Democrats have continually asked for investigation of pre-war intelligence, and those requests have been repeatedly denied. The same is true for requests to investigate the other matters related to the war in Iraq: The prison abuse scandals, the no-bid Halliburton reconstruction contracts, the misuse of classified information to discredit administration critics.

Each of these matters has national security implications that need to be examined, particularly on the issue of going to war and the conduct of war. Congress has an obligation to make sure that decisions were made properly and that these decisions are based on truth and trust.

Until the Congress examines fully issues like whether intelligence was politicized, we have failed in a fundamental way to protect our troops and maintain a level of trust with the American people.

I urge the House to support both the Obey motion to instruct and my subsequent resolution on Iraq.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GINGREY). Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to instruct.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY).

The motion was agreed to.

QUESTION OF PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, because the Republican-led Congress has not conducted any investigations of abuses by the Republican administration's decision to go to war in Iraq, and because the over 2,000 American soldiers have lost their lives and more than 15,000 have been wounded, therefore, pursuant to rule IX, I rise in regard to a question of privileges of the House, and I offer a privileged resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution. The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

PRIVILEGED RESOLUTION ON IRAQ

Whereas the war in Iraq has resulted in the loss of over 2,000 American lives and more than 15,000 wounded soldiers, and has cost the American people \$190 billion dollars;

Whereas the basis for going to war was Iraq's alleged possession of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and the President made a series of misleading statements regarding threats posed by Iraq, but no weapons of mass destruction have been found;

Whereas the Republican Leadership and Committee Chairmen have repeatedly denied requests by Democratic Members to complete an investigation of pre-war intelligence on Iraq and have ignored the question of whether that intelligence was manipulated for political purposes;

Whereas the Vice President's Chief of Staff Lewis Libby has been indicted on five counts of perjury, obstruction of justice, and making false statements in connection with the disclosure of the identity of a CIA operative, and that disclosure was part of a pattern of Administration efforts to discredit critics of the Iraq war; Whereas four separate requests to hold hearings on the disclosure of the CIA operative were denied in the Government Reform Committee, and Resolutions of Inquiry were rejected in the Intelligence, Judiciary, Armed Services, and International Relations Committees;

Whereas the American people have spent \$20.9 billion dollars to rebuild Iraq with much of the money squandered on no-bid contracts for Hallburton and other favored contractors;

Whereas Halliburton received a sole-source contract worth \$7 billion to implement the restoration of Iraq's oil infrastructure, and a senior Army Corps of Engineers official wrote that the sole-source contract was "coordinated with the Vice President's office";

Whereas despite these revelations, on July 22, 2004 the Republican controlled Government Reform Committee voted to reject a subpoena by Democratic Members appropriately seeking information on communications of the Vice President's office on awarding contracts to Halliburton;

Whereas prisoner abuses at Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq, Guantanamo, and Afghanistan have seriously damaged the reputation of the United States, and increased the danger to U.S. personnel serving in Iraq and abroad;

Whereas the Republican Leadership and Committee Chairmen have denied requests for hearings, defeated resolutions of inquiry for information, and failed to aggressively pursue serious allegations, including how far up the chain of command the responsibility lies for the treatment of detainees;

Whereas the oversight of decisions and actions of other branches of government is an established and fundamental responsibility of Congress;

Whereas the Republican Leadership and the Chairmen of the committees of jurisdiction have failed to undertake meaningful, substantive investigations of any of the abuses pertaining to the Iraq war, including the manipulation of pre-war intelligence, the public release of a covert operative's name, the role of the Vice President in Iraqi reconstruction, and the Abu Ghraib prisoner abuse scandal: Therefore be it

Resolved, That the House calls upon the Republican Leadership and Chairmen of the committees of jurisdiction to comply with their oversight responsibilities, demands they conduct a thorough investigation of abuses relating to the Iraq War, and condemns their refusal to conduct oversight of an Executive Branch controlled by the same party, which is in contradiction to the established rules of standing committees and Congressional precedent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the minority leader wish to offer argument on the parliamentary question whether the resolution presents a question of the privileges of the House?

Ms. PELOSI. Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI) is recognized.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I do not hear an objection to my motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman is recognized to offer argument on whether the resolution is privileged.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I will reiterate some of what I said in the motion to instruct.

