

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003

JUNE 25, 2002.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. HOBSON, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H.R. 5011]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignments and closures for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003.

CONTENTS

	Page
Purpose of the Bill .....	2
Conformance With Authorization Bill .....	2
Summary of Committee Recommendation .....	2
Items of Special Interest .....	5
Defense Emergency Response Fund .....	6
Barracks Privatization .....	6
Accrual Funding of Retirement Costs and Post-Retirement Health Benefits ....	7
Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....	8
Construction Costs .....	8
Geothermal Heat Pump Technology .....	8
Fiscal Year 2003 Barracks Request .....	9
Child Development Centers .....	10
Hospital and Medical Facilities .....	11
Military Construction:	
Army .....	11
Navy .....	12
Air Force .....	13
Defense-wide .....	14
Army National Guard .....	15
Air National Guard .....	16
Army Reserve .....	17
Naval Reserve .....	17
Air Force Reserve .....	18
NATO Security Investment Program .....	18
Family Housing Overview .....	19
Family Housing:	
Army .....	20

Navy .....	21
Air Force .....	22
Defense-wide .....	22
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	23
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense .....	23
Base Realignment and Closure .....	23
General Provisions .....	24
Changes in Application of Existing Law .....	27
Definition of Program, Project and Activity .....	27
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law .....	28
Transfer of Funds .....	28
Rescission of Funds .....	28
Constitutional Authority .....	29
Comparisons With Budget Resolution .....	29
Five-Year Projection of Outlays .....	29
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments .....	30
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives .....	30
Full Committee Votes .....	30
State List .....	30
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority .....	57

#### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction Appropriations bill provides funding for planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of facilities, including family housing, located on reserve and active duty military installations around the world. Additionally, the bill provides funds for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP). Finally, the bill provides funds to execute projects required under the base realignment and closure (BRAC) authorities.

#### CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

On May 9, 2002, the House passed the National Defense Authorization Act for 2003 (H.R. 4546) by a vote of 359 to 58. At this time, conference action on the legislation has not occurred; therefore, projects in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,083,000,000 in new budget (obligational) authority for the Department of Defense, Military Construction Appropriations bill. This recommendation is \$541,424,000 above the President's request and \$521,400,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation. The following table compares the amounts proposed in the bill to amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2002.

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2002  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2003**  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Bill Enacted	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>SUMMARY OF APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNTS</b>					
Military Construction, Army.....	1,762,556	1,550,438	1,495,881	-266,675	-54,557
Military Construction, Navy.....	1,126,633	1,105,391	1,244,425	+117,792	+139,034
Military Construction, Air Force.....	1,237,580	834,687	954,021	-283,559	+119,334
Military Construction, Defense-wide.....	806,278	771,835	898,090	+91,812	+126,255
Total, Active components.....	4,933,047	4,262,351	4,592,417	-340,630	+330,066
Military Construction, Army National Guard.....	405,565	101,595	159,672	-245,893	+58,077
Military Construction, Air National Guard.....	253,386	62,406	119,613	-133,773	+57,207
Military Construction, Army Reserve.....	167,019	58,779	99,059	-67,960	+40,280
Military Construction, Naval Reserve.....	52,276	58,671	75,821	+23,545	+17,150
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve.....	74,857	37,976	75,276	+419	+37,300
Total, Reserve components.....	953,103	319,427	529,441	-423,662	+210,014
Total, Military Construction.....	5,886,150	4,581,778	5,121,858	-764,292	+540,080
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.....	162,600	168,200	168,200	+5,600	---
Family Housing, Army: Construction.....	312,742	283,346	278,426	-34,316	-4,920
Operation and Maintenance.....	1,089,573	1,119,007	1,119,007	+29,434	---
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps: Construction.....	331,780	375,700	377,616	+45,836	+1,916
Operation and Maintenance.....	910,095	867,788	867,788	-42,307	---
Family Housing, Air Force: Construction.....	550,703	676,694	681,042	+130,339	+4,348
Operation and Maintenance.....	844,715	874,050	874,050	+29,335	---
Family Housing, Defense-wide: Construction.....	250	5,480	5,480	+5,230	---
Operation and Maintenance.....	43,762	42,395	42,395	-1,367	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2002  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2003  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.	2,000	2,000	2,000	---	---
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense.....	10,119	---	---	-10,119	---
Total, Family Housing.....	4,095,739	4,246,460	4,247,804	+152,065	+1,344
Base Realignment and Closure Account.....	632,713	545,138	545,138	-87,575	---
General Provisions.....	-172,802	---	---	+172,802	---
Grand Total.....	10,604,400	9,541,576	10,083,000	-521,400	+541,424

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

The fiscal year 2003 request for military construction is \$8,947,192,000, which is \$1,657,208,000, or 15 percent, below the fiscal year 2002 enacted level of \$10,604,400,000. However, included as part of the Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF) request in the Defense Appropriations Bill is \$594,384,000 of military construction projects. To evaluate these projects properly, they have been transferred from the Defense Appropriation request to the Military Construction request. Thus, the combined Military Construction request is \$9,541,576,000, or \$1,062,824,000 below last year's enacted level.

Consider the following effects of the reduction:

- Operational and training facilities are reduced \$580 million, or 37 percent;
- Maintenance and production facilities are cut \$635 million, or 59 percent;
- Community facilities are reduced by \$196 million, or 51 percent; and,
- Research and development facilities are reduced by \$151 million, or 82 percent.

Cuts below the baseline are recommended despite a Department of Defense (DOD) report that rates 68 percent of the Department's facility categories as C-3, which means the facilities have serious deficiencies that might impede mission readiness, or C-4, which means the facilities cannot support mission requirements. Moreover, as a direct result of the cuts, the recapitalization rate—which is the rate at which buildings are renovated or replaced—increases from 83 years to 150 years.

Little justification for the cut is provided other than tough choices are necessary with a tight military budget. Yet the President's request increases defense spending by \$48 billion. It strains credulity that additional military construction spending could not be found with an increase of this magnitude. The unavoidable conclusion is that the Administration's rhetoric regarding the importance of quality of life for troops and their families does not coincide with the facts.

There are bright spots in the budget. Dormitories continue to be constructed at a rapid pace. Overseas construction funding is increased. Privatization of the housing inventory is moving forward aggressively.

The Committee is pleased with the results of the re-negotiation of the Korean Special Measures Agreement (SMA), which increases the level of host nation funding committed by the Republic of Korea (ROK). Likewise, the Committee commends DOD for requesting adequate funds for the NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP). The amount requested should keep the United States from temporarily blocking projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority.

Nevertheless, the Committee is frustrated that the Administration did not maintain current spending levels especially when there are many legitimate facility needs. As this Committee asserts year after year, it is imperative to address the long-term infrastructure problems of military installations. Poor facility conditions are not only unsafe—they hamper readiness and decrease troop retention.

## DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND

The President's fiscal year 2003 budget request includes \$20,055,000,000 for the Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF) account to support DOD's efforts to respond to and protect against terrorist acts on the United States. Of the amount, \$594,384,000 is to address anti-terrorism/force protection vulnerabilities at installations worldwide. Though requested in the fiscal year 2003 Defense Appropriations legislation, these projects fall under the jurisdiction of the Military Construction Appropriations Subcommittee. To reflect properly these requirements in the fiscal year 2003 budget, the Committee has subtracted the request from the Defense Appropriations bill and added it to this appropriations measure.

When questioned about the military construction projects included in the DERF account, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) explained that the requirements were developed at the end of the OSD/OMB budget review and time did not allow them to be included in the proper appropriations account. Therefore, the Administration decided to place the DERF military construction projects in the Defense Appropriations bill.

The Committee commends the Department for seeking funds for these critical security enhancement projects at installations within the United States and overseas. The process used to request these funds, however, is irregular and inappropriate. If validated funding requirements are determined subsequent to budget submission, the Administration should transmit a budget amendment to the Congress in the proper format and is directed to do so in the future.

The following table summarizes the DERF request and Committee recommendation by account:

Account	DERF request	Committee recommendation
Military Construction, Army .....	\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000
Military Construction, Navy .....	220,730,000	209,430,000
Military Construction, Air Force .....	190,597,000	180,597,000
Military Construction, Defense-wide .....	31,300,000	24,700,000
Military Construction, Air National Guard .....	8,933,000	8,933,000
Military Construction, Naval Reserve .....	7,117,000	7,117,000
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve .....	6,076,000	6,076,000
Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Air Force .....	29,631,000	29,631,000
Total .....	594,384,000	566,484,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$566,484,000, which is \$27,900,000 below the budget request. This reduction affects four projects: (1) it eliminates a redundant \$6,000,000 training facility; (2) it eliminates a \$4,000,000 visiting quarters associated with the redundant training facility; (3) it eliminates an access road improvements project for \$11,300,000; and (4) it reduces the request for a new Northern Command Headquarters from \$25,000,000 to \$18,400,000. The state list at the back of the report designates DERF-requested projects in the project title line.

## NAVY BARRACKS PRIVATIZATION

The Department of Navy has requested funds to expand their family housing privatization efforts to unaccompanied housing. The

Navy is currently developing three bachelor housing pilot projects—Hampton Roads and Quantico in Virginia and Camp Pendleton in California. The Committee agrees there may be real opportunities for applying privatization authorities to unaccompanied housing needs and supports the Department of Navy’s efforts.

The Committee believes a “pilot project” approach is the best method by which to study the efficacy of this initiative and encourages the Department to evaluate lessons learned before expanding the effort to other installations. These projects must be self-sustaining, the life cycle costs need to be beneficial to the taxpayer, and good business practices should drive transactions rather than Office of Management and Budget (OMB) scoring requirements. The Department is directed to keep the Committee informed of the initiative’s progress.

#### ACCRAAL FUNDING OF RETIREMENT COSTS AND POST-RETIREMENT HEALTH BENEFITS

The President’s budget included a legislative proposal under the jurisdiction of the House Committee on Government Reform to charge to individual agencies, starting in fiscal year 2003, the fully accrued costs related to retirement benefits of Civil Service Retirement System employees and retiree health benefits for all civilian employees. The budget also requested an additional dollar amount in each affected discretionary account to cover these accrued costs.

Without passing judgment on the merits of this legislative proposal, the Committee has reduced the dollar amounts of the President’s request shown in the “Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority” and other tables in this report to exclude the accrual-funding proposal. The disposition by Congress of the legislative proposal is unclear at this time. Should the proposal be passed by Congress and enacted, the Committee will make appropriate adjustments to the President’s request to include accrual amounts.

The Committee further notes that administration proposals requiring legislative action by the authorizing committees of Congress are customarily submitted in the budget as separate schedules apart from the regular appropriations requests. Should such a proposal be enacted, a budget amendment formally modifying the President’s appropriation request for discretionary funding is then transmitted to the Congress.

The Committee is concerned that this practice, which has always worked effectively for both Congress and past administrations, was not followed for the accrual funding proposal. In this case, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) decided to include accrual amounts in the original discretionary appropriations language request. These amounts are based on legislation that has yet to be considered and approved by the appropriate committees of Congress. This led to numerous misunderstandings both inside and outside of Congress of what was the “true” President’s budget request. The Committee believes that, in the future, OMB should follow long-established procedures with respect to discretionary spending proposals that require legislative action.

The accounts reduced in this bill are as follows:

Account	Amount
Military Construction, Army .....	– \$26,083,000

Account	Amount
Military Construction, Navy .....	- 10,470,000
Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Army .....	- 3,267,000
Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Defense-wide .....	- 37,000
Total .....	- 39,857,000

#### SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION

The Department is directed to continue describing on form 1390 the backlog of Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization needs at installations with future construction projects. For troop housing requests, form 1391 should describe any Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization conducted in the past two years, and future requirements for unaccompanied housing at the corresponding installation. Additionally, the forms should include English equivalent measurements for projects presented in metric measurement. Rules for funding repairs of facilities under the Operation and Maintenance account are described below:

- Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.
- Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.
- Such projects may be done concurrently with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.
- The appropriate service secretary shall notify the appropriate committees 21 days prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

#### CONSTRUCTION COSTS

The Committee directs the Department to provide an economic analysis by January 15, 2003, regarding the costs of complying with section 2821 of Title 10, United States Code, compared to the cost of conventional construction. The analysis should include the ramifications of this provision on existing Status of Forces agreements that do not permit importation of U.S. modular construction or building materials. In addition to the actual costs, the comparison should include the costs of shipping, maintenance, and maintaining architectural compatibility with the surrounding construction.

