${}^{\tiny{107\text{TH CONGRESS}}}_{\tiny{2D Session}}~\textbf{H.R. 3479}$

AN ACT

To expand aviation capacity.

107TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

H.R.3479

AN ACT

To expand aviation capacity.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 TITLE I—NATIONAL AVIATION 2 CAPACITY EXPANSION

- 3 SEC. 101. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This title may be cited as the "National Aviation Ca-
- 5 pacity Expansion Act of 2002".
- 6 SEC. 102. FINDINGS.
- 7 Congress finds the following:
- 8 (1) O'Hare International Airport consistently 9 ranks as the Nation's first or second busiest airport 10 with nearly 34,000,000 annual passengers 11 enplanements, almost all of whom travel in inter-12 state or foreign commerce. The Federal Aviation Ad-13 ministration's most recent data, compiled in the Air-14 port Capacity Benchmark Report 2001, projects de-15 mand at O'Hare to grow by 18 percent over the next 16 decade. O'Hare handles 72,100,000 passengers an-17 compared with 64,600,000 at London nually, 18 Heathrow International Airport, Europe's busiest 19 airport, and 36,700,000 at Kimpo International Air-20 port, Korea's busiest airport, 7,400,000 at Narita 21 International Airport, Japan's busiest airport, 22 23,700,000 at Kingsford-Smith International Air-

port, Australia's busiest airport, and 6,200,000 at

Ezeiza International Airport, Argentina's busiest

airport, as well as South America's busiest airport.

23

24

- (2) The Airport Capacity Benchmark Report 2001 ranks O'Hare as the third most delayed airport in the United States. Overall, slightly more than 6 percent of all flights at O'Hare are delayed significantly (more than 15 minutes). On good weather days, scheduled traffic is at or above capacity for 3½ hours of the day with about 2 percent of flights at O'Hare delayed significantly. In adverse weather, capacity is lower and scheduled traffic exceeds capacity for 8 hours of the day, with about 12 percent of the flights delayed.
 - (3) The city of Chicago, Illinois, which owns and operates O'Hare, has been unable to pursue projects to increase the operating capability of O'Hare runways and thereby reduce delays because the city of Chicago and the State of Illinois have been unable for more than 20 years to agree on a plan for runway reconfiguration and development. State law states that such projects at O'Hare require State approval.
 - (4) On December 5, 2001, the Governor of Illinois and the Mayor of Chicago reached an agreement to allow the city to go forward with a proposed capacity enhancement project for O'Hare which in-

- volves redesign of the airport's runway configuration.
 - (5) In furtherance of such agreement, the city, with approval of the State, applied for and received a master-planning grant from the Federal Aviation Administration for the capacity enhancement project.
 - (6) The agreement between the city and the State is not binding on future Governors of Illinois.
 - (7) Future Governors of Illinois could stop the O'Hare capacity enhancement project by refusing to issue a certificate required for such project under the Illinois Aeronautics Act, or by refusing to submit airport improvement grant requests for the project, or by improperly administering the State implementation plan process under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.) to prevent construction and operation of the project.
 - (8) The city of Chicago is unwilling to continue to go forward with the project without assurance that future Governors of Illinois will not be able to stop the project, thereby endangering the value of the investment of city and Federal resources in the project.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (9) Because of the importance of O'Hare to the national air transportation system and the growing congestion at the airport and because of the expenditure of Federal funds for a master-planning grant for expansion of capacity at O'Hare, it is important to the national air transportation system, interstate commerce, and the efficient expenditure of Federal funds, that the city of Chicago's proposals to the Federal Aviation Administration have an opportunity to be considered for Federal approval and possible funding, that the city's requests for changes to the State implementation plan to allow such projects not be denied arbitrarily, and that, if the Aviation Administration approves Federal project and funding for a portion of its cost, the city can implement and use the project.
 - (10) Any application submitted by the city of Chicago for expansion of O'Hare should be evaluated by the Federal Aviation Administration and other Federal agencies under all applicable Federal laws and regulations and should be approved only if the application meets all requirements imposed by such laws and regulations.
 - (11) As part of the agreement between the city and the State allowing the city to submit an applica-

- tion for improvement of O'Hare, there has been an agreement for the continued operation of Merrill C. Meigs Field by the city, and it has also been agreed that, if the city does not follow the agreement on Meigs Field, Federal airport improvement program
 - (12) To facilitate implementation of the agreement allowing the city to submit an application for O'Hare, it is desirable to require by law that Federal airport improvement program funds for O'Hare be administered to require continued operation of Merrill C. Meigs Field by the city, as proposed in the agreement.

funds should be withheld from the city for O'Hare.

