

Those are the words of a former U.S. Attorney. Madam Speaker, I will enter this into the RECORD.

Madam Speaker, also McCarthy adds, "Ronnie Earle is a disgrace to his profession and has done grievous disservice to thousands of Federal, State and local government attorneys, prosecutors of all persuasions whose common bond is a good-faith commitment to the rules, but who will now bear the burden of suspicions fostered by Earle's excesses."

Madam Speaker, you may say that is just a columnist talking. But what does the liberal Austin American Statesman say? It says: "Ronnie Earle has created a circus-like investigation alleging Republican campaign funding illegalities, but he has not proven it."

Madam Speaker, we see the Democrats' agenda is to burn down this House by attacking our leaders on baseless accusations, and they will stop at nothing until they bring down our majority.

Madam Speaker, I include for the RECORD the article by Andrew C. McCarthy:

RONNIE EARLE SHOULD NOT BE A PROSECUTOR
(By Andrew C. McCarthy)

If there is one thing liberals and conservatives ought to be able to agree on, it is this: Ronnie Earle, district attorney of Travis County, Texas, has no business wielding the enormous powers of prosecution.

I don't know Congressman TOM DELAY, the House Majority Leader. I certainly don't know if he's done anything illegal, let alone something so illegal as to warrant indictment. It doesn't look like it—and at least one grand jury has already refused to indict him (a fact Earle appears to have tried to conceal from the public as he scrambled to find a new grand jury that would). Yet experience shows it is foolhardy for those who don't know all the facts to hazard a judgment about such things.

One thing is sure, though, and it ought to make anyone who cares about basic fairness angry. The investigation of DELAY, a matter of national gravity is being pursued with shocking ethical bankruptcy by the district attorney—by Ronnie Earle.

For nearly 20 years, I had the privilege of being a prosecutor in the best law-enforcement office in the United States, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York. Being a prosecutor is the world's greatest job because it is honest work for the highest cause—service to one's own community. And it is work that has precious little to do with politics.

In their private lives, many of my fellow government lawyers were political independents, either by design (i.e., out of a conscious rectitude holding that law enforcement should be above politics) or because they were just apolitical. Most, as one would expect in New York, were Democrats. A large percentage, as, again, one would expect from a group of mostly young people educated in top schools, was proudly liberal. Over coffee or lunch, or dinner, they and we few, hardy conservatives would have spirited debates over all manner of issues.

In the four corners of a case, however, none of that mattered a wit. Within those four corners, there were rules and responsibilities. There was recognition that prosecutors have breathtaking power over the lives of those they investigate. Power inarguably vital to the rule of law. But power which, if

used recklessly or maliciously, can leave lives in tatters. The lives not only of the innocent and the guilty, but of the justice system itself.

This was especially so in investigations of political corruption. We prosecuted Republicans and Democrats, in about equal measure. The cases were hard, but checking your politics at the door was never hard, for at least two reasons.

First, there tends to be nothing ideological about the crimes committed by politicians. They are a stew of pettiness, greed and above-it-all arrogance over which neither party has a monopoly, and the offensiveness of which cuts across philosophical divides.

Second, some wrongs are simply not intended to be crimes. Among them are political wrongs: sleazy abuses of power, cronyism, most acts of nepotism, half-truths or outright lies in campaigns, etc. In a free society, these get sorted out in our bumptious political system. Usually, absent shades of financial fraud, bribery, and extortion, prosecutors should stay their hands. There are too many real crimes to waste resources on that sort of thing. More significantly, the risk of criminalizing politics would only discourage honest citizens from participating in matters of public concern.

The code prosecutors live by is not a liberal or conservative one. It is a code of ethics—of nonpartisan, non-ideological honor. Of course many prosecutors are ambitious. Of course prosecutors want to win. But even the ambitious ones who care a bit too much about winning quickly learn that success is intimately tied to doing things the right way. And not least because that is the norm their colleagues follow—as well as the standard by which the defense bar and the judiciary (populated by no small percentage of former prosecutors) scrutinize them. It is, moreover, the standard the public demands they meet.

People want to see the guilty convicted, but they also want to feel good about the way it is done. The prosecutor is the public's lawyer, and his duty is not merely to get the job done but to get it done right. The second part is just as crucial as the first. They are equal parts of doing justice. No one expects perfection, which is unattainable in any human endeavor. But if the outcomes of the justice system are to be regarded as legitimate, as befitting a decent society, people have to be confident that if they stood accused, the prosecutor would enforce their rights and make sure they got a fair fight.

So there are certain things that are just flat-out verboten. Most basic are these: to resist public comment about non-public, investigative information; to abjure any personal stake in the litigation that could suggest decisions regarding the public interest are being made to suit the prosecutor's private interests; and—if all that is not Sesame Street simple enough—to remain above any financial or political entanglement that could render one's objectivity and judgment suspect.

