

F/A-18 relocation.—The Committee directs the Navy to conduct an independent study of the decision to relocate F/A-18 aircraft from Cecil Field Naval Air Station. This study shall provide a weighted comparison of the pros and cons of all scenarios in order to determine the optimal solution for relocation. The study shall cover all issues related to readiness, environmental, noise, and other applicable matters. The results of this study should be provided to the Committee not later than November 15, 1997.

Warfighting center, Stennis Space Center, Mississippi.—Of the \$46,489,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$437,000 to be made available for the design of a warfighting center at the Stennis Space Center, Mississippi. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Puget Sound Naval Ship Yard enlisted dining expansion, Bremerton, WA.—The Navy has completed design for an addition to the enlisted dining facility at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard. This addition is urgently needed to accommodate the increased demand resulting from the construction of two new bachelor enlisted quarters. In addition to seating shortfalls, food space is seriously inadequate and there are no restroom facilities. Of the \$13,860,000 provided to the Navy for minor construction, the Committee recommends that no less than \$1,500,000 be provided to complete this project. The Committee expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Bangor Special Education Center.—The Committee is concerned about an impending situation affecting children of military personnel stationed at Bangor Submarine Base. The school district which serves these dependents, the Central Kitsap School District, and the State of Washington, have consistently supported the needs of children and military families. However, because of the large influx of military family members due to base realignment actions and a

shift in military housing locations, the school district is unable to provide appropriate educational facilities.

The problem is particularly acute for special education dependents. Bangor has been designated as a preferred assignment for military personnel with special needs children, including children with severe handicap conditions. The school district must currently transport these children to facilities some distance from the base because no facilities exist on base to meet the educational demands caused by these additional students.

Accordingly, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to review the plan for a special need and education center that has been developed by the school district. The Committee further directs the Department to provide a report on the viability of this plan to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of the bill.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 1997	\$754,064,000
Rescission	-5,100,000
Net	748,964,000
Budget estimate, 1998	495,782,000
House allowance	662,305,000
Committee recommendation	662,305,000

The Committee recommends \$662,305,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 1998. This is an increase of \$166,523,000 to the fiscal year 1998 budget request and equal to the House allowance. (See State table at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

Aviano AB, Italy.—The Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining a U.S. fighter presence in the southern region of NATO and that Aviano Air Base meets that requirement. Approximately \$412,000,000 is required for capital facility investment to convert the base from a forward operating location to a main operating base with a permanent flying mission assigned. The Committee is concerned that the Air Force does not have a formal lease agreement with the Italian Government for Aviano Air Base, but rather a series of leasing arrangements throughout the Aviano area. With significant infrastructure investments being made and planned for the base, it is prudent for the Department of Defense to seek some guarantee of a long-term presence at the base. The Committee believes that transitioning from the current informal arrangement to a more formal, legal agreement is necessary. The Committee directs the Department of the Air Force to report to the congressional defense committees on the status of negotiations with the Government of Italy and lease arrangements no later than January 15, 1998.

Dangerous cargo pads, Pope AFB, NC.—Of the \$48,880,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,400,000 to be made available for the design of a remote apron area for the loading and unloading of explosives/dangerous cargo at Pope Air Force Base. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Taxiway extension, Pope AFB, NC.—Of the \$48,880,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$260,000 be made available for the design of a taxiway extension at Pope Air Force Base. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Library and adult education center, Seymour Johnson AFB, NC.—Of the \$48,880,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$550,000 be made available for the planning and design of a library and adult education center at Seymour Johnson AFB, NC. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 1997	\$763,922,000
Rescission	– 51,000,000
Net	712,922,000
Budget estimate, 1998	673,633,000
House allowance	613,333,000
Committee recommendation	690,889,000

The Committee recommends \$690,889,000 for projects considered within the “Defense-wide” account. The amount recommended is an increase of \$17,256,000 from the fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$77,556,000 above the House allowance. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION

The budget request identified a requirement of \$120,527,000 for chemical weapons demilitarization facility construction in fiscal year 1998. The Committee recommends a total of \$76,527,000 for this program. This is a decrease of \$44,000,000 from the fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$19,000,000 from the House allowance. The Committee finds that phase II of the ammunition demilitarization facility at Pine Bluff, AR, will not be ready for award in fiscal year 1998. This slippage is based on delays in receipt of required environmental permits. The Committee defers funding for this project at this time.

The Committee notes that adequate funds are available from prior years to start construction of the Pine Bluff facility once the required permits are issued. Future Army budgets should ensure that the rest of the construction and demilitarization programs can be carried out without break.

ESTABLISHMENT OF DOD SCHOOLS IN GUAM

The Committee understands that there are emerging military construction and operation and maintenance requirements for a DOD elementary and secondary school in Guam. These proposed schools will help meet the educational requirements of family members of eligible military and civilian personnel assigned to Guam. The Committee encourages the Department to work with the Congress on clearly establishing those requirements in the fiscal year 1999 budget process.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

The budget request included \$140,875,000 for 15 projects and for unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical facilities, including treatment, training, and medical research and development facilities. The Committee recommends funding for these projects and five additional projects for a total of \$208,525,000. The following hospital and medical projects are provided for fiscal year 1998:

<i>Installation / project title</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
San Diego NS, CA, add/alter environmental preventive unit	\$2,100,000
Naval submarine base, New London, CT, add/alter Naval Undersea Medical Institute	2,300,000
New Castle Airport, DE, replace squadron OPS/aeromedical evacu- ation facility	7,000,000
Pensacola NAS, FL, medical clinic addition	2,750,000
Robins AFB, GA, add/alter ambulatory health care center	19,000,000
Fort Wayne IAP, IN, replace dining hall/medical training facility ...	5,900,000
Fort Campbell, KY, consolidated troop medical/dental clinic	13,600,000
Forest Glen [WRAIR], MD, Army Institute of Research, phase V ...	20,000,000
Fort Detrick, MD, health/dental clinic	4,650,000
McGuire AFB, NJ, ambulatory health care center replacement	35,217,000
Holloman AFB, NM, dental clinic replacement	3,000,000
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH, alter composite medical facility	2,750,000
Will Rogers IAP, OK, replace aeromedical evacuation training facil- ity	3,100,000
McEntire ANGB, SC, dining facility/joint medical training faci- lity	7,000,000
Lackland AFB, TX, blood donor center	3,000,000
Hill AFB, UT, clinic addition	3,100,000
Portsmouth Naval Hospital, VA, hospital replacement (phase IX) ...	34,600,000
Quantico MCB, VA, medical/dental clinic replacement	19,000,000
Everett NS, WA, medical/dental clinic	7,500,000
Fort Lewis, WA, troop medical clinic	5,000,000
Various locations, worldwide, unspecified minor construction	7,958,000
Total	208,525,000

Contingency construction.—The Committee has provided \$4,000,000 for the “Contingency construction” account. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided to the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

Southwest Asia prepositioning.—The Committee acknowledges the requirement for prepositioning equipment in Southwest Asia. These supplies and equipment will provide logistical support in the region, in the event of hostilities that involve the United States. The Committee notes that the Army has stated that Qatar has offered to make a financial contribution toward the American defense effort in that country. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of Defense to execute a burdensharing agreement, whereby there is an equal sharing of financial resources involved in this project. The Committee directs that \$10,000,000 of the amount provided for this program in fiscal year 1998, may not be obligated in any way, or expended, pending the delivery of a report from the Secretary as to his success in completing such a burdensharing agreement with the Government of Qatar. The report is requested not later than March 1, 1998.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 1997	\$413,868,000
Rescission	- 5,000,000
Net	408,868,000
Budget estimate, 1998	172,886,000
House allowance	327,808,000
Committee recommendation	568,749,000

The Committee recommends \$568,749,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is \$395,863,000 above the fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$240,941,000 above the House allowance. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENT

Component	Request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard	\$45,098,000	\$45,098,000	\$234,614,000
Air National Guard	60,225,000	137,275,000	185,115,000
Army Reserve	39,112,000	77,731,000	96,079,000
Naval Reserve	13,921,000	40,561,000	21,111,000
Air Force Reserve	14,530,000	27,143,000	31,830,000
Total	172,886,000	327,808,000	568,749,000

Last year the Committee directed that the Army program request at least \$75,000,000 in the fiscal year 1998 request for the Army National Guard. Instead, the budget request contained only \$45,098,000, almost \$30,000,000 less than the amount specified. The Committee understands that the recent offsite agreement between the Army and the Army National Guard resulted in an agreed military construction annual budget request level of \$50,000,000 for the Army National Guard. Accordingly, the Committee directs that no Army funding for overseas classified locations may be expended until at least \$50,000,000 is requested for the construction of Army National Guard projects in both the fiscal year 1999 budget request and the future years defense plan [FYDP].

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD INSTALLATION PHILOSOPHY

The Committee commends the Army National Guard for establishing a vision as to how their installations should appear and function as they transition to the next century. This philosophy is focused on the future and those investments necessary to provide efficiencies and long-term savings. The key tenets of this plan are education, data analysis, computerized maintenance management, energy management, master planning, and leadership. The Committee encourages the Army National Guard to create linkages with this philosophy and the resource prioritization and allocation process.

The Committee commends the Department of Defense for developing a long-term plan and program for replacement and rehabilitation of National Guard armories. The Committee directs that the National Guard Bureau develop and provide a future years defense plan to the appropriate committees not later than March 30, 1998.

The Committee has added \$14,571,000 for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives and minor construction projects listed below. The Department is expected to program the construction funds for these projects.

Base infrastructure improvements.—Of the \$8,800,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available for base infrastructure improvements at the 120th FG ANG, Great Falls IAP, MT. The Committee fully expects these contracts to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Readiness center, Dagsboro, DE.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,300,000 be made available for the design of a readiness center, Dagsboro, DE. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Tafuna, American Samoa.—Of the \$7,100,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army Reserve” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of alterations/additions to the Army Reserve Center in Tafuna, American Samoa. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Regional training center, Camp Dawson, WV.—The Committee urges the Army National Guard to accelerate the planning and design at Camp Dawson and for which planning funds were provided in fiscal year 1997. The Committee expects that this project will be included in the National Guard 5-year plan and the President’s fiscal year 1999 budget request.

