

107TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 3279

To require the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to ensure that sufficient stockpiles of potassium iodide tablets have been established near nuclear power plants and that appropriate plans for their utilization exist.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 13, 2001

Mr. MARKEY introduced the following bill; which was referred to the  
Committee on Energy and Commerce

---

## A BILL

To require the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to ensure that sufficient stockpiles of potassium iodide tablets have been established near nuclear power plants and that appropriate plans for their utilization exist.

1        *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2        *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3        **SECTION 1. FINDINGS.**

4        The Congress makes the following findings:

5            (1) Potassium iodide long has been shown to  
6        have prevented radiation-induced thyroid diseases  
7        with negligible side effects.

1           (2) The American Thyroid Association and a  
2           broad array of other experts on radiation protection  
3           and thyroid cancers have consistently recommended  
4           making potassium iodide available for use in the  
5           case of a radiological catastrophe. The experience of  
6           the Chernobyl accident in 1985 and its aftermath  
7           have confirmed the safety and efficacy of potassium  
8           iodide in preventing radiation-induced thyroid can-  
9           cers.

10           (3) Despite the clear and compelling evidence  
11           that potassium iodide is a safe, effective, and inex-  
12           pensive means of preventing radiation-induced thy-  
13           roid cancers, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
14           and its staff have, through their inaction, delayed  
15           the stockpiling and distribution of this substance for  
16           the last 22 years.

17           (4) The safety and efficacy of potassium iodide  
18           was formally established as a matter of Federal pol-  
19           icy when, on December 15, 1978, the Food and  
20           Drug Administration concluded that potassium io-  
21           dide is a safe and effective means by which to block  
22           uptake of radioactive iodine by the thyroid gland in  
23           a radiological catastrophe, and approved its over-the-  
24           counter sale.

1           (5) In November 1979, after the Three Mile Is-  
2 land accident revealed shortages in availability of po-  
3 tassium iodide and the Presidents Commission on  
4 the Accident at Three Mile Island criticized the Fed-  
5 eral Government’s failure to stockpile it, the Nuclear  
6 Regulatory Commission first declared that it would  
7 require potassium iodide stockpiling “a necessary  
8 part of an acceptable State emergency response  
9 plan” in the event of a future nuclear accident. De-  
10 spite this statement, the Commission failed to take  
11 prompt action to make potassium iodide available.

12           (6) On September 27, 1982, the Nuclear Regu-  
13 latory Commission staff recommended that the Com-  
14 mission approve a policy endorsing use of potassium  
15 iodide as a “useful protective action”. However, on  
16 October 15, 1982, the Commission staff reversed  
17 itself, noting that the Federal Emergency Manage-  
18 ment Agency had dropped plans to stockpile a large  
19 quantity of potassium iodide and stating that the  
20 staff now planned to prepare a new paper that  
21 would recommend against stockpiling and distribu-  
22 tion of potassium iodide on cost-benefit grounds.

23           (7) On November 22, 1982, public briefing of  
24 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the staff  
25 inexplicably argued against stockpiling of potassium

1 iodide on cost-benefit grounds, suggesting that even  
2 though potassium iodide is inexpensive, it would be  
3 even cheaper in the long run to treat radiation-in-  
4 duced thyroid cancers than to prevent them.

5 (8) On July 24, 1985, the Nuclear Regulatory  
6 Commission issued a national policy on potassium  
7 iodide which reversed the Commission's previous  
8 support for stockpiling and distribution. Referring to  
9 the Commission staff's "cost-benefit analysis", it re-  
10 jected the notion of distributing potassium iodide as  
11 "not worthwhile".

12 (9) On June 16, 1989, a Nuclear Energy Com-  
13 mission employee filed a Differing Professional  
14 Opinion challenging the Nuclear Regulatory Com-  
15 mission's potassium iodide policy.

16 (10) On March 29, 1994, the Nuclear Regu-  
17 latory Commission staff recommended to the Com-  
18 mission that stockpiling potassium iodide in the vi-  
19 cinity of nuclear power plants "appears prudent"  
20 and urged a new policy of purchasing potassium io-  
21 dide and encouraging the States to establish stock-  
22 piles. However, a deadlocked 2-2 vote by the Com-  
23 mission prevented adoption of the proposed new pol-  
24 icy.

1           (11) On September 9, 1995, a Nuclear Regu-  
2           latory Commission employee filed, as a private cit-  
3           izen, a petition for rulemaking asking the Commis-  
4           sion to require that potassium iodide be included in  
5           State emergency plans.

