

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2001

MAY 11, 2000.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. HOBSON, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 4425]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignments and closures for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001.

CONTENTS

	Page
Summary of Committee Recommendation .....	2
Conformance With Authorization Bill .....	3
Advance Appropriations .....	3
Proposed Financing of Current Year Programs via Prior Year Savings .....	4
Quadrennial Defense Review .....	5
Foreign Currency Fluctuations .....	5
Reprogramming Criteria .....	6
Historic Preservation .....	6
Joint Use Facilities .....	7
Transfer Authority .....	7
Paint .....	7
Recycled Foundry Sand .....	7
Real Property Maintenance .....	8
Program, Project and Activity .....	8
Planning and Budgeting .....	8
Metric Conversion .....	9
Permanent Party Unaccompanied Personnel Housing .....	9
Fiscal Year 2001 Barracks Request .....	9
Child Development Centers .....	10
Hospital and Medical Facilities .....	11
Environmental Compliance Projects .....	12
Military Construction:	
Army .....	13
Navy .....	14
Air Force .....	16

Defense-wide .....	17
Reserve Components .....	20
NATO Security Investment Program .....	23
Family Housing Overview .....	24
Family Housing:	
Army .....	29
Navy .....	31
Air Force .....	32
Defense-wide .....	33
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	33
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense .....	36
Base Realignment and Closure:	
Overview .....	37
Part I .....	40
Part II .....	40
Part III .....	40
Part IV .....	40
Changes in Application of Existing Law .....	40
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law .....	43
Transfer of Funds .....	43
Rescission of Funds .....	43
Constitutional Authority .....	44
Comparisons With Budget Resolution .....	44
Advance Spending Authority .....	44
Five-Year Projection of Outlays .....	44
Financial Assistance .....	45
State List .....	45
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority .....	64

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Administration's fiscal year 2001 budget request of \$8,033,908,000 represents a decrease of \$306,682,000 from the fiscal year 2000 appropriation of \$8,340,590,000. The request only includes \$3,379,058,000 for military construction, \$3,480,481,000 for family housing and \$1,174,369,000 for activities associated with base closure and realignment.

While there are aspects of the budget request that help to solve the long-term infrastructure problems faced by the Department of Defense, the Committee has some concerns over the request. For example, excluding base closure and realignment, the military construction and family housing accounts decrease \$951,150,000, or 12 percent, from the fiscal year 2000 enacted level. Family housing construction and operation and maintenance accounts are reduced by \$109,749,000. The budget request would provide \$748,411,000 for family housing construction, a reduction of \$18,878,000 from current levels. Of this amount, \$287,968,000 is requested for construction of new family housing units, a reduction of \$91,117,000, or 24 percent, from current spending. And, the request for operation and maintenance of existing family housing units is reduced by \$90,871,000 from the current program.

The Committee believes it is imperative to address these serious shortfalls and the severe backlog in readiness, revitalization and quality of life projects. Therefore, the Committee has recommended an additional \$600,092,000 above the Administration's fiscal year 2001 budget request.

The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 2001 is \$8,634,000,000, an increase of \$293,410,000, or 4 percent, from the fiscal year 2000 appropriation and an increase of \$600,092,000 above the fiscal year 2001 budget request. The appropriation in-

cludes \$3,901,441,000 for military construction, \$3,558,190,000 for family housing, and \$1,174,369,000 for activities associated with base realignment and closure. The following table provides a breakout of the highlights of the bill:

FY 2000:	
Enacted .....	\$8.37 billion
Consolidated Appropriation (P.L. 106-113) .....	- 33 million
Net Appropriation .....	8.34 billion
President's FY 2001 Request .....	8.03 billion
Subcommittee Recommendation .....	8.63 billion
Increase over FY 2000 Appropriation .....	293 million
Increase over President's Request .....	600 million

Military Construction: \$3.9 billion (45% of total bill), including:

- \$759 million for barracks
- \$43 million for child development centers
- \$141 million for hospital and medical facilities
- \$26 million for environmental compliance
- \$175 million for the chemical weapons demilitarization program
- \$178 million for NATO Security Investment Program
- \$83 million for National Missile Defense
- \$458 million for Guard and Reserve components

Family Housing: \$3.5 billion (41% of total bill), including:

- \$859 million for new family housing units, and for improvements to existing units
- \$2.699 billion for operation and maintenance of existing units

Base Realignment and Closure: \$1.2 billion (14% of total bill), including:

- \$13 million for military construction and family housing
- \$865 million for environmental cleanup
- \$294 million for operations and maintenance

#### CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Subcommittee on Military Installations of the House Armed Services Committee conducted its mark up on May 2, 2000 of the authorization for military construction, family housing and base realignment and closure accounts included in this bill. Because conference action on the authorization had not been completed at the time this bill was prepared, all projects in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

#### ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS

The Department has requested advance appropriations in the amount of \$820,704,000, spread over four fiscal years, for eight projects. It is the Committee's view that there is no precedence for advance funding military construction projects. Following is a breakout of the individual projects, by account and fiscal year, which total the \$820,704,000 advance appropriation request. The Committee denies all of the advance appropriations and directs the Department to request these funds in the appropriate fiscal year.

Account and fiscal year	Amount	Location/project
<b>Milcon, Army:</b>		
2002 .....	\$80,500,000	Pueblo, CO: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase III.
2002 .....	78,000,000	Newport, IN: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase IV.
2002 .....	51,750,000	Aberdeen, MD: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase IV.
Subtotal .....	210,250,000	
<b>Milcon, Army:</b>		
2003 .....	83,400,000	Pueblo, CO: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase IV.
<b>Milcon, Army:</b>		
2004 .....	10,890,000	Pueblo, CO: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase V.
<b>Milcon, Navy:</b>		
2002 .....	14,813,000	San Diego, CA: Berthing Pier.
2002 .....	30,664,000	Camp Smith, HI: CINCPAC Headquarters.
2002 .....	23,587,000	Puget Sound, WA: Pier Replacement.
Subtotal .....	69,064,000	
<b>Milcon, Defense-Wide:</b>		
2002 .....	38,000,000	Fort Wainwright, AK: Hospital Replacement, Phase III.
2002 .....	192,800,000	Unspecified Worldwide: National Missile Defense.
Subtotal .....	230,800,000	
<b>Milcon, Defense-Wide:</b>		
2003 .....	20,000,000	Fort Wainwright, AK: Hospital Replacement, Phase IV.
2003 .....	127,400,000	Unspecified Worldwide: National Missile Defense.
Subtotal .....	147,400,000	
<b>Milcon, Defense-Wide:</b>		
2004 .....	10,000,000	Fort Wainwright, AK: Hospital Replacement, Phase V.
2004 .....	40,000,000	Unspecified Worldwide: National Missile Defense.
Subtotal .....	50,000,000	
<b>Milcon, Defense-Wide:</b>		
2005 .....	18,900,000	Unspecified Worldwide: National Missile Defense.
Grand Total .....	820,704,000	

#### PROPOSED FINANCING OF CURRENT YEAR PROGRAMS VIA PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS

The budget request for fiscal year 2001 proposed partial financing of current year programs via prior year savings, as follows:

Account/location	Project description	Authorization	Appropriation
<b>Military Construction, Navy:</b>			
District of Columbia: Naval Research Lab.	Nano-Science Research Facility .....	\$12,390,000	0
Texas: Kingsville Naval Air Station .....	Aircraft Parking Apron .....	2,670,000	0
North Carolina: Camp Lejeune MCB ...	Armories .....	14,000,000	\$10,000,000
Italy: Sigonella Naval Air Station .....	Community Facilities .....	32,969,000	32,029,000
Total .....	.....	62,029,000	42,029,000

If program execution has resulted in identifiable prior year savings within individual projects, the correct financing method is to detail such savings and to request rescissions of funds by account

and by fiscal year. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to follow the conventional rescission procedure in future budget submissions.

#### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT/ELIMINATION OF CONTINGENCY FOR INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS

The Committee agrees that the amount requested in prior fiscal years for construction contingencies, 5 percent for new construction and 10 percent for alterations or additions, is excessive. The budget submission has eliminated all contingency funds for all military construction and family housing construction programs in fiscal year 2001 and beyond. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to closely monitor the impacts of this reduction to ensure that this action will provide an incentive for the services to improve their cost estimating and monitoring procedures. It is further directed, that no project for which funds were previously appropriated, or for which funds are appropriated in this bill, may be canceled as a result of this reduction.

#### QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW

The Committee is concerned with the Defense Department's declining investments in the construction, replacement, and revitalization of facilities. The cost of operating an aged inventory is significantly higher than the cost of operating a more modern inventory, so the savings realized by not making construction investments are lost to higher operating costs. Additionally, the Committee is concerned that this aged inventory will not be ready and structured to support effective military operations in the future.

The Department's recent budgets have conveyed an emphasis on procurement of new, modern weapons systems. These same budgets have not placed the same priority on housing these new systems and the people that operate them in modern facilities. The Committee believes that the Department must program and budget sufficient funds to control the aging of the facilities inventory and eventually reduce the average age to a level consistent with a modern, effective, and efficient military organization. The Committee also believes facility modernization is connected to new ways of doing business, future force levels, weapon system modernization and mission requirements. Therefore, the Committee expects the Department to include a thorough review of its basing capacity, outsourcing strategy, and military construction requirements and related facilities restoration and modernization programs as part of the Congressionally mandated Quadrennial Defense Review.

#### FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

The U.S dollar has significantly improved against most foreign currencies than the Department of Defense predicted when it submitted its fiscal year 2001 budget. Accordingly, the Committee recommends reductions to the following appropriations due to these favorable fluctuations in exchange rates:

Military Construction, Army .....	-\$635,000
Military Construction, Navy .....	-2,889,000
Military Construction, Defense-Wide .....	-7,115,000
Family Housing, Army .....	-19,911,000
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps .....	-1,071,000
Family Housing, Air Force .....	-12,231,000
Total .....	-\$43,852,000

#### REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The Committee believes that there is a need to clarify the rules for military construction and family housing reprogrammings. A project or account (including the sub-elements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the appropriation request is considered to be a congressional interest item. A prior approval reprogramming is required for any increase to an item that has been specifically reduced by the Congress. Consequently, there can be no below threshold reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced by the Congress.

Furthermore, in instances where a prior approval reprogramming request for a project or account has been approved by the Committee, the amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item).

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION

The Committee is concerned the inordinate expenditures associated with improving and maintaining historically significant properties will eventually overburden the Defense Department's housing and maintenance accounts. As required by the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, the Department must manage those units listed on the National Historic Register, as well as any units that meet the criteria of being potentially eligible for listing, in a way that preserves their historic significance and integrity. As a result, operation and maintenance costs of historic properties are, on average, two to three times the cost of a non-historic property.

In the future, the costs associated with maintaining historic properties will escalate as the number of properties eligible for placement on the National Historic Register continues to grow. For instance, the Army and Navy have 203,817 buildings and structures in their current inventory, which will become eligible for the National Historic Register over the next 20 to 30 years. The Air Force is unable to provide this data.

The Committee believes that innovative funding and operating methods should be pursued by the Department in order to reduce costs and improve care of historic properties. Alternative funding sources and methods that may be explored include establishing a trust fund, expanding gift acceptance authority, seeking sponsors, and leasing to third parties for the maintenance of these properties. The Committee directs the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations to submit a report no later than March 30, 2001 on the development of innovative initiatives and future plans that can help reduce costs and improve maintenance of historic properties.

## JOINT USE FACILITIES

The Committee supports joint use of facilities between the various components of the Defense Department. Joint use facilities can optimize military construction and operation and maintenance funds while enhancing joint training and the total force concept. However, only the Reserve Components currently have a formal process for reviewing military construction projects for joint use potential and that process is not rigorously applied. As such, it appears opportunities for the benefits of joint use facilities may be missed. To ensure joint use construction is considered when the Department assesses facilities needs, the Committee directs that any Form 1390/1391 which is presented as justification include a certification by the Secretary concerned that the proposed project has been considered for joint use potential, a recommendation for either joint use or unilateral construction, and the reason(s) for that recommendation if joint use is not recommended. The certification may be delegated not lower than the Assistant Secretary responsible for the project. This review/certification is to be reviewed by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) during the budget review to ensure impartial review.

## TRANSFER AUTHORITY

The budget request proposed a general provision which would allow the transfer of up to \$67,000,000 between any accounts in the bill, and this could be accomplished at the determination of the Secretary of Defense and upon the approval of OMB. Congress would be given an "after the fact" notification. The Committee believes that the existing reprogramming procedures are sufficient in solving urgent, high priority funding problems within available resources and denies this request.

## PAINT

The Committee is aware that innovations in paint are occurring on an almost daily basis. The Committee is concerned that the Services might not be taking advantage of such innovations which have the ability to prolong the useful life of buildings, promote energy conservation, and even reduce the impact of fires by utilizing fire resistant paint. Therefore, the Committee directs the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations to review current military specifications and costs for paint to determine if the use of higher quality paints can, in fact, be more economical over the life cycle of the activity. In addition, this review should include an examination of the attributes of fire resistant paint in regard to its potential use as a life saving material and the costs in comparison to current materials specified in federal standards. The Committee expects a report on the findings no later than March 30, 2001.

## RECYCLED FOUNDRY SAND

The Committee encourages the Corps of Engineers and the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) to utilize recycled foundry sand in military construction projects where economically feasible. It is the Committee's understanding that foundry sand meets the Federal Specifications for construction. Further, the

Committee directs the Corps and NAVFAC to report back to the Committee on the prior and potential use of foundry sand in military construction no later than March 30, 2001.

#### REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The Department is directed to continue to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget submissions. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past two years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

#### REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE: REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee continues to expect the general rules for repairing a facility under Operation and Maintenance account funding will be as follows:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.

Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$10,000,000.

#### PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987, (Public Law 100-119), the term "Program, Project and Activity" will continue to be defined as the appropriation account.

#### PLANNING AND BUDGETING

The Committee relies on officials in the Department of Defense to provide the most honest assessment of competing facilities needs, based on the most informed judgment of military requirements. The Committee understands and supports the process the Department employs to identify requirements, to prioritize those requirements, and to live within budgetary constraints. It is the view of the Committee that the best way to accomplish this task is to have a disciplined long-range planning process, with annual adjustments to meet changing circumstances. The Committee supports efforts within the Services and within the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to formulate and present a coherent Future Years Defense Plan at the project level of detail, and encourages efforts to reconcile annual adjustments in this plan.

## METRIC CONVERSION

The Committee directs the Comptroller of the Department of Defense to assure that any Form 1390/1391 which is presented as justification in metric measurement shall include parenthetically the English measurement.

## PERMANENT PARTY UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING

The Department of Defense estimates that 42 percent of the enlisted force and 27 percent of the officers are single or unaccompanied personnel. Although 30 percent live in private off-base housing, the Department has over 416,463 men and women living in permanent party unaccompanied personnel housing. Approximately one-half of the barracks were built 30 or more years ago, with an average age of over 30 years. And, over 46,500 spaces are still serviced by gang latrines and approximately 60,900 additional spaces are considered substandard and continuous maintenance is necessary to deal with such problems as asbestos, corroded pipes, inadequate ventilation, faulty heating and cooling systems, and peeling lead-based paint.

In fiscal year 1997, the respective Services deficit count due to the lack of barracks spaces to house single service members or the need to replace or improve current spaces was 238,000. As a result of the Congressional initiative to accelerate the barracks revitalization effort, current deficit estimates have been reduced to 114,000 single service members. The Department of Defense estimates current total costs to achieve desired end states at \$7,643,000,000, as compared to \$14,280,000,000 in fiscal year 1997. And, the timetable to accomplish the revitalization has decreased from over twenty years to thirteen years.

The Committee understands that improving troop housing does not lie solely in new construction and renovations. Retiring the backlog of maintenance and repair, which is under the jurisdiction of the Defense Subcommittee, and an adequate funding commitment to prevent future backlogs plays an important role in this process. It is necessary to use many different approaches to help meet the unaccompanied housing need. The challenge is for a sustained overall commitment, at funding levels that will reduce the backlog of substandard spaces, reduce the housing deficits, and increase the quality of living conditions in a reasonable period of time.

