Calendar No. 414

107TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. 2039

[Report No. 107–161]

To expand aviation capacity in the Chicago area.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 20, 2002

Mr. Durbin (for himself, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Harkin, Mr. Daschle, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Schumer, Mr. Nelson of Nebraska, Mr. Biden, Mrs. Carnahan, Mr. Dorgan, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Reid, Mr. Inhofe, Mr. Breaux, Mr. Conrad, Mr. Akaka, Mr. Bingaman, Mr. Kerry, Mr. Kohl, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. Burns, Mr. Reed, Mr. Torricelli, Mr. Inouye, Mr. Bayh, Mr. Graham, and Mr. Allard) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

June 11, 2002

Reported by Mr. Hollings, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

A BILL

To expand aviation capacity in the Chicago area.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 2 This Act may be cited as the "National Aviation Ca-
- 3 pacity Expansion Act of 2002".
- 4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 5 Congress makes the following findings:
- 6 (1) Prior to September 11, 2001, the United
 7 States air transportation system faced severe limita8 tions in airport capacity. As the aviation system re9 turns to its pre-September 11 levels, interstate com10 merce will be substantially constrained without air11 port expansion.
 - (2) Meeting the future demand of air travelers, expected to top 1,000,000,000 by 2010, is a challenge facing the United States today. Knowing that airport development, runway construction, and runway reconfiguration is a multiyear process, action is needed now.
 - (3) Communities, small and large, throughout the United States recognize, particularly after September 11, how critical air transportation is to local economies.
- 22 (4) Airport delays, because of lack of runway 23 capacity, are a serious impediment to interstate 24 commerce.
- 25 (5) Airport expansion, nationwide and at 26 O'Hare International Airport (in this Act referred to

as "O'Hare") and in the Chicago area, is essential to interstate commerce. Given the agreement of December 5, 2001, between the Mayor of Chicago and the Governor of Illinois concerning Chicago area airports, Congress wants to ensure that interstate commerce is not inhibited by future action or inaction on such expansion.

- (6) New runway construction projects are local decisions that are supported by the Federal Government through the Airport Improvement Program and other programs, subject to Federal regulation of aviation safety. For years, a debate over airport expansion in Illinois has stifled redesign of O'Hare and inhibited action on a new south suburban airport.
- (7) Because of O'Hare's central location, and the magnitude of the demand for air transportation services in northeast Illinois and northwest Indiana, O'Hare has an essential role in the national air transportation system. The reliability and efficiency of interstate air transportation for residents and businesses in many States depend on efficient processing of air traffic operations at O'Hare.
- (8) On June 15, 2001, the Committee on Commerce of the Senate held a field hearing in Chicago

- and directed the parties to settle their differences
 because of the importance of O'Hare and expansion
 to the national air transportation system.
 - (9) The Governor of Illinois and the Mayor of Chicago have determined that redesign of O'Hare and the development of an additional air carrier airport located near Peotone, Illinois, as described in this Act, are each necessary and desirable to provide reliable and efficient air commerce.
 - (10) On December 5, 2001, the Governor of Illinois and the Mayor of Chicago entered into a historic agreement concerning regional airport improvements and the regional air transportation system.
 - (11) This decision will benefit travelers throughout the country and should make the air transportation system more efficient.
 - (12) The importance of increasing commercial air service at the Gary-Chicago and Greater Rockford Airports is also recognized. By fully utilizing and enhancing these existing and immediately available facilities, Gary-Chicago and Greater Rockford Airports can help provide relief to congestion that may occur during the modernization and reconfiguration of O'Hare.

