of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a subpoena for production of documents issued by the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

M. POPE BARROW, Jr., Legislative Counsel.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 1999, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. METCALF) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. METCALF addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. KLECZKA) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. KLECZKA addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DIAZ-BALART addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. BAIRD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BAIRD. Mr. Speaker, I come before the House today to talk about one of the most serious issues affecting senior citizens and families across the country. That is the skyrocketing cost of prescription drugs and the lack of affordable health coverage for seniors.

Too many of our Nation's senior citizens are forced to make an impossible choice each month about whether they buy the food they need, pay to heat their homes, or pay for the prescription medication that will keep them alive and keep them healthy.

Mr. Speaker, in the richest country in the history of the world, it is simply wrong to force our senior citizens to make that choice. I am sure Members have noticed this giant pill bottle. The size of this pill bottle reflects the escalating costs of prescription medications in our country.

Mr. Speaker, next week I will travel throughout my district and invite senior citizens to bring to me their prescription medications, their prescriptions, and the bills they pay for them. Mr. Speaker, we are going to fill this prescription bottle with those medication receipts, and we are going to bring it back to this body and demand action.

Mr. Speaker, too many senior citizens in this country are making a choice, a terrible choice that they should not have to make. This body has now been in session more than 15 months. We have talked about naming post offices, we have traveled back and forth across the country to vote on silly suspension bills, in some cases.

What have we not voted on? We have not voted on any substantive legislation, not one piece of substantive legislation to lower the cost of prescription medications or to provide meaningful health insurance to our senior citizens.

Mr. Speaker, we must not apply a placebo false fix to this problem. We must provide a real prescription on the House of Representatives to solve a real problem that is affecting our senior citizens' health and well-being every day.

Mr. Speaker, when I am in my district next week, I want hundreds of senior citizens to come out. I want to share with Members a story. We asked many of our seniors to share with us what they are paying for prescription medication. I was particularly moved by the story of Ms. Gwen Blackman of Longview.

This is what she wrote to me recently. She is receiving \$650 per month for social security disability payments, but Mr. Speaker, she must pay \$360 of that per month for prescription medications. How does she do that? Mr. Speaker, she does not do that. What she is forced to do is, on some months, go without her medication.

The richest country in the history of the world, we have senior citizens not able to pay for the medication they need because we have done nothing to control the escalating costs of prescription medications, and we have done nothing substantive to provide meaningful, meaningful and real affordable health insurance that includes prescription medications for our seniors.

This House has before it several bills. I am not taking a position tonight on exactly which bill we must pass. But, Mr. Speaker, we must have this debate, and we must not pass a placebo designed to make us feel like we have done something without doing something.

I hope senior citizens from around this country will look at this giant prescription bottle and say I am going to follow the example of that Member of Congress, I am going to send my Member my prescription medication bottles. I am going to send them the receipts and say, "Sir or Madam, what would you do if you were in my shoes, and what will you do as an elected Representative to solve this problem."

IN DEFENSE OF DR. LAURA SCHLESSINGER

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. BARTLETT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland. Mr. Speaker, Americans must speak up for freedom of speech, freedom of religion, and traditional morality by defending Dr. Laura's TV show against politically correct antibigotry bigots. That is why I would like to read into the RECORD excerpts from an excellent column from the April 8 issue of World magazine by noted professor of journalism, Marvin Olasky. The article is entitled, "Support Dr. Laura: Don't back GLAAD, get mad at the anti-bigotry bigots."

Now I quote, "Long-time World readers know that I advocate political alliances between religious conservatives and libertarians, and social-issue alliances between biblical Christians and theologically conservative Jews and Muslims. We need such alliances, I believe, because God has not placed us in the ancient land of Canaan, the theme park that Israelites were told to make their own. Instead, Christians are called to live amid sin in a modern Babylon. . .

"Ďr. Laura Schlessinger has reportedly surpassed Howard Stern and even Rush Limbaugh as the most-listened-to radio person. She regularly reaches over 20 million radio listeners on 450 stations in the United States and Canada with a message that emphasizes biblical morality. More Americans may soon hear that message: Paramount recently signed up 85 percent of U.S. television markets to air her hour-long talk format television show. But homosexual activists are now campaigning to stop her influence from expanding further. . .

'Dr. Laura (now 53 years old) has become an Orthodox Jew-and that means she has increasingly presented an Old Testament-based critique of homosexuality. To those who disagree she says, rightly, 'I am reiterating what God said. To them, that makes me 'hateful.' I'm sorry —talk to God about it.' Since groups like GLAAD, the Gay and Lesbian Alliance Against Defamation, don't want to talk to God about it, they have instead put pressure on Paramount to stop the Dr. Laura television show before it starts. GLAAD's posture is ironic in one respect; as Dr. Laura told our reporter Lynn Vincent earlier this year, 'I think it's quite fascinating that a group that's talking about civil rights wants to curtail my right to make a living, speak my point of view, and to have my religious convictions

"Www.stopdrlaura.com, one of the new attack websites, bills itself as 'a coalition against hate.' The Stop Dr. Laura movement lists e-mail addresses and phone and fax numbers for the Paramount offices, giving homosexuals and their apologists an easy way to