- (13) To facilitate implementation of the agreement allowing the city to submit an application for O'Hare, it is desirable to enact into law provisions of the agreement relating to noise and public roadway access. These provisions are not inconsistent with Federal law.
- (14) If the Federal Aviation Administration approves an airport layout plan for O'Hare directly related to the agreement reached on December 5, 2001, such approvals will constitute an action of the United States under Federal law and will be an important first step in the process by which the Gov-

ernment could decide that these plans should receive Federal assistance under chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, relating to airport development.

(15) The agreement between the State of Illinois and the city of Chicago includes agreement that the construction of an airport in Peotone, Illinois, would be proposed by the State to the Federal Aviation Administration. Like the O'Hare expansion proposal, the Peotone proposal should receive full consideration by the Federal Aviation Administration under standard procedures for approving and funding an airport improvement project, including all applicable safety, utility and efficiency, and environmental review.

(16) Gary/Chicago Airport in Gary, Indiana, and the Greater Rockford Airport, Illinois, may alleviate congestion and provide additional capacity in the greater Chicago metropolitan region. Like the O'Hare airport expansion proposal, expansion efforts by Gary/Chicago and Greater Rockford airports should receive full consideration by the Federal Aviation Administration under standard procedures for approving and funding an airport capacity improvement project, including all applicable safety, utility and efficiency, and environmental reviews.

l SEC. 103. STATE, CITY, AND FAA AUTHORITY.

- 2 (a) Prohibition.—In furtherance of the purpose of 3 this Act to achieve significant air transportation benefits for interstate and foreign commerce, if the Federal Avia-4 5 tion Administration makes, or at any time after December 5, 2001 has made, a grant to the city of Chicago, Illinois, 7 with the approval of the State of Illinois for planning or 8 construction of runway improvements at O'Hare Inter-9 national Airport, the State of Illinois, and any instrumentality or political subdivision of the State, are prohibited 10 11 from exercising authority under sections 38.01, 47, and 48 of the Illinois Aeronautics Act (620 ILCS 5/) to pre-12 13 vent, or have the effect of preventing— 14 (1) further consideration by the Federal Avia-15 tion Administration of an O'Hare airport layout 16 plan directly related to the agreement reached by the 17 State and the city on December 5, 2001, with re-18 spect to O'Hare; 19 (2) construction of projects approved by the Ad-20 ministration in such O'Hare airport layout plan; or 21 (3) application by the city of Chicago for Fed-22 eral airport improvement program funding for 23 projects approved by the Administration and shown 24 on such O'Hare airport layout plan. 25 (b) Applications for Federal Funding.—Not-

- 1 cago is authorized to submit directly to the Federal Avia-
- 2 tion Administration without the approval of the State of
- 3 Illinois, applications for Federal airport improvement pro-
- 4 gram funding for planning and construction of a project
- 5 shown on an O'Hare airport layout plan directly related
- 6 to the agreement reached on December 5, 2001, and to
- 7 accept, receive, and disburse such funds without the ap-
- 8 proval of the State of Illinois.
- 9 (c) LIMITATION.—If the Federal Aviation Adminis-
- 10 tration determines that an O'Hare airport layout plan di-
- 11 rectly related to the agreement reached on December 5,
- 12 2001, will not be approved by the Administration, sub-
- 13 sections (a) and (b) of this section shall expire and be of
- 14 no further effect on the date of such determination.
- 15 (d) Western Public Roadway Access.—As pro-
- 16 vided in the December 5, 2001, agreement referred to in
- 17 subsection (a), the Administrator of the Federal Aviation
- 18 Administration shall not consider an airport layout plan
- 19 submitted by the city of Chicago that includes the runway
- 20 redesign plan, unless the airport layout plan includes pub-
- 21 lic roadway access through the existing western boundary
- 22 of O'Hare to passenger terminal and parking facilities lo-
- 23 cated inside the boundary of O'Hare and reasonably acces-
- 24 sible to such western access. Approval of western public
- 25 roadway access shall be subject to the condition that the