#### GEOTHERMAL HEAT PUMP TECHNOLOGY

The Committee is interested in exploring new building technologies particularly if their use is practical and reduces life cycle costs of construction. Geothermal heat pumps are an established technology with a proven track record of lowering power consumption by as much as 60 percent over conventional HVAC systems. Several large-scale geothermal heat pump installations at military bases, most notably Fort Polk in Louisiana and Camp Lejeune in North Carolina, have demonstrated the technology's potential to significantly reduce energy consumption and minimize life cycle maintenance costs. Therefore, the Committee directs DOD and the service components to educate themselves about the pros and cons

of this technology and to consider whether it can be effectively incorporated into military facilities.

#### LAND SWAPS

Currently, the National Guard and the Reserve components have authority to conduct land swaps as long as receipts from the transaction are retained for use by the service components. Savings to both military construction and operations and maintenance accounts occur when such land swaps result in the consolidation of installations and in the reduction of excess land. The Committee directs DOD to explore ways to increase the use of this authority.

#### JOINT HOMELAND DEFENSE TRAINING FACILITY

The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to promote collaborative training to increase our preparedness and to protect our nation from future terrorist attacks. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to review a proposal for a Joint Homeland Defense Training Facility for the Northeast at the Massachusetts Military Reservation. This critical facility would provide interagency, anti-terrorist, homeland defense, and crisis response training and operations for the northeast region of the United States, and have an excellent location within a 1.5-hour drive from two state capitals and major airports. The Defense Department is directed to submit a report on this review to the Committee no later than January 1, 2003.

#### FISCAL YEAR 2003 BARRACKS REQUEST

The Committee recommends appropriating \$1,176,190,000 to construct or modernize 12,819 barracks spaces in fiscal year 2003. This recommendation is \$9,600,000 above the request and \$97,943,000 below the amount enacted in fiscal year 2002. This recommendation results in construction or renovation of 56 additional barracks spaces and maintains DOD's goal of eliminating all inadequate barracks by 2008.

The following troop housing construction projects are recommended for fiscal year 2003:

#### FISCAL YEAR 2003 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Alaska—Fort Richardson .....	\$21,000,000	\$21,000,000
Colorado—Fort Carson .....	42,000,000	42,000,000
Georgia—Fort Benning .....	45,000,000	45,000,000
Hawaii—Schofield Barracks .....	49,000,000	49,000,000
Hawaii—Schofield Barracks .....	42,000,000	42,000,000
Kansas—Fort Riley .....	41,000,000	41,000,000
Kentucky—Fort Campbell .....	49,000,000	49,000,000
Maryland—Fort Detrick .....	16,000,000	16,000,000
New York—Fort Drum .....	0	8,000,000
North Carolina—Fort Bragg .....	50,000,000	50,000,000
North Carolina—Fort Bragg .....	50,000,000	50,000,000
Texas—Fort Hood .....	45,000,000	45,000,000
Washington—Fort Lewis .....	50,000,000	50,000,000
Belgium—Shape HQ .....	13,600,000	13,600,000
Germany—Bamberg .....	10,200,000	10,200,000
Germany—Grafenwoehr .....	13,200,000	13,200,000
Germany—Mannheim .....	42,000,000	42,000,000

## FISCAL YEAR 2003 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Italy—Vicenza .....	31,000,000	31,000,000
Korea—Camp Carroll .....	20,000,000	20,000,000
Korea—Camp Hovey .....	25,000,000	25,000,000
Korea—Camp Humphreys .....	36,000,000	36,000,000
Korea—Camp Walker .....	10,200,000	10,200,000
Korea—K16 Airfield .....	40,000,000	40,000,000
Qatar .....	8,600,000	8,600,000
Subtotal, Army .....	749,800,000	757,800,000
Navy/Marine Corps:		
California—Camp Pendleton .....	23,230,000	23,230,000
California—Twenty-nine Palms .....	25,770,000	25,770,000
Illinois—Great Lakes .....	43,360,000	43,360,000
Illinois—Great Lakes .....	41,740,000	41,740,000
Maryland—Andrews AFB .....	9,680,000	9,680,000
Mississippi—Pascagoula .....	0	12,000,000
Virginia—Quantico .....	10,280,000	10,280,000
Virginia—Quantico .....	5,040,000	5,040,000
Virginia—Yorktown .....	15,020,000	15,020,000
Virginia—Norfolk .....	37,310,000	37,310,000
Washington—Bremerton .....	35,120,000	35,120,000
Greece—Larissa .....	14,800,000	14,800,000
Guam—Mariana Islands .....	13,400,000	13,400,000
Subtotal, Navy .....	274,750,000	286,750,000
Air Force:		
Arizona—Davis-Monthan AFB .....	9,110,000	9,110,000
Florida—Hulbert Field .....	9,000,000	9,000,000
Louisiana—Barksdale AFB .....	10,900,000	10,900,000
Mississippi—Keesler AFB .....	22,000,000	22,000,000
Nevada—Nellis AFB .....	12,280,000	12,280,000
North Carolina—Pope AFB .....	9,700,000	9,700,000
Ohio—Wright-Patterson AFB .....	10,400,000	0
Texas—Lackland AFB .....	18,500,000	18,500,000
Texas—Sheppard AFB .....	10,000,000	10,000,000
Virginia—Langley AFB .....	8,320,000	8,320,000
Korea—Osan AB .....	15,100,000	15,100,000
Subtotal, Air Force .....	135,310,000	124,910,000
Naval Reserve:		
Georgia—Atlanta .....	6,730,000	6,730,000
Subtotal, Naval Reserve .....	6,730,000	6,730,000
Total .....	1,166,590,000	1,176,190,000

## CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The Committee recommends \$17,570,000 for child development centers. This is \$6,870,000 above the budget request and \$26,740,000 below last year's enacted level.

Child Development Centers (CDCs) remain critically important for military families, especially single parents, dual-income families, and spouses left behind during deployments, and the Committee commends the individual services on the quality of care CDCs provide.

The Committee recommends appropriating funds for the following child development center projects in fiscal year 2003:

## FISCAL YEAR 2003 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Germany—Bamberg .....	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000
Italy—Vicenza .....	3,700,000	3,700,000
Subtotal, Army .....	10,700,000	10,700,000
Navy/Marine Corps:		
Rhode Island—Newport .....	0	6,870,000
Subtotal, Navy .....	0	6,870,000
Total .....	10,700,000	17,570,000

## HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends appropriating \$150,541,000 for hospitals and medical facilities which is the same as the President's request and \$48,285,000 below last year's enacted level. The request includes \$147,178,000 for five projects and \$3,363,000 for unspecified minor construction.

The Committee recommends appropriating funds for the following hospital and medical facilities:

Location	Project title	Request	Recommended
Alaska—Elmendorf AFB .....	Contractor Claim .....	\$10,400,000	\$10,400,000
Alaska—Fort Wainwright .....	Hospital Replacement Phase IV .....	53,000,000	53,000,000
Hawaii—Hickam AFB .....	Life Skills Clinic Replacement .....	2,700,000	2,700,000
Germany—Spangdahlem AB .....	Hospital Replacement .....	39,629,000	39,629,000
Italy—Naples .....	Medical/Dental Facility Replacement .....	41,449,000	41,449,000
Worldwide Various .....	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	3,363,000	3,363,000
Total .....	Total .....	150,541,000	150,541,000

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS)

Fiscal year 2002:	
Appropriation .....	\$1,778,256,000
Rescission .....	- 36,400,000
Emergency Appropriation (P.L. 107-117) .....	20,700,000
Total .....	1,762,556,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	1,450,438,000
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	100,000,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	1,550,438,000
Recommended Appropriation .....	1,514,557,000
Rescission (General Provision Sec. 128) .....	- 13,676,000
Rescission .....	- 5,000,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	1,495,881,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	- 266,675,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	- 54,557,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$1,495,881,000 for Military Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2003. This is a decrease of \$54,557,000 below the budget request and a decrease of \$266,675,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*Chemical Demilitarization.*—The budget request proposes, as it has for several years, that chemical demilitarization projects be appropriated in this account. However, the Committee recommends

that the request of \$167,631,000 be appropriated in the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account in order to avoid distorting the size of the Army's military construction program.

*Georgia-Fort Stewart: Command and Control Facility.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,600,000 be made available to design this facility.

*New Mexico—White Sands Missile Range: Sewage Plant Upgrade.*—Of the additional amount provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,050,000 be made available to complete this upgrade.

*Texas—Fort Bliss: Upgrade Water Distribution System/Replace Elevated Water Tanks.*—The Committee is aware that the water distribution system at Fort Bliss in Texas is failing. To address this problem, the Committee provides \$10,200,000 to upgrade the system in fiscal year 2003. However, the Committee rescinds \$5,000,000 from a similar project provided in the FY 2002 Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2002 (Public Law 107-64). The fiscal year 2002 project to replace elevated water tanks at Fort Bliss is no longer needed.

*Troop Housing in Korea.*—The Committee commends the Department of the Army for the 26 percent increase in funding for installations and facilities in Korea. The budget increase is directed entirely towards the construction of troop barracks, which sends a positive message to our soldiers that their quality of life is a top priority. In future budget submittals, the Committee encourages the Army to continue improving the facilities on military installations in Korea.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

Fiscal year 2002:	
Appropriation .....	\$1,144,221,000
Rescission .....	- 19,588,000
Emergency Appropriation (P.L. 107-117) .....	2,000,000
Total .....	1,126,633,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	884,661,000
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	220,730,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	1,105,391,000
Recommended Appropriation .....	1,245,765,000
Rescission (General Provision Sec. 128) .....	- 1,340,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	1,244,425,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	+117,792,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+139,034,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$1,244,425,000 for Military Construction, Navy, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$139,034,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$117,792,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*California—North Island Naval Air Station: Child Development Center.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$250,000 be made available to design this facility.

*New Jersey—Lakehurst Naval Air Engineering Station: EMALS Land Based Test Site.*—The Committee commends the Secretary of the Navy for including the necessary funding in the budget request

to design the Electromagnetic Aircraft Launch System (EMALS) Land Based Test Site at Lakehurst Naval Air Engineering Station in New Jersey. The Committee believes this project is a critical component of the CVN-X program and supports the Secretary's intent to request full funding for the project in fiscal year 2004.

*Spain—Madrid: Navy Exchange (NEX)/Morale, Welfare, Recreation (MWR) Facility.*—The Committee recommends excluding \$2,890,000 for construction of this facility. The Committee is reluctant to provide funds for this project until negotiations regarding NATO's command and force structure are complete.

*Washington—Whidbey Island Naval Air Station: Fire Station.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$180,000 be made available to design this facility.

### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

Fiscal year 2002:	
Appropriation .....	\$1,194,880,000
Rescission .....	- 4,000,000
Emergency Appropriation (P.L. 107-117) .....	46,700,000
Total .....	1,237,580,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	644,090,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	190,597,000
Recommended Appropriation .....	834,687,000
Rescission (General Provision Sec. 128) .....	964,302,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	- 10,281,000
Comparison with:	954,021,000
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	- 283,559,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+119,334,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$954,021,000 for Military Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$119,334,000 above the budget request and a decrease of \$283,559,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*C-17 Facilities.*—The budget request for “Military Construction, Air Force” includes \$30,569,000 for various C-17 facilities at classified locations. Since the budget submission, the Air Force Mobility Roadmap has been released designating Travis AFB and March ARB in California as C-17 beddown sites. As a result of this announcement, the Committee provides funding for the various C-17 facilities at their newly designated sites. Of the amount requested, \$6,700,000 is transferred to the “Military Construction, Air Force Reserve” account. The remaining funds are provided in the “Military Construction, Air Force” account as follows:

Location/installation	Project title	Cost
California: Travis AFB .....	C-17 Flight Simulator .....	\$4,600,000
California: Travis AFB .....	C-17 Parts Store .....	8,000,000
California: Travis AFB .....	Electrical, Utilities and Supporting Infrastructure .....	11,269,000
Total .....	.....	23,869,000

*Delaware—Dover AFB: Air Traffic Control Tower.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$675,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Florida—Tyndall AFB: 1st Air Force Operations Support Center.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,160,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Georgia—Robins AFB: Corrosion Control Paint Facility.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,430,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Mississippi—Columbus AFB: Fire Crash/Rescue Station.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$549,000 be made available to design this facility.

