

cherish his memory; we will point to his selfless example; we will aspire to his bravery; and we will carry on in a world that is better for knowing him. May God bless his soul and the family he is charged to protect.

CONGRATULATING DR. JOHN HALL  
FOR WINNING THE 2005 NOBEL  
PRIZE FOR PHYSICS

**HON. MARK UDALL**

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 6, 2005*

Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to note the tremendous accomplishment of one of my constituents. The Royal Swedish Academy of Science awarded the Nobel Prize for Physics to Dr. John Hall of Boulder, Colorado. Dr. Hall and Dr. Theodor Haensch of Munich, Germany won the Nobel Prize for the development of a laser-based precision spectroscopy.

Dr. Hall is a JILA fellow at the University of Colorado and a senior scientist with the National Institute of Standards and Technology's (NIST) Quantum Physics Division. Dr. Hall has received a series of awards in his distinguished career, including the Department of Commerce Gold Medal on three separate occasions in 1969, 1974, and 2002. He also became a member of the National Academy of Sciences in 1984.

In 1999, Dr. Haensch made a discovery that lasers with short pulses could be used to measure optical frequencies in cesium clocks. Through this the optical frequency comb technique that is based on the evenly distributed frequencies that appear like the teeth of a comb was developed. While the distance between frequencies can be defined through this technique, it did not determine the absolute value of the frequency. In 2000, Dr. Hall developed a simple formula to determine frequency displacement, thus stabilizing the frequency. Haensch and Hall worked together to develop an instrument that currently has wide commercial use.

This instrument can measure frequencies with an accuracy of fifteen digits. Their work has wide ranging application that can improve communication and animation technology, and potentially benefit navigation for spacecraft. Their work also can enable the study of stability of constants of nature over time, improve Global Positioning System, and develop more accurate clocks.

It is clear that their discoveries have opened doors into many sectors of research and industries. The potential for new knowledge is vast and wide ranging. NIST, JILA, and the University of Colorado can now boast three Nobel Laureates, which is testament to the groundbreaking and vital research being performed at these institutions. I am honored that these facilities are located within the Second Congressional District, and particularly honored that Dr. Hall has chosen to perform this research in Colorado. He is an asset to our scientific community, and is an inspiration for many young people who may want to pursue their interests in science.

I, again, congratulate Dr. Hall on his remarkable achievement and the honor that has been bestowed upon him.

RECOGNIZING JUDITH LAPP OF  
INVERNESS, FLORIDA

**HON. GINNY BROWN-WAITE**

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 6, 2005*

Ms. GINNY BROWN-WAITE of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Judith Lapp of Inverness, Florida. Mrs. Lapp was recently honored as "The Most Admired Mother in All of Citrus County" by the Citrus Altrusa Club, as well as the Citrus County Chronicle.

Born and raised in Buffalo, New York, Mrs. Lapp married her husband Roger in 1956. After Roger was drafted into the U.S. Army, the Lapps moved to Fort Benning, Georgia for his military service. In 1963, Mrs. Lapp and her husband moved to Clearwater, Florida to start a family. Sadly, only four months after their first son Eric was born, he had an extremely serious seizure that changed the Lapps' lives forever.

The seizure, which was caused by an encephalitis outbreak, left Eric with epilepsy, cerebral palsy, and mental retardation. When Judith first began to deal with the challenges of a disabled son, she found that there were few programs in the region that dealt with disabled and handicapped children. Spurred to action, Judith served on the board of both the Christian Care Foundation for the Mentally Retarded and the Isaiah Foundation. Her work on these charitable organizations improved respite care for the handicapped throughout Florida.

One of the most successful fundraisers Judith organized was for the Upper Pinellas County Florida Association for Retarded Citizens. Called "Favorite Recipes from Famous People," Judith was able to obtain recipes from the likes of Jackie Kennedy, Patricia Nixon, and Mamie Eisenhower.

While Eric is now grown and well cared for, Judith has shouldered burdens of her own. She has successfully battled cancer twice, all while being an incredibly supportive figure for her entire family.

Mr. Speaker, Judith Lapp is a courageous, giving woman. She is a role model for the entire Citrus County community, and deserves recognition for her service to the handicapped and disabled community, her son Eric, and to her family.

NATIONAL FORESTS REHABILITATION  
AND RECOVERY ACT OF  
2005

**HON. TOM UDALL**

OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 6, 2005*

Mr. UDALL of New Mexico. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing legislation to authorize the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management to carry out five collaboratively created pilot projects dealing with post-disturbance rehabilitation.