- 1 cost of construction be paid for from airport revenues con-
- 2 sistent with Administration revenue use requirements.
- 3 (e) Noise Mitigation.—As provided in the Decem-
- 4 ber 5, 2001, agreement referred to in subsection (a), the
- 5 following apply:
- 6 (1) Approval by the Administrator of an airport 7 layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan 8 shall require the city of Chicago to offer acoustical 9 treatment of all single-family houses and schools lo-10 cated within the 65 DNL noise contour for each 11 construction phase of the runway redesign plan, sub-12 ject to Administration guidelines and specifications 13 of general applicability. The Administrator may not 14 approve the runway redesign plan unless the city 15 provides the Administrator with information suffi-16 cient to demonstrate that the acoustical treatment 17 required by this paragraph is feasible.
 - (2)(A) Approval by the Administrator of an airport layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan shall be subject to the condition that noise impact of aircraft operations at O'Hare in the calendar year immediately following the year in which the first new runway is first used and in each calendar year thereafter will be less than the noise impact in calendar year 2000.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	(B) The Administrator shall make the deter-
2	mination described in subparagraph (A)—
3	(i) using, to the extent practicable, the pro-
4	cedures specified in part 150 of title 14, Code
5	of Federal Regulations;
6	(ii) using the same method for calendar
7	year 2000 and for each forecast year; and
8	(iii) by determining noise impact solely in
9	terms of the aggregate number of square miles
10	and the aggregate number of single-family
11	houses and schools exposed to 65 or greater
12	decibels using the DNL metric, including only
13	single-family houses and schools in existence on
14	the last day of calendar year 2000. The Admin-
15	istrator shall make such determination based on
16	information provided by the city of Chicago,
17	which shall be independently verified by the Ad-
18	ministrator.
19	(C) The conditions described in this subsection
20	shall be enforceable exclusively through the submis-
21	sion and approval of a noise compatibility plan
22	under part 150 of title 14, Code of Federal Regula-
23	tions. The noise compatibility plan submitted by the
24	city of Chicago shall provide for compliance with this

subsection. The Administrator shall approve meas-

- 1 ures sufficient for compliance with this subsection in
- 2 accordance with procedures under such part 150.
- 3 The United States shall have no financial responsi-
- 4 bility or liability if operations at O'Hare in any year
- 5 do not satisfy the conditions in this subsection.
- 6 (f) Report to Congress.—If the runway redesign
- 7 plan described in this section has not received all Federal,
- 8 State, and local permits and approvals necessary to begin
- 9 construction by December 31, 2004, the Administrator
- 10 shall submit a status report to the Committee on Com-
- 11 merce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the
- 12 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
- 13 House of Representatives within 120 days of such date
- 14 identifying each permit and approval necessary for the
- 15 project and the status of each such action.
- 16 (g) JUDICIAL REVIEW.— An order issued by the Ad-
- 17 ministrator, in whole or in part, under this section shall
- 18 be deemed to be an order issued under part A of subtitle
- 19 VII of title 49, United States Code, and shall be reviewed
- 20 in accordance with the procedure in section 46110 of such
- 21 title.
- (h) Definition.—In this section, the terms "airport
- 23 layout plan directly related to the agreement reached on
- 24 December 5, 2001" and "such airport layout plan" mean
- 25 a plan that shows—

- 1 (1) 6 parallel runways at O'Hare oriented in 2 the east-west direction with the capability for 4 si-3 multaneous independent visual aircraft arrivals in 4 both directions, and all associated taxiways, naviga-
- 5 tional facilities, and other related facilities; and
- 6 (2) closure of existing runways 14L-32R, 14R-7 32L and 18-36 at O'Hare.