In the profession, these things come under the hoary rubric of "avoiding the appearance of impropriety." In layman's terms, they are about having an I.Q. high enough that you know to put your socks on before your shoes. This is bedrock stuff. It is central to the presumption of innocence, due process, and equal protection under the law that prosecutors owe even the most despicable offenders. It is foundational to the integrity of the system on which rest our security, our economy, and our freedoms.

And Ronnie Earle has flouted it in embarrassing, mind-numbingly brazen ways.

As Byron York has been reporting on NRO (see here, here, and here), Earle has partnered up with producers making a

movie, called *The Big Buy*, about his Ahab's pursuit of DELAY. A movie about a real investigation? Giving filmmakers access to investigative information while a secret grand jury probe is underway? Allowing them to know who is being investigated and why? To view proposed indictments even before the grand jury does? Allowing them into the sanctuary of the grand jury room, and actually to film grand jurors themselves? Creating a powerful incentive—in conflict with the duty of evenhandedness—to bring charges on flimsy evidence? For a prosecutor, these aren't just major lapses. They are firing offenses. For prosecutors such as those I worked with over the years, from across the political spectrum, I daresay they'd be thought firing-squad offenses.

Attending partisan fundraisers in order to speak openly about an ongoing grand jury investigation against an uncharged public official. As a moneymaking vehicle.

Penning a nakedly partisan op-ed (in the *New York Times* on November 23, 2004) about the political fallout of his grand-jury investigation of DELAY, then uncharged.

Settling cases by squeezing businesses to make hefty financial contributions to pet personal causes in exchange for exercising the public's power to dismiss charges.

Secretly shopping for new grand juries when, despite the incalculable advantages the prosecution has in that forum, the earlier grand jurors have found the case too weak to indict.

Ignoring the commission by members of his own party of the same conduct that he seeks to brand felonious when engaged in by members of the other party.

Such actions and tactics are reprehensible. They constitute inexcusably dishonorable behavior on the part of a public servant, regardless of whether the persons and entities investigated were in the wrong. They warrant universal censure.

If Congressman DELAY did something illegal, he, like anyone else, should be called to account. But he, like anyone else, is entitled to procedural fairness, including a prosecutor who not only is, but also appears to be, fair and impartial.

Ronnie Earle is not that prosecutor. He has disgraced his profession, and done grievous disservice to thousands of Federal, State, and local government attorneys. Prosecutors of all persuasions whose common bond is a good faith commitment to the rules—but who will now bear the burden of suspicions fostered by Earle's excesses.

The burden, but not the cost. That will be borne by the public.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

LATINOS AND HIV/AIDS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Ms. SOLIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. SOLIS. Madam Speaker, today I rise to bring attention to the devastating impact the epidemic of HIV/AIDS continues to have on the Latino community nationwide. According to the latest data and statistics from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, although Latinos make up

only 14 percent of the population of the U.S. and Puerto Rico, they account for 20 percent; that is about 164,000 of the more than 930,000 AIDS cases diagnosed since the beginning of the epidemic.

This epidemic has also affected women and young people in the Latino community. Latinas, unfortunately, represent a high 18 percent of new AIDS cases among women. Our teenagers accounted for 20 percent of the new AIDS cases among teens in the year 2002. In my own home State of California, an estimated 15,387 Latinos are living with AIDS, representing the second highest State in terms of the number of Latinos infected with AIDS nationwide.

Unfortunately, while Latinos suffer disproportionately from HIV and AIDS, many are uninsured and are unable to gain access to adequate care services due to language and cultural barriers, lack of transportation, and fear of stigmatization. I want to highlight these concerns and also bring together our Nation and community towards the commitment of creating new alliances, adopting culturally specific and appropriate interventions, and advocating for new funding and resources targeted to those communities most adversely affected by this horrible epidemic.

I have also introduced legislation supporting the third annual National Latino AIDS Awareness Day, which takes place on Saturday, October 15, 2005. This is a national day of awareness and prevention against HIV and AIDS in the Latino community.

National Latino AIDS Awareness Day salutes the more than 76,000 Latino AIDS survivors in the U.S. and the efforts of people living with HIV and AIDS, their volunteers, professionals, and their family members. It also recognizes and applauds the national and community organizations for their work in promoting awareness about AIDS, providing information and offering treatment to those who suffer from this deadly disease.

The purpose of the resolution is straightforward and simple: the Nation can no longer afford to close its eyes and avoid the impact of this devastating disease. In fact, the theme of the National Latino AIDS Awareness Day is "abre los ojos," or "open your eyes."

While 40,000 new cases of HIV are reported each year, Congress has slashed funding for essential programs critical to providing comprehensive response to stopping the spread of this disease. Our communities have been asked for years to do more and more with less and less, and this Nation must open its eyes to work towards preventing the spread of the disease.

I ask my colleagues to support this important resolution, and I look forward to the day when the House of Representatives adopts this approach and brings about an opportunity for more awareness and prevention of the HIV and AIDS epidemic in the Latino community.

