Calendar No. 737

106TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. 2272

To improve the administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts and for other purposes consistent with the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 22, 2000

Mr. DeWine (for himself, Mr. Rockefeller, Ms. Landrieu, Mr. Levin, Mr. Kerry, Mr. Kerrey, Mr. Wellstone, Ms. Collins, Mrs. Boxer, Mr. L. Chafee, Mrs. Lincoln, Mr. Bingaman, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Craig, and Mr. Edwards) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

July 27, 2000

Reported by Mr. HATCH, without amendment

A BILL

To improve the administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts and for other purposes consistent with the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 2 This Act may be cited as the "Strengthening Abuse
- 3 and Neglect Courts Act of 2000".

4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 5 Congress finds the following:
- 6 (1) Under both Federal and State law, the
 7 courts play a crucial and essential role in the Na8 tion's child welfare system and in ensuring safety,
 9 stability, and permanence for abused and neglected
 10 children under the supervision of that system.
 - (2) The Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–89; 111 Stat. 2115) establishes explicitly for the first time in Federal law that a child's health and safety must be the paramount consideration when any decision is made regarding a child in the Nation's child welfare system.
 - (3) The Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 promotes stability and permanence for abused and neglected children by requiring timely decision-making in proceedings to determine whether children can safely return to their families or whether they should be moved into safe and stable adoptive homes or other permanent family arrangements outside the foster care system.
- 25 (4) To avoid unnecessary and lengthy stays in 26 the foster care system, the Adoption and Safe Fami-

- lies Act of 1997 specifically requires, among other things, that States move to terminate the parental rights of the parents of those children who have been in foster care for 15 of the last 22 months.
 - (5) While essential to protect children and to carry out the general purposes of the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997, the accelerated timelines for the termination of parental rights and the other requirements imposed under that Act increase the pressure on the Nation's already overburdened abuse and neglect courts.
 - (6) The administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts would
 be substantially improved by the acquisition and implementation of computerized case-tracking systems
 to identify and eliminate existing backlogs, to move
 abuse and neglect caseloads forward in a timely
 manner, and to move children into safe and stable
 families. Such systems could also be used to evaluate
 the effectiveness of such courts in meeting the purposes of the amendments made by, and provisions
 of, the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997.
 - (7) The administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts would also be improved by the identification and implemen-

- tation of projects designed to eliminate the backlog of abuse and neglect cases, including the temporary hiring of additional judges, extension of court hours, and other projects designed to reduce existing caseloads.
 - (8) The administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts would be further strengthened by improving the quality and availability of training for judges, court personnel, agency attorneys, guardians ad litem, volunteers who participate in court-appointed special advocate (CASA) programs, and attorneys who represent the children and the parents of children in abuse and neglect proceedings.
 - (9) While recognizing that abuse and neglect courts in this country are already committed to the quality administration of justice, the performance of such courts would be even further enhanced by the development of models and educational opportunities that reinforce court projects that have already been developed, including models for case-flow procedures, case management, representation of children, automated interagency interfaces, and "best practices" standards.

- (10) Judges, magistrates, commissioners, and other judicial officers play a central and vital role in ensuring that proceedings in our Nation's abuse and neglect courts are run efficiently and effectively. The performance of those individuals in such courts can only be further enhanced by training, seminars, and an ongoing opportunity to exchange ideas with their peers.
 - (11) Volunteers who participate in court-appointed special advocate (CASA) programs play a vital role as the eyes and ears of abuse and neglect courts in proceedings conducted by, or under the supervision of, such courts and also bring increased public scrutiny of the abuse and neglect court system. The Nation's abuse and neglect courts would benefit from an expansion of this program to currently underserved communities.
 - (12) Improved computerized case-tracking systems, comprehensive training, and development of, and education on, model abuse and neglect court systems, particularly with respect to underserved areas, would significantly further the purposes of the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 by reducing the average length of an abused and neglected child's stay in foster care, improving the quality of

