

Illinois has truly led by example in how he has advocated for conservative policies and championed family values.

He is well known for his consistency and tenacity in his beliefs, yet he is well-respected within the House by Members of both sides of the aisle. He has strongly disagreed with Members about issues that evoke emotional responses, yet he has maintained his dignity and gentlemanly conduct.

Mr. Chairman. I would like to thank Mr. HYDE for his work to increase the credibility of the United Nations and to wish him well in his retirement. Unfortunately, I was committed to attend an event in my district, and I was unable to vote for the final passage of the Henry J. Hyde United Nations Reform Act of 2005. I would like the official record to reflect I support this important legislation.

HENRY, thank you for your service and best wishes to you and your family.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PUTNAM) having assumed the chair, Mr. SIMPSON, Acting Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2745) to reform the United Nations, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 319, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute? If not, the question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. NUSSLE. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 221, noes 184, not voting 28, as follows:

[Roll No. 282]

AYES—221

Aderholt	Blunt	Cantor
Akin	Bonilla	Capito
Alexander	Bonner	Carter
Bachus	Boozman	Chabot
Baker	Boustany	Chocola
Barrett (SC)	Bradley (NH)	Coble
Barrow	Brady (TX)	Cole (OK)
Bartlett (MD)	Brown (SC)	Conaway
Barton (TX)	Brown-Waite,	Costello
Bass	Ginny	Cox
Beauprez	Burgess	Crenshaw
Berkley	Burton (IN)	Cubin
Biggert	Buyer	Culberson
Bilirakis	Calvert	Cunningham
Bishop (UT)	Camp	Davis (KY)
Blackburn	Cannon	Davis, Jo Ann

Deal (GA)	Kelly	Porter	Menendez	Rangel	Spratt
DeLay	Kennedy (MN)	Price (GA)	Michaud	Reichert	Strickland
Dent	King (IA)	Pryce (OH)	Miller (NC)	Ross	Stupak
Diaz-Balart, L.	King (NY)	Putnam	Miller, George	Rothman	Tauscher
Diaz-Balart, M.	Kingston	Radanovich	Moore (KS)	Roybal-Allard	Thompson (CA)
Doolittle	Kirk	Ramstad	Moore (WI)	Ruppersberger	Thompson (MS)
Drake	Kline	Regula	Moran (VA)	Rush	Tierney
Dreier	Knollenberg	Rehberg	Murtha	Ryan (OH)	Towns
Duncan	Kolbe	Renzi	Nadler	Sabo	Udall (CO)
Ehlers	Kuhl (NY)	Reynolds	Napolitano	Salazar	Udall (NM)
Emerson	LaHood	Rogers (AL)	Neal (MA)	Sánchez, Linda	Van Hollen
English (PA)	Latham	Rogers (KY)	Oberstar	T.	Velázquez
Everett	LaTourette	Rogers (MI)	Obey	Sanchez, Loretta	Visclosky
Feeney	Lewis (CA)	Rohrabacher	Oliver	Sanders	Wasserman
Ferguson	Lewis (KY)	Ros-Lehtinen	Ortiz	Schakowsky	Schultz
Fitzpatrick (PA)	Linder	Royce	Owens	Schiff	Waters
Flake	LoBiondo	Ryan (WI)	Pallone	Schwartz (PA)	Watson
Foley	Lucas	Ryun (KS)	Pascarell	Scott (GA)	Watt
Forbes	Lungren, Daniel	Saxton	Pastor	Scott (VA)	Weiner
Fortenberry	E.	Schwarz (MI)	Paul	Serrano	Wexler
Fossella	Mack	Sensenbrenner	Payne	Shays	Woolsey
Fox	Manzullo	Shadegg	Peterson (MN)	Sherman	Wu
Franks (AZ)	Marchant	Shaw	Pomeroy	Smith (WA)	Wynn
Frelinghuysen	Marshall	Sherwood	Price (NC)	Snyder	
Gallegly	McCaul (TX)	Shimkus	Rahall	Solis	
Garrett (NJ)	McCotter	Shuster			
Gerlach	McCrery				
Gibbons	McHenry				
Gilchrest	McHugh				
Gohmert	McIntyre				
Goodlatte	McKeon				
Granger	McMorris				
Green (WI)	Mica				
Green, Gene	Miller (FL)				
Gutknecht	Miller (MI)				
Hall	Miller, Gary				
Harris	Mollohan				
Hart	Moran (KS)				
Hastings (WA)	Murphy				
Hayes	Musgrave				
Hayworth	Myrick				
Hefley	Neugebauer				
Hensarling	Ney				
Herger	Northup				
Hobson	Norwood				
Hoekstra	Nunes				
Hostettler	Nussle				
Hulshof	Osborne				
Hunter	Otter				
Hyde	Oxley				
Inglis (SC)	Pearce				
Istook	Pence				
Jenkins	Peterson (PA)				
Jindal	Petri				
Johnson (CT)	Pickering				
Johnson (IL)	Pitts				
Johnson, Sam	Platts				
Jones (NC)	Poe				
Keller	Pombo				