## FISCAL YEAR 2001 BARRACKS REQUEST

The Department of Defense has requested \$668,025,000 to construct or modernize 38 barracks in fiscal year 2001. The Committee has approved the request of \$668,025,000 in full. In order to help alleviate the unaccompanied housing deficit, an additional \$90,615,000 is recommended. The total recommended appropriation for unaccompanied housing in this bill is \$758,640,000.

The following troop housing construction projects are recommended for fiscal year 2001:

## FISCAL YEAR 2001 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS

Location	Request	Recommended
<b>Army:</b>		
California-Fort Irwin .....	\$31,000,000	\$31,000,000
California-Presidio of Monterey .....	0	2,600,000
Georgia-Fort Benning .....	24,000,000	24,000,000
Georgia-Fort Stewart .....	26,000,000	26,000,000
Hawaii-Schofield Barracks .....	46,400,000	46,400,000
Hawaii-Wheeler Army Air Field .....	43,800,000	43,800,000
Kansas-Fort Riley .....	15,000,000	15,000,000
Kentucky-Fort Campbell .....	9,400,000	9,400,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg .....	26,000,000	26,000,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg .....	45,600,000	45,600,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg .....	38,600,000	38,600,000
Germany-Bamberg .....	7,800,000	7,800,000
Germany-Bamberg .....	3,850,000	3,850,000
Germany-Darmstadt .....	5,700,000	5,700,000
Germany-Darmstadt .....	5,600,000	5,600,000
Germany-Mannheim .....	4,050,000	4,050,000
Korea-Camp Carroll .....	0	10,000,000
Korea-Camp Hovey .....	0	26,000,000
Korea-Camp Hovey .....	0	9,950,000
Korea-Camp Humphreys .....	14,200,000	14,200,000
Korea-Camp Page .....	19,500,000	19,500,000
Kwajalein-Kwajalein Atoll .....	18,000,000	18,000,000
Subtotal, Army .....	384,500,000	433,050,000
<b>Navy/Marine Corps:</b>		
California-Lemoore Naval Air Station .....	8,260,000	8,260,000
California-Twenty-nine Palms .....	0	21,770,000
District of Columbia-Washington Marine Barracks .....	17,197,000	17,197,000
Hawaii-Kaneohe Bay Marine Corps Base .....	18,400,000	18,400,000
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Station .....	16,500,000	16,500,000
Illinois-Great Lakes Naval Training Center .....	37,000,000	37,000,000
Illinois-Great Lakes Naval Training Center .....	37,700,000	37,700,000
North Carolina-Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base .....	14,300,000	14,300,000
Virginia-Norfolk Naval Shipyard .....	16,100,000	16,100,000
CONUS Various .....	11,500,000	11,500,000
Italy-Naples Naval Support Activity .....	15,000,000	15,000,000
Subtotal, Navy .....	191,957,000	213,727,000
<b>Air Force:</b>		
Alaska-Eielson AFB .....	14,540,000	14,540,000
Alaska-Elmendorf AFB .....	15,920,000	15,920,000
Colorado-Peterson AFB .....	11,000,000	11,000,000
Florida-Eglin AFB .....	5,600,000	5,600,000
Louisiana-Barksdale AFB .....	6,390,000	6,390,000
Oklahoma-Tinker AFB .....	5,800,000	5,800,000
Oklahoma-Tinker AFB .....	0	8,745,000
Texas-Lackland AFB .....	5,500,000	5,500,000
Utah-Hill AFB .....	0	11,550,000
Virginia-Langley AFB .....	7,470,000	7,470,000
Italy-Aviano AB .....	8,000,000	8,000,000
Korea-Osan AB .....	11,348,000	11,348,000
Subtotal, Air Force .....	91,568,000	111,863,000
Total .....	668,025,000	758,640,000

## CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The Committee has recommended an additional \$25,830,000 above the budget estimate of \$17,040,000 for a total appropriation

of \$42,870,000 for new construction, or improvements, for child development centers. The Committee notes that there are currently around 800 child development centers run by DoD on military bases. These centers have the capacity to care for about 60,000 children. According to DoD estimates, an additional 256,000 child care spaces (either in centers or in family day care) are necessary to meet the needs of military families. The demand for additional spaces in the child development centers is severe and the majority of parents face long waiting lists. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a plan to the Committee for the creation of an additional 25,000 child care spaces through constructing child development centers over the next five years. This report should be submitted to the Committee no later than February 15, 2001.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 2001:

FISCAL YEAR 2001 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

Location	Request	Recommended
<b>Army:</b>		
Arizona-Fort Huachuca .....	0	\$3,350,000
Arkansas-Pine Bluff Arsenal .....	0	2,750,000
Kansas-Fort Riley .....	0	5,600,000
Germany-Kaiserslautern .....	\$3,400,000	3,400,000
Subtotal, Army .....	3,400,000	15,100,000
<b>Navy:</b>		
California-Lemoore Naval Air Station .....	0	2,500,000
Florida-Jacksonville Naval Air Station .....	0	1,400,000
Florida-Panama City Naval Coastal Systems Center .....	0	1,000,000
Illinois-Great Lakes Naval Training Center .....	0	3,400,000
North Carolina-Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base .....	4,420,000	4,420,000
Guam-Guam Naval Activities .....	0	1,000,000
Subtotal, Navy .....	4,420,000	13,720,000
<b>Air Force:</b>		
District of Columbia-Bolling AFB .....	4,520,000	4,520,000
Texas-Lackland AFB .....	0	4,830,000
Subtotal, Air Force .....	4,520,000	9,350,000
<b>Defense-Wide:</b>		
Pennsylvania-Susquehanna Defense Distribution Depot .....	4,700,000	4,700,000
Subtotal, Defense-Wide .....	4,700,000	4,700,000
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>17,040,000</b>	<b>42,870,000</b>

HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

The budget request includes \$180,887,000 for 13 projects and for unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical support facilities, including both treatment facilities and medical support facilities. The Committee has recommended a total appropriation of \$141,237,000 for hospital and medical facilities in this bill. This is \$39,650,000 below the budget estimate. The Committee notes the deferral of the Medical/Dental Facility Replacement at

the Naples Naval Support Activity in Italy due to the uncertainty of the legality of the land acquisition, zoning, and building permits.

The following hospital and medical facilities are recommended for fiscal year 2001:

Location	Project title	Request	Recommended
Alaska—Fort Wainwright .....	Hospital Replacement (Phase II) .....	\$44,000,000	\$44,000,000
California—Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Fleet Hospital Ops/Training Command Support Fac	2,900,000	2,900,000
California—Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Horno) .....	3,950,000	3,950,000
California—Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Las Flores) .....	3,550,000	3,550,000
California—Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Las Pulgas) .....	3,750,000	3,750,000
California—Edwards AFB .....	Medical Clinic Addition/Dental Clinic Alteration .....	17,900,000	17,900,000
Florida—Eglin AFB .....	Add/Alter Hospital/Life Safety Upgrade .....	37,600,000	37,600,000
Florida—Patrick AFB .....	Medical Clinic .....	2,700,000	2,700,000
Florida—Tyndall AFB .....	Add/Alter Medical Clinic .....	7,700,000	7,700,000
New York—Fort Drum .....	Veterinary Treatment Facility .....	1,400,000	1,400,000
Texas—Fort Bliss .....	Laboratory Renovation .....	0	4,200,000
Germany—Kitzingen .....	Health/Dental Clinic Life Safety Upgrade .....	1,400,000	1,400,000
Germany—Wiesbaden AB .....	Add/Alter Health/Dental Clinic .....	7,187,000	7,187,000
Italy—Naples Naval Support Activity.	Medical/Dental Facility Replacement .....	43,850,000	0
Various .....	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	3,000,000	3,000,000
Total .....	.....	180,887,000	141,237,000

#### ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The total budget request and appropriation for 8 projects needed to meet environmental compliance is \$25,660,000. The Federal Facilities Compliance Act requires all federal facilities to meet both federal and State standards. These projects are considered Class I violations and are out of compliance; have received an enforcement action from the Environmental Protection Agency, the State, or local authority; and/or a compliance agreement has been signed or consent order received. Environmental projects that are Class I violations are required to be funded, and therefore are placed at the top of the priority list. The Committee has approved the budget request in full. The total appropriation for environmental compliance projects in this bill is \$25,660,000.

Following is a listing of all environmental compliance projects funded in this bill:

Installation	Project title	Request	Recommended
Navy:			
Washington: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard.	Oily Wastewater Collection .....	\$6,600,000	\$6,600,000
Air Force:			
Alaska: Cape Romanzof .....	Generator Fuel Storgae .....	3,900,000	3,900,000
Alaska: Eielson AFB .....	Hazardous Material Storage .....	1,450,000	1,450,000
California: Beale AFB .....	Water Treatment Plant .....	3,800,000	3,800,000
California: Vandenberg AFB ..	Upgrade Water Distribution System .....	4,650,000	4,650,000
Georgia: Moody AFB .....	Water Treatment Plant .....	2,500,000	2,500,000
Turkey: Incirlik Air Base .....	Fire Training Facility .....	1,000,000	1,000,000
Air National Guard			
Arkansas: Fort Smith .....	Fire Training Facility .....	1,760,000	1,760,000
Total .....	.....	25,660,000	25,660,000

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$1,042,033,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	897,938,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	869,950,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	-172,083,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	-27,988,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$869,950,000 for Military Construction, Army for fiscal year 2001. This is a decrease of \$27,988,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and a decrease of \$172,083,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2000. Absent the transfer of \$175,400,000 for the Chemical Demilitarization Program from the "Military Construction, Army" account to the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account, the Committee recommends an increase of \$147,412,000 above the Military Construction, Army budget request.

## CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

The budget request proposes that a total of \$175,400,000 should be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Army" account for chemical demilitarization facilities. As in prior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account, in order to facilitate the tracking of expenses for the Chemical Demilitarization Program, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army's military construction program. It is the Committee's view that this is an accounting decision, and that it will have no impact on the operation of the program or on administrative overhead expenses within the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

ALABAMA-ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: POWERTRAIN/FLEXIBLE  
MAINTENANCE CENTER

Within the additional funds provided for planning and design, the Army is directed to complete design of the Powertrain/Flexible Maintenance Center at Anniston Army Depot in Alabama and include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2002 budget request.

KENTUCKY-BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT: CONSOLIDATED SHIPPING  
CENTER

The Army is directed to accelerate the design of the Consolidated Shipping Center at the Bluegrass Army Depot, and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2002 budget request.

## NEW YORK: U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY: MULTIMEDIA LEARNING CENTERS

Within funds provided for unspecified minor construction, the Committee directs the Army to execute a project in the amount of \$500,000 to provide Multimedia Learning Centers at the United States Military Academy in New York. This project is a pilot test of technologically modern study environments for use at the military academies. These centers will substantially facilitate student learning, research, and academic project development through di-

rect connection to all Academy network services, the Internet, and the National Digital Library being developed by the Library of Congress.

VIRGINIA-FORT BELVOIR: ARMY MUSEUM

Within ninety days of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army is directed to report to the Committee as to whether Fort Belvoir in Virginia is an appropriate site for the National Museum of the United States Army.

WASHINGTON-FORT LEWIS: VANCOUVER BARRACKS

Within the additional funds provided for unspecified minor construction, the Army is directed to provide no less than \$1,500,000 for the stabilization and layaway work of the Vancouver Barracks at Fort Lewis in Washington.

BELGIUM: BARRACKS

The Committee is aware there is a deficit of barracks spaces for U.S. personnel assigned to SHAPE in Mons, Belgium and the Chievres Air Base in Belgium. In order to provide sufficient space to accommodate unaccompanied soldiers assigned to these installations, the Army is directed to accelerate the design of barracks projects and include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2002 budget request.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$901,531,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	753,422,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	891,380,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	- 10,151,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	+137,958,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$891,380,000 for Military Construction, Navy for fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of \$137,958,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and a decrease of \$10,151,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

NAVY HOMEPORT ASHORE PROGRAM

The Committee commends the Navy for its Homeport Ashore Program to provide unaccompanied E-1 through E-4s, who currently live aboard ship when in homeport, decent accommodations, either in a BEQ or in the community. Conditions aboard ship are the worst throughout the Department of Defense, sleeping in bunk beds in cramped spaces with dozens of shipmates, and only a small locker to store their personal belongings. When these ships return to homeport, sailors continue to sleep aboard. The Committee looks forward to reviewing the implementation plan and to working with the Navy to accomplish this very needed quality of life initiative.

CALIFORNIA-LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION: QUALITY OF LIFE AND  
WORK SPACE CONDITIONS

Earlier this year, the Navy provided a report to the Committee regarding its efforts to enhance and improve the quality of life and living conditions at the Lemoore Naval Air Station (NAS) in California. The Committee appreciates the efforts the Navy has made regarding the development of an Infrastructure Improvement Plan, which provides for a significant investment in Lemoore's aviation, housing, and recreation facilities while serving as a road map for future improvements. While the Navy has made significant strides to improve all aspects of quality of life at the Lemoore NAS, the Committee is still concerned and interested in the quality of life projects, the working conditions, and the pilot retention rates at the base. Considering the costs of training these pilots and maintaining the best quality of life for our sailors and their families at Lemoore NAS, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to report to the Committee by March 15, 2001 regarding execution of the Infrastructure Improvement Plan for Lemoore NAS. This report should also include any changes or modifications that have been made to the plan and the reasons therefor.

CALIFORNIA-NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: TRANSPORTATION  
INFRASTRUCTURE

The North Island Naval Air Station has expanded rapidly over the past 20 years. As the Naval presence has increased, so have the number of people traveling to and from the base. However, the Committee is aware the transportation infrastructure has not kept pace with the growth of military activity or personnel at the site. The Committee directs the Navy to begin design of a project to alleviate traffic flow problems at North Island NAS within the additional amount provided for planning and design. In addition, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to report to the Committee no later than September 15, 2000 on the Navy's plan to address this issue.

VIRGINIA-QUANTICO MCCDC: INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

The Military Construction Appropriations Act for 1997 (Public Law 104-196) provided \$8,930,000 for a sanitary landfill at the Quantico Marine Corps Combat Development Command (MCCDC) in Virginia. The sanitary landfill project is no longer a Marine Corps requirement. The Committee supports the efforts of the House Armed Services Committee for the extension of authorization and modification of authorization to ensure these funds are expended on infrastructure improvements at Quantico MCCDC. The Committee believes this project is needed for continued growth and development of the installation.

PUERTO RICO-ROOSEVELT ROADS NAVAL STATION

More than 40 years ago, the Navy acquired land abutting Roosevelt Roads Naval Station within the Municipality of Ceiba, Puerto Rico. Concerned that this land has never been utilized and aware of detailed proposals by the Municipality of Ceiba to utilize the unused land, the Committee directed the Navy to report on

plans for taking appropriate cooperative actions for land utilization in fiscal year 1998. Because the actions taken by the Navy were unresponsive to this directive, in fiscal year 2000, the Committee directed the Navy to report by January 15, 2000, on a plan and development schedule agreeable to both the Navy and the Municipality of Ceiba to resolve this issue.

In a letter dated January 27, 2000, the Navy claimed that the Mayor of Ceiba had not presented formal proposals for joint utilization of the land. The Committee recognizes that the Mayor has presented several detailed proposals and has made continuous efforts to work with the Navy to find a mutually acceptable solution. On the other hand, developments have arisen in Puerto Rico which may have impact on the future mission of the Naval Station, and the Navy is reluctant to go forward with the land issue until these matters are resolved. The Committee is also aware of a meeting between the Mayor of Ceiba and representatives from Roosevelt Roads Naval Station subsequent to the January 27, 2000 letter, during which steps were taken toward realization of a mutually acceptable utilization plan.

