a sheriff, I have witnessed how the COPS program provided much-needed funding to King County, from school resource officers to new law enforcement technology.

Mr. Chairman, the Federal Government is constantly telling local law enforcement in this new post-9/11 age that we must work in partnership, that we must work together to keep our Nation safe. After all, catching a terrorist in Seattle who may want to kill people in Los Angeles is not just a local problem; it is a national problem.

However, the word ''partnership'' rings hollow if the vital funds necessary to implement that partnership are not there. If local law enforcement upholds its end of the program, the vital funding is required. Too often, this funding comes from their budget without any Federal assistance. The local agencies are faced with a dilemma of either not participating in vital terror-fighting activities and programs, or joining in those efforts and shortchanging local programs that keep our families safe.

Starting in 2002, funding for local law enforcement under the COPS program decreased. The COPS program received $929 million in 2003, $411 million in 2006. This does not send the right message to our local law enforcement about the commitment of Congress to work with that partnership.

However, I am very grateful to you, Mr. Chairman, for being willing to listen and to work on this issue with me. With your help, this year's bill will increase total funding for the COPS program to $570.5 million. This is the first increase in COPS funding in 5 years and something to be thankful for and proud of. In addition, $99 million is included in the bill to address meth cleanup.

Adequately funding the COPS program in this bill sends the right message to our local law enforcement community that the Federal Government is an equal partner and that the Federal Government is giving local police backup in this fight.

While we still need to work to continue to increase funding for local law enforcement efforts in the fight against meth, I believe that this increase is a positive step in the right direction. Tight budget constraints make it impossible to fully fund every program, and I thank the chairman for recognizing the importance of local law enforcement and providing an increase in the COPS program.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. REICHERT. I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from Washington for raising this issue. He has talked to me so many times, and I appreciate his persistence.

I want to thank him for his leadership on issues important to law enforcement and the fight against meth and the spread of gangs in our communities. I understand your perspective on this concern as a former law enforcement officer, and I am glad I was able to work with you to provide increased funding under the COPS program; and, frankly, if we could do more when we get to conference, we will be glad to do that.

Mr. REICHERT. Mr. Chairman, I thank the chairman. I look forward to working with you.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. TERRY) having assumed the chair, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 5672) making appropriations for Science, the Departments of State, Justice, and Commerce, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

LIMITING AMENDMENTS DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5672, SCIENCE, STATE, JUSTICE, COMMERCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2007

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 5672 in the Committee of the Whole, pursuant to House Resolution 890, notwithstanding clause 11 of rule XVIII, no further amendment to the bill may be offered except: pro forma amendments offered at any point in the reading by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate; amendments printed in the RECORD and numbered 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25; an amendment by each of the following specified Members:

Mr. REICHERT, regarding funding for the Justice Assistance grant program, which shall be debatable for 20 minutes;

Ms. BROWN-WAITE, regarding funding for VAWA program;

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, regarding funding for the SBA, which shall be debatable for 20 minutes;

Mr. HINCHEY, regarding funding limitation on implementation of medical marijuana laws, which shall be debatable for 20 minutes;

Mr. WOLF or Mr. MOLLOHAN, regarding funding for State and local law enforcement assistance;

Mr. OBEY, regarding funding for Legal Services Corporation;

Mr. BOSWELL, regarding funding for criminal records upgrades;

Mr. WYNN, regarding funding for drug courts;

Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, regarding funding for FBI salaries and expenses;

Mr. MOLLOHAN, regarding funding for various programs and tax law changes;

Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota, regarding funding for Justice Assistance grant program;

Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota, regarding funding for Justice Assistance grant program;

Mr. BARROW, regarding funding for SCAAP;

Ms. MILLENDER-MCDONALD, regarding funding for drug courts;

Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey, regarding funding for Justice Assistance grant programs;

Mr. REYES, regarding funding for the Southwest Border Initiative;

Mr. FOSSELLA, regarding funding for COPS bulletproof vest program;

Mr. LYNCH, regarding funding for COPS bulletproof vest program;

Mr. RENZI, regarding funding for tribal law enforcement;

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, regarding funding limitation on targeting segments of the Muslim and Arab communities for national security investigations;

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, regarding funding limitation on State and local anti-drug task forces that do not collect data on the racial distribution of convictions;

Mr. BROWN of Ohio, regarding USTR funding for China enforcement;

Mr. BROWN of Ohio, regarding ITA funding for the Office of China compliance;

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan, regarding funding for the Manufacturing Extension Partnership Program;

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, regarding funding for NOAA;

Mr. GILCHREST, regarding funding for certain NOAA programs;

Mr. THOMPSON of California, regarding funding for Pacific Coastal salmon recovery;

□ 1900

Mr. BROWN of Ohio, regarding funding for NASA aeronautics research;

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, regarding funding for NASA education programs;

Ms. WATSON, regarding funding for the Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs;

Mr. MURPHY, regarding funding reduction for FCC unless certain rulemaking occurs;

Mrs. DAVIS of California, regarding funding for the National Veterans Business Development Corporation;

Mr. OBEY, amending FLSA with respect to the minimum wage;

Mr. ANDREWS, regarding funding limitation on revisions to OMB circular A–76;

Mr. BAIRD, regarding funding limitation on motions filed under section 3730 of title 31;

Mr. CAPUANO, regarding funding for young witness assistance grants;