Combat pistol course, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$40,900,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$800,000 be made available for a combat pistol qualification course. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Troop medical clinic/military entrance processing station, Camp Dodge, IA.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$427,000 be made available for the design of a troop medical clinic/military entrance processing station at Camp Dodge, IA. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Mobilization and training equipment site, Yakima Training Center, WA.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,184,000 for the design of a mobilization and training equipment site [MATES], Yakima Training Center, WA. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Reserve center, Great Falls, MT.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$360,000 be made available for the design of a Reserve center, Great Falls, MT. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Command support maintenance shop/Armed Forces Reserve center, Eleanor, WV.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of a command support maintenance shop and associated Armed Forces Reserve center in Eleanor, WV. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Armed Forces Reserve center, Los Alamitos, CA.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$710,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve center, Los Alamitos, CA. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Armed forces reserve center, Billings, MT.—Of the \$14,950,000 provided for construction, the Committee directs that not less than \$550,000 be made available to support construction of curbs, gutters, sidewalk, adjacent street paving, and water recycle systems associated with this project.

Dual-use training facility, Northfield, VT.—The Committee is aware that the Army National Guard is working with the Vermont National Guard and Norwich University to develop a new type of training facility. They envision constructing a dual-use facility that would meet the needs of five Army National Guard detachments and Norwich University ROTC while simultaneously providing a vital link to various community organizations such as FEMA, firefighters, and police. This new facility will be unique in its provision of the four domains of training (distance learning, virtual training systems, constructive simulation, and live simulation) to both military personnel and civilians.

Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of this dual-use training facility. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Readiness center, Bryan, TX.—The Committee is aware of a requirement to renovate the readiness center in Bryan, TX. The existing facility was built over 40 years ago and is inadequate for the current mission and training requirements of the unit. The individuals assigned to this facility drill in an overcrowded and substandard readiness center. The Committee strongly urges the Army National Guard to include funding in the fiscal year 1999 budget request to renovate this readiness center.

Organizational maintenance shop, Fort Huachuca, AZ.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$350,000 be made available for the design of an organizational

maintenance shop, Fort Huachuca, AZ. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Base supply complex, Will Rogers ANG Base, OK.—The Committee is aware of the requirement for a base supply complex at this installation given the condition and inefficiency of the present facilities. Of the \$15,650,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$350,000 be made available for the design of a base supply complex, Will Rogers ANG Base, OK. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Medical clinic addition, Eielson AFB, AK.—Of the \$8,800,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,400,000 be made available for a medical clinic addition at Eielson AFB, AK. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Base supply complex, Burlington IAP, VT.—Of the \$15,650,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$550,000 be made available for the design of a base supply complex, Burlington IAP, VT. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Readiness center, Oklahoma City, OK.—Of the \$15,650,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$497,000 be made available for the design of a readiness center, Oklahoma City, OK. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Advanced technology training center, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$163,000 be made available for the design of an advanced technology training center at Fort Harrison, MT. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Communications training squadron facility, Coraopolis, PA.—Of the \$2,116,000 provided for planning and design with the “Air Force Reserve” account, the Committee directs not less than \$280,000 be made available for the design of a communications squadron training facility for the 911th Air Wing, Coraopolis, PA. The Committee fully expects the design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

Planning and design.—The Committee recommendation provides an additional \$41,921,000 over the budget request for planning and design activities for the Reserve components. The Army continues to ignore the future needs of the Reserve components. In anticipation of increased congressional support in the appropriations process, the Army has continued to underfund Reserve component planning and design funding.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT
PROGRAM

Appropriations, 1997	\$172,000,000
Budget estimate, 1998	176,300,000
House allowance	166,300,000
Committee recommendation	152,600,000

The Committee has provided \$152,600,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program for fiscal year 1998. This amount is \$23,700,000 below the President's fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$13,700,000 below the House allowance. The Committee understands that approximately \$23,700,000 is expected to be available from recoupments from prior-year U.S.-funded work, and from deobligation of NATO funds for previously obligated projects that were reduced in scope or canceled. The Committee directs the Department to continue to work with the member nations to reduce the U.S. share of the overall construction program costs associated with this program.

NATO EXPANSION

The Committee is concerned that the amount requested annually by the Department for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program continues to increase. This continuous investment in NATO infrastructure each year seems redundant and excessive with the end of the cold war and the dramatic reshaping of Europe that is taking place.

In light of the recent NATO meeting in Madrid, the Committee is particularly apprehensive about the increased costs that would be associated with the incorporation of three new member States into the alliance. With future defense spending constrained, this expansion has the potential to seriously degrade U.S. military construction and defense programs.

In the report accompanying the fiscal year 1997 Senate report on the Military Construction appropriations bill, the Committee directed that the Secretary of Defense provide a report by May 1, 1997, to the congressional defense committees on new organization and infrastructure requirements that would be required as a result of this expansion. The Department's initial response was preliminary and sketchy. In light of the decisions taken at the NATO summit in Madrid on July 9, 1997, to include three new member nations, the Committee now directs the Secretary to provide a comprehensive report which provides in detail the additional funding requirements associated with the expansion, including logistical, communications, construction, and all other additional requirements anticipated for the NATO infrastructure account. Such projections should be accompanied by a detailed summary of the costs expended to date for this purpose. The Congress must have pertinent cost information available on this matter when the Senate considers the administration's proposal on NATO expansion. Therefore, the Committee directs that this report be provided no later than October 15, 1997. Further, the Committee directs that \$20,000,000 of the amount provided for the NATO infrastructure account may not be obligated in any way, or expended, until 60 days after the receipt of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided \$3,820,701,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, the Department's new family housing improvements fund, and the homeowners assistance program. This amount is \$152,291,000 above the fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$125,366,000 under the House allowance.

General and flag officer quarters.—The Committee agrees with House report language concerning general and flag officer quarters. The Committee further concurs with House report language concerning all reporting requirements and notifications for these type of quarters.

HOUSING PRIVATIZATION INITIATIVE

The Committee notes the Department's efforts to develop new privatization initiatives to meet the shortfall in adequate family housing. Several projects are underway and many others are under consideration. However, the Committee is concerned that the Department is attempting to accelerate the program without allowing time to assimilate lessons from the earlier projects. The financial underpinnings of these authorities are complex and require a shift of attitudes, which cannot be accomplished in a matter of months.

The Secretary of Defense requested that the Congress authorize an additional two rounds of base realignment and closure (BRAC) with the first round beginning as early as 1999. Both the House of Representatives and the Senate rejected this proposal because of continuing concerns regarding the process, costs, and need to complete closure actions from the previous rounds. However, the Department of Defense may well be given authority to conduct additional round(s) of base realignment and closure sometime in the future.

When the Department assesses bases for closure, they measure each installation against well-established selection criteria. The Cost of Base Realignment Actions [COBRA] model was used to evaluate installations during the past four BRAC rounds. COBRA is heavily weighted to consider costs and return on investment resulting from the closure.

Many of these proposed privatization initiatives contain various mechanisms that shift financial risk and liability from the private sector entity to the Government, mitigating potential benefits from this initiative to the taxpayer. These guarantees or considerations cover mortgage payments or insulate private interests against future BRAC actions, force reductions or extended deployments. The Department of Defense can also provide guarantees for rent and occupancy levels if necessary to secure private sector financing.

Housing privatization projects with these type of guarantees raise troubling questions concerning Government liability and budget implications in a BRAC environment. More importantly, they could advantage those bases with these types of projects because BRAC guarantees make them more expensive to close or realign. It is conceivable that if two similar bases are under consideration, the installation with the privatization initiative, would score more favorably than the base without a public/private housing venture.

Accordingly, the Committee has added a provision which requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions, and extended deployments.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Appropriations, 1997	\$1,370,969,000
Budget estimate, 1998	1,291,937,000
House allowance	1,351,068,000
Committee recommendation	1,317,037,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,317,037,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 1998. This is \$25,100,000 over the fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$34,031,000 under the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 1997	\$1,520,607,000
Budget estimate, 1998	1,255,437,000
House allowance	1,385,682,000
Committee recommendation	1,339,123,000

The Committee recommends \$1,339,123,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 1998. This amount is \$83,686,000 over the fiscal year 1998 budget request and \$46,559,000 under the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 1997	\$1,134,016,000
Budget estimate, 1998	1,083,362,000
House allowance	1,171,643,000
Committee recommendation	1,126,867,000

The Committee recommends \$1,126,867,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 1998, which is \$43,505,000 over the budget request, and \$44,776,000 under the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 1997	\$35,334,000
Budget estimate, 1998	37,674,000
House allowance	37,674,000
Committee recommendation	37,674,000

The Committee recommends \$37,674,000 for family housing, defense-wide, in fiscal year 1998. This amount is equal to the budget request and the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 1997	\$25,000,000
Budget estimate, 1998	
House allowance	
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the family housing improvement fund in fiscal year 1998. No funds were requested in the budget request. The House did not appropriate funding for this initiative.

Additionally, the Committee has included a provision which designates the family housing improvement fund as the sole source of funds to finance the administrative costs for military family and unaccompanied housing privatization initiatives.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Appropriations, 1997	\$36,181,000
Budget estimate, 1998	
House allowance	
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the homeowners assistance fund [HAF]. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1998 and the House allowance. This represents a decrease of \$36,181,000 below the appropriations for fiscal year 1997. This program benefits military personnel and Federal civilian employee homeowners in locations where the housing market becomes depressed due to an announcement of a base closure or realignment of a military installation. The HAF provides partial compensation to homeowners for their financial losses incurred in the sale of their homes. The fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, revenue from sale of acquired properties, reimbursable authorities, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, and recovery of prior year obligations. Anticipated expenditures for fiscal year 1998 are expected to be covered by available prior-year funds and proceeds from the resale of homes.

BASE CLOSURE OVERVIEW

The Committee has approved \$2,060,854,000 for base closure and realignment activities during fiscal year 1998. This amount is \$312,620 under the amount appropriated by Congress for fiscal year 1997. A discussion of these activities is included at the beginning of the report under the heading "Base Realignment and Closure."