For the past $2^{1/2}$ years since our country has gone to war, we have paid a big price for a bad policy based on faulty intelligence which was wrong, based on

a false premise without proper planning and putting our young people at risk. In that period of time, that $2\frac{1}{2}$ years, over 2,000 Americans have lost their lives. Every single one of them is precious to us, but, as the toll mounts, the grief does as well. Over 15,000 of our young people have lost their limbs, 15,000 have been injured, many of them permanently, many with loss of limb and sight, at a cost of over \$250 billion, a quarter of a trillion dollars, to the taxpayer and just endless cost to our reputation in the world.

Î think it begs the question, are we safer in America because of this war? What is this war doing to the preparedness of our troops? I think that the answer to both of those is negative, and I think it calls for an examination of what the intelligence was to get us there in the first place. Was it manipulated? Why was there no plan for us to go into Iraq, a post-war plan for after the fall of Iraq, as well as an exit strategy?

The American people love freedom for ourselves and for people throughout the world, but we have to examine what the cost of this war is and why even the Republican Department of Defense has said—

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Speaker, regular order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair must ask the distinguished minority leader to confine her comments to the rule IX question.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thought there was no objection and that we were just speaking on the resolution. Is that a mistake? My impression from what you said when you yielded to me was that there was no objection, and did I wish to speak on the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The minority leader was recognized on the question of whether or not her resolution presents a question of the privileges of the House.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, then I will just conclude by saying, can the Chair please explain why it is not in order to discuss on the floor of this House, of this great democratic institution, a situation where our young people are in harm's way, the death toll mounts, the injuries mount, the cost to the taxpayer mounts, the cost to our reputation mounts, and we have a cover-up Congress that will not investigate, will not ask any questions about the intelligence which was wrong, which got us into war in the first place and the lack of a plan providing for our troops, what they need to serve and to come home safely and soon? Why is that not in order on the floor of the House?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is not whether such a debate is in order but whether the resolution is a question of privilege.

Under rule IX, questions of the privileges of the House are those "affecting the rights of the House collectively, its safety, its dignity [or] the integrity of its proceedings." A question of the privileges of the House may not be invoked to effect an interpretation of the

CORRECTION H9567

Wolf

Young (AK)

Whitfield

Herseth

Higgins

Holden

Holt

Honda

Hoolev

Hoyer

Inslee

Israel

(TX)

Kaptur

Kildee

Kind

Lantos

Lee

Levin

Lowey

Lynch

Markey

Matsui

Murtha

Nadler

Wamp

Weller

Weldon (FL)

Westmoreland

rules of the House, or to prescribe an order of business for the House, or to establish a norm for the conduct of business by the House or its committees

In some circumstances, the manner in which business is conducted might properly be arraigned by a question of the privileges of the House. But the Chair must maintain a distinction between, for example, an allegation of willful malfeasance by a Member, officer, employee, or committee of the House, on one hand, and an allegation that a Member, officer, employee, or committee of the House failed to follow a course of action that the proponent of the resolution or others consider advisable.

As Speaker pro tempore Cox noted in the decision of September 20, 1888 (which is recorded in Hinds' Precedents at volume 3, section 2601), there need be an allegation of, at least, impropriety.

□ 1130

The Chair must hold that the resolution offered by the distinguished minority leader does not affect the rights of the House collectively, its safety, its dignity, or the integrity of its proceedings within the meaning of rule IX. As such, the resolution does not constitute a question of privilege.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I must confess I am confused about where we are at this point. I thought I heard the Speaker

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GINGREY). The gentleman is not recognized for debate.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, is it not appropriate for a Member to speak on a point of order? Is it not appropriate for the gentleman from Wisconsin to be able to speak on a point of order that was lodged by the other side?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has ruled. The question of order has already been disposed of.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, parliamen-

tary inquiry. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gen-

tleman may state his inquiry. Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I thought I

just heard you indicate that for the motion to be in order one of the questions that might have to be present was the question of the dignity of the House. When we are told that \$100 million of taxpayers' money has been slipped into an appropriation bill for an illegal purpose, is that not, in fact, a challenge to the dignity of the House?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. That is not an appropriate parliamentary inquiry at this stage.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I think it brings shame to the House for this Congress to be engaged in a cover-up when it comes to reviewing what is happening in Iraq, and I appeal the ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is, shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House

MOTION TO TABLE OFFERED BY MR. WALSH Mr. WALSH. Mr. Speaker, I move to

lay the appeal on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion that the appeal be laid on the table.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15minute vote on the motion to table will be followed by a 5-minute vote on adoption of House Resolution 527.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 220, nays 191, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 562]