To augment this progress, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to present within 90 days of enactment of this Act a report outlining (1) the options available for development of the land abutting Roosevelt Roads, taking into consideration the impact of any possible change in mission at the base, (2) a timetable, which the Navy should develop in conjunction with the Municipality of Ceiba, for the disposition of the land at issue under the presumption that the mission of the base will not change, and (3) actions to be taken by the Department to work closely and cooperatively with the Municipality of Ceiba to resolve this issue.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$777,238,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	530,969,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	703,903,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	-73,335,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	+172,934,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$703,903,000 for Military Construction, Air Force for fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of 172,934,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and a decrease of \$73,335,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

MARYLAND-ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE: ADD/ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

The Committee is aware there is a serious need to replace the deteriorated lines and add additional power to the east side of Andrews AFB. The existing substation is 38 years old and the circuit breakers are obsolete and no longer manufactured. There is no additional electrical capacity available, resulting in unreliable power and limiting development or improvements to important quality of life and operational facilities. As recent as November 1999, a partial power failure on the existing feeder for the entire airfield was experienced. This emergency was corrected with O&M funding at

a cost of \$631,000, which affected only a small portion of the distribution system. Although emergency repairs were made, it did not provide a redundant source for the airfield feeder. Due to the dire need of replacement, the Committee urges the Air Force to seek emergency construction funding for this project.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$593,615,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	784,753,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	800,314,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	+206,699,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	+15,561,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$800,314,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide for fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of \$15,561,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2001 and an increase of \$206,699,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

#### ARMED FORCES INSTITUTE OF PATHOLOGY

The Committee remains concerned that the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) continues to fail health safety codes and requires renovation. Pursuant to the conference report accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for 2000 (Public Law 106-65), the Secretary of Defense was to submit with the fiscal year 2001 budget submission a report on alternative methods for improving AFIP, including private funding and lease-back. To date, this report has not been submitted. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to accelerate the design of this project and include the required construction funding in the fiscal year 2002 budget request.

#### FORWARD OPERATING LOCATIONS

Funding for planning and design, and construction related to the establishment of forward operating locations in Ecuador, Curacao and Aruba is contained in the Fiscal Year 2000 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, H.R. 3908, as passed by the House on March 30, 2000.

#### DODEA-SHAPE SCHOOL FACILITIES

The Committee is concerned about the overcrowding and substandard conditions of SHAPE American High School and Elementary School in Mons, Belgium. These schools have been identified by a recent Department of Defense Education Activity study as among the worst facility conditions throughout the Department of Defense Dependents school system. The SHAPE school facilities are in need of expansion and modernization to reach the defense-wide goal of an 18 to 1 student-ratio. The unique blend of American and international students at the SHAPE schools presents a challenge in funding the upgrades to these facilities. The Committee recommends that the SHAPE schools be placed on a priority list to expedite the modernization and expansion of these facilities.

ITALY-NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITY  
REPLACEMENT

The Committee denies the request of \$43,850,000 for the purchase of a replacement hospital in Naples, Italy without prejudice. The Italian court issued a sequestration order on all construction at the Gricignano Support Site in February. Due to the uncertainty of the legality of the land acquisition, zoning, and building permits, it does not seem prudent to appropriate funds for the purchase of the hospital at this time. The Committee notes that this action does not impact the current lease arrangement for this facility.

BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION

The Department has requested a total of \$103,581,000 to provide facilities needed for the development and deployment of ballistic missile defense systems. This includes \$14,729,000 for planning and design, \$3,694,000 for unspecified minor construction, and \$85,095,000 for major construction. The Committee notes that this is the first phase of a \$488,590,000 construction program for the initial deployment facilities. The Committee is concerned about the major construction request of \$85,095,000 due to the fact that a decision to go forward with this program has not been made, a site has not been selected, and specific project justification is not available. This creates serious concerns about the Department's ability to execute the full amount of the request during fiscal year 2001. Therefore, the Committee has reduced the major construction request by \$20,000,000 without prejudice. The "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account is carrying approximately \$334,000,000 in unobligated balances. Should this additional \$20,000,000 be necessary, the Committee will entertain a reprogramming request for the funds. Further, to address the Committee's concerns over a "System-Level" justification, with one single DD Form 1391, the Director of the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization is to notify the Committee of specific projects with detailed justification thirty days prior to obligation of the funds.

CHEMICAL WEAPONS DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

The budget request includes a total of \$175,400,000 for the following funding increments of the chemical weapons demilitarization program for fiscal year 2001:

State	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended	
Arkansas	Pine Bluff Arsenal ...	Ammunition Phase V.	Demilitarization Facility,	\$43,600,000	\$43,600,000
Colorado	Pueblo Depot Activity	Ammunition Phase II.	Demilitarization Facility,	10,700,000	10,700,000
Indiana	Newport Army Am- munition Plant.	Ammunition Phase III.	Demilitarization Facility,	54,400,000	54,400,000
Kentucky	Bluegrass Army Depot.	Ammunition Phase II.	Demilitarization Facility,	8,500,000	8,500,000
Maryland	Aberdeen Proving Ground.	Ammunition Phase II.	Demilitarization Facility,	45,700,000	45,700,000
Maryland	Aberdeen Proving Ground.	Munitions Assessment/Processing Systems Fac.		3,100,000	3,100,000
Oregon	Umatilla Depot Activ- ity.	Ammunition Phase VI.	Demilitarization Facility,	9,400,000	9,400,000

State	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Total			175,400,000	175,400,000

The budget request proposes that these amounts should be appropriated under the “Military Construction, Army” account. As in prior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be appropriated under the “Military Construction, Defense-wide” account, in order to facilitate the tracking of expenses for the Chemical Demilitarization Program, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army’s military construction program.

The following chart displays the scope of the military construction investment in the overall chemical demilitarization program:

**CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS**  
 [Current year dollars in millions/fiscal year]

Project	Fiscal years—							Total
	1999 and prior	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
PM-Chem Demil Training Facility	16.10							16.10
Tooele, UT Facility	198.00							198.00
Anniston, AL Facility	174.20	7.00						181.20
Umatilla, OR Facility	168.60	25.90	9.40					203.90
Pine Bluff, AR Facility	68.00	49.80	43.60					161.40
Pueblo, CO Facility	6.30		10.70	80.50	83.40	10.90		191.80
Blue Grass, KY Facility		2.00	8.50	20.00	78.00	87.00	10.00	205.50
Aberdeen, MD Facility	28.40	53.50	45.70	51.60				179.20
Newport, IN Facility	13.50	35.90	54.40	78.00				181.80
MAPS Facility			3.10					3.10
Planning & Design	114.50							114.50
<b>Total</b>	<b>787.60</b>	<b>174.10</b>	<b>175.40</b>	<b>230.10</b>	<b>161.40</b>	<b>97.90</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>1,636.50</b>

The following chart displays the timetable and the milestones for completion of the chemical demilitarization program:

**CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM TIMETABLE AND MILESTONES**

Location	Start of construction	Start of systemization <sup>4</sup>	Operations
Johnston Atoll <sup>1</sup>			3QFY90–2QFY01
Tooele, UT		4QFY93	4QFY96–4QFY03
Anniston, AL	3QFY97	1QFY01	2QFY02–1QFY06
Umatilla, OR	3QFY97	1QFY01	2QFY02–3QFY05
Pine Bluff, AR	2QFY99	1QFY02	4QFY03–1QFY07
Pueblo, CO <sup>2</sup>			
Blue Grass, KY <sup>2</sup>			
Aberdeen, MD <sup>3</sup>	3QFY00	3QFY02	3QFY05–3QFY06
Newport, IN <sup>3</sup>	3QFY00	4QFY02	3QFY04–1QFY05

<sup>1</sup> Full scale operations began 2QFY94.  
<sup>2</sup> Schedule on hold pending technology selection.  
<sup>3</sup> Schedule represents employment of neutralization based technologies. This data represents construction of main building (Chem Demil Building). Administrative buildings have been completed.  
<sup>4</sup> Some systemization activities overlap with the construction phase. This date is when construction is substantially complete.

**ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM**

The Committee denies the budget request of \$33,570,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program and notes that there is \$39,500,000 in unobligated balances in this account.

## PENTAGON BUILDING CONTROL SYSTEMS

The Committee directs the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations to ensure that the ongoing renovation of the Pentagon includes the most up to date technology to best automate its building control systems. These systems monitor and control functions such as heating, ventilation, air conditioning, lighting, fire alarms and power monitoring and will result in reduced maintenance and operation costs in the future. The Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations is encouraged to use unobligated funds in the Energy Conservation Improvement Program for this purpose.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$695,381,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	221,976,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	458,394,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	- 236,987,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	+236,418,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$458,394,000 for Military Construction, Reserve Components for fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of \$236,418,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and a decrease of \$236,987,000 below the total appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve Component is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of Military Construction, as follows:

Component	Request	Recommended
Army National Guard .....	\$59,130,000	\$137,603,000
Air National Guard .....	50,179,000	110,585,000
Army Reserve .....	81,713,000	115,854,000
Naval Reserve .....	16,103,000	50,604,000
Air Force Reserve .....	14,851,000	43,748,000
Total .....	221,976,000	458,394,000

## ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

## ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT—BACKLOG

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army and the Director of the Army National Guard to continue to make a joint report annually on the current backlog of facilities requirements of the Army National Guard to be submitted concurrently with the annual budget request.

## ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT—ARMORY INFRASTRUCTURE

The Secretary of the Army, the Director of the National Guard Bureau, and the Director of the Army National Guard are directed to continue to report jointly to the Committee by January 1, 2001 on the status of armory infrastructure.

## FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PLAN

It is the Committee's view that section 123 of Public Law 104-196 constitutes a continuing permanent requirement for the Army National Guard and the Air National Guard to present the Future Years Defense Plan to Congress concurrent with the President's budget submission for each fiscal year. The Committee will expect subsequent submissions of the Future Years Defense Plan to include explanatory notes justifying any modification of prior year plans.

## CALIFORNIA-RIDGECREST: READINESS CENTER

The Army National Guard is directed to complete design of the Readiness Center in Ridgecrest, California and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2002 budget request.

## CALIFORNIA-CAMP SAN LUIS OBISPO

The Committee directs the Army National Guard to begin planning and design of the Consolidated Dining Facility and Organizational Maintenance Shop at Camp San Luis Obispo, California, and to include the necessary funding for these facilities in the fiscal year 2002 budget submission.

## CALIFORNIA-WOODLAND: READINESS CENTER

Within the funds provided for planning and design, the Army National Guard is directed to complete design of the Readiness Center in Woodland, California and include the required construction funding in the fiscal year 2002 budget submission.

## CALIFORNIA-SACRAMENTO READINESS CENTER

The Army National Guard is directed to accelerate the design of the readiness center in Sacramento, California and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2002 budget request.

## IOWA-ESTHERVILLE: READINESS CENTER

The Committee directs the Army National Guard to begin planning and design of the Readiness Center at Estherville, Iowa, and to include the necessary funding for this project in the fiscal year 2002 budget submission.

## IOWA-FAIRFIELD: READINESS CENTER ADDITION

Within the additional funds provided for unspecified minor construction, the Army National Guard is directed to provide no less than \$1,066,000 for an addition to the readiness center at Fairfield, Iowa.

## MICHIGAN-CALUMET: READINESS CENTER ADA IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee is concerned over the lack of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act at the Calumet, Michigan Readiness Center. The existing armory was built in 1918 and does not have ADA accessibility. Therefore, the Army National Guard is directed to begin planning and design of these improvements, and to

include the necessary funding for this project in the fiscal year 2002 budget submission.

MICHIGAN: MIDLAND: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP

The budget proposed the construction of an organizational maintenance shop in Midland, Michigan. Due to an unexpected unit activation in Augusta, Michigan, the Army National Guard has requested the project be changed to that location. The activation was announced after the budget was submitted. The Committee has recommended the location change. The original project cost of \$3,600,000 remains the same.

MINNESOTA-CAMP RIPLEY: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP  
(PHASE II)

Authorization in the amount of \$10,368,000 for second phase of the Combined Support Maintenance Shop at Camp Ripley in Minnesota is contained in the National Defense Authorization Act for 2000 (Public Law 106-65).

ARMY RESERVE

CALIFORNIA-LOS ALAMITOS: JOINT HEADQUARTERS BUILDING

The Army Reserve is directed to accelerate the design of the Joint Headquarters Building in Los Alamitos, California and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2002 budget request.

LOUISIANA-NEW ORLEANS: USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL  
MAINTENANCE SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE

The Committee denies funding for the Army Reserve Center in New Orleans, Louisiana in the amount of \$10,375,000 and instead re-directs this amount for the first phase of a Joint Reserve Center to be located at the New Orleans Naval Air Station which will include the Army Reserve. This is in line with the Department's and Committee's emphasis on joint use of facilities. A multi-service reserve center can dramatically increase deployment, mobilization and training capabilities by: (1) offering immediate access to a major military airfield that already houses joint reserve forces and can accommodate any aircraft in the current military and commercial inventory; (2) providing access to a current major rail line and Mississippi River port facilities as well as joint air and base training capabilities; and, (3) providing the capability to consolidate, on a major military airbase, the majority of guard and reserve forces in the area.

UTAH: S.A. DOUGLAS ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER: PARKING AND  
SITE IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee directs the Army Reserve to execute a project to provide parking and site improvements at the S. A. Douglas Armed Forces Reserve Center in Utah using funds available for unspecified minor construction. The estimated cost of this project is \$700,000.

## NAVAL RESERVE

MASSACHUSETTS-WESTOVER AFRB: MARINE RESERVE TRAINING  
FACILITY

The Military Construction Appropriations Act for fiscal year 1998 (Public Law 105-45) provided funding for the renovation of Building 1900 at the Westover Air Force Reserve Base in Massachusetts. The project was to provide the Marine Corps Reserve with a training facility at that location. After renovation on Building 1900 started, asbestos and many other environmental problems with the building were uncovered. Due to the escalating costs, the Marine Corps Reserve halted the renovation project and believes it is now more cost efficient to build a new facility. The Committee recommends funding for a new facility in this bill. Additionally, the Committee rescinds \$2,400,000 from the "Military Construction, Naval Reserve" account. These are the funds which remain unobligated and available for rescission from the original renovation project.

## AIR FORCE RESERVE

## FLORIDA-HOMESTEAD ARS: ADD/ALTER FIRE STATION

The Committee has recommended an additional \$2,000,000 for the completion of this project. The Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000 (Public Law 106-52) contained \$2,950,000 for the first phase of this project and authorization is contained in the National Defense Authorization Act, 2000 (Public Law 106-65).

## NEW YORK-NIAGARA FALLS IAP ARS: VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS

The Air Force Reserves is directed to accelerate the planning and design of the Visiting Officers Quarters at the Niagara Falls International Airport and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2002 budget request.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT  
PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$81,000,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	190,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	177,500,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	+96,500,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	- 12,500,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$177,500,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (NSIP). This is a decrease of \$12,500,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 2001 and an increase of \$96,500,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

The Committee notes that the actual fiscal year 2000 requirement for the NATO Security Investment Program was \$172,000,000. Of this amount, \$91,000,000 was provided by the Fiscal Year 1999 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act (Public Law 106-31).

For fiscal year 2001, the NATO nations have agreed to a funding level of about \$730,000,000. The U.S. requirement is based on a

cost share, which is approximately 24.7 percent. In addition to the recommended appropriation of \$177,500,000, approximately \$11,000,000 is anticipated to be available from recoupments, deobligations, and unobligated balances brought forward.

The Committee continues to support full U.S. participation in the NSIP program. The foreign currency fluctuation has increased the value of the U.S. dollar against most other NATO nation's currencies. These savings have been realized throughout other accounts in the bill and the NSIP program should be of no exception. Therefore, the Committee has reduced the budget request by \$12,500,000 and notes that this is an increase of \$5,500,000 above the current fiscal year. This funding should be sufficient to satisfy the Secretary's commitments to NATO.

The Department of Defense is directed to continue to report to the Committees on Appropriations, on a quarterly basis, the following information:

- (1) NATO nations share of construction costs based on fund authorizations;
- (2) NATO nations shares of procurement costs based on fund authorizations; and
- (3) A listing of all obligations incurred that quarter broken out by infrastructure category and procurement category. This listing should show the total project costs, the U.S. cost share and all other NATO nations cost shares.

#### NATO EXPANSION

The Committee continues the requirement that no funds will be used for projects (including planning and design) related to the enlargement of NATO and the Partnership for Peace, unless Congress is notified 21 days in advance of the obligation of funds. In addition, the Committee's intent is that Section 110 of the General Provisions shall apply to this program.

The Committee continues to carry a General Provision, Section 124, which prohibits the use of NSIP funds for any aspect of the Partnership for Peace Program in the New Independent States of the former Soviet Union.

The Department of Defense is directed to identify separately the level of effort anticipated for NATO enlargement and for Partnership for Peace for that fiscal year in future budget justifications.