The Committee recommends providing full funding for these important projects. To this end, the Committee provides the following listing of the specific projects requested by the Department and appropriated by the Committee for the BRAC accounts. The Committee provides specific approval and appropriated funds for the following construction projects:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

[Fiscal year 1998 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1998 BRAC military construction projects]

[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount
Army BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1998:		
Texas: Fort Bliss: Repair aircraft hangar (46865)	III	\$3,650
Total for Army BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1998		3,650
Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1998:		
Alaska: Fort Wainwright: Missile test facility (46159)	IV	600
California:		
Camp Parks: Army Reserve center facility (46206)	IV	9,500
Sierra Army Depot: Consolidated security (45872)	IV	900
Travis AFB: Administrative facility (47187)	IV	2,250
Subtotal, Army, California		12,650
Colorado:		
Fitzsimmons Army Medical Center: Sanitary sewer (46341)	IV	2,100
Fort Carson: Readiness group administrative facility (46413)	IV	2,500
Subtotal, Army, Colorado		4,600
District of Columbia: Walter Reed Army Medical Center: Nurse training facility (463342)	IV	1,500
Maryland:		
Fort Detrick: Health clinic (46329)	IV	650
Fort Meade: Administrative facility (47237)	IV	6,300
Subtotal, Army, Maryland		6,950
Michigan: Detroit arsenal: Storage facility (46300)	IV	5,900
Missouri:		
Fort Leonard Wood:		
Range modifications (46094)	IV	17,500
Military operations in urbanized terrain facility (45892)	IV	6,900
Subtotal, Army, Missouri		24,400
Nevada:		
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant: Warehouse (46217)	IV	1,550
Nellis AFB: Administrative facility (46291)	IV	3,850
Subtotal, Army, Nevada		5,400
New York: Fort Totten: Storage facility (46258)	IV	1,900
South Carolina: Fort Jackson: DOD polygraph instructional facility (45839)	IV	4,600
Virginia: Fort Pickett: Reserve center building (46354)	IV	3,100
Washington: Fort Lewis: Center for health promotion (46056)	IV	3,150

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1998 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1998 BRAC military construction projects]

[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount
Various locations: Program management	IV	3,750
Total for Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1998		78,500
Navy BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1998:		
California:		
Naval Air Station Lemoore: Administrative office (186T)	III	2,586
Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar: Support facilities (007T)	III	48,773
Pacific Fleet Antisubmarine Warfare Training Center, San Diego: Gymnasium (387T)	III	3,501
Naval Submarine Base, San Diego: Pier renovation (124T)	III	891
Public works center, San Diego: Public works shop (175T)	III	1,821
Subtotal, Navy, California		57,572
Florida:		
Naval Aviation Depot, Jacksonville: Administrative building (220T)	III	5,074
Naval Air Station, Jacksonville: Aviation physiology training building (831T)	III	3,383
Naval Training Center, Orlando: Facility modifications (001T)	III	2,686
Subtotal, Navy, Florida		11,143
Georgia: Naval Air Station, Atlanta: Marine Reserve training facility (906T)	III	9,053
Hawaii:		
Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands: Ordnance facilities (297T)	III	612
Marine Corps Station, Kaneohe Bay:		
Aviation supply facilities (274T)	III	1,491
Utilities upgrade (504T)	III	2,168
Ordnance facilities (508T)	III	1,160
Naval Station, Pearl Harbor: Fleet Imaging Center (524T)	III	1,005
Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor: Utility system modifications (539T)	III	1,492
Subtotal, Navy, Hawaii		7,928
Virginia: Naval Station, Norfolk: Administrative facility (360T)	III	995
Washington: Naval Hospital, Bremerton: Outpatient clinic (019T)	III	10,409
Wisconsin: Fort McCoy: Equipment maintenance facility (701T)	III	2,295
Total for Navy BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1998		99,395
Navy BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1998:		
California:		
Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar: Administrative/training spaces (020U)	IV	1,403
Naval Air Station, North Island:		
Operational facility and parking (820U)	IV	28,750
Intermediate maintenance facility (822U)	IV	1,273

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1998 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1998 BRAC military construction projects]

[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount
Subtotal, Navy, California		31,426
District of Columbia: Commandant Naval District, Washington: Naval Sea Systems Command headquarters relocation (088U)	IV	86,045
Florida:		
Naval Air Station, Jacksonville: Medical/dental additions (231U)	IV	2,985
S-3 Naval maintenance training group: Modifications (239U)	IV	1,329
Subtotal, Navy, Florida		4,314
Guam: Naval activities: Building renovation (416U)	IV	597
Pennsylvania: Naval Surface Warfare Center, Philadelphia: Acoustics R&D Facility (185U)	IV	6,151
Virginia:		
Naval Air Station, Oceana:		
Flight simulator building addition (160U)	IV	8,998
Corrosion control hangar (576U)	IV	4,775
Hangar utilities improvements (165U)	IV	1,244
F/A 18 aviation maintenance additions (164U)	IV	2,686
Renovate/addition training facility (161U)	IV	5,671
Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Williamsburg:		
Building renovation (028U)	IV	2,437
Cargo staging area (029U)	IV	1,443
Subtotal, Navy, Virginia		27,254
Total for Navy BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1998		155,787
Air Force BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1998:		
California: Travis AFB: Land purchase (XDZT973300)	III	2,050
Total for Air Force BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1998		2,050
Air Force BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1998:		
California: Travis AFB: Improve family housing, 375 units (XDAT950000)	III	46,010
Total for Air Force BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1998		46,010
Air Force BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1998:		
California:		
Beale AFB:		
Dining facility (PRJ891009R1)	IV	2,100
938 Engineering Installation Squadron (PRJY911023R2)	IV	8,100
Enlisted dormitory (PRJY93103R2)	IV	9,000
Add to child development center (PRJY95301R1)	IV	2,100
Vehicle maintenance facility (PRJY953009R1)	IV	1,450
Air Force Reserve KC-135 flight simulator (PRJY953046R1)	IV	1,700
Palmdale Plant 42: Add/alter secure warehouse (PRJY953008R2)	IV	580

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1998 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1998 BRAC military construction projects]

[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount
Subtotal, Air Force, California		25,030
Colorado:		
Falcon AFB:		
Satellite control facility (GLEN973008A)	IV	16,000
Add to dining facility (GLEN973009)	IV	500
Technical support facility (GLEN973010)	IV	6,400
Alter operations support facility (GLEN973020)	IV	760
Add to fitness center (GLEN973023)	IV	300
Peterson AFB: Enlisted dormitory (TDKA963004)	IV	1,200
Subtotal, Air Force, Colorado		25,160
New York:		
Fort Drum:		
Vehicle operations heated parking (WOXG959613)	IV	1,700
Add to fire station (FPBB969510)	IV	300
Subtotal, Air Force, New York		2,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB: Renovate support facility (PRJY921012R1)	IV	2,500
Oklahoma: Vance AFB: Add to child development center (XTLF983303)	IV	330
Texas:		
Brooks AFB: Add/alter life sciences/textile laboratory (CNBC993000)	IV	3,900
Kelly AFB: Communications infrastructure support (MBPB993225R1)	IV	2,500
Lackland AFB:		
838th Engineer Installation Squadron (MBPB993201R1)	IV	5,600
Child development center (MBPB993209R2)	IV	480
Add to auto hobby shop (MBPB993222R1)	IV	1,100
Laughlin AFB: Engine staging facility (MXDP973004R2)	IV	2,950
Subtotal, Air Force, Texas		16,530
Various locations: Planning and design (BCL98RD4)	IV	4,157
Total for Air Force BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1998		75,707
Air Force BRAC IV family housing, fiscal year 1998:		
Texas: Lackland AFB: General officers quarters (MBPB99203R2)	IV	790
Total for Air Force BRAC IV family housing, fiscal year 1998		790

Note: Defense Logistics Agency and Defense Information Systems Agency BRAC III and IV Construction, Fiscal Year 1998. Defense Logistics Agency and Defense Information Systems Agency have no construction projects relating to any BRAC round requested in fiscal year 1998.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART I

The Committee recognizes that fiscal year 1995 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II

Appropriations, 1997	\$352,800,000
Rescission	- 35,391,000
Net	317,409,000
Budget estimate, 1998	116,754,000
House allowance	116,754,000
Committee recommendation	116,754,000

The Committee recommends \$116,754,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part II as authorized and provided by the House allowance. This is a decrease of \$200,655,000 below the net amount appropriated for fiscal year 1997.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III

Appropriations, 1997	\$971,925,000
Rescission	- 75,638,000
Net	896,287,000
Budget estimate, 1998	768,702,000
House allowance	768,702,000
Committee recommendation	768,702,000

The Committee recommends \$768,702,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part III as authorized and provided by the House allowance. This is a decrease of \$127,585,000 below the net appropriated for fiscal year 1997.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Appropriations, 1997	\$1,182,749,000
Rescission	- 22,971,000
Net	1,159,778,000
Budget estimate, 1998	1,175,398,000
House allowance	1,175,398,000
Committee recommendation	1,175,398,000

The Committee recommends \$1,175,398,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV as authorized and provided by the House allowance. This is an increase of \$15,620,000 above the net amount appropriated for fiscal year 1997.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Committee has made no changes to sections 101–120 as recommended by the House, sections 121–123 have been deleted by the Committee.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROVISIONS

The Committee has added four general provisions to the House-passed bill as follows:

Section 125 provides a reduction of \$31,000,000 to the Military Construction accounts of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Defense-wide, as well as to the “NATO infrastructure” and “Base realignment and closure” accounts. This reduction reflects a reestimation of fiscal year 1998 inflation undertaken by the Office of Management and Budget in June 1997.

Section 126 provides the Secretary of the Army authority to complete a diver training facility at Key West Naval Air Station, using an unspecified minor construction project.

Section 127 provides the Secretary of the Navy discretionary authority to lease property in Hawaii to the city and county of Honolulu.

Section 128 requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions, and extended deployments.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill “which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.”