1 SEC. 3. AIRPORT CONSTRUCTION AND REDESIGN.

2	(a) O'HARE RUNWAY REDESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT
3	OF SOUTH SUBURBAN AIRPORT.—
4	(1) It is the policy of Congress that, given the
5	agreement between the Governor of Illinois and the
6	Mayor of the City of Chicago, redesign and recon-
7	struction of Chicago O'Hare International Airport in
8	Cook and DuPage Counties, Illinois, in accordance
9	with the runway redesign plan, and the development
10	of a south suburban airport in the Chicago metro-
11	politan region, are each necessary to improve the ef-
12	ficiency of, and relieve congestion in, the national air
13	transportation system.
14	(2)(A) The Administrator, consistent with Fed-
15	eral environmental laws and procedures, shall imple-
16	ment the Federal policy described in paragraph (1)
17	by facilitating approval, funding, construction, and
18	implementation of—
19	(i) the runway redesign plan upon receipt
20	of an application from Chicago for approval of
21	an airport layout plan that includes the runway
22	redesign plan; and
23	(ii) the south suburban airport upon re-
24	eeipt of an application from the State of Illinois
25	or a political subdivision thereof for approval of

1 an airport layout plan for a south suburban air2 port.

(B) The Administrator's actions under this subsection and implementation of each plan described in subparagraph (A) shall be subject to application of Federal laws with respect to environmental protection and environmental analysis, including the National Environmental Policy Act. Nothing in this section shall be deemed to amend or otherwise be inconsistent with such laws.

- (C) Implementation of each plan described in subparagraph (A) shall be subject to determination by the Administrator that the plan meets Federal aviation criteria regarding practicability, safety, and efficiency, and is consistent with Federal Aviation Administration design criteria.
- (3) The State of Illinois, including an instrumentality of the State, shall not enforce sections 38.01 and 47 of the Illinois Aeronautics Act with respect to the runway redesign plan.
- (4)(A) The State of Illinois, a political subdivision of the State, or authority of the State, or political subdivision that is not the owner or operator of O'Hare, may not regulate or otherwise attempt to control in any manner—

(i) aviation safety with respect to the design, operation, or use of the runway redesign plan, if construction of the runway redesign plan does not occur unless the Administrator finds that the plan satisfies the requirements of Federal law with respect to aviation safety;

(ii) application by the owner or operator of O'Hare for a grant under chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, to pay a portion of the costs of planning or construction of the runway redesign plan, or the receipt, collection, or use of the proceeds thereof; or

(iii) design, operation, or use of the runway redesign plan to the extent such regulation or other control by the State is otherwise prohibited.

(5)(A) An implementation plan shall be prepared by the State of Illinois under the Clean Air Act in accordance with the State's customary practices for accounting for and regulating emissions associated with activity at commercial service airports. No action of the State with respect to an implementation plan, or determination of conformity therewith, shall be made for the purpose of interfering directly or indirectly with timely construction of the

At the request of the Administrator, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall, in consultation with the Administrator, determine that the foregoing condition has been satisfied before approving an implementation plan. Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the obligations of the State under section 176(e) of the Clean Air Act.

- (B) The Administrator shall not approve the runway redesign plan unless the Administrator determines that the construction and operation will include, to the maximum extent feasible, the best management practices then reasonably available to and used by operators of commercial service airports to mitigate emissions regulated under the implementation plan.
- (b) PHASING OF CONSTRUCTION.—Approval by the Administrator of an airport layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan shall provide that construction shall not begin before January 1, 2011, on any runway oriented substantially in the east-west direction and located more than 2,500 feet south of existing runway 9R–27L.
- 23 (e) Western Public Roadway Access.—The Ad-24 ministrator shall not consider an airport layout plan sub-25 mitted by Chicago that includes the runway redesign plan,

- 1 unless it includes public roadway access through the exist-
- 2 ing western boundary of O'Hare to passenger terminal
- 3 and parking facilities located inside the boundary of
- 4 O'Hare and reasonably accessible to such western access.
- 5 Approval of western public roadway access shall be subject
- 6 to the condition that the cost of construction be paid for
- 7 from airport revenues consistent with Federal Aviation
- 8 Administration revenue use requirements.

(d) Noise Mitigation.—

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(1) Approval by the Administrator of an airport layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan shall require Chicago to offer acoustical treatment of all single-family houses and schools located within the 65 DNL noise contour for each construction phase of the runway redesign plan, subject to Federal Aviation Administration guidelines and specifications of general applicability. The Administrator may not approve the runway redesign plan unless Chicago provides the Administrator with information sufficient to demonstrate that the acoustical treatment required by this paragraph is feasible.