#### FAMILY HOUSING

##### OVERVIEW

The Department of Defense has approximately 300,000 on-base housing units in its inventory, with an average age of 35 years. Two-thirds of the inventory is over 30 years old and requires a substantial annual investment to meet maintenance requirements. Over the years, the majority of these homes have gone without adequate maintenance and repair. And over fifty percent of the inventory, or 155,889 units, is in need of major improvements or replacement at a total cost of \$14,718,359,000.

The quality of housing for Service members and their families is a critical quality of life incentive, which attracts and retains dedicated individuals to serve in the military. However, the housing de-

iciencies are a severe disincentive to reenlistment. The Committee commends the Department for making housing one of its top priorities this year and establishing a three-pronged initiative to improve military family housing, which includes the following components:

- increasing housing allowances to eliminate the out-of-pocket costs paid by Service members for off-base housing in the United States;
- increasing reliance upon the private sector through privatization; and
- maintaining military construction funding.

The Department's Basic Allowance for Housing (BAH) plan is to completely eliminate out-of-pocket costs paid by Service members for off-base housing by 2005. The funding to achieve this initiative exceeds \$3,000,000,000 over the next five years. The Committee notes that increasing the BAH will reduce the demand for on-base housing and eliminate some of the Department's older, high-cost units and make better use of housing funds. The Committee directs the Department to closely monitor the impact of this initiative on the on-base housing requirements and ensure the Services' family housing master plans reflect the impact of the initiative.

The following chart provides a Service breakout of the current family housing deficit, both in units and in cost of new construction, replacement, improvements and deferred maintenance and repair:

Deficits (current projections)  
[Dollars in thousands]

	New construction	Replacement	Improvement	Grand total
<b>Army:</b>				
Number of Units .....	7,400	20,000	41,000	68,400
Costs .....	965,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	6,965,000
<b>Navy:</b>				
Number of Units .....	15,600	4,200	13,600	33,400
Costs .....	2,294,300	693,000	1,088,000	4,075,300
<b>Marine Corps:</b>				
Number of Units .....	10,731	5,611	6,278	22,620
Costs .....	1,622,464	960,135	370,717	2,953,316
<b>Air Force:</b>				
Number of Units .....	6,000	26,700	38,500	71,200
Costs .....	417,845	2,575,395	3,031,112	6,024,352
<b>Total DOD:</b>				
Number of Units .....	39,731	56,511	99,378	195,620
Costs .....	5,299,609	7,228,530	7,489,829	20,017,968

#### CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW

The Committee is concerned over the fiscal year 2001 budget request for family housing new construction and construction improvements of \$709,151,000. The Department has made housing one of its top priorities for fiscal year 2001, and one component of its three-pronged approach to improve military family housing is to maintain military construction funding. Yet, the budget request represents a reduction of \$24,968,000 from the fiscal year 2000 enacted level for new construction and construction improvements. The Committee strongly believes it is imperative that construction

funding levels must be maintained, along with any privatization or basic allowance for housing efforts, to help resolve the serious family housing deficits. The Committee recommends total funding of \$820,213,000 for family housing construction and improvements for fiscal year 2001, an increase of \$111,062,000 above the budget request.

#### NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 2001 request is \$287,968,000 to build 1,656 units of new family housing for all Services. This is \$91,117,000 or 24 percent, under the fiscal year 2000 enacted level. The Committee has approved all requested projects for new construction. In addition, the Committee has recommended an additional \$103,143,000 to construct 775 units of new family housing. The total appropriation for new construction is \$391,111,000. Details of the Committee's recommendations for new construction are provided in this report under the individual component accounts. The Committee expects that none of the approved projects will be reduced in scope.

It is the understanding of the Committee, that upon a 30-day notification from the Secretary of Defense, and approval of the Committee, funds appropriated for a new construction project may be transferred to the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for the purpose of a private sector pilot project at the same location.

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

A total of \$421,183,000 has been requested for post-acquisition construction for all services to improve 4,291 housing units. Post-acquisition construction is focused on modernizing existing units that are uneconomical to repair. In addition, the Committee has provided an additional \$14,350,000 for construction improvement projects which are listed in this report under the individual component accounts, to improve an additional 111 units. The total appropriation for post-acquisition construction is \$429,102,000 and will improve 4,402 units of family housing.

It is the understanding of the Committee, that upon a 30-day notification from the Secretary of Defense, and approval of the Committee, funds appropriated for a construction improvement project may be transferred to the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for the purpose of a private sector pilot project at the same location.

The Committee continues the restriction on the amount invested in improving foreign source housing units. The three-year limitation on overseas units is \$35,000. If the components intend to program improvements to specific units, which exceed \$35,000 over a period of three years, total funding should be requested in one year. The justification for each unit should identify all improvements and major maintenance work done in the past three years, and all improvements and major maintenance planned in the following three years.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2001 request for operation and maintenance expenses totals \$2,732,070,000, a decrease of \$105,544,000 from the

fiscal year 2000 enacted level. The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,698,717,000 for fiscal year 2001. These accounts provide for annual expenditures for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance and miscellaneous expenses. Of the total request for operation and maintenance, \$1,221,047,000 is for maintenance and repair of existing housing, a decrease of \$62,234,000 from fiscal year 2000 levels.

The Committee directs that any savings from foreign currency re-estimations in the family housing operation and maintenance accounts be applied for maintenance of existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on the allocation of this savings by December 1, 2000.

Expenditures from this account for general and flag officer quarters are to be reported in accordance with the guidelines previously established and reiterated later in this report. The Committee also continues the direction that the details of all other expenditures from this account which exceed \$20,000 per unit, per year for major maintenance and repair of non-general and flag officer quarters be included as part of the justification material. The general provision limiting obligations from this account to no more than 20 percent of the total in the last two months of the fiscal year is included in this year's bill.

The Committee continues the restriction on the transfer of funds between the operation and maintenance accounts. The limitation is ten percent to all primary accounts and subaccounts. Such transfers are to be reported to the Committee within thirty days of such action.

#### FAMILY HOUSING MASTER PLANS

Section 128 of the bill directs that the Army, Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force submit to the appropriate committees of Congress by June 1, 2001, a Family Housing Master Plan demonstrating how they plan to meet the Department's goal to eliminate all inadequate housing by 2010 with traditional construction, demolition, operation and maintenance support, as well as privatization initiative proposals. Each plan shall include projected life cycle costs for family housing construction, basic allowance for housing, operation and maintenance, demolition, other associated costs, and a time line for housing completions each year. The Committee commends the Air Force for recently completing its two year effort which involved installation visits to document the existing conditions of base housing units, initially assess the feasibility of housing privatization and to produce an installation plan. The Army, Navy and Marine Corps are directed to mirror the Air Force's efforts.

#### GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER QUARTERS

Last year, the Committee learned that the Navy and Air Force had in recent years supplemented family housing funds with the Services regular operations and maintenance funds on general and flag officer quarters. As a result, the Committee had no recourse but to include a provision which statutorily prohibited the mixing of operations and maintenance and family housing funds on gen-

eral and flag officer quarters. To assure there are no future occurrences of this misappropriation of funds, the Committee continues to statutorily prohibit the mixing of these funds by including a provision (Section 127) in this bill.

In order to control expenditures for high cost quarters, the existing reporting requirements for general and flag officer quarters continue in full force and effect. No more than \$25,000 per unit can be spent annually for the maintenance and repair of any general and flag officer quarters without prior notification of the appropriate committees of Congress. Out of cycle notifications are prohibited unless justified as emergencies or safety related. Additionally, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is required to submit an annual report detailing the total amount spent on operation and maintenance of individual general and flag officer quarters for the past fiscal year to the appropriate committees of Congress. Finally, the Committee continues the notification requirement when maintenance and repair costs for change in occupancy work for a unit will exceed the amount submitted in the budget justification by 25 percent or \$5,000, whichever is less.

#### GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER QUARTERS: BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

Despite the existing expense thresholds and reporting requirements, the Committee is concerned the Department's expenditures associated with maintaining general and flag officer quarters continue to rise beyond reason. The budget proposal included numerous maintenance and repair requests in excess of \$50,000 per unit for general and flag officer quarters. Of great concern to the Committee is the lack of justification material provided with these requests. Therefore, the Services' are prohibited from executing any general and flag officer quarters project in excess of \$50,000 per unit until further justification is provided to the Committee. This requirement applies to both maintenance and repair projects and construction projects.

#### LEASING REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee continues the reporting requirement for both domestic and foreign leases. For domestic leases (not funded by the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund), the Department is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter which exceed \$12,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; report the details of any new or renewal lease exceeding \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation), 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

EXCLUSION OF ASBESTOS AND LEAD-BASED PAINT REMOVAL FROM  
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR LIMITS

The Committee continues the requirement of an after-the-fact notification where asbestos and/or lead-based paint removal costs cause the maintenance and repair thresholds of \$20,000 for a military family housing unit, or \$25,000 for a General or Flag Officer Quarters, to be exceeded. The notification shall include work, scope, cost break-out and other details pertinent to asbestos and/or lead-based paint removal work and shall be reported on a semi-annual basis.

REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) also apply to new housing construction projects and to improvement projects over \$2,000,000.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$1,167,012,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	1,140,381,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	1,152,249,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	- 14,763,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	+11,868,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,152,249,000 for Family Housing, Army for fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of \$11,868,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and a decrease of \$14,763,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$115,974,000 for new construction, instead of \$91,974,000, as requested, as shown below.

Location/project	Number of units	Requested	Recommended
Army:			
Arizona-Fort Huachuca .....	110	\$16,224,000	\$16,224,000
Hawaii-Schofield Barracks .....	72	15,500,000	15,500,000
Kentucky-Fort Campbell .....	56	7,800,000	7,800,000
Kentucky-Fort Campbell .....	58	0	8,000,000
Maryland-Fort Detrick .....	48	5,600,000	5,600,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg .....	112	14,600,000	14,600,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg .....	64	0	7,400,000
South Carolina-Fort Jackson .....	1	250,000	250,000
Texas-Fort Bliss .....	64	10,200,000	10,200,000
Virginia-Fort Lee .....	51	0	8,600,000
Korea-Camp Humphreys .....	60	21,800,000	21,800,000
Subtotal, Army .....	696	91,974,000	115,974,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amount provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	Number of units	Requested	Recommended
Alaska-Fort Wainwright .....	28	\$7,200,000	\$7,200,000
California-Fort Irwin .....	28	0	4,700,000
District of Columbia-Fort McNair .....	8	1,300,000	1,300,000
Missouri-Fort Leonard Wood .....	56	0	4,150,000
New York-United States Military Academy .....	59	9,100,000	9,100,000
Virginia-Fort Belvoir .....	148	14,000,000	14,000,000
Virginia-Fort Belvoir .....	27	0	5,500,000
Germany-Ansbach .....	42	4,200,000	4,200,000
Germany-Wiesbaden AB .....	144	13,200,000	13,200,000
Germany-Wuerzburg .....	64	6,300,000	6,300,000
Germany-Heidelberg .....	276	8,200,000	8,200,000
Korea-Yongsan .....	1	90,000	90,000
Total, Army .....	881	63,590,000	77,940,000

#### FIRE SUPPRESSION

The Committee is concerned over the response of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installations and Environment to questions raised about the fire safety in the stairwell apartments in Germany. It is not acceptable to wait for future renovations to install additional smoke detectors, alarms and fire extinguishers in the common areas of stairwells. In addition, the Committee sees no reason to wait until fiscal year 2002 to include fire suppression sprinkler systems in apartment renovations in Germany. The Committee considers this fire safety issue of utmost importance and therefore directs the Secretary of the Army to ensure that smoke detectors, alarms and fire extinguishers are installed in all common areas of stairwell apartments in Germany within sixty days of enactment of this Act. And, all construction improvement projects in Germany which have previously been appropriated and under construction and those included in the fiscal year 2001 program shall be equipped with fire suppression sprinkler systems. The Committee directs the Secretary to report to the Committee on Appropriations the actions taken to correct these deficiencies within thirty days after enactment of this Act.

#### FAMILY HOUSING OFFICE—MONS, BELGIUM

The Committee is concerned over the availability of housing for U.S. personnel assigned to SHAPE in Mons, Belgium. In addition, there is no U.S. housing office to assist personnel in locating housing on the economy. U.S. personnel must go through a highly inefficient allied office that is extremely complicated and not very helpful. Therefore, the Secretary of the Army is directed to establish a housing office at SHAPE which finds suitable homes, makes arrangements with owners, and facilitates the process for U.S. personnel. In addition, this office would serve as the liaison with the SHAPE housing office on behalf of U.S. personnel.

#### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The request of \$978,275,000 has been reduced by \$6,571,000. It is the Committee's intent that the appropriation of \$397,792,000 for the maintenance of real property not be reduced. If the need arises for additional funding within the account, the Committee en-

courages the Army to submit a prior approval reprogramming request.

#### FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$1,232,541,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	1,245,460,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	1,298,792,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 total appropriation .....	+66,251,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	+53,332,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,298,792,000 for Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps for fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of \$53,332,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and an increase of \$66,251,000 above the total appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$213,720,000 for new construction, instead of \$159,317,000, as requested, as shown below.

Location/project	Number of units	Request	Recommended
Navy:			
California-Camp Pendleton .....	98	0	\$8,600,000
California-Lemoore Naval Air Station .....	160	27,768,000	27,768,000
California-Lemoore Naval Air Station .....	100	0	20,103,000
California-Twenty-nine Palms .....	79	13,923,000	13,923,000
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Complex .....	98	22,230,000	22,230,000
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Complex .....	62	14,237,000	14,237,000
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Complex .....	112	23,654,000	23,654,000
Hawaii-Kaneohe Bay Marines Corps Base .....	84	21,910,000	21,910,000
Louisiana-New Orleans Naval Complex .....	100	0	5,000,000
Maine-Brunswick Naval Air Station .....	168	18,722,000	18,722,000
Mississippi-Gulfport Naval Constr Battalion Center .....	157	0	20,700,000
Washington-Whidbey Island Naval Air Station .....	98	16,873,000	16,873,000
Subtotal, Navy .....	1,316	159,317,000	213,720,000

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amount provided for construction improvements:

Location/Project	Number of Units	Recommended
California-San Diego CNB .....	347	\$27,123,000
California-Camp Pendleton MCB .....	332	24,969,000
Connecticut-New London NSB .....	111	10,429,000
Connecticut-New London NSB .....	184	18,694,000
District of Columbia-Marine Barracks, 8th & I .....	1	223,000
District of Columbia-Marine Barracks, 8th & I .....	1	178,000
District of Columbia-Marine Barracks, 8th & I .....	1	190,000
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor CNB .....	12	2,729,000
Illinois-Great Lakes PWC .....	180	23,293,000
Maryland-US Naval Academy .....	7	2,654,000
Maryland-Patuxent River NAS .....	17	822,000
New Jersey-Lakehurst NAES .....	72	7,759,000
Tennessee-Memphis NSA .....	250	10,892,000
Virginia-Norfolk CNB .....	125	9,318,000
Virginia-Norfolk CNB .....	308	18,617,000
Washington-Whidbey Island NAS .....	28	1,851,000
Iceland-Keflavik NAS .....	44	9,016,000
Japan-Yokosuka .....	96	11,884,000

Location/Project	Number of Units	Recommended
Japan-Iwakuni MCAS .....	132	873,000
Japan-Iwakuni MCAS .....	44	2,033,000
Total, Navy .....	2,292	183,547,000

#### FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

Due to the unfavorable fluctuations in exchange rates of some foreign currencies, the Committee has provided an additional \$2,359,000 for Navy construction improvements.

#### WASHINGTON, D.C.—MARINE CORPS BARRACKS

A general provision, Section 130, is included which authorizes the use of private funds for the construction, improvement, repair, and maintenance of the historic residences located at Marine Corps Barracks, 8th and I Street, Washington, D.C. The Secretary of the Navy is to notify the appropriate committees of Congress thirty days in advance of the intended use of such funds.

#### FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$1,167,848,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	1,049,754,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	1,062,263,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	– 105,585,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	+12,509,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,062,263,000 for Family Housing, Air Force for fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of \$12,509,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and a decrease of \$105,585,000 above the total appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$61,417,000 for new construction, instead of \$36,677,000 as requested, as shown below.

Location/Project	Number of Units	Request	Recommended
Air Force:			
California-Edwards AFB .....	57	0	\$9,870,000
California-Travis AFB .....	64	0	9,870,000
District of Columbia-Bolling AFB .....	136	\$17,137,000	17,137,000
Nevada-Nellis AFB .....	26	0	5,000,000
North Dakota-Cavalier .....	2	443,000	443,000
North Dakota-Minot AFB .....	134	19,097,000	19,097,000
Subtotal, Air Force .....	419	36,677,000	61,417,000

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amount provided for construction improvements:

Location/Project	Number of Units	Recommended
Alaska-Elmendorf AFB <sup>1</sup> .....		\$1,127,000
Arizona-Luke AFB <sup>1</sup> .....		1,109,000
Arkansas-Little Rock AFB <sup>2</sup> .....	1,535	2,000,000

Location/Project	Number of Units	Recommended
California-Vandenberg AFB <sup>2</sup> .....	506	7,013,000
Colorado-Peterson AFB <sup>1</sup> .....		721,000
District of Columbia-Bolling AFB .....	3	216,000
Georgia-Moody AFB <sup>2</sup> .....	696	8,401,000
Louisiana-Barksdale AFB <sup>1</sup> .....		513,000
Massachusetts-Hanscom AFB .....	100	711,000
Missouri-Whiteman AFB <sup>1</sup> .....		470,000
Nebraska-Offutt AFB <sup>2</sup> .....	2,580	14,982,000
North Carolina-Pope AFB <sup>1</sup> .....		919,000
North Dakota-Cavalier AS .....	12	426,000
Oklahoma-Tinker AFB .....	144	7,741,000
South Carolina-Charleston AFB <sup>2</sup> .....	488	2,000,000
Tennessee-Arnold AFB .....	40	1,007,000
Utah-Hill AFB <sup>2</sup> .....	1,116	11,271,000
Utah-Hill AFB .....	8	1,011,000
Germany-Ramstein AB .....	434	45,813,000
Germany-Spangdahlem AB .....	162	15,342,000
Japan-Kadena AB .....	52	9,074,000
Korea-Osan AB .....	10	2,169,000
United Kingdom-RAF Fairford .....	106	10,923,000
United Kingdom-RAF Lakenheath .....	158	15,910,000
United Kingdom-RAF Molesworth .....	130	13,177,000
<b>Subtotal, Air Force .....</b>	<b>8,280</b>	<b>174,046,000</b>

<sup>1</sup> Site improvements.  
<sup>2</sup> Privatization request.

AIR FORCE FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION

The budget request recommends six additional privatization projects affecting 6,921 units with a total appropriation of \$45,667,000 at six locations: Charleston AFB, South Carolina; Hill AFB, Utah; Little Rock AFB, Arkansas; Moody AFB, Georgia; Offutt AFB, Nebraska; and Vandenberg AFB, California. The Committee's recommendation of appropriations for these projects in no way constitutes approval of privatization projects at these locations. The Air Force is reminded that the existing notification and approval process still applies for these six projects.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$41,490,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	44,886,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	44,886,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	+3,396,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$44,886,000 for Family Housing, Defense-wide for fiscal year 2001. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and an increase of \$3,396,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$2,000,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	0
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	0
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	-2,000,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 2001. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and \$2,000,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2000.

#### OVERVIEW

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (P.L. 104-106) addressed the family housing crisis by authorizing a five year private sector pilot project to replace or renovate approximately 200,000 units of family housing within the United States, its territories and possessions, and in Puerto Rico, but not overseas. The Privatization Initiative provides the military services with several authorities designed to leverage appropriated housing construction funds and government-owned assets to attract private investment in military family housing. Authority was granted to: guarantee mortgage payments and rental contracts to developers as incentives to build family housing; authorize commercial-style lease agreements for family housing; and engage in joint ventures with developers to construct family housing on government property.

The Family Housing Improvement Fund is used to build or renovate family housing, mixing or matching various authorities in the authorization, and utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The Fund is to contain appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts, and the total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not exceed \$850,000,000. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are to be deposited into this Fund, and any use of the Fund is subject to annual appropriations. The Family Housing Improvement Fund is to be administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations. This authority to enter into contracts and partnerships and to make investments shall expire in February 2001. The Committee supports the requested statutory change to extend the initiative for an additional five years.

The Department of Defense intends to privatize approximately 40,000 housing units by December 2001. While the Committee supports the extension of the authority for this program it continues to believe this is a pilot program. It is the Committee's intent that several projects need to be completed to review the success of this program prior to privatizing additional housing units. Following is the latest quarterly report on the status of these projects.

#### MILITARY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION INITIATIVE—HOUSING PRIVATIZATION REPORT TO CONGRESS

[April 2000 quarterly report]

Installation	Scope*	Notify Congress solicitation	Notify Congress selection	Deal closing/contract award
<b>ARMY FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION</b>				
Ft Carson .....	2,663 .....	Sep—96	Sep—99	Sep—99
CDMP Subject to OSD Approval				
Ft Hood .....	6,631** .....	Dec—98	Jan—00	Nov—00
Ft Lewis .....	3,955** .....	Nov—99	May—00	Nov—00
Ft Meade .....	3,170** .....	Mar—00	Oct—00	Apr—00

MILITARY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION INITIATIVE—HOUSING PRIVATIZATION REPORT TO CONGRESS—  
Continued

[April 2000 quarterly report]

Installation	Scope*	Notify Congress solicitation	Notify Congress selection	Deal closing/contract award
<b>AIR FORCE FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION</b>				
Lackland AFB .....	420 .....	Sep—96	May—98	Aug—98
Robins AFB .....	670 .....	Oct—98	Jun—00	Jul—00
Patrick AFB .....	960 .....	Jul—00	Dec—00	Jan—01
Dyess AFB .....	402 .....	Jun—99	Jul—00	Sep—00
Elmendorf AFB .....	828 .....	Dec—98	Jun—00	Jul—00
Kirtland AFB .....	1,890 .....	May—00	Oct—00	Nov—00
Dover AFB .....	450 .....	Aug—00	Dec—00	Jan—01
Subject to OSD Approval				
Wright-Patterson AFB .....	1,536 .....	Jun—00	Dec—00	Jan—01
McGuire AFB Ft Dix .....	900 .....	On Hold	On Hold	On Hold
Tinker AFB .....	730 .....	On Hold	On Hold	On Hold
Goodfellow AFB .....	198 .....	Jun—00	Nov—00	Jan—01
Little Rock AFB .....	1,535 .....	Sep—00	Feb—01	Mar—01
Vandenberg AFB .....	506 .....	Sep—00	Mar—01	Apr—01
Moody AFB .....	696 .....	Sep—00	Feb—01	Mar—01
Offutt AFB .....	2,580 .....	Oct—00	May—01	Jun—01
Charleston AFB .....	488 .....	Nov—00	Jun—01	Jul—01
Hill AFB .....	1,116 .....	Dec—00	Jul—01	Aug—01
<b>NAVY FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION</b>				
Corpus Christi .....	404 .....		May—96	Jul—96
Everett .....	185 .....		Oct—96	Mar—97
Everett II .....	300 .....	Oct—98	Jun—00	Aug—00
Kingsville II .....	150 .....	Oct—98	Jun—00	Jul—00
San Diego .....	3,248 .....	Nov—98	Jan—01	Mar—01
South Texas .....	812 .....	Nov—98	Feb—01	Apr—01
NAS New Orleans .....	763 .....	Dec—98	Jan—01	Mar—01
Subject to OSD Approval				
Hampton Roads .....	80 .....	TBD	TBD	TBD
<b>MARINE CORPS FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION</b>				
MCLB Albany .....	114 .....	Jan—98	Nov—00	Dec—00
Camp Pendleton .....	712 .....	Oct—98	Aug—00	Sep—00
Stewart Army Subpost .....	200 .....	Feb—00	Sep—01	Oct—01
Subject to OSD Approval				
MCAS Beaufort/Parris Isle .....	684 .....	Jun—00	Nov—01	Dec—01

\*Total estimated project units at project award.

\*\*Maximum possible project units (current inventory plus deficit).

**CONTRACTOR SUPPORT FOR FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION**

The Committee is concerned about the Army spending excessive amounts on contractor support to evaluate and develop family housing privatization proposals. Therefore, the Committee is directing the Deputy Under Secretary for Defense (Installations) to quarterly review, and report to the appropriate Committees of Congress, the expenses of each Component to ensure excessive amounts are not being spent on contractor support.

To clarify the Committee's position with respect to these costs in the future, amounts appropriated into the Family Housing Improvement Fund will be the sole source of funds to finance the operation of the former Housing Revitalization Support Office. Family Housing Operations and Maintenance will be the sole source of funds to develop, evaluate, and oversee privatization deals; how-

ever, these funds will be separately identified and justified as a sub-element of the Family Housing Operation account similar to management. Further this sub-element is considered a congressional interest item and may not be increased from the amount enacted without the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee is concerned that the 21-day period of review prior to entering a privatization contract is too limited, and is extending this review period to a 45-day period. The Service Secretary concerned may not enter into any contract until after the end of the 45-day period beginning on the date the Secretary concerned submits written notice of the nature and terms of the contract to the appropriate committees of Congress.

To clarify existing reporting requirements, this 45-day notification requirement applies to any project, regardless of whether it is financed entirely by transfer of funds into the Family Housing Improvement Fund, or it is fully financed within funds available in the Family Housing Improvement Fund, or it is funded by combining transferred funds with funds available in the Family Housing Improvement Fund.

In addition, no transfer of appropriated funds into the account may take place until after the end of the 45-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice and justification for the transfer to the appropriate committees of Congress. The Appropriations Committee expects to receive prior notification of all such transfers of funds.

The Department is to continue its quarterly reports on the status of privatization projects.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	0
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	0
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	0
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	0
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and equal to the appropriation for fiscal year 2000. Requirements for fiscal year 2000 were financed by a prior year carryover, revenue, and transfers from other accounts.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund which finances a program for providing assistance to homeowners by reducing their losses incident to the disposal of their homes when military installations at or near where they are serving or employed are ordered to be closed or the scope of operations is reduced. The Fund was established in recognition of the fact that base closure and reduction actions can have serious economic effects on local communities. The Fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable author-

ity, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

The total estimated requirements for fiscal year 2001 are estimated at \$29,323,000 and will be funded with transfers from the Base Realignment and Closure account, revenue from sales of acquired property, and prior year unobligated balances.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

### OVERVIEW

The Congress has appropriated, to date, a net total of \$20,110,739,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure program for fiscal years 1990 through 2000. In the bill for fiscal year 2001, the Committee is recommending total funding of \$1,174,369,000 under one account, as requested. These funds are necessary to ensure closure schedules can be met and anticipated savings will be realized. In addition, funding is essential for accelerated cleanup which is necessary for reuse of surplus properties and future job creation.

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function and by base. The Committee, in recognizing the complexities of realigning and closing bases and providing for environmental restoration, has provided such flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor the program execution of the Services and to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of realignment and closures along with environmental restoration.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2001:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE  
[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2001]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1999	Fiscal year 2000 enacted	Fiscal year 2001 recommended	Total
Part I .....	\$2,672,830,000	N/A	N/A	\$2,672,830,000
Part II .....	5,274,316,000	N/A	N/A	5,274,316,000
Part III .....	7,167,799,000	N/A	N/A	7,167,799,000
Part IV .....	4,323,483,000	672,311,000	1,174,369,000	6,170,163,000
Total .....	19,438,428,000	672,311,000	1,174,369,000	21,285,108,000

### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure, Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a net total of \$20,110,739,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 2000. Within this total, the Department has allocated \$5,994,179,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee is concerned that the design and cost of environmental restoration efforts should be tailored to match the proposed re-use of an installation in order to assure that costs are reasonable and affordable. Therefore, the Committee continues to rec-

commend statutory language to establish a ceiling on the level of funding for environmental restoration, unless the Secretary of Defense determines additional obligations are necessary and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of his determination and the necessary reasons for the increase.

The following table displays the statutory ceiling established by the Committee and is equal to the Department's execution plan for fiscal year 2001.

Account	Total program	Ceiling on environmental restoration year costs
BRAC IV .....	1,174,369,000	865,318,000

The Committee directs the Department of Defense to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanup and, to the greatest extent possible, to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations.

#### CALIFORNIA: EAST FORT BAKER

The Army shall select and perform cleanup activities at East Fort Baker in accordance with appropriate state and federal laws, and provide a timetable for such activities. In accordance with CERCLA, the Army shall consider National Park Service reuse plans for the site. The Army shall also provide adequate funding for the cleanup process in order to ensure a timely transfer of the site to the National Park Service.

#### CALIFORNIA—HUNTERS POINT NAVAL SHIPYARD

The Committee remains seriously concerned over the lack of progress made by the Navy in proceeding with remediation of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, which was closed by the Navy in 1991. Since that time, the Navy has failed to fully implement all response actions necessary for the clean-up of this Superfund site, leaving revitalization plans adopted by the Bayview-Hunters Point community and the City from proceeding in a timely manner.

The Committee directs the Navy, in conveying parcels of Hunters Point to the City, to take all necessary steps, funding and otherwise, to ensure the timely remediation of hazardous materials on these parcels to a level that permits the full range of uses designated in the City's adopted redevelopment plan.

Until such time as agreement with the City over conveyance are complete, the Department of the Navy shall continue to provide adequate public protection services to the property, including police and fire services. The Secretary of the Navy is directed to report to the Committee no later than January 15, 2001 on the status of the conveyance and remediation of the property.

#### CALIFORNIA-RIO VISTA RESERVE CENTER: CLEANUP EFFORTS AND ASBESTOS REMEDIATION

The Rio Vista Reserve Center consists of approximately 28.8 acres and includes 22 wood frame buildings. The majority of these buildings contain asbestos. The Rio Vista Reuse Plan envisions removal/demolition of all currently existing structures on the prop-

erty. The Secretary of the Army is directed to report to the Committee no later than September 15, 2000 on the plans for building demolition at the installation, including the required funding, funding source, and estimated dates for completion of such activities.

#### TEXAS—REESE AIR FORCE BASE: BUILDING DEMOLITION

The Conference Report accompanying the Fiscal Year 2000 Military Construction Appropriations Bill (Public Law 106–52) directed the Air Force to submit a report to Congress on plans for demolition at Reese AFB in Texas, including funding and estimated dates for completion. The report submitted to Congress states, it would be acceptable to the Air Force to fund the demolition of identified buildings over a four-year period. The Committee directs the Air Force to complete this work using any unexpired funds appropriated under the “Base Realignment and Closure” account.

#### DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TASK FORCE

The Defense Environmental Response Task Force (DERTF) was established in fiscal year 1991 to report on ways to improve inter-agency coordination and to improve and streamline policies and procedures relating to environmental response actions at closing installations. Since all closures resulting from Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission decisions will be completed by July 13, 2001, the Committee believes that the mission of the DERTF will be completed by that time and that no further meetings of the DERTF are necessary.

#### REPROGRAMMING

The Committee agrees that any transfer of funds which exceeds reprogramming thresholds for any construction project financed by any Base Realignment and Closure Account shall be subject to a 21-day notification to the Committees, and shall not be subject to reprogramming procedure.

In order to avoid additional interest payments and delays, cost increases that are solely the result of a negotiated or an adjudicated settlement of any contractor claim shall be subject to an after the fact notification.

#### CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

The Department of Defense has requested a total of \$12,800,000 within the fiscal year 2001 budget request for one Air Force construction project funded under the Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV. The Committee recommends full funding for the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office Complex at Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The Department of Defense is required to notify the appropriate Committees of Congress 21 days prior to the initiation of any new project which has not been included in the Department’s budget request for the current (or any previous) fiscal year. If the Department wishes to finance a previously approved prior year project in the current fiscal year, no notification is required.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART I

The Committee notes that fiscal year 1995 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART II

The Committee notes that fiscal year 1998 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART III

The Committee notes that fiscal year 1999 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART IV

Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	\$672,311,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	1,174,369,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	1,174,369,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2000 appropriation .....	+502,058,000
Fiscal year 2001 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,174,369,000 for Base Realignment and Closure, Part IV for fiscal year 2001. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 2001 and an increase of \$502,058,000 above the amount appropriated for fiscal year 2000. Below is the recommended distribution of funds:

Military Construction .....	\$12,800,000
Family Housing .....	0
Environmental .....	865,318,000
Operations and Maintenance .....	293,723,000
Military Personnel (PCS) .....	5,419,000
Other .....	862,000
Revenues .....	(7,817,000)
Homeowner's Assistance Program .....	4,064,000
Total .....	\$1,174,369,000

## CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3 (f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availability.

A provision of the "Military Construction, Naval Reserve" account which rescinds \$2,400,000 from Public Law 106-52.

A provision of the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

A provision of the "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV" states that not more than \$865,318,000 of the funds appropriated shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

Section 101 of the General Provisions states that none of the funds appropriated in Military Construction Appropriations Acts shall be expended for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction, where cost estimates exceed \$25,000, to be performed within the United States, except Alaska, without the specific approval in writing of the Secretary of Defense.

Section 102 of the General Provisions permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 of the General Provisions permits use of funds for Defense Access Roads.

Section 104 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

Section 105 of the General Provisions limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

Section 106 of the General Provisions prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

Section 107 of the General Provisions limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

Section 108 of the General Provisions prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 of the General Provisions prohibits payment of real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 of the General Provisions establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineer services in Japan, in any NATO member country, and in the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 of the General Provisions establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

Section 114 of the General Provisions limits obligations during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 of the General Provisions permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 of the General Provisions permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 of the General Provisions permits obligation of funds from more than one fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

Section 118 of the General Provisions allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account.

Section 119 of the General Provisions directs the Secretary of Defense to report annually regarding the specific actions to be taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of the common defense burden.

Section 120 of the General Provisions allows transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 of the General Provisions prohibits expenditure of funds except in compliance with the Buy American Act.

Section 122 of the General Provisions states the Sense of the Congress notifying recipients of equipment or products authorized to be purchased with financial assistance provided in this Act to purchase American-made equipment and products.

Section 123 of the General Provisions permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 124 of the General Provisions prohibits the obligation of funds for Partnership for Peace Programs in the New Independent States of the former Soviet Union.

Section 125 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to notify congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreement which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions, and extended deployments.

Section 126 of the General Provisions provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Section 127 of the General Provisions requires that all Military Construction Acts be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses and limits the repair on these quarters of \$25,000 per year.

Section 128 of the General Provisions directs that the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force submit to the appropriate committees of Congress by June 1, 2001, a Family Housing Master Plan.

Section 129 of the General Provisions allows the transfer of funds appropriated in Public Law 106-52 under the heading "Military Construction, Naval Reserve" to "Military Construction, Navy".

Section 130 of the General Provisions allows the use of private funds for the construction, improvement, repair, and maintenance of the historic residences located at the Marine Corps Barracks, 8th and I Streets, Washington, D.C.

The Committee recommends deleting the following General Provisions which were included in the fiscal year 2000 Military Construction Appropriations Act (Public Law 106-52), because these

provisions are no longer required [section numbers refer to sections contained in Public Law 105–237]:

Section 127 requiring a report on the adequacy of special education facilities for DoD family members. Section 129 which amends the 1999 Emergency Supplemental Appropriation Act to allow the transfer of funds to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. Section 131 which restricts construction of chemical demilitarization facilities at the Bluegrass Army Depot, KY, until reporting requirements are met.

#### APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

Military Construction, Army  
 Military Construction, Navy  
 Military Construction, Air Force  
 Military Construction, Defense-wide  
 Military Construction, Army National Guard  
 Military Construction, Air National Guard  
 Military Construction, Army Reserve  
 Military Construction, Naval Reserve  
 Military Construction, Air Force Reserve  
 North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment  
 Program  
 Family Housing, Construction, Army  
 Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Army  
 Family Housing, Construction, Navy and Marine Corps  
 Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine  
 Corps  
 Family Housing, Construction, Air Force  
 Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force  
 Family Housing, Construction, Defense-wide  
 Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide  
 Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV

#### TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 115, 118, 120, 123, 126, and 129 of the General Provisions, and language included under “Military Construction, Defense-wide” provide certain transfer authority.

#### RESCISSION OF FUNDS

In compliance with clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee reports that it recommends a rescission of \$2,400,000, from Public Law 106–52, under “Military Construction, Naval Reserve”.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character, shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law \* \* \*

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution

COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section of 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) allocation		This bill—	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary .....	8,634	8,684	8,634	8,625
Mandatory .....				

ADVANCE SPENDING AUTHORITY

This bill provides no advance spending authority.

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

[In thousands of dollars]

Budget authority, fiscal year 2001 .....	\$8,634,000
Outlays:	
2001 .....	2,524,000
2002 .....	3,144,000
2003 .....	1,744,000
2004 .....	688,000
2005 and beyond .....	501,000

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

[In millions of dollars]

New budget authority .....	0
Fiscal year 2000 outlays resulting therefrom .....	0

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of the rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

There were no recorded votes.

STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
ALABAMA		
ARMY		
FORT RUCKER		
FITNESS CENTER.....	---	5,600
REDSTONE ARSENAL		
DINING FACILITY.....	---	5,100
SPACE AND MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND BUILDING.....	23,400	23,400
AIR FORCE		
MAXWELL AFB		
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITY.....	3,825	3,825
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MAXWELL AFB		
FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	---	6,362
TOTAL, ALABAMA.....	27,225	44,287
ALASKA		
ARMY		
FORT RICHARDSON		
CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY.....	3,000	3,000
AIR FORCE		
CAPE ROMANZOV LONG RANGE RADAR SITE		
GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE.....	3,900	3,900
EIELSON AFB		
DORMITORY.....	14,540	14,540
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE.....	1,450	1,450
ELMENDORF AFB		
DORMITORY.....	15,920	15,920
UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX.....	11,600	11,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE II).....	44,000	44,000
KODIAK		
COLD WEATHER TRAINING FACILITY UPGRADES.....	---	5,200
NAVY RESERVE		
ELMENDORF AFB		
MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING CENTER.....	6,403	6,403
TOTAL, ALASKA.....	100,813	106,013
ARIZONA		
ARMY		
FORT HUACHUCA		
CHAPEL.....	---	4,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	3,350
FIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	1,250	1,250
NAVY		
CAMP NAVAJO NAVY DETACHMENT		
MAGAZINE MODERNIZATION.....	2,940	2,940
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
COMBAT AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON.....	8,200	8,200
AIR FORCE		
DAVIS MONTHAN AFB		
FITNESS CENTER.....	7,900	7,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	2,265
YUMA		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	1,598
TOTAL, ARIZONA.....	20,290	31,503
ARKANSAS		
ARMY		
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)....	43,600	---
CHEMICAL DEFENSE QUALIFICATION FACILITY.....	15,500	15,500
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	2,750
AIR FORCE		
LITTLE ROCK AFB		
ADD TO C-130 DROP ZONE.....	---	1,259
C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT.....	7,960	7,960
FITNESS CENTER.....	9,100	9,100
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)....	---	43,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT SMITH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT		
REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY.....	1,760	1,760
ARMY RESERVE		
CONWAY		
RESERVE CTR/ORG MAINT SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE.....	---	3,696
TOTAL, ARKANSAS.....	77,920	85,625

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
CALIFORNIA		
ARMY		
FORT IRWIN		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - NORTH.....	31,000	31,000
PRESIDIO OF MONTEREY		
BARRACKS ADDITION.....	----	2,600
DIRECTOR OF INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COMPUTER CENTER		2,000
NAVY		
BARSTOW MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE		
PAINT AND UNDERCOAT FACILITY.....	----	6,660
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE		
ARMOR/ANTI-ARMOR TRACKING RANGE.....	4,100	4,100
INFANTRY SQUADRON BATTLE COURSE.....	4,000	4,000
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	8,260	8,260
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER EXPANSION.....	----	2,500
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
GROUND COMBAT TRAINING RANGE.....	7,350	7,350
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	----	6,390
MONTEREY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL		
BUILDING 245 EXTENSION (PHASE I).....	----	5,280
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION		
BERTHING WHARF (PHASE II).....	12,800	12,800
COMPONENT REPAIR CLEAN ROOM FACILITY.....	4,340	4,340
PORT HUENEME NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER		
WEAPON/COMBAT SYSTEM INTEG LAB.....	10,200	10,200
POINT MUGU NAVAL AIR WARFARE CTR WPNS DIV		
ADD/ALTER RANGE OPERATIONS CENTER.....	11,400	12,600
SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND NAVAL FACILITY		
AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	8,860	8,860
SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION		
BERTHING PIER (PHASE I).....	35,700	35,700
TWENTYNINE PALMS		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	----	21,770
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	2,100	2,100
AIR FORCE		
BEALE AFB		
CONTROL TOWER.....	----	6,300
WATER TREATMENT PLANT AND DISTRIBUTION LINE.....	3,800	3,800
LOS ANGELES AFB		
FITNESS CENTER.....	6,580	6,580
VANDENBERG AFB		
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	4,650	4,650
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE		
FLEET HOSPITAL OPS/TRAINING COMMAND SUPPORT FAC... ..	2,900	2,900
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (HORNO).....	3,950	3,950
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (LAS FLORES).....	3,550	3,550
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (LAS PULGAS).....	3,750	3,750
CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY.....	4,300	4,300
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION		
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	5,900	5,900
SMALL CRAFT BERTHING FACILITY.....	1,350	1,350
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
FUEL STORAGE FACILITY.....	2,200	2,200
EDWARDS AFB		
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION/DENTAL CLINIC ALTERATION..	17,900	17,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BAKERSFIELD		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	1,380	1,380
COLTON		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	489	489
ESCONDIDO		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	1,380	1,380
FRESNO		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	1,869	1,869
LOS ALAMITOS		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	489	489
RICHMOND		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	489	489
SAN JOSE		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	1,869	1,869
SAN MATEO		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	461	461
SANTA BARBARA		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	483	483
NAVY RESERVE		
ALAMEDA NAVAL AIR STATION		
SEAWALL.....	950	950
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MARCH ARB		
DEPLOYMENT EQUIPMENT STAGING AREA.....	----	1,680
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA.....	210,799	267,179

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
COLORADO		
ARMY		
PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)...	10,700	---
AIR FORCE		
BUCKLEY ANGB		
SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM POWER CONNECTION.....	2,750	2,750
PETERSON AFB		
DORMITORY.....	11,000	11,000
OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY.....	2,260	2,260
MAINTAIN MAIN ACCESS GATE.....	---	2,310
SCHRIEVER AFB		
ADD TO OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY.....	8,450	8,450
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY		
ADD TO ATHLETIC FACILITY.....	18,960	18,960
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT CARSON		
MOBILIZATION AND TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I)	---	15,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
BUCKLEY ANGB		
REPLACE JOINT MUNITIONS MAINT AND STORAGE COMPLEX.	---	6,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)...	---	10,700
TOTAL, COLORADO.....	54,120	77,530
CONNECTICUT		
NAVY		
NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE		
DRYDOCK SUPPORT FACILITY.....	3,100	3,100
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
NAVY		
WASHINGTON COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT		
NAVY MUSEUM ANNEX.....	2,450	2,450
WASHINGTON MARINE BARRACKS, 8TH & I		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	17,197	17,197
SITE IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	7,400
WASHINGTON NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY		
NANO-SCIENCE RESEARCH FACILITY.....	---	12,390
AIR FORCE		
BOLLING AFB		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	4,520	4,520
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.....	24,167	43,957
FLORIDA		
NAVY		
BLOUNT ISLAND		
LAND ACQUISITION.....	---	3,320
FORT LAUDERDALE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR DETACHMENT		
SEAWALL AND SHIP BERTHING FACILITY.....	3,570	3,570
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION.....	---	1,400
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION		
AIRCRAFT CARRIER WHARF IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	6,830
PANAMA CITY NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEM CENTER		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	1,000
WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION		
JPATS T-6A GSE SUPPORT/PAINT FACILITY.....	3,900	3,900
JPATS T-6A OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	1,230	1,230
AIR FORCE		
EGLIN AFB		
PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY...	3,340	3,340
UPGRADE DORMITORY.....	5,600	5,600
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD 9		
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD.....	2,360	2,360
UPGRADE ACCESS ROADS.....	5,600	5,600
PATRICK AFB		
DEFENSE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE FAC	12,970	12,970
TYNDALL AFB		
F-22 ADD/ALTER MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	18,500	18,500
F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	6,800	6,800
WEAPONS CONTROLLER TRAINING SCHOOL.....	---	6,195
DEFENSE-WIDE		
EGLIN AFB		
ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL/LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE.....	37,600	37,600
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD 9		
AGE MAINTENANCE DISPATCH COMPLEX.....	4,750	4,750
AIRFIELD READINESS IMPROVEMENTS.....	3,000	3,000
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY.....	8,100	8,100
HOT CARGO PAD.....	7,354	7,354
SUPPLY PACKAGE FACILITY.....	---	3,319

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MACDILL AFB REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	16,956	16,956
PATRICK AFB MEDICAL CLINIC.....	2,700	2,700
TYNDALL AFB ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CLINIC.....	7,700	7,700
ARMY RESERVE CLEARWATER ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	17,800
ORLANDO ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT SHOP	17,953	17,953
ST PETERSBURG ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE I).....	---	10,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE HOMESTEAD AFB ADD/ALTER FIRE STATION (PHASE II).....	---	2,000
TOTAL, FLORIDA.....	169,983	221,847
GEORGIA		
ARMY FORT BENNING BARRACKS COMPLEX (KELLEY HILL) (PHASE III-B).....	24,000	24,000
FIXED WING AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON.....	15,800	15,800
FORT GORDON CONSOLIDATED FIRE STATION.....	---	2,600
FORT STEWART BARRACKS COMPLEX (HUNTER AAF) (PHASE I-C).....	26,000	26,000
NAVY ALBANY MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE RENOVATE VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY.....	1,100	1,100
ATHENS NAVAL SUPPLY CORPS SCHOOL FITNESS CENTER.....	---	2,950
KINGS BAY TRIDENT REFIT FACILITY CONSOLIDATED SANDBLAST/PAINT FACILITY.....	5,200	5,200
AIR FORCE FORT STEWART AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY.....	4,920	4,920
MOODY AFB WATER TREATMENT PLANT.....	2,500	2,500
ROBINS AFB ADD/ALTER STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM.....	---	11,762
AIR NATIONAL GUARD ROBINS AFB B-1 MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING COMPLEX....	8,500	8,500
NAVY RESERVE ATLANTA NAVAL AIR STATION FITNESS CENTER ADDITION.....	2,650	2,650
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION.....	1,769	1,769
AIR FORCE RESERVE DOBBINS AFB C-130 ASSAULT STRIP.....	6,032	6,032
TOTAL, GEORGIA.....	98,471	115,783
HAWAII		
ARMY SCHOFIELD BARRACKS BARRACKS COMPLEX (WILSON STREET) (PHASE I-B).....	46,400	46,400
WHEELER ARMY AIR FIELD BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	43,800	43,800
NAVY CAMP SMITH CINCPAC HEADQUARTERS (PHASE II).....	35,600	35,600
KANEHOE BAY MARINE CORPS BASE BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	18,400	18,400
LUALAIE NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE DETACHMENT CONSOLIDATED FLEET TEST SUPPORT FACILITY.....	2,100	2,100
PEARL HARBOR FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER WHARF UPGRADE.....	12,000	12,000
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	16,500	16,500
RELOCATE SEAL DELIVERY VEHICLE TEAM.....	14,200	14,200
AIR FORCE HICKAM AFB UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX.....	4,620	4,620
DEFENSE-WIDE PEARL HARBOR SPECIAL DELIVERY DRYDECK FACILITY.....	---	9,900
TOTAL, HAWAII.....	193,620	203,520