8 SEC. 104. CLEAN AIR ACT.

- 9 (a) Implementation Plan.—An implementation
- 10 plan shall be prepared by the State of Illinois under the
- 11 Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.) in accordance with
- 12 the State's customary practices for accounting for and
- 13 regulating emissions associated with activity at commer-
- 14 cial service airports. The State shall not deviate from its
- 15 customary practices under the Clean Air Act for the pur-
- 16 pose of interfering with the construction of a runway pur-
- 17 suant to the redesign plan or the south surburban airport.
- 18 At the request of the Administrator of the Federal Avia-
- 19 tion Administration, the Administrator of the Environ-
- 20 mental Protection Agency shall, in consultation with the
- 21 Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, de-
- 22 termine that the foregoing condition has been satisfied be-
- 23 fore approving an implementation plan. Nothing in this
- 24 section shall be construed to affect the obligations of the

- 1 State under section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (42 2 U.S.C. 7506(c)).
- 3 (b) LIMITATION ON APPROVAL.—The Administrator
- 4 of the Federal Aviation Administration shall not approve
- 5 the runway redesign plan unless the Administrator of the
- 6 Federal Aviation Administration determines that the con-
- 7 struction and operation will include, to the maximum ex-
- 8 tent feasible, the best management practices then reason-
- 9 ably available to and used by operators of commercial serv-
- 10 ice airports to mitigate emissions regulated under the im-
- 11 plementation plan.
- 12 SEC. 105. MERRILL C. MEIGS FIELD.
- 13 The State of Illinois and the city of Chicago, Illinois,
- 14 have agreed to the following:
- 15 (1) Until January 1, 2026, the Administrator
- of the Federal Aviation Administration shall with-
- 17 hold all Federal airport grant funds respecting
- 18 O'Hare International Airport, other than grants in-
- volving national security and safety, unless the Ad-
- 20 ministrator is reasonably satisfied that the following
- 21 conditions have been met:
- 22 (A) Merrill C. Meigs Field in Chicago ei-
- 23 ther is being operated by the city of Chicago as
- an airport or has been closed by the Adminis-
- 25 tration for reasons beyond the city's control.

- 1 (B) The city of Chicago is providing, at its
 2 own expense, all off-airport roads and other ac3 cess, services, equipment, and other personal
 4 property that the city provided in connection
 5 with the operation of Meigs Field on and prior
 6 to December 1, 2001.
 - (C) The city of Chicago is operating Meigs Field, at its own expense, at all times as a public airport in good condition and repair open to all users capable of utilizing the airport and is maintaining the airport for such public operations at least from 6:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M. 7 days a week whenever weather conditions permit.
 - (D) The city of Chicago is providing or causing its agents or independent contractors to provide all services (including police and fire protection services) provided or offered at Meigs Field on or immediately prior to December 1, 2001, including tie-down, terminal, refueling, and repair services, at rates that reflect actual costs of providing such goods and services.
 - (2) If Meigs Field is closed by the Administration for reasons beyond the city of Chicago's control,

- the conditions described in subparagraphs (B) through (D) of paragraph (1) shall not apply.
- 3 (3) After January 1, 2006, the Administrator 4 shall not withhold Federal airport grant funds to the 5 extent the Administrator determines that with-6 holding of such funds would create an unreasonable 7 burden on interstate commerce.
 - (4) The Administrator shall not enforce the conditions listed in paragraph (1) if the State of Illinois enacts a law on or after January 1, 2006, authorizing the closure of Meigs Field.
 - (5) Net operating losses resulting from operation of Meigs Field, to the extent consistent with law, are expected to be paid by the 2 air carriers at O'Hare International Airport that paid the highest amount of airport fees and charges at O'Hare International Airport for the preceding calendar year. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the city of Chicago may use airport revenues generated at O'Hare International Airport to fund the operation of Meigs Field.

22 SEC. 106. APPLICATION WITH EXISTING LAW.

Nothing in this Act shall give any priority to or affect availability or amounts of funds under chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, to pay the costs of O'Hare Inter-