Mr. CARDOZA, regarding funding for drug endangered children grant program;

Mr. CULBERSON, regarding funding limitation on activities in contravention of section 1373 of title 8;

Ms. DEGETTE, regarding funding for Internet Crimes Against Children task forces;

Ms. DELAURO, regarding funding for sexual assault services grants;

Mr. ENGEL, regarding funding limitation on energy efficiency standards;

Mr. ETHERIDGE, regarding the Hometown Heroes Act;

a funding limitation by Mr. FLAKE on each of the following: Rochester, New York Tooling and Machining Association for a workforce development program;

Bronx Council for marketing of local business arts initiatives;

Arthur Avenue Retail Market for local business requirements and improvements;

Wisconsin Procurement Initiative;

JARI for a regional business incubator;

Fairmont State University for a small business development initiative;

Fairplex Trade and Conference Center;

Southern and Eastern Kentucky Tourism Development Association;

JARI Workforce Development Program and Small Business Technology Center;

Oil Region Alliance of Business, Industry and Tourism;

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, regarding funding limitation on manned space mission to Mars;

Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey, requiring annual report on U.S. contributions to the U.N. and affiliated entities;

Mr. GINGREY, regarding funding limitation on participation under the Visa Waiver program;

Mr. HINCHEY, regarding funding limitation on ''Knock and Announce'' policies;

Mr. HINCHEY, regarding medical marijuana and transfers of funds for certain State and local programs;

Mr. HINCHEY, regarding funding limitation for FCC licenses based on ownership;

Mr. HINCHEY, regarding funding limitation on private phone records from data and credit brokers;

Mr. INSLEE, regarding funding for children and youth programs and the national tribal sexual offender registry;

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, regarding funding for juvenile justice programs;

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, regarding funding for the juvenile delinquency prevention block grant program;

Mrs. JONES of Ohio, regarding funding limitation on the EEOC National Contact Center;

Mr. KING of Iowa, regarding funding for enforcement of section 642 of the IIRIRA;

Mr. KUCINICH, regarding funding limitation on NASA involuntary separations;

Mr. LIPINSKI, regarding funding for Law Enforcement Tribute Act;

Mr. MCCAUL of Texas, regarding funding limitation on U.N. peacekeeping missions in which U.N. employees under investigation have not been removed;

Mr. MCCAUL of Texas, regarding funding limitation on the U.N. Human Rights Council unless certain members are removed;

Mr. MCCOTTER, regarding funding limitation on filing under FARA unless certain conditions are met;

Mr. NADLER, regarding funding for the Jessica Gonzalez Victims Assistance Program;

Mr. NADLER, regarding funding for FBI salaries and expenses;

Mr. NADLER, regarding funding limitation on issuance of NSA letters to health insurance companies;

Mr. SHERMAN, regarding funding limitation on detention of enemy combatants;

Mr. SODREL, regarding funding limitation on enforcement of the final judgment issued in Hinrichs v. Bosman;

Mr. TIAHRT, regarding competitiveness;

Ms. WATSON or Mr. ISSA, regarding funding limitation on accession of the Russian Federation into the WTO unless USTR makes certain certifications;

Mr. WAXMAN, regarding funding limitation on Industry Trade Advisory Committee on Chemicals unless certain membership requirements are met;

Mr. WEINER, regarding funding for COPS hiring program; and

an amendment or amendments by Mr. WOLF.

Each such amendment may be offered only by the Member named in this request or a designee, or by the Member who caused it to be printed in the RECORD or a designee, shall be considered as read, shall not be subject to amendment except that the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations and the Subcommittee on Science, the Departments of State, Justice, and Commerce, and Related Agencies each may offer one pro forma amendment for the purpose of debate; and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

Except as otherwise specified, each amendment shall be debatable for 10 minutes, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent. An amendment shall be considered to fit the description stated in this request if it addresses in whole or in part the object described.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

Mr. OBEY. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, I want to make the point again that if all of these amendments are offered, we could be here for as much as 25 hours.

So I would hope that Members would consider whether or not these amendments are duplicative and that some of them might not be offered, if we are going to finish this in a timely fashion.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

SCIENCE, STATE, JUSTICE, COMMERCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2007

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 890 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 5672.

□ 1907

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5672) making appropriations for Science, the Departments of State, Justice, and Commerce, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes, with Mr. HASTINGS of Washington in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the bill had been read through page 2, line 8.

Pursuant to the order of the House of today, no further amendment to the bill may be offered except those specified in the previous order of the House of today, which is at the desk.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. WOLF

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

My amendment proposes to move $1 million from Justice General Administration in order to restore funding eliminated from the budget request for the Missing Alzheimer's program. This program is critical to supporting law enforcement efforts to find missing adults suffering from the terrible disease of Alzheimer's.

This is very important because Alzheimer's is a very difficult situation for both the individual with Alzheimer's and the family members. I offer it on behalf of Mr. MOLLOHAN, and I know Congresswoman Maxine Waters strongly, strongly supports the adoption of the amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. Does the gentleman intend to offer an amendment?

Mr. WOLF. I do, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. WOLF:

Page 2, line 7, after the dollar amount, insert the following: ''(reduced by $1,000,000)''.

Page 23, line 4, after the dollar amount, insert the following: ''(increased by $1,000,000)''.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to clause 2(f) of rule XXI, the Chair must query