## 108TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

# S. 844

To subject the United States to imposition of fees and costs in proceedings relating to State water rights adjudications.

### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

APRIL 9, 2003

Mr. Crapo (for himself, Ms. Murkowski, Mr. Enzi, Mr. Allard, Mr. Kyl, and Mr. Craig) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

# A BILL

To subject the United States to imposition of fees and costs in proceedings relating to State water rights adjudications.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Water Adjudication
- 5 Fee Fairness Act of 2003".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 7 The Congress finds the following:
- 8 (1) Generally, water allocation in the western
- 9 United States is based upon the doctrine of prior ap-
- 10 propriation, under which water users' rights are

- quantified under State law. Appropriative rights carry designated priority dates that establish the rel-ative right of priority to use water from a source. Most States in the West have developed judicial and administrative proceedings, often called general ad-judications, to quantify and document these relative rights, including the rights to water claimed by the United States Government under either State or Federal law.
  - (2) State general adjudications are typically complicated, expensive civil court and administrative actions that can involve hundreds or even thousands of claimants. Such adjudications give certainty to water rights, provide direction for water administration, and reduce conflict over water allocation and water usage. Those claiming and establishing rights to water are the primary beneficiaries of State general adjudication proceedings.
  - (3) The Congress has recognized the benefits of the State general adjudication system, and by enactment of section 208 of the Department of Justice Appropriation Act, 1953 (43 U.S.C. 666; popularly known as the "McCarran Amendment"), required the United States to submit to State court jurisdic-

- tion and to file claims in State general adjudication
  proceedings.
  - (4) Water rights claims by Federal agencies under either State or Federal law are often the largest or most complex claims in State general adjudications. However, the United States Supreme Court, in the case United States v. Idaho, 508 U.S. 1 (1992), determined that the McCarran Amendment does not require the United States to pay some filing fees simply because they were misconstrued or perceived to be the same as costs taxed against all parties.
    - (5) Since Federal agency water rights claims are among the most difficult to adjudicate, and since the United States is not required to pay some fees and costs paid by non-Federal claimants, the burden of funding adjudication proceedings unfairly shifts to private water users and State taxpayers.
    - (6) The lack of Federal Government funding to support State water rights adjudications in relation to the complexity of the claims involved has produced significant delays in completion of many State general adjudications. These delays inhibit the ability of both the States and Federal agencies to protect private and public property interests. Also, fail-

- 1 ure to complete the final adjudication of claims to
- 2 water restricts the ability of resource managers to
- determine how much unappropriated water is avail-
- 4 able to satisfy environmental and economic develop-
- 5 ment demands.

#### 6 SEC. 3. LIABILITY OF UNITED STATES FOR FEES AND

## 7 COSTS IN WATER USE RIGHTS PROCEEDINGS.

- 8 (a) In General.—In any State administrative or ju-
- 9 dicial proceeding for the adjudication or administration of
- 10 rights to the use of water in which the United States is
- 11 a party, the United States shall be subject to the imposi-
- 12 tion of fees and costs on its claims to water rights under
- 13 either State or Federal law to the same extent as a private
- 14 party to the proceeding.
- 15 (b) Application.—Subsection (a) shall apply to pro-
- 16 ceedings pending on or initiated after the date of enact-
- 17 ment of this Act, including with respect to fees and costs
- 18 imposed in such a proceeding before the date of the enact-
- 19 ment of this Act.
- 20 (c) Report to Congress.—The head of any Fed-
- 21 eral agency that files or has pending any water rights
- 22 claim shall prepare and submit to the Congress, within
- 23 90 days after the end of each fiscal year, a report that
- 24 identifies—

| 1  | (1) each such claim filed by the agency that has             |
|----|--|
| 2  | not yet been decreed;  |
| 3  | (2) all fees and costs imposed on the United                 |
| 4  | States for each claim identified under paragraph (1);        |
| 5  | (3) any portion of such fees and costs that has              |
| 6  | not been paid; and   |
| 7  | (4) the source of funds used to pay such fees                |
| 8  | and costs.   |
| 9  | (d) FEES AND COSTS DEFINED.—In this section, the             |
| 10 | term "fees and costs" means any administrative fee, ad-      |
| 11 | ministrative cost, claim fee, judicial fee, or judicial cost |
| 12 | imposed by a State on a party claiming a right to the        |
| 13 | use of water under either State or Federal law in a State    |
| 14 | proceeding referred to in subsection (a).                    |

 $\bigcirc$