

107TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 2509

To amend the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 to specify additional selection criteria for the 2005 round of defense base closures and realignments, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MAY 13 (legislative day, MAY 9), 2002

Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. LOTT, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. INOUE, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. CRAIG, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. SHELBY, and Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To amend the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 to specify additional selection criteria for the 2005 round of defense base closures and realignments, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Transparent and En-
5 hanced Criteria Act of 2002”.

1 **SEC. 2. ADDITIONAL SELECTION CRITERIA FOR 2005**
2 **ROUND OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND RE-**
3 **ALIGNMENT.**

4 (a) **ADDITIONAL SELECTION CRITERIA.**—Section
5 2913 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act
6 of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510;
7 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), as added by section 3002 of the
8 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002
9 (Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1344), is further
10 amended—

11 (1) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), and
12 (f) as subsections (e), (f), and (g), respectively; and

13 (2) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
14 lowing new subsection (d):

15 “(d) **ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.**—The selection
16 criteria for military installations shall also address the fol-
17 lowing:

18 “(1) Force structure and mission requirements
19 through 2020, as specified by the document entitled
20 ‘Joint Vision 2020’ issued by the Joint Chiefs of
21 Staff, including—

22 “(A) mobilization requirements; and

23 “(B) requirements for utilization of facili-
24 ties by the Department of Defense and by other
25 departments and agencies of the United States,
26 including—

1 “(i) joint use by two or more Armed
2 Forces; and

3 “(ii) use by one or more reserve com-
4 ponents.

5 “(2) The availability and condition of facilities,
6 land, and associated airspace, including—

7 “(A) proximity to mobilization points, in-
8 cluding points of embarkation for air or rail
9 transportation and ports; and

10 “(B) current, planned, and programmed
11 military construction.

12 “(3) Considerations regarding ranges and air-
13 space, including—

14 “(A) uniqueness; and

15 “(B) existing or potential physical, electro-
16 magnetic, or other encroachment.

17 “(4) Force protection.

18 “(5) Costs and effects of relocating critical in-
19 frastructure, including—

20 “(A) military construction costs at receiv-
21 ing military installations and facilities;

22 “(B) environmental costs, including costs
23 of compliance with Federal and State environ-
24 mental laws;

1 “(C) termination costs and other liabilities
2 associated with existing contracts or agreements
3 involving outsourcing or privatization of serv-
4 ices, housing, or facilities used by the Depart-
5 ment;

6 “(D) effects on co-located entities of the
7 Department;

8 “(E) effects on co-located Federal agen-
9 cies;

10 “(F) costs of transfers and relocations of
11 civilian personnel, and other workforce consider-
12 ations.

13 “(6) Homeland security requirements.

14 “(7) State or local support for a continued
15 presence by the Department, including—

16 “(A) current or potential public or private
17 partnerships in support of Department activi-
18 ties; and

19 “(B) the capacity of States and localities
20 to respond positively to economic effects and
21 other effects.

22 “(8) Applicable lessons from previous rounds of
23 defense base closure and realignment, including dis-
24 parities between anticipated savings and actual sav-
25 ings.

1 “(9) Anticipated savings and other benefits,
2 including—

3 “(A) enhancement of capabilities through
4 improved use of remaining infrastructure; and

5 “(B) the capacity to relocate units and
6 other assets.

7 “(10) Any other considerations that the Sec-
8 retary of Defense considers appropriate.”.

9 (b) WEIGHTING OF CRITERIA FOR TRANSPARENCY
10 PURPOSES.—Subsection (a) of such section 2913 is
11 amended—

12 (1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as para-
13 graph (3); and

14 (2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the fol-
15 lowing new paragraph (2):

16 “(2) WEIGHTING OF CRITERIA.—At the same
17 time the Secretary publishes the proposed criteria
18 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall publish in
19 the Federal Register the formula proposed to be
20 used by the Secretary in assigning weight to the var-
21 ious proposed criteria in making recommendations
22 for the closure or realignment of military installa-
23 tions inside the United States under this part in
24 2005.”.

○