(2)(A) Approval by the Administrator of an airport layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan shall be subject to the condition that noise impact of aircraft operations at O'Hare in the calendar

1	year immediately following the year in which the
2	first new runway is first used, and in each calendar
3	year thereafter, will be less than the noise impact in
4	calendar year 2000.
5	(B) The Administrator shall make the deter-
6	mination described in subparagraph (Λ) —
7	(i) using, to the extent practicable, the pro-
8	cedures specified in part 150 of title 14, Code
9	of Federal Regulations;
10	(ii) using the same method for calendar
11	year 2000 and for each forecast year; and
12	(iii) by determining noise impact solely in
13	terms of the aggregate number of square miles
14	and the aggregate number of single-family
15	houses and schools exposed to 65 or greater
16	decibels using the DNL metric, including only
17	single-family houses and schools in existence on
18	the last day of calendar year 2000.
19	(C) The Administrator shall make the de-
20	termination described in subparagraph (B)
21	based on information provided by the city of
22	Chicago, which shall be independently verified
23	by the Administrator.
24	(D) The noise mitigation condition de-
25	scribed in this paragraph shall be enforceable

1 exclusively through the submission and approval 2 of a noise compatibility plan under part 150 of 3 title 14, Code of Federal Regulations. The noise 4 compatibility plan submitted by the city of Chi-5 eago shall provide for compliance with this 6 paragraph. The Administrator shall approve 7 measures sufficient for compliance with this 8 paragraph in accordance with procedures under 9 such part 150.

- (E) The United States shall have no financial responsibility or liability if operations at O'Hare in any year do not satisfy the noise mitigation condition of this paragraph.
- (e) SOUTH SUBURBAN AIRPORT FUNDING.—The Administrator shall give priority consideration to a letter of
 intent application submitted by the State of Illinois or a
 political subdivision thereof for the construction of the
 south suburban airport. The Administrator shall consider
 the letter not later than 90 days after the Administrator
 issues final approval of the airport layout plan for the
 south suburban airport.
- 22 (f) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—If the runway redesign 23 plan described in this section has not received all Federal, 24 State, and local permits and approvals necessary to begin 25 construction by December 31, 2004, the Administrator

10

11

12

1	shall submit a report to Congress within 120 days of such
2	date —
3	(1) identifying each permit and approval that
4	has not yet been issued;
5	(2) identifying the officer or agency responsible
6	for each such permit or approval;
7	(3) stating the Administrator's estimate of the
8	date on which each such permit or approval will be
9	issued;
10	(4) identifying any permits or approvals that, in
11	the Administrator's judgment, will not be issued;
12	(5) stating, after investigation, the reason that
13	each such permit or approval has been delayed or
14	will not be issued and the Administrator's judgment
15	with respect to the reasonableness of such delays or
16	refusals to issue; and
17	(6) setting forth a feasible plan for obtaining
18	the remaining permits and approvals and for con-
19	structing the runway redesign plan.
20	(g) MERRILL C. MEIGS FIELD.—
21	(1) Until January 1, 2026, the Administrator
22	shall withhold all airport grant funds respecting
23	O'Hare, other than grants involving national secu-
24	rity and safety unless the Administrator is reason.

	10
1	ably satisfied that the following conditions have been
2	met:
3	(A) Merrill C. Meigs Field in Chicago ei-
4	ther is being operated by Chicago as an airport
5	or has been closed by the Federal Aviation Ad-
6	ministration for reasons beyond Chicago's con-
7	trol.
8	(B) Chicago is providing, at its own ex-
9	pense, all off-airport roads and other access,
10	services, equipment, and other personal prop-
11	erty that Chicago provided in connection with
12	the operation of Meigs Field on and before to
13	December 1, 2001.
14	(C) Chicago is operating Meigs Field, at
15	its own expense, at all times as a public airport
16	in good condition and repair open to all users
17	capable of utilizing the airport, and is maintain-
18	ing the airport for such public operations at

(D) Chicago is providing or causing its agents or independent contractors to provide all services (including police and fire protection services) provided or offered at Meigs Field on or immediately prior to December 1, 2001, in-

least from 6 a.m. to 10 p.m. 7 days a week

whenever weather conditions permit.

