The House met at 11 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. Hastings of Washington).

**DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

> U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
> I hereby appoint the Honorable Doc Hastings to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.
> J. Dennis Hastert, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Speaker pro tempore led before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

> U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
> I hereby appoint the Honorable Doc Hastings to act as Speaker pro tempore to sign enrolled bills and joint resolutions through September 19, 2006.
> J. Dennis Hastert, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

**APPOINTMENT OF HON. DOC HASTINGS TO ACT AS SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE TO SIGN ENROLLED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS THROUGH SEPTEMBER 19, 2006**

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

> U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
> I hereby appoint the Honorable Doc Hastings to act as Speaker pro tempore to sign enrolled bills and joint resolutions through September 19, 2006.
> J. Dennis Hastert, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

The Speaker pro tempore. Without objection, the appointment is approved. There was no objection.

**SENATE ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED**

The Speaker pro tempore, Mr. Hastings of Washington, announced his signature to enrolled bills of the Senate of the following titles:

S. 2590. An act to require full disclosure of all entities and organizations receiving Federal funds.
S. 2784. An act to award a congressional gold medal to Tenzin Gyatso, the Fourteenth Dalai Lama, in recognition of his many endeavors, contributions to peace, non-violence, human rights, and religious understanding.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The Speaker pro tempore. Without objection, the House stands adjourned until 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday next for morning hour debate.

There was no objection. Accordingly (at 11 o’clock and 4 minutes a.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Tuesday, September 19, 2006, at 12:30 p.m., for morning hour debate.

**EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.**

Under clause 8 of rule XII, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:

9428. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Asian Longhorned Beetle; Addition and Removal of Quarantined Areas in New Jersey (Docket No. 2005-DR-202) received September 8, 2006, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9429. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting the annual report of the Maritime Administration (MARAD) for Fiscal Year 2006, pursuant to 46 U.S.C. app. 1118; to the Committee on Armed Services.


9431. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Contract Reporting [DFARS Case 2005-D004] received August 15, 2006, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.
9432. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS Case 2003-2651) received August 15, 2006, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9433. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Ricardo A. Sanchez, United States Army, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9434. A letter from the Comptroller of the Currency, Administrator of National Banks, transmitting four issues of the Quarterly Report of Annual Reports for FY 2005, and a review of the actions the Office has taken during FY 2005 with regard to the applicability of state law to national banks, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 14; to the Committee on Financial Services.

9435. A letter from the Chief Counsel, FEMA, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket No. FEMA-7932] received September 6, 2006, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9436. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Bureau of Public Debt, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Clarification of Treasuries,mortgage-backed securities, and certain other investments for applicability of securitization program [Docket No.: 06082211-6229-01] (RIN: 0694-AD1) received September 6, 2006, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9437. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Department of Education, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Federal Student Aid Programs [RIN: 1849-AC87] received September 6, 2006, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.


9440. A letter from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting Copies of international agreements for which treaty status is requested by the United States, pursuant to 1 U.S.C. 112b; to the Committee on International Relations.

9441. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, Transmittal No. 06-28, concerning the Department of the Army’s proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Korea for defense articles and services; to the Committee on International Relations.

9442. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, Transmittal No. 06-
Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. SENSENBRENNER:

H.R. 6089. A bill to reform immigration litigation procedures and combat alien gang crime; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SENSENBRENNER:

H.R. 6091. A bill to secure the borders of the United States, and to ensure the removal of deportable criminal aliens; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 2239: Ms. HART.
H.R. 5139: Mr. ROGULA.

DISCHARGE PETITIONS—ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS

The following Members added their names to the following discharge petition:

Petition 14 by Mr. FILNER on House Resolution 917: John Barrow, Robert Wexler, and Zoe Lofgren of California.
The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. STEVENS).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.
O God of light, You are our guide. Thank You for Your gentle leading. You are a mystery but not a puzzle; profound but not incomprehensible; loving but not passive; patient and longsuffering but not weak and indecisive.

Lead our lawmakers today with Your wisdom. Show them how to use their talents and abilities for Your glory. Give them patience to wait on the unfolding of Your loving providence. Remind them that the hearts of world leaders are in Your Hands and that You direct the course of human history.

Help each of us to pursue Your friendship and to embrace Your love.

We pray in Your wonderful Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The PRESIDENT pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows: I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

RECOGNITION OF THE ACTING MAJORITY LEADER
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The acting majority leader is recognized.

SCHEDULE
Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, today, the Senate will conduct a period of morning business. Last night, we were able to reach a time agreement on the Oman free trade bill, and Senators are encouraged to use that time this morning and then on Monday. We will have 30 minutes of debate on the trade bill remaining for Tuesday’s session, and Senators should expect a vote on passage before the policy luncheons on Tuesday. That will be the first vote of the week. We are also attempting to clear some nominations and treaties for today, and I hope to have an agreement on those for later this morning.