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	national Airport, improvements shown on an airport lay-
2	out plan directly related to the agreement reached by the
3	State of Illinois and the city of Chicago, Illinois, on De-
4	cember 5, 2001.
5	SEC. 107. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON QUIET AIRCRAFT TECH-
6	NOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.
7	It is the sense of the Congress that the Office of En-
8	vironment and Energy of the Federal Aviation Adminis-
9	tration should be funded to carry out noise mitigation pro-
10	gramming and quiet aircraft technology research and de-
11	velopment at a level of $\$37,000,000$ for fiscal year 2004
12	and \$47,000,000 for fiscal year 2005.
13	TITLE II—AIRPORT STREAM-
13	IIILE II—AIIII OILI SIILEANI-
13	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS
14	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS
14 15	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE.
14 15 16	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE. This title may be cited as the "Airport Streamlining"
14 15 16 17	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE. This title may be cited as the "Airport Streamlining Approval Process Act of 2002".
14 15 16 17	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE. This title may be cited as the "Airport Streamlining Approval Process Act of 2002". SEC. 202. FINDINGS.
14 15 16 17 18	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE. This title may be cited as the "Airport Streamlining Approval Process Act of 2002". SEC. 202. FINDINGS. Congress finds that—
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE. This title may be cited as the "Airport Streamlining Approval Process Act of 2002". SEC. 202. FINDINGS. Congress finds that— (1) airports play a major role in interstate and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LINING APPROVAL PROCESS SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE. This title may be cited as the "Airport Streamlining Approval Process Act of 2002". SEC. 202. FINDINGS. Congress finds that— (1) airports play a major role in interstate and foreign commerce;

- 1 (3) airport capacity enhancement projects at 2 congested airports are a national priority and should 3 be constructed on an expedited basis;
- 4 (4) airport capacity enhancement projects must 5 include an environmental review process that pro-6 vides local citizenry an opportunity for consideration 7 of and appropriate action to address environmental 8 concerns; and
- 9 (5) the Federal Aviation Administration, airport 10 authorities, communities, and other Federal, State, 11 and local government agencies must work together 12 to develop a plan, set and honor milestones and 13 deadlines, and work to protect the environment while 14 sustaining the economic vitality that will result from 15 the continued growth of aviation.

16 SEC. 203. PROMOTION OF NEW RUNWAYS.

- 17 Section 40104 of title 49, United States Code, is 18 amended by adding at the end the following:
- 19 "(c) Airport Capacity Enhancement Projects
- 20 AT CONGESTED AIRPORTS.—In carrying out subsection
- 21 (a), the Administrator shall take action to encourage the
- 22 construction of airport capacity enhancement projects at
- 23 congested airports as those terms are defined in section
- 24 47179.".

1 SEC. 204. AIRPORT PROJECT STREAMLINING.

- 2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 471 of title 49, United
- 3 States Code, is amended by inserting after section 47153
- 4 the following:
- 5 "SUBCHAPTER III—AIRPORT PROJECT
- 6 STREAMLINING

7 "§ 47171. **DOT** as lead agency

- 8 "(a) AIRPORT PROJECT REVIEW PROCESS.—The
- 9 Secretary of Transportation shall develop and implement
- 10 a coordinated review process for airport capacity enhance-
- 11 ment projects at congested airports.
- 12 "(b) COORDINATED REVIEWS.—The coordinated re-
- 13 view process under this section shall provide that all envi-
- 14 ronmental reviews, analyses, opinions, permits, licenses,
- 15 and approvals that must be issued or made by a Federal
- 16 agency or airport sponsor for an airport capacity enhance-
- 17 ment project at a congested airport will be conducted con-
- 18 currently, to the maximum extent practicable, and com-
- 19 pleted within a time period established by the Secretary,
- 20 in cooperation with the agencies identified under sub-
- 21 section (c) with respect to the project.
- 22 "(c) Identification of Jurisdictional Agen-
- 23 CIES.—With respect to each airport capacity enhancement
- 24 project at a congested airport, the Secretary shall identify,
- 25 as soon as practicable, all Federal and State agencies that
- 26 may have jurisdiction over environmental-related matters

- 1 that may be affected by the project or may be required
- 2 by law to conduct an environmental-related review or anal-
- 3 ysis of the project or determine whether to issue an envi-
- 4 ronmental-related permit, license, or approval for the
- 5 project.
- 6 "(d) STATE AUTHORITY.—If a coordinated review
- 7 process is being implemented under this section by the
- 8 Secretary with respect to a project at an airport within
- 9 the boundaries of a State, the State, consistent with State
- 10 law, may choose to participate in such process and provide
- 11 that all State agencies that have jurisdiction over environ-
- 12 mental-related matters that may be affected by the project
- 13 or may be required by law to conduct an environmental-
- 14 related review or analysis of the project or determine
- 15 whether to issue an environmental-related permit, license,
- 16 or approval for the project, be subject to the process.
- 17 "(e) Memorandum of Understanding.—The co-
- 18 ordinated review process developed under this section may
- 19 be incorporated into a memorandum of understanding for
- 20 a project between the Secretary and the heads of other
- 21 Federal and State agencies identified under subsection (c)
- 22 with respect to the project and the airport sponsor.
- 23 "(f) Effect of Failure To Meet Deadline.—
- 24 "(1) Notification of congress and CEQ.—
- 25 If the Secretary determines that a Federal agency,

State agency, or airport sponsor that is participating in a coordinated review process under this section with respect to a project has not met a deadline established under subsection (b) for the project, the Secretary shall notify, within 30 days of the date of such determination, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, the Council on Environmental Quality, and the agency or sponsor involved about the failure to meet the deadline.