YEAS-220 Garrett (NJ) Aderholt Akin Gerlach Alexander Gibbons Gilchrest Bachus Gillmor Baker Barrett (SC) Gingrev Bartlett (MD) Gohmert Barton (TX) Goode Goodlatte Bass Beauprez Granger Graves Biggert Green (WI) Bilirakis Blackburn Gutknecht Blunt. Harris Boehlert Hart Hastings (WA) Boehner Bonilla Haves Hayworth Bonner Bono Hefley Hensarling Boozman Boustany Herger Bradley (NH) Hobson Brady (TX) Hoekstra Brown (SC) Hostettler Burgess Hulshof Burton (IN) Hunter Buyer Hyde Calvert Inglis (SC) Camp Issa. Jenkins Cannon Jindal Cantor Johnson (CT) Capito Carter Johnson (IL) Johnson, Sam Castle Chocola Jones (NC) Coble Keller Cole (OK) Kelly Kennedv (MN) Conaway Crenshaw King (IA) Cubin Kingston Culberson Kirk Cunningham Kline Davis (KY) Knollenberg Davis, Jo Ann Kolbe Davis, Tom Kuhl (NY) Deal (GA) LaHood DeLav Latham Dent LaTourette Diaz-Balart, L Leach Diaz-Balart, M. Lewis (CA) Doolittle Lewis (KY) Drake Linder LoBiondo Dreier Duncan Lucas Lungren, Daniel Ehlers Emerson Ε. English (PA) Mack Manzullo Everett Feeney Marchant Ferguson Marshall McCaul (TX) Fitzpatrick (PA) Flake McCotter Foley McCrery Forbes McHenry Fortenberry McHugh Fossella McKeon Foxx Mica Franks (AZ) Miller (FL) Frelinghuysen Miller (MI) Miller, Gary Gallegly

Moran (KS) Murphy Musgrave Myrick Neugebauer Nev Northup Nunes Nussle Osborne Otter Oxlev Paul Pearce Pence Peterson (PA) Petri Pickering Pitts Platts Poe Porter Price (GA) Pryce (OH) Putnam Radanovich Ramstad Regula Rehberg Reichert Renzi Revnolds Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rovce Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS) Saxton Schmidt Schwarz (MI) Sensenbrenner Sessions Shadegg Shaw Shays Sherwood Shimkus Shuster Simmons Simpson Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Sodrel Souder Stearns Sullivan Sweeney Tancredo Taylor (NC) Terry Thomas Thornberry Tiberi Turner Upton Walden (OR)

Walsh

Abercrombie Ackerman Allen Andrews Baca Baird Baldwin Barrow Bean Becerra Berklev Berman Berry Bishop (GA) Bishop (NY) Blumenauer Boren Boucher Brown (OH) Brown, Corrine Capps Capuano Cardin Cardoza Carnahan Carson Case Chandler Clay Cleaver Clyburn Conyers Cooper Costa Costello Cramer Crowley Cuellar Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Davis (IL) Davis (TN) DeFazio DeGette Delahunt DeLauro Dicks Dingell Doggett Doyle Edwards Emanue Engel Eshoo Etheridge Evans Farr Fattah Filner Ford Frank (MA) Gonzalez Gordon Green, Al Green Gene Bishop (UT) Boswell Boyd Brady (PA) Brown-Waite. Ginny Butterfield Chabot

Wicker Wilson (NM) Young (FL) Wilson (SC) NAYS-191 Grijalva Napolitano Gutierrez Neal (MA) Harman Oberstar Obey Olver Hinchev Ortiz Hinoiosa Owens Pallone Pascrell Pastor Pavne Pelosi Peterson (MN) Pomerov Jackson (IL) Price (NC) Jackson-Lee Rahall Rangel Jefferson Reves Johnson, E. B. Ross Jones (OH) Rothman Kanjorski Ruppersberger Rush Kennedy (RI) Ryan (OH) Sabo Kilpatrick (MI) Salazar Sánchez, Linda Kucinich Т. Sanchez, Loretta Langevin Sanders Larsen (WA) Schakowsky Schwartz (PA) Larson (CT) Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Lewis (GA) Sherman Lipinski Skelton Lofgren, Zoe Slaughter Smith (WA) Snyder Malonev Solis Spratt Matheson Stark Strickland McCarthy Stupak McCollum (MN) Tanner McDermott Tauscher McGovern Taylor (MS) McIntvre Thompson (CA) McKinnev Thompson (MS) McNulty Tierney Meehan Udall (CO) Meek (FL) Udall (NM) Meeks (NY) Van Hollen Melancon Velázquez Menendez Visclosky Michaud Wasserman Millender-Schultz McDonald Waters Miller (NC) Watson Miller George Watt Mollohan Waxman Moore (KS) Weiner Moore (WI) Wexler Moran (VA) Woolsey Wu Wvnn NOT VOTING--22 Pombo