- cluding tie-down, terminal, refueling, and repair
 services, at rates that reflect actual costs of
 providing such goods and services.
 - (2) After January 1, 2006, the Administrator shall not withhold grant funds under this Act to the extent the Administrator determines that withholding grant funds would create an unreasonable burden on interstate commerce.
 - (3) If Meigs Field is closed by the Federal Aviation Administration for reasons beyond Chicago's control, the conditions described in subparagraphs (B) through (D) of paragraph (1) shall not apply.
 - (4) The Administrator shall not enforce the conditions listed in paragraph (1) if the State of Illinois enacts a law on or after January 1, 2006, authorizing the closure of Meigs Field.
 - (5) Net operating losses resulting from operation of Meigs Field, to the extent consistent with law, are expected to be paid by the 2 air carriers at O'Hare that paid the highest amount of airport fees and charges at O'Hare for the preceding calendar year.
- 24 (6) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, 25 the city of Chicago may use airport revenues gen-

1	erated at O'Hare to fund the operation of Meigs
2	Field.
3	(h) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—An order issued by the Ad-
4	ministrator, in whole or in part, under this section shall
5	be deemed to be an order issued under part A of subtitle
6	VII of title 49, United States Code, and shall be reviewed
7	in accordance with the procedures in section 46110 of title
8	49, United States Code.
9	(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
10	(1) The term "runway redesign plan" means—
11	(A) 6 parallel runways at O'Hare oriented
12	in the east-west direction with the capability for
13	4 simultaneous independent visual aircraft ar-
14	rivals in both directions, and all associated
15	taxiways, navigational facilities, and other re-
16	lated facilities; and
17	(B) the closure of existing runways 14L-
18	32R, 14R-32L, and 18-36.
19	(2) The term "south suburban airport" means
20	an additional air carrier airport in the vicinity of
21	Peotone, Illinois.
22	(3) The term "Administrator" means the Ad-
23	ministrator of the Federal Aviation Administration
24	or the Administrator's designee.

1	(4) The term "State" means the State of Illi-
2	nois.
3	(5) The term "implementation plan" means an
4	applicable State implementation plan, maintenance
5	plan, or revision thereof under the Clean Air Act.
6	SEC. 4. APPLICATION WITH EXISTING LAW.
7	Nothing in this Act shall give any priority to or affect
8	availability or amounts of funds under chapter 471 of title
9	49, United States Code, to pay the costs of the O'Hare
10	runway redesign plan or O'Hare noise mitigation de-
11	scribed in section 3.
12	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
13	This Act may be cited as the "National Aviation Ca-
14	pacity Expansion Act of 2002".
15	SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
16	Congress makes the following findings:
17	(1) Prior to September 11, 2001, the United
18	States air transportation system faced severe limita-
19	tions in airport capacity. As the aviation system re-
20	turns to its pre-September 11 levels, interstate com-
21	merce will be substantially constrained without air-
22	port expansion.
23	(2) Meeting the future demand of air travelers,
24	expected to top 1,000,000,000 by 2013, is a challenge
25	facing the United States today. Knowing that airport

- development, runway construction, and runway re configuration is a multiyear process, action is needed
 now.
 - (3) Communities, small and large, throughout the United States recognize, particularly after September 11, how critical air transportation is to local economies.
 - (4) Airport delays, because of lack of runway capacity, are a serious impediment to interstate commerce.
 - (5) Airport expansion, nationwide and at O'Hare International Airport (in this Act referred to as "O'Hare") and in the Chicago area, is essential to interstate commerce. Given the agreement of December 5, 2001, between the Mayor of Chicago and the Governor of Illinois concerning Chicago area airports, Congress wants to ensure that interstate commerce is not inhibited by future action or inaction on such expansion.
 - (6) New runway construction projects are local decisions that are supported by the Federal Government through the Airport Improvement Program and other programs, subject to Federal regulation of aviation safety. For years, a debate over airport expan-