"(2) AGENCY REPORT.—Not later than 30 days after date of receipt of a notice under paragraph (1), the agency or sponsor involved shall submit a report to the Secretary, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, and the Council on Environmental Quality explaining why the agency or sponsor did not meet the deadline and what actions it intends to take to complete or issue the required review, analysis, opinion, license, or approval.

"(g) Purpose and Need.—For any environmental review, analysis, opinion, permit, license, or approval that must be issued or made by a Federal or State agency that

- 1 is participating in a coordinated review process under this
- 2 section with respect to an airport capacity enhancement
- 3 project at a congested airport and that requires an anal-
- 4 ysis of purpose and need for the project, the agency, not-
- 5 withstanding any other provision of law, shall be bound
- 6 by the project purpose and need as defined by the Sec-
- 7 retary.
- 8 "(h) Alternatives Analysis.—The Secretary shall
- 9 determine the reasonable alternatives to an airport capac-
- 10 ity enhancement project at a congested airport. Any other
- 11 Federal or State agency that is participating in a coordi-
- 12 nated review process under this section with respect to the
- 13 project shall consider only those alternatives to the project
- 14 that the Secretary has determined are reasonable.
- 15 "(i) Solicitation and Consideration of Com-
- 16 MENTS.—In applying subsections (g) and (h), the Sec-
- 17 retary shall solicit and consider comments from interested
- 18 persons and governmental entities.

19 "§ 47172. Categorical exclusions

- 20 "Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment
- 21 of this section, the Secretary of Transportation shall de-
- 22 velop and publish a list of categorical exclusions from the
- 23 requirement that an environmental assessment or an envi-
- 24 ronmental impact statement be prepared under the Na-

- 1 tional Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321
- 2 et seq.) for projects at airports.

3 "§ 47173. Access restrictions to ease construction

- 4 "At the request of an airport sponsor for a congested
- 5 airport, the Secretary of Transportation may approve a
- 6 restriction on use of a runway to be constructed at the
- 7 airport to minimize potentially significant adverse noise
- 8 impacts from the runway only if the Secretary determines
- 9 that imposition of the restriction—
- 10 "(1) is necessary to mitigate those impacts and 11 expedite construction of the runway;
- 12 "(2) is the most appropriate and a cost-effective
- measure to mitigate those impacts, taking into con-
- sideration any environmental tradeoffs associated
- 15 with the restriction; and
- 16 "(3) would not adversely affect service to small
- 17 communities, adversely affect safety or efficiency of
- the national airspace system, unjustly discriminate
- against any class of user of the airport, or impose
- an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce.

21 "§ 47174. Airport revenue to pay for mitigation

- 22 "(a) In General.—Notwithstanding section
- 23 47107(b), section 47133, or any other provision of this
- 24 title, the Secretary of Transportation may allow an airport
- 25 sponsor carrying out an airport capacity enhancement

- 1 project at a congested airport to make payments, out of
- 2 revenues generated at the airport (including local taxes on
- 3 aviation fuel), for measures to mitigate the environmental
- 4 impacts of the project if the Secretary finds that—
- 5 "(1) the mitigation measures are included as
- 6 part of, or are consistent with, the preferred alter-
- 7 native for the project in the documentation prepared
- 8 pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act
- 9 of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.);
- 10 "(2) the use of such revenues will provide a sig-
- 11 nificant incentive for, or remove an impediment to,
- approval of the project by a State or local govern-
- ment; and
- 14 "(3) the cost of the mitigation measures is rea-
- sonable in relation to the mitigation that will be
- achieved.
- 17 "(b) MITIGATION OF AIRCRAFT NOISE.—Mitigation
- 18 measures described in subsection (a) may include the insu-
- 19 lation of residential buildings and buildings used primarily
- 20 for educational or medical purposes to mitigate the effects
- 21 of aircraft noise and the improvement of such buildings
- 22 as required for the insulation of the buildings under local
- 23 building codes.