NOES—184

Abercrombie	Davis (FL)	Inslee
Ackerman	Davis (IL)	Israel
Allen	Davis (TN)	Jackson (IL)
Baca	DeFazio	Jackson-Lee
Baldwin	DeGette	(TX)
Bean	Delahunt	Jefferson
Becerra	DeLauro	Jones (OH)
Berman	Dicks	Kanjorski
Berry	Dingell	Kaptur
Bishop (NY)	Doggett	Kildee
Boehlert	Doyle	Kilpatrick (MI)
Boren	Edwards	Kind
Boswell	Emanuel	Kucinich
Boucher	Engel	Langevin
Boyd	Eshoo	Lantos
Brady (PA)	Etheridge	Larsen (WA)
Brown (OH)	Evans	Larson (CT)
Butterfield	Farr	Leach
Capps	Fattah	Lee
Capuano	Filner	Levin
Cardin	Ford	Lewis (GA)
Cardoza	Frank (MA)	Lipinski
Carnahan	Gonzalez	Lofgren, Zoe
Carson	Goode	Lowey
Case	Gordon	Lynch
Castle	Green, Al	Maloney
Chandler	Grijalva	Markey
Clay	Gutierrez	Matheson
Cleaver	Harman	Matsui
Clyburn	Hastings (FL)	McCarthy
Conyers	Hereth	McCollum (MN)
Cooper	Higgins	McGovern
Costa	Hinche	McKinney
Cramer	Hinojosa	McNulty
Crowley	Holden	Meehan
Cummings	Holt	Meek (FL)
Davis (AL)	Honda	Meeks (NY)
Davis (CA)	Hoyer	Melancon

Andrews	Gingrey	Reyes
Baird	Graves	Sessions
Bishop (GA)	Hookey	Simmons
Blumenauer	Issa	Skelton
Boehner	Johnson, E. B.	Slaughter
Bono	Kennedy (RI)	Stark
Brown, Corrine	McDermott	Tanner
Cuellar	Millender	Walsh
Dalis, Tom	McDonald	Waxman
Gillmor	Pelosi	

NOT VOTING—28

Andrews	Gingrey	Reyes
Baird	Graves	Sessions
Bishop (GA)	Hookey	Simmons
Blumenauer	Issa	Skelton
Boehner	Johnson, E. B.	Slaughter
Bono	Kennedy (RI)	Stark
Brown, Corrine	McDermott	Tanner
Cuellar	Millender	Walsh
Dalis, Tom	McDonald	Waxman
Gillmor	Pelosi	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PUTNAM) (during the vote). Members are advised 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1451

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. ISSA. Mr. Speaker, on Friday, June 17, 2005, I was not in Washington, DC, for votes. Had I been present, I would have voted in favor of H.R. 2745, the Henry J. Hyde United Nations Reform Act of 2005.

Regarding the amendments, I would have voted in favor of the Royce, Fortenberry, Flake and Chabot/Lantos amendments, and I would have voted against the Pence, Gohmert, Stearns and Lantos/Shays amendments.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, due to official business that has great importance to residents of the 30th Congressional District of Texas, I was not present on June 17, 2005.

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 274, On Agreeing to the Royce of California Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 275, On Agreeing to the Fortenberry of Nebraska Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 276, On Agreeing to the Flake of Arizona Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 277, On Agreeing to the Chabot of Ohio Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 278, On Agreeing to the Pence of Indiana Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "no."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 279, On Agreeing to the Gohmert of Texas Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "no."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 280, On Agreeing to the Stearns of Florida Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "no."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 281, On Agreeing to the Lantos of California Amendment (House Resolution 2745), had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 282, Final Passage of H.R. 2745, the United Nations Reform Act of 2005, had I been present, I would have voted "no."

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of inquiring of the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DELAY) regarding the schedule of the week to come.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the distinguished whip yielding to me.

Mr. Speaker, Monday the House will convene at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour debates and 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider H.R. 2863, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006, and any recorded votes requested will be rolled until 6:30.

On Tuesday, the House will convene at 9 a.m. for morning hour debates and 10 a.m. for legislative business. We expect to consider several measures under suspension of the rules, as well as H.R. 2475, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006.