EFFECTIVE TOOLS TO FIGHT TERRORISM
Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I want to make a few observations about the war on terror, which certainly is an issue that has been front and center in the Senate and over in the House during the last few weeks.

There are really two issues. The first is, what are the tools the President needs to continue to effectively defend America? We know that since 9/11 there have been no successful attacks on our country. We know before 9/11 they were at war with us. They tried to blow up the World Trade Center. They blew up our Embassies in East Africa. They blew up the USS Cole and killed 17 sailors. They were at war with us, but we were not yet at war with them.

Since we have been at war with them, we have not had a successful attack at home. Obviously, we are doing something very skillfully and very correctly. A part of that is the effective interrogation of terrorists and the effective surveillance of terrorists. Both effective interrogation and effective surveillance of terrorists prevent terror attacks and save lives. That has happened over the last 5 years.

Why does the President need these specific tools? Why does he need the bill he proposed? Intelligence leaders have said, as recently as yesterday, that we will have to shut down a demonstrably effective program without these tools. We will lose the intelligence and the security the intelligence provides.

So what is next for us in debating these important issues to help protect Americans at home? Only one side of the argument has been prevalent in the last day or so. We will have an opportunity to fully define the two issues to which I referred. A floor debate will highlight important bright-line issues.

For example, do we provide sensitive classified information to terrorists? There has actually been the suggestion that somehow a fundamental sense of fairness would require that we hand classified information over to terrorist defendants. That will be one of the big issues confronting us in the Senate.

Do we shut down an intelligence program that we know—it’s not in dispute—that we know has saved lives and protected Americans? Do we want our troops exposed to the vagaries and whims of international courts?

What about this idea that we should not define Common article 3 in the United States? Well, Common article 3 is going to be defined. We know that. The only issue is, who will define it? European courts are now defining it. Maybe the U.S. Congress and the U.S. courts ought to be the final word on defining Common article 3. So, as I said, the question is really not whether Common article 3 is going to be defined—it is going to be—but, rather, who will be defining that article.

Common article 3 was written back in 1949, almost 60 years ago. Some of its terms—like prohibiting “outrages upon personal dignity”—are inherently vague. As a result, foreign courts have been filling the void and doing that interpretation.

To give you an example, the European Court of Human Rights has declared as follows: merely having to wait on death row is “inhuman or degrading treatment of punishment.”

This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.
That is a European court defining waiting on death row as being unacceptable. A European court has further said being in a cell with limited natural light is “degrading,” and that having little activities to occupy a prisoner is “degrading.”

Now, the U.S. Congress should not sit on its hands and let some foreign judge—some foreign judge—define the meaning of Common article 3 in a way that most Americans would object to, and which would put our troops at risk. That is why I support the President’s position on using the Detainee Treatment Act—Senator McCAIN’s act that we just adopted last year by a vote of 90 to 9 in the Senate—as the standard, use the McCain Detainee Treatment Act as the standard for defining Common article 3.

The DTA prohibits “cruel, inhuman, or degrading” treatment as defined by established standards of U.S. law. That is Senator McCAIN’s bill, which we adopted last year, defining what is appropriate treatment of detainees.

So these will be the issues we will have to discuss in the full Senate with all 100 Members participating. We have not heard from a whole lot of our colleagues on the other side of the aisle yet, and I know they are going to want to participate in this debate and share their views about whether these standards should be determined by the U.S. Congress or by European courts.

What we do know for sure, without question—no ambiguity—is that the current program works and has saved us from terrorist attacks and prevented us from being attacked again at home for over 5 years. The President needs tools to conduct these programs effectively to protect Americans at home. His proposal for terrorist detainees is one of those important tools. We do not all agree at this point about how to go about this, and that is why the Senate is a great deliberative body, and we will have that discussion on the Senate floor. But at some point we will come together and, hopefully, do it in a way where the interrogation of detainees can continue.

We know the Director of the CIA said yesterday that under the armed services bill, that program will have to be shut down. We know it has worked. We know it has saved lives. We need to solve this problem for the American people so they can continue to be protected at home, able to go about their daily lives in a manner they have become accustomed to over the years in this great, free society.

Mr. President. I yield the floor.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The President pro tempore. The Democratic leader is recognized.

Mr. REID. Thank you, Mr. President.

DETAINEES

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I think my friend, the distinguished majority whip, is talking about things that really do not exist. We have now in the Senate a bipartisan agreement on how to approach the Hamdan decision, the detainee problem. We had all Democrats and four Republicans—far more than a majority in the committee—who voted yesterday to bring the matter to the floor that would solve this problem.

It is not a problem at this point that has been solved by the European courts. It has been solved by the U.S. Senate. We know that the document that has come from the Armed Services Committee is imperfect, and we can always try to work to improve that. I think we should move forward on this issue. I think there is certainly nothing in the mind of the American people or the American public that what the President has suggested is final.

Certainly, he is not infallible, as indicated by Colin Powell—four-star general, general in the Army, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Secretary of State for a number of years. He says the President’s approach is wrong. He is not talking about the European courts determining what we should do. He is saying that the approach of Senator McCAIN and others is the proper way to go.

I would also say—without a long discussion—we have the same situation at this stage in the Senate dealing with domestic spying. We have a bipartisan solution to that. Members of the Judiciary Committee, on a bipartisan basis, voted to bring a bill to the Senate. Again, I am sure that bill is not perfect, but it certainly is a bipartisan solution to a problem that exists, one that is in compliance with the Constitution of the United States.

Mr. President, the Iraq war has been a diversion on the war on terror, and that seems pretty clear.

TAX EXTENDERS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, changing subjects just for a minute, prior to the August recess, Republicans attempted to win support for their attempt to repeal the estate tax by attaching that to a flawed minimum wage increase that was only meant for show and not to actually accomplish anything. And they also tied it to popular tax provisions, referred to as extenders. We have that debate in the Senate.

Now, keep in mind the extenders were all agreed to by Democrats and Republicans. They had agreed to this, and the only thing that was not there was the signature, and that was to take place at a quick stop at night in the Capitol. When people came back to sign the conference report, word had come from the White House: Do away with this agreement. So that is why they came up with the so-called Trifecta: estate tax repeal, extenders, minimum wage.

Republicans were very clear regarding their strategy. Representative Zach WAMP of Tennessee claimed that Democrats had been “outrfoxed.” Well, of course, this bill did not pass because it was flawed. It was so unfair to the American people that you would do away with all these important tax provisions for the middle class in an effort to get a repeal of the estate tax that will affect the richest of the rich, 8,100 Americans.

The strategy of holding the extenders hostage to their estate tax giveaway put these important provisions in jeopardy of not getting enacted ever. As if to emphasize this point, Senator Jim GREGG said—and I quote—‘‘[f] you don’t kill the hostage, there’s no threat.’’ How about that.

Now, Senator Baucus yesterday—on more than one occasion—requested unanimous consent to delink the extenders, which have broad bipartisan support, from the Republicans’ ill-fated attempt to repeal the estate tax for a small number of the wealthiest families in America.

American families and businesses are paying the price for this Republican do-nothing Congress’s failure to extend these tax breaks. Millions of families and individuals are facing higher taxes today as a result of this failure.

Mr. President, the Chairman of the Finance Committee, a Republican, Charles Grassley of Iowa:

A delay of legislative beyond the anticipated recess date of September 29, 2006, will cause hardship, tax compliance problems and confusion for the millions of taxpayers who claim these widely applicable tax benefits.

According to a memo from Senator Grassley’s office, IRS officials, the IRS contracts with several printers to produce 1040 and 1040A income tax return forms are in jeopardy. It also said that IRS must finalize the information it is to submit to these printers by October 15 in order to provide forms with time and be distributed to taxpayers at the beginning of 2007; that if Congress has not passed extenders legislation by that time, the forms will omit instructions to taxpayers to compute State and local sales tax, college tuition, or out-of-pocket classroom expenses in their tax liability.

American families and businesses are paying the price because of this do-nothing Congress. They refuse to extend important tax breaks. Families who recently took their sons and daughters to college now wonder whether the tuition deduction Republicans allowed to expire last year will get reinstated.

What are these tax extenders? The State and local sales tax deduction. In States all over the country which have an income tax, they are allowed to deduct that from their Federal income tax. Now that the Republicans failed to act in States where individuals pay sales tax, they are not able to do this.

The tuition deduction is another one which allows parents and students to deduct all tuition and related expenses
from their taxable income. It benefits 3.6 million taxpayers nationwide and 26,000 in Nevada.

The teacher classroom expenses provision gives teachers above-the-line deduction of as much as $250. Mr. President, 6,100 people are seeking to benefit from this deduction. This is small change to the $250 tax cut is millions and millions of dollars. So why should we be concerned about some schoolteacher for $250? Because $250 is what teachers pay out of their own pockets to buy classroom supplies. This benefits 3.3 million teachers nationwide and about 22,000 teachers in Nevada.

There are many other items that are important in these extenders.

America needs a new direction, one that puts the interests of the hardworking families ahead of special interests. How can we be working on the Opana and Trade Agreement and let this go? I don’t understand this. The priorities are upside down. We need a new direction, and we are not getting any direction from the administration or certainly from the Republican-dominated Congress.