Cummings Davis (FL) Hall Hastings (FL) Istook King (NY) McMorris Norwood

Rovbal-Allard Schiff Serrano Tiahrt Towns Weldon (PA)

□ 1158

HIGGINS. Mr. Ms. ESHOO. Mr. DAVIS of Tennessee, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Messrs. GORDON, GENE GREEN of Texas, ABERCROMBIE, PASTOR, and RUSH changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. MCHENRY. PENCE. SOUDER, and Mrs. BLACKBURN changed their vote from "nay" to 'yea.

So the motion to table was agreed to.

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Shadegg

Shaw

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

			L
PROVIDING	FOR CONS	SIDERATION	L L
OF H.R. 4128, PRIVATE PROPERTY			
RIGHTS PROTECTION ACT OF 2005 L			
The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. 1			
FOSSELLA). The pending business is the			
vote on adoption of House Resolution 1			
527 on which the yeas and nays are or- 1			
dered.			
The Clerk read the title of the resolu-			
tion			
The SPEA	KER pro te	empore. The	L L
question is on the resolution.			
This will be a 5-minute vote			
The vote was taken by electronic de-			
	re were—yeas		M
not voting 21			M
	[Roll No. 563]		M
	YEAS-401		M
Abercrombie	Cole (OK)	Gibbons	M
Ackerman	Conaway	Gilchrest	M
Aderholt	Conyers	Gillmor	M
Akin	Cooper	Gingrey	Μ
Alexander Allen	Costa Costello	Gohmert Gonzalez	M
Andrews	Cramer	Goode	M
Baca	Crenshaw	Goodlatte	M
Bachus	Crowley Cubin	Gordon	Μ
Baird Baker	Cuellar	Granger Graves	M
Baldwin		Green (WI)	M
Barrett (SC)		Green, Al	M
Barrow		Green, Gene	Μ
Bartlett (MD) Barton (TX)	Davis (AL) Davis (CA)	Gutierrez Gutknecht	M
Bass	Davis (IL)	Harman	M
Bean	Davis (KY)	Harris	Μ
Beauprez	Davis (TN)	Hart	Μ
Becerra Berkley	Davis, Jo Ann Davis, Tom	Hastings (WA) Hayes	Μ
Berman	Deal (GA)	Hayworth	M
Berry	DeFazio	Hefley	M
Biggert Bilirakis	DeGette Delahunt	Hensarling Herger	Μ
Bishon (GA)	DeLauro	Herseth	M
Bishop (NY)	DeLay	Higgins	M
Blackburn	Dent	Hinchey	Μ
Blumenauer Blunt	Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M.	Hinojosa Hobson	M
Boehlert	Dicks	Hoekstra	M
Boehner	Dingell	Holden	M
Bonilla	Doggett Doolittle	Honda Hooley	Μ
Bonner Bono	Doyle	Hostettler	M
Boozman	Drake	Hoyer	N N
Boren	Dreier	Hulshof	1,
Boucher	Duncan Edwards	Hunter	
Boustany Bradley (NH)	Ehlers	Hyde Inglis (SC)	G
Brady (TX)	Emanuel	Inslee	Н
Brown (OH)	Emerson	Israel	J. M
Brown (SC) Brown, Corrine	Engel English (PA)	Issa Jackson (IL)	14.
Burgess	Eshoo	Jackson-Lee	
Burton (IN)	Etheridge	(TX)	В
Buyer	Evans	Jefferson	В
Calvert Camp	Everett Farr	Jenkins Jindal	B
Cannon	Fattah	Johnson (CT)	B B
Cantor	Feeney	Johnson (IL)	2
Capito	Ferguson	Johnson, E. B.	В
Capps Capuano	Filner Fitzpatrick (PA)	Johnson, Sam Jones (NC)	С
Cardin	Flake	Kanjorski	
Cardoza	Foley	Kaptur	
Carnahan	Forbes	Keller Kelly	
Carson Carter	Ford Fortenberry	Kennedy (MN)	
Case	Fossella	Kennedy (RI)	V
Castle	Foxx	Kildee	
Chandler Chocola	Frank (MA) Franks (AZ)	Kilpatrick (MI) Kind	
Chocola Clay	Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen	King (IA)	a
Cleaver	Gallegly	Kingston	
Clyburn	Garrett (NJ)	Kirk	+
Coble	Gerlach	Kline	t