- sion in Illinois has stifled redesign of O'Hare and in hibited action on a new south suburban airport.
 - (7) Because of O'Hare's central location, and the magnitude of the demand for air transportation services in northeast Illinois and northwest Indiana, O'Hare has an essential role in the national air transportation system. The reliability and efficiency of interstate air transportation for residents and businesses in many States depend on efficient processing of air traffic operations at O'Hare.
 - (8) On June 15, 2001, the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation held a field hearing in Chicago and directed the parties to settle their differences because of the importance of O'Hare and expansion to the national air transportation system.
 - (9) The Governor of Illinois and the Mayor of Chicago have determined that redesign of O'Hare and the development of an additional air carrier airport located near Peotone, Illinois, as described in this Act, are each necessary and desirable to provide reliable and efficient air commerce.
 - (10) On December 5, 2001, the Governor of Illinois and the Mayor of Chicago entered into a historic

- agreement concerning regional airport improvements
 and the regional air transportation system.
- 3 (11) This decision will benefit travelers through-4 out the country and should make the air transpor-5 tation system more efficient.
- 6 (12) The importance of utilizing existing infra-7 structure, such as the Gary/Chicago Airport in Gary, 8 Indiana, and the Greater Rockford Airport, to allevi-9 ate air traffic congestion and provide additional ca-10 pacity in the greater Chicago metropolitan region is 11 also recognized. Current or future operations at the 12 Gary/Chicago Airport or the Greater Rockford Air-13 port may become a more important component of air 14 service in the region and the Federal Aviation Ad-15 ministration, in reviewing various expansion plans, 16 should take into account the role of these airports.

17 SEC. 3. AIRPORT CONSTRUCTION AND REDESIGN.

- 18 (a) O'Hare Runway Redesign and Development 19 of South Suburban Airport.—
- 20 (1) It is the policy of Congress that, given the
 21 agreement between the Governor of Illinois and the
 22 Mayor of the City of Chicago, redesign and recon23 struction of Chicago O'Hare International Airport in
 24 Cook and DuPage Counties, Illinois, in accordance
 25 with the runway redesign plan, and the development

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- of a south suburban airport in the Chicago metropolitan region, are each necessary to improve the efficiency of, and relieve congestion in, the national air transportation system.
 - (2)(A) The Administrator, consistent with Federal environmental and aviation laws and procedures, shall implement the Federal policy described in paragraph (1) by facilitating all agency reviews, and facilitating funding, construction, and implementation of—
 - (i) the runway redesign plan upon receipt of an application from Chicago for approval of an airport layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan; and
 - (ii) the south suburban airport upon receipt of an application from the State of Illinois or a political subdivision thereof for approval of an airport layout plan for a south suburban airport.
 - (B) The Administrator's actions under this subsection and implementation of each plan described in subparagraph (A) shall be subject to application of Federal laws with respect to environmental protection and environmental analysis, including the National Environmental Policy Act. Nothing in this section

- shall be deemed to amend or otherwise be inconsistent
 with such laws.
 - (C) Implementation of each plan described in subparagraph (A) shall be subject to determination by the Administrator that the plan meets Federal aviation criteria regarding practicability, safety, and efficiency, and is consistent with Federal Aviation Administration design criteria.
 - (D) The Administrator may not approve the runway redesign plan unless the Administrator determines that the plan should achieve improved capacity under both Instrument Flight Rule and Visual Flight Rule conditions.
 - (E) Nothing in this subsection shall be deemed to affect the property rights of any airport user at O'Hare.
 - (3) If the Administrator at any time after December 5, 2001, provides (or has provided) funds for planning of the runway redesign plan or construction of a runway pursuant to that plan, neither the State nor any instrumentality or political subdivision of the State, may enforce section 38.01 or section 47 of the Illinois Aeronautics Act with respect to the runway redesign plan. This paragraph shall not apply after the Administrator certifies that no additional