*South Dakota—Ellsworth AFB: 37th Bomb Wing Facility.*—The Committee is aware that a bomb wing facility is needed for the 37th Bomb Squadron to plan, brief, critique combat crews, and direct flight operations. The Committee encourages the Air Force to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

*Worldwide Classified—Classified Location: Classified Project.*—The Committee denies funding for this project due to a lack of justification material.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

(INCLUDING RESCISSION AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Fiscal year 2002:	
Appropriation .....	\$840,558,000
Rescission .....	−69,280,000
Emergency Appropriation (P.L. 107–117) .....	35,000,000
Total .....	806,278,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	740,535,000
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	31,300,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	771,835,000
Recommended Appropriation .....	901,066,000
Rescission (General Provision Sec. 128) .....	−2,976,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	898,090,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	+91,812,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+126,255,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$898,090,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$126,255,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$91,812,000 above the fiscal year 2002 level.

*Chemical Demilitarization.*—The 1986 National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 99–145) authorized the Chemical Demilitarization program for the purpose of destroying all U.S. stockpiled chemical agents and weapons by April 29, 2007. In 1991, Congress expanded the law to include the destruction of chemical warfare material not part of the stockpile such as buried munitions and former weapons production facilities. The Department of the Army is the agent responsible for program management and oversight. As requested by the President, the Committee recommends appropriating \$167,631,000 for chemical demilitarization projects, which is \$4,869,000 above the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2002. The following chart displays the fiscal year 2003 funding increments:

State	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Arkansas .....	Pine Bluff Arsenal .....	Non-stockpile Ammunition Demo Shop.	\$18,937,000	\$18,937,000
Colorado .....	Pueblo Depot .....	Ammunition Demil. Facility (Ph. IV)	38,000,000	38,000,000
Indiana .....	Newport Ammo. Plant .....	Ammunition Demil. Facility (Ph. V)	61,494,000	61,494,000
Kentucky .....	Blue Grass Army Depot .....	Ammunition Demil. Facility (Ph. III)	10,300,000	10,300,000
Kentucky .....	Blue Grass Army Depot .....	Ammunition Support Facility (Ph. III).	8,300,000	8,300,000
Maryland .....	Aberdeen Proving Ground .....	Ammunition Demil. Facility (Ph. V)	30,600,000	30,600,000
Total .....			167,631,000	167,631,000

The budget request includes a legislative provision that makes \$84,400,000 for the chemical demilitarization construction program contingent upon the program meeting milestones to be agreed upon by the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the Office of Management and Budget. The Committee is concerned that some performance measures could hinder the Department's efforts to carry out this program as effectively and efficiently as possible. The Committee recommends retaining the language but only if the agreed upon performance standards enable DOD to accelerate the program.

Moreover, the Committee endorses the Army's proposal to accelerate the neutralization of the chemical agents located at these sites and urges DOD to execute it as quickly as possible. The chemical agents stored at these sites create potential terrorist targets and should be destroyed as quickly as possible. Therefore, adequate military construction funding for the chemical weapons facilities is essential to achieving this goal. Likewise, accelerating the program will enable the United States to meet its obligations under the Chemical Weapons Convention Treaty.

*Maryland—Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences: Consolidated Nursing School.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,300,000 be made available to design this facility.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$405,565,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	101,595,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	159,672,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	–245,893,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+58,077,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$159,672,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$58,077,000 above the budget request and a decrease of \$245,893,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*Alabama—Haleyville: Armed Forces Reserve Center.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$990,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Connecticut—Windsor Locks: Armed Forces Reserve Center.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,126,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Georgia—Ft. Stewart/Hunter Army Airfield: Aviation Support Facility.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and de-

sign in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,158,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Massachusetts—Methuen: Readiness Center.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$659,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Massachusetts—Worcester: Readiness Center Utility Upgrades.*—Of the additional amount provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$586,000 be made available to execute this project.

*Mississippi—Tupelo: Readiness Center.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$879,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Rhode Island—North Kingstown: Army Aviation Support Facility.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,014,000 be made available to design this facility.

*South Dakota—Camp Rapid: Barracks.*—The Committee is aware that two new replacement barracks and their supporting infrastructure are necessary at Camp Rapid. These buildings will replace tin huts that were built in 1970 and that do not support unit training, administration, supply, security, or command and control. The Committee encourages the Army National Guard to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

*Washington—Camp Murray: Readiness Center.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$856,000 be made available to design this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$253,386,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	53,473,000
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	8,933,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	62,406,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	119,613,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	- 133,773,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+57,207,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$119,613,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$57,207,000 above the budget request and a decrease of \$133,773,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*Massachusetts—Otis ANGB: Fire Crash Rescue Station/Control Tower.*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,650,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Minnesota—Duluth IAP: Aircraft Maintenance Complex and Shops (Phase II).*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,110,000 be made available to design this facility.

*Tennessee—Nashville: Replace Aircraft Maintenance Complex (Phase II).*—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs not less than \$347,000 be made available to design this facility.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$167,019,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	58,779,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	99,059,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	- 67,960,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+40,280,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$99,059,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$40,280,000 above the budget request and a decrease of \$67,960,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*Pennsylvania—Northeastern Pennsylvania: Joint Reserve Center.*—The Committee is aware that Army National Guard and Army Reserve facilities in the Scranton, Pennsylvania, area are in poor condition and need to be replaced or renovated. Joint use facilities between the various components of the Defense Department is encouraged by the Committee as a means to optimize military construction and operation and maintenance funds while enhancing joint training and the total force concept. Therefore, the Committee directs the Army Reserve to explore the feasibility of establishing a Joint Reserve Center in Northeastern Pennsylvania to replace aging Guard and Reserve facilities. The Army Reserve is directed to submit a report no later than January 31, 2003 on the progress and feasibility of this initiative.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVAL RESERVE

Fiscal year 2002:	
Appropriation .....	\$53,201,000
Rescission .....	- 925,000
Total .....	52,276,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	51,554,000
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	7,117,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	58,671,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	75,821,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	+23,545,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+17,150,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$75,821,000 for Military Construction, Naval Reserve, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$17,150,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$23,545,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*Louisiana—Naval Air Station New Orleans.*—The Committee has provided \$7,400,000 for Phase III of the Joint Reserve Center project at the Joint Reserve Base, Naval Air Station, (JRBNAS) New Orleans. This Joint Reserve Center continues to meet the Committee's long stated policy goals of a truly "joint" facility for military service elements in the entire region. While the current project includes a number of regional elements of the Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force and Army Reserve forces, the Army Reserve has yet to embrace truly the jointness capabilities of this Center for the 377th Theatre Area Army Command (TAACOM).

The Committee believes the 377th is a critical logistics unit for several active Army and service deployment contingencies. Current plans of the Army Reserve are to keep all elements of the 377th TAACOM at its existing location within the region at some distance from the JRBNAS. The JRBNAS, New Orleans, is a major

military airfield with existing deployment and joint service capabilities that should be fully utilized. Including the 377th TAACOM footprint within the scope of the Joint Reserve Center is appropriate; therefore, the Committee recommends that the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy report to the Subcommittee on Military Construction prior to October 15, 2002, about the feasibility of including the Army Reserve in the Joint Reserve Center project.

The Committee is also aware that a new high voltage distribution system is needed at the JRBNAS at Belle Chasse, Louisiana. The current high voltage system is over 30 years old and is listed as severely deteriorated and very “high maintenance.” A new system is critically needed to replace the overhead system with a more efficient, low maintenance underground system that would not be susceptible to damage from trees, heavy winds, and oversized military equipment. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to make this project a priority and program the requirement within the Future Years Defense Plan.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$74,857,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	31,900,000
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	6,076,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	37,976,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	75,276,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	+419,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+37,300,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$75,276,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$37,300,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$419,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*California—March ARB: C-17 Facilities.*—The Committee provides \$6,700,000 for C-17 facilities at March ARB in California. These funds were requested in the “Military Construction, Air Force” account at a classified location because formal C-17 basing announcements had not been made prior to budget submission. Recently, March ARB has been designated a C-17 beddown site by the Air Force Mobility Roadmap. The Committee provides funds for the following facilities:

Location/installation	Project title	Cost
California: March ARB .....	C-17 Alter Squadron Operations Facility .....	\$1,700,000
California: March ARB .....	C-17 Alter Co-located Life Support Building .....	3,000,000
California: March ARB .....	C-17 Alter General Maintenance Shops .....	2,000,000
Total .....		6,700,000

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$162,600,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	168,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	168,200,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	+5,600,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP) consists of annual contributions by NATO member countries. The program finances the costs of construction needed to support the roles of the major NATO commands. The investments cover facilities such as airfields, fuel pipelines and storage, harbors, communications and information systems, radar and navigational aids, and military headquarters. The U.S. share of the NSIP for fiscal year 2003 is \$179,200,000, or roughly 25 percent of the total NSIP program amount of \$729,600,000.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends \$168,200,000 for the NSIP, which is an increase of \$5,600,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 2002. To offset the total U.S. share of the program, \$11,000,000 is from recoupments of prior year funds.

Occasionally, the U.S. has been forced to delay temporarily the authorization of projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority. The Committee directs DOD to notify the Committee 30 days prior to taking such action.

The Committee is concerned about the long-term programmatic costs associated with NATO's decision to realign its headquarters. The budget request proposes to appropriate funds for housing and other community support facilities at two new NATO Joint Command Headquarters in Europe—one in Larissa, Greece and the other in Madrid, Spain. The Committee recommends against providing funds for two flag officer quarters in Larissa and a support facility in Madrid until negotiations on NATO's command and force structure are completed.

#### FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

Historically, housing for military personnel and their families has been a low priority for DOD. Consequently, the inventory is old and in most cases is substandard. DOD estimates that 180,000 of the 300,000 military family housing units it owns and operates are substandard and that it would cost more than \$16 billion to improve or replace them.

To ameliorate the costs associated with providing decent housing, Congress authorized the Military Housing Privatization Initiative. The initiative's intent is to create more housing quickly, to attract private capital, and to make the private sector responsible for providing routine maintenance.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends appropriating \$4,247,804,000 for the family housing construction and operations and maintenance accounts for fiscal year 2003, which is an increase of \$1,344,000 above the budget request and \$152,065,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

The operations and maintenance accounts provide funds to pay for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance, and miscellaneous expenses.

## FOREIGN CURRENCY SAVINGS

The Committee directs that savings from foreign currency re-estimates be used to maintain existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on how these savings are allocated by December 1, 2003. Likewise, only 10 percent of funds made available to the construction and operation and maintenance accounts may be transferred between the accounts. Such transfers must be reported to the Committee within thirty days of such action.

## LEASING REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee continues the reporting requirement for both domestic and foreign leases. For domestic leases (not funded by the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund), the Department is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter that exceed \$12,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; report the details of new or renewal lease that exceeds \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation) 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

## REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) also apply to new housing construction projects and improvement projects over \$2,000,000.

## FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

## (INCLUDING RESCISSION)

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$312,742,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	283,346,000
Recommended Appropriation .....	283,346,000
Rescission (General Provision Sec. 128) .....	- 4,920,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	278,426,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	- 34,316,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	- 4,920,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$278,426,000 for Family Housing Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2003. This recommendation is a decrease of \$4,920,000 below the budget request, and a decrease of \$34,316,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation. The appropriation includes \$27,942,000 to construct new family housing units, \$239,751,000 to improve existing units, and \$15,653,000 for planning and design. Section 128 of the General Provisions rescinds \$4,920,000 from this account.

## FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$1,089,573,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	1,119,007,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	1,119,007,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 total appropriation .....	+29,434,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends appropriating \$1,119,007,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Army, for fiscal year 2003. This is equal to the budget request and is an increase of \$29,434,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

## FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$331,780,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	375,700,000
Recommended Appropriation .....	380,268,000
Rescission (General Provision Sec. 128) .....	-2,652,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	377,616,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 total appropriation .....	+45,836,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+1,916,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$377,616,000 for Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of \$1,916,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$45,836,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation. The appropriation includes \$229,519,000 to construct new family housing units, \$139,468,000 to improve existing units, and \$11,281,000 for planning and design. Section 128 of the General Provisions rescinds \$2,652,000 from this account.

*Greece—Larissa: Construct Two Flag Officer Quarters.*—The budget request includes \$1,232,000 to construct two new Flag Officer Quarters to support a new NATO Subregional Command Headquarters. The Committee recommends against providing funds for this project until negotiations on NATO's command and force structure have been completed.

## FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$910,095,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	867,788,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	867,788,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 total appropriation .....	-42,307,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends appropriating \$867,788,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2003. This is equal to the budget request and is a decrease of \$42,307,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

*Housing Privatization Support Costs.*—The Committee transfers \$4,327,000 from the Department of Navy's maintenance of real property account to the housing privatization support cost account. This amount reflects the increased costs associated with an accelerated rate of housing privatization transactions.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE  
(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$550,703,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	676,694,000
Recommended Appropriation .....	689,824,000
Rescission (General Provision Sec. 128) .....	- 8,782,000
Total Committee recommendation in the bill .....	681,042,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	+130,339,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	+4,348,000

The Committee recommends appropriating \$681,042,000 for Family Housing Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2003. This recommendation is an increase of \$4,348,000 above the budget request and is an increase of \$130,339,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation. The appropriation includes \$429,568,000 to construct new family housing units, \$226,068,000 to improve existing units, and \$34,188,000 for planning and design. Section 128 of the General Provisions rescinds \$8,782,000 from this account.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$844,715,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	844,419,000
Defense Emergency Response Fund Request .....	29,631,000
Total 2003 President's Request .....	874,050,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	874,050,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 total appropriation .....	+29,335,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends appropriating \$874,050,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Air Force, for fiscal year 2003. This appropriation is the same as the budget request and is an increase of \$29,335,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$250,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	5,480,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	5,480,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	+5,230,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends appropriating \$5,480,000 for Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2003. This is equal to the budget request and is an increase of \$5,230,000 above the fiscal year 2002 appropriation. The appropriation includes \$5,230,000 to improve existing units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$43,762,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	42,395,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	42,395,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	- 1,367,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Committee recommends appropriating \$42,395,000 for Family Housing, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2003. The recommenda-

tion is equal to the budget request and is a decrease of \$1,367,000 below the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$2,000,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	2,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	2,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	0
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Family Housing Improvement Fund is administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations and contains appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts. The total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not exceed \$850,000,000. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are deposited into this fund and its use is subject to annual appropriations.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends \$2,000,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 2003, which is equal to the fiscal year 2002 appropriation. The Department is directed to continue providing quarterly status reports on each privatization project.

#### HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$10,119,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	0
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	-10,119,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund that provides assistance to homeowners. The fund was established to ameliorate adverse impacts on the economies of local communities caused by base closures or realignments. Service members may access the fund if the value of their home decreases because of a BRAC. The account receives funds from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends no appropriation for the Homeowners Assistance Fund for fiscal year 2003, which is \$10,119,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2002. Total requirements for fiscal year 2003 are estimated to be \$15,657,000 and will be funded with revenue from sales of acquired properties and prior year unobligated balances.

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	\$632,713,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	545,138,000
Committee recommendation in the bill .....	545,138,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2002 appropriation .....	-87,575,000
Fiscal year 2003 estimate .....	0

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988 (Public Law 100-526) and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510)

authorized four base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds between 1988 and 1995 to reduce excess military bases and infrastructure. Ninety-seven major domestic installations were closed and several facilities were realigned. Savings of \$14,800,000,000 were realized through fiscal year 2001.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends \$545,138,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure account for fiscal year 2003. This amount is a decrease of \$87,575,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2002.

To date, the Congress has appropriated a net total of \$21,774,567,000 for the BRAC program from fiscal years 1990 through 2002. Within this total, the Department has allocated \$7,462,686,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by service component, by functions, and by base. Recognizing the complexities of providing for environmental restoration of properties, the Committee has provided flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor program execution to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of environmental clean-up responsibilities.

*California—Fort Ord: Hazardous Waste Removal.*—The Department of Army is working to develop, demonstrate, and validate innovative technologies to address the remediation of lead-based paint, asbestos, and polychlorinated biphenyls generated from the removal of the 12th Street Corridor building at the former Fort Ord in California. The Committee urges the Department of Army to continue this effort until a successful technology is validated. A successful technology solution from this effort will be beneficial for other closed or realigned defense installations facing similar challenges.

*Unexploded Ordnance.*—This year, the Committee held a hearing on Unexploded Ordnance (UXO). Drawing on experts from Congress, the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), the service components, and the former Fort Ord, members were exposed to a broad range of UXO issues.

Of primary concern to the Committee is the state of UXO detection and removal technology. The current process requires troops to dig manually and identify visually all potential UXO. Over 90 percent of these digs yield false positives, they put troops at risk of injury or death, and they contribute to the exorbitant cost of UXO removal.

The Committee is interested in staying abreast of UXO issues as they relate to the Military Construction Subcommittee and directs DOD, on an annual basis, to provide inventories and clean up costs of UXO at Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) sites to the Committees on Appropriations. In addition, the Committee encourages DOD to continue funding critical UXO technology and environmental clean-up initiatives in order to ensure the productive use of former military sites in the future.

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Administration proposed eliminating several general provisions enacted in P.L. 107-64: sections 111, 113, 119, 122, 124, 125,

and 128–132. The Committee recommends retaining every provision except for sections 124 and 128–132. Additionally, the Administration proposed a new section 122 that would allow up to \$70,000,000 to be transferred among any accounts in the bill. The Committee did not include this provision. General Provisions included in the bill are as follows:

Section 101 of the General Provisions limits DOD from spending funds appropriated in this Act for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed \$25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

Section 102 of the General Provisions permits the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 of the General Provisions permits funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

Section 104 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States without a specific appropriation.

Section 105 of the General Provisions limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100% of value.

Section 106 of the General Provisions prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

Section 107 of the General Provisions limits the use of minor construction funds to be transferred or relocated from one installation to another.

Section 108 of the General Provisions prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 of the General Provisions limits appropriations from being used to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification to the Committee on Appropriations.

Section 111 of the General Provisions establishes a preference for American architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, and the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 of the General Provisions establishes a preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where construction costs exceed \$100,000.

Section 114 of the General Provisions limits obligations to no more than 20 percent during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 of the General Provisions permits DOD to make available funds appropriated in prior years for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 of the General Provisions permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 of the General Provisions permits obligation of funds from more than one fiscal year to execute a construction project,

provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

Section 118 of the General Provisions allows the transfer of expired funds to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account.

Section 119 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to report annually on actions taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the NATO, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of defense costs.

Section 120 of the General Provisions authorizes the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 of the General Provisions prohibits the availability of funds to any entity that violates the Buy American Act.

Section 122 of the General Provisions states the Sense of the Congress notifying recipients of equipment or products authorized to be purchased with financial assistance provided in this Act to purchase American-made equipment and products.

Section 123 of the General Provisions permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund. New language proposed by the Administration is included.

Section 124 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to notify congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions, and extended deployments.

Section 125 of the General Provisions provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program. New language proposed by the Administration is included.

Section 126 of the General Provisions requires that appropriations from this Act be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses and limits the repair on these quarters to \$35,000 per year. Language proposed by the Administration is not included.

Section 127 of the General Provisions authorizes the Navy to use gift funds until September 30, 2006, to renovate the historic residences of the Marine Corps at 8th and I in Washington, D.C.

Section 128 of the General Provisions rescinds \$44,627,000 from various accounts to reflect savings from favorable foreign currency fluctuations.

Section 129 of the General Provisions limits funds from being transferred from this appropriation measure into any new instrumentality without authority from an appropriation Act.

Section 130 of the General Provisions limits funds from being expended to prepare conveyance documents at the former Fort Ord in California, intended for use for housing development, as defined in the redevelopment plan for Fort Ord.

Section 131 of the General Provisions transfers amounts appropriated for a physical fitness center at Camp Kyle, Korea, to a similar project at Camp Bonifas, Korea.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORT REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the rules of the House of Representatives.

## CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities that require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

Language is included that enables various appropriations to remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availability.

Language is included under Military Construction, Defense-wide, which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

Language is included under Military Construction, Defense-wide, conditioning Department of Army's receipt of \$84,400,000 on notification that it is able to meet milestones for construction of chemical weapons destruction facilities.

Language is included under Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV, limiting the amount of funds that shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

Language is included under the General Provisions authorizing gift funds to be used to renovate the Marine Corps Barracks at 8th and I in Washington, D.C.

Language is included in the General Provisions limiting funds from this appropriation measure from being transferred to any new government instrumentality without authority from an appropriation Act.

Language is included in the General Provisions limiting funds from being expended to prepare conveyance documents at the former Fort Ord in California.

Language is included in the General Provisions that transfers amounts appropriated for a physical fitness center at Camp Kyle, Korea, to a similar project at Camp Bonifas, Korea.

## DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-508), the following information provides the definitions of the terms "program, project and activity" for appropriations contained in the Military Construction Appropriations Act. The term "program, project, and activity" shall include the most specific level of budget items, identified in the Military Con-

struction Appropriations Act, 2002, the accompanying House and Senate reports, and the conference report of the joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference.

In carrying out any sequestrations, the Department of Defense (DOD) and related agencies shall carry forth the sequestration order in a manner that would not adversely affect or alter Congressional policies and priorities established for the DoD and the related agencies, and no program, project, and activity should be eliminated or reduced to a level of funding that would adversely affect DOD's ability to effectively continue any program, project, and activity.

#### APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

(Dollars in thousands)

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Military Construction, Army .....	2002	\$1,762,556	\$1,762,556	\$1,514,557
Military Construction, Navy .....	2002	1,126,633	1,126,633	1,245,765
Military Construction, Air Force .....	2002	1,237,580	1,237,580	964,302
Military Construction, Defense-wide .....	2002	806,278	806,278	901,066
Military Construction, Army National Guard .....	2002	405,565	405,565	159,672
Military Construction, Army National Guard .....	2002	253,386	253,386	119,613
Military Construction, Army Reserve .....	2002	167,019	167,019	99,059
Military Construction, Naval Reserve .....	2002	52,276	52,276	75,821
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve .....	2002	74,857	74,857	75,276
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Prog .....	2002	162,600	162,600	168,200
Family Housing Construction, Army .....	2002	312,742	312,742	283,346
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	2002	1,089,573	1,089,573	1,119,007
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps .....	2002	331,780	331,780	380,268
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine .....	2002	910,095	910,095	867,788
Family Housing Construction, Air Force .....	2002	550,703	550,703	689,824
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	2002	844,715	844,715	874,050
Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide .....	2002	250	250	5,480
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide .....	2002	43,762	43,762	42,395
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	2002	2,000	2,000	2,000
Howeowners Assistance Fund, Defense .....	2002	10,119	10,119	0
Base Realignment and Closure .....	2002	632,713	632,713	545,138

#### TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 118, 120, 123, 125, and 129 of the General Provisions, and language included under "Military Construction, Defense-wide" provide certain transfer authority.

#### RESCISSION OF FUNDS

In compliance with clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee recommends rescissions of:

Military Construction, Army—\$18,676,000  
 Military Construction, Navy—\$1,340,000  
 Military Construction, Air Force—\$10,281,000  
 Military Construction, Defense-wide—\$2,976,000  
 Family Housing Construction, Army—\$4,920,000  
 Family Housing Construction, Navy—\$2,652,000  
 Family Housing Construction, Air Force—\$8,782,000

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law \* \* \*

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section of 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) allocation		This bill <sup>1</sup>	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary .....	10,083	10,058	10,083	10,052
Mandatory .....	0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Does not include scoring of the FY 2002 Supplemental.

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

[In thousands of dollars]

Budget authority, fiscal year 2003 .....	\$10,083,000
Outlays:	
2003 .....	2,703,000
2004 .....	3,797,000
2005 .....	2,225,000

2006 .....	791,000
2007 and beyond .....	522,000

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

[In millions of dollars]

New budget authority .....	0
Fiscal year 2001 outlays resulting therefrom .....	0

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

There were no recorded votes.

STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
<b>ALABAMA</b>		
ARMY		
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION DOORS.....	1,900	1,900
FORT RUCKER		
UH-60 PARKING APRON.....	---	3,050
REDSTONE ARSENAL		
CAFETERIA ADDITION.....	---	1,950
AIR FORCE		
MAXWELL AFB		
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER - GUNTER ANNEX.....	---	8,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GADSEN		
ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER.....	1,781	2,261
TOTAL, ALABAMA.....	<u>3,681</u>	<u>17,161</u>
<b>ALASKA</b>		
ARMY		
FORT RICHARDSON		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - D STREET (PHASE II).....	21,000	21,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION WINDOWS (DERF)....	910	910
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE.....	1,600	1,600
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS.....	18,000	18,000
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE.....	24,000	24,000
MISSION SUPPORT TRAINING FACILITY.....	50,000	50,000
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	16,500	16,500
AIR FORCE		
CLEAR AIR STATION		
UPGRADE POWER PLANT.....	14,400	14,400
EIELSON AFB		
CENTRAL HEAT PLANT BAG HOUSES.....	21,600	21,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ELMENDORF AFB		
HOSPITAL CONSTRUCTION CLAIM PAYMENT.....	10,400	10,400
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE IV).....	53,000	53,000
TOTAL, ALASKA.....	<u>231,410</u>	<u>231,410</u>
<b>ARIZONA</b>		
ARMY		
FORT HUACHUCA		
UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE TRAINING FACILITIES.....	---	10,400
YUMA PROVING GROUND		
AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT TEST/MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.....	---	4,500
NAVY		
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
COMBAT AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON (PHASE II).....	3,000	3,000
AIR FORCE		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB		
DORMITORY.....	9,110	9,110
HH-60 APRON/TAXIWAY D SHOULDERS.....	3,720	3,720
HH-60 MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	6,440	6,440

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
LUKE AFB		
LAND ACQUISITION.....	---	13,000
TOTAL, ARIZONA.....	22,270	50,170
ARKANSAS		
ARMY		
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL		
NON-STOCKPILE AMMUNITION DEMOLITION SHOP.....	18,937	---
AIR FORCE		
LITTLE ROCK AFB		
ADD/ALTER FUSELAGE TRAINER FACILITY.....	2,500	2,500
ENGINE/PROPELLER STORAGE FACILITY.....	2,100	2,100
MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY.....	8,100	8,100
MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	12,900	12,900
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL		
NON-STOCKPILE AMMUNITION DEMOLITION SHOP.....	---	18,937
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
LITTLE ROCK AFB		
OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	5,100
TOTAL, ARKANSAS.....	44,537	49,637
CALIFORNIA		
ARMY		
MONTEREY DEFENSE LANGUAGE INSTITUTE		
WATER DIVERSION.....	---	1,500
NAVY		
BARSTOW MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE		
COMBAT VEHICLE WELDING SHOP.....	---	4,450
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE		
ADVANCED AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE TRAINING Cmplx	28,810	28,810
AVIATION ARMAMENT SHOP.....	6,610	6,610
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	23,230	23,230
FIRE PROTECTION PIPELINE.....	5,320	5,320
FORCE INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS CENTER (DERF).....	20,000	20,000
WATER TREATMENT, RESERVOIR AND DISTRIBUTION.....	12,000	12,000
CHINA LAKE NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER		
PROPELLANTS AND EXPLOSIVES LAB (PHASE II).....	---	10,100
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL.....	8,070	8,070
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON.....	8,450	8,450
SECURITY UPGRADES (DERF).....	19,335	19,335
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHOP.....	5,540	5,540
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE.....	3,160	3,160
REFUELING VEHICLE SHOP.....	---	3,510
MONTEREY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL		
EDUCATIONAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT (PHASE I).....	---	7,000
REPLACE PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE (DERF).....	2,020	2,020
POINT MUGU NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER		
EXTEND AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON.....	6,760	6,760
SAN DIEGO		
PERIMETER SECURITY LIGHTING (DERF).....	1,580	1,580

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
PIER 2 ELECTRICAL UPGRADE.....	3,530	3,530
REPLACE PIER (SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND).....	6,150	6,150
SECURITY LIGHTS, PIERS AND QUAYWALLS (DERF).....	7,100	7,100
TWENTYNINE PALMS		
AIRCRAFT SURVEILLANCE RADAR.....	---	15,100
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	25,770	25,770
AIR FORCE		
BEALE AFB		
GLOBAL HAWK DINING FACILITY.....	3,470	3,470
GLOBAL HAWK SQUADRON OPS/MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	3,670	3,670
GLOBAL HAWK UPGRADE MAINTENANCE DOCK.....	4,600	4,600
TRAVIS AFB		
C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR.....	---	4,600
C-17 PARTS STORE.....	---	8,000
ELECTRICAL/UTILITIES AND SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	---	11,269
SQUADRON OPERATION/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT.....	---	9,600
VANDENBERG AFB		
INSTALL STORMWATER DRAINAGE.....	3,100	3,100
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (PHASE II).....	7,400	7,400
DEFENSE-WIDE		
TRAVIS AFB		
REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	16,000	16,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
MORENA VALLEY		
READINESS CENTER.....	12,044	12,044
SAN DIEGO		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	6,774	6,774
ARMY RESERVE		
VALLEJO		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/MARINE AMSA.....	6,501	6,501
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MARCH AFB		
C-17 ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	1,700
C-17 ALTER CO-LOCATED LIFE SUPPORT BUILDING.....	---	3,000
C-17 ALTER GENERAL MAINTENANCE SHOPS.....	---	2,000
RUNWAY REPAIR.....	---	2,550
TAXIWAY REPAIR.....	---	4,350
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA.....	256,994	345,723
COLORADO		
ARMY		
FORT CARSON		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - NELSON BLVD (PHASE II).....	42,000	42,000
FIRE STATION.....	---	4,250
TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING DOCKS.....	1,100	1,100
PUEBLO DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)...	38,000	---
AIR FORCE		
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE		
ADD/ALTER SBIRS MISSION CONTROL STATION.....	6,900	6,900
WING HEADQUARTERS/ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY.....	10,800	10,800

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
PETERSON AFB		
AT/FP SITE IMPROVEMENTS FOR HQ COMPLEX (DERF).....	2,000	2,000
NORAD BATTLE MANAGEMENT CENTER (DERF).....	3,500	3,500
SCHRIEVER AFB		
VISITORS CENTER/ENTRY CONTROL GATES (DERF).....	5,700	5,700
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY		
PERIMETER FENCE - CADET AREA (PHASE I) (DERF).....	4,200	4,200
UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY (PHASE III-A).....	---	5,200
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PETERSON AFB		
FAC REFURBISHMENT HOMELAND SECURITY CINC (DERF)...	25,000	18,400
PUEBLO DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)...	---	38,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
SCHRIEVER AFB		
CONSOLIDATED SPACE GROUP OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	6,900
TOTAL, COLORADO.....	139,200	148,950
CONNECTICUT		
NAVY		
NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE		
GATE 1 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	4,080	4,080
GATE 7 TRUCK ACCESS SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)...	3,800	3,800
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT.....	7,880	7,880
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
ARMY		
WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER		
ELECTRICAL SWITCH STATION (DERF).....	7,400	7,400
PHYSICAL SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS/FOREST GLEN (DERF)...	2,550	2,550
NAVY		
8TH AND 1 MARINE BARRACKS		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS.....	3,700	3,700
WASHINGTON NAVAL YARD		
O STREET VISITOR PROCESS CENTER (DERF).....	2,690	2,690
AIR FORCE		
BOLLING AFB		
PERIMETER WALL, NORTH GATE TO NAVY LINE (DERF)....	1,500	1,500
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY (DERF).....	3,500	3,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BOLLING AFB		
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS CENTER.....	121,958	121,958
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
PARKING GARAGE.....	2,500	2,500
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.....	145,798	145,798
FLORIDA		
NAVY		
EGLIN AFB		
ADVANCED EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL TRAINING FAC.	6,350	6,350
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION		
AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIP MAINT TRAINING FACILITY....	---	6,572
BIRMINGHAM GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	1,890	1,890

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
COMMERCIAL GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	2,680	2,680
YORKTOWN GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	2,200	2,200
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION		
PERIMETER SECURITY UPGRADES (DERF).....	1,900	1,900
PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION		
RUNWAY APPROACH LIGHTS.....	990	990
WHITTING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE OFFICER SCHOOL.....	---	1,780
AIR FORCE		
AVON PARK AIR FORCE RANGE		
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD, ARBUCKLE CREEK BRIDGE.....	---	2,000
EGLIN AFB		
BARRIERS AND INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM (DERF)....	1,050	1,050
SECURITY FENCING (DERF).....	3,200	3,200
HURLBURT FIELD		
DORMITORY.....	9,000	9,000
FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS (PHASE I) (DERF)....	3,500	3,500
FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS (PHASE II) (DERF)...	2,500	2,500
MACDILL AFB		
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION GATES (DERF).....	7,000	7,000
CONTROL TOWER/FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	---	13,000
TYNDALL AFB		
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	---	8,100
DEFENSE-WIDE		
EGLIN AFB		
ADD/ALTER COMMAND AND OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	9,000	9,000
ALTER FACILITIES FOR CV-22.....	2,100	2,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BLANDING		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE I).....	---	5,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
HOMESTEAD ARB		
INSTALLATION PERIMETER FENCE (DERF).....	1,100	1,100
SERVICES COMPLEX.....	---	2,500
TOTAL, FLORIDA.....	54,460	93,412
GEORGIA		
ARMY		
FORT BENNING		
AT/FP ACCESS CONTROL POINTS (DERF).....	8,000	8,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - MAIN POST (PHASE I).....	45,000	45,000
CHAPEL.....	---	6,500
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	3,250	3,250
FORT STEWART		
SABER HALL COMPLEX.....	26,000	26,000
NAVY		
KINGS BAY NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE		
STIMSON GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	1,580	1,580
AIR FORCE		
ROBINS AFB		
BASE ENTRANCE/VISITORS FACILITY (DERF).....	5,400	5,400

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
<b>AIR NATIONAL GUARD</b>		
SAVANNAH IAP		
BASE ENTRANCE ROAD (DERF).....	1,450	1,450
<b>NAVY RESERVE</b>		
ATLANTA NAVAL AIR STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	6,730	6,730
SAVANNAH MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER		
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING.....	5,900	5,900
<b>AIR FORCE RESERVE</b>		
DOBBINS AFB		
VISITORS CENTER (DERF).....	2,000	2,000
TOTAL, GEORGIA.....	105,310	111,810
<b>HAWAII</b>		
<b>ARMY</b>		
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - CAPRON AVENUE (PHASE I).....	49,000	49,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - QUAD C.....	42,000	42,000
<b>NAVY</b>		
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD		
WATERFRONT/MECHANICAL SHOP (BRAVO PIER).....	---	18,500
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION		
RECAPITALIZE BRAVO WHARFS.....	10,490	10,490
SECURITY LIGHTING (DERF).....	4,200	4,200
<b>AIR FORCE</b>		
HICKAM AFB		
FLIGHTLINE SECURITY FENCING/GATES (PHASE I) (DERF)	1,350	1,350
<b>DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
HICKAM AFB		
LIFE SKILLS CLINIC REPLACEMENT.....	2,700	2,700
KAUAI PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FACILITY		
THAAD TEST FACILITY.....	23,400	23,400
<b>ARMY NATIONAL GUARD</b>		
BARBERS POINT		
ADD/ALTER ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING (PHASE I).....	22,473	22,473
TOTAL, HAWAII.....	155,613	174,113
<b>ILLINOIS</b>		
<b>NAVY</b>		
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER		
COMMERCIAL TRUCK INSPECTION FACILITY (DERF).....	1,620	1,620
INTRUSION RESISTANT GATES (DERF).....	6,470	6,470
RECRUIT BARRACKS.....	43,360	43,360
RECRUIT BARRACKS.....	41,740	41,740
<b>AIR NATIONAL GUARD</b>		
183RD FIGHTER WING, SPRINGFIELD		
COMPOSITE SUPPORT TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	8,800
TOTAL, ILLINOIS.....	93,190	101,990
<b>INDIANA</b>		
<b>ARMY</b>		
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)....	61,494	

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
NAVY		
CRANE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER		
ELECTROCHEMISTRY ENGINEERING FACILITY.....	---	11,610
DEFENSE-WIDE		
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)....	---	61,494
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP ATTERBURY		
BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER.....	---	8,327
TOTAL, INDIANA.....	61,494	81,431
IOWA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BOONE		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	4,252
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SIoux GATEWAY AIRPORT		
KC-135 UPG AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHO....	6,900	6,900
KC-135 UPGRADE SHOPS AND OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	4,800	4,800
TOTAL, IOWA.....	11,700	15,952
KANSAS		
ARMY		
FORT LEAVENWORTH		
ACCESS CONTROL POINTS (DERF).....	3,150	3,150
FORT RILEY		
ACCESS CONTROL GATES (DERF).....	6,000	6,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - INFANTRY DRIVE EAST.....	41,000	41,000
DEPLOYMENT FACILITY, RAMP EXPANSION.....	---	4,950
AIR FORCE		
MCCONNELL AFB		
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITIES (PHASE I).....	---	7,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT RILEY		
ADD/ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	770	770
KANSAS CITY		
ADD/ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	771	771
TOPEKA		
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER.....	14,607	14,607
TOTAL, KANSAS.....	66,298	78,748
KENTUCKY		
ARMY		
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)..	10,300	---
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE III)...	8,300	---
RAILYARD INFRASTRUCTURE.....	5,500	5,500
FORT CAMPBELL		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - RANGE ROAD (PHASE I).....	49,000	49,000
PURCHASE RESTRICTIVE EASEMENTS.....	---	7,300
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)...	---	10,300