1 "§ 47175. Airport funding of FAA staff

- 2 "(a) Acceptance of Sponsor-Provided
- 3 Funds.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the
- 4 Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration may
- 5 accept funds from an airport sponsor, including funds pro-
- 6 vided to the sponsor under section 47114(c), to hire addi-
- 7 tional staff or obtain the services of consultants in order
- 8 to facilitate the timely processing, review, and completion
- 9 of environmental activities associated with an airport de-
- 10 velopment project.
- 11 "(b) Administrative Provision.—Instead of pay-
- 12 ment from an airport sponsor from funds apportioned to
- 13 the sponsor under section 47114, the Administrator, with
- 14 agreement of the sponsor, may transfer funds that would
- 15 otherwise be apportioned to the sponsor under section
- 16 47114 to the account used by the Administrator for activi-
- 17 ties described in subsection (a).
- 18 "(c) Receipts Credited as Offsetting Collec-
- 19 Tions.—Notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, any
- 20 funds accepted under this section, except funds trans-
- 21 ferred pursuant to subsection (b)—
- 22 "(1) shall be credited as offsetting collections to
- 23 the account that finances the activities and services
- 24 for which the funds are accepted;

- 1 "(2) shall be available for expenditure only to
- 2 pay the costs of activities and services for which the
- funds are accepted; and
- 4 "(3) shall remain available until expended.
- 5 "(d) Maintenance of Effort.—No funds may be
- 6 accepted pursuant to subsection (a), or transferred pursu-
- 7 ant to subsection (b), in any fiscal year in which the Fed-
- 8 eral Aviation Administration does not allocate at least the
- 9 amount it expended in fiscal year 2002, excluding
- 10 amounts accepted pursuant to section 337 of the Depart-
- 11 ment of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropria-
- 12 tions Act, 2002 (115 Stat. 862), for the activities de-
- 13 scribed in subsection (a).

14 "§ 47176. Authorization of appropriations

- 15 "In addition to the amounts authorized to be appro-
- 16 priated under section 106(k), there is authorized to be ap-
- 17 propriated to the Secretary of Transportation, out of the
- 18 Airport and Airway Trust Fund established under section
- 19 9502 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C.
- 20 9502), \$2,100,000 for fiscal year 2003 and \$4,200,000
- 21 for each fiscal year thereafter to facilitate the timely proc-
- 22 essing, review, and completion of environmental activities
- 23 associated with airport capacity enhancement projects at
- 24 congested airports.

1 "§ 47177. Judicial review

- 2 "(a) FILING AND VENUE.—A person disclosing a
- 3 substantial interest in an order issued by the Secretary
- 4 of Transportation or the head of any other Federal agency
- 5 under this part or a person or agency relying on any deter-
- 6 mination made under this part may apply for review of
- 7 the order by filing a petition for review in the United
- 8 States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Cir-
- 9 cuit or in the court of appeals of the United States for
- 10 the circuit in which the person resides or has its principal
- 11 place of business. The petition must be filed not later than
- 12 60 days after the order is issued. The court may allow
- 13 the petition to be filed after the 60th day only if there
- 14 are reasonable grounds for not filing by the 60th day.
- 15 "(b) JUDICIAL PROCEDURES.—When a petition is
- 16 filed under subsection (a) of this section, the clerk of the
- 17 court immediately shall send a copy of the petition to the
- 18 Secretary or the head of any other Federal agency in-
- 19 volved. The Secretary or the head of such other agency
- 20 shall file with the court a record of any proceeding in
- 21 which the order was issued.
- 22 "(c) Authority of Court.—When the petition is
- 23 sent to the Secretary or the head of any other Federal
- 24 agency involved, the court has exclusive jurisdiction to af-
- 25 firm, amend, modify, or set aside any part of the order
- 26 and may order the Secretary or the head of such other