The President pro tempore. The Senator from Illinois is recognized.

MORNING BUSINESS
DO-NOTHING CONGRESS
Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I thank my colleague and friend from Nevada, Senator REID, for that statement because we are the closing day of this week’s session, as we wrap up the second week of 4 weeks, it really is a time to reflect on what little time is left in this session, and when Senator REID refers repeatedly to a do-nothing Congress, it is understandable. Two weeks down and 2 weeks to go before the election and no budget.

This so-called fiscally conservative leadership in the Senate cannot produce a budget on how we are going to spend our money this year. They cannot produce a budget and, if I am not mistaken, I say to the Democratic leader, I don’t believe a single appropriations bill has been signed into law at this point.

Mr. REID. That is right.

Mr. DURBIN. Here we are days away from the end of this fiscal year, and we have recorded in the last 6 years, under the Bush administration and the Republican-led Congress, the worst deficits in the history of the United States. We have an administration which inherited a surplus from the Clinton administration—several years of surplus and paying down the debt of America and strengthening Social Security—and they squandered it, wasted it. They turned their backs on it and allowed us to slip into deficit. We said that deeper into deficit we will ultimately have to pay, a debt which, sadly, is being financed by foreign countries such as Japan, China, Korea, and the OPEC nations. They are the mortgage holders of America’s mortgage.

Who will pay off this mortgage? The young people of America, our children and grandchildren—as this Congress has heaped on us $3 trillion in debt, the President has the dubious distinction of being the first President in the history of the United States of America to call for a tax cut during a war. The reason no other President has done it is because it doesn’t make sense. We are spending the ordinary expenses of Government that are increased due to the war you must fight, and this President then says: Let’s cut taxes while we are at it, digging a deeper hole for America’s economy and America’s future.

So there is no budget, not one appropriations bill signed by the President, no increase in the minimum wage—9 years now. For 9 years, this Republican President and Congress have refused to increase the basic wage for some of the hardest working people in America. It is $5.15 an hour. That is what it has been. It has been 9 years since we have increased it.

Think about each of our personal experiences, how the expenses of life have gone up in that period of time, and then put yourself in the shoes of a single mother I met in Rockford, who went through a brutal divorce. She luckily has custody of the children away from a father who mistreated them and them in her tiny house, and she has a minimum wage job. She has three kids, this mom, and she makes minimum wage. How does she make it? She goes to the local church, where they have a food pantry. She tries to get help from charities in the area. She looks for used clothing. She is trying to keep her family together. What kind of helping hand has this Congress given to her? None. For 9 years, we have said to her: Sorry, next year’s salary will be the same as last year’s.

I hope the cost of utilities doesn’t go up or the cost of food or the cost of rent. Yet we know they continue to go up or the cost of gas or the cost of mortgage.

Mr. DURBIN. Here we are days away from the end of this fiscal year, and we have recorded in the last 6 years, under the Bush administration and the Republican-led Congress, the worst deficits in the history of the United States. We have an administration which has promised time and again an opportunity for a vote, to actually have that promise that we cannot seem to get the Republican leadership, which has promised time and again an opportunity for a vote, to actually have that vote and to change the law so that a lot of seniors and others across America can get affordable prescription drugs.

There is no effort here to make sure people who are vulnerable don’t have this, there are no basic changes in the ethics rules that guide us here.
to worry about whether their health care or pensions will be there when it is time to retire. We just don’t address that in this Congress. You see, sadly, these people cannot afford the lobbyists that other special interests can. Those articulate, well-paid, well-dressed people who would fight here in this building spend a lot of time in our faces. We need to talk to them because, frankly, they finance many of our campaigns. It is a sad reality that ought to change. It won’t change until the leadership in Congress wakes up to the need for change, the need for a new direction on Capitol Hill.

Last Monday night, we had a commemoration of the fifth anniversary of 9/11. I guess about a hundred of us—Members of Congress—stood on the Capitol steps near the same place we stood 5 years ago as a Congress. There were some stirring remarks made, prayers said, and we closed with the singing of “God Bless America.” As we sang those words, I thought for a moment that we needed to recapture the spirit of 5 years ago because we left that historic moment on the Capitol steps and Democrats and Republicans rolled up their sleeves in the House and Senate and said, “What can we do to make America safe?” In lightening fashion, we enacted a resolution which declared war on those who had attacked us.

I don’t vote for war lightly, but I voted for that without hesitation. The war in Afghanistan, against al-Qaeda, against the Taliban. It was the right thing to do. But today it is a war that we know has not been won. Five years later, it still has not been won. The ranks of al-Qaeda on 9/11/2001 were estimated to be 20,000 worldwide. Today our intelligence sources say it is up to 50,000 and growing. The trend is in the wrong direction.