Knollenberg Neugebauer Kolbe Ney Kucinich Kuhl (NY) LaHood Langevin Lantos Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latham LaTourette Leach Jee Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (GA) Lewis (KY) inder Lipinski oBiondo Lofgren. Zoe Lowey Jucas Lungren, Daniel E. Jynch Mack Maloney Ianzullo Marchant Markey . Aarshall Matheson Matsui McCollum (MN) McCotter McCrery McGovern McHenry McHugh McIntyre McKeon McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Melancon Menendez Mica Michaud Millender-McDonald Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller (NC) Ailler, Gary Miller, George Mollohan Aoore (KS) Moore (WI) Moran (KS) Ioran (VA) Murphy Murtha Ausgrave **M**vrick Napolitano Neal (MA) Grijalva Holt Iones (OH) McDermott Rothman Bishop (UT) Boswell Boyd Brady (PA) Brown-Waite Ginnv Butterfield Chabot

Northup Shays Nunes Sherman Nussle Sherwood Oberstar Shimkus Obev Shuster Ortiz Simmons Osborne Simpson Otter Skelton Owens Slaughter Oxley Smith (NJ) Pallone Smith (TX) Pascrell Smith (WA) Paul Snyder Payne Sodrel Pearce Solis Pelosi Souder Pence Spratt Peterson (MN) Stark Peterson (PA) Stearns Petri Strickland Pickering Stupak Pitts Sullivan Platts Poe Sweenev Tancredo Pomerov Porter Tanner Price (GA) Tauscher Taylor (NC) Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Terry Putnam Thomas Radanovich Thompson (CA) Rahall Thompson (MS) Ramstad Thornberry Rangel Tiberi Regula Tiernev Rehberg Turner Reichert Udall (CO) Renzi Udall (NM) Reyes Upton Reynolds Van Hollen Rogers (AL) Velázquez Rogers (KY) Viscloskv Rogers (MI) Walden (OR) Rohrabacher Walsh Ros-Lehtinen Wamp Ross Wasserman Royce Ruppersberger Waters Rush Watson Ryan (OH) Watt Rvan (WI) Waxman Ryun (KS) Weiner Salazar Weldon (FL) Sánchez, Linda Weldon (PA) т. Weller Sanchez, Loretta Westmoreland Sanders Wexler Saxton Whitfield Schakowsky Wicker Schmidt Wilson (NM) Schwartz (PA) Wilson (SC) Schwarz (MI) Wolf Scott (GA) Woolsey Scott (VA) Sensenbrenner Wvnn Young (AK) Serrano Young (FL) Sessions NAYS-11 Sabo

Schultz

Taylor (MS)

Wu

Nadler Olver

Pastor

NOT VOTING-21

Davis (FL) Hall Hastings (FL) Istook e, King (NY) McCarthy McCaul (TX) McMorris	Norwood Pombo Roybal-Allard Schiff Tiahrt Towns

\Box 1207

Mr. JACKSON of Illinois changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 562, had I been present I would have voted "nay." On rollcall No. 563, had I been present I would have voted "aye."

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON H.R. 2528, MILITARY QUALITY OF LIFE AND VETERANS AFFAIRS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2006

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). Without objection, the Chair appoints the following conferees: Mr. WALSH, Mr. ADERHOLT, Mrs. NORTHUP, Messrs. SIMPSON, CRENSHAW, YOUNG of Florida, KIRK, REHBERG, CARTER, LEWIS of California, EDWARDS, FARR, BOYD, BISHOP of Georgia, PRICE of North Carolina, CRAMER, and OBEY. There was no objection.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON H.R. 889, COAST GUARD AND MARITIME TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 2005

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Speaker. I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 889) to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard for fiscal year 2006, to make technical corrections to various laws administered by the Coast Guard, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Alaska?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT OFFERED BY MR.

OBERSTAR

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to instruct conferees.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBERSTAR moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill (H.R. 889) to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard for fiscal year 2006, to make technical corrections to various laws administered by the Coast Guard, and for other purposes, be instructed to insist on section 603 of the House bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 7(b) of rule XXII, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. OBERSTAR) and the gentleman from Alaska (Mr. YOUNG) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, we will not take anywhere near the time allotted. I will take a few moments to explain the motion which the gentleman from Alaska, the chairman of our committee, and I have discussed previously.

The subject of this motion is summed up by a committee hearing held earlier this year on the tragedy that occurred November 26, 2004. The Athos, 750-foot single-hull tank vessel, hit a submerged object in Delaware Bay just

H9568