- decision-making and court services provided to chil-
- dren and families, and increasing the number of
- 3 adoptions.
- 4 SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
- 5 In this Act:
- 6 (a) Abuse and Neglect Courts.—The term
- 7 "abuse and neglect courts" means the State and local
- 8 courts that carry out State or local laws requiring pro-
- 9 ceedings (conducted by or under the supervision of the
- 10 courts)—
- 11 (1) that implement part B and part E of title
- 12 IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.;
- 13 670 et seq.) (including preliminary disposition of
- such proceedings);
- 15 (2) that determine whether a child was abused
- or neglected;
- 17 (3) that determine the advisability or appro-
- priateness of placement in a family foster home,
- 19 group home, or a special residential care facility; or
- 20 (4) that determine any other legal disposition of
- a child in the abuse and neglect court system.
- 22 (b) AGENCY ATTORNEY.—The term "agency attor-
- 23 ney" means an attorney or other individual, including any
- 24 government attorney, district attorney, attorney general,
- 25 State attorney, county attorney, city solicitor or attorney,

1	corporation counsel, or privately retained special pros-
2	ecutor, who represents the State or local agency adminis-
3	trating the programs under parts B and E of title IV of
4	the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et
5	seq.) in a proceeding conducted by, or under the super-
6	vision of, an abuse and neglect court, including a pro-
7	ceeding for termination of parental rights.
8	SEC. 4. GRANTS TO STATE COURTS AND LOCAL COURTS TO
9	AUTOMATE THE DATA COLLECTION AND
10	TRACKING OF PROCEEDINGS IN ABUSE AND
11	NEGLECT COURTS.
12	(a) Authority To Award Grants.—
13	(1) In general.—Subject to paragraph (2),
14	the Attorney General, acting through the Office of
15	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention of the
16	Office of Justice Programs, shall award grants in
17	accordance with this section to State courts and
18	local courts for the purposes of—
19	(A) enabling such courts to develop and
20	implement automated data collection and case-
21	tracking systems for proceedings conducted by,
22	or under the supervision of, an abuse and ne-
23	glect court;

1	(B) encouraging the replication of such
2	systems in abuse and neglect courts in other ju-
3	risdictions; and
4	(C) requiring the use of such systems to
5	evaluate a court's performance in implementing
6	the requirements of parts B and E of title IV
7	of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et
8	seq.; 670 et seq.).
9	(2) Limitations.—
10	(A) Number of grants.—Not less than
11	20 nor more than 50 grants may be awarded
12	under this section.
13	(B) PER STATE LIMITATION.—Not more
14	than 2 grants authorized under this section
15	may be awarded per State.
16	(C) USE OF GRANTS.—Funds provided
17	under a grant made under this section may only
18	be used for the purpose of developing, imple-
19	menting, or enhancing automated data collec-
20	tion and case-tracking systems for proceedings
21	conducted by, or under the supervision of, an
22	abuse and neglect court.
23	(b) Application.—
24	(1) In general.—A State court or local court
25	may submit an application for a grant authorized

1	under this section at such time and in such manner
2	as the Attorney General may determine.
3	(2) Information required.—An application
4	for a grant authorized under this section shall con-
5	tain the following:
6	(A) A description of a proposed plan for
7	the development, implementation, and mainte-
8	nance of an automated data collection and case-
9	tracking system for proceedings conducted by,
10	or under the supervision of, an abuse and ne-
11	glect court, including a proposed budget for the
12	plan and a request for a specific funding
13	amount.
14	(B) A description of the extent to which
15	such plan and system are able to be replicated
16	in abuse and neglect courts of other jurisdic-
17	tions that specifies the common case-tracking
18	data elements of the proposed system, includ-
19	ing, at a minimum—
20	(i) identification of relevant judges,
21	court, and agency personnel;
22	(ii) records of all court proceedings
23	with regard to the abuse and neglect case,
24	including all court findings and orders

(oral and written); and

1	(iii) relevant information about the
2	subject child, including family information
3	and the reason for court supervision.
4	(C) In the case of an application submitted
5	by a local court, a description of how the plan
6	to implement the proposed system was devel-
7	oped in consultation with related State courts,
8	particularly with regard to a State court im-
9	provement plan funded under section 13712 of
10	the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993
11	(42 U.S.C. 670 note) if there is such a plan in
12	the State.
13	(D) In the case of an application that is
14	submitted by a State court, a description of
15	how the proposed system will integrate with a
16	State court improvement plan funded under
17	section 13712 of such Act if there is such a
18	plan in the State.
19	(E) After consultation with the State agen-
20	cy responsible for the administration of parts B
21	and E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42
22	U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et seq.)—
23	(i) a description of the coordination of
24	the proposed system with other child wel-
25	fare data collection systems, including the