We wanted to turn the light out on al-Qaeda when we voted for that resolution. We wanted to capture Osama bin Laden. We wanted to say to the world: You will pay if you attack the United States.

But today we are still fighting, and the commanders in Afghanistan tell us we are not doing as well as we should. We need more military forces. We need more of an effort.

Sadly, we may be losing that war, and we cannot afford to lose that war. Just a few months ago this administration announced it was disbanded the intelligence agency that was going to hunt down Osama bin Laden. Again, the Senators from North Dakota, Mr. CONRAD and Mr. DORGAN, came to the floor last week and offered an amendment that was adopted unanimously to refund that effort to go after Osama bin Laden.

I don’t believe capturing him will end the war on terrorism. I don’t think it will guarantee Americans are safe, but it certainly is something we should do as a Nation.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. ISAKSON). If the distinguished minority whip will cease for a second, the previous Presiding Officer of the Senate did not announce what should have been announced, which is, under the previous order, there will be a period for the transaction of morning business, which has been permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent for 5 additional minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I know my colleague is waiting to speak, so I will hold myself to that 5 minutes.

When we came back after 9/11, we gathered together on a bipartisan effort. We passed the PATRIOT Act. We initiated this military effort against those who attacked us on 9/11. There was a true spirit of bipartisanship.

Sadly, things broke down. They broke down in Iraq, and they haven’t gotten well since. The President’s decision to move forward with the invasion of Iraq with Great Britain by our side, but really with the American troops in the forefront, with the American taxpayers paying the bill, has divided us as a Nation. A majority of Americans today question whether that was the right decision. I question whether that was the right decision. I was one of 23 who voted against going to war. I did not believe the intelligence supported it.

Events that have happened since—we have lost 2,671 of our best and bravest and finest American soldiers. They have given their lives in the war in Iraq; 19,000 or more have returned seriously injured. We have spent over $320 billion. We spend anywhere from $1.5 billion to $3 billion a week on a war with no end in sight.

We want this administration’s effort to redefine torture to abandon the Geneva Conventions that we had stood by for decades. We saw the scandals of Abu Ghraib and Guantánamo.

Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., a noted historian, said the issue of torture has damaged the image of America in the world more than anything in our history. That is a sweeping statement from a man who has spent his life measuring history and its impact on the world. He believes torture under the Bush-Cheney administration has damaged our reputation more than anything in our history.

Thank you, Senator. Senator JOHN MccAIN stood up and rallied us on a bipartisan basis by a vote of 90 to 9 to say torture is not part of America’s policy. Yet again we are debating this issue, as the Bush administration comes to us and says: When it comes to this treatment of detainees, we cannot stand by the Geneva Conventions. We have to redefine it. And they go further.

The Bush administration insists that if anyone in the administration has been guilty of wrongdoing—the use of torture, cruel, inhuman, degrading treatment—they should be absolved from any criminal liability. What does that say to the world about our standards for what we believe in?

I am glad GEN Colin Powell spoke out yesterday. He hit the nail on the head. If this is a moral position we are taking to opposing terror, we cannot support the President’s proposal for the treatment of detainees. I salute, again, the four Republicans who stood up yesterday in the Armed Services Committee and had the courage to speak up and say there is a better way. There is a better way to protect America and not lose our values.

I hope we listen to them when we bring this bipartisan measure to the Senate floor. The same thing happened in the Senate Judiciary Committee. We are adrift when it comes to this wiretapping issue. We reported out three different bills. The committee couldn’t make up its mind.

On one of the bills, we said to the President: You are Commander in Chief. You have the power to do what you wish. Another bill that Senator FEINSTEIN has introduced, which I support and is bipartisan, says take the FISA law, the bill that governs wiretapping, gives the President the time he needs to do what is right, go after al-Qa’ida, wiretap his conversations, but before he does it in the framework of the law. The Feinstein bipartisan approach is a sensible approach. It is one that honors the tradition of the rule of law in America.

We have two bipartisan approaches now to the treatment of detainees, as reported by the Armed Services Committee and to wiretapping as reported from the Judiciary Committee with the Feinstein amendment. Let’s return to that spirit of 9/11/2001. Let’s return to bipartisan compromise, done right and get it done right. At least we will be able to point to that achievement as this Congress draws to a close.

I salute my Republican colleagues who stood up for principle and values that we all should share in America. I hope this administration over the weekend will reevaluate their position. I hope they will move forward with us in a bipartisan fashion to make America safe but do it the American way.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from North Dakota.

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, are we in morning business?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. I advise the Senator the Senate is in morning business, with speeches limited to 10 minutes.

