Calendar No. 482

106TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. CON. RES. 101

[Report No. 106-251]

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 2001 through 2005 and revising the budgetary levels for fiscal year 2000.

March 31, 2000

Reported, under authority of the order of the Senate of March 30, 2000, and placed on the calendar

Calendar No. 482

106TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. CON. RES. 101

[Report No. 106-251]

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 2001 through 2005 and revising the budgetary levels for fiscal year 2000.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 31, 2000

Mr. Domenici, from the Committee on the Budget, reported, under authority of the order of the Senate of March 30, 2000, the following original concurrent resolution; which was placed on the calendar

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 2001 through 2005 and revising the budgetary levels for fiscal year 2000.

- 1 Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives
- 2 concurring),
- 3 SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET
- 4 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001.
- 5 (a) Declaration.—Congress determines and de-
- 6 clares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on

- 1 the budget for fiscal year 2001 including the appropriate
- 2 budgetary levels for fiscal years 2002, 2003, 2004, and
- 3 2005 as authorized by section 301 of the Congressional
- 4 Budget Act of 1974 and the revised budgetary levels for
- 5 fiscal year 2000 as authorized by section 304 of the Con-
- 6 gressional Budget Act of 1974.
- 7 (b) Table of Contents for
- 8 this concurrent resolution is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2001.

TITLE I—LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

- Sec. 101. Recommended levels and amounts.
- Sec. 102. Social Security.
- Sec. 103. Major functional categories.
- Sec. 104. Reconciliation of revenue reductions in the Senate.

TITLE II—BUDGETARY RESTRAINTS AND RULEMAKING

- Sec. 201. Congressional lock box for Social Security surpluses.
- Sec. 202. Reserve fund for Medicare.
- Sec. 203. Reserve fund for stabilization of payments to counties in support of education.
- Sec. 204. Reserve fund for agriculture.
- Sec. 205. Tax reduction reserve fund in the Senate.
- Sec. 206. Reserve fund for additional surpluses.
- Sec. 207. Mechanism for additional debt reduction.
- Sec. 208. Emergency designation point of order in the Senate.
- Sec. 209. Reserve fund pending increase of fiscal year 2001 discretionary spending limits.
- Sec. 210. Congressional firewall for defense and non-defense spending.
- Sec. 211. Mechanisms for strengthening budgetary integrity.
- Sec. 212. Prohibition on use of Federal Reserve surpluses.
- Sec. 213. Reaffirming the prohibition on the use of revenue offsets for discretionary spending.
- Sec. 214. Application and effect of changes in allocations and aggregates.
- Sec. 215. Reserve fund to foster the health of children with disabilities and the employment and independence of their families.
- Sec. 216. Exercise of rulemaking powers.

TITLE III—SENSE OF THE SENATE PROVISIONS

- Sec. 301. Sense of the Senate on controlling and eliminating the growing international problem of tuberculosis.
- Sec. 302. Sense of the Senate on increased funding for the Child Care and Development Block Grant.

- Sec. 303. Sense of the Senate on tax relief for college tuition paid and for interest paid on student loans.
- Sec. 304. Sense of the Senate on increased funding for the National Institutes of Health.
- Sec. 305. Sense of the Senate supporting funding levels in Educational Opportunities Act.
- Sec. 306. Sense of the Senate on additional budgetary resources.
- Sec. 307. Sense of the Senate on regarding the inadequacy of the payments for skilled nursing care.
- Sec. 308. Sense of the Senate on the CARA programs.
- Sec. 309. Sense of the Senate on veteran's medical care.
- Sec. 310. Sense of the Senate on Impact Aid.
- Sec. 311. Sense of the Senate on funding for increased acreage under the Conservation Reserve Program and the Wetlands Reserve Program.
- Sec. 312. Sense of the Senate on tax simplification.
- Sec. 313. Sense of the Senate on antitrust enforcement by the Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission regarding agriculture mergers and anticompetitive activity.
- Sec. 314. Sense of the Senate regarding fair markets for American farmers.
- Sec. 315. Sense of the Senate on women and Social Security reform.
- Sec. 316. Protection of battered women and children.
- Sec. 317. Use of False Claims Act in combatting medicare fraud.
- Sec. 318. Sense of the Senate regarding the National Guard.
- Sec. 319. Sense of the Senate regarding military readiness.
- Sec. 320. Sense of the Senate on compensation for the Chinese Embassy bombing in Belgrade.
- Sec. 321. Sense of the Senate supporting funding of digital opportunity initiatives
- Sec. 322. Sense of the Senate regarding immunization funding.
- Sec. 323. Sense of the Senate regarding tax credits for small businesses providing health insurance to low-income employees.
- Sec. 324. Sense of the Senate on funding for criminal justice.
- Sec. 325. Sense of the Senate regarding the Pell Grant.
- Sec. 326. Sense of the Senate regarding comprehensive public education re-
- Sec. 327. Sense of the Senate on providing adequate funding for United States international leadership.
- Sec. 328. Sense of the Senate concerning the HIV/AIDS crisis.
- Sec. 329. Sense of the Senate regarding tribal colleges.

1 TITLE I—LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

- 2 SEC. 101. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.
- 3 The following budgetary levels are the revised levels
- 4 for fiscal year 2000 and the appropriate levels for the fis-
- 5 cal years 2001 through 2005:
- 6 (1) Federal revenues.—For purposes of the en-
- 7 forcement of this resolution—

```
1
             (A) The recommended levels of Federal reve-
 2
        nues are as follows:
 3
                  Fiscal year 2000: $1,464,604,000,000.
 4
                  Fiscal year 2001: $1,501,658,000,000.
 5
                  Fiscal year 2002: $1,546,533,000,000.
 6
                  Fiscal year 2003: $1,598,771,000,000.
 7
                  Fiscal year 2004: $1,655,093,000,000.
 8
                  Fiscal year 2005: $1,720,654,000,000.
 9
             (B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels
10
        of Federal revenues should be changed are as fol-
11
        lows:
12
                  Fiscal year 2000: -$877,000,000.
13
                  Fiscal year 2001: -$13,157,000,000.
14
                  Fiscal year 2002: -$24,854,000,000.
15
                  Fiscal year 2003: -$30,752,000,000.
16
                  Fiscal year 2004: -$37,550,000,000.
17
                  Fiscal year 2005: -$43,448,000,000.
18
        (2) New Budget Authority.—For purposes of the
19
    enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of
20
    total new budget authority are as follows:
21
                  Fiscal year 2000: $1,467,257,000,000.
22
                  Fiscal year 2001: $1,471,817,000,000.
23
                  Fiscal year 2002: $1,502,777,000,000.
24
                  Fiscal year 2003: $1,614,195,000,000.
25
                  Fiscal year 2004: $1,670,329,000,000.
```

```
1
                  Fiscal year 2005: $1,730,514,000,000.
 2
        (3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforce-
 3
   ment of this resolution and the revised fiscal year 2000
 4
   resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays
 5
    are as follows:
 6
                  Fiscal year 2000: $1,441,459,000,000.
 7
                  Fiscal year 2001: $1,447,795,000,000.
 8
                  Fiscal year 2002: $1,469,962,000,000.
 9
                  Fiscal year 2003: $1,589,699,000,000.
10
                  Fiscal year 2004: $1,644,120,000,000.
11
                  Fiscal year 2005: $1,705,698,000,000.
12
        (4) Deficits.—For purposes of the enforcement of
13
   this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:
14
                  Fiscal year 2000: $23,145,000,000.
15
                  Fiscal year 2001: $53,863,000,000.
16
                  Fiscal year 2002: $76,571,000,000.
17
                  Fiscal year 2003: $9,072,000,000.
18
                  Fiscal year 2004: $10,973,000,000.
19
                  Fiscal year 2005: $14,956,000,000.
20
        (5) Public Debt.—The appropriate levels of the
21
   public debt are as follows:
22
                  Fiscal year 2000: $5,625,962,000,000.
23
                  Fiscal year 2001: $5,667,144,000,000.
24
                  Fiscal year 2002: $5,681,983,000,000.
25
                  Fiscal year 2003: $5,768,762,000,000.
```

```
1
                 Fiscal year 2004: $5,849,465,000,000.
 2
                 Fiscal year 2005: $5,923,674,000,000.
 3
        (6) DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC.—The appropriate
   levels of the debt held by the public are as follows:
 5
                 Fiscal year 2000: $3,455,362,000,000.
 6
                 Fiscal year 2001: $3,248,659,000,000.
 7
                 Fiscal year 2002: $2,995,663,000,000.
 8
                 Fiscal year 2003: $2,802,939,000,000.
 9
                 Fiscal year 2004: $2,594,260,000,000.
10
                 Fiscal year 2005: $2,364,124,000,000.
   SEC. 102. SOCIAL SECURITY.
12
        (a) Social Security Revenues.—For purposes of
13
   Senate enforcement under section 311 of the Congres-
14
   sional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of revenues of
15
   the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund
   and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as
16
   follows:
17
18
                 Fiscal year 2000: $479,648,000,000.
19
                 Fiscal year 2001: $501,533,000,000.
20
                 Fiscal year 2002: $524,854,000,000.
21
                 Fiscal year 2003: $547,179,000,000.
22
                 Fiscal year 2004: $569,907,000,000.
23
                 Fiscal year 2005: $597,326,000,000.
24
        (b) Social Security Outlays.—For purposes of
   Senate enforcement under section 311 of the Congres-
```

```
sional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of outlays of the
   Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and
   the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as fol-
 4
   lows:
                  Fiscal year 2000: $322,545,000,000.
 5
 6
                  Fiscal year 2001: $331,869,000,000.
 7
                  Fiscal year 2002: $339,068,000,000.
 8
                  Fiscal year 2003: $347,733,000,000.
 9
                  Fiscal year 2004: $357,737,000,000.
10
                  Fiscal year 2005: $368,976,000,000.
11
        (c)
                        SECURITY
                                                        Ex-
              SOCIAL
                                    ADMINISTRATIVE
   PENSES.—In the Senate, the amounts of new budget au-
   thority and budget outlays of the Federal Old-Age and
13
14
    Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Dis-
15
    ability Insurance Trust Fund for administrative expenses
16
   are as follows:
17
             Fiscal year 2000:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                     budget
                                                  authority,
19
             $3,160,000,000.
20
                  (B) Outlays, $3,187,000,000.
21
             Fiscal year 2001:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                     budget
                                                  authority,
23
             $3,429,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $3,378,000,000.
```

Fiscal year 2002:

25

```
(A)
                           New
 1
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 2
             $3,471,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $3.438,000,000.
 3
             Fiscal year 2003:
 4
 5
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 6
             $3,505,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $3,473,000,000.
 8
             Fiscal year 2004:
 9
                           New
                  (A)
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
10
             $3,541,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $3,507,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2005:
12
13
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
14
             $3,576,000,000.
15
                  (B) Outlays, $3,543,000,000.
16
   SEC. 103. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
17
        Congress determines and declares that the appro-
18
   priate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new
19
   direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee
20
   commitments for fiscal year 2000 (as revised) and fiscal
   years 2001 through 2005 for each major functional cat-
21
22
   egory are:
23
        (1) National Defense (050):
24
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
 2
             $291,583,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $288,112,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
                  (A)
 5
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 6
             $305,833,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $294,064,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
             $309,085,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, $302,272,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
14
             $315,485,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $309,362,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                           New
                  (A)
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
18
             $323,191,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $317,461,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $331,532,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $327,948,000,000.
23
        (2) International Affairs (150):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
                           New
 2
             $21,967,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $16,019,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 6
             $20,139,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $18,625,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
10
             $20,868,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $17,932,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
14
             $21,420,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $17,573,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                           New
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                                      budget
18
             $21,907,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $17,741,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $22,645,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $17,892,000,000.
23
        (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
 2
             $19,267,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $18,418,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
                  (A)
 5
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $19,703,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $19,245,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
10
             $19,877,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $19,593,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
14
             $19,806,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $19,515,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                  (A)
                           New
                                                   authority,
                                      budget
18
             $20,069,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $19,655,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $20,337,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $19,900,000,000.
23
        (4) Energy (270):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
 2
             $1,081,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, -\$607,000,000.
 3
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
                  (A)
                                      budget
 5
                           New
                                                   authority,
             $1,475,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $172,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
             -\$264,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, -\$1,366,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $1,202,000,000.
14
                  (B) Outlays, -\$43,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
18
             $1,238,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, -$124,000,000.
19
20
             Fiscal year 2005:
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $1,210,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, -$85,000,000.
23
        (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
 2
             $24,487,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $24,245,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
                  (A)
 5
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 6
             $24,936,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $24,905,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
10
             $25,023,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $25,045,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $25,019,000,000.
14
                  (B) Outlays, $25,203,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                  (A)
                           New
                                                   authority,
                                      budget
18
             $25,066,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $25,065,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $25,059,000,000.
22
23
                  (B) Outlays, $24,876,000,000.
        (6) Agriculture (350):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
                           New
 2
             $35,257,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $33,916,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $20,894,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $18,779,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
10
             $18,950,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $17,235,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
14
             $17,965,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $16,366,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                           New
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                                      budget
18
             $17,354,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $15,910,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $16,092,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $14,593,000,000.
23
        (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
                            New
 2
             $7,594,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $3,141,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
                                      budget
 5
                  (A)
                            New
                                                   authority,
             $6,117,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $1,977,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                            New
             $8,608,000,000.
10
11
                  (B) Outlays, $4,864,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
14
             $9,356,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $4,677,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                  (A)
                            New
                                                   authority,
                                      budget
18
             $13,413,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $8,391,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
             $13,368,000,000.
22
23
                  (B) Outlays, $9,331,000,000.
        (8) Transportation (400):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
                           New
 2
             $54,352,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $46,656,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $59,247,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $50,822,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                  (A)
                           New
                                                   authority,
             $57,536,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, $53,486,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
14
             $59,101,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $55,516,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                           New
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                                      budget
18
             $59,135,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $56,138,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $59,174,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $56,418,000,000.
23
        (9) Community and Regional Development (450):
24
25
             Fiscal year 2000:
```

```
(A)
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 1
                           New
 2
             $11,336,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $10,725,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $9,021,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $10,386,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2002:
 8
 9
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
             $8,822,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, $9,815,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2003:
12
13
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $8,665,000,000.
14
                  (B) Outlays, $8,749,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2004:
16
17
                           New
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                                      budget
18
             $8,657,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $8,255,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
21
                                                   authority,
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
             $8,744,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $7,886,000,000.
23
24
        (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social
    Services (500):
25
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $57,688,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $61,904,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $74,977,000,000.
 7
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $68,648,000,000.
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                           New
                                      budget
11
             $75,744,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $72,570,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $76,636,000,000.
15
                  (B) Outlays, $75,430,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $77,751,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $76,766,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
23
             $79,128,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $78,033,000,000.
25
        (11) Health (550):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $159,224,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $153,473,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $169,215,000,000.
 7
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $165,836,000,000.
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                           New
                                      budget
             $178,911,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $177,766,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
15
             $190,951,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $190,300,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $205,181,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $204,835,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
23
             $221,484,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $220,329,000,000.
25
        (12) Medicare (570):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $199,601,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $199,507,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $218,751,000,000.
 7
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $219,005,000,000.
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                           New
                                      budget
             $228,635,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $228,604,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
15
             $249,762,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $249,520,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $265,318,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $265,546,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $288,730,000,000.
23
24
                  (B) Outlays, $288,681,000,000.
25
        (13) Income Security (600):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $238,891,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $248,071,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $253,236,000,000.
 7
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $255,424,000,000.
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                           New
                                      budget
             $264,844,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $267,252,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
15
             $274,789,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $278,452,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $284,929,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $288,367,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $297,669,000,000.
23
24
                  (B) Outlays, $301,202,000,000.
25
        (14) Social Security (650):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $11,532,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $11,533,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                            New
                                      budget
             $9,728,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $9,727,000,000.
 8
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                            New
                                      budget
             $11,572,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $11,572,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
                                                   authority,
14
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
15
             $12,271,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $12,271,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $13,020,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $13,020,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
23
             $13,841,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $13,841,000,000.
25
        (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $46,010,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $45,130,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                            New
                                      budget
             $47,568,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $47,141,000,000.
 8
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                            New
                                      budget
             $48,823,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $48,704,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
15
             $50,838,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $50,513,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $52,119,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $51,842,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
23
             $55,517,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $55,194,000,000.
25
        (16) Administration of Justice (750):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $27,370,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $28,013,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $27,927,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $28,224,000,000.
 8
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                           New
                                      budget
             $28,520,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $28,698,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
15
             $29,157,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $29,123,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $31,283,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $31,012,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
23
             $32,124,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $31,863,000,000.
25
        (17) General Government (800):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $13,670,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $14,727,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $14,427,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $14,291,000,000.
 8
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                           New
                                      budget
11
             $13,605,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $13,883,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
15
             $13,578,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $13,768,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $13,570,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $13,882,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             $13,595,000,000.
23
24
                  (B) Outlays, $13,604,000,000.
25
        (18) Net Interest (900):
```

```
Fiscal year 2000:
 1
 2
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
             $284,491,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $284,493,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2001:
 5
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
 6
                           New
                                      budget
             $286,920,000,000.
 7
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $286,920,000,000.
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
                                                   authority,
10
                           New
                                      budget
             $285,291,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, $285,290,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
15
             $279,465,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $279,465,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
19
             $275,502,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $275,502,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
23
             $270,951,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $270,951,000,000.
25
        (19) Allowances (920):
```

```
1
             Fiscal year 2000:
 2
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
 3
              -\$3,829,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, -\$11,702,000,000.
 5
             Fiscal year 2001:
                                                   authority,
 6
                  (A)
                            New
                                      budget
              -\$59,931,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, -\$48,031,000,000.
 8
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
10
                            New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
              -\$59,729,000,000.
11
                  (B) Outlays, -\$71,311,000,000.
12
             Fiscal year 2003:
13
14
                  (A) New budget authority, $0.
                  (B) Outlays, -\$790,000,000.
15
16
             Fiscal year 2004:
17
                  (A) New budget authority, $0.
18
                  (B) Outlays, -\$6,770,000,000.
19
             Fiscal year 2005:
20
                  (A) New budget authority, $0.
21
                  (B) Outlays, -\$6,072,000,000.
22
        (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
23
             Fiscal year 2000:
                  (A)
24
                                      budget
                            New
                                                   authority,
              -\$34,315,000,000.
25
```

```
(B) Outlays, -\$34,315,000,000.
 1
 2
             Fiscal year 2001:
 3
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             -\$38,\!366,\!000,\!000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, -\$38,366,000,000.
 5
             Fiscal year 2002:
 6
 7
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             -\$41,943,000,000.
 8
 9
                  (B) Outlays, -\$41,943,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2003:
10
11
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             -\$41,270,000,000.
12
                  (B) Outlays, -\$41,270,000,000.
13
14
             Fiscal year 2004:
                  (A)
15
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             -\$38,374,000,000.
16
17
                  (B) Outlays, -$38,374,000,000.
18
             Fiscal year 2005:
19
                  (A)
                           New
                                      budget
                                                   authority,
             -\$40,686,000,000.
20
21
                  (B) Outlays, -\$40,686,000,000.
22
    SEC. 104. RECONCILIATION OF REVENUE REDUCTIONS IN
23
                THE SENATE.
        Not later than September 22, 2000, the Senate Com-
24
25 mittee on Finance shall report to the Senate a reconcili-
```

1	ation bill proposing changes in laws within its jurisdiction
2	necessary to reduce revenues by not more than
3	\$13,157,000,000 in fiscal year 2001 and
4	\$149,761,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2001
5	through 2005.
6	TITLE II—BUDGETARY
7	RESTRAINTS AND RULEMAKING
8	SEC. 201. CONGRESSIONAL LOCK BOX FOR SOCIAL SECU-
9	RITY SURPLUSES.
10	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
11	(1) under the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990,
12	the Social Security trust funds are off-budget for
13	purposes of the President's budget submission and
14	the concurrent resolution on the budget;
15	(2) the Social Security trust funds have been
16	running surpluses for 18 years;
17	(3) these surpluses have been used to implicitly
18	finance the general operations of the Federal Gov-
19	ernment;
20	(4) in fiscal year 2001, the Social Security sur-
21	plus will reach \$166,000,000,000;
22	(5) in fiscal year 1999, the Federal budget was
23	balanced without using Social Security;

- 1 (6) the only way to ensure that Social Security 2 surpluses are not diverted for other purposes is to 3 balance the budget exclusive of such surpluses; and
 - (7) Congress and the President should take such steps as are necessary to ensure that future budgets continue to be balanced excluding the surpluses generated by the Social Security trust funds.

(b) Point of Order.—

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- (1) In General.—It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives or the Senate to consider any revision to this concurrent resolution, or any other concurrent resolution on the budget, or any amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that sets forth a deficit for any fiscal year.
- (2) DEFICIT LEVELS.—For purposes of this subsection, a deficit shall be the level (if any) set forth in the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for that fiscal year pursuant to section 301(a)(3) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.
- 21 (c) Budget Committee Determinations.—For 22 purposes of this section, the levels of new budget author-23 ity, outlays, direct spending, new entitlement authority, 24 revenues, deficits, and surpluses for a fiscal year shall be 25 determined on the basis of estimates made by the Com-

- 1 mittee on the Budget of the House of Representatives or
- 2 the Senate, as applicable.
- 3 (d) Exception.—Subsection (b) shall not apply if—
- 4 (1) the most recent of the Department of Com-
- 5 merce's advance, preliminary, or final reports of ac-
- 6 tual real economic growth indicate that the rate of
- 7 real economic growth for each of the most recently
- 8 reported quarter and the immediately preceding
- 9 quarter is less than 1 percent; or
- 10 (2) a declaration of war is in effect.
- 11 (e) Social Security Look-Back.—If in any fiscal
- 12 year the social security surplus is used to finance general
- 13 operations of the Federal Government, an amount equal
- 14 to the amount used shall be deducted from the available
- 15 amount of discretionary spending for the following fiscal
- 16 year for purposes of any concurrent resolution on the
- 17 budget.
- 18 (f) Waiver and Appeal.—Subsection (b) may be
- 19 waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative
- 20 vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and
- 21 sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members
- 22 of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required
- 23 in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the
- 24 Chair on a point of order raised under this section.