All projects for which appropriations are provided by the Committee are anticipated to be authorized.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, the Committee ordered reported en bloc H.R. 2016, Military Construction appropriations bill, 1998, and S. 1033, an original Agriculture, Rural Development appropriations bill, 1998, subject to amendment and subject to their budget allocations, and S. 1034, an original VA–HUD appropriations bill, subject to amendment and subject to appropriate

scoring, by a recorded vote of 28–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Stevens	
Mr. Cochran	
Mr. Specter	
Mr. Domenici	
Mr. Bond	
Mr. Gorton	
Mr. McConnell	
Mr. Burns	
Mr. Shelby	
Mr. Gregg	
Mr. Bennett	
Mr. Campbell	
Mr. Craig	
Mr. Faircloth	
Mrs. Hutchison	
Mr. Byrd	
Mr. Inouye	
Mr. Hollings	
Mr. Leahy	
Mr. Bumpers	
Mr. Lautenberg	
Mr. Harkin	
Ms. Mikulski	
Mr. Reid	
Mr. Kohl	
Mrs. Murray	
Mr. Dorgan	
Mrs. Boxer	

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee.”

In compliance with this rule, changes in existing law proposed to be made by the bill are shown as follows: existing law to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets; new matter is printed in italic; and existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman.

No change in existing statutes has been proposed.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

(In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
ALABAMA					
ARMY: REDSTONE ARSENAL: MICOM MISSILE READINESS SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ANNEX			27,000	+ 27,000	+ 27,000
AIR FORCE:					
MAXWELL AFB:					
OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY	4,479	4,479	4,479		
OTS PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	1,095	1,095	1,095		
SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY		9,300			- 9,300
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
ANNISTON CHEMICAL ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY	9,900	9,900	9,900		
REDSTONE ARSENAL: HUNTSVILLE: MISSILE SPACE INTELLIGENCE CENTER (DIA)	32,700	32,700	32,700		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DANVELLY FIELD: MUNITIONS COMPLEX/AIRCRAFT SUPPORT SHOP			4,800	+ 4,800	+ 4,800
AIR FORCE RESERVE: MAXWELL AFB: DATED AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY			5,200	+ 5,200	+ 5,200
TOTAL, ALABAMA	48,174	57,474	85,174	+ 37,000	+ 27,700
ALASKA					
AIR FORCE:					
CLEAR AFS:					
ALTER DORMITORIES	20,285	20,285	20,285		
BALLISTIC MISSILE EARLY WARNING SYSTEM FACILITY	46,784	46,784	46,784		
EIELSON AFB:					
A-10 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	7,764	7,764	7,764		
POTABLE WATER STORAGE UPGRADE			6,000	+ 6,000	+ 6,000
ELMENDORF: ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS UPGRADE			6,100	+ 6,100	+ 6,100
INDIAN MOUNTAIN: UPGRADE PETROLEUM, OIL, AND LUBRICANT SYSTEM	1,991	1,991	1,991		
DEFENSE-WIDE: ELMENDORF AFB: REPLACE FUEL TANKAGE (DJA)	21,700	21,700	21,700		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BETHEL: ARMY GUARD AVIATION OPS FACILITY			4,600	+ 4,600	+ 4,600
TOTAL, ALASKA	98,524	98,524	115,224	+ 16,700	+ 16,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
ARIZONA					
ARMY: FORT HUACHUCA: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	20,000	20,000	20,000
NAVY:					
CAMP NAVAJO NAVY DETACHMENT: MAGAZINE MODIFICATIONS (PHASE II)	11,426	11,426	11,426
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12,250	-12,250
AIR FORCE: LUKE AFB: LAND PURCHASE: GOLDWATER RANGE	10,000	-10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	11,000	+ 11,000	+ 11,000
TOTAL, ARIZONA	31,426	53,676	42,426	+ 11,000	- 11,250
ARKANSAS					
ARMY: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT FACILITY	15,000	- 15,000
AIR FORCE: LITTLE ROCK AFB: CONTROL TOWER	3,400	3,400	+ 3,400
DEFENSE-WIDE: PINE BLUFF CHEMICAL ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY	44,000	-44,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
HAZEN:					
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,345	1,345	1,345
READINESS CENTER	2,261	2,261	2,261
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	47,606	22,006	7,006	- 40,600	- 15,000
CALIFORNIA					
ARMY: CONCORD NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: ORDNANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	23,000	23,000	23,000
FORT IRWIN:					
LIVE FIRE COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	2,650	- 2,650
ROTATIONAL WASH POINT	8,500	- 8,500

NAVY:									
	CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:								
	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	4,300	4,300	4,300	4,300	4,300	4,300	4,300
	AVIATION TRAINING AREA	10,130	10,130	10,130	10,130	10,130	10,130	10,130
	EMERGENCY SPILL CONTROL	2,840	2,840	2,840	2,840	2,840	2,840	2,840
	HIGHWAY WAREHOUSE	6,880	6,880	6,880	6,880	6,880	6,880	6,880
	CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:								
	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	16,120	16,120	16,120	16,120	16,120	16,120	16,120
	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,480	4,480	4,480	4,480	4,480	4,480	4,480
	RIVER FLOOD CONTROL (SANTA MARGARITA)	21,869	21,869	21,869	21,869	21,869	21,869	21,869
	CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: WATERFRONT OPERATIONS BUILDING	10,100	10,100	10,100	10,100	10,100	10,100	10,100
	EL CENTRO NAVAL AIR FACILITY: ORDNANCE FACILITIES	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
	MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700
	NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION:								
	MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITIES	15,300	15,300	15,300	15,300	15,300	15,300	15,300
	SEAWALL UPGRADE	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900
	VISUAL SYSTEM TRAINER BUILDING ADDITION	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
	PORT HUENEME NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: STORMWATER RUNOFF IMPROVEMENTS	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
	TWENTYNINE PALMS MARCORP AIR-GRND COMB CTR: COMMUNICATION/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITY	3,810	3,810	3,810	3,810	3,810	3,810	3,810
	AIR FORCE:								
	EDWARDS AFB:								
	ADD/ALTER SEWER LINE	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,394
	UPGRADE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493
	VANDENBERG AFB: LAUNCH OPERATIONS CONTROL CENTER	26,876	26,876	26,876	26,876	26,876	26,876	26,876
	DEFENSE-WIDE:								
	NAVAL AIR STATION (NORTH ISLAND): WATERFRONT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400
	SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION: ADD/ALTER ENVIRONMENTAL PREVEN MEDICAL UNIT	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FRESNO AIR TERMINAL: BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
	ARMY RESERVE: SACRAMENTO: US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY	20,972	20,972	20,972	20,972	20,972	20,972	20,972
	NAVAL RESERVE:								
	NAVAL WEAPONS STATION (SEAL BEACH): MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	6,104	6,104	6,104	6,104	6,104	6,104	6,104

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
PASADENA: MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER			6,690	+ 6,690	+ 6,690
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	185,938	248,118	192,628	+ 6,690	- 55,490
COLORADO					
ARMY:					
FORT CARSON:					
CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER BUILDING	7,300	7,300	7,300		
RAIL YARD EXPANSION (PHASE I)		16,000			- 16,000
AIR FORCE:					
BUCKLEY ANG BASE:					
ADD TO SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	348	348	348		
ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	6,370	6,370	6,370		
FALCON AFS: DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	10,551	10,551	10,551		
PETERSON AFB: ADD/ALTER DORMITORY	4,081	4,081	4,081		
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY:					
ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	5,375	5,375	5,375		
UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY	9,854	9,854	9,854		
UPGRADE (PHASE I)			2,863	+ 2,863	+ 2,863
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT CARSON: MATES EXPANSION (PHASE I)					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
BUCKLEY ANG: UPGRADE BASE INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEMS	12,800	12,800	12,800		
GREELEY ANG: MOBILE GROUNDS STATION MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		4,700	4,700	+ 4,700	
TOTAL, COLORADO	56,679	77,379	64,242	+ 7,563	- 13,137
CONNECTICUT					
NAVY:					
NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE:					
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER			3,660	+ 3,660	+ 3,660
CONTROLLED INDUSTRIAL FACILITY	18,300	18,300	18,300		

FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	1,600			1,600	+ 1,600	
DEFENSE-WIDE: NAVAL SUB BASE NEW LONDON: ADD/ALTER NAVAL UNDERSEA MED INSTITUTE	2,300	2,300		2,300		
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	20,600	20,600		25,860	+ 5,260	+ 5,260
DELAWARE						
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NEW CASTLE AIRPORT: REPLACE SQUADRON OPS/AEROMED EVAC FACILITY ..				7,000	+ 7,000	+ 7,000
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA						
DEFENSE-WIDE: BOLLING AFB: RECONFIGURATION DIAC	7,000	7,000		7,000		
NAVAL RESERVE: NAVAL AIR FACILITY, WASHINGTON, DC (ANDREWS AFB): BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	4,640	4,640		4,640		
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	11,640	11,640		11,640		
FLORIDA						
NAVY:						
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION:						
ORDNANCE LOADING APRON	1,330	1,330		1,330		
TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	2,150	2,150		2,150		
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION: PIER IMPROVEMENTS		17,940				- 17,940
WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION: RUNWAY UPGRADES		1,300				- 1,300
AIR FORCE:						
EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD #9 (HURLBURT FIELD): DORMITORY	6,470	6,470		6,470		
MACDILL AFB:						
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		3,350				- 3,350
EDUCATION CENTER AND LIBRARY		4,750				- 4,750
REMEDIAL SMALL ARMS RANGE	1,543	1,543		1,543		
DEFENSE-WIDE:						
EGLIN AFB: ASSAULT STRIP RUNWAY				5,100	+ 5,100	+ 5,100
EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD #9 (HURLBURT FIELD):						
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,450	2,450		2,450		
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU AC-130	6,100	6,100		6,100		
NAS JACKSONVILLE: REPLACE FUEL TANKAGE	9,800	9,800		9,800		
PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION: MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION (NAMI)	2,750	2,750		2,750		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ELLYSON FIELD: READINESS CENTER				3,800	+ 3,800	+ 3,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
AIR FORCE RESERVE: EGLIN AFB, AUXILIARY FIELD #3 (DUKE FIELD): RENOVATE VISITING AIRMEN DORMITORY			7,300	+ 7,300	+ 7,300
TOTAL, FLORIDA	32,593	59,933	48,793	+ 16,200	- 11,140
GEORGIA					
ARMY:					
FORT GORDON: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	22,000	22,000	22,000		
FORT STEWART (HUNTER ARMY AIR FIELD): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE I)		17,500			- 17,500
AIR FORCE:					
MOODY AFB:					
DORMITORIES		9,100			- 9,100
HH-60 RESCUE OPERATIONS FACILITY			6,800	+ 6,800	+ 6,800
ROBINS AFB:					
JSTARS:					
ADD/ALTER SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	2,538	2,538	2,538		
ADD/ALTER UTILITIES	1,891	1,891	1,891		
ADD/ALTER WING COMMAND POST	498	498	498		
AGE STORAGE/SHOP FACILITY	5,972	5,972	5,972		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,764	7,764	7,764		
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		9,100			- 9,100
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
FORT BENNING: BATTALION AND COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	9,814	9,814	9,814		
FORT STEWART/HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD: COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,500	2,500	2,500		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE: ADD/ALTER AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE CENTER	19,000	19,000	19,000		