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE III)...	---	8,300
TOTAL, KENTUCKY.....	73,100	80,400
LOUISIANA		
ARMY		
FORT POLK		
DIGITAL MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE.....	31,000	31,000
AIR FORCE		
BARKSDALE AFB		
DORMITORY.....	10,900	10,900
DEFENSE-WIDE		
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION		
REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	9,500	9,500
NAVY RESERVE		
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION		
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION.....	1,500	1,500
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE.....	2,690	2,690
JOINT RESERVE CENTER (PHASE III).....	---	7,400
PERIMETER ROAD AND FENCING (DERF).....	1,510	1,510
RUNWAY AND TAXIWAY EXTENSION.....	14,600	14,600
TOTAL, LOUISIANA.....	71,700	79,100
MAINE		
NAVY		
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD		
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	11,600	11,600
GATE 1 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	3,600	3,600
TOTAL, MAINE.....	15,200	15,200
MARYLAND		
ARMY		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)....	30,600	---
FORT DETRICK		
ADD/ALTER FIRE STATION.....	---	2,800
BARRACKS COMPLEX - PORTER STREET SOUTH.....	16,000	16,000
COMMUNITY SUPPORT CENTER.....	3,700	3,700
NAVY		
ANDREWS AFB		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT.....	9,680	9,680
U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY		
ETHICAL CENTER CLASSROOM.....	---	1,800
AIR FORCE		
ANDREWS AFB		
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION POV GATES (DERF) ..	4,100	4,100
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROT REMAINING GATES (DERF) ..	5,500	5,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)....	---	30,600
FORT MEADE		
PERIMETER SECURITY.....	1,896	1,896
OPERATIONS BUILDING 1 STAIR TOWERS.....	2,588	2,588

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
<b>ARMY NATIONAL GUARD</b>		
PATUXENT RIVER		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	6,740
TOTAL, MARYLAND.....	74,064	85,404
MASSACHUSETTS		
<b>ARMY</b>		
NATICK SOLDIER SUPPORT CENTER		
FOOD ENGINEERING LAB.....	---	4,100
<b>AIR FORCE</b>		
HANSCOM AFB		
ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER.....	7,700	7,700
<b>AIR FORCE RESERVE</b>		
WESTOVER ARB		
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS COMPLEX.....	---	3,850
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS.....	7,700	15,650
MICHIGAN		
<b>ARMY NATIONAL GUARD</b>		
SHIAWASSE COUNTY OWOSSO		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	4,700
<b>AIR NATIONAL GUARD</b>		
SELFRIDGE ANGB		
ADD PERIMETER FENCING (DERF).....	1,000	1,000
W.K. KELLOGG AIRPORT		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	3,800
TOTAL, MICHIGAN.....	1,000	9,500
MINNESOTA		
<b>NAVY RESERVE</b>		
DULUTH NAVAL RESERVE CENTER		
HARDEN RESERVE BUILDING (DERF).....	1,450	1,450
<b>AIR FORCE RESERVE</b>		
MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL ARS		
CONSOLIDATED LODGING FACILITY (PHASE IV).....	---	6,300
TOTAL, MINNESOTA.....	1,450	7,750
MISSISSIPPI		
<b>NAVY</b>		
GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE I).....	---	12,000
COMMUNICATIONS/INSTRUCTION FACILITY.....	5,460	5,460
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION		
CONTROL TOWER AND BEACON TOWER.....	---	2,850
PASCAGOULA NAVAL STATION		
CONSTRUCT NEW NAVY CHANNEL.....	4,160	4,160
<b>AIR FORCE</b>		
KEESLER AFB		
STUDENT DORMITORY.....	22,000	22,000
<b>AIR NATIONAL GUARD</b>		
JACKSON IAP		
C-17 CONSTRUCT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY.....	4,100	4,100

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
JACKSON-C-17 REPLACE FUEL CELL HANGAR/SHOP.....	25,000	25,000
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI.....	60,720	75,570
MISSOURI		
ARMY		
FORT LEONARD WOOD		
TACTICAL VEHICLE SIMULATOR BUILDING.....	15,500	15,500
MONTANA		
NAVY RESERVE		
BILLINGS NAVAL RESERVE CENTER		
RESERVE CENTER WITH LAND.....	5,905	5,905
NEBRASKA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
LINCOLN		
ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER.....	757	757
NORFOLK		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	3,666	3,666
ARMY RESERVE		
LINCOLN		
RESERVE CENTER/MAINT SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE.....	8,732	8,732
TOTAL, NEBRASKA.....	13,155	13,155
NEVADA		
NAVY		
FALLON NAVAL AIR STATION		
HIGH EXPLOSIVES MAGAZINE.....	---	4,010
AIR FORCE		
NELNIS AFB		
DORMITORY.....	12,280	12,280
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL FACILITY (DERF).....	6,900	6,900
F-22 MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	3,170	3,170
LAND ACQUISITION.....	15,000	15,000
TOTAL, NEVADA.....	37,350	41,360
NEW JERSEY		
ARMY		
PICATINNY ARSENAL		
HIGH ENERGY PROPELLANT FORMULATION FAC (PHASE II).....	---	7,500
NAVY		
EARLE NAVAL WEAPONS STATION		
WATERFRONT MAIN GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	5,600	5,600
LAKEHURST NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER		
COMBINED STRUCTURAL/ AIRCRAFT FIRE RESCUE STATION.....	---	5,200
AIR FORCE		
MCGUIRE AFB		
C-17 FLIGHTLINE OPERATIONS FACILITIES.....	24,631	24,631
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT DIX		
ADD/ALTER PARKING APRON/TAXIWAY.....	---	10,000
VEHICLE/PALLET FACILITY.....	---	4,012
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY.....	30,231	56,943

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
NEW MEXICO		
ARMY		
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE		
LAUNCHER COMPLEX REVITALIZATION (PHASE II-A).....	---	4,500
AIR FORCE		
CANNON AFB		
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY (DERF).....	4,650	4,650
HOLLOMAN AFB		
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP.....	---	4,650
KIRTLAND AFB		
VISITING QUARTERS.....	---	8,400
RELOCATE TRUMAN GATE (DERF).....	2,500	2,500
UPGRADE MUNITIONS MAINT STORAGE COMPLEX SEC (DERF)	11,000	11,000
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO.....	18,150	35,700
NEW YORK		
ARMY		
FORT DRUM		
BARRACKS EXPANSION.....	---	8,000
SHOOT HOUSE.....	1,500	1,500
TAXIWAY CONSTRUCTION.....	---	8,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
WEST POINT		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	3,898	3,898
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	449	449
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
HANCOCK FIELD		
MOBILITY PROCESSING CENTER.....	---	2,300
UPGRADE BASE FORCE PROTECTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE..	---	8,600
ARMY RESERVE		
OSWEGO		
RESERVE CENTER/MAINT SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE.....	5,492	5,492
NAVY RESERVE		
SYRACUSE MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	2,030	2,030
TOTAL, NEW YORK.....	13,369	41,069
NORTH CAROLINA		
ARMY		
FORT BRAGG		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - ARMISTEAD STREET.....	50,000	50,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - BUTNER ROAD (PHASE III).....	50,000	50,000
CONSOLIDATED FUEL FACILITY.....	17,500	17,500
FORCE PROTECTION PLAN (PHASE II) (DERF).....	18,000	18,000
SOLDIER SUPPORT CENTER.....	---	9,400
NAVY		
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE		
FITNESS CENTER ADDITION.....	5,370	5,370
LAND ACQUISITION.....	---	4,200
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES.....	---	4,430
T-56 TEST CELL.....	6,040	6,040

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY.....	6,920	6,920
AIR FORCE		
POPE AFB		
DORMITORY.....	9,700	9,700
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE		
REPLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.....	10,884	10,884
REPLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (DERF).....	1,254	1,254
FORT BRAGG		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	900	900
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	104	104
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	925	925
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	107	107
RENOVATE BRYANT HALL.....	11,600	11,600
WEAPONS TRAINING FACILITY.....	19,200	19,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
ELIZABETH CITY		
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE COMPOUND.....	208	208
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
CHARLOTTE/DOUGLAS IAP		
RELOCATE ROAD AND GATE HOUSE (DERF).....	2,500	2,500
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT BRAGG		
ADD/ALTER ARMY RESERVE CENTER.....	1,624	1,624
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA.....	212,836	230,866
OHIO		
AIR FORCE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB		
ALTER GRADUATE EDUCATION FACILITY.....	---	13,000
DORMITORY.....	10,400	---
FULLY CONTAINED SMALL ARMS RANGE COMPLEX (DERF)...	12,000	12,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
COLUMBUS DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER		
PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY.....	5,021	5,021
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MANSFIELD LAHM AIRPORT		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX.....	---	3,500
SPRINGFIELD-BECKLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT		
FIRE STATION.....	---	6,100
TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT		
REPLACE LOGISTICS COMPLEX.....	---	6,900
RICKENBACKER ANGB		
FIRE STATION.....	---	6,000
ARMY RESERVE		
NORTH CANTON		
RESERVE CENTER/OMS/AMSA/STORAGE.....	---	11,998
NAVY RESERVE		
COLUMBUS NAVAL AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER		
HARDEN RESERVE BUILDING (DERF).....	1,040	1,040

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
YOUNGSTOWN ARS		
VISITORS CENTER (DERF).....	2,500	2,500
TOTAL, OHIO.....	30,961	68,059
OKLAHOMA		
AIR FORCE		
TINKER AFB		
CONSOLIDATED INTEGRATED SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	7,500
OREGON		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
KLAMATH FALLS AIRPORT-KINGSLEY FIELD		
REPLACE PERIMETER FENCING (DERF).....	1,000	1,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
PORTLAND IAP		
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	525	525
ALTER MAINTENANCE SHOPS.....	2,650	2,650
CONSOLIDATED TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE I).....	1,609	1,609
HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM (PHASE I).....	6,400	6,400
TOTAL, OREGON.....	12,184	12,184
PENNSYLVANIA		
ARMY		
LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE.....	1,550	1,550
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CONNELSVILLE		
READINESS CENTER (PHASE II).....	---	1,700
JOHNSTOWN		
RUNWAY IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT INDIANTOWN GAP		
BASE ENTRY, RELOCATE ROAD AND FENCING (DERF).....	2,300	2,300
ARMY RESERVE		
JOHNSTOWN		
UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING/RAMP.....	---	12,270
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA.....	3,850	17,820
RHODE ISLAND		
NAVY		
NEWPORT NAVAL STATION		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	6,870
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ARMY		
FORT JACKSON		
BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE II).....	39,000	39,000
NAVY		
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
AIRCRAFT ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURE.....	13,700	13,700
CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION		
SECURITY CONSOLIDATION (DERF).....	5,740	5,740
PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT		
ALL WEATHER TRAINING FACILITY.....	7,410	7,410

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
RECRUIT TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION.....	3,080	3,080
AIR FORCE		
SHAW AFB		
FIGHTER SQUADRON MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.....	---	6,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT JACKSON		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	865	865
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	100	100
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,382	1,382
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	159	159
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
CHARLESTON AFB		
MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	2,150
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA.....	71,436	80,386
TEXAS		
ARMY		
FORT BLISS		
UPGRADE WATER SYSTEMS.....	---	10,200
FORT HOOD		
ACCESS CONTROL BUILDING (DERF).....	24,000	24,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - CLEAR CREEK ROAD.....	45,000	45,000
BRIGADE COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITIES.....	---	16,000
NAVY		
CORPUS CHRISTI NAVAL AIR STATION		
PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY.....	---	7,150
FORT WORTH NAVAL AIR STATION/JOINT RESERVE BASE		
AIRCRAFT ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	---
KINGSVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION		
UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING AND CONTROLS.....	6,210	6,210
AIR FORCE		
CAMP BULLIS		
MOUT TRAINING FACILITY (DERF).....	6,000	---
VISITING QUARTERS (DERF).....	4,000	---
LACKLAND AFB		
STUDENT DORMITORY.....	18,500	18,500
MILITARY OPERATIONS IN URBAN TERRAIN (MOUT) (DERF)	13,000	13,000
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	---	5,800
LAUGHLIN AFB		
CONSOLIDATED WING SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	8,000
SHEPPARD AFB		
AIRFIELD OPERATIONS COMPLEX (PHASE I).....	---	8,000
DORMITORY.....	10,000	10,000
ENJPT FLIGHT SIMULATOR.....	6,000	6,000
ARMY RESERVE		
GRAND PRAIRIE		
RESERVE CENTER/MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	9,113	9,113
NAVY RESERVE		
FORT WORTH NAVAL AIR STATION/JOINT RESERVE BASE		
AIRCRAFT ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	8,850
BASE PASS, ID AND VISITORS CENTER (DERF).....	1,500	1,500