1 SEC. 202. RESERVE FUND FOR PRESCRIPTION DRUGS.

- 2 (a) Allocation.—In the Senate, spending aggre-
- 3 gates and other appropriate budgetary levels and limits
- 4 may be adjusted and allocations may be revised for legisla-
- 5 tion reported by the Committee on Finance to provide a
- 6 prescription drug benefit for fiscal years 2001, 2002, and
- 7 2003, provided that this legislation will not reduce the on-
- 8 budget surplus by more than \$20,000,000,000 total dur-
- 9 ing these 3 fiscal years, and provided that the enactment
- 10 of this legislation will not cause an on-budget deficit in
- 11 any of these 3 fiscal years.
- 12 (b) Exception.—The adjustments provided in sub-
- 13 section (a) shall be made for a bill or joint resolution, or
- 14 an amendment that is offered (in the Senate), that pro-
- 15 vides coverage for prescription drugs, if the Senate Com-
- 16 mittee on Finance has not reported such legislation on or
- 17 before September 1, 2000.
- 18 (c) Adjustment.—If legislation is reported by the
- 19 Senate Committee on Finance that extends the solvency
- 20 of the Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Fund without
- 21 the use of transfers of new subsidies from the general
- 22 fund, without decreasing beneficiaries' access to health
- 23 care, and excluding the cost of extending and modifying
- 24 the prescription drug benefit crafted purusuant to section
- 25 (a) or (b), then the Chairman of the Committee on the
- 26 Budget may change committee allocations and spending

- 1 aggregates by no more than \$20,000,000,000 total for fis-
- 2 cal years 2004 and 2005 to fund the prescription drug
- 3 benefit if such legislation will not cause an on-budget def-
- 4 icit in either of these 2 fiscal years.
- 5 (d) Budgetary Enforcement.—The revision of al-
- 6 locations and aggregates made under this section shall be
- 7 considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget
- 8 Act of 1974 as allocations and aggregates contained in
- 9 this resolution.
- 10 SEC. 203. RESERVE FUND FOR STABILIZATION OF PAY-
- 11 MENTS TO COUNTIES IN SUPPORT OF EDU-
- 12 CATION.
- 13 (a) Adjustment.—
- 14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Whenever the Committee on
- 15 Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate reports
- a bill, or an amendment thereto is offered, or a con-
- ference report thereon is submitted, that provides
- additional resources for counties and complies with
- paragraph (2), the chairman of the Committee on
- the Budget may increase the allocation of budget au-
- 21 thority and outlays to that committee by the amount
- of budget authority (and the outlays resulting there-
- from) provided by that legislation for such purpose
- in accordance with subsection (b).

- 1 (2) CONDITION.—Legislation complies with this 2 paragraph if it provides for the stabilization of re-3 ceipt-based payments to counties that support school 4 and road systems and also provides that a portion 5 of those payments would be dedicated toward local 6 investments in Federal lands within the counties.
- 7 (b) LIMITATIONS.—The adjustments to the alloca8 tions required by subsection (a) shall not exceed
 9 \$200,000,000 in budget authority (and the outlays result10 ing therefrom) for fiscal year 2001 and shall not exceed
 11 \$1,100,000,000 in budget authority (and the outlays re12 sulting therefrom) for the period of fiscal years 2001
 13 through 2005.

14 SEC. 204. RESERVE FUND FOR AGRICULTURE.

15 (a) Adjustment.—

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate reports a bill on or before June 29, 2000, or an amendment thereto is offered, or a conference report thereon is submitted that provides assistance for producers of program crops and specialty crops, and enhancements for agriculture conservation programs that complies with paragraph (2), the appropriate chairman of the Committee on the Budget may increase the allocation of budget authority and outlays

- 1 to that committee by the amount of budget authority
- 2 (and the outlays resulting therefrom) provided by
- 3 that legislation for such purpose in accordance with
- 4 subsection (b).
- 5 (2) CONDITIONS.—Legislation complies with
- 6 this paragraph if it does not cause a net increase in
- 7 budget authority and outlays of greater than
- 8 \$1,640,000,000 for fiscal year 2001.
- 9 (b) Limitations.—The adjustments to the alloca-
- 10 tions required by subsection (a) shall not exceed
- 11 \$5,500,000,000 in budget authority and outlays for fiscal
- 12 year 2000, and \$3,000,000,000 in budget authority (and
- 13 the outlays resulting therefrom) for the period of fiscal
- 14 years 2001 through 2005.
- 15 SEC. 205. TAX REDUCTION RESERVE FUND IN THE SENATE.
- In the Senate, the chairman of the Committee on the
- 17 Budget may reduce the spending and revenue aggregates
- 18 and may revise committee allocations for legislation that
- 19 reduces revenues if such legislation will not increase the
- 20 deficit or decrease the surplus for—
- 21 (1) fiscal year 2001; or
- 22 (2) the period of fiscal years 2001 through
- 23 2005.

1 SEC. 206. RESERVE FUND FOR ADDITIONAL SURPLUSES.

- 2 (a) Congressional Budget Office Updated
- 3 Budget Forecast.—Pursuant to section 202(e)(2) of
- 4 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Congressional
- 5 Budget Office shall update its economic and budget out-
- 6 look for fiscal years 2001 through 2010 by July 1, 2000.
- 7 (b) Reporting a Surplus.—If the report provided
- 8 pursuant to subsection (a) estimates an on-budget surplus
- 9 for any fiscal year that exceeds the on-budget surplus set
- 10 forth in the Congressional Budget Office's March 2000
- 11 economic and budget outlook, the appropriate chairman
- 12 of the Committee on the Budget may make the adjust-
- 13 ments as provided in subsection (c).
- 14 (c) Adjustments.—The appropriate chairman of
- 15 the Committee on the Budget may make the following ad-
- 16 justments in an amount equal to the difference between
- 17 the on-budget surpluses set forth in the March report and
- 18 the on-budget surplus contained in the July report:
- 19 (1) Reduce the on-budget revenue aggregate by
- that amount for such fiscal year.
- 21 (2) Increase the on-budget surplus levels used
- for determining compliance with the pay-as-you-go
- requirements of section 207 of H. Con. Res. 68
- 24 (106th Cong., 1st Sess.).
- 25 (3) Adjust the instruction in section 104 to—

1	(A) increase the reduction in revenues by
2	that amount for fiscal year 2001; and
3	(B) increase the reduction in revenues by
4	the sum of the amounts for the period of fiscal
5	years 2001 through 2005.
6	SEC. 207. MECHANISM FOR ADDITIONAL DEBT REDUCTION.
7	(a) In General.—If any of the legislation described
8	in subsection (b) does not become law on or before October
9	1, 2000, then the Chairman of the Committee on the
10	Budget of the Senate shall adjust the levels in this concur-
11	rent resolution as provided in subsection (c).
12	(b) Legislation.—The adjustment required by sub-
13	section (a) shall be made with respect to—
14	(1) the reconciliation legislation required by sec-
15	tion 104; or
16	(2) the Medicare legislation provided for in sec-
17	tion 202.
18	(c) Adjustments To Be Made.—The adjustment
19	required in subsection (a) shall be—
20	(1) with respect to the legislation required by
21	section 104, to decrease the balance displayed on the
22	Senate's pay-as-you-go scorecard and increase the
23	revenue aggregate by the amount set forth in section
24	104 (as adjusted, if adjusted, pursuant to section
25	205) and to decrease the level of debt held by the

1	public as set forth in section 101(6) by that same
2	amount; or
3	(2) with respect to the legislation provided for
4	in section 202, to decrease the balance displayed on
5	the Senate's pay-as-you-go scorecard by the amount
6	set forth in section 202 and to decrease the level of
7	debt held by the public as set forth in section 101(6)
8	by that same amount and make the corresponding
9	adjustments to the revenue and spending aggregates
10	and allocations (as adjusted by section 202).
11	SEC. 208. EMERGENCY DESIGNATION POINT OF ORDER IN
12	THE SENATE.
12 13	THE SENATE. (a) Designations.—
13	(a) Designations.—
13 14	(a) Designations.— (1) Guidance.—In making a designation of a
13 14 15	(a) Designations.—(1) Guidance.—In making a designation of a provision of legislation as an emergency requirement
13 14 15 16	 (a) Designations.— (1) Guidance.—In making a designation of a provision of legislation as an emergency requirement under section 251(b)(2)(A) or 252(e) of the Bal-
13 14 15 16	(a) Designations.— (1) Guidance.—In making a designation of a provision of legislation as an emergency requirement under section 251(b)(2)(A) or 252(e) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of
113 114 115 116 117	(a) Designations.— (1) Guidance.—In making a designation of a provision of legislation as an emergency requirement under section 251(b)(2)(A) or 252(e) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, the committee report and any statement of
13 14 15 16 17 18	(a) Designations.— (1) Guidance.—In making a designation of a provision of legislation as an emergency requirement under section 251(b)(2)(A) or 252(e) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, the committee report and any statement of managers accompanying that legislation shall ana-

(A) IN GENERAL.—The criteria to be con-

sidered in determining whether a proposed ex-

23

1	penditure or tax change is an emergency re-
2	quirement are—
3	(i) necessary, essential, or vital (not
4	merely useful or beneficial);
5	(ii) sudden, quickly coming into being,
6	and not building up over time;
7	(iii) an urgent, pressing, and compel-
8	ling need requiring immediate action;
9	(iv) subject to subparagraph (B), un-
10	foreseen, unpredictable, and unanticipated;
11	and
12	(v) not permanent, temporary in na-
13	ture.
14	(B) Unforeseen.—An emergency that is
15	part of an aggregate level of anticipated emer-
16	gencies, particularly when normally estimated in
17	advance, is not unforeseen.
18	(3) Justification for failure to meet cri-
19	TERIA.—If the proposed emergency requirement
20	does not meet all the criteria set forth in paragraph
21	(2), the committee report or the statement of man-
22	agers, as the case may be, shall provide a written
23	justification of why the requirement should be ac-
24	corded emergency status.

