Jerome A. Holmes, of Oklahoma, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Tenth Circuit?

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. The following Senator was necessarily absent: the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mrs. FEINSTEIN) and the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BURR). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 67, nays 30, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 213 Ex.]

YEAS—67

Alexander	DeMint	McCain
Allard	DeWine	McConnell
Allen	Dole	Murkowski
Baucus	Domenici	Nelson (NE)
Bennett	Dorgan	Pryor
Bingaman	Ensign	Roberts
Bond	Enzi	Rockefeller
Brownback	Frist	Santorum
Bunning	Grassley	Sessions
Burns	Gregg	Shelby
Burr	Hagel	Smith
Byrd	Hatch	Snowe
Carper	Hutchison	Specter
Chafee	Inhofe	Stevens
Chambliss	Isakson	Sununu
Coburn	Jeffords	Talent
Cochran	Johnson	Thomas
Coleman	Kyl	Thune
Collins	Landrieu	Vitter
Conrad	Lincoln	Voinovich
Cornyn	Lott	Warner
Craig	Lugar	
Crapo	Martinez	

NAYS—30

Akaka	Harkin	Murray
Bayh	Inouye	Nelson (FL)
Biden	Kennedy	Obama
Boxer	Kerry	Reed
Cantwell	Kohl	Reid
Clinton	Lautenberg	Salazar
Dayton	Leahy	Sarbanes
Dodd	Levin	Schumer
Durbin	Menendez	Stabenow
Feingold	Mikulski	Wyden

NOT VOTING—3

Feinstein	Graham	Lieberman

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the President shall be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will resume legislative session.

The Senator from Nevada.

CHILD CUSTODY PROTECTION ACT

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, I ask that the Senate now proceed to S. 403 under conditions of the consent agreement from last week.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 403) to amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit taking minors across State lines in circumvention of laws requiring the involvement of parents in abortion decisions.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada is recognized.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, I rise to discuss the Child Custody Protection Act which will protect the rights of our Nation's parents and their children's well-being. Speaking as a father of three young children, including a daughter, I understand how difficult the challenge of raising children can be. In most schools across the country, our children cannot go on a field trip, take part in school activities, or participate in sex education without a signed permission slip. An underage child cannot even receive mild medication such as aspirin unless the school nurse has a signed release form. Some States even require parental permission to use indoor tanning beds. Nothing, however, prevents this same child from being taken across State lines in direct disobedience of State laws for the purpose of undergoing a surgical, life-altering abortion.

The bill before us, the Child Custody Protection Act, makes it a Federal offense to knowingly transport a minor across a State line for the purpose of an abortion in order to circumvent a State's parental consent or notification law. It specifies that neither the minor transported nor her parent may be prosecuted for a violation of this act.

It is important to note that this legislation does not supersede, override, or in any way alter existing State parental involvement laws. It does not impose any Federal parental notice or consent requirement on any State that does not already have a parental involvement law in place. This bill merely addresses the interstate transportation of minors, sometimes by a predatory older male or his parents, in order to circumvent valid existing State laws that require parental notification or consent. This bill goes a long way in strengthening the effectiveness of State laws designed to protect parents and their young daughters from the health and safety risks associated with secret abortions.

An overwhelming number of States have recognized that a young girl's parents are the best source of guidance and knowledge when making decisions regarding serious surgical procedures such as abortion. Forty-five States have adopted some form of parental notification or consent, proving the widespread support for protecting the rights of parents across America. The people who care the most for a child should be involved in these kinds of health care decisions. If there is aftercare needed, the parents should be fully informed in order to care for their young daughter.

An overwhelming majority of Americans support parental consent laws. In fact, most polls show that consent is favored by almost 80 percent of the American people. These numbers do not lie. By the way, these are people who call themselves pro-choice and pro-life. Well over a majority of even pro-choice people support parental notification or parental consent laws. The American people agree that parents deserve the right to be involved in their minor children's decisions. In many cases, only a girl's parents know her prior medical and psychological history, including allergies to medications and anesthesia.

The harsh reality is our current law allows for parents to be left uninformed about their underage daughter's abortion which can be devastating to the physical and mental health of their child. Take the case of Marcia Carroll from Pennsylvania. On Christmas Eve 2004, her daughter informed her she was pregnant. After listening to her daughter's story, Ms. Carroll assured her that they would handle this as a family and would support any decisions she decided to make. They scheduled appointments with both doctors and counselors and discussed all options available. Ms. Carroll purposely allowed her daughter to speak alone with the professionals so that her daughter felt comfortable to speak her mind. After all the advice and counsel, her daughter decided to have the baby and to raise it, a decision which the family fully supported.

Following her decision, despite their knowledge of her family's love and support, her boyfriend's family began to harass her and threaten that she could not see her boyfriend unless she had an abortion. Ms. Carroll was so concerned about their behavior, she called the police and even went so far as to contact a nearby abortion clinic to ensure that parental consent would be required before an abortion would be allowed. Pennsylvania's law requires that anyone under the age of 18 have consent of a parent before an abortion can be performed. Unfortunately, other States nearby do not have the same protections.

Shortly after, Ms. Carroll sent her daughter off to school, thinking she would be safe. Imagine yourself in the same position. Instead, her boyfriend and his family met her at the bus stop, bought them a train ticket, and sent the children to New Jersey, where other family members picked them up and took them to an abortion clinic. Despite her tears and desires to keep the baby, her boyfriend's family coerced her by telling her they would leave her in New Jersey with no way to get home. They planned, paid for, and threatened her into agreeing to an abortion. After the abortion, they dropped her off blocks from her house with no regard to her mental or physical well-being. Ms. Carroll called the local police department only to be told that there was nothing that could be done. This poor young girl, whose family was committed to loving her and respecting her decision, had her life forever altered by adults who never considered her wishes or the consequences such a decision would have on her life.

Parental notification serves another vital purpose: ensuring increased protection against sexual exploitation of