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
IDAHO		
AIR FORCE		
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB		
ENHANCED TRAINING RANGE (PHASE III).....	10,125	10,125
ILLINOIS		
NAVY		
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	3,400
PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	35,000	35,000
RECRUIT BARRACKS.....	37,000	37,000
RECRUIT BARRACKS.....	37,700	37,700
REPLACE TRAINING DRILL HALL.....	11,700	11,700
AIR FORCE		
SCOTT AFB		
MUNITIONS STORAGE/LAND ACQUISITION.....	3,830	3,830
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
AURORA		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	2,871
DANVILLE		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	2,435
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SCOTT AFB		
KC-135E FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY.....	1,500	1,500
TOTAL, ILLINOIS.....	126,730	135,436
INDIANA		
ARMY		
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)..	54,400	---
NAVY		
CRANE NAVAL WEAPONS SUPPORT CENTER		
MICROWAVE ENGINEERING DEVICES FACILITY.....	---	8,460
DEFENSE-WIDE		
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)..	---	54,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
DELPHI		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	1,563	1,563
ELKHART		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	2,322	2,322
LOGANSPORT		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	739	739
PLYMOUTH		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	951	951
SOUTH BEND		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	951	951
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT WAYNE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
REPLACE FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY..	---	7,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
GRISSEM AFB		
SERVICES COMPLEX (PHASE II).....	---	11,290
TOTAL, INDIANA.....	60,926	87,676
KANSAS		
ARMY		
FORT RILEY		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (INFANTRY DRIVE) (PHASE I-C)....	15,000	15,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	5,600
AIR FORCE		
MCCONNELL AFB		
KC-135 SQUAD OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT.....	---	9,764
DEFENSE-WIDE		
MCCONNELL AFB		
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	11,000	11,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
KANSAS CITY		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	641	641
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MCCONNELL AFB		
B-1 POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR.....	---	1,600
TOTAL, KANSAS.....	26,641	43,605
KENTUCKY		
ARMY		
BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE II)....	8,500	---
FORT CAMPBELL		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (MARKET GARDEN RD) (PHASE II-C)..	9,400	9,400
FORT KNOX		
MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE III)..	8,450	8,450

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE II)....	---	8,500
FORT CAMPBELL		
EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX.....	4,500	4,500
FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	5,400	5,400
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX.....	6,400	6,400
TOTAL, KENTUCKY.....	42,650	42,650
LOUISIANA		
AIR FORCE		
BARKSDALE AFB		
DORMITORY.....	6,390	6,390
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT POLK		
ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL		
MAINTENANCE SHOP/EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE...	9,912	9,912
NEW ORLEANS		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/		
UNHEATED STORAGE.....	10,375	---
NAVY RESERVE		
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL.....	590	590
JOINT RESERVE CENTER (PHASE I).....	---	10,375
WAREHOUSE ADDITION.....	800	800
TOTAL, LOUISIANA.....	28,067	28,067
MAINE		
NAVY		
BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRCRAFT DE-ICING/RINSE FACILITY.....	2,450	2,450
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD		
WATERFRONT CRANE RAIL SYSTEM.....	---	4,960
TOTAL, MAINE.....	2,450	7,410
MARYLAND		
ARMY		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)...	45,700	---
EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER.....	---	5,800
MUNITIONS ASSESSMENT/PROCESSING SYSTEMS FACILITY..	3,100	---
NAVY		
INDIAN HEAD NAVAL EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE CENTER		
JOINT SERVICE EOD EQUIPMENT SUPPORT FACILITY.....	6,430	6,430
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR STATION		
ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE REDUCTION WALL.....	---	1,670
RESEARCH AND TEST EVALUATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	6,570
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)...	---	45,700
MUNITIONS ASSESSMENT/PROCESSING SYSTEMS FACILITY..	---	3,100
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR STATION		
REPLACE OPERATING FUEL TANKS.....	8,300	8,300
FORT MEADE		
CRITICAL UTILITY CONTROL (PHASE II).....	769	769
ROUTE 32.....	3,459	3,459
TOTAL, MARYLAND.....	67,758	81,798
MASSACHUSETTS		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT		
RELOCATE TAXIWAY.....	---	4,000
OTIS ANGB		
UPGRADE AIRFIELD STORM WATER SYSTEM.....	---	2,000
NAVY RESERVE		
WESTOVER AFRB		
MARINE RESERVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	9,100
RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1998.....	---	-2,400
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
WESTOVER AFRB		
REPAIR/ALTER AIRMAN QUARTERS.....	---	7,450
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS.....	---	20,150
MICHIGAN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
AUGUSTA		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	3,600
MIDLAND		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	3,600	---

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX.....	4,500	4,500
TOTAL, MICHIGAN.....	8,100	8,100
MINNESOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP RILEY COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE II).....	---	10,368
MANKATO READINESS CENTER.....	4,681	4,681
TOTAL, MINNESOTA.....	4,681	15,049
MISSISSIPPI		
NAVY		
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION T-45 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES.....	4,700	4,700
AIR FORCE KEESLER AFB TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	15,040	15,040
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP MCCAIN MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE.....	---	2,000
OXFORD READINESS CENTER.....	---	3,348
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
JACKSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT C-17 CORROSION CONTROL/MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	10,500	10,500
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI.....	30,240	35,588
MISSOURI		
ARMY		
FORT LEONARD WOOD AIRFIELD IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	4,200
BASIC TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE I-A).....	38,600	38,600
NAVY		
WHITEMAN AFB LITTORAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM.....	---	3,570
AIR FORCE		
WHITEMAN AFB B-2 CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS IGLOOS.....	4,150	4,150
B-2 MUNITIONS ASSEMBLY AREA.....	7,900	7,900
TOTAL, MISSOURI.....	50,650	58,420
MONTANA		
AIR FORCE		
MALMSTROM AFB MINUTEMAN III MISSILE SERVICE FACILITY.....	5,300	5,300
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HAVRE ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	461	461
KALISPELL ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	493	493
LIBBY ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	463	463
TOTAL, MONTANA.....	6,717	6,717
NEBRASKA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GERING ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	657	657
MEAD ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	714	714
NORTH PLATTE ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	508	508
TOTAL, NEBRASKA.....	1,879	1,879
NEVADA		
NAVY		
FALLON NAVAL AIR STATION CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR.....	---	6,280
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FALLON NAVAL AIR STATION REPLACE OPERATING FUEL TANKS.....	5,000	5,000
TOTAL, NEVADA.....	5,000	11,280

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
ARMY RESERVE ROCHESTER LAND ACQUISITION.....	980	980
NEW JERSEY		
ARMY PICATINNY ARSENAL ARMAMENT SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CENTER (PHASE II)...	---	5,600
NAVY EARLE NAVAL WEAPONS STATION RECREATION CENTER.....	2,420	2,420
AIR FORCE MCGUIRE AFB AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL/BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX (PHASE I) FITNESS CENTER.....	---	10,600
	9,772	9,772
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY.....	12,192	28,392
NEW MEXICO		
ARMY WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	9,000
AIR FORCE KIRTLAND AFB FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	---	7,350
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO.....	---	16,350
NEW YORK		
ARMY FORT DRUM CONSOLIDATED SOLDIER SUPPORT CENTER (PHASE II)....	10,300	10,300
U S MILITARY ACADEMY CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE II-A)....	13,600	13,600
DEFENSE-WIDE FORT DRUM VETERINARY TREATMENT FACILITY.....	1,400	1,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HANCOCK FIELD READINESS CENTER.....	5,376	5,376
AIR NATIONAL GUARD HANCOCK FIELD SMALL ARMS RANGE TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	1,250
	---	9,100
NIAGRA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT UPGRADE OVERRUN AND RUNWAY.....	---	4,100
ARMY RESERVE FORT DRUM BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER (PHASE I).....	---	12,000
TOTAL, NEW YORK.....	30,676	57,126
NORTH CAROLINA		
ARMY FORT BRAGG AMMUNITION HOLDING AREA.....	12,600	12,600
BARRACKS COMPLEX (BUTNER ROAD) (PHASE I).....	26,000	26,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (LONGSTREET ROAD) (PHASE I).....	45,600	45,600
BARRACKS COMPLEX (TAGAYTAY STREET) (PHASE II-B)....	38,600	38,600
SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL RAILROAD EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	2,300	2,300
NAVY CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE AMPHIB OPERATION/MAINTENANCE STORAGE COMPLEX.....	9,500	9,500
ARMORIES.....	10,000	14,000
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	14,300	14,300
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	4,420	4,420
OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE/STORAGE FACILITY.....	3,650	3,650
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION AIRCRAFT HANGAR IMPROVEMENTS.....	8,480	8,480
AIRCRAFT STRIPPING FACILITY ADDITION.....	7,540	7,540
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY.....	800	800
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER.....	2,600	2,600
AIR FORCE POPE AFB DANGEROUS CARGO PADS.....	24,570	24,570
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS.....	---	7,141

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE		
RUSSELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.....	5,914	5,914
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	5,700	5,700
FORT BRAGG		
MEDIA OPERATIONS COMPLEX.....	8,600	8,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT BRAGG		
MILITARY EDUCATION FACILITY (PHASE I).....	8,709	8,709
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
CHARLOTTE/DOUGLAS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
REPLACE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE.....	---	6,300
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA.....	239,883	257,324
NORTH DAKOTA		
AIR FORCE		
MINOT AFB		
ADD TO MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	---	3,151
OHIO		
ARMY		
COLUMBUS DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER		
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION.....	1,832	1,832
AIR FORCE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB		
CONSOLIDATED TOXICS HAZARDS LAB.....	---	14,908
REPLACE WEST RAMP (PHASE I).....	22,600	22,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MANSFIELD-LAHM AIRPORT		
REPLACE SQUADRON OPS AND COMMUNICATIONS.....	---	7,700
SPRINGFIELD-BUCKLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT		
RELOCATE POWER CHK PAD AND ARM/DEARM PAD (PHASE I)		
TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT		
REPLACE LOGISTICS COMPLEX.....	---	6,900
NAVY RESERVE		
COLUMBUS NAVAL AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER		
CONSOLIDATED NAVY AND MARINE CORPS AIR RESERVE CTR		
---	---	7,080
TOTAL, OHIO.....	24,432	65,020
OKLAHOMA		
ARMY		
FORT SILL		
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP (PHASE II).....	---	10,100
AIR FORCE		
ALTUS AFB		
C-17 CARGO COMPARTMENT TRAINER.....	---	2,939
TINKER AFB		
DEPOT CORROSION CONTROL STRIP FACILITY.....	12,380	12,380
DORMITORY.....	---	8,745
DORMITORY.....	5,800	5,800
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA.....	18,180	39,964
OREGON		
ARMY		
UMATILLA DEPOT ACTIVITY		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)...	9,400	---
DEFENSE-WIDE		
UMATILLA DEPOT ACTIVITY		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)...	---	9,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BAKER CITY		
READINESS CENTER.....	3,122	3,122
CAMP RILEA		
TRAINING SIMULATION CENTER.....	---	1,470
NAVY RESERVE		
PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
ALTER RESERVE CENTER/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.	1,420	1,420
TOTAL, OREGON.....	13,942	15,412
PENNSYLVANIA		
ARMY		
CARLISLE BARRACKS		
ACADEMIC RESEARCH FACILITY.....	10,500	10,500
NEW CUMBERLAND DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION CENTER		
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION.....	3,700	3,700
NAVY		
PHILADELPHIA NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER		
GAS TURBINE TEST FACILITY.....	---	10,580

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
DEFENSE-WIDE		
SUSQUEHANNA DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT		
REPLACE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	4,700	4,700
REPLACE CONTROLLED HUMIDITY WAREHOUSE.....	13,000	13,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT INDIANTOWN GAP		
REPAIR WASTE TREATMENT PLANT/SEWAGE LINE		
REPLACEMENT (PHASE I).....	---	8,518
JOHNSTOWN		
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	4,500
MANSFIELD		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	3,100
NEW MILFORD		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	2,675
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
WILLOW GROVE ARS		
ALTER HANGAR AND FIRE SUPPRESSION.....	2,400	2,400
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA.....	34,300	63,773
RHODE ISLAND		
NAVY		
NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER		
SHORE BASED LAUNCH FACILITY.....	4,150	4,150
SOUTH CAROLINA		
NAVY		
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
FLIGHTLINE FIRE SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS.....	3,140	3,140
PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT		
FIELD TRAINING COMPLEX.....	2,660	2,660
AIR FORCE		
CHARLESTON AFB		
C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	2,500	2,500
RUNWAY REPAIR.....	---	10,289
SHAW AFB		
DINING FACILITY.....	---	5,252
USCENTAF OPERATIONS WEATHER SQUADRON FACILITY.....	2,850	2,850
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
LAUREL BAY PRIMARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	804	804
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	4,870
NAVY RESERVE		
FORT JACKSON		
NAVAL RESERVE ARMORY.....	---	5,200
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA.....	11,954	37,565
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
SIOUX FALLS		
CONSOLIDATED BARRACKS/EDUCATION FACILITY.....	---	4,955
TENNESSEE		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HENDERSON		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	1,976
NEW TAZWELL		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	3,510
TOTAL, TENNESSEE.....	---	5,486
TEXAS		
ARMY		
FORT BLISS		
RAILYARD INFRASTRUCTURE.....	26,000	26,000
FORT HOOD		
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITIES (PHASE I).....	---	4,000
FIRE STATION/TRANSPORTATION MOTOR POOL.....	---	6,492
MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE I).....	16,000	16,000
RAILHEAD FACILITY (PHASE III).....	9,800	9,800
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION CONTAINER COMPLEX.....	800	800
NAVY		
CORPUS CHRISTI NAVAL AIR STATION		
PARKING APRON EXPANSION.....	---	4,850
INGLESIDE NAVAL STATION		
MOBILE MINE ASSEMBLY UNIT FACILITY.....	---	2,420
KINGSVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON.....	---	2,670

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
AIR FORCE		
DYESS AFB		
C-130 SQUADRON OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT.....	---	7,348
REALISTIC BOMBER TRAINING INITIATIVE.....	12,175	12,175
LACKLAND AFB		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	4,830
DORMITORY.....	5,500	5,500
SHEPPARD AFB		
DINING FACILITY.....	---	6,450
LAUGHLIN AFB		
VISITORS QUARTERS.....	---	11,973
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT BLISS		
LABORATORY RENOVATION.....	---	4,200
ARMY RESERVE		
CAMP BULLIS		
RESERVE CENTER/UNHEATED STORAGE.....	1,464	1,464
FORT SAM HOUSTON		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/ EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE.....	13,678	13,678
NAVY RESERVE		
FORT WORTH NAVAL AIR STATION		
INDOOR RIFLE RANGE.....	---	3,490
RELIGIOUS MINISTRY FACILITY.....	---	1,580
TOTAL, TEXAS.....	85,417	145,720
UTAH		
AIR FORCE		
HILL AFB		
C-130 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY.....	16,500	16,500
DORMITORY.....	---	11,550
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SALT LAKE CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT UPGRADE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX.....	10,300	10,300
TOTAL, UTAH.....	26,800	38,350
VIRGINIA		
NAVY		
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER		
INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY AND INFRASTRUCTURE.....	11,300	11,300
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	2,830	2,830
NORFOLK NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	13,300	13,300
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	11,800	11,800
TAXIWAY EXTENSION AND LIGHTS.....	6,350	6,350
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION		
PIER ENHANCEMENTS.....	4,700	4,700
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	16,100	16,100
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRFIELD IMPROVEMENTS.....	5,250	5,250
AIRFIELD PERIMETER SECURITY.....	---	4,190
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEV COMMAND		
PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	8,590	8,590
WALLOPS ISLAND AEGIS COMBAT SYSTEMS CENTER		
SPY-1D TEST AND EVALUATION FACILITY ADDITION.....	3,300	3,300
AIR FORCE		
LANGLEY AFB		
DORMITORY.....	7,470	7,470
FITNESS CENTER.....	---	12,180
DEFENSE-WIDE		
DAM NECK FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER		
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY.....	5,500	5,500
RICHMOND DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER		
EMERGENCY SERVICES FACILITY.....	4,500	4,500
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
AIR OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	5,400	5,400
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY.....	3,400	3,400
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANK.....	2,000	2,000
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT A P HILL		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/ AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY.....	4,275	4,275
TOTAL, VIRGINIA.....	116,065	132,435