- 1 (b) Point of Order.—When the Senate is consid-
- 2 ering a bill, resolution, amendment, motion, or conference
- 3 report, a point of order may be made by a Senator against
- 4 an emergency designation in that measure and if the Pre-
- 5 siding Officer sustains that point of order, that provision
- 6 making such a designation shall be stricken from the
- 7 measure and may not be offered as an amendment from
- 8 the floor.
- 9 (c) Waiver and Appeal.—This section may be
- 10 waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative
- 11 vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and
- 12 sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members
- 13 of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required
- 14 in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the
- 15 Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
- 16 (d) Definition of an Emergency Require-
- 17 MENT.—A provision shall be considered an emergency des-
- 18 ignation if it designates any item an emergency require-
- 19 ment pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) or 252(e) of the
- 20 Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of
- 21 1985.
- (e) Form of the Point of Order.—A point of
- 23 order under this section may be raised by a Senator as
- 24 provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget
- 25 Act of 1974.

- 1 (f) Conference Reports.—If a point of order is 2 sustained under this section against a conference report 3 the report shall be disposed of as provided in section 4 313(d) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. 5 SEC. 209. RESERVE FUND PENDING INCREASE OF FISCAL 6 YEAR 2001 DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIM-
 - (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:

ITS.

- (1) The functional totals with respect to discretionary spending set forth in this concurrent resolution, if implemented, would result in legislation which exceeds the limit on discretionary spending for fiscal year 2001 set out in section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. Nonetheless, the allocation pursuant to section 302 of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 to the Committee on Appropriations is in compliance with current law spending limits.
 - (2) Consequently unless and until the discretionary spending limit for fiscal year 2001 is increased, aggregate appropriations which exceed the current law limits would still be out of order in the Senate and subject to a supermajority vote.

1 (3) The functional totals contained in this con-2 current resolution envision a level of discretionary 3 spending for fiscal year 2001 as follows: 4 (A) For the discretionary category: \$596,579,000,000 in new budget authority and 5 6 \$590,326,000,000 in outlays. 7 (B) For the highway category: 8 \$26,920,000,000 in outlays. 9 (C) For the mass transit category: 10 \$4,639,000,000 in outlays. 11 (4) To facilitate the Senate completing its legis-12 lative responsibilities for the 106th Congress in a 13 timely fashion, it is imperative that the Senate con-14 sider legislation which increases the discretionary 15 spending limit for fiscal year 2001 as soon as possible. 16 17 (b) Adjustment to Allocations.—Whenever a 18 bill or joint resolution becomes law that increases the dis-19 cretionary spending limit for fiscal year 2001 set out in 20 section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency 21 Deficit Control Act of 1985, the appropriate chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall increase the allocation 23 called for in section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to the appropriate Committee on Appropria-

25

tions.

1	(c) Limitation on Adjustment.—An adjustment
2	made pursuant to subsection (b) shall not result in an allo-
3	cation under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget
4	Act of 1974 that exceeds the total budget authority and
5	outlays set forth in subsection (a)(3).
6	SEC. 210. CONGRESSIONAL FIREWALL FOR DEFENSE AND
7	NON-DEFENSE SPENDING.
8	(a) Definition.—In this section, for fiscal year
9	2001 the term "discretionary spending limit" means—
10	(1) for the defense category, \$306,819,000,000
11	in new budget authority and \$295,050,000,000 in
12	outlays; and
13	(2) for the nondefense category,
14	\$289,760,000,000 in new budget authority and
15	\$327,583,000,000 in outlays.
16	(b) Point of Order in the Senate.—
17	(1) In general.—After the adjustment to the
18	section 302(a) allocation to the Appropriations Com-
19	mittee is made pursuant to section 208 and except
20	as provided in paragraph (2), it shall not be in order
21	in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution,
22	amendment, motion, or conference report that ex-
23	ceeds any discretionary spending limit set forth in
24	this section.

1	(2) Exception.—This subsection shall not
2	apply if a declaration of war by Congress is in effect.
3	(c) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—This section may be
4	waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative
5	vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and
6	sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members
7	of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required
8	in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the
9	Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
10	SEC. 211. MECHANISMS FOR STRENGTHENING BUDGETARY
11	INTEGRITY.
12	(a) Definition.—For purposes of this section, the
13	term "budget year" means with respect to a session of
14	Congress, the fiscal year of the Government that starts
15	on October 1 of the calendar year in which that session
16	begins.
17	(b) Point of Order With Respect to Advanced
18	APPROPRIATIONS.—
19	(1) In general.—It shall not be in order in
20	the Senate to consider any bill, resolution, amend-
21	ment, motion or conference report that—
22	(A) provides an appropriation of new budg-
23	et authority for any fiscal year after the budget
24	year that is in excess of the amounts provided
25	in paragraph (2); and

1	(B) provides an appropriation of new
2	budget authority for any fiscal year subsequent
3	to the year after the budget year.
4	(2) Limitation on amounts.—The total
5	amount, provided in appropriations legislation for
6	the budget year, of appropriations for the subse-
7	quent fiscal year shall not exceed \$14,200,000,000.
8	(c) Point of Order With Respect to Delayed
9	Obligations.—
10	(1) In general.—Except as provided in para-
11	graph (2), it shall not be in order in the Senate to
12	consider any bill, resolution, amendment, motion, or
13	conference report that contains an appropriation of
14	new budget authority for any fiscal year which does
15	not become available upon enactment of such legisla-
16	tion or on the first day of that fiscal year (whichever
17	is later).
18	(2) Exception.—Paragraph (1) shall not
19	apply with respect to appropriations for the fol-
20	lowing programs provided that such appropriation is
21	not delayed beyond the specified date and does not
22	exceed the specified amount:
23	(A) Department of the interior.—
24	Operation of Indian Programs School Operation
25	Costs (Bureau of Indian Affairs Funded

1	Schools and Other Education Programs): July
2	1 not to exceed \$401,000,000.
3	(B) Department of Labor.—
4	(i) Training and Employment Service:
5	July 1 not to exceed \$1,650,000,000.
6	(ii) State Unemployment Insurance:
7	July 1 not to exceed \$902,000,000.
8	(C) DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.—
9	(i) Education Reform: July 1 not to
10	exceed \$512,000,000.
11	(ii) Education for the Disadvantaged:
12	July 1 not to exceed \$2,462,000,000.
13	(iii) School Improvement Program:
14	July 1 not to exceed \$975,000,000.
15	(iv) Special Education: July 1 not to
16	exceed $$2,048,000,000$.
17	(v) Vocational Education: July 1 not
18	to exceed \$858,000,000.
19	(D) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION.—
20	Grants to the National Railroad Passenger Cor-
21	poration: September 30 not to exceed
22	\$343,000,000.
23	(E) Department of Veterans' af-
24	FAIRS.—Medical Care (equipment-land-struc-
25	tures): August 1 not to exceed \$900,000,000.

- 1 (F) Environmental protection agen-
- 2 CY.—Hazardous Substance Superfund: Sep-
- 3 tember 1 not to exceed \$100,000,000.
- 4 (d) Waiver and Appeal.—Subsections (b) and (c)
- 5 may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an af-
- 6 firmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen
- 7 and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Mem-
- 8 bers of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be re-
- 9 quired in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling
- 10 of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
- 11 (e) FORM OF THE POINT OF ORDER.—A point of
- 12 order under this section may be raised by a Senator as
- 13 provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget
- 14 and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.
- 15 (f) Conference Reports.—If a point of order is
- 16 sustained under this section against a conference report,
- 17 the report shall be disposed of as provided in section
- 18 313(d) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment
- 19 Control Act of 1974.
- 20 (g) Precatory Amendments.—For purposes of in-
- 21 terpreting section 305(b)(2) of the Congressional Budget
- 22 Act of 1974, an amendment is not germane if it contains
- 23 only precatory language.
- 24 (h) Sunset.—Except for subsection (g), this section
- 25 shall expire effective October 1, 2002.

	48
1	SEC. 212. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FEDERAL RESERVE
2	SURPLUSES.
3	(a) Purpose.—The purpose of this section is to en-
4	sure that transfers from nonbudgetary governmental enti-
5	ties such as the Federal reserve banks shall not be used
6	to offset increased on-budget spending when such trans-
7	fers produce no real budgetary or economic effects.
8	(b) Budgetary Rule.—For purposes of points of
9	order under this resolution and the Congressional Budget
10	and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, provisions con-
11	tained in any bill, resolution, amendment, motion, or con-
12	ference report that affects any surplus funds of the Fed-
13	eral reserve banks shall not be scored with respect to the
14	level of budget authority, outlays, or revenues contained
15	in such legislation.
16	SEC. 213. REAFFIRMING THE PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF
17	REVENUE OFFSETS FOR DISCRETIONARY
18	SPENDING.
19	(a) Purpose.—The purpose of this section is to reaf-
20	firm Congress' belief that the discretionary spending lim-
21	its should be adhered to and not circumvented by increas-
22	ing taxes.
23	(b) RESTATEMENT OF BUDGETARY RULE.—For pur-

25 gressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974,26 provisions contained in an appropriations bill (or an

24 poses of points of order under this resolution and the Con-

- 1 amendment thereto or a conference report thereon) result-
- 2 ing in increased revenues shall continue not to be scored
- 3 with respect to the level of budget authority or outlays
- 4 contained in such legislation.

5 SEC. 214. APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLO-

- 6 CATIONS AND AGGREGATES.
- 7 (a) Application.—Any adjustments of allocations
- 8 and aggregates made pursuant to this concurrent resolu-
- 9 tion for any measure shall—
- 10 (1) apply while that measure is under consider-
- 11 ation;
- 12 (2) take effect upon the enactment of that
- measure; and
- 14 (3) be published in the Congressional Record as
- soon as practicable.
- 16 (b) Effect of Changed Allocations and Ag-
- 17 GREGATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates resulting
- 18 from these adjustments shall be considered for the pur-
- 19 poses of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as alloca-
- 20 tions and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolu-
- 21 tion.

1	SEC. 215. RESERVE FUND TO FOSTER THE HEALTH OF
2	CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES AND THE EM-
3	PLOYMENT AND INDEPENDENCE OF THEIR
4	FAMILIES.
5	(a) Adjustment.—
6	(1) In General.—Whenever the Committee on
7	Finance of the Senate reports a bill, or an amend-
8	ment thereto is offered, or a conference report there-
9	on is submitted, that facilitates children with disabil-
10	ities receiving needed health care at home and com-
11	plies with paragraph (2), the chairman of the Com-
12	mittee on the Budget may increase the spending ag-
13	gregate and allocation of budget authority and out-
14	lays to that committee by the amount of budget au-
15	thority (and the outlays resulting therefrom) pro-
16	vided by that legislation for such purpose in accord-
17	ance with subsection (b).
18	(2) CONDITION.—Legislation complies with this
19	paragraph if it finances health programs designed to
20	allow children with disabilities to access the health
21	services they need to remain at home with their fam-
22	ilies while allowing their families to become or re-
23	main employed.
24	(b) Limitations.—The adjustments to the spending
25	aggregates and allocations required by subsection (a) shall
26	not exceed \$50,000,000 in budget authority (and the out-

1	lays resulting therefrom) for fiscal year 2001 and shall
2	not exceed \$300,000,000 in budget authority (and the out-
3	lays resulting therefrom) for the period of fiscal years
4	2001 through 2005.
5	SEC. 216. EXERCISE OF RULEMAKING POWERS.
6	Congress adopts the provisions of this title—
7	(1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of
8	the Senate and the House of Representatives, re-
9	spectively, and as such they shall be considered as
10	part of the rules of each House, or of that House
11	to which they specifically apply, and such rules shall
12	supersede other rules only to the extent that they
13	are inconsistent therewith; and
14	(2) with full recognition of the constitutional
15	right of either House to change those rules (so far
16	as they relate to that House) at any time, in the
17	same manner, and to the same extent as in the case
18	of any other rule of that House.
19	TITLE III—SENSE OF THE
20	SENATE PROVISIONS
21	SEC. 301. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON CONTROLLING AND
22	ELIMINATING THE GROWING INTER-
23	NATIONAL PROBLEM OF TUBERCULOSIS.
24	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:

1	(1) According to the World Health Organiza-
2	tion—
3	(A) nearly 2,000,000 people worldwide die
4	each year of tuberculosis-related illnesses;
5	(B) one-third of the world's total popu-
6	lation is infected with tuberculosis; and
7	(C) tuberculosis is the world's leading kill-
8	er of women between 15- and 44-years old and
9	is a leading cause of children becoming or-
10	phans.
11	(2) Because of the ease of transmission of tu-
12	berculosis, its international persistence and growth
13	pose a direct public health threat to those nations
14	that had previously largely controlled the disease
15	This is complicated in the United States by the
16	growth of the homeless population, the rate of incar-
17	ceration, international travel, immigration, and HIV
18	AIDS.
19	(3) With nearly 40 percent of the tuberculosis
20	cases in the United States attributable to foreign-
21	born persons, tuberculosis will never be eliminated in
22	the United States until it is controlled abroad.
23	(4) The means exist to control tuberculosis
24	through screening, diagnosis, treatment, patient

1	compliance, monitoring, and ongoing review of out-
2	comes.
3	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
4	Senate that the levels in this resolution assumes that addi-
5	tional resources should be provided to fund international
6	tuberculosis control efforts at \$60,000,000 in fiscal year
7	2001, consistent with authorizing legislation approved by
8	the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.
9	SEC. 302. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON INCREASED FUNDING
10	FOR THE CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT
11	BLOCK GRANT.
12	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
13	(1) in 1998, 33.2 percent of women in the labor
14	force have children under 14;
15	(2) in 1998, 65.2 percent of women with chil-
16	dren younger than age 6, and 78.4 percent of
17	women with children ages 6 through 17 were in the
18	labor force, and 41.6 percent of women with children
19	younger than 3 were employed full-time;
20	(3) 1,920,000 couples both working and with
21	children under 18 had family incomes of under
22	\$30,000 (10.3 percent);
23	(4)(A) in 1998, 11,700,000 children out of
24	21,300,000 (55.1 percent) under the age of 5 have
25	employed mothers;

1 (B) 18.4 percent of children under 6 are cared 2 for by their fathers at home; 3 (C) another 5.5 percent (562,000) are looked 4 after by their mother either at home or away from 5 home; and 6 (D) in other words, less than a quarter (23.9) 7 percent) of these children are taken care of by 1 8 parent; 9 (5) a 1997 General Accounting Office study 10 found that the increased work participation require-11 ment of the welfare reform law will cause the need 12 for child care to exceed the known supply; 13 (6) a 1995 study by the Urban Institute of 14 child care prices in 6 cities found that the average 15 cost of daycare for a 2-year-old in a child care cen-16 ter ranged from \$3,100 to \$8,100; 17 (7) for an entry-level worker, the family's child 18 care costs at the average price of care for an infant 19 in a child care center would be at least 50 percent 20 of family income in 5 of the 6 cities examined; 21 (8) a large number of low- and middle-income 22 families sacrifice a second full-time income so that 23 a parent may be at home with the child; 24 (9) the average income of 2-parent families with

a single income (a family with children, wife does

- not work) is \$13,566 less than the average income
 of 2-parent families with 2 incomes;
- 3 (10) a recent National Institute for Child 4 Health and Development study found that the great-5 est factor in the development of a young child is 6 "what is happening at home and in families"; and
- 7 (11) increased tax relief directed at making 8 child care more affordable, and increased funding 9 for the Child Care and Development Block Grant, 10 would take significant steps toward bringing quality 11 child care within the reach of many parents, and 12 would increase the options available to parents in de-13 ciding how best to care for their children.
- 14 (b) Sense of Senate.—It is the sense of the Senate 15 that the levels in this resolution and legislation enacted 16 pursuant to this resolution assume—
- 17 (1) that tax relief should be directed to parents
 18 who are struggling to afford quality child care, in19 cluding those who wish to stay home to care for a
 20 child, and should be included in any tax cut pack21 age; and
- (2) a total of \$4,567,000,000 in funding for the
 Child Care and Development Block Grant in fiscal
 year 2001.

1	SEC. 303. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON TAX RELIEF FOR COL-
2	LEGE TUITION PAID AND FOR INTEREST PAID
3	ON STUDENT LOANS.
4	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
5	(1) in our increasingly competitive global econ-
6	omy, the attainment of a higher education is critical
7	to the economic success of an individual, as evi-
8	denced by the fact that, in 1975, college graduates
9	earned an average of 57 percent more than those
10	who just finished high school, compared to 76 per-
11	cent more today;
12	(2) the cost of attaining a higher education has
13	outpaced both inflation and median family incomes;
14	(3) specifically, over the past 20 years, the cost
15	of college tuition has quadrupled (growing faster
16	than any consumer item, including health care and
17	nearly twice as fast as inflation) and 8 times as fast
18	as median household incomes;
19	(4) despite recent increases passed by Congress,
20	the value of the maximum Pell Grant has declined
21	23 percent since 1975 in inflation-adjusted terms,
22	forcing more students to rely on student loans to fi-
23	nance the cost of a higher education;
24	(5) from 1992 to 1998, the demand for student
25	loans soared 82 percent and the average student
26	loan increased 367 percent;

1	(6) according to the Department of Education,
2	there is approximately \$150,000,000,000 in out-
3	standing student loan debt, and students borrowed
4	more during the 1990's than during the 1960's,
5	1970's, and 1980's combined; and
6	(7) in Congress, proposals have been made to
7	address the rising cost of tuition and mounting stu-
8	dent debt, including a bipartisan proposal to provide
9	a deduction for tuition paid and a credit for interest
10	paid on student loans.
11	(b) Sense of Senate.—It is the sense of the Senate
12	that the levels in this resolution and legislation enacted
13	pursuant to this resolution assume that any tax cut pack-
14	age reported by the Finance Committee and passed by
15	Congress during the fiscal year 2001 budget reconciliation
16	process include tax relief for college tuition paid and for
17	interest paid on student loans.
18	SEC. 304. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON INCREASED FUNDING
19	FOR THE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH.
20	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
21	(1) the National Institutes of Health is the Na-
22	tion's foremost research center;
23	(2) the Nation's commitment to and investment
24	in biomedical research has resulted in better health
25	and an improved quality of life for all Americans:

- 1 (3) continued biomedical research funding must 2 be ensured so that medical doctors and scientists 3 have the security to commit to conducting long-term 4 research studies;
- 5 (4) funding for the National Institutes of 6 Health should continue to increase in order to pre-7 vent the cessation of biomedical research studies and 8 the loss of medical doctors and research scientists to 9 private research organizations; and
- 10 (5) the National Institutes of Health conducts 11 research protocols without proprietary interests, 12 thereby ensuring that the best health care is re-13 searched and made available to the Nation.
- 14 (b) Sense of the Senate.— It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this resolution assume increased funding in function 550 (Health) for the National Institutes of Health of \$2,700,000,000, reflecting the commitment made in the fiscal year 1998 Senate Budget Resolution to double the National Institute of Health budget by 2003.
- 21 SEC. 305. SENSE OF THE SENATE SUPPORTING FUNDING
- 22 LEVELS IN EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES
- 23 ACT.
- It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this 25 resolution assume that of the amounts provided for ele-

1	mentary and secondary education within the Budget
2	Function 500 of this resolution for fiscal years 2001
3	through 2005, such funds shall be appropriated in propor-
4	tion to and in accordance with the levels authorized in the
5	Educational Opportunities Act, S. 2.
6	SEC. 306. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON ADDITIONAL BUDG-
7	ETARY RESOURCES.
8	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:
9	(1) In its review of government operations, the
10	General Accounting Office noted that it was unable
11	to determine the extent of improper government pay-
12	ments, due to the poor quality of agency accounting
13	practices. In particular, the General Accounting Of-
14	fice cited the Government's inability to—
15	(A) "properly account for and report bil-
16	lions of dollars of property, equipment, mate-
17	rials, and supplies and certain stewardship as-
18	sets"; and
19	(B) "properly prepare the Federal Govern-
20	ment's financial statements, including balancing
21	the statements, accounting for billions of dollars
22	of transactions between governmental entities,
23	and properly and consistently compiling the in-
24	formation in the financial statements.".

1	(2) Private economic forecasters are currently
2	more optimistic than the Congressional Budget Of-
3	fice (CBO). Blue Chip expects 2000 real GDP
4	growth of 4.1 percent, whereas the Congressional
5	Budget Office expects 3.3 percent growth. From
6	1999 through 2005, Blue Chip expects real GDP to
7	grow more than 0.3 percentage points faster per
8	year than the Congressional Budget Office does.
9	Using budgetary rules of thumb, this latter dif-
10	ference translates into more than \$150,000,000,000
11	over the 5-year budget window.
12	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
13	Senate that the levels contained in this resolution assume
14	that—
15	(1) there are billions of dollars in wasted ex-
16	penditures in the Federal Government that should
17	be eliminated; and
18	(2) higher projected budget surpluses arising
19	from reductions in government waste and stronger
20	revenue inflows could be used in the future for addi-
21	tional tax relief or debt reduction.
22	SEC. 307. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON REGARDING THE IN-
23	ADEQUACY OF THE PAYMENTS FOR SKILLED
24	NURSING CARE.
25	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

- 1 (1) Congress confronted and addressed the 2 funding crisis for medicare beneficiaries requiring 3 skilled nursing care through the Balanced Budget 4 Refinement Act of 1999;
 - (2) Congress recognized the need to address the inadequacy of the prospective payment system for certain levels of care, as well as the need to end arbitrary limits on rehabilitative therapies. Congress restored \$2,700,000,000 to reduce access threats to skilled care for medicare beneficiaries; and
 - (3) Currently, more than 1,600 skilled nursing facilities caring for more than 175,000 frail and elderly Americans have filed for bankruptcy protection.
- (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of theSenate that the levels in this resolution assume that—
- 17 (1) the Administration should identify areas
 18 where they have the authority to make changes to
 19 improve quality, including analyzing and fixing the
 20 labor component of the skilled nursing facility mar21 ket basket update factor; and
 - (2) while Congress deliberates funding structural medicare reform and the addition of a prescription drug benefit, it must maintain the continued viability of the current skilled nursing benefit.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