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
BOISE AIR TERMINAL (GOWEN FIELD):					
C-130:					
COMPOSITE HANGAR AND MAINTENANCE SHOPS	12,000	12,000	12,000
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AERIAL PORT TRAINING FAC	8,800	+ 8,800	+ 8,800
TOTAL, IDAHO	29,719	29,719	55,142	+ 25,423	+ 25,423
ILLINOIS					
NAVY:					
GREAT LAKES NAVAL HOSPITAL: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE II)	5,200	5,200	5,200
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	26,690	26,690	26,690
COMBAT TRAINING POOL	9,930	9,930	9,930
FIRE STATION	2,600	2,600	2,600
RECREATION CENTER	2,000	2,000	2,000
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	46,420	46,420	46,420
INDIANA					
ARMY: CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX (PHASE 1)	7,700	7,700	7,700
NAVY: CRANE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER: CHEM-BIO WARFARE DETECTION CENTER	4,120	- 4,120
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP ATTERBURY RESERVE FORCES TRNG AREA (EDINBURGH): MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE (MPTR)	10,229	10,229	10,229
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
FORT WAYNE IAP: REPLACE DINING HALL/MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	5,900	+ 5,900	+ 5,900
HULMAN AIRPORT: REPLACE FUEL CELL/CORRISON CONTROL FACILITY AND FIRE	5,400	+ 5,400	+ 5,400
AIR FORCE RESERVE: GRISSOM AIR RESERVE BASE: BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	8,913	- 8,913

TOTAL, INDIANA	17,929	30,962	29,229	+ 11,300	- 1,733
IOWA					
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP DODGE (JOHNSTON): BATTALION COMPLEX (PHASE IV)	4,529	4,529	4,529
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DES MOINES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: REPLACE SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,900	- 3,900
TOTAL, IOWA	4,529	8,429	4,529	- 3,900
KANSAS					
ARMY:					
FORT LEAVENWORTH: U.S. DISCIPLINARY BARRACKS (PHASE I)	63,000	20,000	36,500	- 26,500	+ 16,500
FORT RILEY:					
CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER BUILDING	7,300	7,300	7,300
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	18,500	18,500	18,500
AIR FORCE:					
MCCONNELL AFB:					
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,000	- 5,000
KC-135:					
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT #3	6,669	6,669	6,669
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIR MAINTENANCE UNIT #4	9,700	+ 9,700	+ 9,700
TRANSPORTATION COMPLEX	2,850	+ 2,850	+ 2,850
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: IOLA: ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER	1,454	1,454	1,454
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MCCONNELL AFB: ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,000	2,000	+ 2,000
TOTAL, KANSAS	96,923	60,923	84,973	- 11,950	+ 24,050
KENTUCKY					
ARMY:					
FORT CAMPBELL:					
EDUCATION CENTER (PHASE II)	6,700	6,700	+ 6,700
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP (PHASE II)	9,900	+ 9,900	+ 9,900
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	37,000	37,000	37,000
FORT KNOX:					
QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE (QTR)	7,200	7,200	+ 7,200
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	22,000	22,000	22,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT CAMPBELL: CONSOLIDATED TROOP MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	13,600	13,600	13,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GREENVILLE: WEST KENTUCKY TRAINING RANGE (PHASE III)			9,321	+9,321	+9,321
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	72,600	86,500	105,721	+33,121	+19,221
LOUISIANA					
AIR FORCE:					
BARKSDALE AFB:					
CONVENTIONAL AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	11,148	11,148	11,148		
CONVENTIONAL AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE STORAGE IGLOOS	8,262	8,262	8,262		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
CAMP BEAUREGARD: MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE			1,292	+1,292	+1,292
JACKSON BARRACKS (NEW ORLEANS): ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (OMS)	1,516	1,516	1,516		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION: BASE CIVIL ENGINEER AND COMMUNICATIONS COMPLEX		5,900			-5,900
NAVAL RESERVE:					
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE II)		4,520			-4,520
PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY		3,550			-3,550
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	20,926	34,896	22,218	+1,292	-12,678
MAINE					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BANGOR IAP: UPGRADE BASE FACILITIES			6,500	+6,500	+6,500
MARYLAND					
NAVY:					
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER/AIRCRAFT DIV ADVANCED SYSTEM INTEGRATION FACILITY (PHASE Y)	9,000	9,000	9,000		
ST INGOES NAVAL ELEC SYSTEMS ENGINEERING ACTIVITY MAINTENANCE HANGAR		2,610			-2,610

DEFENSE-WIDE:					
FORT DETRICK: HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC	4,650	4,650	4,650
FOREST GLEN (WALTER REED ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH): ARMY INSTITUTE OF RE- SEARCH (PHASE V)	20,000	20,000	20,000
FORT MEADE:					
FANX III PURCHASE	25,200	25,200	25,200
VEHICLE AND CARGO INSPECTION FACILITY	4,000	4,000	4,000
VISITOR CONTROL CENTERS	600	600	600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ANNAPOLIS: ADD/ALT READINESS CENTER	2,947	+ 2,947	+ 2,947
TOTAL, MARYLAND	63,450	66,060	66,397	+ 2,947	+ 337
MASSACHUSETTS					
DEFENSE-WIDE: WESTOVER ARB: JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	4,700	4,700	4,700
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BARNES ANGB: REPLACE DINING HALL	3,050	3,050	+ 3,050
NAVAL RESERVE: WESTOVER ARB: BUILDING RENOVATION	4,090	- 4,090
AIR FORCE RESERVE: WESTOVER ARB: FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,800	1,800	1,800
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	6,500	13,640	9,550	+ 3,050	- 4,090
MICHIGAN					
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: AUGUSTA: READINESS CENTER	6,356	+ 6,356	+ 6,356
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT (ALPENA): AIRCREW COMBAT TRAINING SYSTEM RANGE SUPPORT AND RADAR APPROACH CONTROL FACILITY	5,000	5,000	5,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SELFRIDGE AGB: REPLACE VEHICLE MAINT/COMMUNICATIONS COMPLEX	9,000	+ 9,000	+ 9,000
ARMY RESERVE: WALKER: READINESS CENTER/OMS	9,439	+ 9,439	+ 9,439
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	5,000	5,000	29,795	+ 24,795	+ 24,795
MINNESOTA					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:	4,600	- 4,600
REPLACE BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	360	360	360
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY
AIR FORCE RESERVE: MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT COR- ROSION CONTROL FACILITY	1,550	1,550	1,550

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	1,910	6,510	1,910		-4,600
MISSISSIPPI					
NAVY:					
GULFPORT: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE I AND II)			22,440	+22,440	+22,440
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION: RENOVATE THREE BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		7,050	7,050	+7,050	
AIR FORCE: KEESLER AFB: STUDENT DORMITORIES	30,855	30,855	30,855		
DEFENSE-WIDE: MISSISSIPPI ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: ON AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY			9,900	+9,900	+9,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SENATOBIA: READINESS CENTER			4,425	+4,425	+4,425
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
GULFPORT-BILOXI REGIONAL AIRPORT:					
REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	900	900	900		
REPLACE TROOP TRAINING QUARTERS/DINING HALL		9,500	9,500	+9,500	
KEY FIELD:					
REGIONAL KC-135 SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY		2,000	2,000	+2,000	
REPLACE DINING HALL		3,200	3,200	+3,200	
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	31,755	53,505	90,270	+58,515	+36,765
MISSOURI					
ARMY: FORT LEONARD WOOD: FIRE STATION		3,200			-3,200
AIR FORCE:					
B-2:					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	17,419	17,419	17,419		
LOW OBSERVABILITY RESTORATION FAC (PHASE I)		12,000			-12,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MACON: ARMORY			3,210	+3,210	+3,210
TOTAL, MISSOURI	17,419	32,619	20,629	+3,210	-11,990