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
WASHINGTON		
NAVY		
BREMERTON NAVAL STATION		
EXPLOSIVE HANDLING WHARF MODIFICATIONS.....	1,400	1,400
FLEET RECREATION FACILITY.....	---	1,930
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD		
CHEMICAL METALLURGICAL LABORATORY.....	9,400	9,400
INDUSTRIAL SKILLS CENTER.....	---	10,000
OILY WASTEWATER COLLECTION.....	6,600	6,600
PIER REPLACEMENT (PHASE I).....	38,000	38,000
AIR FORCE		
FAIRCHILD AFB		
JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	5,880
RUNWAY CENTERLINE LIGHTING.....	---	2,046
MCCHORD AFB		
C-17 ADD/ALTER NOSE DOCKS.....	3,750	3,750
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	6,500	6,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BREMERTON		
READINESS CENTER.....	2,639	4,341
YAKIMA		
READINESS CENTER.....	5,104	5,104
ARMY RESERVE		
TACOMA		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/ AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY MARINE.....	14,759	14,759
TOTAL, WASHINGTON.....	88,152	109,710
WYOMING		
AIR FORCE		
FE WARREN AFB		
COMMAND AND CONTROL SUPPORT FACILITY.....	10,200	10,200
MINUTEMAN III MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX.....	15,520	15,520
TOTAL, WYOMING.....	25,720	25,720
CONUS CLASSIFIED		
AIR FORCE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION		
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY.....	1,810	1,810
CONUS VARIOUS		
NAVY		
CONUS VARIOUS		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS/DINING FACILITY.....	11,500	11,500
BAHRAIN ISLAND		
NAVY		
SOUTHWEST NAVAL ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT		
OPERATIONS CENTER.....	19,400	19,400
CURACAO/ARUBA		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
REINA BEATRIX INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (ARUBA)		
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT/RINSE FACILITY.....	8,800	---
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT/STORAGE...	860	---
SMALL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/APRON.....	590	---
HATO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (CURACAO)		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/NOSE/DOCK/APRON.....	9,200	---
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT/RINSE FACILITY.....	29,500	---
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.....	3,000	---
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT/STORAGE...	2,200	---
TOTAL, CURACAO/ARUBA.....	54,150	---
DIEGO GARCIA		
AIR FORCE		
DIEGO GARCIA		
MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS.....	5,475	5,475
ECUADOR		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
MANTA AIR BASE		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/NOSE/DOCK/APRON.....	6,723	---
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.....	4,900	---
RESCUE STATION.....	2,200	---
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT/STORAGE...	2,600	---
VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS/DINING FACILITY.....	4,650	---
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS.....	1,600	---
TOTAL, ECUADOR.....	22,673	---

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
GERMANY		
ARMY		
BAMBERG		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	7,800	7,800
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	3,850	3,850
DARMSTADT		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	5,700	5,700
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	5,600	5,600
KAISERSLAUTERN		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	3,400	3,400
MANNHEIM		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	4,050	4,050
DEFENSE-WIDE		
DARMSTADT		
RENOVATE ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY.....	2,450	2,450
HANAU		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,026	1,026
HOHENFELS		
CONSTRUCT MIDDLE SCHOOL/HIGH SCHOOL.....	13,774	13,774
KITZINGEN		
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE.....	1,400	1,400
KLEBER KASERNE		
REGIONAL FINANCE CENTER.....	7,500	7,500
SCHWEINFURT		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,444	1,444
WIESBADEN		
ADD/ALTER HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC.....	7,187	7,187
WUERZBURG		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,798	1,798
TOTAL, GERMANY.....	66,979	66,979
GUAM		
NAVY		
GUAM NAVAL ACTIVITIES		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	1,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ANDERSEN AFB		
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	16,000	16,000
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	20,000	20,000
TOTAL, GUAM.....	36,000	37,000
ITALY		
NAVY		
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	15,000	15,000
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION		
COMMUNITY FACILITIES.....	32,029	32,969
AIR FORCE		
AVIANO AIR BASE		
DORMITORY.....	8,000	8,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION		
REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE FACILITY.....	16,300	16,300
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT.....	43,850	---
SIGONELLA		
ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	971	971
TOTAL, ITALY.....	116,150	73,240
JAPAN		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
IWAKUNI MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	22,400	22,400
MISAWA AIR BASE		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	26,400	26,400
TOTAL, JAPAN.....	48,800	48,800
KOREA		
ARMY		
CAMP CARROLL		
WHOLE BARRACKS RENEWAL.....	---	10,000
CAMP HOVEY		
DINING FACILITY.....	---	4,200
WHOLE BARRACKS RENEWAL.....	---	26,000
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER QUARTERS.....	---	9,950
CAMP HUMPHREYS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	14,200	14,200
CAMP PAGE		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	19,500	19,500
YONGPYONG		
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	11,850

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
AIR FORCE		
KUNSAN AIR BASE		
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	6,400	6,400
OSAN AIR BASE		
DORMITORY.....	11,348	11,348
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	10,600	10,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
TAEJU		
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX.....	1,450	1,450
TOTAL, KOREA.....	63,498	125,498
KWAJALEIN		
ARMY		
KWAJALEIN ATOLL		
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING RENOVATION.....	18,000	18,000
PUERTO RICO		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ROOSEVELT ROADS NAVAL STATION		
BOAT MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	1,241	1,241
SPAIN		
AIR FORCE		
ROTA		
ENHANCE ROTA, VARIOUS FACILITIES.....	5,052	5,052
TURKEY		
AIR FORCE		
INCIRLIK AIR BASE		
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY.....	1,000	1,000
UNITED KINGDOM		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	10,000	10,000
ROYAL AIR FORCE FELTWELL		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,287	1,287
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	3,086	3,086
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM.....	14,373	14,373
NATO		
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM.....	190,000	177,500
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
HOST NATION.....	22,600	22,600
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	15,000	17,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	72,106	77,361
CLASSIFIED PROJECT.....	11,500	11,500
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-635
NAVY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	63,335	67,502
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	7,659	7,659
GENERAL REDUCTION.....	---	-20,000
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-2,889
AIR FORCE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	9,850	9,850
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	54,237	56,949
DEFENSE-WIDE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	33,570	---
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION.....	10,000	10,000
NMD INITIAL DEPLOYMENT FACILITIES (PHASE I).....	85,095	65,095
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-7,115
PLANNING AND DESIGN		
TRI-CARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	22,000	22,000
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.....	6,786	6,786
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.....	24,000	24,000
OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.....	2,900	1,800
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	3,790	3,790
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION.....	14,729	14,729
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY.....	2,600	2,600
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY.....	1,800	1,800
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	78,605	77,505

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
TRI-CARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	3,000	3,000
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION.....	3,694	3,694
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.....	1,500	1,500
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.....	6,196	6,196
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.....	3,000	3,000
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION....	17,390	17,390
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	4,232	4,444
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	2,295	3,295
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	4,000	4,000
UNSPECIFIED PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	9,119	9,575
ARMY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	6,400	6,720
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	1,917	2,617
NAVY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	1,521	1,597
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	2,304	2,419
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	4,115	4,115
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED.....	516,850	448,554
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS		
NAVY		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS		
HOST NATION INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT.....	142	142
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY		
ARIZONA		
FORT HUACHUCA (110 UNITS).....	16,224	16,224
HAWAII		
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (72 UNITS).....	15,500	15,500
KENTUCKY		
FORT CAMPBELL (56 UNITS).....	7,800	7,800
FORT CAMPBELL (58 UNITS).....	---	8,000
MARYLAND		
FORT DETRICK (48 UNITS).....	5,600	5,600
NORTH CAROLINA		
FORT BRAGG (112 UNITS).....	14,600	14,600
FORT BRAGG (64 UNITS).....	---	7,400
SOUTH CAROLINA		
FORT JACKSON (1 UNIT).....	250	250
TEXAS		
FORT BLISS (64 UNITS).....	10,200	10,200
VIRGINIA		
FORT LEE (51 UNITS).....	---	8,600
KOREA		
CAMP HUMPHREYS (60 UNITS).....	21,800	21,800
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	63,590	77,940
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-1,951
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	6,542	6,542
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	162,106	198,505
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	44,374	44,374
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	90,286	83,715
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	44,855	44,855
UTILITIES.....	198,101	198,101
MISCELLANEOUS.....	855	855
LEASING.....	202,011	202,011
MAINTENANCE.....	397,792	397,792
INTEREST PAYMENT.....	1	1
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-17,960
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	978,275	953,744
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY.....	1,140,381	1,152,249

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		
CALIFORNIA		
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE (98 UNITS).....	---	8,600
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION (160 UNITS).....	27,768	27,768
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION (100 UNITS).....	---	20,103
TWENTYNINE PALMS (79 UNITS).....	13,923	13,923
HAWAII		
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX (98 UNITS).....	22,230	22,230
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX (62 UNITS).....	14,237	14,237
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX (112 UNITS).....	23,654	23,654
KANEHOE BAY MARINE CORPS BASE (84 UNITS).....	21,910	21,910
LOUISIANA		
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL COMPLEX (100 UNITS).....	---	5,000
MAINE		
BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION (168 UNITS).....	18,722	18,722
MISSISSIPPI		
GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTR BATTALION CENTER (157 UNITS)..	---	20,700
WASHINGTON		
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION (98 UNITS).....	16,873	16,873
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	183,547	183,547
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	2,359
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	19,958	19,958
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	362,822	419,584
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	30,884	30,884
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	84,914	84,914
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	63,953	63,953
UTILITIES.....	165,057	165,057
MISCELLANEOUS.....	1,239	1,239
LEASING.....	142,690	142,690
MAINTENANCE.....	393,830	393,830
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.....	71	71
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-3,430
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	882,638	879,208
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS....	1,245,460	1,298,792
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE		
CALIFORNIA		
EDWARDS AFB (57 UNITS).....	---	9,870
TRAVIS AFB (64 UNITS).....	---	9,870
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
BOLLING AFB (136 UNITS).....	17,137	17,137
NEVADA		
NELLIS AFB (26 UNITS).....	---	5,000
NORTH DAKOTA		
CAVALIER (2 UNITS).....	443	443
MINOT AFB (134 UNITS).....	19,097	19,097
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	174,046	174,046
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-6,839
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	12,760	12,760
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	223,483	241,384
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	38,180	38,180
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	55,685	55,685
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	27,997	27,997
UTILITIES.....	158,959	158,959
MISCELLANEOUS.....	2,332	2,332
LEASING.....	114,628	114,628
MAINTENANCE.....	428,456	428,456
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.....	34	34
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-5,392
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	826,271	820,879
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE.....	1,049,754	1,062,263

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
-----		
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA).....	415	415
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA).....	77	77
LEASING (NSA).....	12,554	12,554
LEASING (DLA).....	25,924	25,924
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA).....	653	653
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA).....	316	316
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA).....	146	146
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA).....	3,564	3,564
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA).....	22	22
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA).....	444	444
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA).....	421	421
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA).....	15	15
MISCELLANEOUS (NSA).....	271	271
MISCELLANEOUS (DLA).....	64	64
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	44,886	44,886
	=====	=====
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV.....	1,174,369	1,174,369
	=====	=====
GRAND TOTAL.....	8,033,908	8,634,000
	=====	=====



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2000  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2001**  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2000 Enacted	FY 2001 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Army.....	1,042,033	897,938	870,585	-171,448	-27,353
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....	.....	.....	-635	-635	-635
Total.....	1,042,033	897,938	869,950	-172,083	-27,988
Military construction, Navy.....	901,531	753,422	894,269	-7,262	+140,847
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....	.....	.....	-2,889	-2,889	-2,889
Total.....	901,531	753,422	891,380	-10,151	+137,958
Military construction, Air Force.....	777,238	530,969	703,903	-73,335	+172,934
Military construction, Defense-wide.....	593,615	784,753	807,429	+213,814	+22,676
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....	.....	.....	-7,115	-7,115	-7,115
Total.....	593,615	784,753	800,314	+206,699	+15,561
Total, Active components.....	3,314,417	2,967,082	3,265,547	-48,870	+298,465
Military construction, Army National Guard.....	227,456	59,130	137,603	-89,853	+78,473
Military construction, Air National Guard.....	263,724	50,179	110,585	-153,139	+60,406
Military construction, Army Reserve.....	111,340	81,713	115,854	+4,514	+34,141
Military construction, Naval Reserve.....	28,457	16,103	53,004	+24,547	+36,901
Rescission.....	.....	.....	-2,400	-2,400	-2,400
Total.....	28,457	16,103	50,604	+22,147	+34,501

Military construction, Air Force Reserve .....	64,404	14,851	43,748	-20,656	+28,897
Total, Reserve components .....	695,381	221,976	458,394	-236,987	+236,418
Total, Military construction .....	4,009,798	3,189,058	3,723,941	-285,857	+534,883
Appropriations .....	(4,009,798)	(3,189,058)	(3,726,341)	(-283,457)	(+537,283)
Rescissions .....			(-2,400)	(-2,400)	(-2,400)
NATO Security Investment Program .....	81,000	190,000	177,500	+96,500	-12,500
Family housing, Army:					
New construction .....	41,000	91,974	115,974	+74,974	+24,000
Construction improvements .....	35,400	63,590	77,940	+42,540	+14,350
Planning and design .....	4,300	6,542	6,542	+2,242	
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment .....			-1,951	-1,951	-1,951
Subtotal, construction .....	80,700	162,106	198,505	+117,805	+36,399
Operation and maintenance .....	1,086,312	978,275	971,704	-114,608	-6,571
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment .....			-17,960	-17,960	-17,960
Subtotal, operation and maintenance .....	1,086,312	978,275	953,744	-132,568	-24,531
Total, Family housing, Army .....	1,167,012	1,140,381	1,152,249	-14,763	+11,868

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2000  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2001—Continued**  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2000 Enacted	FY 2001 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
New construction.....	134,674	159,317	213,720	+79,046	+54,403
Construction improvements .....	189,682	183,547	183,547	-6,135	.....
Planning and design .....	17,715	19,958	19,958	+2,243	.....
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....	.....	2,359	2,359	+2,359	+2,359
General reduction and revised economic assumptions .....	-1,000	.....	.....	+1,000	.....
Subtotal, construction .....	341,071	362,822	419,584	+78,513	+56,762
Operation and maintenance .....	891,470	882,638	882,638	-8,832	.....
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....	.....	.....	-3,430	-3,430	-3,430
Subtotal, operation and maintenance.....	891,470	882,638	879,208	-12,262	-3,430
Total, Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps .....	1,232,541	1,245,460	1,298,792	+66,251	+53,332
Family housing, Air Force:					
New construction.....	203,411	36,677	61,417	-141,994	+24,740
Construction improvements .....	129,952	174,046	174,046	+44,094	.....
Planning and design .....	17,093	12,760	12,760	-4,333	.....
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....	.....	.....	-6,839	-6,839	-6,839
General reduction and revised economic assumptions .....	-1,000	.....	.....	+1,000	.....
Subtotal, construction .....	349,456	223,483	241,384	-108,072	+17,901

Operation and maintenance .....	818,392	826,271	826,271	826,271	+7,879	+7,879
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....				-5,392	-5,392	-5,392
Subtotal, operation and maintenance.....	818,392	826,271	826,271	820,879	+2,487	-5,392
Total, Family housing, Air Force.....	1,167,848	1,049,754	1,062,263	1,062,263	-105,585	+12,509
Family housing, Defense-wide:						
Construction improvements .....	50				-50	
Operation and maintenance .....	41,440	44,886	44,886	44,886	+3,446	
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide.....	41,490	44,886	44,886	44,886	+3,396	
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	2,000				-2,000	
Total, Family housing.....	3,610,891	3,480,481	3,558,190	3,558,190	-52,701	+77,709
New construction .....	(379,085)	(287,968)	(391,111)	(391,111)	(+12,026)	(+103,143)
Construction improvements.....	(355,064)	(421,183)	(435,533)	(435,533)	(+80,449)	(+14,350)
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....				(-6,431)	(-6,431)	(-6,431)
Planning and design .....	(39,108)	(39,260)	(39,260)	(39,260)	(+152)	
General reduction .....	(-2,000)				(+2,000)	
Operation and maintenance .....	(2,837,614)	(2,732,070)	(2,725,499)	(2,725,499)	(-112,115)	(-6,571)
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment.....				(-26,782)	(-26,782)	(-26,782)
Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	(2,000)				(-2,000)	

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2000  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2001—Continued**  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2000 Enacted	FY 2001 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Base realignment and closure accounts:					
Part IV .....	672,311	1,174,369	1,174,369	+ 502,058	
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority .....	8,374,000	8,033,908	8,634,000	+ 260,000	+ 600,092
Appropriations .....	(8,374,000)	(8,033,908)	(8,636,400)	(+ 262,400)	(+ 602,492)
Rescissions .....			(-2,400)	(-2,400)	(-2,400)

0