For the rest of the week, the House will consider several additional bills under a rule: H.J. Res. 10, the Flag desecration amendment; the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act of Fiscal Year 2006; and the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services and Education Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006 some time later in the week.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that information. If I may inquire, Mr. Leader, the Labor-Health bill was marked up yesterday, and the legislative branch bill was marked up as well. What days does the gentleman expect those bills to be on the floor?

Mr. DELAY. First, let me repeat what I mentioned in my statement. This is rather unusual, but we will be considering the Department of Defense appropriations bill on Monday afternoon. We will roll votes until 6:30 p.m., but Members should know that we will

be having very important debate Monday afternoon, including amendments.

In terms of the rest of the week, I would expect us to consider intelligence authorization, Flag amendment, and legislative branch appropriations on Tuesday and Wednesday, and Labor-HHS would be reserved for Thursday and Friday.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, the Flag constitutional amendment is on the calendar as well. Within the framework of the other bills, would that be left until Friday, or when will that be considered?

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I would expect the Flag amendment would follow right after the intelligence authorization bill, and then legislative branch right after that.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reports indicate that there has now been some agreement as it relates to the ability of the national intelligence director to move personnel. That obviously was a problem we had. The gentleman from California (Chairman HUNTER) did not feel that was appropriate. Apparently, there has been some discussion and that matter has been resolved. Would it be the gentleman's expectation there will be an amendment to the bill because that provision is still in the bill; or do you expect to have a provision in the rule itself which would be self-executing to remove the constraint from the intelligence authorization bill?

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I think that the gentleman from California (Ms. HARMAN), the gentleman from Michigan (Chairman HOEKSTRA), and the gentleman from California (Chairman HUNTER), and the ranking member, the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. SKELTON), will be all in sync on that particular issue. They have been working together and working well to come to a solution to that. I would imagine it would be in a manager's amendment, whatever solution they come to.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, what I understand the gentleman is saying is whatever is agreed to by those three will be how it is carried out?

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, as far as I have been advised, that is correct.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, two additional issues: campaign finance legislation, or Pence-Wynn, and CAFTA. Can the leader give us any thoughts as to when those might be considered as it relates to the July 4 break?

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, as far as campaign finance reform legislation, we have no plans right now to consider any legislation.

As far as the Central America Free Trade Agreement, the President has not transmitted any proposed agreement so we will not make any decisions about scheduling until he does.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, lastly, the gentleman from Texas (Leader DELAY) had to leave early right after the votes last week, and the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. BLUNT) and I discussed that I am concerned, the gentleman is concerned, everybody is concerned and

everybody is talking about it in the papers, the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct is still not staffed and unable to proceed. The gentleman has expressed concern that that is the case. We have expressed concern that that is the case.

We believe, Mr. Leader, that under the rules the executive director and counsel need to be hired through agreement by both the Republican and Democratic members of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct. We believe that is what the rules says.

The impediment it seems, frankly, is that the chairman of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct is still focused on having his chief of staff, who may be an outstanding individual, no aspersions whatsoever on his character or his integrity in working on the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, but he has not been selected in a bipartisan fashion.

□ 1500

Could the leader advise me, the Speaker indicated he thought he ought not to get involved, but we appear to have an impasse. It seems to me as one of the leaders, I would certainly be prepared to work with you, with the Speaker, I think the gentleman from California (Ms. PELOSI) would, in trying to resolve this impasse so we could as an institution select bipartisan personnel that both sides could have confidence in that could then proceed to have an operating, effective Ethics Committee. I frankly hope we could do that. I think both of us and probably the entire institution is frustrated by the fact that we cannot get by this impasse.

It is, however, from our perspective, as the gentleman knows, a very important issue because if both sides are going to have confidence in the impartiality of investigations, of Republicans or Democrats, it will be because both sides participated in and had confidence in those who were selected to, from a staff standpoint, proceed with those investigations.

I would be glad to yield to my friend for his comments.

Mr. DELAY. I appreciate the gentleman yielding. The gentleman is correct in saying that this gentleman is very interested in getting the Ethics Committee up and running. It is for the good of the institution. I do not agree with the gentleman in his assessment of the rules, because the rules also provide for other alternatives other than what he suggested.

I have to say that I think it is unfortunate that some—one that serves on the committee am I saying this about—that some would use the Ethics Committee for political purposes. I think it is unfortunate. I think that the Democrats that serve on the Ethics Committee and the Republicans that serve on the Ethics Committee are more than capable of coming to some resolution.

The gentleman assumes and suggests that the leadership ought to get involved in it. I think the problem is that