1	Therefore, the committees of jurisdiction should en-
2	sure that medicare beneficiaries requiring skilled
3	nursing care have access to that care and that those
4	providers have the resources to meet the expectation
5	for high quality care.
6	SEC. 308. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON THE CARA PROGRAMS.
7	It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this
8	resolution assume that, if the Congress and the President
9	so choose, the following programs can be fully funded as
10	discretionary programs in fiscal year 2001, including—
11	(1) the Land and Water Conservation Fund
12	programs;
13	(2) the Federal aid to Wildlife Fund;
14	(3) the Urban Parks and Recreation Recovery
15	Grants;
16	(4) the National Historic Preservation Fund;
17	(5) the Payment in Lieu of Taxes; and
18	(6) the North American Wetlands Conservation
19	Act.
20	SEC. 309. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON VETERAN'S MEDICAL
21	CARE.
22	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
23	(1) this budget addresses concerns about Vet-
24	eran's medical care;

1	(2) we successfully increased the appropriation
2	for Veteran's medical care by \$1,700,000,000 last
3	year, although the President had proposed no in-
4	crease in funding in his budget; and
5	(3) this year's budget proposes to increase the
6	Veteran's medical care appropriation by
7	\$1,400,000,000, the level of funding in the Presi-
8	dent's budget.
9	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
10	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume an in-
11	crease of \$1,400,000,000 in Veteran's medical care appro-
12	priations in fiscal year 2001.
13	SEC. 310. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON IMPACT AID.
14	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
15	(1) the Impact Aid, as created by Congress in
16	1950, fulfills a Federal obligation to local edu-
17	cational agencies impacted by a Federal presence;
18	(2) the Impact Aid provides funds to these local
19	educational agencies to help them meet the basic
20	educational needs of all their children, particularly
21	the needs of transient military dependent students
22	Native American children, and students from low-in-
23	come housing projects; and

- 1 (3) the Impact Aid is funded at a level less 2 than what is required to fully fund "all" federally 3 connected local educational agencies.
- 4 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
- 5 Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that the
- 6 Impact Aid Program strive to reach the goal that all local
- 7 educational agencies eligible for Impact Aid receive at a
- 8 minimum, 40 percent of their maximum payment under
- 9 sections 8002 and 8003.
- 10 SEC. 311. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON FUNDING FOR IN-
- 11 CREASED ACREAGE UNDER THE CONSERVA-
- 12 TION RESERVE PROGRAM AND THE WET-
- 13 LANDS RESERVE PROGRAM.
- 14 (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:
- 15 (1) The Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)
- and the Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP) have
- been successful, voluntary, incentive-based endeavors
- that over the last decade and a half have turned mil-
- lions of acres of marginal cropland into reserves that
- protect wildlife in the United States, provide mean-
- 21 ingful income to farmers and ranchers (especially in
- periods of collapsed commodity prices), and combat
- soil and water erosion. CRP and WRP also provide
- increased opportunities for hunting, fishing, and
- other recreational activities.

- 1 (2) CRP provides landowners with technical 2 and financial assistance, including annual rental 3 payments, in exchange for removing environmentally 4 sensitive farmland from production and imple-5 menting conservation practices. Currently, CRP in-6 cludes around 31,300,000 acres in the United 7 States.
 - (3) Similarly, WRP offers technical and financial assistance to landowners who select to restore wetlands. Currently, WRP includes 785,000 acres nationwide.
 - (4) Furthermore, bipartisan legislation has been introduced in the 106th Congress to increase the acreage permitted under both CRP and WRP. The Administration also supports raising the acreage limitations in both programs.
 - (5) Unfortunately, both CRP and WRP may soon become victims of their own success and their respective statutory acreage limitations unless Congress acts. Given the popularity and demand for these conservation programs, the statutory acreage limitations will likely exhaust resources available to producers who want to participate in CRP or WRP. As currently authorized, CRP has an enrollment cap of 36,400,000 million acres and WRP is limited at

- 1 975,000 acres. As of October 1, 1999, enrollment in
- 2 CRP stood at approximately 31,300,000 million
- acres and enrollment in WRP at just over 785,000
- 4 acres.
- 5 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
- 6 Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that Con-
- 7 gress and the Administration should take steps to raise
- 8 the acreage limits of the CRP and WRP in order to make
- 9 these programs available to aid the preservation and con-
- 10 servation of sensitive natural soil and water resources
- 11 without negatively effecting rural communities. Further,
- 12 such actions should help improve farm income for agricul-
- 13 tural producers and restore prosperity and growth to rural
- 14 sectors of the United States.

15 SEC. 312. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON TAX SIMPLIFICATION.

- 16 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
- 17 (1) the tax code has become increasingly com-
- plex, undermining confidence in the system, and
- often undermining the principles of simplicity, effi-
- 20 ciency, and equity;
- 21 (2) some have estimated that the resources re-
- 22 quired to keep records and file returns already cost
- American families an additional 10 percent to 20
- 24 percent over what they actually pay in income taxes;
- 25 and

1	(3) if it is to enact a greatly simplified tax code,
2	Congress should have a thorough understanding of
3	the problem as well as specific proposals to consider.
4	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
5	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that the
6	Joint Committee on Taxation shall develop a report and
7	alternative proposals on tax simplification by the end of
8	the year, and the Department of the Treasury is requested
9	to develop a report and alternative proposals on tax sim-
10	plification by the end of the year.
11	SEC. 313. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON ANTITRUST ENFORCE-
12	MENT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND
13	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION REGARDING
13 14	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION REGARDING AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETI-
14	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETI-
14 15	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITY.
141516	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITY. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
14151617	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITY. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) the Antitrust Division of the Department of
14 15 16 17 18	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITY. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice is charged with the civil and criminal en-
14 15 16 17 18 19	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITY. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice is charged with the civil and criminal enforcement of the antitrust laws, including the review
14151617181920	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITY. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice is charged with the civil and criminal enforcement of the antitrust laws, including the review of corporate mergers likely to reduce competition in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AGRICULTURE MERGERS AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITY. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that— (1) the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice is charged with the civil and criminal enforcement of the antitrust laws, including the review of corporate mergers likely to reduce competition in particular markets, with a goal of protecting the

of the antitrust laws, including the review of corporate mergers likely to reduce competition;

- (3) the Antitrust Division and the Bureau of Competition are also responsible for the prosecution of companies and individuals who engage in anticompetitive behavior and unfair trade practices;
- (4) the number of merger filings under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, which the Department of Justice, in conjunction with the Federal Trade Commission, is required to review, has increased significantly in fiscal years 1998 and 1999;
- (5) large agri-businesses have constituted part of this trend in mergers and acquisitions;
- (6) farmers and small agricultural producers are experiencing one of the worst periods of economic downturn in years;
- (7) farmers currently get less than a quarter of every retail food dollar, down from nearly half of every retail food dollar in 1952;
- (8) the top 4 beef packers presently control 80 percent of the market, the top 4 pork producers control 57 percent of the market, and the largest sheep processors and poultry processors control 73 percent and 55 percent of the market, respectively;

- 1 (9) the 4 largest grain processing companies 2 presently account for approximately 62 percent of 3 the Nation's flour milling, and the 4 largest firms 4 control approximately 75 percent of the wet corn 5 milling and soybean crushing industry;
 - (10) farmers and small, independent producers are concerned about the substantial increase in concentration in the agriculture industry and significantly diminished opportunities in the marketplace; and
 - (11) farmers and small, independent producers are also concerned about possible anticompetitive behavior and unfair business practices in the agriculture industry.
- (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of theSenate that the levels in this resolution assume that—
- 17 (1) the Antitrust Division and the Bureau of
 18 Competition will have adequate resources to enable
 19 them to meet their statutory requirements, including
 20 those related to reviewing increasingly numerous and
 21 complex mergers and investigating and prosecuting
 22 anticompetitive business activity; and
- 23 (2) these departments will—

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1	(A) dedicate considerable resources to mat-
2	ters and transactions dealing with agri-business
3	antitrust and competition; and
4	(B) ensure that all vertical and horizontal
5	mergers implicating agriculture and all com-
6	plaints regarding possible anticompetitive busi-
7	ness practices in the agriculture industry will
8	receive extraordinary scrutiny.
9	SEC. 314. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING FAIR MAR
10	KETS FOR AMERICAN FARMERS.
11	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
12	(1) United States agricultural producers are the
13	most efficient and competitive in the world;
14	(2) United States agricultural producers are at
15	a competitive disadvantage in the world market be-
16	cause the European Union outspends the United
17	States (on a dollar/acre basis) by a ratio of 10:1 or
18	domestic support and by a ratio of 60:1 on export
19	subsidies;
20	(3) the support the European Union gives their
21	producers results in more prosperous rural commu-
22	nities in Europe than in the United States;
23	(4) the European Union blocked consensus at
24	the World Trade Organization ministerial meeting in

Seattle because Europe does not want to surrender
 its current advantage in world markets;

- (5) despite the competitiveness of American farmers, the European advantage has led to a declining United States share of the world market for agricultural products;
 - (6) the United States Department of Agriculture reports that United States export growth has lagged behind that of our major competitors, resulting in a loss of United States market share, from 24 percent in 1981 to its current level of 18 percent;
 - (7) the United States Department of Agriculture also reports that United States market share of global agricultural trade has eroded steadily over the past 2 decades, which could culminate in the United States losing out to the European Union as the world's top agricultural exporter sometime in 2000;
 - (8) prices of agricultural commodities in the United States are at 50-year lows in real terms, creating a serious economic crisis in rural America; and
 - (9) fundamental fairness requires that the playing field be leveled so that United States farmers are no longer at a competitive disadvantage.

