

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SKEEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the conference report to accompany H.R. 4461, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 4461, AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

Mr. SKEEN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 617, I call up the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 4461) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 617, the conference report is considered as having been read.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of Friday, October 6, 2000 at page H9461.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. SKEEN) and the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. SKEEN).

Mr. SKEEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to bring before the House the conference report on the fiscal year 2001 appropriations bill for Agriculture, Rural Development, the Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies.

Mr. Speaker, this bill has two main parts. The first titles, Title I through VII, comprises the regular fiscal year 2001 appropriations bill, which has a total budget authority of slightly less than \$15.3 billion.

The second part, which is Title VIII, is the emergency title, and that totals just over \$3.6 billion. The administration advised us that it would not submit a formal request for disaster as-

sistance, so as we have done in the past, we worked informally with program managers at USDA and with House and Senate colleagues to address as many concerns as possible.

I believe that we have a good conference report that deserves the support of this body. We were able to make significant increases over the fiscal year 2000 level in research, food safety, domestic feeding, and conservation programs.

This bill also contains compromise language in two critical issues: prescription drug importation, and sanctions of agricultural exports. I believe the language that we are offering will make it easier for our senior citizens to have access to safer, less costly drugs, and make it easier for our farmers and ranchers to export their products to certain countries.

I would like to point out a few highlights of the conference report which I think are important to us all. In the two main research accounts, we have about \$120 million over the current fiscal year level, in direct response to Members' concerns for critical research priorities.

APHIS regular programs have been increased by \$38 million over fiscal year 2000, in response to many Members' concerns about invasive plants, pests, and diseases. There is additional money in the APHIS account to assist in the boll weevil program. The Agricultural Marketing Service has increased by \$15 million, and GIPSA by \$4.5 million.

Meat and poultry inspection has been increased by \$47.5 million, which is actually higher than the official budget request. This represents our efforts to respond to problems that occurred after both bodies had passed their respective bills.

Our FSA loan programs are increased slightly over the current year, and we have met the administration's requests for salaries and expenses.

Conservation programs on the discretionary side are increased by about \$70 million, which is just under the administration's request. On the mandatory side, there is an additional \$35 million for technical assistance for the Wetlands Reserve and the Conservation Reserve programs. There is also \$117 million to enroll an additional 100,000 acres in the Wetlands Reserve Program, since so many Members have requested us to lift the authorized enrollment cap.

In rural development, we have met the administration's request for the Rural Community Advancement Program, and in spite of sharply higher

subsidy rates, we have increased housing and rural utility loan levels by half a billion dollars each.

In domestic food programs, WIC has been increased by \$20 million, commodity assistance by \$7 million, and elderly feeding by \$10 million over fiscal year 2000.

In P.L. 480, I know there was a lot of concern about the low House number. I am happy to report that Title II is now \$837 million, so all of the food aid programs are at the administration's request.

The Food and Drug Administration's salaries and expenses are increased by almost \$31 million, and we will be able to go ahead with the badly needed new building in Los Angeles.

Finally, I think all of us hear on a near weekly basis from the land grant schools about the Initiative for Future Agriculture and Food Systems. In past years, we have had to put a limitation on this program to pay for other important accounts, but this conference report allows the Initiative as well as the Fund for Rural America to go forward in fiscal year 2001, using money saved from the 2000 budget.

Mr. Speaker, this is a bill that will generate benefits in every congressional district in the country. We are providing strong protection for the health and safety of our citizens, nutrition and feeding programs for the most vulnerable, and agricultural research which makes us the greatest producer of food and fiber the world has ever known, and funding for a strong and productive rural America.

Mr. Speaker, we have tried our best to put together a good, solid bipartisan bill which works for all America. Much of it is compromise, to be sure, but I believe it is good compromise and good policy.

In closing, I would like to thank all of my colleagues on the subcommittee for their help and hard work since we began this process earlier this year. In particular, I would like to thank the staff for all their hard work: Hank Moore, the subcommittee clerk; Martin Delgado; Joanne Orndorff; John Z.; Ann Dubey; Maureen Holohan; David Reich, of the staff of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY); and Jim Richards, from my personal office. Without them, we would not have a bill here today.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all my colleagues to support this conference agreement.

Mr. Speaker, I include for the RECORD the following material related to H.R. 4461: