107TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. 2739

To provide for post-conviction DNA testing, to improve competence and performance of prosecutors, defense counsel, and trial judges handling State capital criminal cases, to ensure the quality of defense counsel in Federal capital cases, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

July 17, 2002

Mr. Hatch (for himself, Mr. DeWine, Mr. Lott, Mr. Domenici, Mr. Bunning, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Kyl, Mr. McConnell, Mr. Sessions, Mr. Santorum, Mr. Hutchinson, Mr. Thurmond, and Mr. Helms) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To provide for post-conviction DNA testing, to improve competence and performance of prosecutors, defense counsel, and trial judges handling State capital criminal cases, to ensure the quality of defense counsel in Federal capital cases, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
- 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
- 5 "Death Penalty Integrity Act of 2002".

1 (b) Table of Contents for

2 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Findings.

TITLE I—POST-CONVICTION DNA TESTING IN FEDERAL COURT

- Sec. 101. Federal post-conviction DNA testing.
- Sec. 102. State post-conviction DNA testing.
- Sec. 103. Repeal.

TITLE II—ENSURING FAIR CAPITAL TRIALS IN STATE AND LOCAL COURTS

- Sec. 201. Grants to train prosecutors, defense counsel, and State and local judges handling State capital cases.
- Sec. 202. Ensuring competent counsel in Federal death penalty cases.
- Sec. 203. Ensuring competent counsel in noncapital cases.

3 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 4 Congress makes the following findings:
- 5 (1) In the last decade, deoxyribonucleic acid
- 6 testing (referred to in this Act as "DNA testing")
- 7 has emerged as the most reliable forensic technique
- 8 for identifying criminals when biological evidence of
- 9 the crime is obtained. DNA testing "has been ac-
- 10 knowledged by the courts as well as the national sci-
- entific community for its extraordinary degree of ac-
- curacy in matching cellular material to individuals".
- Commonwealth v. Brison, 618 A.2d 420 (S. Ct. Pa.
- 14 1992).
- 15 (2) In many cases, DNA testing of biological
- evidence can reveal relevant evidence of a crime, and
- in a narrow class of cases, it can conclusively prove
- the guilt or innocence of a criminal defendant. In

- many other cases, however, DNA testing can provide
 only inconclusive or irrelevant evidence.
 - (3) While DNA testing is standard in pretrial investigations in every State today, it was not widely available prior to the early 1990's. In addition, new DNA testing technologies have been developed that can accurately examine minute samples and obtain more discriminating results than earlier forms of DNA testing.
 - (4) DNA testing may be possible on biological evidence that is more than a decade old. Because biological evidence, such as semen or hair from a rape, is often preserved by authorities years after trial, it has become possible to submit preserved biological evidence to DNA testing. In cases that were tried before DNA technology existed, and in which biological evidence was preserved after conviction, post-conviction testing may be feasible.
 - (5) Even within this narrow class of cases that occurred before DNA technology existed, and in which biological evidence was preserved, post-conviction testing is appropriate only if the identity of the perpetrator was an issue at trial, and DNA testing has the potential to exonerate the defendant of the crime for which he was convicted of beyond a rea-

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sonable doubt. To authorize post-conviction testing in a broader category of cases would lead to a waste of scarce prosecutorial and judicial resources without increasing the likelihood of determining whether an innocent person was wrongfully convicted.

(6) Twenty-five of 38 States which have capital punishment have enacted post-conviction DNA testing programs, and 6 States have pending legislation to implement DNA programs. Several States, including Illinois, New York, and Arizona, have enacted statutes that authorize post-conviction DNA testing where such testing has the potential to exonerate a defendant. For example, in People v. Savory, 722, N.E.2d 220, 224 (Ill. 1999), the court, after an exhaustive examination of the Illinois post-conviction DNA testing statute, concluded that "the legislature intended to provide a process of total vindication...[I]n using the term 'actual innocence', the legislature intended to limit the scope of the [Illinois statute, allowing for scientific testing only where it has the potential to exonerate a defendant.". In Savory, the court denied post-conviction testing because "although DNA testing carries the possibility of weakening the State's original case against de-

- fendant, it does not have the potential to prove him innocent".
- (7) Because DNA testing is standard in pretrial investigations in every State today, the issue of post-conviction DNA testing involves only a narrow class of cases prosecuted before DNA technology existed.

 In the near future, the need for post-conviction DNA testing will cease because of the availability of pretrial testing with advanced technologies.
 - (8) In the last decade, post-conviction DNA testing has exonerated innocent persons who were wrongly convicted in trials that occurred before DNA testing existed. In some of these cases, the post-conviction DNA testing that exonerated a wrongly convicted person also provided evidence that led to the apprehension of the actual perpetrator.
 - (9) Under Federal law, it is difficult to obtain post-conviction DNA testing because of time limits on introducing newly discovered evidence. Under Federal law, such a motion must be made not later than 3 years after the date of conviction. These time limits are based on the fact that evidence becomes less reliable after the passage of time and, as a result, it is difficult to prosecute criminal cases years after the crime occurred.

1	(10) The time limits on introducing newly dis-
2	covered evidence should not bar post-conviction
3	DNA testing in appropriate cases because DNA test-
4	ing can produce accurate results on biological evi-
5	dence that is more than a decade old. Unlike other
6	evidence, the results of DNA testing are not nec-
7	essarily less reliable after the passage of time.

(11) Once post-conviction DNA testing is performed, the results of such testing should be considered as newly discovered evidence by the courts. If post-conviction testing produces exculpatory evidence, the defendant should be allowed to move for a new trial based on newly discovered evidence, not-withstanding the time limits on such motions applicable to other forms of newly discovered evidence.

16 TITLE I—POST-CONVICTION DNA 17 TESTING IN FEDERAL COURT

- 18 SEC. 101. FEDERAL POST-CONVICTION DNA TESTING.
- 19 (a) Federal Criminal Procedure.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Part II of title 18, United
 States Code, is amended by inserting after chapter
- 22 228 the following:

"CHAPTER 228A—POST-CONVICTION DNA

24 **TESTING**

23

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

[&]quot;Sec.

[&]quot;3600. DNA testing.

[&]quot;3600A. Prohibition on destruction of biological evidence.

"§ 3600. DNA testing

2	"(a) Motion.—
3	"(1) In general.—An individual who, after a
4	trial, was convicted of a Federal crime and is serving
5	a term of imprisonment as a result of that convic-
6	tion (referred to in this section as the 'applicant')
7	may file a written motion to the court that entered
8	the judgment of conviction for the performance of
9	forensic DNA testing on specified evidence that—
10	"(A) was secured in relation to the inves-
11	tigation or prosecution that resulted in the con-
12	viction of the applicant; and
13	"(B) was not subject to DNA testing be-
14	cause the technology for such testing was not
15	available to the applicant at the time of the
16	trial.
17	"(2) Contents.—A motion filed under para-
18	graph (1) shall—
19	"(A) include an assertion by the applicant,
20	under penalty of perjury, that the applicant was
21	actually innocent of—
22	"(i) the offense for which the appli-
23	cant was convicted; or
24	"(ii) evidence introduced at the trial
25	of the offense of conviction or relied upon
26	by the court at sentencing, the exoneration

1	of which would result in a mandatory re-
2	duction of the applicant's sentence;
3	"(B) identify the specific evidence secured
4	in relation to the investigation or prosecution
5	that resulted in the conviction of the applicant
6	for which DNA testing is requested;
7	"(C) identify a theory of defense, not in-
8	consistent with previously asserted theories,
9	which would establish the actual innocence of
10	the applicant, and explain how the requested
11	DNA testing would substantiate that theory of
12	defense;
13	"(D) make a prima facie showing that the
14	conditions set forth in this section for issuance
15	of a testing order are satisfied; and
16	"(E) certify that the applicant—
17	"(i) will provide a DNA sample from
18	the applicant for purposes of comparison;
19	and
20	"(ii) waives, in all Federal and State
21	jurisdictions, the right to raise as a de-
22	fense any limitation period for prosecution
23	for any other offense in any subsequent
24	criminal prosecution which is discovered as
25	a result of a comparison of the applicant's

1	DNA to any Federal or State DNA data-
2	base.
3	"(3) Purpose.—A motion filed under para-
4	graph (1) must be filed for the purpose of dem-
5	onstrating the actual innocence of the applicant, not
6	to delay the execution of sentence or administration
7	of justice.
8	"(b) Time Period for Filing Motion.—A motion
9	filed under subsection (a) shall be considered timely if it
10	is filed within 60 months after the date of enactment of
11	this section.
12	"(c) Notice to the Government; Preservation
13	ORDER; APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL.—
14	"(1) Notice.—Upon receipt of a motion filed
15	under subsection (a), the court shall notify the Gov-
16	ernment and shall afford the Government a reason-
17	able time period to respond to the motion.
18	"(2) Preservation order.—To the extent
19	necessary to carry out proceedings under this sec-
20	tion, the court may direct the Government to pre-
21	serve evidence to which a motion under subsection
22	(a) relates.
23	"(3) Appointment of Counsel.—The court
24	may appoint counsel for an indigent applicant under

1	this section in the same manner as in a proceeding
2	under section 3006A(a)(2)(B).
3	"(d) Order for DNA Testing.—The court shall
4	order the DNA testing requested in a motion filed under
5	subsection (a) if, after a review of the trial record, the
6	court finds that—
7	"(1) the applicant's motion satisfies the re-
8	quirements of subsection (a);
9	"(2) the identity of the perpetrator was at issue
10	in the trial that resulted in the conviction of the ap-
11	plicant;
12	"(3) the evidence to be tested is in the posses-
13	sion of the Government and has been subject to a
14	chain of custody and retained under conditions suffi-
15	cient to ensure that the evidence has not been sub-
16	stituted, contaminated, tampered with, replaced, or
17	altered in any respect material to the requested
18	DNA testing;
19	"(4)(A) the technology for the requested DNA
20	testing was not available at the time of trial; or
21	"(B) if any of the evidence was previously sub-
22	jected to DNA testing, the testing now requested
23	uses a newer DNA testing technique that is reason-
24	ably certain to provide results that are substantially

1	more accurate and probative than any previous DNA
2	testing of the evidence;
3	"(5) the proposed DNA testing is reasonable in
4	scope, uses scientifically sound methods, and is con-
5	sistent with accepted forensic practice;
6	"(6) the applicant would be entitled to either a
7	new trial under subsection (h)(5) or a reduction of
8	sentence under subsection (h)(6) where the results
9	of the requested DNA testing exclude the defendant
10	as the source of the DNA in the evidence; and
11	"(7) the applicant's motion is—
12	"(A) filed in a timely manner; and
13	"(B) filed for the purpose of dem-
14	onstrating the actual innocence of the applicant
15	and not to delay the execution of sentence or
16	administration of justice.
17	"(e) Testing Procedures.—
18	"(1) In general.—The court shall direct that
19	any DNA testing ordered under this section be car-
20	ried out by the Federal Bureau of Investigation
21	(FBI).
22	"(2) Inability to test dna.—If the FBI is
23	unable to conduct such DNA testing, the court—

1	"(A) on motion of the applicant for good
2	cause shown, may direct that such DNA testing
3	be conducted by another laboratory; and
4	"(B) shall make all necessary orders to en-
5	sure the integrity of the evidence and the reli-
6	ability of the testing process and test results.
7	"(3) Costs.—The costs of any DNA testing or-
8	dered under this section shall be paid—
9	"(A) by the applicant; or
10	"(B) in the case of an applicant who is in-
11	digent, by the court.
12	"(f) Time Limitation in Capital Cases.—In any
13	case in which the applicant is sentenced to death—
14	"(1) any DNA testing ordered under this sec-
15	tion shall be completed not later than 120 days after
16	the date on which the Government responds to the
17	motion filed under subsection (a); and
18	"(2) not later than 30 days after the date on
19	which the DNA testing ordered under this section is
20	completed, the court shall order any post-testing
21	procedures under subsection (h).
22	"(g) Reporting of Test Results.—
23	"(1) IN GENERAL.—The results of any DNA
24	testing ordered under this section shall be simulta-