1	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
2	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that—
3	(1) the United States should take steps to in-
4	crease support for American farmers in order to
5	level the playing field for United States agricultural
6	producers and increase the leverage of the United
7	States in World Trade Organization negotiations or
8	agriculture as long as such support is not trade dis-
9	torting, and does not otherwise exceed or impair ex-
10	isting Uruguay Round obligations; and
11	(2) such actions should improve United States
12	farm income and restore the prosperity of rural com-
13	munities.
13 14	munities. SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL
14	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL
14 15	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM.
141516	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM. (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
14 15 16 17	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM. (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) without Social Security benefits, the elderly
14 15 16 17 18	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM. (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) without Social Security benefits, the elderly poverty rate among women would have been 52.2
14 15 16 17 18	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM. (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) without Social Security benefits, the elderly poverty rate among women would have been 52.2 percent, and among widows would have been 60.6
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM. (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) without Social Security benefits, the elderly poverty rate among women would have been 52.2 percent, and among widows would have been 60.6 percent;
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SEC. 315. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON WOMEN AND SOCIAL SECURITY REFORM. (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) without Social Security benefits, the elderly poverty rate among women would have been 52.2 percent, and among widows would have been 60.6 percent; (2) women tend to live longer and tend to have

- 1 (4) women spend an average of 11.5 years out 2 of their careers to care for their families, and are 3 more likely to work part-time than full-time.
- 4 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the 5 Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that—
- (1) women face unique obstacles in ensuring re tirement security and survivor and disability sta bility;
 - (2) Social Security plays an essential role in guaranteeing inflation-protected financial stability for women throughout their old age;
 - (3) the Congress and the Administration should act, as part of Social Security reform, to ensure that widows and other poor elderly women receive more adequate benefits that reduce their poverty rates and that women, under whatever approach is taken to reform Social Security, should receive no lesser a share of overall federally funded retirement benefits than they receive today; and
 - (4) the sacrifice that women make to care for their family should be recognized during reform of Social Security and that women should not be penalized by taking an average of 11.5 years out of their careers to care for their family.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	SEC. 316. PROTECTION OF BATTERED WOMEN AND CHIL
2	DREN.
3	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following
4	findings:
5	(1) Each year an estimated 1,000,000 women
6	suffer nonfatal violence by an intimate partner.
7	(2) Nearly 1 out of 3 adult women can expect
8	to experience at least 1 physical assault by a partner
9	during adulthood.
10	(3) Domestic violence is statistically consistent
11	across racial and ethnic lines. It does not discrimi-
12	nate based on race or economic status.
13	(4) The chance of being victimized by an inti-
14	mate partner is 10 times greater for a woman than
15	a man.
16	(5) Past and current victims of domestic vio-
17	lence are over-represented in the welfare population
18	It is estimated that at least 60 percent of current
19	welfare beneficiaries have experienced some form of
20	domestic violence.
21	(6) Abused women who do seek employment
22	face barriers as a result of domestic violence. Wel-
23	fare studies show that 15 to 50 percent of abused
24	women report interference from their partner with

education, training, or employment.

- 1 (7) The programs established by the Violence 2 Against Women Act of 1994 have empowered com-3 munities to address the threat caused by domestic 4 violence.
 - (8) Since 1995, Congress has appropriated close to \$1,800,000,000 to fund programs established by the Violence Against Women Act of 1994, including the STOP program, shelters for battered women and children, the domestic violence hotline, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention injury control programs.
 - (9) The programs established by the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 have been and continue to comprise a successful national strategy for addressing the needs of battered women and the public health threat caused by this violence.
 - (10) The Supreme Court could act during this session to overturn a major protection and course of action provided for in the Violence Against Women Act of 1994. In United States v. Morrison/Brzonkala, the Supreme Court will address the issue of the constitutionality of the Federal civil rights remedy under the Violence Against Women Act of 1994, and may overturn congressional intent to ele-

- vate violence against women to a category protected
 under Federal civil rights law.
- 3 (11) The actions taken by the courts and the 4 failure to reauthorize the Violence Against Women 5 Act of 1994 has generated a great deal of concern 6 in communities nationwide.
 - (12) Funding for the programs established by the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 is the only lifeline for battered women and Congress has a moral obligation to continue funding and to strengthen key components of the Violence Against Women Act of 1994.
- 13 (13) Congress and the Administration should 14 work to ensure the continued funding of programs 15 established by the Violence Against Women Act of 16 1994.
- 17 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
- 18 Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that, in
- 19 light of the pending litigation challenging the constitu-
- 20 tionality of the Federal civil rights remedy in the Violence
- 21 Against Women Act of 1994 and the lack of action on
- 22 legislation reauthorizing and strengthening the provisions
- 23 of that Act—

8

9

10

11

- 24 (1) Congress, through reauthorization of the
- 25 programs established by the Violence Against

1	Women Act of 1994, should work to eliminate eco-
2	nomic barriers that trap women and children in vio-
3	lent homes and relationships; and
4	(2) full funding for the programs established by
5	the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 will be
6	provided from the Violent Crime Reduction Fund.
7	SEC. 317. USE OF FALSE CLAIMS ACT IN COMBATTING
8	MEDICARE FRAUD.
9	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
10	(1) the solvency of the medicare trust funds is
11	of vital importance to the well-being of the Nation's
12	seniors and other vulnerable people in need of qual-
13	ity health care;
14	(2) fraud against the medicare trust funds is a
15	major problem resulting in the depletion of the trust
16	funds; and
17	(3) chapter 37 of title 31, United States Code
18	(commonly referred to as the False Claims Act) and
19	the qui tam provisions of that chapter are vital tools
20	in combatting fraud against the medicare program.
21	(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the
22	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that chap-
23	ter 37 of title 31, United States Code (commonly referred
24	to as the False Claims Act) and the qui tam provisions

1	of that chapter are essential tools in combatting medicare
2	fraud and should not be weakened in any way.
3	SEC. 318. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE NA
4	TIONAL GUARD.
5	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
6	(1) the Army National Guard relies heavily
7	upon thousands of full-time employees, Military
8	Technicians and Active Guard/Reserves, to ensure
9	unit readiness throughout the Army National Guard
10	(2) these employees perform vital day-to-day
11	functions, ranging from equipment maintenance to
12	leadership and staff roles, that allow the drill week-
13	ends and annual active duty training of the tradi-
14	tional Guardsmen to be dedicated to preparation for
15	the National Guard's warfighting and peacetime
16	missions;
17	(3) when the ability to provide sufficient Active
18	Guard/Reserves and Technicians end strength is re-
19	duced, unit readiness, as well as quality of life for
20	soldiers and families is degraded;
21	(4) the Army National Guard, with agreement
22	from the Department of Defense, requires a min-
23	imum essential requirement of 23,500 Active Guard
24	Reserves and 25,500 Technicians; and

1	(5) the fiscal year 2001 budget request for the
2	Army National Guard provides resources sufficient
3	for approximately 22,430 Active Guard/Reserves and
4	23,957 Technicians, end strength shortfalls of 1,052
5	and 1,543, respectively.
6	(b) Sense of the Senate.— It is the sense of the
7	Senate that the levels in the resolution assume that the
8	Department of Defense will give priority to funding the
9	Active Guard/Reserves and Military Technicians at levels
10	authorized by Congress in the fiscal year 2000 Depart-
11	ment of Defense authorization bill.
12	SEC. 319. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING MILITARY
13	READINESS.
13 14	READINESS. (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
14	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
14 15	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—(1) the Secretary of the Air Force stated that
141516	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—(1) the Secretary of the Air Force stated that the United States Air Force's top unfunded readi-
14151617	 (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) the Secretary of the Air Force stated that the United States Air Force's top unfunded readiness priority for fiscal year 2000 was its aircraft
14 15 16 17 18	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) the Secretary of the Air Force stated that the United States Air Force's top unfunded readiness priority for fiscal year 2000 was its aircraft spares and repair parts account and top Air Force
141516171819	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) the Secretary of the Air Force stated that the United States Air Force's top unfunded readiness priority for fiscal year 2000 was its aircraft spares and repair parts account and top Air Force officers have said that getting more spares is a top
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) the Secretary of the Air Force stated that the United States Air Force's top unfunded readiness priority for fiscal year 2000 was its aircraft spares and repair parts account and top Air Force officers have said that getting more spares is a top priority to improve readiness rates;
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that— (1) the Secretary of the Air Force stated that the United States Air Force's top unfunded readiness priority for fiscal year 2000 was its aircraft spares and repair parts account and top Air Force officers have said that getting more spares is a top priority to improve readiness rates; (2) the Chief of Naval Operations stated that

- 1 (3) the General Accounting Office's study of 2 personnel retention problems in the armed services 3 cited shortages of spares and repair parts as a major 4 reason why people are leaving the services;
 - (4) the fiscal year 2001 budget request decreases the Air Force's spares and repair parts account by 13 percent from fiscal year 2000 expected levels; and
- 9 (5) the fiscal year 2001 budget request de-10 creases the Navy's spares and repair parts account 11 by 6 percent from the fiscal year 2000 expected lev-12 els.
- 13 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Senate that the functional totals in the budget resolution assume that Congress will protect the Department of Defense's readiness accounts, including spares and repair parts, and operations and maintenance, and use the requested levels as the minimum baseline for fiscal year
- 20 SEC. 320. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON COMPENSATION FOR

2001 authorization and appropriations.

- 21 THE CHINESE EMBASSY BOMBING IN BEL-
- GRADE.

6

7

8

- It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this
- 24 resolution assume funds designated to compensate the
- 25 People's Republic of China for the damage inadvertently

1	done to their embassy in Belgrade by NATO forces in May
2	1999, should not be appropriated from the international
3	affairs budget.
4	SEC. 321. SENSE OF THE SENATE SUPPORTING FUNDING
5	OF DIGITAL OPPORTUNITY INITIATIVES.
6	(a) The Senate finds that—
7	(1) computers, the Internet, and information
8	networks are not luxury items but basic tools largely
9	responsible for driving the current economic expan-
10	sions;
11	(2) information technology utility relies on soft-
12	ware applications and online content;
13	(3) access to computers and the Internet and
14	the ability to use this technology effectively is be-
15	coming increasingly important for full participation
16	in America's economic, political, and social life; and
17	(4) unequal access to technology and high-tech
18	skills by income, educational level, race, and geog-
19	raphy could deepen and reinforce the divisions that
20	exist within American society.
21	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
22	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that the
23	Committees on Appropriations and Finance should sup-
24	port efforts that address the digital divide, including tax
25	incentives and funding to—

1	(1) broaden access to information technologies;
2	(2) provide workers and teachers with informa-
3	tion technology training;
4	(3) promote innovative online content and soft-
5	ware applications that will improve commerce, edu-
6	cation, and quality of life; and
7	(4) help provide information and communica-
8	tions technology to underserved communities.
9	SEC. 322. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING IMMUNIZA-
10	TION FUNDING.
11	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
12	(1) vaccines protect children and adults against
13	serious and potentially fatal diseases;
14	(2) society saves up to \$24 in medical and soci-
15	etal costs for every dollar spent on vaccines;
16	(3) every day, 11,000 babies are born—
17	4,000,000 each year—and each child needs up to 19
18	doses of vaccine by age 2;
19	(4) approximately 1,000,000 2-year-olds have
20	not received all of the recommended vaccine doses;
21	(5) the immunization program under section
22	317(j)(1) under the Public Health Service Act, ad-
23	ministered by the Centers for Disease Control and
24	Prevention, provides grants to States and localities
25	for critical activities including immunization reg-

- istries, outbreak control, provider education, outreach efforts, and linkages with other public health
 and welfare services;
 - (6) Federal grants to States and localities for these activities have declined from \$271,000,000 in 1995 to \$139,000,000 in 2000;
 - (7) because of these funding reductions States are struggling to maintain immunization rates and have implemented severe cuts to immunization delivery activities;
 - (8) even with significant gains in national immunization rates, underimmunized children still exist and there are a number of subpopulations where coverage rates remain low and are actually declining;
 - (9) rates in many of the Nation's urban areas, including Chicago and Houston, are unacceptably low; and
- 19 (10) these pockets of need create pools of sus-20 ceptible children and increase the risk of dangerous 21 disease outbreaks.
- 22 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the 23 Senate that the levels in the resolution assume that Con-24 gress should enact legislation that provides \$214,000,000 in funding for immunization grants under section 317 of

