for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, I have been listening to my colleagues on the other side of the aisle. The issue has never been whether or not our troops could win a war. They are the finest fighting men and women in the world, and they can win a war.

The issue is the mismanagement of this administration, their inability to win the peace. The mismanagement of our war is not the fault of our troops. It starts with the Secretary of Defense who is out of the loop. It is a failure of candor with people in this Congress who have to rely on the The New Yorker magazine to find out things that the Administration should have provided to our committees. It is a failure of our committees to move forward to deal with the investigations of problems of supply, of inappropriate activities on the part of contractors, of why there has been a failure of command that has produced the horrific pictures that put not just our troops at risk but put at risk any American traveling in the Middle East.

I think it is time for my colleagues to get a grip and get back to the business that we should, as Members of Congress, fulfill our responsibilities, not try to play politics.

STAND UP FOR AMERICA

(Mr. BURNS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, I am disturbed. I am disturbed by the Democrats who would suggest that this war in Iraq is unwinnable. I reject that premise. Nothing could be further from the truth. We must continue to fight terrorism wherever it exists in the world.

Mr. Speaker, I am convinced that the security of our Nation depends upon stabilizing Iraq, Afghanistan, the Middle East and bringing peace and freedom to that region of the world. It is time to stand up for America. It is time to stand firm for freedom.

Mr. Speaker, we are blessed to live in the greatest Nation on Earth.

God bless America.

RUMSFELD MUST GO

(Mr. MCDERMOTT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, Secretary Powell came before the Congressional Black Caucus yesterday. Every Member was there. They asked him, Are you going to ask for more money? He said, There is no need for more money.

Within 2 hours, the President of the United States came on and said, We need $25 billion more money.

Even the Secretary of State is left out of the loop. This government has no plan; and the fact that a Member has finally stood up and said what everybody knows, the emperor has no clothes, it is about time somebody said that.

My newspaper, the lead editorial this morning is ''Rumsfeld Must Go.'' He laid our people out there in danger. There is a study out of the Army that says that a quarter of the people who have died would not have died if they had provided the proper equipment.

Now, this was a war of choice. We did not have to go. Nobody was attacking us. It is clear we were not in danger.

□ 1015

They chose a day to go and so they went, whether they were ready or not. They did not care about the troops. Our troops are being badly used by rotten leadership. They should go.

SADNESS OVER COMMENTS ON IRAQ WAR

(Mr. BURGESS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, this morning it is with a sense of profound sadness that I address the House of Representatives, and usually it is not so. I cherish the time that I have been able to spend here representing my District.

Mr. Speaker, my son is a member of the Air National Guard of the 136th Wing out of the old Carswell Air Force Base in Dallas. He is a staff sergeant, but I picked up the paper this morning like so many of my friends, and we see that the leaders on the other side have proclaimed the war unwinnable, and I think of all of the brave young men and women that I saw when I was in Iraq two times in the past year, and I cannot help but feel a profound sense of sadness that they feel that their leaders have abandoned them.

Now, we are all outraged and saddened by the photos of mistreatment of the Iraqi prisoners we have seen on our television screens. The abusers will be punished. The commanding officers will likely have their careers in ruins, but I just want to caution the leaders on the other side, indeed the candidates who are running for the highest office in this land, that this response is reminiscent of the response that a candidate named KERRY, 30 years ago had before the Senate committee where he condemned all of our troops in Vietnam.

This is not the case of our brave men and women over in Iraq today.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). For what purpose does the gentleman from California rise?

Mr. FILNER. Do the rules of the House allow personal reference to a candidate running for the presidency of the United States?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members may not personally attack a presumptively nominated candidate but may criticize his policies.

Mr. FILNER. The candidate was personally attacked on this floor, and I ask for his words to be taken down.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the words.

□ 1030

The Clerk read as follows:

''Now we are all outraged and saddened by the photos of mistreatment of the Iraqi prisoners we have seen on our television screens. The abusers will be punished. The commanding officers will likely have their careers in ruins, but I just want to caution the leaders on the other side, indeed candidates who are running for the highest office in this land, that this response is reminiscent of the response that a candidate named KERRY 30 years ago had before the Senate committee where he condemned all of our troops in Vietnam. This is not the case of our brave men and women over in Iraq today.''

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). In the opinion of the Chair, while personal attacks on a presumptive candidate are not in order, general references to past statements or positions by such a candidate are not, as with the reported choice of words, necessarily prohibited under the rules.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, I am still not sure where that line is. If I were to say that the Republican candidate for President misled us into this war, is that crossing the line?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will state these guidelines.

As the Chair stated on March 11 and April 22, 2004, the standards of decorum in debate applicable to the President are applied against any apparent nominee for that office. Thus, although remarks in debate may include criticism of such a candidate's official positions as a candidate, it is a breach of order to refer to the candidate in terms personally offensive, whether by actual accusation or by mere insinuation.

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, further parliamentary inquiry.

I am not going to challenge the ruling of the Chair; but if the candidate of the Democratic Party is accused of condemning our troops, I find that crossing the line, inappropriate and wrong. We are allowed, the candidate is allowed at Senate hearings to make his voice known about the war in Vietnam. He did not condemn the troops. I am upset with the ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The point of order has been overruled.