106TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 2946

To amend title 5, United States Code, to authorize the Merit Systems Protection Board to conduct an alternative dispute resolution pilot program to assist Federal Government agencies in resolving serious workplace disputes, and to establish an administrative judge pay schedule for administrative judges employed by the Merit Systems Protection Board.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

September 24, 1999

Mr. Gekas introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Government Reform

A BILL

To amend title 5, United States Code, to authorize the Merit Systems Protection Board to conduct an alternative dispute resolution pilot program to assist Federal Government agencies in resolving serious workplace disputes, and to establish an administrative judge pay schedule for administrative judges employed by the Merit Systems Protection Board.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. POLICY, FINDINGS, AND PURPOSES.
- 4 (a) Policy.—Chapter 12 of title 5, United States
- 5 Code, is amended to authorize the United States Merit

- 1 Systems Protection Board to test and evaluate a variety
- 2 of alternative dispute resolution techniques in workplace
- 3 disputes involving Federal administrative agencies and
- 4 proceedings governed by chapters 5 and 7 of title 5,
- 5 United States Code. The statement of purpose and policy
- 6 is a reaffirmation of the encouragement to Federal agen-
- 7 cies to use alternative dispute resolution, enunciated in the
- 8 Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996. (Public
- 9 Law 104–320).
- 10 (b) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
- 11 (1) Workplace disputes waste resources of the
- 12 Federal Government, take up too much time, and
- deflect managers and employees from their primary
- job functions.
- 15 (2) In the past, the Federal Government has re-
- lied heavily upon traditional, adversarial processes.
- 17 (3) Alternative dispute resolution has been use-
- ful in resolving a variety of matters in controversy
- 19 without litigation, providing quick resolution, open
- 20 communications, improved working relationships and
- 21 avoidance of costly and lengthy litigation, and use of
- alternative dispute resolution should be promoted.
- 23 (4) It is important to determine whether man-
- datory alternative dispute resolution is desirable, ap-
- propriate, or effective for certain workplace disputes.

1 (5) The Merit Systems Protection Board is well 2 suited to conduct a 3-year alternative dispute resolu-3 tion program focusing on workplace disputes in the Federal sector because it is an independent adjudicative agency that decides approximately 10,000 5 6 cases involving Federal workplace disputes each 7 year, has a long history of using alternative dispute 8 resolution to settle cases filed with the Board, and 9 has as one of its missions the responsibility to study 10 and report on issues of importance to the civil serv-11 ice system. The Board's workload is diverse and in-12 cludes a sufficient variety of both highly complex 13 cases and high-volume less complex cases to ade-14 quately test and evaluate broader use of alternative 15 dispute resolution techniques. It has an experienced 16 corps of administrative judges who are already fa-17 miliar with traditional settlement techniques.

18 (c) Purposes.—The Board shall evaluate the alter-19 native dispute resolution pilot program and, after the com-20 pletion of the 3-year program, shall file a comprehensive 21 report with the President and Congress.

1	SEC. 2. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD ALTER-
2	NATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PILOT PRO-
3	GRAM.
4	(a) Amendment to Chapter 12 of Title 5.—
5	Chapter 12 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by
6	adding immediately after section 1206 the following:
7	"§ 1207. Establishment of alternative dispute resolu-
8	tion program
9	"(a) In General.—
10	"(1) The Merit Systems Protection Board is
11	authorized to establish a 3-year program to provide
12	employees and agencies with alternative dispute res-
13	olution processes to apply to workplace disputes and
14	disagreements involving removals, suspensions for
15	more than 14 days, and other adverse actions under
16	section 7512.
17	"(2) The Board shall test and evaluate a vari-
18	ety of alternative dispute resolution techniques,
19	which may include—
20	"(A) settlement judges or attorneys;
21	"(B) mediation through use of shared
22	neutrals;
23	"(C) mediation by Merit Systems Protec-
24	tion Board staff or others as appointed by the
25	Chairman;
26	"(D) administrative arbitration: and

- "(E) certified alternative dispute resolution
 counselors (agency personnel specifically trained
 in Board law and alternative dispute resolution
 techniques).
- 5 "(b) Early Intervention Alternative Dispute6 Resolution.—
- "(1) Invoking resolution process.—The
 Board's early intervention alternative dispute resolution process may be invoked after an agency has
 issued a notice letter of a proposed action to an employee but before a final decision has been made
 under section 7513.
 - "(2) EARLY INTERVENTION.—Any agency or employee may seek early intervention alternative dispute resolution from the Board by filing a request with the Board. If the Board determines that alternative dispute resolution is appropriate, the parties shall participate.
 - "(3) Mandatory resolution.—The Chairman of the Merit Systems Protection Board is authorized to designate up to 3 agencies with a substantial volume of Board appeals for participation in a mandatory early intervention alternative dispute resolution program. Under this alternative dispute resolution process, all matters appealable under sec-