1	Statewide automated child welfare infor-
2	mation system (SACWIS) and the adop-
3	tion and foster care analysis and reporting
4	system (AFCARS) established pursuant to
5	section 479 of the Social Security Act (42
6	U.S.C. 679); and
7	(ii) an assurance that such coordina-
8	tion will be implemented and maintained.
9	(F) Identification of an independent third
10	party that will conduct ongoing evaluations of
11	the feasibility and implementation of the plan
12	and system and a description of the plan for
13	conducting such evaluations.
14	(G) A description or identification of a
15	proposed funding source for completion of the
16	plan (if applicable) and maintenance of the sys-
17	tem after the conclusion of the period for which
18	the grant is to be awarded.
19	(H) An assurance that any contract en-
20	tered into between the State court or local court
21	and any other entity that is to provide services
22	for the development, implementation, or mainte-
23	nance of the system under the proposed plan
24	will require the entity to agree to allow for rep-

lication of the services provided, the plan, and

1	the system, and to refrain from asserting any
2	proprietary interest in such services for pur-
3	poses of allowing the plan and system to be rep-
4	licated in another jurisdiction.
5	(I) An assurance that the system estab-
6	lished under the plan will provide data that al-
7	lows for evaluation (at least on an annual basis)
8	of the following information:
9	(i) The total number of cases that are
10	filed in the abuse and neglect court.
11	(ii) The number of cases assigned to
12	each judge who presides over the abuse
13	and neglect court.
14	(iii) The average length of stay of
15	children in foster care.
16	(iv) With respect to each child under
17	the jurisdiction of the court—
18	(I) the number of episodes of
19	placement in foster care;
20	(II) the number of days placed in
21	foster care and the type of placement
22	(foster family home, group home, or
23	special residential care facility);
24	(III) the number of days of in-
25	home supervision: and

1	(IV) the number of separate fos-
2	ter care placements.
3	(v) The number of adoptions,
4	guardianships, or other permanent disposi-
5	tions finalized.
6	(vi) The number of terminations of
7	parental rights.
8	(vii) The number of child abuse and
9	neglect proceedings closed that had been
10	pending for 2 or more years.
11	(viii) With respect to each proceeding
12	conducted by, or under the supervision of,
13	an abuse and neglect court—
14	(I) the timeliness of each stage of
15	the proceeding from initial filing
16	through legal finalization of a perma-
17	nency plan (for both contested and
18	uncontested hearings);
19	(II) the number of adjournments,
20	delays, and continuances occurring
21	during the proceeding, including iden-
22	tification of the party requesting each
23	adjournment, delay, or continuance
24	and the reasons given for the request;

1	(III) the number of courts that
2	conduct or supervise the proceeding
3	for the duration of the abuse and ne-
4	glect case;
5	(IV) the number of judges as-
6	signed to the proceeding for the dura-
7	tion of the abuse and neglect case;
8	and
9	(V) the number of agency attor-
10	neys, children's attorneys, parent's at-
11	torneys, guardians ad litem, and vol-
12	unteers participating in a court-ap-
13	pointed special advocate (CASA) pro-
14	gram assigned to the proceeding dur-
15	ing the duration of the abuse and ne-
16	glect case.
17	(J) A description of how the proposed sys-
18	tem will reduce the need for paper files and en-
19	sure prompt action so that cases are appro-
20	priately listed with national and regional adop-
21	tion exchanges, and public and private adoption
22	services.
23	(K) An assurance that the data collected in
24	accordance with subparagraph (I) will be made

1		available to relevant Federal, State, and local
2		government agencies and to the public.
3		(L) An assurance that the proposed system
4		is consistent with other civil and criminal infor-
5		mation requirements of the Federal govern-
6		ment.
7		(M) An assurance that the proposed sys-
8		tem will provide notice of timeframes required
9		under the Adoption and Safe Families Act of
10		1997 (Public Law 105–89; 111 Stat. 2115) for
11		individual cases to ensure prompt attention and
12		compliance with such requirements.
13	(c)	CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL OF APPLICA-
14	TIONS.—	
1415	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.—
	TIONS.—	
15	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.—
15 16	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.— (A) In General.—A State court or local
15 16 17	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.— (A) In general.—A State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall
15 16 17 18	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.— (A) In general.—A State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall expend \$1 for every \$3 awarded under the
15 16 17 18 19	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.— (A) In general.—A State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall expend \$1 for every \$3 awarded under the grant to carry out the development, implemen-
15 16 17 18 19 20	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.— (A) In general.—A State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall expend \$1 for every \$3 awarded under the grant to carry out the development, implementation, and maintenance of the automated data
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.— (A) In general.—A State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall expend \$1 for every \$3 awarded under the grant to carry out the development, implementation, and maintenance of the automated data collection and case-tracking system under the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TIONS.—	(1) Matching requirement.— (A) In general.—A State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall expend \$1 for every \$3 awarded under the grant to carry out the development, implementation, and maintenance of the automated data collection and case-tracking system under the proposed plan.

the case of any State court or local court that
the Attorney General determines would suffer
undue hardship as a result of being subject to
the requirement.