MONTANA											
AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AFB: ADD/ALT AIRMEN DINING FACILITY	4,500	+ 4,500	+ 4,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BILLINGS: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	14,950	+ 14,950	+ 14,950
TOTAL, MONTANA	19,450	+ 19,450	+ 19,450
NEBRASKA											
AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AFB: DORMITORIES	6,900	+ 6,900	+ 6,900
NEVADA											
AIR FORCE:
NELLIS AFB:
LAND ACQUISITION	5,900	+ 5,900	+ 5,900
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,950	- 1,950
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: RENO/TAHOE IAP: C-130 AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	2,950	+ 2,950	+ 2,950
TOTAL, NEVADA	8,850	+ 8,850	+ 6,900
NEW JERSEY											
ARMY: FORT MONMOUTH: FIRE STATION	- 2,050
AIR FORCE:
MCGUIRE AFB:
AIR MOBILITY GROUP (AMOG) WAREHOUSE	9,954
FIRE STATION	8,800	- 8,800
DEFENSE-WIDE: MCGUIRE AFB: AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE CENTER REPLACEMENT	35,217
ARMY RESERVE: FORT DIX: AMMUNITION SUPPLY FACILITY	9,031	- 9,031
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	45,171	- 19,881
NEW MEXICO											
ARMY:
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE:
LAUNCH COMPLEX REVITALIZATION	6,900	- 6,900
NATIONAL RANGE CONTROL CENTER (PHASE II)	18,000
AIR FORCE:
CANNON AFB: F-16 MISSILE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,900	+ 2,900	+ 2,900
KIRKLAND AFB:
FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY	14,000	+ 14,000	+ 14,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
REPLACE MANZANO BRIDGE			6,300	+6,300	+6,300
DEFENSE-WIDE: HOLLOWMAN AFB: DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT		3,000	3,000		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: TAOS: READINESS CENTER	3,000		3,225	+3,225	+3,225
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
KIRKLAND AFB:					
COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY			3,100	+3,100	+3,100
ADD/ALT SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY			2,800	+2,800	+2,800
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	21,000	27,900	53,325	+32,325	+25,425
NEW YORK					
ARMY:					
FORT DRUM:					
AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE		17,500			-17,500
MILITARY TRAINING AND EDUCATION CENTER		6,900			-6,900
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
GABRESKI AIRPORT: REPLACE VEHICLE AND AGE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX			4,250	+4,250	+4,250
NIAGARA FALLS IAP: CONSOLIDATED TRAINING FACILITY			2,100	+2,100	+2,100
SCHENECTADY COUNTY AIRPORT: FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	5,700	5,700	5,700		
STRATTON ANGB (SCHENECTADY): COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX		7,500			-7,500
TOTAL, NEW YORK	5,700	37,600	12,050	+6,350	-25,550
NORTH CAROLINA					
ARMY:					
FORT BRAGG:					
MOUT TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE I)		7,900	7,900	+7,900	
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE I)		9,800			-9,800
NAVY:					
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	8,800	8,800	8,800		

NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:					
AVIATION MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,600	10,600	10,600	10,600
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
AIR FORCE:					
POPE AFB:					
DORMITORIES	8,356	8,356	8,356	8,356
DORMITORIES		9,700		
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER		2,600		
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
FORT BRAGG:					
ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
SECURITY UPGRADES	500	500	500	500
SOF MEDICAL TRAINING BARRACKS		8,300		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CHARLOTTE/DOUGLAS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAIN- TENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	41,106	71,106	57,306	+ 16,200	- 13,800

51

NORTH DAKOTA					
AIR FORCE:					
GRAND FORKS AFB:					
KC-135:					
ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	1,493	1,493	1,493	1,493
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	7,067	7,067	7,067	7,067
MINOT AFB: FIRE/CRAASH RESCUE STATION		5,200		
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	8,560	13,760	13,760	+ 5,200

OHIO					
AIR FORCE:					
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB:					
ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX (PHASE IVA)			22,000	+ 22,000	+ 22,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		8,600			- 8,600
RENOVATE ACQUISITION SUPPORT FACILITY	10,750	10,750	10,750	10,750
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
COLUMBUS CENTER: DFAS—REGIONAL FINANCE CENTER	23,922	23,922	23,922	23,922

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB: ALTER COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	2,750	2,750	2,750
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
RICKENBACKER ANGB: FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5,500	5,700	+5,700	+200
SPRINGFIELD ANGB: BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	4,350	4,350	+4,350
AIR FORCE RESERVE:					
YOUNGSTOWN ARS:					
ADD/ALTER BASE SUPPLY	2,800	2,800	2,800
ADD/ALTER MISCELLANEOUS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,000	1,000	1,000
ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,400	1,400	1,400
TOTAL, OHIO	42,622	61,072	74,672	+32,050	+13,600
OKLAHOMA					
ARMY: FORT SILL: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	8,000	8,000	+8,000
AIR FORCE:					
ALTUS AFB: LAND PURCHASE CLEAR ZONE	11,000	+11,000	+11,000
TINKER AFB: B-2 ADD/ALTER SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,655	9,655	9,655
VANCE AFB: BASE ENGINEERING COMPLEX	6,700	7,700	+7,700	+1,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT: REPLACE AEROMEDICAL EVACUATION TRAIN- ING FACILITY	3,100	+3,100	+3,100
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	9,655	24,355	39,455	+29,800	+15,100
OREGON					
DEFENSE-WIDE: UMATILLA CHEMICAL DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)	57,427	57,427	57,427
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SALEM: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	11,807	+11,807	+11,807
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: KLAMATH FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: VEHICLE REFUELING SHOP AND PAINT BAY	520	520	520

TOTAL, OREGON	57,947	57,947	69,754	+ 11,807	+ 11,807
PENNSYLVANIA					
DEFENSE-WIDE: DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT (NEW CUMBERLAND): ADDITION TO DISTRIBUTION CENTER	15,500	15,500	15,500
ARMY RESERVE: OKDALE: RESERVE CENTER/ORGAN MAINT/AREA MAINT SPT	24,914	+ 24,914	+ 24,914
NAVAL RESERVE: JOHNSTOWN: RESERVE HANGAR AND TRAINING CENTER	13,980	- 13,980
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	15,500	29,480	40,414	+ 24,914	+ 10,934
RHODE ISLAND					
NAVY: NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER DIVISION: UNDERWATER WEAPON SYSTEM LABORATORY	8,900	8,900	8,900
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: QUONSET STATE AIRPORT (N KINGSTON): ADD TO FUEL SYSTEM/CORROSION CONTROL MAINTENANCE FACILITY	355	355	355
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	9,255	9,255	9,255
SOUTH CAROLINA					
ARMY:					
CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: ARMY STRATEGIC MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE II)	7,700	7,700	7,700
FORT JACKSON: EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER	5,400	- 5,400
NAVY:					
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	15,330	- 15,330
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,400	- 2,400
PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT: INDOOR SIMULATOR MARKSMANSHIP TRAINING FACILITY	3,200	3,200	3,200
AIR FORCE: SHAW AFB: INFORMATION WARFARE SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	6,072	6,072	6,072
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LEESBURG TRAINING SITE: REGIONAL SIMULATION CENTER	3,823	+ 3,823	+ 3,823
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
MCENTIRE ANGB:					
DINING FACILITY/JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	7,000	+ 7,000	+ 7,000
ADD/ALTER FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	1,500	1,500	1,500
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	18,472	41,602	29,295	+ 10,823	- 12,307

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

(In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
SOUTH DAKOTA					
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	6,600	6,600	+6,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: RAPID CITY: AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	5,200	+5,200	+5,200
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	6,600	11,800	+11,800	+5,200
TENNESSEE					
AIR FORCE:					
ARNOLD AFB:					
ATMOSPHERIC AIR DRYER FACILITY	9,900	-9,900
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	10,750	10,750	10,750
DEFENSE-WIDE: MILLINGTON NAVAL AIR STATION: DFAS—REGIONAL FINANCE CENTER	6,906	6,906	6,906
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NASHVILLE METRO AIRPORT: REPLACE BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,350	-3,350
ARMY RESERVE: KNOXVILLE: USARC/OMS/AMSA	8,291	-8,291
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	17,656	39,197	17,656	-21,541
TEXAS					
ARMY:					
FORT BLISS: AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT EXPANSION (PHASE II)	7,700	-7,700
FORT HOOD: FORCE XXI SOLDIER DEVELOPMENT SCHOOL (PHASE I)	12,800	12,800
FORT SAM HOUSTON: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	16,000	16,000	16,000
NAVY: CORPUS CHRISTI NAVAL AIR STATION: BOILER PLANT REPLACEMENT	800	-800
AIR FORCE:					
DYESS AFB: B-1 SQUADRON OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	10,000	10,000	+10,000
LAUGHLIN AFB: CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	4,800	-4,800
RANDOLPH AFB: JOINT PRIMARY AIRCRAFT TRAINING SYSTEM—ADD/ALTER VARIOUS FACILITIES	2,488	2,488	2,488

DEFENSE-WIDE: LACKLAND AFB: BLOOD DONOR CENTER	3,000	3,000	3,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SAN ANTONIO: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (OMS)	2,475	2,475	2,475
TOTAL, TEXAS	23,963	60,063	46,763	+ 22,800	- 13,300
UTAH								
AIR FORCE: HILL AFB: PEACEKEEPER STORAGE FACILITIES	6,470	6,470	6,470
DEFENSE-WIDE: HILL AFB: CLINIC ADDITION	3,100	3,100	3,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:								
OREM: READINESS CENTER	5,746	5,746	5,746
RICHFIELD: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,045	1,045	1,045
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:								
SALT LAKE CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:								
COMPOSITE OPS/TRNG SQUADRON OPERATIONS COMPLEX	460	460	460
VEHICLE WASHING AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY
ARMY RESERVE: FORT DOUGLAS: USARC/OMS	12,714	12,714	+ 12,714
TOTAL, UTAH	16,821	29,535	29,535	+ 12,714
VERMONT								
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP JOHNSON: COMBINED SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE SHOP	6,719	+ 6,719	+ 6,719
VIRGINIA								
ARMY:								
CHARLOTTESVILLE: NATIONAL GROUND INTELLIGENCE CENTER PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,100	- 3,100
FT A P HILL: CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	5,400	5,400	5,400
FORT MYER: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	8,200	8,200	8,200
FORT STORY: POST CHAPEL	2,050	- 2,050
NAVY:								
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION:								
AEGIS COMBAT SYSTEM SUPPORT FACILITY	6,600	6,600	6,600
ELECTRICAL WARFARE INTEGRATED FACILITY ADDITION	7,320	7,320	7,320
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE TRNG FACILITY ADDITION	6,560	6,560	6,560
DAM NECK FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER (ATLANTIC): BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION	7,000	7,000	7,000
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: LANDING CRAFT AIR CUSHION COMPLEX (PHASE IV)	8,685	8,685	8,685