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1	the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247b) for infra-
2	structure and delivery activities, including targeted sup-
3	port for immunization project areas with low or declining
4	immunization rates or who have subpopulations with spe-
5	cial needs.
6	SEC. 323. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING TAX CREDITS
7	FOR SMALL BUSINESSES PROVIDING HEALTH
8	INSURANCE TO LOW-INCOME EMPLOYEES.
9	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
10	(1) 25,000,000 workers in the United States
11	were uninsured in 1997 and more than two-thirds of
12	the uninsured workers earn less than \$20,000 annu-
13	ally, according to a Henry J. Kaiser Family Foun-
14	dation report;
15	(2) the percentage of employees of small busi-
16	nesses who have employer-sponsored health insur-
17	ance coverage decreased from 52 percent in 1996 to
18	47 percent in 1998; for the smallest employers
19	those with 3 to 9 workers, the percentage of employ-
20	ees covered by employer-sponsored health insurance
21	fell from 36 percent in 1996 to 31 percent in 1998
22	(3) between 1996 and 1998, health premiums
23	for small businesses increased 5.2 percent; premiums
24	increased by 8 percent for the smallest employers

the highest increase among all small businesses;

1	(4) monthly family coverage for workers at
2	firms with 3 to 9 employees cost \$520 in 1998, com-
3	pared to \$462 for family coverage for workers at
4	large firms; and
5	(5) only 39 percent of small businesses with a
6	significant percentage of low-income employees offer
7	employer-provided health insurance and such compa-
8	nies are half as likely to offer health benefits to such
9	employees as are companies that have only a small
10	percentage of low-income employees.
11	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
12	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that Con-
13	gress should enact legislation that allows small businesses
14	to claim a tax credit when they provide health insurance
15	to low-income employees.
16	SEC. 324. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON FUNDING FOR CRIMI-
17	NAL JUSTICE.
18	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
19	(1) our success in the fight against crime and
20	improvements in the administration of justice are
21	the result of a bipartisan effort; and
22	(2) since 1993 the Congress and the President
23	have increased justice funding by 92 percent, and a
24	strong commitment to law enforcement and the ad-

ministration of justice remains appropriate.

- 1 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
- 2 Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that funds
- 3 to improve the justice system will be available as follows:
- 4 (1) \$665,000,000 for the expanded support of
- 5 direct Federal enforcement, adjudicative, and correc-
- 6 tional-detention activities.
- 7 (2) \$50,000,000 in additional funds to combat 8 terrorism, including cyber crime.
- 9 (3) \$41,000,000 in additional funds for con-10 struction costs for the Federal Bureau of Prisons 11 and the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center.
- 12 (4) \$200,000,000 in support of Customs and 13 Immigration and Nationalization Service port of 14 entry officers for the development and implementa-15 tion of the ACE computer system designed to meet 16 critical trade and border security needs.
 - (5) Funding is available for the continuation of such programs as: the Byrne Grant Program, Violence Against Women, Juvenile Accountability Block Grants, First Responder Training, Local Law Enforcement Block Grants, Weed and Seed, Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing, State Criminal Alien Assistance Program, Drug Courts, Residential Substance Abuse Treatment, Crime Identification Technologies, Bulletproof Vests,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Counterterrorism, Interagency Law Enforcement
2	Coordination.
3	SEC. 325. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE PELL
4	GRANT.
5	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
6	(1) public investment in higher education yields
7	a return of several dollars for each dollar invested;
8	(2) higher education promotes economic oppor-
9	tunity for individuals; for example recipients of
10	bachelor's degrees earn an average of 75 percent per
11	year more than those with high school diplomas and
12	experience half as much unemployment as high
13	school graduates;
14	(3) access to a college education has become a
15	hallmark of American society, and is vital to uphold-
16	ing our belief in equality of opportunity;
17	(4) for a generation, the Federal Pell Grant has
18	served as an established and effective means of pro-
19	viding access to higher education;
20	(5) over the past decade, Pell Grant has failed
21	to keep up with inflation. Over the past 25 years,
22	the value of the average Pell Grant has decreased by
23	23 percent—it is now worth only 77 percent of what
24	Pell Grants were worth in 1975:

1	(6) grant aid as a portion of student aid has
2	fallen significantly over the past 5 years. Grant aid
3	used to comprise 55 percent of total aid awarded
4	and loans comprised just over 40 percent. Now that
5	trend has been reversed so that loans comprise near-
6	ly 60 percent of total aid awarded and grants only
7	comprise 40 percent of total aid awarded;
8	(7) the percentage of freshmen attending public
9	and private 4-year institutions from families whose
10	income is below the national median has fallen since
11	1981.
12	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
13	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that within
14	the discretionary allocation provided to the Committee on
15	Appropriations, the funding for the maximum Pell Grant
16	award should be at or above the level requested by the
17	President.
18	SEC. 326. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING COMPREHEN-
19	SIVE PUBLIC EDUCATION REFORM.
20	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:
21	(1) Recent scientific evidence demonstrates that
22	enhancing children's physical, social, emotional, and
23	intellectual development before the age of 6 results

in tremendous benefits throughout life.

- 1 (2) Successful schools are led by well-trained,
 2 highly qualified principals, but many principals do
 3 not get the training in management skills that the
 4 principals need to ensure their school provides an ex5 cellent education for every child.
- 6 (3) Good teachers are a crucial catalyst to qual7 ity education, but 1 in 4 new teachers do not meet
 8 State certification requirements; each year more
 9 than 50,000 underprepared teachers enter the class10 room; and 12 percent of new teachers have had no
 11 teacher training at all.
- 12 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that the 14 Federal Government should support State and local educational agencies engaged in comprehensive reform of 16 their public education system and that any public education reform should include at least the following printing eiples:
- 19 (1) Every child should begin school ready to 20 learn.
- 21 (2) Training and development for principals 22 and teachers should be a priority.

1	SEC. 327. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON PROVIDING ADE-
2	QUATE FUNDING FOR UNITED STATES INTER-
3	NATIONAL LEADERSHIP.
4	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
5	(1) United States international leadership is es-
6	sential to maintaining security and peace for all
7	Americans;
8	(2) such leadership depends on effective diplo-
9	macy as well as a strong military;
10	(3) effective diplomacy requires adequate re-
11	sources both for operations and security of United
12	States embassies and for international programs;
13	(4) in addition to building peace, prosperity,
14	and democracy around the world, programs in the
15	International Affairs (150) budget serve United
16	States interests by ensuring better jobs and a higher
17	standard of living, promoting the health of our citi-
18	zens and preserving our natural environment, and
19	protecting the rights and safety of those who travel
20	or do business overseas;
21	(5) real spending for International Affairs has
22	declined more than 40 percent since the mid-1980's,
23	at the same time that major new challenges and op-
24	portunities have arisen from the disintegration of
25	the Soviet Union and the worldwide trends toward
26	democracy and free markets;

- 1 (6) current ceilings on discretionary spending 2 will impose severe additional cuts in funding for 3 International Affairs;
 - (7) improved security for United States diplomatic missions and personnel will place further strain on the International Affairs budget absent significant additional resources;
 - (8) the United States cannot reduce efforts to safeguard nuclear materials in the former Soviet States or shortchange initiatives aimed at maintaining stability on the Korean peninsula, where 37,000 United States forces are deployed. We cannot reduce support for peace in the Middle East or in Northern Ireland or in the Balkans. We cannot stop fighting terror or simply surrender to the spread of HIV/AIDS. We must continue to support all of these things, which are difficult to achieve without adequate and realistic funding levels; and
 - (9) the President's request for funds for fiscal year 2001 would adequately finance our International Affairs programs without detracting from our defense and domestic needs. It would help keep America prosperous and secure. It would enable us to leverage the contributions of allies and friends on behalf of democracy and peace. It would allow us to

1	protect the interests of Americans who travel, study,
2	or do business overseas. It would do all these things
3	and more for about 1 penny of every dollar the Fed-
4	eral Government spends.
5	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
6	Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that addi-
7	tional budgetary resources should be identified for func-
8	tion 150 to enable successful United States international
9	leadership.
10	SEC. 328. SENSE OF THE SENATE CONCERNING THE HIV/
11	AIDS CRISIS.
12	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:
13	(1) More than 16,000,000 people have been
14	killed by Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
15	(AIDS) since the epidemic began.
16	(2) 14,000,000 Africans have died as a result
17	of the AIDS epidemic. Eighty-four percent of the
18	worldwide deaths from AIDS have occurred in sub-
19	Saharan Africa.
20	(3) Each day, AIDS kills 5,500 Africans, and
21	infects 11,000 more.
22	(4) By the end of 2000, 10,400,000 children in
23	sub-Saharan Africa will have lost one or both par-
24	ents, to AIDS.

1	(5) Over 85 percent of the world's HIV-positive
2	children live in Africa.
3	(6) Fewer than 5 percent of those living with
4	AIDS in Africa have access to even the most basic
5	care.
6	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
7	Senate that—
8	(1) the functional totals underlying this resolu-
9	tion on the budget assume that Congress has recog-
10	nized the catastrophic effects of the HIV/AIDS epi-
11	demic, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, and seeks
12	to maximize the effectiveness of the United States'
13	efforts to combat the disease through any necessary
14	authorization or appropriations;
15	(2) Congress should strengthen ongoing pro-
16	grams which address education and prevention, test-
17	ing, the care of AIDS orphans, and improving home
18	and community-based care options for those living
19	with AIDS; and
20	(3) Congress should seek additional or new
21	tools to combat the epidemic, including initiatives to
22	encourage vaccine development and programs aimed
23	at preventing mother-to-child transmission of the

disease.

94 SEC. 329. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING TRIBAL COL-2 LEGES. 3 (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following: 4 (1) More than 26,500 students from 250 tribes 5 nationwide attend tribal colleges. The colleges serve 6 students of all ages, many of whom are moving from 7 welfare to work. The vast majority of tribal college 8 students are first-generation college students. 9 (2) While annual appropriations for tribal col-10 leges have increased modestly in recent years, core 11 operation funding levels are still about half of the \$6,000 per Indian student level authorized by the 12 13 Tribally Controlled College or University Act. 14 (3)tribal colleges Although received 15 \$3,000,000 increase in funding in fiscal year 2000, 16 because of rising student populations and other fac-17 tors, these institutions may face an actual per-stu-18 dent decrease in funding over fiscal year 1999. 19 (4) Per-student funding for tribal colleges is 20 roughly half the amount given to mainstream com-21 munity colleges. 22 (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that— 23 24 (1) the Senate recognizes the funding difficul-

ties faced by tribal colleges and assumes that pri-

ority consideration will be provided to them through

25

funding for the Tribally Controlled College and University Act, the 1994 Land Grant Institutions, and
title III of the Higher Education Act; and
(2) such priority consideration reflects Congress' intent to continue work toward current statutory Federal funding goals for the tribal colleges.