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
WACO MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	4,140	4,140
TOTAL, TEXAS.....	147,463	201,463
UTAH		
AIR FORCE		
HILL AFB		
DEPOT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE 1B).....	---	14,500
VIRGINIA		
ARMY		
FORT LEE		
FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER (PHASE I).....	---	5,200
NAVY		
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER		
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DETACHMENT FAC (DERF).....	6,600	6,600
THEATER WARFARE INTEGRATION CENTER.....	9,230	9,230
DAM NECK		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	---	---
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
INFORMATION WARFARE OPERATIONAL CONTROL CTR (DERF).....	5,370	5,370
NEW TRUCK ACCESS GATE (DERF).....	4,400	4,400
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.....	34,450	34,450
AIRCRAFT RECAPITALIZATION.....	11,290	11,290
BACHELOR ENLISTED QRTS, SHIPBOARD ASHORE (PHASE I).....	37,310	37,310
GATE 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	4,400	4,400
GATE 3A SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	4,005	4,005
GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	2,260	2,260
MAIN GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	2,200	2,200
PIER REPLACEMENT (PHASE II).....	33,520	33,520
SHORELINE SECURITY FENCING.....	2,030	2,030
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION (PHASE II).....	25,160	25,160
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRFIELD APPROACH LIGHTING.....	2,000	2,000
AIRFIELD PERIMETER SECURITY (DERF).....	10,500	10,500
POST 1 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	3,990	3,990
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD		
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	19,660	19,660
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS BASE		
ARMORY/FLEET WEAPONS SUPPORT FACILITY.....	4,234	4,234
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	10,280	10,280
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ADDITION.....	5,040	5,040
CANDIDATE INSTRUCTION FACILITY.....	---	5,310
YORKTOWN NAVAL WEAPONS STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT.....	15,020	15,020
AIR FORCE		
LANGLEY AFB		
AIR COMBAT COMMAND OPERATIONS SUPPORT CTR (DERF).....	24,000	24,000
DORMITORY.....	8,320	8,320
F-22 FLIGHT SIMULATOR.....	8,120	8,120
F-22 INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES.....	10,700	10,700
F-22 SQUADRON OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT.....	20,800	20,800

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ARLINGTON		
LAND ACQUISITION.....	18,000	---
DAM NECK		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	---	3,900
RICHMOND DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER		
RENOVATE OPERATIONS CENTER.....	5,500	5,500
FORT BELVOIR		
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION CENTER.....	50,188	50,188
LITTLE CREEK		
OPERATIONS TRAINER.....	4,400	4,400
SEAL TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	9,900	9,900
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS BASE		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,272	1,272
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	146	146
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT STORY		
RESERVE CENTER/MAINT SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE.....	12,385	12,385
NAVY RESERVE		
NORFOLK		
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION.....	4,770	4,770
TOTAL, VIRGINIA.....	431,450	427,860
WASHINGTON		
ARMY		
FORT LEWIS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - 17TH & B STREET (PHASE II).....	50,000	50,000
BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER.....	24,000	24,000
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	29,800	29,800
NAVY		
BANGOR		
MISSILES SPARES STORAGE BUILDING.....	7,340	7,340
RELOCATE ENCUMBERED WATERFRONT SHOPS.....	5,900	5,900
SMALL ARMS TRAINING CENTER (DERF).....	16,410	16,410
BREMERTON NAVAL STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SHIPBOARD ASHORE.....	35,120	35,120
SHIP MOVEMENTS OFFICE WITH CONTROL TOWER (DERF)...	2,200	2,200
WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION.....	8,550	8,550
KEYPORT NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER		
UNDERSEA WATER SYSTEMS DEPENDABILITY CENTER.....	---	10,500
PORT HADLOCK NAVAL MAGAZINE		
AMMUNITION WHARF IMPROVEMENTS.....	4,030	4,030
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD		
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	21,670	24,670
INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY.....	11,390	11,390
WATERFRONT SUPPORT FACILITIES.....	21,072	21,072
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRCRAFT DIRECT REFUELING FACILITY.....	9,180	9,180
AULT FIELD SECURITY FENCING (DERF).....	8,400	8,400

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
SPOKANE		
READINESS CENTER (PHASE I).....	---	8,800
TOTAL, WASHINGTON.....	255,062	277,362
WEST VIRGINIA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
SUMMERSVILLE		
READINESS CENTER.....	6,800	6,800
WISCONSIN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP WILLIAMS		
UNITED STATES PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE WAREHOUSE	6,045	6,045
MADISON		
UNITED STATES PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE.....	5,245	5,245
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT MCCOY		
BATTALION DINING FACILITY.....	5,117	5,117
TOTAL, WISCONSIN.....	16,407	16,407
WYOMING		
NAVY RESERVE		
CHEYENNE NAVAL RESERVE CENTER		
HARDEN RESERVE BUILDING (DERF).....	1,240	1,240
BAHRAIN		
NAVY		
BAHRAIN NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
INSTALLATION SERVICE SUPPORT CENTER.....	25,970	25,970
BELGIUM		
ARMY		
SHAPE HEADQUARTERS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - CHIEVRES.....	13,600	13,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
SHAPE HEADQUARTERS		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,410	1,410
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	163	163
TOTAL, BELGIUM.....	15,173	15,173
CUBA		
NAVY		
GUANTANAMO BAY		
SECURITY UPGRADES (DERF).....	4,280	4,280
DIEGO GARCIA		
NAVY		
DIEGO GARCIA NAVAL SUPPORT FACILITY		
PHYSICAL READINESS CENTER.....	8,370	8,370
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY.....	2,720	2,720
AIR FORCE		
DIEGO GARCIA		
B-2 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON.....	17,100	17,100
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA.....	28,190	28,190

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
GERMANY		
ARMY		
BAMBERG		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - WARNER BUILDING 7002.....	10,200	10,200
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	7,000	7,000
DARMSTADT		
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE, AUTOMATED.....	3,500	3,500
CAMPBELL BARRACKS		
AT/FP BLAST MITIGATION WINDOWS (DERF).....	8,300	8,300
COLEMAN BARRACKS		
ACCESS CONTROL FACILITY/PERIMETER SECURITY (DERF) .	1,350	1,350
GRAFENWOEHR		
BRIGADE COMPLEX - UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE.....	46,666	46,666
BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS.....	13,200	13,200
BRIGADE COMPLEX-SITE PREPARATION.....	10,000	10,000
LANDSTUHL		
ACCESS CONTROL FACILITIES (DERF).....	1,100	1,100
ACCESS CONTROL/PERIMETER SECURITY (DERF).....	1,300	1,300
MANNHEIM		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - COLEMAN BUILDING 18.....	42,000	42,000
SCHWEINFURT		
CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY.....	2,000	2,000
AIR FORCE		
RAMSTEIN AB		
COMBINED FLEET SERVICE/IN-FLIGHT KITCHEN.....	7,500	7,500
KMC CENTER SUPPORT.....	21,300	21,300
LARGE VEHICLE SECURITY INSPECTION STATION (DERF) ..	1,600	1,600
PASSENGER TERMINAL ANNEX.....	17,683	17,683
RAMP 1 (PHASE I).....	23,700	23,700
DEFENSE-WIDE		
RAMSTEIN AB		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	858	858
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	99	99
SPANGDAHLEM AB		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT.....	39,629	39,629
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	894	894
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	103	103
TOTAL, GERMANY.....	259,982	259,982
GREECE		
NAVY		
LARISSA		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND SUPPORT FACILITY...	14,800	14,800
GUAM		
NAVY		
GUAM		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT.....	13,400	13,400
AIR FORCE		
ANDERSEN AFB		
FITNESS CENTER.....	16,000	16,000
REPLACE OFF-BASE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM (DERF).....	15,000	15,000

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ANDERSEN AFB		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (PHASE IV).....	17,586	17,586
GUAM		
MARINE LOADING ARMS.....	6,000	6,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BARRIGADA		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	6,968
TOTAL, GUAM.....	67,986	74,954
ICELAND		
NAVY		
KEFLAVIK NAVAL AIR STATION		
COMBINED DINING FACILITY.....	14,920	14,920
ITALY		
ARMY		
VICENZA		
BARRACKS COMPLEX-CAMP EDERLE.....	31,000	31,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	3,700	3,700
NAVY		
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION		
OFF BASE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (DERF).....	11,300	---
PARKING GARAGE AND PERIMETER SECURITY UPGRADE....	19,560	19,560
QUALITY OF LIFE SUPPORT (PHASE II).....	33,530	33,530
AIR FORCE		
AVIANO AB		
CONSOLIDATE AREA A-1/AREA A-2 FOR FORCE PRT (DERF)	5,000	5,000
LARGE VEHICLE SECURITY INSPECTION STATION (DERF)..	1,600	1,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT.....	41,449	41,449
VICENZA		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,898	1,898
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	219	219
TOTAL, ITALY.....	149,256	137,956
JAPAN		
AIR FORCE		
KADENA AB		
VISITOR AND TRAFFIC CNTRL FAC/SEC FENCING (DERF)..	6,000	6,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
YOKOTA AB		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	23,000	23,000
TOTAL, JAPAN.....	29,000	29,000
KOREA		
ARMY		
CAMP CARROLL		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	20,000	20,000
CAMP CASTLE		
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER.....	6,800	6,800
CAMP HOVEY		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	25,000	25,000

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
CAMP HUMPHREYS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	36,000	36,000
CAMP HENRY		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	10,200	10,200
K-16 AIRFIELD, YONGSAN		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	40,000	40,000
YONGSAN ARMY GARRISON		
C4I HARDENING, CAMP TANGO (DERF).....	12,600	12,600
AIR FORCE		
OSAN AB		
DORMITORY.....	15,100	15,100
DEFENSE-WIDE		
SEOUL		
MIDDLE SCHOOL REPLACEMENT.....	28,409	28,409
MIDDLE SCHOOL REPLACEMENT (DERF).....	3,274	3,274
TOTAL, KOREA.....	197,383	197,383
PORTUGAL		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
LAJES FIELD		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	19,000	---
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION.....	1,069	1,069
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF).....	123	123
TOTAL, PORTUGAL.....	20,192	1,192
QATAR		
ARMY		
QATAR		
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING.....	8,600	8,600
SPAIN		
NAVY		
MADRID		
NAVY EXCHANGE/MORALE, WELFARE, RECREATION FAC.....	2,890	---
ROTA NAVAL STATION		
SECURITY COMPLEX (DERF).....	18,700	18,700
AIR FORCE		
ROTA NAVAL STATION		
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE I).....	31,818	31,818
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ROTA NAVAL STATION		
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	23,400	23,400
TOTAL, SPAIN.....	76,808	73,918
TURKEY		
AIR FORCE		
INCIRLIK AB		
LARGE VEHICLE SECURITY INSPECTION STATION (DERF) ..	1,550	1,550
UNITED KINGDOM		
AIR FORCE		
RAF FAIRFORD		
B-2 MAINTENANCE HANGAR/APRON.....	19,000	19,000
RAF LAKENHEATH		
ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER.....	10,800	10,800

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
MOBILITY PROCESSING FACILITY.....	2,600	2,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
RAF FAIRFORD		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	17,000	17,000
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM.....	49,400	49,400
WAKE ISLAND		
AIR FORCE		
WAKE ISLAND AIRFIELD		
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT (PHASE I).....	24,900	24,900
NATO		
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM.....	168,200	168,200
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED		
AIR FORCE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION		
C-17 VARIOUS FACILITIES.....	30,569	---
CLASSIFIED MILCON PROJECT.....	1,993	---
VARIOUS FACILITIES/UTILITIES/INFRASTRUCTURE.....	23,000	23,000
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED.....	55,562	23,000
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENT..	-26,083	-26,083
CLASSIFIED PROJECT.....	4,000	4,000
HOST NATION SUPPORT.....	23,700	23,700
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	119,824	129,624
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF).....	5,340	5,340
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	20,500	21,550
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION).....	---	-13,676
RESCISSION.....	---	-5,000
NAVY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENT..	-10,470	-10,470
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	68,573	77,195
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF).....	17,630	17,630
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	23,262	23,262
HOST NATION INFRASTRUCTURE.....	1,000	1,000
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION).....	---	-1,340
AIR FORCE		
VARIOUS WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	41,496	57,154
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF).....	21,797	21,797
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	11,500	11,500
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION).....	---	-10,281
DEFENSE-WIDE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
ACCRUALS.....	---	---
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION.....	10,000	10,000
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	49,531	49,531
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION).....	---	-2,976