For those communities that remain at risk from wildland fire or other disturbances, it is important to consider, in advance, scenarios

for rehabilitation should a wildland fire, insect infestation, hurricane, or other disturbance event occur. The National Forests Rehabilitation and Recovery Act does just that—this legislation promotes pro-active planning and collaboration to accelerate the approval of rehabilitation projects following uncharacteristic disturbance events.

The National Forests Rehabilitation and Recovery Act will create five pilot projects for post-disturbance rehabilitation. Federal forest land communities can apply to participate in the pilot program by meeting a number of different criteria, with specific consideration given to communities that have a proven track record of working in a collaborative manner to resolve natural resource issues.

This bill includes independent, third-party monitoring of the forest areas following rehabilitation operations to track the short-term and long-term impacts of logging, replanting, stream restoration, road removal, or other rehabilitation activities. The legislation further creates a National Oversight Committee of scientists to provide scientific and socioeconomic monitoring and evaluation of the pilot program. The National Oversight Committee will submit reports to Congress on the short and long-term results of the pilot project. The results of this report will allow Congress to make the most informed decisions on post-disturbance rehabilitation in the future.

Community collaboration has shown great promise in resolving controversial issues before Federal agencies. While I reserved concerns with the Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003, Public law 108-148, one good that came out of the legislation is that it recognized the promise in collaboration by encouraging the development of community wildfire protection plans. These plans have allowed communities across the country to work in a collaborative manner to resolve natural resource issues concerning wildfire protection.

There is no doubt that an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. When Congress passed the Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003, Congress clearly recognized the priority of preventing wildfires through active thinning of Federal lands in the wildland-urban interface. It is just common sense that we need to invest more on the front end in the name of fire prevention to avert major spending postfire.

While I believe that there were inadequacies with the Healthy Forests Restoration Act, I do believe that we are being penny wise and pound foolish by cheating our budget for forest thinning. To date, forest thinning has not been funded nearly to the level of what was Congressionally authorized. This needs to improve for the sake of protecting our communities and public lands.

Mr. Speaker, the National Forests Rehabilitation and Recovery Act represents a balanced and collaborative approach to post-disturbance rehabilitation. I am pleased to introduce my legislation today with my colleagues Mr. NICK RAHALL and Mr. RAÚL GRIJALVA. I urge my other colleagues to support this bill so that we can promote a collaborative approach to restoring forest ecosystem health and diversity following unusually intense disturbances.

THREATENED AND ENDANGERED  
SPECIES RECOVERY ACT OF 2005

SPEECH OF

**HON. RICHARD W. POMBO**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, September 29, 2005*

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill. (H.R. 3824) to amend and reauthorize the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to provide greater results conserving and recovering listed species, and for other purposes:

Mr. Chairman, regarding the authority of Indian tribes under H.R. 3824, nothing in the Threatened and Endangered Species Recovery Act is intended, or shall be construed to expand, diminish or alter the authority of Indian tribes, as defined in this bill, with respect to the management of fish and wildlife on non-reservation lands.

In Addition, H.R. 3824 repeals the Endangered Species Act critical habitat requirements; however, it preserves the impact analysis requirement at the time of listing. Using language similar to that now located at Endangered Species Act section 4(b)(2), 16 U.S.C. 1533(b)(2), FWS is required to analyze the economic impact and benefit of the listing determination; the impact and benefit on national security of that determination; and any other relevant impact and benefit of that determination. For each listing, the Fish and Wildlife Service analysis may conclude that there is no benefit, or it may conclude that there is a benefit, and that benefit must be based on reasonably ascertainable and calculable data. H.R. 3824 clarifies that the listing decision continues to be made solely on the basis of the criteria enumerated in section 4(a)(1).

H.R. 3824 requires, and the Resources Committee fully expects, that the Fish and Wildlife Service will perform this analysis concurrently with all listing decisions. Further, by expanding the scope of the analysis to include all consequences of the listing (rather than those attributable to critical habitat designation), the Committee expects the impact analyses under H.R. 3824 will be better and more useful than those prepared under current law. This impact analysis requirement will provide not only legal notice, but truly meaningful information concerning proposed listing decisions to all those affected, including individuals, corporations, property owners, State and local governments, the military services, and other Federal agencies. It is expected that this opportunity for greater participation by all potentially affected parties at the front end of the listing process will provide additional assurance that the Fish and Wildlife Service will adequately consider all relevant data associated with each proposal to list a species. Further, the Committee expects that the Fish and Wildlife Service will take advantage of developments that have occurred in genetics testing and other technical advances in the years since enactment of the original Endangered Species Act, to make the most scientifically sound listing decisions possible.

