

106TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 4303

To prohibit the use of, and provide for remediation of water contaminated
by, methyl tertiary butyl ether.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APRIL 13, 2000

Mr. EWING (for himself, Mr. SHIMKUS, Mr. WELLER, Mr. LAHOOD, Mr. McINTOSH, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. MANZULLO, and Mr. PHELPS) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce

A BILL

To prohibit the use of, and provide for remediation of water
contaminated by, methyl tertiary butyl ether.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “MTBE Elimination
5 Act”.

6 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS; SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

8 (1) a single cup of MTBE, equal to the quan-
9 tity found in 1 gallon of gasoline oxygenated with

1 MTBE, renders all of the water in a 5,000,000-gal-
2 lon well undrinkable;

3 (2) the physical properties of MTBE allow
4 MTBE to pass easily from gasoline to air to water,
5 or from gasoline directly to water, but MTBE does
6 not—

7 (A) readily attach to soil particles; or

8 (B) naturally degrade;

9 (3) the development of tumors and nervous sys-
10 tem disorders in mice and rats has been linked to
11 exposure to MTBE and tertiary butyl alcohol and
12 formaldehyde, which are 2 metabolic byproducts of
13 MTBE;

14 (4) reproductive and developmental studies of
15 MTBE indicate that exposure of a pregnant female
16 to MTBE through inhalation can—

17 (A) result in maternal toxicity; and

18 (B) have possible adverse effects on a de-
19 veloping fetus;

20 (5) the Health Effects Institute reported in
21 February 1996 that the studies of MTBE support
22 its classification as a neurotoxicant and suggest that
23 its primary effect is likely to be in the form of acute
24 impairment;

1 (6) people with higher levels of MTBE in the
2 bloodstream are significantly more likely to report
3 more headaches, eye irritation, nausea, dizziness,
4 burning of the nose and throat, coughing, disorienta-
5 tion, and vomiting as compared with those who have
6 lower levels of MTBE in the bloodstream;

7 (7) available information has shown that
8 MTBE significantly reduces the efficiency of tech-
9 nologies used to remediate water contaminated by
10 petroleum hydrocarbons;

11 (8) the costs of remediation of MTBE water
12 contamination throughout the United States could
13 run into the billions of dollars;

14 (9) although several studies are being con-
15 ducted to assess possible methods to remediate
16 drinking water contaminated by MTBE, there have
17 been no engineering solutions to make such remedi-
18 ation cost-efficient and practicable;

19 (10) the remediation of drinking water contami-
20 nated by MTBE, involving the stripping of millions
21 of gallons of contaminated ground water, can cost
22 millions of dollars per municipality;

23 (11) the average cost of a single industrial
24 cleanup involving MTBE contamination is approxi-
25 mately \$150,000;

1 (12) the average cost of a single cleanup involv-
2 ing MTBE contamination that is conducted by a
3 small business or a homeowner is approximately
4 \$37,000;

5 (13) the reformulated gasoline program under
6 section 211(k) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C.
7 7545(k)) has resulted in substantial reductions in
8 the emissions of a number of air pollutants from
9 motor vehicles, including volatile organic compounds,
10 carbon monoxide, and mobile-source toxic air pollut-
11 ants, including benzene;

12 (14) in assessing oxygenate alternatives, the
13 Blue Ribbon Panel of the Environmental Protection
14 Agency determined that ethanol, made from domes-
15 tic grain and potentially from recycled biomass, is an
16 effective fuel-blending component that—

17 (A) provides carbon monoxide emission
18 benefits and high octane; and

19 (B) appears to contribute to the reduction
20 of the use of aromatics, providing reductions in
21 emissions of toxic air pollutants and other air
22 quality benefits;

23 (15) the Department of Agriculture concluded
24 that ethanol production and distribution could be ex-
25 panded to meet the needs of the reformulated gaso-

1 line program in 4 years, with negligible price im-
2 pacts and no interruptions in supply; and

3 (16) because the reformulated gasoline program
4 is a source of clean air benefits, and ethanol is a via-
5 ble alternative that provides air quality and eco-
6 nomic benefits, research and development efforts
7 should be directed to assess infrastructure and meet
8 other challenges necessary to allow ethanol use to
9 expand sufficiently to meet the requirements of the
10 reformulated gasoline program as the use of MTBE
11 is phased out.

12 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
13 gress that the Administrator should provide technical as-
14 sistance, information, and matching funds to help local
15 communities—

16 (1) test drinking water supplies; and

17 (2) remediate drinking water contaminated with
18 methyl tertiary butyl ether.

19 **SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.**

20 In this Act, the following definitions apply:

21 (1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Adminis-
22 trator” means the Administrator of the Environ-
23 mental Protection Agency.

24 (2) ELIGIBLE GRANTEE.—The term “eligible
25 grantee” means any of the following:

1 (A) A Federal research agency.

2 (B) A national laboratory.

3 (C) A college.

4 (D) A university.

5 (E) A research foundation maintained by a
6 college or university.

7 (F) A private research organization with
8 an established and demonstrated capacity to
9 perform research or technology transfer.

10 (G) A State environmental research facil-
11 ity.

12 (3) MTBE.—The term “MTBE” means methyl
13 tertiary butyl ether.