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
NORFOLK NAVAL AIR STATION:					
AIR OPERATIONS BUILDING	4,000	4,000
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL	14,240	14,240	14,240	-4,000
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION:					
BERTHING PIER	13,500	+ 13,500	+ 13,500
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	6,100	6,100	6,100
DEPERMING PIERS	12,750	12,750	12,750
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD:					
OILY WASTE COLLECTION SYSTEM	9,500	9,500	9,500
WATERFRONT IMPROVEMENTS	19,910	-19,910
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION:					
AIR OPERATIONS CONTROL TOWER	2,100	2,100	2,100
AIRCRAFT ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURE (PHASE I)	6,000	6,000	-6,000
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	20,900	20,900	20,900
JET ENGINE TEST CELL	5,000	5,000	5,000
YORKTOWN NAVAL WEAPONS STATION:					
GYMNASIUM	5,400	5,400	5,400
TOMAHAWK MAGAZINE	3,290	3,290	-3,290
TORPEDO MAGAZINE	5,857	5,857	5,857
AIR FORCE: LANGLEY AFB: FIRE STATION (PHASE II)	4,031	4,031	4,031
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
CINCLANTFLT NORFOLK: DFAS—REGIONAL FINANCE CENTER	12,800	12,800	12,800
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT CRANEY ISLAND: REPLACE FUEL TANKAGE	22,100	22,100	22,100
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT (NORFOLK): REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	16,656	16,656	16,656
DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CENTER (RICHMOND):					
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,100	2,100	2,100
GAS CYLINDER FACILITY	3,100	3,100	3,100
FT LEE: DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY HEADQUARTERS ADDITION	9,300	9,300	9,300

PORTSMOUTH NAVAL HOSPITAL: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE IX)	34,600				34,600	+ 34,600	+ 34,600
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS BASE: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	19,000	19,000			19,000		
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	223,799	255,949	268,799	+ 45,000			+ 12,850
WASHINGTON							
ARMY:							
FORT LEWIS:							
TANK TRAIL EROSION MITIGATION (YAKIMA) (PHASE III)	2,000	2,000	2,000				
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	31,000	31,000	31,000				
NAVY:							
BREMERTON PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,400	4,400	4,400				
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: ELECTRONIC WARFARE TRAINING FACILITY	1,100	1,100	1,100				
AIR FORCE:							
FAIRCHILD AFB:							
ADD/ALT FIRE STATION			4,750	+ 4,750			+ 4,750
EDUCATION CENTER LIBRARY			8,200	+ 8,200			+ 8,200
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	7,366	7,366	7,366				
SURVIVAL TRAINING ACADEMY SUPPORT FACILITY (PH I)			3,700	+ 3,700			+ 3,700
MCCHORD AFB:							
C-17:							
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGARS	6,470	6,470	6,470				
ENGINE TEST CELL FACILITY	3,185			- 3,185			
DEFENSE-WIDE:							
EVERETT NAVAL STATION: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	7,500	7,500	7,500				
FORT LEWIS: TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC		5,000	5,000	+ 5,000			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FAIRCHILD AFB: UPGRADE KC-135 FLIGHTLINE FACILITIES		9,500					- 9,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE: MCCHORD AFB: CONSOLIDATED MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		3,100					- 3,100
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	63,021	77,436	81,486	+ 18,465			+ 4,050
WEST VIRGINIA							
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP DAWSON: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER							
			6,828	+ 6,828			+ 6,828
WISCONSIN							
DEFENSE-WIDE: TRUAX FIELD (MADISON): JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX							
	4,500	4,500	4,500				
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HAYWARD: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (OMS)							
	2,900	2,900	2,900				

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Senate compared to—	
	Budget request	House allowance
ARMY RESERVE:		
FORT MCGOY (SPARTA):		
ARMY RESERVE READINESS TRAINING CENTER (PHASE II)	14,856	14,856
COMBAT PISTOL RANGE	1,500	1,500
ELECTRIC POWER TO RANGES	2,611	2,611
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	1,973	1,973
AIR FORCE RESERVE: MITCHELL ARS: AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY		4,200
		+ 4,200
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	28,340	28,340
		+ 4,200
		+ 4,200
WYOMING		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP GUERNSEY: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP/CSMS/OMT/WTES		13,891
CONUS CLASSIFIED		
ARMY: CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS: CLASSIFIED PROJECT	6,500	6,500
AIR FORCE:		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION:		
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	1,875	1,875
VISITOR CONTROL CENTER AND SECURITY UPGRADE	4,300	4,300
TOTAL, CONUS CLASSIFIED	12,675	12,675
BAHRAIN ISLAND		
NAVY:		
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT (SOUTHWEST ASIA):		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	25,000	25,000
UTILITIES UPGRADE	5,100	5,100
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND	30,100	30,100
		+ 13,891
		+ 13,891

GERMANY			
ARMY:			
	KATTERBACH KASERNE (ANSBACH): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	22,000	22,000
	TOMPKINS BARRACKS (HEIDELBERG): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	8,800	8,800
	RHINE ORDNNANCE BARRACKS (KAISERSLAUTERN): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	6,000	6,000
	TAYLOR BARRACKS (MANNHEIM): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	6,200	6,200
	AIR FORCE: SPANGDAHLEM AB: DORMITORIES	18,500	18,500
	TOTAL, GERMANY	61,500	61,500
		36,800	-24,700
			-24,700
GUAM			
NAVY:	NAVAL COMPUTER AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS AREA MASTER STATION (WESTERN PACIFIC):		
	FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	4,050	4,050
	DEFENSE-WIDE: ANDERSEN AFB: REPLACE FUEL PIPELINE	16,000	16,000
	TOTAL, GUAM	20,050	20,050
ITALY			
NAVY:	NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL	8,200	8,200
	SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	21,440	21,440
AIR FORCE:	AVIANO AB:		
	ROADS/UTILITIES SYSTEM	7,320	7,320
	WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM AREAS	7,900	7,900
	TOTAL, ITALY	44,860	44,860
KOREA			
ARMY:	CAMP CASEY: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	5,100	5,100
	EASTERN CORRIDOR (CAMP CASTLE): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	8,400	8,400
	CAMP HUMPHREYS: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	32,000	32,000
	CAMP RED CLOUD: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	23,600	23,600
	COMBINED FIELD ARMY (CAMP STANLEY): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	7,000	7,000
			-7,000
			-7,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

(In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request		House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
	Budget request	House allowance			Budget request	House allowance
AIR FORCE:						
KUNSAN AB:						
DORMITORY	8,325	8,325	8,325	8,325
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
OSAN AB: DORMITORY	11,100	11,100	11,100	—11,100	—11,100
TOTAL, KOREA	97,525	97,525	97,525	79,425	—18,100	—18,100
KWAJALEIN						
DEFENSE-WIDE: US ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL (USAKA): CONSTRUCT/ALTER THEATER HIGH ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE (THAAD) TEST FACILITIES	4,565	4,565	4,565	4,565
PORTUGAL						
AIR FORCE: LAJES FIELD (AZORES): WATER TREATMENT PLANT	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800
PUERTO RICO						
NAVY: ROOSEVELT ROADS NAVAL STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	24,100	24,100	24,100	24,100
SPAIN						
DEFENSE-WIDE: MORON AIR BASE: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (PHASE II)	14,400	14,400	14,400	14,400
UNITED KINGDOM						
NAVY: ST MAWGAN JOINT MARITIME FACILITY: RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	2,330	2,330	2,330	2,330
AIR FORCE: ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH: DORMITORIES	11,400	11,400	11,400	11,400
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	13,730	13,730	13,730	13,730
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED						
AIR FORCE:						
CLASSIFIED—OVERSEAS:						
SPACED BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS)—RELAY GROUND STATION (PACIFIC)	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600
SPACED BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS)—RELAY GROUND STATION (ATLANTIC)	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400

OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED:							
INTOWN WAREHOUSE	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800			
OPERATIONS BUILDING EXPANSION	12,200	12,200	12,200	12,200			
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE	2,000	2,000			-2,000		-2,000
WAR READINESS MATERIAL COMMUNICATIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP/MANAGEMENT FACILITY	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100			
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED	31,100	31,100	29,100	29,100	-2,000		-2,000
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM							
NATO							
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	176,300	166,300	152,600	152,600	-23,700		-13,700
ARMY:							
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:							
HOST NATION SUPPORT	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	43,477	51,577	57,646	57,646	+14,169		+6,069
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	6,000	6,000	11,900	11,900	+5,900		+5,900
NAVY:							
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:							
PLANNING AND DESIGN	42,489	46,659	46,489	46,489	+4,000		-170
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,960	9,960	13,860	13,860	+3,900		+3,900
AIR FORCE:							
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:							
PLANNING AND DESIGN	40,880	45,880	48,880	48,880	+8,000		+3,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,545	8,545	10,545	10,545	+2,000		+2,000
REDUCTION FOR PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS	-23,858				+23,858		
DEFENSE-WIDE:							
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:							
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000			
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	9,844	9,844	4,000	4,000	-5,844		-5,844
GENERAL REDUCTION (NSA)							
PLANNING AND DESIGN:							
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	540	540	540	540			
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM	9,200	9,200	9,200	9,200			
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400			
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	30,300	9,000	19,600	19,600	-10,700		+10,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request		Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
	Budget request	House allowance		Budget request	House allowance
DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	10,500	10,500	18,000	+ 7,500	+ 7,500
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,710	3,710	3,710		
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	55,650	34,350	52,450	- 3,200	+ 18,100
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:					
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,100	4,100	4,100		
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	1,965	1,965	1,965		
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	3,000	3,000	3,000		
DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	7,958	7,958	7,958		
DOD DEPENDENT SCHOOLS	2,000	2,000	2,000		
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	6,234	6,234	6,234		
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	25,257	25,257	25,257		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,800	2,800	33,000	+ 30,200	+ 30,200
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	6,698	6,698	40,900	+ 34,202	+ 34,202
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	7,029	8,029	15,650	+ 8,621	+ 7,621
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,231	4,231	8,800	+ 4,569	+ 4,569
ARMY RESERVE:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	5,100	5,783	7,100	+ 2,000	+ 1,317
REDUCTION FOR PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS	- 7,900			+ 7,900	
NAVAL RESERVE:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,527	3,027	3,027	+ 500	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	650	650	650		