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
PLANNING AND DESIGN		
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	14,200	15,500
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	4,932	4,932
CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	5,000	5,000
UNDISTRIBUTED.....	20,000	20,000
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	44,132	45,432
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	3,363	3,363
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	2,000	2,000
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.....	1,500	1,500
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.....	6,430	6,430
UNDISTRIBUTED.....	3,000	3,000
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	16,293	16,293
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	14,724	25,248
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	4,930	5,516
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	8,273	14,380
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF).....	683	683
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	4,400	4,400
ARMY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	6,965	8,965
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	2,850	2,850
NAVY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	2,509	3,409
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF).....	377	377
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	780	780
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
JUDGMENT FUND PAYMENT.....	11,900	11,900
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	3,656	5,656
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF).....	476	476
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	5,160	5,160
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED.....	509,708	534,982
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY		
ALASKA		
FORT WAINWRIGHT (38 UNITS).....	17,752	17,752
ARIZONA		
YUMA PROVING GROUND (33 UNITS).....	6,100	6,100
GERMANY		
STUTTGART (1 UNIT).....	990	990
KOREA		
YONGSAN (10 UNITS).....	3,100	3,100
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	239,751	239,751
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	15,653	15,653

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION).....	---	-4,920
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	283,346	278,426
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	91,567	91,567
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	41,846	41,846
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	48,673	48,673
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	1,321	1,321
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	212,432	212,432
LEASING.....	215,251	215,251
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	485,257	485,257
INTEREST PAYMENT.....	1	1
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	25,926	25,926
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENT....	-3,267	-3,267
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	1,119,007	1,119,007
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY.....	1,402,353	1,397,433
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		
CALIFORNIA		
TWENTYNINE PALMS (76 UNITS).....	19,425	19,425
LEMOORE (178 UNITS).....	40,981	40,981
CONNECTICUT		
NEW LONDON (100 UNITS).....	24,415	24,415
FLORIDA		
MAYPORT (1 UNIT).....	329	329
HAWAII		
KANEHOE BAY (65 UNITS).....	24,797	24,797
MAINE		
BRUNSWICK (26 UNITS).....	---	5,800
MISSISSIPPI		
MERIDIAN (56 UNITS).....	9,755	9,755
NORTH CAROLINA		
CAMP LEJEUNE (317 UNITS).....	43,650	43,650
VIRGINIA		
QUANTICO (290 UNITS).....	41,843	41,843
GREECE		
LARISSA (2 UNITS).....	1,232	---
UNITED KINGDOM		
ST MAWGAN (62 UNITS).....	18,524	18,524
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	139,468	139,468
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	11,281	11,281
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION).....	---	-2,652
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	375,700	377,616
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	30,344	30,344
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	82,114	82,114
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	913	913
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	62,583	62,583
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	174,219	174,219
LEASING.....	129,085	129,085
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	381,388	377,061

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
INTEREST PAYMENT.....	71	71
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	7,071	11,398
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	867,788	867,788
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS....	1,243,488	1,245,404
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE		
ARIZONA		
LUKE AFB (140 UNITS).....	18,954	18,954
CALIFORNIA		
TRAVIS AFB (110 UNITS).....	24,320	24,320
COLORADO		
PETERSON AFB (2 UNITS).....	959	959
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY (71 UNITS).....	12,424	12,424
DELAWARE		
DOVER AFB (112 UNITS).....	19,615	19,615
FLORIDA		
EGLIN AFB (HOUSING OFFICE).....	597	597
EGLIN AFB (134 UNITS).....	15,906	15,906
MACDILL AFB (96 UNITS).....	18,086	18,086
HAWAII		
HICKAM AFB (96 UNITS).....	29,050	29,050
IDAHO		
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB (95 UNITS).....	24,392	24,392
KANSAS		
MCCONNELL AFB (MAINTENANCE BUILDING AND ROADS).....	1,514	1,514
MARYLAND		
ANDREWS AFB (53 UNITS).....	9,838	9,838
ANDREWS AFB (52 UNITS).....	8,807	8,807
MISSOURI		
WHITEMAN AFB (22 UNITS).....	3,977	3,977
WHITEMAN AFB (75 UNITS).....	---	13,130
MISSISSIPPI		
COLUMBUS AFB (MANAGEMENT OFFICE).....	412	412
KEESLER AFB (117 UNITS).....	16,505	16,505
MONTANA		
MALMSTROM AFB (18 UNITS).....	4,717	4,717
NORTH CAROLINA		
POPE AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE BUILDING).....	991	991
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB (126 UNITS).....	18,615	18,615
NORTH DAKOTA		
GRAND FORKS AFB (150 UNITS).....	30,140	30,140
MINOT AFB (112 UNITS).....	21,428	21,428
MINOT AFB (102 UNITS).....	20,315	20,315
NEW MEXICO		
HOLLOMAN AFB (101 UNITS).....	20,161	20,161
OKLAHOMA		
VANCE AFB (59 UNITS).....	11,423	11,423
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ELLSWORTH AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY).....	447	447
ELLSWORTH AFB (22 UNITS).....	4,794	4,794
TEXAS		
DYESS AFB (85 UNITS).....	14,824	14,824

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
RANDOLPH AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE OFFICE) .....	447	447
RANDOLPH AFB (112 UNITS) .....	14,311	14,311
VIRGINIA		
LANGLEY AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE OFFICE) .....	1,193	1,193
GERMANY		
RAMSTEIN AB (19 UNITS) .....	8,534	8,534
KOREA		
OSAN AB (FURNISHINGS MANAGEMENT OFFICE) .....	834	834
OSAN AB (113 UNITS) .....	35,705	35,705
UNITED KINGDOM		
RAF LAKENHEATH (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY) .....	2,203	2,203
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS .....	226,068	226,068
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	34,188	34,188
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION) .....	---	-8,782
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION .....	676,694	681,042
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
AT/FP FACILITY UPGRADES (DERF) .....	29,631	29,631
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT .....	35,619	35,619
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT .....	48,473	48,473
SERVICES ACCOUNT .....	25,178	25,178
UTILITIES ACCOUNT .....	132,945	132,945
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT .....	1,511	1,511
LEASING .....	103,690	103,690
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY .....	476,485	476,485
INTEREST PAYMENT .....	36	36
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS .....	20,482	20,482
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	874,050	874,050
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE .....	1,550,744	1,555,092
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS .....	5,480	5,480
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA) .....	120	120
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA) .....	3,689	3,689
FURNISHINGS (DLA) .....	26	26
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA) .....	15	15
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) .....	308	308
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA) .....	58	58
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA) .....	339	339
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA) .....	76	76
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA) .....	407	407
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA) .....	410	410
LEASING (NSA) .....	9,643	9,643
LEASING (DIA) .....	26,220	26,220
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA) .....	611	611
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA) .....	510	510
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJ (DLA) .....	-37	-37
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	42,395	42,395
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE .....	47,875	47,875

**Military Construction**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Request	House Recommendation
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND		
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.	2,000	2,000
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT.....	545,138	545,138
GRAND TOTAL.....	<u>9,541,576</u>	<u>10,083,000</u>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2002  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2003  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Army.....	1,778,256	1,450,438	1,414,557	-363,699	-35,881
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	100,000	100,000	+100,000	---
Rescission.....	-36,400	---	-18,676	+17,724	-18,676
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117).....	20,700	---	---	-20,700	---
Total.....	1,762,556	1,550,438	1,495,881	-266,675	-54,557
Military construction, Navy.....	1,144,221	884,661	1,036,335	-107,886	+151,674
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	220,730	209,430	+209,430	-11,300
Rescission.....	-19,688	---	-1,340	+18,248	-1,340
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117).....	2,000	---	---	-2,000	---
Total.....	1,126,633	1,105,391	1,244,425	+117,792	+139,034
Military construction, Air Force.....	1,194,880	644,090	783,705	-411,175	+139,615
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	190,597	180,597	+180,597	-10,000
Rescission.....	-4,000	---	-10,281	-6,281	-10,281
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117).....	46,700	---	---	-46,700	---
Total.....	1,237,560	834,687	954,021	-283,539	+119,334
Military construction, Defense-wide.....	840,558	740,535	876,366	+35,808	+135,831
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	31,300	24,700	+24,700	-6,600
Rescissions.....	-69,280	---	-2,976	+66,304	-2,976
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117).....	35,000	---	---	-35,000	---
Total.....	806,278	771,835	898,090	+91,812	+126,255
Total, Active components.....	4,933,047	4,262,351	4,592,417	-340,630	+330,066
Military construction, Army National Guard.....	405,565	101,595	159,672	-245,893	+58,077
Military construction, Air National Guard.....	253,386	53,473	110,880	-142,706	+57,207
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	8,933	8,933	+8,933	---
Total.....	253,386	62,406	119,613	-133,773	+57,207
Military construction, Army Reserve.....	167,019	58,779	99,059	-67,960	+40,280

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2002  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2003  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Naval Reserve.....	53,201	51,554	68,704	+15,503	+17,150
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	7,117	7,117	+7,117	---
Rescission.....	-925	---	---	+925	---
Total.....	52,276	58,671	75,821	+23,545	+17,150
Military construction, Air Force Reserve.....	74,857	31,900	69,200	-5,657	+37,300
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	6,076	6,076	+6,076	---
Total.....	74,857	37,976	75,276	+419	+37,300
Total, Reserve components.....	953,103	319,427	529,441	-423,662	+210,014
Total, Military construction.....	5,886,150	4,581,778	5,121,858	-764,292	+540,080
Appropriations.....	(5,911,943)	(4,017,025)	(4,618,278)	(-1,293,665)	(+601,253)
Defense emergency response fund.....	---	(564,753)	(536,853)	(+536,853)	(-27,900)
Emergency appropriations.....	(104,400)	---	---	(-104,400)	---
Rescissions.....	(-130,193)	---	(-33,273)	(+96,920)	(-33,273)
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.....	162,600	168,200	168,200	+5,600	---
Family housing, Army:					
Construction.....	312,742	283,346	283,346	-29,396	---
Rescission.....	---	---	-4,920	-4,920	-4,920
Operation and maintenance.....	1,089,573	1,119,007	1,119,007	+29,434	---
Total, Family housing, Army.....	1,402,315	1,402,353	1,397,433	-4,862	-4,920
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
Construction.....	331,780	375,700	380,288	+48,488	+4,568
Rescission.....	---	---	-2,652	-2,652	-2,652
Operation and maintenance.....	910,095	867,788	867,788	-42,307	---
Total, Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps.....	1,241,875	1,243,488	1,245,404	+3,529	+1,916

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2002  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2003  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Family housing, Air Force:					
Construction.....	550,703	676,694	689,824	+139,121	+13,130
Rescission.....	---	---	-8,782	-8,782	-8,782
Operation and maintenance.....	844,715	844,419	844,419	-296	---
Defense emergency response fund (DERF).....	---	29,631	29,631	+29,631	---
Total, Family housing, Air Force.....	1,395,418	1,550,744	1,555,082	+159,674	+4,348
Family housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction.....	250	5,480	5,480	+5,230	---
Operation and maintenance.....	43,762	42,395	42,395	-1,367	---
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide.....	44,012	47,875	47,875	+3,863	---
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.....	2,000	2,000	2,000	---	---
Homeowners assistance fund, Defense.....	10,119	---	---	-10,119	---
(By transfer).....	(7,730)	---	---	(-7,730)	---
Total, Family housing.....	4,095,739	4,246,460	4,247,804	+152,065	+1,344
Base realignment and closure account.....	632,713	545,138	545,138	-87,575	---
(Transfer out).....	(-7,730)	---	---	(+7,730)	---
Total.....	632,713	545,138	545,138	-87,575	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2002  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2003  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
General provision (sec. 130).....	-60,000	---	---	+60,000	---
General provision (sec. 132).....	-112,802	---	---	+112,802	---
Total, General provisions.....	-172,802	---	---	+172,802	---
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority.....	10,604,400	9,541,576	10,083,000	-521,400	+541,424
Appropriations.....	(10,630,193)	(8,947,192)	(9,566,143)	(-1,064,050)	(+618,951)
Defense emergency response fund.....	---	(394,384)	(566,484)	(+566,484)	(-27,900)
Emergency appropriations.....	(104,400)	---	---	(-104,400)	---
Rescissions.....	(-130,193)	---	(-49,627)	(+80,566)	(-49,627)
(Transfer out).....	(7,730)	---	---	(+7,730)	---
(By transfer).....	(7,730)	---	---	(-7,730)	---

○