(C) Non-federal expenditures.—

- (i) Cash or in Kind.—State court or local court expenditures required under subparagraph (A) may be in cash or in kind, fairly evaluated, including plant, equipment, or services.
- (ii) No credit for pre-award ex-Penditures.—Only State court or local court expenditures made after a grant has been awarded under this section may be counted for purposes of determining whether the State court or local court has satisfied the matching expenditure requirement under subparagraph (A).
- (2) Notification to state or appropriate Child Welfare agency.—No application for a grant authorized under this section may be approved unless the State court or local court submitting the application demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Attorney General that the court has provided the State, in the case of a State court, or the appro-

- priate child welfare agency, in the case of a local court, with notice of the contents and submission of the application.
 - (3) Considerations.—In evaluating an application for a grant under this section the Attorney General shall consider the following:
 - (A) The extent to which the system proposed in the application may be replicated in other jurisdictions.
 - (B) The extent to which the proposed system is consistent with the provisions of, and amendments made by, the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–89; 111 Stat. 2115), and parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et seq.).
 - (C) The extent to which the proposed system is feasible and likely to achieve the purposes described in subsection (a)(1).
 - (4) DIVERSITY OF AWARDS.—The Attorney General shall award grants under this section in a manner that results in a reasonable balance among grants awarded to State courts and grants awarded to local courts, grants awarded to courts located in

1	urban areas and courts located in rural areas, and
2	grants awarded in diverse geographical locations.
3	(d) LENGTH OF AWARDS.—No grant may be award-
4	ed under this section for a period of more than 5 years.
5	(e) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds provided to a
6	State court or local court under a grant awarded under
7	this section shall remain available until expended without
8	fiscal year limitation.
9	(f) Reports.—
10	(1) Annual Report from Grantees.—Each
11	State court or local court that is awarded a grant
12	under this section shall submit an annual report to
13	the Attorney General that contains—
14	(A) a description of the ongoing results of
15	the independent evaluation of the plan for, and
16	implementation of, the automated data collec-
17	tion and case-tracking system funded under the
18	grant; and
19	(B) the information described in subsection
20	(b)(2)(I).
21	(2) Interim and final reports from at-
22	TORNEY GENERAL.—
23	(A) Interim reports.—Beginning 2
24	years after the date of enactment of this Act,
25	and biannually thereafter until a final report is

- submitted in accordance with subparagraph

 (B), the Attorney General shall submit to Congress interim reports on the grants made under this section.
- (B) Final Report.—Not later than 90 6 days after the termination of all grants awarded 7 under this section, the Attorney General shall 8 submit to Congress a final report evaluating the 9 automated data collection and case-tracking 10 systems funded under such grants and identi-11 fying successful models of such systems that 12 are suitable for replication in other jurisdic-13 tions. The Attorney General shall ensure that a 14 copy of such final report is transmitted to the 15 highest State court in each State.
- 16 (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is 17 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section, 18 \$10,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 19 2005.
- 20 SEC. 5. GRANTS TO REDUCE PENDING BACKLOGS OF
 21 ABUSE AND NEGLECT CASES TO PROMOTE
 22 PERMANENCY FOR ABUSED AND NEGLECTED
 23 CHILDREN.
- (a) AUTHORITY TO AWARD GRANTS.—The AttorneyGeneral, acting through the Office of Juvenile Justice and

- 1 Delinquency Prevention of the Office of Justice Programs
- 2 and in collaboration with the Secretary of Health and
- 3 Human Services, shall award grants in accordance with
- 4 this section to State courts and local courts for the pur-
- 5 poses of—
- 6 (1) promoting the permanency goals established
- 7 in the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997
- 8 (Public Law 105–89; 111 Stat. 2115); and
- 9 (2) enabling such courts to reduce existing
- 10 backlogs of cases pending in abuse and neglect
- 11 courts, especially with respect to cases to terminate
- parental rights and cases in which parental rights to
- a child have been terminated but an adoption of the
- child has not yet been finalized.
- 15 (b) APPLICATION.—A State court or local court shall
- 16 submit an application for a grant under this section, in
- 17 such form and manner as the Attorney General shall re-
- 18 quire, that contains a description of the following:
- 19 (1) The barriers to achieving the permanency
- goals established in the Adoption and Safe Families
- Act of 1997 that have been identified.
- 22 (2) The size and nature of the backlogs of chil-
- dren awaiting termination of parental rights or final-
- 24 ization of adoption.

