Five billion dollars would give our ports and waterways the protection they need from attacks.

It would cost only \$1 billion to screen

all air passenger cargo.

Just \$2.6 billion would allow our rail and public transit systems to meet important security requirements.

Just think of what we could do at home for \$200 billion: universal preschool education, comprehensive health coverage for every American, a safe child care system that will give peace of mind to all working families.

There would still be plenty left over to implement a SMART security agenda that would be about preventing war, not preemptive war; that would eliminate wasteful programs like missile defense and the many Cold War relics that are doing nothing to keep us safe.

SMART security would mean robust multilateral alliances to stop the spread of terrorism, vigorous inspection regimes to stop weapons of mass destruction proliferation, and an ambitious humanitarian development program that tackles the poverty and despair that foster terrorism in the first place.

\$200 billion, that is about \$675 for every American man, woman and child, which is not to say that the sacrifices of this war have been spread evenly

throughout the population.

The well-connected and the wealthy have not been asked to sacrifice, even though rolling back the Bush tax cuts would go a long way toward paying this enormous bill.

No, the ones who have sacrificed are coming home in flag-draped coffins because they were sent to depose a regime that represented no imminent threat to our security. Their families did not get a tax cut. The only thing they got from the government was a devastating letter that Donald Rumsfeld did not even bother to sign personally.

The most disturbing thing about the President's request for more Iraq funding is the lack of accountability. Why are we writing another check for a mission that has been so badly botched? Who is being held responsible for the misuse of the money we have already

approved?

If Secretary Rumsfeld and the Pentagon could not manage to get body armor to our troops with the first \$100 billion we gave them, why would we trust them with even more hard-earned

American tax dollars?

Where is this money going? How much of it is enriching war profiteers? Why did the Army waive its usual procedures and make full payment to Halliburton, despite legitimate questions about overbilling and financial mismanagement?

Why can we not get a congressional investigation into the \$9 billion that mysteriously disappeared from the books at the Coalition Provisional Authority?

If the President wants more money for this war, he can take it out of something he cares about instead of taking it out of the hides of the American people. No more blank checks. I will vote against this supplemental, and I urge my colleagues to do the same.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. JONES of North Carolina addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS AND OUR NATION'S VETERANS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. FILNER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FILNER. Madam Speaker, I want to explain to my colleagues and to Americans across the country what happened here today on the floor of the House, especially what happened to the veterans of these United States, veterans of past wars, veterans of the current war in Iraq and Afghanistan. Iran may be next.

We had a supplemental budget, they call it, on the floor today, a budget for \$81 billion to fund our war in Iraq and Afghanistan; and they called it a supplemental so they could do it over and above the regular budget so they do not have to pay for it in ways that you and I have to pay for things or our businesses have to pay for things. They just create a bigger deficit without accountability.

So they are on their way to passing an \$81 billion supplemental bill for our active duty troops; and yet when I brought on to the floor an amendment to that \$81 billion that said let us put \$3 billion into care for our veterans, those coming back from the wars today and those who have been in wars previous to this, I asked for a figure of \$3 billion because that is what the veterans service organizations in this Nation said is what we need more than what the President requested in his recent budget proposal. So I brought on to the floor a \$3 billion amendment to an \$81 billion supplemental.

Keep in mind that we have a \$2.5 trillion budget. We have this year at least a \$400 billion deficit. We have an existing debt of \$7.5 trillion. We are spending \$1 billion every 2 or 3 days in the Middle East, and yet they say we do not have the \$3 billion for our veterans.

That is what happened on the floor of the House today, my fellow Americans. They voted down the ability to deal with our veterans.

Those who are coming back today from Afghanistan and Iraq, the vast majority have the potential of having post-traumatic stress disorder, PTSD; and yet when they will need the services in the coming year, we will have reduced those PTSD services because of the cut in the budget that the President has proposed and this Republican Congress will approve.

We will cut nursing care. We will cut research. We will cut prosthetic devices. But we will add more waiting

time for those who want a mental health examination or a dental examination. We will add months and months and months to the waiting time for those who want their claims established. Yet when I asked today for \$3 billion, the majority of this House said no.

We can afford the \$81 billion. It was for our active duty. We can afford a \$7.5 trillion debt. We can go into deficits for \$400 billion this year, but no, no, let us not pay that \$3 billion for our veterans.

I thought that was disgraceful. I thought that was unconscionable. I hope that when the Republican Members of this House go home, all the verans across this country will say, how come you voted against that amendment to give \$3 billion more for our health care? How come you did not respect our active duty, when they come home will not find the services? How come they negatively influenced the morale of our troops, because they know that they are not getting proper treatment back home?

I hope people ask that to those Republican Congressmen who voted down my motion on a technicality, when we have veterans from World War II and since and coming back today who are suffering.

□ 1930

Madam Speaker, I think that is disgraceful. I think the American people had better question this Congress about why they do not support the veterans of this United States.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. DRAKE). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BROWN of Ohio addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. EMANUEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. EMANUEL addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. McGovern) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. McGOVERN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)