AIR FORCE RESERVE:							
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:							
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,516	2,116	2,116	2,116	+ 600		
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,464	4,464	4,464	4,464			
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	290,359	320,870	431,734	431,734	+ 141,375	+ 110,864	
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS							
ARMY: OVERSEAS VARIOUS LOCATIONS: STRATEGIC LOGISTICAL PREPOSITIONING COMPLEX (PHASE III)	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000			
DEFENSE-WIDE: VARIOUS LOCATIONS: POLLUTION ABATEMENT FACILITIES (CONFORMING STORAGE)	11,275	11,275	11,275	11,275			
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE VARIOUS	48,275	48,275	48,275	48,275			
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY							
ALASKA:							
FORT RICHARDSON (52 UNITS)			9,600	9,600	+ 9,600	+ 9,600	
FORT WAINWRIGHT (32 UNITS)			8,300	8,300	+ 8,300	+ 8,300	
ARIZONA: FORT HUACHUCA (55 UNITS)							
FLORIDA: MIAMI (US SOUTHERN COMMAND HEADQUARTERS) (8 UNITS)	2,300	8,000			- 2,300		
HAWAII: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (132 UNITS)	26,600	26,600	26,600	26,600			
KENTUCKY: FORT CAMPBELL (86 UNITS)	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900	+ 8,500	+ 8,500	
MARYLAND: FORT MEADE (56 UNITS)							
NEW JERSEY: PICATINNY ARSENAL (35 UNITS)							
NORTH CAROLINA:							
FORT BRAGG (142 UNITS)	16,800	16,800	16,800	16,800			
FORT BRAGG (32 UNITS)	3,350	3,350	3,350	3,350			
TEXAS:							
FORT BLISS (91 UNITS)	12,900	12,900	12,900	12,900			
FORT HOOD (130 UNITS)	18,800	18,800	18,800	18,800			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	44,800	90,931	44,800	44,800			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	9,550	9,550	9,550	9,550			
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	143,000	202,131	167,100	167,100	+ 24,100	- 35,031	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:							
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	47,404	47,404	47,404	47,404			

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	80,089	80,089	80,089
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	327	327	327
SERVICES ACCOUNT	52,936	52,936	52,936
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	265,732	265,732	265,732
LEASING	234,053	234,053	235,053	+1,000	+1,000
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	468,393	468,393	468,393
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,148,934	1,148,934	1,149,934	+1,000	+1,000
INTEREST PAYMENTS	3	3	3
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,291,937	1,351,068	1,317,037	+25,100	-34,031
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY					
CALIFORNIA:					
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE (171 UNITS)	22,518	22,518	22,518
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION (128 UNITS)	23,226	23,226	23,226
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (MIRAMAR) (166 UNITS)	28,881	28,881	28,881
SAN DIEGO NAVAL COMPLEX (94 UNITS)	13,500	13,500	-13,500
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARINE CORPS AIR GROUND COMBAT CENTER (132 UNITS)	23,891	23,891	23,891
HAWAII: PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX (84 UNITS)	17,900	17,900	17,900	+17,900
LOUISIANA: NEW ORLEANS NAVAL COMPLEX (100 UNITS)	11,930	11,930	-11,930
NORTH CAROLINA: CAMP LEJEUNE (37 UNITS)	2,863	+2,863	+2,863
TEXAS:					
CORPUS CHRISTI NAS (57 UNITS)	6,470	+6,470	+6,470
KINGSVILLE/CORPUS CHRISTI NAVAL COMPLEX (212 UNITS)	22,250	-22,250
WASHINGTON:					
BANGOR NAVAL COMPLEX (118 UNITS)	15,700	15,700	+15,700
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS (198 UNITS)	32,290	+32,290	+32,290

WORLDWIDE VARIOUS: REDUCTION FOR PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS	- 8,463	+ 8,463
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	173,780	214,282	173,780	- 40,502
PLANNING AND DESIGN	15,100	15,100	15,100
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	278,933	409,178	362,619	+ 83,686	- 46,559
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:							
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	34,211	34,211	34,211
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	87,731	87,731	87,731
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	806	806	806
SERVICES ACCOUNT	66,968	66,968	66,968
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	199,776	199,776	199,776
LEASING	124,507	124,507	124,507
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	462,427	462,427	462,427
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	78	78	78
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	976,504	976,504	976,504
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	1,255,437	1,385,682	1,339,123	+ 83,686	- 46,559

65

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

ARIZONA: DAVIS-MOTHAN AFB (70 UNITS)	9,800	- 9,800
CALIFORNIA:							
EDWARDS AFB (51 UNITS)	8,500	8,500	8,500
EDWARDS AFB (44 UNITS)	8,300	- 8,300
TRAVIS AFB (70 UNITS)	9,714	9,714	9,714
VANDENBERG AFB (108 UNITS)	17,100	17,100	17,100
DELAWARE: DOVER AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY)	831	831	831
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: BOLLING AFB (46 UNITS)	5,100	5,100	5,100
FLORIDA:							
MACDILL AFB (58 UNITS)	10,000	10,000	10,000
TYNDALL AFB (32 UNITS)	4,200	4,200	4,200
GEORGIA:							
ROBINS AFB (60 UNITS)	6,800	6,800	6,800
ROBINS AFB (46 UNITS)	5,200	+ 5,200	+ 5,200
IDAHO: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB (60 UNITS)	11,032	11,032	11,032

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 (In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Senate compared to—	
	Budget request	House allowance
KANSAS:		
MCCONNELL AFB (19 UNITS)	2,951	2,951
MCCONNELL AFB (FAMILY HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE)	581	581
MISSISSIPPI:		
COLUMBUS AFB (50 UNITS)	6,200	6,200
KEESLER AFB (40 UNITS)	5,000	5,000
MONTANA:		
MALMSTROM AFB (28 UNITS)	4,842	4,842
MALMSTROM AFB (PHASE II) (92 UNITS)	16,605	16,605
NEW MEXICO: KIRTLAND AFB (180 UNITS)	20,900	20,900
NORTH DAKOTA: GRAND FORKS AFB (42 UNITS)	7,936	7,936
SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON AFB	14,300	14,300
TEXAS:		
DYESS AFB (70 UNITS)	10,503	10,503
GOODFELLOW AFB (3 UNITS)	500	500
LACKLAND AFB (50 UNITS)	7,400	7,400
SHEPPARD AFB (40 UNITS)	7,400	7,400
WYOMING: F E WARREN AFB (52 UNITS)	6,853	6,853
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	102,195	102,195
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,971	11,971
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION	253,128	341,409
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	36,427	36,427
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	48,712	48,712
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	5,661	5,661
SERVICES ACCOUNT	35,849	35,849
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	154,556	154,556
LEASING	116,716	116,716

MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	31	31	31	31	31
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	432,282	432,282	432,282	432,282	432,282
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	830,234	830,234	830,234	830,234	830,234
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,083,362	1,171,643	1,126,867	+ 43,505	- 44,776
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE					
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NSA) (2 UNITS, MENWITH HILL, UNITED KINGDOM)	50	50	50	50	50
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (DLA) (48 UNITS, NEW CUMBERLAND, PA)	4,850	4,850	4,850	4,850	4,850
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DLA)	50	50	50	50	50
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	4,950	4,950	4,950	4,950	4,950
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:					
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	126	126	126	126	126
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA)	2,328	2,328	2,328	2,328	2,328
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	118	118	118	118	118
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	70	70	70	70	70
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	235	235	235	235	235
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA)	35	35	35	35	35
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	355	355	355	355	355
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	66	66	66	66	66
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	425	425	425	425	425
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA)	318	318	318	318	318
LEASING (NSA)	11,169	11,169	11,169	11,169	11,169
LEASING (DIA)	16,504	16,504	16,504	16,504	16,504
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	490	490	490	490	490
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	485	485	485	485	485
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	32,724	32,724	32,724	32,724	32,724
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	37,674	37,674	37,674	37,674	37,674
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II					
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II	116,754	116,754	116,754	116,754	116,754

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
(In thousands of dollars)

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III	768,702	768,702	768,702		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	1,175,398	1,175,398	1,175,398		
TOTAL, BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	2,060,854	2,060,854	2,060,854		
REVISED ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS (SEC. 125)			-31,000	-31,000	-31,000
GRAND TOTAL	8,383,248	9,183,000	9,182,900	+799,652	-100
RECAPITULATION					
ARMY	595,277	721,027	652,046	+56,769	-68,981
NAVY	540,106	685,306	605,756	+65,650	-79,550
AIR FORCE	495,782	662,305	662,305	+166,523	
DEFENSE AGENCIES	673,633	613,333	690,889	+17,256	+77,556
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	45,098	45,098	234,614	+189,516	+189,516
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	60,225	137,275	185,115	+124,890	+47,840
ARMY RESERVE	39,112	77,731	96,079	+56,967	+18,348
NAVAL RESERVE	13,921	40,561	21,111	+7,190	-19,450
AIR FORCE RESERVE	14,530	27,143	31,830	+17,300	+4,687
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2,477,684	3,009,779	3,179,745	+702,061	+169,966
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	176,300	166,300	152,600	-23,700	-13,700
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,291,937	1,351,068	1,317,037	+25,100	-34,031
(CONSTRUCTION)	(143,000)	(202,131)	(167,100)	(+24,100)	(-35,031)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(1,148,937)	(1,148,937)	(1,149,937)	(+1,000)	(+1,000)

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	1,255,437	1,385,682	1,339,123	+ 83,686	- 46,559
(CONSTRUCTION)	(278,933)	(409,178)	(362,619)	(+ 83,686)	(- 46,559)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(976,504)	(976,504)	(976,504)
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,083,362	1,171,643	1,126,867	+ 43,505	- 44,776
(CONSTRUCTION)	(253,128)	(341,409)	(296,633)	(+ 43,505)	(- 44,776)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(830,234)	(830,234)	(830,234)
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	37,674	37,674	37,674
(CONSTRUCTION)	(4,950)	(4,950)	(4,950)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(32,724)	(32,724)	(32,724)
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	2,060,854	2,060,854	2,060,854
REVISED ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS (SEC. 125)	- 31,000	- 31,000	- 31,000
GRAND TOTAL	8,383,248	9,183,000	9,182,900	+ 799,652	- 100

○