INDIAN HEALTH CARE

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I want to speak about a subject that is very important dealing with Indian health. I believe there will be an attempt to
move legislation by consent in the Senate dealing with the reauthorization of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act. We have had difficulty moving that legislation. Senator MCCAIN and I and others worked on completing the legislation, but it was not completed. My hope is that it can move through the Senate without objection today.

I want to describe why it is important because in this country, there is a lot of noise about a lot of issues. People talk about the noise of democracy. Sometimes that noise of democracy is a very welcome noise and wonderful sound, but there are other times when it is shrill and partisan and the noise of democracy is not such a comfortable noise.

In the midst of all the discussions about all of these issues, there are day-to-day issues that affect peoples’ lives, especially health care. On Indian reservations in this country, there is a very sharp edge with regard to health care. We have a trust responsibility for Indians and their health care. We don’t meet that responsibility very well.

There is wholesale rationing going on with respect to health care for American Indians. Nobody likes to talk about it. Around 60 percent of health care needs of American Indians are being met, and about 40 percent of the needs are unmet. That estimate comes from the Indian Health Service. It is not one they advertise, but it is one you can pry out of them if you are persistent enough.

We spend twice as much money per person on health care for Federal prisoners than we do to support our trust responsibility for health care for American Indians.

Let me say that again. We have a responsibility for the health care of Federal prisoners because they are incarcerated. We spend twice as much per person for their health care than we do to provide the health care that we are responsible to provide to American Indians. There is not be a sense of pride for anybody in this Chamber or the other Chamber who is involved in the discussions about these issues.

Let me describe, if I might, through the stories of a couple of Native Americans, what they face with respect to health care and why there is such an urgency to pass the Indian Health Care Improvement Act. That reauthorization is long overdue, and I hope it will be acted on today in the Senate.

Let me tell you about an 80-year-old elder who is a diabetic and living on an Indian reservation. This 80-year-old diabetic fell while tending to her garden and broke her leg in two places. The break of her leg caused a bone sticking out of her ankle. This 80-year-old woman went to the hospital. The doctor sent her home with painkillers.

She then went to another hospital and was told the condition was not priority 1, which means “life or limb,” and, therefore, she was not able to get care.

She went to a third hospital, limped in, and finally received some care—the third stop, with a bone sticking out of her leg.

What is this “life or limb”? It means that under what is called contract care for American Indians—one tribal chairman on a reservation in my State said, we understand: Don’t get sick after June. If you do, there is not any money available to you. If it is not “life or limb,” if it doesn’t threaten your life or limb, we are sorry, you are out of luck; no help for you.

Another American Indian with diabetes called in for a prescription drug refill for insulin. He was told he should come in and get blood work done first. It was 2 weeks before he could get that blood work. So he was without the insulin he needed for almost 2 weeks. As a result, this person will likely require dialysis because he couldn’t get his prescription filled in a timely manner.

This is a picture of a woman who said it was I right to use her picture. Her name is Lida Bearstail. Lida Bearstail went to a clinic because of knee pain. Her condition was one in which the cartilage had worn away in her knee so that the bones in her knee were rubbing against each other, causing her great pain and great discomfort.

If that happened to us or one of our families with our health care system, my guess is a doctor would recommend knee replacement surgery, and we would go in and have some knee replacement surgery. But her pain and her limb and the cartilage being gone and bone rubbing against bone was not deemed life or limb or a “priority 1” medical condition. She was just given pain medication. She tried a second time. Again denied.

The question is: What would happen with us? We would get knee surgery. What happens to Lida Bearstail? She can’t get her knee医疗, and probably someday will not be able to walk. Knee surgery is not in her future. It is not life or limb, not a priority, not a priority for Lida.

Let me describe the circumstances of another woman. And she also has given me permission to use her picture. This is Ardel Hale Baker. I want to tell you about Ardel Hale Baker. A couple of months ago, she had chest pain—very serious chest pain—that wouldn’t quit. Her blood pressure was very high. She went to the Indian Health Service clinic, and she was diagnosed as having a heart attack. She needed to be sent immediately to the nearest major hospital.

They said: You need to go in an ambulance.

She said: Is there a chance I can go in something other than an ambulance? She was thinking—she was having a heart attack, but she was thinking: “I am going to get billed for this and I don’t have any money,” because if you are not “priority 1,” you may end up having to pay the bill. And if you don’t have the money, it ruins your credit rating and credit companies come after you.

Anyway, she said: I would not like to go in an ambulance. Can I go in a private vehicle?

They said: No, you have to go in an ambulance.

When she arrived at the hospital, the nursing staff was lifting her off the gurney and putting her on a hospital bed. As they lifted Ardel Hale Baker off the gurney, they found something taped to her leg. This woman was having a heart attack, and they found a piece of paper—this piece of paper—taped to her leg.