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- tion 7512 shall be subject to early intervention alter-
- 2 native dispute resolution unless the Board deter-
- 3 mines that the matter is not appropriate for the pro-
- 4 gram or a statute or collective bargaining agreement
- 5 precludes inclusion of the matter in the alternative
- 6 dispute resolution program.
- 7 "(c) Alternative Dispute Resolution After an
- 8 APPEAL OR PETITION FOR REVIEW IS FILED.—
- 9 "(1) APPEAL OR PETITION FOR REVIEW.—Once
- an appeal or petition for review is filed with the
- Board, any employee or agency may request that a
- case be selected for alternative dispute resolution.
- 13 The request shall be filed with the administrative
- judge assigned to the appeal or with the Clerk of the
- Board if the matter is before the Board on petition
- 16 for review at the same time that the appeal or peti-
- tion for review is filed.
- 18 "(2) Selection of Cases.—The Board shall
- have sole authority to select cases for alternative dis-
- 20 pute resolution after an appeal or petition for review
- 21 is filed. The Board may also select cases for alter-
- 22 native dispute resolution as it determines appro-
- priate.
- 24 "(d) Implementation.—

1	"(1) Program duties.—In carrying out the
2	program under this section, the Board shall—
3	"(A) develop and prescribe standards for
4	selecting and handling cases in which alter-
5	native dispute resolution is to be used;
6	"(B) take such actions as may be nec-
7	essary, including waiver of all statutory, regu-
8	latory, or Board imposed adjudicatory time
9	frames; and
10	"(C) establish a time target within which
11	it intends to complete the alternative dispute
12	resolution process.
13	"(2) Extension.—A party may request an ex-
14	tension of the alternative dispute resolution period,
15	or the Board may extend the time period as it finds
16	appropriate.
17	"(3) Recruitment.—The Chairman of the
18	Merit Systems Protection Board may recruit and
19	hire temporary staff or contractors to carry out this
20	section.
21	"(4) Regulations.—The Board is authorized
22	to prescribe such regulations as may be necessary to
23	implement the alternative dispute resolution pro-
24	gram.
25	"(e) Evaluation.—

1	"(1) Criteria.—The Board's Office of Policy
2	and Evaluation shall establish criteria for evaluating
3	the alternative dispute resolution program and pre-
4	pare a report containing findings and recommenda-
5	tions as to whether mandatory alternative dispute
6	resolution is desirable, effective, and appropriate for
7	cases decided by Federal administrative agencies
8	under proceedings governed by chapters 5 and 7.
9	"(2) Report content.—The report shall
10	include—
11	"(A) the number of cases subject to the al-
12	ternative dispute resolution program, the agen-
13	cies involved, the results, and the resources ex-
14	pended;
15	"(B) a comprehensive analysis of the effec-
16	tiveness of the program, including whether it is
17	cost-effective;
18	"(C) a survey of the satisfaction of partici-
19	pants; and
20	"(D) a recommendation regarding the de-
21	sirability of extending the alternative dispute
22	resolution program beyond the prescribed expi-
23	ration date and any recommended changes.
24	"(3) Report date.—The report shall be sub-
25	mitted to the President and the Congress 180 days

- following the close of the alternative dispute resolution program.".
- 3 (b) Appropriations.—

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- (1) In General.—For the purpose of carrying out the alternative dispute resolution program established by the amendment made by subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated the following sums: \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; \$1,000,000 adjusted by the most recent percentage change in the employment cost index (ECI) for fiscal year 2001; and \$1,000,000 adjusted by the most recent percentage change in the ECI for fiscal year 2002.
 - (2) No REDUCTIONS.—The authorization of appropriations by paragraph (1) shall not have the effect of reducing any funds appropriated for the Board for the purpose of carrying out its statutory mission under section 1204.
- 18 (c) Effective Date.—The amendment made by 19 subsection (a) shall take effect no later than the close of 20 the 60th day after the date of enactment of appropriations 21 authorized by subsection (b)(1) for fiscal year 2000 and 22 shall remain in effect for 3 years from the effective date.
- 23 (d) Conforming Amendment.—The table of sec-24 tions for subchapter I of chapter 12 of title 5, United 25 States Code, is amended by adding after the item relating

1 to section 1206 the following new item:

"1207. Establishment of alternative dispute resolution program.".

