new explanations up the flagpole to see if anyone salutes them.

When I saw him yesterday, he dismissed the blunt ideological commitments in his application to the Meese Justice Department as simply part of the job application process, and told me, in essence, that it shouldn't be taken seriously. But now he is applying for a job on the Supreme Court.

Should we take his assurances about ignoring ideology as a judge any more seriously now?

The American people have a right to better answers about the record of any nominee to the Nation's highest Court. Certainly, in the hearings to come, Senators will learn a great deal more about whether Judge Alito has the basic commitment to core constitutional rights essential to our Nation, and I look forward to those hearings.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there any further morning business? If not, morning business is closed.

PENSION SECURITY AND TRANSPARENCY ACT OF 2005

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to the consideration of S. 1783 which the clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1783) to amend the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to reform the pension funding rules, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the managers' amendment at the desk is agreed to. The bill will be considered original text for further amendment.

The amendment (No. 2581) was agreed to.

(The amendment is printed in today's RECORD under "Text of Amendments.")

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, this is a very exciting day. We are here to the debate on the pensions bill. Every day hard-working Americans go to their jobs, they are confident we here in Washington are looking out for them and doing everything we can to assure that they will be able to retire some day and live the life they have always dreamed about. For our Nation's older workers and those who have already retired, there are few things more important to them than the health of their pension plan and the protection it provides. It involves younger workers, too.

I am glad we are at this point. This may be one of the biggest bills that has ever been covered with as little debate as we will have today. Part of the reason for that is how detailed it is and how many moving parts there are. I congratulate all of the people who have worked on this bill and worked cooperatively, both sides of the aisle. We have even had some conversations with

the other end of the building in order to be able to get it to this point at this time.

I particularly have to commend Senator KENNEDY and his staff and my staff. August is normally a time when we are at recess and traveling our States, as I was and Senator KENNEDY was. It is normally a time our staff can catch up on things. It was not. It was a time they were heavily involved in negotiations to come up with the best possible package for protecting the retirement of the people of this country, and they worked virtually around the clock during the entire month of August. Senator KENNEDY and I were on the phone several times working out some of the big issues and trying to keep the focus on the direction it needed to go.

I also have to specifically congratulate Senator ISAKSON. He has been our coordinator with airlines on this whole thing, and had the airlines not had a crisis, I am not sure we would be here today debating pensions. It was enough of a focal point, enough of an impetus that it got us on the track of solving all of the pension issues, in all of the aspects, and I think we have a very complete reform package here.

Of course, I would be remiss if I did not mention Senator LOTT and Senator COLEMAN, who also were strong advocates on getting a solution for airlines so we would stop seeing the airlines go into bankruptcy over their pension problem. We have a team of them here today to add one more amendment that will make sure we will have airlines and to make sure that airline employees will have a solvent retirement package.

I also have to thank Senator DEWINE and Senator MIKULSKI, the chairman and ranking member of the Subcommittee on Pensions on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions. They held a number of hearings that set up the data so we would actually have information on which to base this pension reform. They have done a tremendous job, not just with the committee but also representing particularly people in manufacturing across this country who also have some very special problems at this point in time.

I would also mention Senators Stabenow and Senator LEVIN, who have a majority of those manufacturing workers. In fact, they probably represent more manufacturing workers than there are people in the whole State of Wyoming. But the team of people worked together and put together a bill for the Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee. Senator GRASSLEY and I, and the members of the Budget Committee, had an amendment in the budget bill that required that the HELP Committee and the Finance Committee merge a bill. I have to congratulate Senator GRASS-LEY and Senator BAUCUS for their tremendous work with the Finance Committee to put together a separate bill that covered all the jurisdictional

areas of the Finance Committee, and then their effort with us to merge a bill, which is the bill that is here today.

I have to tell you there were a lot of people betting that, first, neither committee would be able to report a bill out of committee and, secondly, that we would never be able to merge the two bills. It has a lot to do with Senator BAUCUS and Senator GRASSLEY and their staffs being extremely involved and working again in this detailed, "many moving parts" bill. That is the reason we are here today and have a rather comprehensive bill, and it is one that people have been scrutinizing and working on through all of the months of this year.

I think it is a tribute to all of the people who have worked on it that we have limited debate on S. 1783. Only two amendments are being offered, and then we will have a final vote. That is a lot of agreement for this body of 100 people who usually have a lot of disagreement.

I have some other comments, but I will make them later and allow people to get on with describing the actual workings of this bill to the point where we can do a final vote.

I yield to my neighbor from Montana.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Montana.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, first, I thank my colleague, Senator ENZI from Wyoming, the chairman of the HELP Committee. As he has indicated, his committee, along with Senator KEN-NEDY, the ranking member of that committee, Senator GRASSLEY, chairman of the Finance Committee, and myself, the four of us worked together to be where we are today. Clearly we are where we are today because a lot of employees, a lot of retirees are very worried about their pension benefits. The essential way to help address that situation is to make sure these plans are more fully funded so as the promise is made, the promise is kept and, second, to make sure the backstop of the PBGC is also there when companies facing incredible pressures worldwide feel they have to no longer live up to their pension obligations and those obligations are passed on to the PBGC.

It is worldwide competitive pressures that big American companies and smaller American companies are facing as well as the Enron collapse which has forced us to take a good, hard look at this to try to find some good solutions. I thank Senator ENZI, Senator GRASS-LEY, and Senator KENNEDY for their very good work.

It is important to say a little bit about this bill so Americans know what we are doing today. Millions of workers clearly have worked very hard over their lifetime. American workers, when they work, feel they are playing by the rules. They want to play by the rules and they want to do what is right. This bill, frankly, is about making sure that the retirement benefits