6           (12) On June 16, 1997, the Nuclear Regulatory  
7           Commission staff proposed a draft policy statement  
8           to the Commission which would make potassium io-  
9           dide available at Federal expense to those States  
10          who request it, while also stating that there is “no  
11          new information” warranting a change in existing  
12          policy—despite the experience from the Chernobyl  
13          accident regarding the effectiveness of potassium io-  
14          dide in preventing thyroid cancers.

15          (13) On March 31, 1998, the Nuclear Regu-  
16          latory Commission staff recommended to the Com-  
17          mission that it deny the petition for rulemaking filed  
18          by one of its employees in a private capacity, on the  
19          basis of spurious arguments about the purported  
20          side effects of potassium iodide and the potential for  
21          liability relating to such purported side effects.

22          (14) On April 9, 1998, the Federal Emergency  
23          Management Agency wrote the Commission to point  
24          out “misleading” characterizations being made  
25          about its position on potassium iodide by the Nu-

1 clear Regulatory Commission staff and a nuclear in-  
2 dustry trade association.

3 (15) On July 1, 1998, the Nuclear Regulatory  
4 Commission announced that it had voted 3–1 to re-  
5 ject the recommendation by the staff and grant the  
6 petition for rulemaking and to require States to con-  
7 sider potassium iodide, along with evacuation and  
8 sheltering, in emergency planning for nuclear power  
9 plant accidents. Despite this action, no rulemaking  
10 was immediately forthcoming.

11 (16) In January 2001, the Nuclear Regulatory  
12 Commission finally revised a portion of its emer-  
13 gency response regulations to require that consider-  
14 ation be given to including potassium iodide as a  
15 protective measure for the general public to supple-  
16 ment sheltering and evacuation in the event of a se-  
17 vere nuclear power plant accident. In doing so, the  
18 Commission found that potassium iodide is “a rea-  
19 sonable, prudent, and inexpensive supplement to  
20 evacuation and sheltering for specific local condi-  
21 tions”.

22 (17) On October 16, 2001, the Nuclear Regu-  
23 latory Commission stated that while it had decided  
24 to fund the initial purchases of potassium iodide as  
25 a supplemental measure, it had not formulated a

1 concrete plan for its distribution, preferring instead  
2 to leave it to the States to decide whether to make  
3 potassium iodide available to its citizens.

4 (18) The events of September 11, 2001, have  
5 underscored the need to undertake immediate meas-  
6 ures to protect the public against other possible ter-  
7 rorist attacks, including terrorist attacks against nu-  
8 clear power plants. Such preparations must include  
9 the immediate establishment of a uniform national  
10 policy to be established with respect to the stock-  
11 piling and distribution of potassium iodide, rather  
12 than deferring to the States on the question of  
13 whether it should be stockpiled. In order to establish  
14 such a uniform national policy, the Nuclear Regu-  
15 latory Commission and all other applicable Federal  
16 agencies must remove all further obstacles to the im-  
17 mediate stockpiling and distribution of potassium io-  
18 dide on a national basis.

19 **SEC. 2. RULEMAKING.**

20 (a) AMENDMENT.—Chapter 19 of the Atomic Energy  
21 Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2015 et seq.) is amended by in-  
22 serting after section 241 the following new section:

23 “SEC. 242. POTASSIUM IODIDE.—Not later than 6  
24 months after the date of the enactment of this section,  
25 the Commission shall—

1           “(1) ensure that stockpiles of potassium iodide  
2           tablets sufficient to provide adequate protection to  
3           the population have been established in individual  
4           homes and at public facilities such as schools and  
5           hospitals within 50 miles of a nuclear power plant;

6           “(2) ensure that stockpiles of potassium iodide  
7           tablets sufficient to provide adequate protection to  
8           the population have been established at public facili-  
9           ties such as schools and hospitals within the area be-  
10          tween 50 and 200 miles of a nuclear power plant;

11          “(3) establish a plan to provide for the utiliza-  
12          tion of the stockpiles described in paragraphs (1)  
13          and (2) by individuals located within 200 miles of a  
14          nuclear power plant in the event of a release of  
15          radionuclides, other than a release of amounts hav-  
16          ing no significant public health consequences; and

17          “(4) transmit to the Congress a report—

18                  “(A) on whether stockpiles have been es-  
19                  tablished as required by paragraphs (1) and  
20                  (2); and

21                  “(B) on the utilization plan required under  
22                  paragraph (3).”.

23          (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS AMENDMENT.—The table  
24          of contents of chapter 19 of the Atomic Energy Act of



- 1 1954 is amended by inserting after the item relating to
- 2 section 241 the following new item:

“Sec. 242. Potassium iodide.”

○