1	neously disclosed to the court, the applicant, and the
2	Government.
3	"(2) CODIS.—The Government shall include
4	any test results relating to the DNA of the applicant
5	in the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS).
6	"(h) Post-Testing Procedures; Filing of Mo-
7	TIONS FOR NEW TRIAL OR REDUCTION OF SENTENCE
8	AND COURT ORDERS.—
9	"(1) In general.—If DNA testing results ob-
10	tained under this section are inconclusive or show
11	that the applicant was the source of DNA in the evi-
12	dence, the court shall deny the applicant relief.
13	"(2) Procedures.—Where the testing results
14	show that the applicant was the source of DNA in
15	the evidence, the court shall, on motion of the
16	Government—
17	"(A) make a determination whether the
18	applicant's assertion of actual innocence was
19	false, and, if the court makes such a finding,
20	the court may hold the applicant in contempt;
21	"(B) assess against the applicant the cost
22	of any DNA testing carried out under this sec-
23	tion;
24	"(C) forward the finding to the Director of
25	the Bureau of Prisons, who, upon receipt of

1	such a finding, may deny, wholly or in part, the
2	good conduct credit authorized under section
3	3624 on the basis of that finding; and
4	"(D) if the applicant is subject to the ju-
5	risdiction of the United States Parole Commis-
6	sion, forward the finding to the Commission so
7	that the Commission may deny parole on the
8	basis of that finding.
9	"(3) Sentence.—In any prosecution of an ap-
10	plicant under chapter 79 for false assertions or other
11	conduct in proceedings under this section, the court,
12	upon conviction of the applicant, shall sentence the
13	applicant to a term of imprisonment of not less than
14	3 years, which shall run consecutively with any other
15	term of imprisonment the applicant is serving.
16	"(4) MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL OR REDUCTION
17	OF SENTENCE.—If the DNA test results obtained
18	under this section exclude the applicant as the
19	source of the DNA in the evidence, the applicant
20	may, within 60 days of notification of the DNA tests
21	results—
22	"(A) file a motion for a new trial pursuant
23	to rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Criminal
24	Procedure, notwithstanding any provision of law

that would bar such a motion as untimely; or

"(B) file a motion to reduce sentence, if applicable, pursuant to rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notwithstanding any provision of law that would bar such a motion as untimely.

"(5) PROCEDURE FOR GRANTING OF NEW TRIAL MOTION.—The court shall grant the applicant's motion for a new trial, pursuant to rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, if the DNA testing results, when considered with all other evidence in the case (whether or not such evidence was introduced at trial), establish by clear and convincing evidence that no reasonable factfinder would have found the applicant guilty of the offense for which the applicant was convicted.

"(6) PROCEDURE FOR GRANTING OF MOTION TO REDUCE SENTENCE.—The court shall grant the applicant's motion for reduction of sentence, pursuant to rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, if the DNA testing results, when considered with all other evidence in the case (whether or not such evidence was introduced at trial), establish that the applicant is entitled to a mandatory reduction of sentence.