- funds will be provided under chapter 471 of title 49,
 United States Code, for planning of the runway redesign plan or construction of a runway pursuant to that plan.
 - (4) The State of Illinois, a political subdivision of the State, or authority of the State, or political subdivision that is not the owner or operator of O'Hare, may not regulate or otherwise attempt to control in any manner—
 - (A) aviation safety with respect to the design and construction of the runway redesign plan, except that construction of the runway may not begin unless the Administrator finds that the plan satisfies the requirements of Federal law with respect to aviation safety;
 - (B) application by the owner or operator of O'Hare for a grant under chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, to pay a portion of the costs of planning or construction of the runway redesign plan, or the receipt, collection, or use of the proceeds thereof; or
 - (C) design, operation, or use of the runway redesign plan to the extent such regulation or other control by the State is otherwise prohibited.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(5)(A) An implementation plan shall be prepared by the State of Illinois under the Clean Air Act in accordance with the State's customary practices for accounting for and regulating emissions associated with activity at commercial service airports. The State shall not deviate from its customary practices under the Clean Air Act for the purpose of interfering with the construction of a runway pursuant to the redesign plan or the south surburban airport. At the request of the Administrator, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall, in consultation with the Administrator, determine that the foregoing condition has been satisfied before approving an implementation plan. Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the obligations of the State under section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act.

(B) The Administrator shall not approve the runway redesign plan unless the Administrator determines that the construction and operation will include, to the maximum extent feasible, the best management practices then reasonably available to and used by operators of commercial service airports to mitigate emissions regulated under the implementation plan.

- 1 (6) Notwithstanding any other provision of this
- 2 Act, the Administrator is not required to approve the
- 3 proposed runway redesign plan.
- 4 (b) AIRPORT SAFETY.—Notwithstanding any other
- 5 provision of this Act, the Administrator shall not approve
- 6 the proposed runway redesign plan for O'Hare if the Ad-
- 7 ministrator determines that implementation of the plan
- 8 may increase the rate of runway incursions or degrade the
- 9 safety of departing aircraft or the safety of arriving aircraft
- 10 (including safety relating to the terminal approach control
- 11 or the vectoring of aircraft from the contiguous enroute air-
- 12 traffic airspace).
- 13 (c) Phasing of Construction.—Approval by the
- 14 Administrator of an airport layout plan that includes the
- 15 runway redesign plan shall provide that construction shall
- 16 not begin before January 1, 2011, on any runway oriented
- 17 substantially in the east-west direction and located more
- 18 than 2,500 feet south of existing runway 9R-27L.
- 19 (d) Western Public Roadway Access.—The Ad-
- 20 ministrator shall not consider an airport layout plan sub-
- 21 mitted by Chicago that includes the runway redesign plan,
- 22 unless it includes public roadway access through the exist-
- 23 ing western boundary of O'Hare to passenger terminal and
- 24 parking facilities located inside the boundary of O'Hare
- 25 and reasonably accessible to such western access. Approval

- 1 of western public roadway access shall be subject to the con-
- 2 dition that the costs of construction will be paid from air-
- 3 port revenues only to the extent that such costs are con-
- 4 sistent with Federal Aviation Administration revenue use
- 5 requirements.

6 (e) Noise Mitigation.—

(1) Approval by the Administrator of an airport layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan shall require Chicago to offer acoustical treatment of all residential units and schools located within the 65 DNL noise contour for each construction phase of the runway redesign plan, subject to Federal Aviation Administration guidelines and specifications of general applicability. The Administrator may not approve the runway redesign plan unless Chicago provides the Administrator with information sufficient to demonstrate that the acoustical treatment required by this paragraph is feasible.