THREATENED AND ENDANGERED  
SPECIES RECOVERY ACT OF 2005

SPEECH OF

**HON. C. L. "BUTCH" OTTER**

OF IDAHO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, September 29, 2005*

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill. (H.R. 3824) to amend and reauthorize the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to provide greater results conserving and recovering listed species, and for other purposes:

Mr. Chairman, recently the House of Representatives passed H.R. 3824, the "Threatened and Endangered Species Act of 2005." During floor consideration of this important measure, the distinguished Chairman of the Resources Committee, Richard Pombo, offered an en bloc amendment to the bill. This amendment, which was adopted, included my provision to require the four Power Marketing Administrations, PMAs, to list Endangered Species Act-related costs as a line-item in each of their customers' monthly billings. These agencies—the Bonneville Power Administration, the Western Area Power Administration, the Southwestern Power Administration and the Southeastern Power Administration—market the surplus hydropower generated at Federal dams, selling this electricity to 1,450 wholesale customers throughout the Nation. These customers, in turn, provide this federally generated electricity to almost 54 million retail consumers.

The Endangered Species Act substantially impacts Federal electricity service and rates. For instance, the Bonneville Power Administration and the Western Area Power Administration experience high, double-digit compliance costs for Endangered Species Act and related statutes. During a hearing earlier this year, the House Resources Committee found that compliance played a significant role in recent rate increases and led to higher energy costs that were passed directly to consumers.

The Bonneville Power Administration spends the most of all Federal agencies to comply with the requirements of the Endangered Species Act. It also has similar responsibilities under the Northwest Power Act. Many have pushed the agency to increase the accountability of its rapidly growing fish and wildlife programs and to develop measurable performance objectives that will lead to long-term stability and recovery of certain species. The intent of this provision, however, is limited to requiring that the PMAs notify electric power customers how these programs impact their monthly electric rates.

As the bill language in Section 23 makes clear, the agency and the other PMAs shall inform their customers of all the direct and indirect costs associated with meeting Endangered Species Act and other related fish and wildlife obligations. While the PMAs have provided general costs associated with the Endangered Species Act in past years, the agencies have not provided their customers a detailed or "unbundled" explanation of these costs. This section simply gives electricity consumers the right to know how much of their monthly bills are being used to comply with the requirements of the Endangered Species Act and related programs. That enables cus-

tomers to make informed decisions about their energy choices, as well as to better understand the market implications of public policies. Without such information, customers are deprived of the kind of cost signal that is essential to an efficient free-market economy.

It is my understanding that the authorizing committees expect the PMAs to provide a detailed explanation of these monthly costs in a readable and transparent format. It is important that the PMAs consult with their customers before implementing this provision. In addition, it is my understanding that the PMAs can comply with this section without hiring additional staff or upgrading computer systems since it simply involves the creation of new computer billing codes.

Mr. Chairman, in conclusion, I thank Chairman POMBO for including my provision in H.R. 3824. I look forward to working with him and my Senate colleagues in seeing this important bill enacted.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

**HON. EARL BLUMENAUER**

OF OREGON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 6, 2005*

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, had I been present for the following vote on Tuesday, September 27 and Wednesday, September 28, 2005, I would have voted as follows:

Rollcall vote 501: I would have voted "aye" on the Department of Justice Authorization Act, H.R. 3402. Despite passage of the Manager's amendment, this bill does ensure that programs which help prevent violence and assist survivors are continued. I also support provisions in this bill that help local communities with additional resources to provide better support and security to victims of domestic violence.

Rollcall vote 500: I would have voted "aye" on the Democratic Motion to Recommit, offered by Representative STUPAK, which would have given the Justice Department authority to prosecute oil companies engaged in price gouging.

Rollcall vote 499: I would have voted "nay" on the Managers Amendment offered by Representative SENSENBRENNER. The amendment significantly weakens the bill's emphasis on domestic violence grant funding for communities of color. Racial and ethnic minorities already face complex issues in reporting and accessing assistance for domestic violence; we cannot shortchange this population.

Rollcall vote 498: I would have voted "nay" on the Rule for consideration of H.R. 3402, because it did not allow for consideration of many important Democratic and bipartisan amendments.

Rollcall vote 497: I would have voted "aye" on the Motion to Instruct Conferees on H.R. 2360, offered by Representative SABO, which would have prevented the reorganization of the Department of Homeland Security from further weakening federal emergency preparedness capability.

Rollcall vote 496: I would have voted "aye" on H. Con. Res. 209, supporting the goals and ideals of Domestic Violence Awareness Month and expressing the sense of Congress that Congress should raise awareness of domestic