- 1 "(i) Final Order.—An order granting or denying
- 2 DNA testing requested under subsection (a) or an order
- 3 granting or denying a motion for a new trial or motion
- 4 to reduce sentence under subsection (h) is a final order
- 5 for purposes of section 1291 of title 28.
- 6 "(j) Time Limits Inapplicable; Other Remedies
- 7 Unaffected.—
- 8 "(1) Time limit for relief.—Notwith-
- 9 standing any time limit otherwise applicable to mo-
- tions for new trials or a reduction of sentence based
- on newly discovered evidence, a court may grant re-
- lief to an applicant at any time under subsection (h).
- 13 "(2) Time limit for prosecution.—Notwith-
- standing any time limit otherwise applicable to the
- 15 commencement of prosecution of a defendant, the
- 16 Government may commence at any time the prosecu-
- tion of an applicant for any offense discovered
- through comparison of the DNA of the applicant to
- 19 a DNA database.
- 20 "(3) OTHER REMEDIES UNAFFECTED.—This
- subsection does not affect the circumstances under
- 22 which a person may obtain DNA testing or post-con-
- viction relief under any other law or rule.
- 24 "(k) Applicability to Federal Habeas Cor-
- 25 Pus.—The denial of post-conviction DNA testing by a

1	Federal or State court shall not be a ground for relief in
2	any proceeding under Federal habeas corpus.
3	"§ 3600A. Prohibition on destruction of biological evi
4	dence
5	"(a) In General.—During the 60-month period
6	commencing on the date of enactment of this section and
7	following the conviction of a defendant in a criminal case
8	in which the identity of the perpetrator was at issue in
9	the trial, the Government shall not destroy any biologica
10	evidence that was secured in the investigation or prosecu
11	tion of the case and preserved until the time of conviction
12	if the defendant is imprisoned for the crime.
13	"(b) BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE.—For purposes of this
14	section, the term 'biological evidence' means evidence that
15	the Government knows is—
16	"(1) a sexual assault forensic examination kit
17	or
18	"(2) semen, blood, saliva, hair, skin tissue, or
19	other identified biological material that derives from
20	the perpetrator of the crime.
21	"(c) Applicability.—The prohibition of the de
22	struction of biological evidence under subsection (a) shall
23	not apply if—
24	"(1) the biological evidence has been subjected

to DNA testing;

1	"(2) a court has denied a request or motion for
2	DNA testing of the biological evidence;
3	"(3) the biological evidence was disclosed to the
4	defendant before conviction and the defendant did
5	not seek available DNA testing; or
6	"(4) the biological evidence is disclosed to the
7	defendant after conviction and the defendant does
8	not file a motion under section 3600 within 180
9	days of the disclosure.".
10	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
11	MENTS; AMENDMENTS TO LIMITATION PROVI-
12	SIONS.—
13	(A) CHAPTER ANALYSIS.—The chapter
14	analysis for part II of title 18, United States
15	Code, is amended by inserting after the item re-
16	lating to chapter 228 the following:
	"228A. Post-conviction DNA testing
17	(B) Fugitives from Justice.—Section
18	3290 of title 18, United States Code, is amend-
19	ed to read as follows:
20	"§ 3290. Fugitives from justice; persons identified
21	through DNA matching
22	"No statute of limitations shall extend to any person
23	fleeing from justice, or to any person identified by means
24	of a DNA database prior to such identification.".

1	(C) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMEND-
2	MENT.—The analysis for chapter 213 of title
3	18, United States Code, is amended by striking
4	the item relating to section 3290 and inserting
5	the following:
	"3290. Fugitives from justice; persons identified through DNA matching.".
6	(b) System for Reporting Motions.—
7	(1) Establishment.—The Attorney General
8	shall establish a system for reporting and tracking
9	motions filed in accordance with section 3600 of title
10	18, United States Code.
11	(2) Operation.—In operating the system es-
12	tablished under paragraph (1), the courts shall pro-
13	vide to the Attorney General any requested assist-
14	ance in operating such a system and in ensuring the
15	accuracy and completeness of information included
16	in that system.
17	(3) Report.—Not later than 180 days before
18	the expiration of the time period referenced in sec-
19	tion 3600(b) of title 18, United States Code, as
20	added by this Act, the Attorney General shall submit
21	a report to Congress that contains—
22	(A) a list of motions filed under section
23	3600 of title 18, United States Code, as added
24	by this Act;