2 SEC. 3. POLICY AND FINDINGS.

- 3 (a) Policy.—Chapter 53 of title 5, United States
- 4 Code, is amended to establish an MSPB Administrative
- 5 Judge Pay Schedule and to provide MSPB administrative
- 6 judges with pay that is comparable to that of immigration
- 7 judges (IJs) and administrative law judges (ALJs).
- 8 (b) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
- 9 (1) MSPB administrative judges perform work
- at the same level of importance and complexity as
- immigration judges and administrative law judges.
- 12 Federal employees deserve to have their cases heard
- by judges with the same pay and status as the
- judges who hear private sector benefits and employ-
- ment law cases. Veterans in the Federal workforce
- deserve to have their cases heard by judges with the
- same pay and status as the judges who hear cases
- involving illegal aliens.
- 19 (2) MSPB administrative judges are leaving the
- 20 Board for positions with other adjudicatory agencies.
- During the past 4 years, the Board has lost 20 per-
- cent of its most experienced judges to other adju-
- 23 dicatory agencies. MSPB administrative judges
- should not have to leave the agency to achieve the
- 25 pay and status they deserve when they are adjudi-

1	cating cases with the same importance and com-
2	plexity as cases heard by IJs and ALJs.
3	SEC. 4. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD ADMINISTRA-
4	TIVE JUDGES AND COMPENSATION.
5	(a) Amendment to Chapter 53 of Title 5.—
6	Chapter 53 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by
7	adding immediately after section 5372a the following:
8	"§ 5372b. Merit Systems Protection Board administra-
9	tive judges
10	"(a) Definitions.—For the purposes of this
11	section—
12	"(1) the term 'administrative judge (AJ)'
13	means an employee of the Merit Systems Protection
14	Board appointed to an administrative judge position
15	and paid under the MSPB Administrative Judge
16	Schedule established by subsection (b); and
17	"(2) the term 'administrative judge (GS)'
18	means an employee of the Merit Systems Protection
19	Board appointed to an administrative judge position
20	and paid under the General Schedule described in
21	section 5332 of this title.
22	"(b) In General.—There is established the MSPB
23	Administrative Judge Pay Schedule which shall have 4 lev-
24	els of nav designated as A.I.1 _2 _3 and _4 Each ad-

1	ministrative judge (AJ) shall be paid at one of those levels
2	in accordance with subsection (c).
3	"(c) Rates of Pay.—
4	"(1) Basic pay.—The rates of basic pay for
5	the levels of the MSPB Administrative Judge Pay
6	Schedule established by the subsection (b) shall be
7	as follows:
8	"(A) AJ-1: 70 percent of the next to high-
9	est rate of basic pay for the Senior Executive
10	Service.
11	"(B) AJ-2: 80 percent of the next to high-
12	est rate of basic pay for the Senior Executive
13	Service.
14	"(C) AJ-3: 90 percent of the next to high-
15	est rate of basic pay for the Senior Executive
16	Service.
17	"(D) AJ-4: 92 percent of the next to high-
18	est rate of basic pay for the Senior Executive
19	Service.
20	"(2) Locality Pay.—Locality pay as provided
21	by section 5304 shall be applied to the basic pay for
22	administrative judges (AJ) paid under the MSPB
23	Administrative Judge Pay Schedule.
24	"(d) Appointment and Advancement.—

"(1) Initial appointment.—Except as pro-vided in paragraph (3), initial appointment of an ad-ministrative judge (AJ) shall be at the AJ-1 pay level. Subject to subsection (d)(2), an administrative judge (AJ) shall be advanced to AJ-2 upon comple-tion of 104 weeks of service, to AJ-3 upon comple-tion of 104 weeks of service at the next lower level, and to AJ-4 upon completion of 52 weeks of service at the next lower level.

"(2) ADVANCEMENT.—Advancement to the AJ–2, -3, and -4 levels as provided by paragraph (1) shall not be effected if the Chairman of the Merit Systems Protection Board determines that the work of an administrative judge (AJ) at the next lower level is not of an acceptable level of competence. An administrative judge (AJ) may appeal an adverse acceptable level of competence determination to the Merit Systems Protection Board under the same conditions by which an administrative judge (GS) may appeal the denial of a periodic step increase in pay under section 5335(c).