(2)(A) Approval by the Administrator of an airport layout plan that includes the runway redesign plan shall be subject to the condition that noise impact of aircraft operations at O'Hare in the calendar year immediately following the year in which the first new runway is first used, and in each calendar year

1	thereafter, will be less than the noise impact in cal-
2	endar year 2000.
3	(B) The noise impact shall be calculated by the
4	City of Chicago—
5	(i) using, to the extent practicable, the pro-
6	cedures specified in part 150 of title 14, Code of
7	$Federal\ Regulations;$
8	(ii) using the same method for calendar
9	year 2000 and for each forecast year; and
10	(iii) by determining noise impact solely in
11	terms of the aggregate number of square miles
12	and the aggregate number of residential units
13	and schools exposed to 65 or greater decibels
14	using the DNL metric, including only residential
15	units and schools in existence on the last day of
16	calendar year 2000.
17	(C) The Administrator shall independently
18	verify the calculation described in subparagraph (B)
19	based on information provided by the City of Chicago,
20	which shall be certified by the City as true and com-
21	plete, consistent with part 150 procedures.
22	(D) The noise mitigation condition described in
23	this paragraph shall be implemented and monitored
24	exclusively through the submission and approval of a
25	noise compatibility plan under part 150 of title 14,

- 1 Code of Federal Regulations. The noise compatibility
- 2 plan submitted by the city of Chicago shall provide
- 3 for compliance with this paragraph. The Adminis-
- 4 trator shall approve measures submitted for compli-
- 5 ance with this paragraph in accordance with proce-
- 6 dures and criteria under such part 150.
- 7 (E) The United States shall have no financial
- 8 responsibility or liability if operations at O'Hare in
- 9 any year do not satisfy the noise mitigation condition
- of this paragraph.
- 11 (f) South Suburban Airport Funding.—The Ad-
- 12 ministrator shall give priority consideration to a letter of
- 13 intent application submitted by the State of Illinois or a
- 14 political subdivision thereof for the construction of the south
- 15 suburban airport. The Administrator shall consider the let-
- 16 ter not later than 90 days after the Administrator issues
- 17 final approval of the airport layout plan for the south sub-
- 18 urban airport.
- 19 (g) Gary/Chicago Airport Funding.—The Admin-
- 20 istrator shall give priority consideration to a letter of intent
- 21 application for funding submitted by the City of Gary, In-
- 22 diana, or the State of Indiana, for the extension of the main
- 23 runway at the Gary/Chicago Airport. The letter of intent
- 24 application shall be considered upon completion of the envi-
- 25 ronmental impact statement and benefit cost analysis in

1	accordance with Federal Aviation Administration require-
2	ments. The Administrator shall consider the letter not later
3	than 90 days after receiving it from the applicant.
4	(h) Report to Congress.—If the runway redesign
5	plan described in this section has not received all Federal,
6	State, and local permits and approvals necessary to begin
7	construction by December 31, 2004, the Administrator shall
8	submit a status report to the Senate Committee on Com-
9	merce, Science, and Transportation and the House of Rep-
10	resentatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
11	ture within 120 days of such date identifying each permit
12	and approval necessary for the project and the status of
13	each such action.
14	(i) Merrill C. Meigs Field.—
15	(1) Until January 1, 2026, the Administrator
16	shall withhold all airport grant funds respecting
17	O'Hare, other than grants involving national security
18	and safety, unless the Administrator is reasonably
19	satisfied that the following conditions have been met.
20	(A) Merrill C. Meigs Field in Chicago either
21	is being operated by Chicago as an airport or
22	has been closed by the Federal Aviation Adminis-
23	tration for reasons beyond Chicago's control.
24	(B) Chicago is providing, at its own ex-
25	pense, all off-airport roads and other access.

- services, equipment, and other personal property
 that Chicago provided in connection with the operation of Meigs Field on and before December 1,
 2001.
 - (C) Chicago is operating Meigs Field, at its own expense, at all times as a public airport in good condition and repair open to all users capable of utilizing the airport, and is maintaining the airport for such public operations at least from 6 a.m. to 10 p.m. 7 days a week whenever weather conditions permit.
 - (D) Chicago is providing or causing its agents or independent contractors to provide all services (including police and fire protection services) provided or offered at Meigs Field on or immediately prior to December 1, 2001, including tie-down, terminal, refueling, and repair services, at rates that reflect actual costs of providing such goods and services.
 - (2) After January 1, 2006, the Administrator shall not withhold grant funds under this Act to the extent the Administrator determines that withholding grant funds would create an unreasonable burden on interstate commerce.