It had her name on it. It says: Hale, Ardel. Then it says: “You have received outpatient medical services. This letter is to inform you your priority I care cannot be paid for due to funding issues.”

So this woman is taken off a gurney to be placed on a hospital bed, having a heart attack, and a hospital worker finds a piece of paper taped to her leg saying: Oh, by the way, this isn’t “priority I.” This won’t be paid for.

This sort of incident is unbelievable, and it is going on in this country in Third World conditions on Indian reservations because that is available—or should be available—is not available under the circumstances in which it is needed. Again, we have a tribal chairman that has said in public hearings in the Congress: “On our reservations, we cannot pay the bills for other sick after June because the money has run out and you are not going to get health care.” So we have a woman going into a hospital with a paper taped to her leg saying, having a heart attack, that says, “We are sorry, this won’t be paid for.”

If ever there is an understanding of the need for fast reauthorization of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, that ought to be it.

I expect Senator MCCAIN and I and others have worked for months on this piece of legislation—in fact, for several years on this piece of legislation—I expect all of us share the same hope today; that the Senate will have advanced this measure and given some hope to people who are waiting for improvement in health care on Indian reservations. In too many cases, there are people who feel left out, left behind. They feel hopeless and helpless.

I have spoken on this floor about a young girl named Avis Littlewind. Avis Littlewind was 14 years old when she killed herself. She, like others, as we find clusters of teenage suicides on reservations, apparently felt there was no hope. She laid in her bed for 90 days, missing 90 days of school. Her sister committed suicide 2 years prior to that.

The fact is, when you go talk to these kids—and I have, I talked to Avis Littlewind’s classmates. I went to the Standing Rock Reservation and talked to a group of kids with no other adults present. They were high school kids, and I talked to them about their lives.

There was a cluster of teenage suicides
on that reservation. We understand that just the basics we expect don’t exist for them—the basic mental health treatment. When they reach out, there is no one there. One woman was in tears as she said: We don’t have proper medical treatment. We don’t have it—here, we order to transport a kid who needs to see a professional, I would need to borrow a car. I would need to beg somebody to give me a car to take them to someone who can see them.

That is what is going on. This country can do better than that. We have a responsibility for these health care needs. My hope is that today the Senate will agree by unanimous consent to pass the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, the reauthorization that is so long overdue.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

UNITED STATES-OMAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT IMPLEMENTATION ACT

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, on behalf of the Republican leader, I now ask that the Senate proceed to Calendar No. 565, H.R. 5684, as provided for under the order of September 14, 2006; provided further that at the conclusion of my remarks on H.R. 5684, the Senate proceed to a period of morning business with Senators permitted to speak up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICIAL. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the bill by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 5684) to implement the United States-Oman Free Trade Agreement.

The PRESIDING OFFICIAL. Under the previous order, the Senator from North Dakota controls up to 60 minutes.

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, that probably isn’t good news to some, but that is the way it is. I want to talk about the Oman Free Trade Agreement.

It is unbelievable to me that in a week in which there was an announcement, on Thursday morning, that the trade deficit for 1 month has now reached $68 billion—a $68 billion 1-month trade deficit—in that week we bring to the floor of the U.S. Senate the Oman Free Trade Agreement. We must have a bowling alley in our brain or something. The Oman Free Trade Agreement. What on Earth can be rattling around in the collective brains of public servants? Well, maybe I should modify that. Maybe I shouldn’t be quite so harsh.

Look, we are up to our necks. We are choking as a country on trade deficits. Last year it was $500 billion a year; that’s 1 million a day every single day. We don’t owe that to ourselves, as you can make the case with respect to the budget deficits. We owe that to other countries, and we are going to have to repay it. Over one-half is owed to the Chinese and the Japanese. Yet, interestingly enough, when it is announced this week that we have a $88 billion monthly trade deficit, the highest in history, this Congress, right through it, yawned right on through it, snored through it. So did the White House. Did you hear anybody talk about it? No. I came to speak about it briefly, but the fact is, it doesn’t matter. Be has got. It is OK. It will be better tomorrow. In fact, let’s do more of the same. Let’s bring another free-trade agreement to the floor of the Senate.

We are now negotiating nine of them—nine new trade agreements. This free-trade stuff—you know, the next time I hear people use that term—and it is used all the time—free trade, I will want to put a robe on them and get them on a street corner and give them one of those cymbals and they can chant, it is free trade. It means nothing to me.

What means something to me is fair trade. Yes, I believe in trade, and plenty of it. Let’s expand in trade. Let’s demand as a country that it be fair. I do not want to see us give up on the agreements we have on tens of examples in which we sell out this country’s interests in trade negotiations. I am not going to go through all of that today because I am going to talk about this so-called Oman Free Trade Agreement. As well, as I reserve a portion of my 60 minutes, come back Monday and provide the rest of the demonstration of how bankrupt our trade strategy has become and how determined virtually all of those who support it are to ignore the bankruptcy of that policy.