14 **SEC. 4. USE AND LABELING OF MTBE AS A FUEL ADDITIVE.**

15 Section 6 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (15
16 U.S.C. 2605) is amended by adding at the end the fol-
17 lowing:

18 “(f) USE OF METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL ETHER.—

19 “(1) PROHIBITION ON USE.—Effective on the
20 date that is 3 years after the date of enactment of
21 this subsection, a person shall not use methyl ter-
22 tiary butyl ether as a fuel additive.

23 “(2) LABELING OF FUEL DISPENSING SYSTEMS
24 FOR MTBE.—Any person selling oxygenated gasoline
25 containing methyl tertiary butyl ether at retail shall

1 be required under regulations promulgated by the
2 Administrator to label the fuel dispensing system
3 with a notice that—

4 “(A) specifies that the gasoline contains
5 methyl tertiary butyl ether; and

6 “(B) provides such other information con-
7 cerning methyl tertiary butyl ether as the Ad-
8 ministrator determines to be appropriate.

9 “(3) REGULATIONS.—As soon as practicable
10 after the date of enactment of this subsection, the
11 Administrator shall establish a schedule that pro-
12 vides for an annual phased reduction in the quantity
13 of methyl tertiary butyl ether that may be used as
14 a fuel additive during the 3-year period beginning on
15 the date of enactment of this subsection.”.

16 **SEC. 5. GRANTS FOR RESEARCH ON MTBE GROUND WATER**
17 **CONTAMINATION AND REMEDIATION.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—

19 (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Administrator shall
20 establish a MTBE research grants program within
21 the Environmental Protection Agency.

22 (2) PURPOSE OF GRANTS.—The Administrator
23 may make a grant under this section to an eligible
24 grantee to pay the Federal share of the costs of re-
25 search on—

1 (A) the development of more cost-effective
2 and accurate MTBE ground water testing
3 methods;

4 (B) the development of more efficient and
5 cost-effective remediation procedures for water
6 sources contaminated with MTBE; or

7 (C) the potential effects of MTBE on
8 human health.

9 (b) ADMINISTRATION.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—In making grants under this
11 section, the Administrator shall—

12 (A) seek and accept proposals for grants;

13 (B) determine the relevance and merit of
14 proposals;

15 (C) award grants on the basis of merit,
16 quality, and relevance to advancing the pur-
17 poses for which a grant may be awarded under
18 subsection (a); and

19 (D) give priority to those proposals the ap-
20 plicants for which demonstrate the availability
21 of matching funds.

22 (2) COMPETITIVE BASIS.—A grant under this
23 section shall be awarded on a competitive basis.

24 (3) TERM.—A grant under this section shall
25 have a term that does not exceed 4 years.

1 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
2 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
3 \$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2001 through 2004.

4 **SEC. 6. REFORMULATED GASOLINE.**

5 (a) CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS.—The Congress finds
6 that:

7 (1) Section 211(k) of the Clean Air Act re-
8 quires the use of reformulated gasoline in the na-
9 tion's worst ozone nonattainment areas.

10 (2) In order to promote more complete fuel
11 combustion, the Clean Air Act requires reformulated
12 gasoline to contain a minimum of 2.0 percent oxy-
13 gen.

14 (3) The presence of oxygen in a fuel is bene-
15 ficial in reducing volatile organic compound, carbon
16 monoxide, toxic air pollutant emissions.

17 (4) The use of ethanol at the typical blending
18 rate of 10 percent by volume equates to a 3.5 per-
19 cent oxygen content.

20 (5) This increased oxygen content, while pro-
21 viding the intended benefits of reducing volatile or-
22 ganic compound and toxic air pollutant emissions,
23 results in additional carbon monoxide emission re-
24 ductions.

1 (6) The National Research Council found that
2 carbon monoxide in exhaust emissions from motor
3 vehicles contributes about 20 percent to the overall
4 ozone forming potential of motor-vehicle emissions.

5 (7) Reducing carbon monoxide emissions will
6 have a positive impact on ozone air quality.

7 (8) Blending ethanol into an unoxygenated re-
8 formulated gasoline base will increase the volatility
9 of the resulting blend.

10 (9) In order to account for this volatility in-
11 crease, gasoline producers must, at increased ex-
12 pense, reduce the volatility of the unoxygenated re-
13 formulated gasoline base.

14 (10) The benefits of reduced carbon monoxide
15 emissions on ozone air quality have not been fully
16 considered in the USEPA's reformulated gasoline
17 compliance methodology, the complex model.

18 (11) Scientific analyses detailing the carbon
19 monoxide and ozone air quality benefits of reformu-
20 lated gasoline blends containing 3.5 percent oxygen
21 have concluded that a minimum of a 0.5 pounds per
22 square inch Reid vapor pressure allowance is a rea-
23 sonable gasoline volatility offset for determining the
24 proper impact of such gasoline,

1 (b) RVP ALLOWANCE.—In order to account for the
2 positive impact of reduced carbon monoxide emissions on
3 ozone air quality and because of the positive environ-
4 mental impact resulting from the use of oxygenates in gas-
5 oline, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection
6 Agency is directed to promulgate rules requiring a 0.5
7 pounds per square inch Reid vapor pressure allowance for
8 all reformulated gasoline containing 3.5 percent oxygen by
9 weight.

10 (c) OFFSET.—Notwithstanding any other provision of
11 law, any additional volatile organic compound emissions
12 resulting from the use of such reformulated gasoline
13 should be deemed to be fully offset and thus not calculated
14 in determining compliance with any of the provisions in
15 section 182 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511a), deal-
16 ing with Reasonable Further Progress plans or dem-
17 onstrations.

○