"(3) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Chairman of the Merit Systems Protection Board may provide for initial appointment of an administrative judge (AJ) at a level higher than AJ—

- 1 under such circumstances as the Chairman may
 2 determine appropriate.
- "(4) Conversion.—An administrative judge (GS), who occupies a level below grade 15 of the General Schedule, may, when entitled by tenure and performance to be advanced to grade 15 of the General Schedule, be converted to the MSPB Administrative Judge Pay Schedule and appointed at the AJ-1 level.".

(b) Transition Provisions.—

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (1) Conversion to MSPB administrative Judge PAY SCHEDULE.—Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), an administrative judge (GS) who is serving as of the effective date of this section and who occupies the grade 15 level of the General Schedule shall be converted to the MSPB Administrative Judge Pay Schedule and appointed as an administrative judge (AJ). Each administrative judge (AJ) so converted shall be placed in the appropriate pay level prescribed under section 5372(c) of title 5, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), based on the amount of time the administrative judge (AJ) has served as an administrative judge (GS).
- (2) LIMITATION ON CONVERSION.—Conversion of an administrative judge (GS) to administrative

- 1 judge (AJ) under paragraph (1) shall not be effected 2 if the Chairman of the Merit Systems Protection 3 Board determines that the work of an administrative 4 judge (GS) at the grade 15 level of the General 5 Schedule is not of an acceptable level of competence. 6 An administrative judge (AJ) may appeal an adverse 7 acceptable level of competence determination to the 8 Merit Systems Protection Board under the same 9 conditions by which an administrative judge (GS) 10 may appeal the denial of a periodic step increase in 11 pay under section 5335(c).
 - (3) Limitation on Pay increases.—Notwithstanding the rates of basic pay prescribed under section 5372(c) of title 5, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), the Chairman of the Merit Systems Protection Board may, on the effective date of this section and each year for a period of 7 years thereafter, limit the pay increase for each administrative judge (AJ) to an adjustment equal to—
 - (A) the percentage pay adjustment received by members of the Senior Executive Service under section 5382(c) of this title, if any;
- 24 (B) locality pay under section 5304; and
- 25 (C) an additional \$3,000.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The Senior Executive Service percentage pay adjustment, if any, shall be included in basic pay. Annual adjustments in pay after the effective date of this section will be made on the first day of the first pay period of each calendar year. The limitation on pay increases under this subsection may continue during the time period prescribed by this subsection until such time as the pay of each administrative judge (AJ) reaches the appropriate rate of basic pay under section 5372b(c) of title 5, United States Code, as added by subsection (a). The Chairman may waive any limitation on pay under this subsection in the case of an administrative judge (AJ) serving as a chief administrative judge.

(4) Pay in relation to grade 15 of the General Schedule.—In no case shall an administrative judge (AJ) who is converted in accordance with paragraph (1), or whose pay increase in any year is limited under paragraph (3), be paid after the effective date of this section at a rate that is less than the administrative judge's (AJ) rate of pay would have been had the administrative judge (AJ) remained as an administrative judge (GS) occupying the grade 15 level of the General Schedule.

1	(5) Definitions.—For purposes of this
2	subsection—
3	(A) the term "administrative judge (AJ)"
4	means an employee of the Merit Systems Pro-
5	tection Board appointed to an administrative
6	judge position and paid under the MSPB Ad-
7	ministrative Judge schedule established by the
8	amendment made by subsection (a); and
9	(B) the term "administrative judge (GS)"
10	means an employee of the Merit Systems Pro-
11	tection Board appointed to an administrative
12	judge position and paid under the General
13	Schedule described in section 5332 of this title.
14	(c) Appropriations.—
15	(1) In general.—There are authorized to be
16	appropriated such sums as are necessary for the
17	purpose of carrying out this section.
18	(2) No reduction.—The authorization of ap-
19	propriations by paragraph (1) shall not have the ef-
20	fect of reducing any funds appropriated for the
21	Board for the purpose of carrying out its statutory
22	mission under section 1204 of title 5, United States
23	Code.
24	(d) Effective Date.—This section shall take effect
25	on the first day of the first pay period of the calendar

- 1 year immediately following the date of enactment of ap-
- 2 propriations authorized by subsection (c)(1).
- 3 (e) Conforming Amendment.—The table of sec-
- 4 tions for subchapter VII of chapter 53 of title 5, United
- 5 States Code, is amended by adding after the item relating
- 6 to section 5372a the following new item:

"5372b. Merit Systems Protection Board administrative judges.".

 \bigcirc