I also want to speak towards the importance of additional funding, supportive services, and capacity-building initiatives for those infected with the disease. A core component of the Nation's response to HIV and AIDS is the Ryan White Comprehensive Aids Resource Emergency Act, known as the CARE Act. I ask that Congress immediately reauthorize this important piece of legislation.

Signed into law back in 1990 and reauthorized twice since then, the CARE Act is named after a young man, Ryan White, who was infected by HIV through treatment for his hemophilia, who taught the Nation strength in a time when no one knew much about this disease. Authorization for the CARE Act expired last week on September 30, 2005.

It is important that Congress pass a new stronger and fully funded Ryan White CARE Act as soon as possible. After Medicaid, the Ryan White CARE Act is the largest payer of care and treatment services for AIDS patients in the U.S. Commonly referred to as "the payer of last resort," the CARE Act serves those who fall through the cracks of traditional government-sponsored health care networks.

At least one in every two individuals assisted through the CARE Act lives below the Federal poverty level, and about 25 percent are uninsured, and less than 10 percent have any private health insurance, and about 28 percent were enrolled in Medicaid.

The CARE Act is organized into four titles and is essential to providing services to individuals with HIV and AIDS. Title I provides funds to 51 eligible metropolitan areas most heavily impacted by the epidemic; title II money goes to States and aids drugs assistance programs; and titles III and IV to community-based providers. Eighty-five percent of all Ryan White CARE Act dollars are distributed through titles I and II of the act.

According to the Department of Health and Human Services, Latinos represent about 20 percent of all the CARE Act clients in 2002.

In addition to the four structured titles of the CARE Act, the Minority AIDS Initiative, MAI, and the Special Projects of National Significance, SPNS, span all of these titles.

Through the Minority AIDS Initiative, each CARE Act title has a mandate to provide a minimum amount of funding to address the needs of minorities. However, due to the disproportionate amount of racial and ethnic minorities that continue to be infected with HIV/AIDS and the inequities that still exist, this funding is still not sufficient to meet the needs of communities of color.

The epidemic of HIV/AIDS has had a deleterious effect on all communities of color.

As the Chair of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus Health Taskforce, I am committed to working on securing services for those infected and affected by HIV and AIDS.

Madam Speaker, I ask for full funding of the Ryan White CARE Act—\$3.1 billion dollars—to address these concerns outlined today.

It is important to address the critical issue of combating the spread of HIV and AIDS in

communities of color through the thoughtful and targeted reauthorization of the CARE Act.

Despite flat funding over the past few years, the CARE Act in its current form is still the best tool that has proven successful in the fight against HIV/AIDS.

The CARE Act works—and given a renewed commitment in giving those on the front lines of the battle, whether they be private partnerships, government initiatives or local organizations specializing in outreach, prevention, testing and care, the CARE Act can work even better, as long as we "abremos los ojos."

Also, I request unanimous consent to submit this statement for my colleague of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus, Rep. LUIS GUTIERREZ.

MS. SOLIS'S SPECIAL ORDER ON LATINOS AND HIV/AIDS

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to discuss the devastating effect HIV/AIDS has had on the Latino community and communities of color across this country. Today, I am also pleased to be an original cosponsor of Congresswoman Hilda Solis' Concurrent Resolution to support the observance of National Latino AIDS Awareness Day. This bill was introduced at a pivotal time: the bedrock of our Nation's response to HIV/AIDS, the Ryan White CARE Act, expired last week on September 30, 2005.

Unfortunately, HIV/AIDS has a disproportionate stronghold in the Latino community. The numbers are disturbing. The CDC has reported that 43,171 people were diagnosed with AIDS in 2003. Twenty percent of those reported were Latino, yet Latinos represent only 14 percent of the population. In the past 3 years, the number of new HIV/AIDS diagnoses among Latinos increased more than 14 percent. This disparity is on track to continue to grow even greater because the latest statistics show that AIDS diagnoses among whites has decreased three percent from 2000 to 2003.

These trends are especially evident in our urban areas. According to the City of Chicago Department of Health, the 2003 AIDS rate was 32.9 per 100,000 people in Chicago. In the United States as a whole, the AIDS rate is half that.

Chicago's high rate reflects the prevalence of AIDS in communities of color. In 2003, the AIDS rate for African-Americans in Chicago was three times the AIDS rate of Whites. Latinos also have a higher AIDS rate than whites in Chicago.

This epidemic has left many of our metropolitan areas struggling to care for those affected by HIV/AIDS. Many of the minorities suffering disproportionately from HIV/AIDS do not have the access to the healthcare and other services they need. When Congress passed the Ryan White CARE Act in 1990, we put in place programs that addressed these issues and, as a result, we have seen improvement in the way we treat and care for uninsured and underinsured people living with HIV/AIDS.

But more needs to be done. AIDS has placed our country in a state of emergency. Indeed, this notion is expressed in the title of the legislation, the "Comprehensive AIDS Resources Emergency, CARE Act." This emergency requires the attention of the Congress, and I am pleased to join Congresswoman