- 1 agency to conduct further proceedings. After reasonable
- 2 notice to the Secretary or the head of such other agency,
- 3 the court may grant interim relief by staying the order
- 4 or taking other appropriate action when good cause for
- 5 its action exists. Findings of fact by the Secretary or the
- 6 head of such other agency are conclusive if supported by
- 7 substantial evidence.
- 8 "(d) REQUIREMENT FOR PRIOR OBJECTION.—In re-
- 9 viewing an order of the Secretary or the head of any other
- 10 Federal agency under this section, the court may consider
- 11 an objection to the action of the Secretary or the head
- 12 of such other agency only if the objection was made in
- 13 the proceeding conducted by the Secretary or the head of
- 14 such other agency or if there was a reasonable ground for
- 15 not making the objection in the proceeding.
- 16 "(e) Supreme Court Review.—A decision by a
- 17 court under this section may be reviewed only by the Su-
- 18 preme Court under section 1254 of title 28.
- 19 "(f) Order Defined.—In this section, the term
- 20 'order' includes a record of decision or a finding of no sig-
- 21 nificant impact.

22 **"§ 47178. Definitions**

23 "In this subchapter, the following definitions apply:

- 1 "(1) AIRPORT SPONSOR.—The term 'airport 2 sponsor' has the meaning given the term 'sponsor' 3 under section 47102.
 - "(2) Congested airport.—The term 'congested airport' means an airport that accounted for at least 1 percent of all delayed aircraft operations in the United States in the most recent year for which such data is available and an airport listed in table 1 of the Federal Aviation Administration's Airport Capacity Benchmark Report 2001.
 - "(3) AIRPORT CAPACITY ENHANCEMENT PROJECT.—The term 'airport capacity enhancement project' means—
 - "(A) a project for construction or extension of a runway, including any land acquisition, taxiway, or safety area associated with the runway or runway extension; and
- 18 "(B) such other airport development 19 projects as the Secretary may designate as fa-20 cilitating a reduction in air traffic congestion 21 and delays.".
- 22 (b) Conforming Amendment.—The analysis for 23 chapter 471 of such title is amended by adding at the end 24 the following:

"SUBCHAPTER III—AIRPORT PROJECT STREAMLINING "47171. DOT as lead agency.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

"47172. Categorical exclusions.

```
"47173. Access restrictions to ease construction.
    "47174. Airport revenue to pay for mitigation.
    "47175. Airport funding of FAA staff.
    "47176. Authorization of appropriations.
    "47177. Judicial review.
    "47178. Definitions.".
    SEC. 205. GOVERNOR'S CERTIFICATE.
 2
         Section 47106(c) of title 49, United States Code, is
 3
    amended—
              (1) in paragraph (1)—
 4
 5
                   (A) by inserting "and" after the semicolon
 6
              at the end of subparagraph (A)(ii);
 7
                   (B) by striking subparagraph (B); and
 8
                   (C) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as
 9
              subparagraph (B);
10
              (2) in paragraph (2)(A) by striking "stage 2"
         and inserting "stage 3";
11
12
              (3) by striking paragraph (4); and
13
              (4) by redesignating paragraph (5) as para-
14
         graph (4).
15
    SEC. 206. CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN AIRPORT CAPACITY
16
                 PROJECTS.
17
         Section 47504(c)(2) of title 49, United States Code,
18
    is amended—
              (1) by striking "and" at the end of subpara-
19
20
         graph (C);
21
              (2) by striking the period at the end of sub-
         paragraph (D) and inserting "; and; and
22
```

1	(3) by adding at the end the following:
2	"(E) to an airport operator of a congested
3	airport (as defined in section 47178) and a unit
4	of local government referred to in paragraph
5	(1)(A) or (1)(B) of this subsection to carry out
6	a project to mitigate noise in the area sur-
7	rounding the airport if the project is included

8 as a commitment in a record of decision of the 9 Federal Aviation Administration for an airport

capacity enhancement project (as defined in 10

11 section 47178) even if that airport has not met

the requirements of part 150 of title 14, Code 12

13 of Federal Regulations.".

14 SEC. 207. LIMITATIONS.

- 15 Nothing in this Act, including any amendment made by this Act, shall preempt or interfere with—
- 17 (1) any practice of seeking public comment; and
- 18 (2) any power, jurisdiction, or authority of a
- 19 State agency or an airport sponsor has with respect

- 1 to carrying out an airport capacity enhancement
- 2 project.

Passed the House of Representatives July 23, 2002.

Attest:

Clerk.