- (3) If Meigs Field is closed by the Federal Avia tion Administration for reasons beyond Chicago's
 control, the conditions described in subparagraphs
 (B) through (D) of paragraph (1) shall not apply.
 - (4) The Administrator shall not enforce the conditions listed in paragraph (1) if the State of Illinois enacts a law on or after January 1, 2006, authorizing the closure of Meigs Field.
 - (5) Net operating losses resulting from operation of Meigs Field, to the extent consistent with law, are expected to be paid by the 2 air carriers at O'Hare that paid the highest amount of airport fees and charges at O'Hare for the preceding calendar year.
 - (6) Notwithstanding any provision of title 49, United States Code, the city of Chicago may use airport revenues generated at O'Hare to fund operating and maintenance costs associated with Meigs Field.
- 18 (j) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—An order issued by the Admin19 istrator, in whole or in part, under this section shall be
 20 deemed to be an order issued under part A of subtitle VII
 21 of title 49, United States Code, and shall be reviewed in
 22 accordance with the procedures in section 46110 of title 49,
 23 United States Code.
- 24 (k) Definitions.—In this section:
- 25 (1) The term "runway redesign plan" means—

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	(A) 6 parallel runways at O'Hare oriented
2	in the east-west direction with the capability for
3	4 simultaneous independent visual aircraft ar-
4	rivals in both directions, and all associated
5	taxiways, navigational facilities, and other re-
6	lated facilities; and
7	(B) the closure of existing runways 14L-
8	32R, 14R-32L, and 18-36.
9	(2) The term "south suburban airport" means
10	an additional air carrier airport in the vicinity of
11	Peotone, Illinois.
12	(3) The term "Administrator" means the Admin-
13	istrator of the Federal Aviation Administration or the
14	Administrator's designee.
15	(4) The term "State" means the State of Illinois.
16	(5) The term "implementation plan" means an
17	applicable State implementation plan, maintenance
18	plan, or revision thereof under the Clean Air Act.
19	SEC. 4. APPLICATION WITH EXISTING LAW.
20	Nothing in this Act shall give any priority to an ap-
21	plication for or affect availability or amounts of funds
22	under chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, to pay
23	the costs of the O'Hare runway redesign plan or O'Hare
24	noise mitigation described in section 3.

1 SEC. 5. COMPETITIVE ACCESS REQUIREMENTS.

2	In providing funds to implement the runway redesign
3	plans under section 3, the Administrator of the Federal
4	Aviation Administration shall receive adequate assurances
5	from the City of Chicago that—
6	(1) gates and associated facilities are available,
7	or will be made available, at costs that are fair, rea-
8	sonable, and non-discriminatory to all air carriers
9	currently serving or seeking to serve Chicago O'Hare
10	International Airport with scheduled air service;
11	(2) gates and associated facilities subject to ex-
12	clusive use agreements as of the date of the enactment
13	of this Act are, or will be, converted to preferential-
14	use or common-use as soon as practicable;
15	(3) gates and associated facilities either relin-
16	quished by terminal signatories or added to the base
17	of facilities at that airport as the result of projects to
18	expand, redevelop, or redesign existing facilities or to
19	construct new facilities, are, or will be, designated for
20	preferential-use or common-use agreements; and
21	(4) it will conduct a comprehensive inventory of
22	gates and associated facilities as of the date of the en-
23	actment of this Act to establish the base of facilities
24	at Chicago O'Hare International Airport that—

1	(A) identifies the type of use or lease agree-
2	ment in effect for every gate and associated facil-
3	ity at that airport; and
4	(B) will be made publicly available.

Calendar No. 414

 $^{\tiny 107\text{TH CONGRESS}}_{\tiny 2D \ SESSION} \ \textbf{S. 2039}$

[Report No. 107-161]

A BILL

To expand aviation capacity in the Chicago area.

June 11, 2002 Reported with an amendment