1	(B) whether DNA testing was ordered pur-
2	suant to such a motion;
3	(C) whether the applicant obtained relief
4	on the basis of DNA test results; and
5	(D) whether further proceedings occurred
6	following a granting of relief and the outcome
7	of such proceedings.
8	(4) Additional information.—The report re-
9	quired to be submitted under paragraph (3) may in-
10	clude any other information the Attorney General
11	believes will be useful in assessing the operation,
12	utility, or costs of section 3600 of title 18, United
13	States Code, as added by this Act, and any rec-
14	ommendations the Attorney General may have relat-
15	ing to future legislative action concerning that sec-
16	tion.
17	(c) Effective Date; Applicability.—This section
18	and the amendments made by this section shall take effect
19	on the date of enactment of this Act and shall apply with
20	respect to any offense committed, and to any judgment
21	of conviction entered, before, on, or after that date of en-
22	actment.
23	SEC. 102. STATE POST-CONVICTION DNA TESTING.
24	(a) Coverdell Act Incentive for State Post-
25	CONVICTION DNA TESTING.—

1	(1) Authorization of appropriations.—In
2	addition to the amounts authorized to be appro-
3	priated by section 1001(a)(24) of title I of the Om-
4	nibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968
5	(42 U.S.C. 3793(a)(24)), there are authorized to be
6	appropriated in each of fiscal years 2003 through
7	2007, \$10,000,000 for grants to States to be used—
8	(A) for the purposes set forth in section
9	2804 of title I of such Act (42 U.S.C. 3797m);
10	and
11	(B) to defray the State costs associated
12	with post-conviction DNA testing.
13	(2) Grants.—
14	(A) APPLICATION.—To be eligible to re-
15	ceive a grant under this subsection, a State
16	must submit an application to the Attorney
17	General at such time, in such manner, and ac-
18	companied by such information as the Attorney
19	General may reasonably require.
20	(B) Certification.—An application sub-
21	mitted under subparagraph (A) shall include a
22	certification that the State has adopted or will
23	adopt a procedure for post-conviction DNA

testing.

- 1 (C) Supplementary funds.—The Attor-2 ney General shall allocate the supplementary 3 funds authorized to be appropriated under this 4 subsection, for grants under this paragraph, 5 among qualifying States as nearly as prac-6 ticable to the manner provided by section 2803 7 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and 8 Safe Streets Act (42 U.S.C. 37971) for the allo-9 cation of funds appropriated pursuant to sec-10 tion 1001(a)(24) of title I of such Act (42) 11 U.S.C. 3753(a)(24)).
- 12 (D) STATE.—For purposes of this sub13 section, the term "State" means a State of the
 14 United States, the District of Columbia, the
 15 Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Is16 lands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Com17 monwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.
- (b) QUALIFYING FEDERAL OFFENSES.—Section 3(d)
 of the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 (42
 U.S.C. 14135a(d)) is amended to read as follows:
- 21 "(d) QUALIFYING FEDERAL OFFENSE.—For pur-22 poses of this section, the term 'qualifying Federal offense' 23 means—
- "(1) offenses classified as felonies under Federal or any State law;

1	"(2) all offenses under chapter 109A of title 18;
2	and
3	"(3) any crime of violence as defined in section
4	16 of title 18.".
5	(c) Inclusion of Juveniles in CODIS.—Section
6	210304(a)(1) of the Violent Crime Control and Law En-
7	forcement Act of 1994 (42 U.S.C. 14132(a)(1)) is amend-
8	ed to read as follows:
9	"(1) DNA identification records of persons con-
10	victed of or adjudicated delinquent for crimes;".
11	SEC. 103. REPEAL.
12	Effective 60 months after the date of enactment of
13	this Act, sections 101 and 102(a) are repealed.
14	TITLE II—ENSURING FAIR CAP-
15	ITAL TRIALS IN STATE AND
16	LOCAL COURTS
17	SEC. 201. GRANTS TO TRAIN PROSECUTORS, DEFENSE
18	COUNSEL, AND STATE AND LOCAL JUDGES
19	HANDLING STATE CAPITAL CASES.
20	(a) Competent Counsel Grant Program.—The
21	State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10701 et
22	seq.) is amended by inserting after section 207 the fol-
23	lowing:

1	"SEC. 207A. GRANT PROGRAM FOR TRAINING DEFENSE
2	COUNSEL.
3	"(a) Grants Authorized.—The Institute is au-
4	thorized to award grants to States to conduct training pro-
5	grams to improve the performance and competency of de-
6	fense counsel representing defendants charged with capital
7	offenses in State and local courts.
8	"(b) Eligibility.—The Institute is authorized to re-
9	ceive such grants for the sole purpose of conducting train-
10	ing programs as set forth in subsection (a). Grants au-
11	thorized by this section may only be made for training of
12	defense counsel in a State that has capital punishment.
13	"(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There
14	are authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 for fiscal
15	years 2003 and 2007 to carry out this section.".
16	(b) Grants To Train Prosecutors.—
17	(1) In general.—The Director of the Office of
18	Justice Programs shall make grants to State and
19	local governments to train prosecutors in handling
20	capital cases.
21	(2) Eligibility.—Grants authorized by this
22	subsection may only be made to a State or local gov-
23	ernment in a State that has capital punishment.
24	(3) Authorization of appropriations.—
25	There are authorized to be appropriated

1	\$10,000,000 for fiscal years 2003 and 2007 to carry
2	out this subsection.
3	(c) Grants To Train State and Local
4	Judges.—
5	(1) In general.—The Director of the Office of
6	Justice Programs shall make grants to State and
7	local courts for training of trial judges to effectively
8	conduct capital trials.
9	(2) Eligibility.—Grants authorized by this
10	subsection may only be made to a State or local
11	court in a State that has capital punishment.
12	(3) Authorization of appropriations.—
13	There are authorized to be appropriated
14	\$10,000,000 for fiscal years 2003 and 2007 to carry
15	out this subsection.
16	SEC. 202. ENSURING COMPETENT COUNSEL IN FEDERAL
17	CAPITAL CASES.
18	(a) Counsel and Witnesses in Capital Cases.—
19	Section 3005 of title 18, United States Code, is amended
20	by inserting after "reasonable hours" the following: "but,
21	no attorney shall be eligible to represent an accused where

25 counsel in another Federal or State criminal case".

22 that attorney has been disciplined by a bar association or

24 has been found to have rendered ineffective assistance of

court relating to a criminal case, or where that attorney

	<u>-</u> v
1	(b) Counsel for Indigent Defendants.—Section
2	408(q)(4) of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.
3	848(q)(4)) is amended—
4	(1) in subparagraph (A), by adding before the
5	period at the end the following: "but, no attorney
6	shall be eligible to represent an accused where that
7	attorney has been disciplined by a bar association or
8	court relating to a criminal case, or where that at-
9	torney has been found to have rendered ineffective
10	assistance of counsel in another Federal or State
11	criminal case"; and
12	(2) in subparagraph (B), by adding before the
13	period at the end the following: "but, no attorney
14	shall be eligible to represent an accused where that
15	attorney has been disciplined by a bar association or
16	court relating to a criminal case, or where that at-
17	torney has been found to have rendered ineffective
18	assistance of counsel in another Federal or State
19	criminal case".
20	
20	SEC. 203. ENSURING COMPETENT COUNSEL IN NONCAP-
21	ITAL CASES.
22	Section 3006A(b) of title 18, United States Code, is
23	amended in the third sentence, by adding after "shall ap-
24	point counsel to represent him" the following: "but, no

25 attorney shall be eligible to represent an accused where

- 1 that attorney has been disciplined by a bar association or
- 2 court relating to a criminal case, or where that attorney
- 3 has been found to have rendered ineffective assistance of

4 counsel in another Federal or State criminal case".

 \bigcirc