1	(3) The strategies the State court or local court
2	proposes to use to reduce such backlogs and the plan
3	and timetable for doing so.
4	(4) How the grant funds requested will be used
5	to assist the implementation of the strategies de-
6	scribed in paragraph (3).
7	(c) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds provided under a grant
8	awarded under this section may be used for any purpose
9	that the Attorney General determines is likely to success-
10	fully achieve the purposes described in subsection (a), in-
11	cluding temporarily—
12	(1) establishing night court sessions for abuse
13	and neglect courts;
14	(2) hiring additional judges, magistrates, com-
15	missioners, hearing officers, referees, special mas-
16	ters, and other judicial personnel for such courts;
17	(3) hiring personnel such as clerks, administra-
18	tive support staff, case managers, mediators, and at-
19	torneys for such courts; or
20	(4) extending the operating hours of such
21	courts.
22	(d) Number of Grants.—Not less than 15 nor
23	more than 20 grants shall be awarded under this section.
24	(e) Availability of Funds.—Funds awarded
25	under a grant made under this section shall remain avail-

1	able for expenditure by a grantee for a period not to ex-
2	ceed 3 years from the date of the grant award.
3	(f) REPORT ON USE OF FUNDS.—Not later than the
4	date that is halfway through the period for which a grant
5	is awarded under this section, and 90 days after the end
6	of such period, a State court or local court awarded a
7	grant under this section shall submit a report to the Attor-
8	ney General that includes the following:
9	(1) The barriers to the permanency goals estab-
10	lished in the Adoption and Safe Families Act of
11	1997 that are or have been addressed with grant
12	funds.
13	(2) The nature of the backlogs of children that
14	were pursued with grant funds.
15	(3) The specific strategies used to reduce such
16	backlogs.
17	(4) The progress that has been made in reduc-
18	ing such backlogs, including the number of children
19	in such backlogs—
20	(A) whose parental rights have been termi-
21	nated; and
22	(B) whose adoptions have been finalized.
23	(5) Any additional information that the Attor-
24	ney General determines would assist jurisdictions in

1	achieving the permanency goals established in the				
2	Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997.				
3	(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.—There are				
4	authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2001				
5	\$10,000,000 for the purpose of making grants under this				
6	section.				
7	SEC. 6. GRANTS TO EXPAND THE COURT-APPOINTED SPE-				
8	CIAL ADVOCATE PROGRAM IN UNDERSERVED				
9	AREAS.				
10	(a) Grants To Expand CASA Programs in Un-				
11	DERSERVED AREAS.—The Administrator of the Office of				
12	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention of the De-				
13	partment of Justice shall make a grant to the National				
14	Court-Appointed Special Advocate Association for the pur-				
15	poses of—				
16	(1) expanding the recruitment of, and building				
17	the capacity of, court-appointed special advocate				
18	programs located in the 15 largest urban areas;				
19	(2) developing regional, multijurisdictional				
20	court-appointed special advocate programs serving				
21	rural areas; and				
22	(3) providing training and supervision of volun-				
23	teers in court-appointed special advocate programs				
24	(b) Limitation on Administrative Expendi-				
25	TURES.—Not more than 5 percent of the grant made				

- 1 under this subsection may be used for administrative ex-
- 2 penditures.
- 3 (c) Determination of Urban and Rural
- 4 Areas.—For purposes of administering the grant author-
- 5 ized under this subsection, the Administrator of the Office
- 6 of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention of the De-
- 7 partment of Justice shall determine whether an area is
- 8 one of the 15 largest urban areas or a rural area in ac-
- 9 cordance with the practices of, and statistical information
- 10 compiled by, the Bureau of the Census.
- 11 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
- 12 authorized to be appropriated to make the grant author-
- 13 ized under this section, \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 2001.

Calendar No. 737

106TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. 2272

A BILL

To improve the administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts and for other purposes consistent with the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997.

July 27, 2000

Reported without amendment