On June 29 of this year, we sent the Oman Free Trade Agreement from this Chamber, and now it comes back in the form of a conference report. Oh there are lots of things in the world that we probably ought to talk a little bit about. We could talk about Iraq, perhaps North Korea, Iran, or terrorism. We have enormous foreign policy challenges, unlike any we have ever seen in our lifetimes. We can talk about domestic policies such as energy prices. We could talk about rising health care costs. We could talk about the fact that the Federal Government is going to borrow on fiscal policy, budget policy, that we borrow to $600 billion in the upcoming year. The Federal Government is going to borrow from foreign countries in trade debt somewhere close to $500 billion. That is well over $1.3 trillion in 1 year, or 10 percent of the entire GDP of this country.

Nobody seems very alarmed about that. They don’t want to talk about it even. We could talk about all of those things, and perhaps we should. That ought to be the bull’s-eye of public policy in terms of doing what we should do in matters that are important, but are we doing that? No. No, we are not doing that. We don’t quite have time to do that. We have to deal with the Oman Free Trade Agreement.

Let me tell my colleagues, there are about 400 organizations across this country that oppose this free-trade agreement; organized labor, community workers, the Wilderness Society, Friends of the Earth, League of Rural Voters, National Farmers Union, the Presbyterian Church, the Sierra Club, the United Methodist Church, United Students Against Sweatshops, the Western Organization of Resource Councils. So it is a pretty significant group of interests around this country that oppose this trade agreement. Not that they have anything against the country of Oman; most of us have never been to Oman. It is just that this country has a responsibility to start fixing the massive problems it has created in previous trade agreements before negotiating new ones. As I said, there are nine being negotiated, and they are all going to come through here, and we will have our Democratic Members of the Senate deciding that.

Before they come, do you know what we would like to do? We would like to put a straightjacket on ourselves so that we can be prevented from offering amendments. God only have an original thought and actually offer an amendment to improve a free-trade agreement. We will do something called fast track and prevent ourselves from offering any amendments. So that is what we would like to do.

Let me tell my colleagues about the ugly side of free trade, if I might. It is called sweatshops, sweatshops in Jordan. This is from the New York Times, by the way. It says that we did a free-trade agreement with Jordan, which is the only trade agreement done under the Clinton administration, the only trade agreement that had labor protections in the agreement—the only one. Oman doesn’t, and none of the others do. But this one did have protections for workers in the agreement, which I very strongly support.

But let me tell my colleagues about workers in Jordan. Despite the fact this trade agreement with Jordan actually had protections for workers, here is what was happening in Jordan. We had people coming over to Jordan, being sent over to Jordan from Bangladesh and from other very poor countries, and they were working in sweatshops. They were promised $120 a month and, in some cases, they were hardly paid at all. One worker was paid $50 for 5 months of work. At some factories, 40-hour shifts were common.

Let me say that again. Not 40-hour workweeks—40-hour shifts. So we had people not being paid, or being paid miserably poor wages, and being worked 40-hour shifts. There were frequent beatings of workers who complained. And these factories in Jordan were flying in plane loads of workers from countries south of us to work in slave-like conditions. Then they fly in Chinese materials, in this case textiles, to those same factories,
and what you end up with is workers who are working, in many cases, 120 hours a week, turning Chinese cloth into clothing to be sent to the United States.

So the consumer says: Isn’t that nice. Well, it is not. The consumer doesn’t understand where it is made and the conditions under which it is made. If it happened here today, we would get law enforcement, drive down the street, open those factories’ doors and ask who were employing those people. We have already heard these examples which have been highly embarrassing to people who want to market products made in sweatshops. We all heard Kathy Lee Gifford cry when her products were made in sweatshops, and even Puff Daddy, I guess he was embarrassed when his products were made in sweatshops. We all heard Natasha Humphreys, a young woman I spoke to on the phone, she was also working. She is a young African-American woman who went to Stanford. She got her college degree and then went to work for Palm Pilot. By the way, her last job at Palm Pilot before she lost her job over there from the fact that they were importing Indian who would work for one-fifth of the price. So my point is, it is not just manufacturing and textiles, it is high tech, it is many other jobs as well.

In fact, there are some leading economists—products being produced in sweatshops under slave-like conditions, and because they support that they objected to this amendment and demanded the amendment be dropped, an amendment which was passed unanimously in a mock markup? It is unbelievable to me, what is going on around here.

Let me mention something else. As you know, earlier this year we had a situation where a company owned by the United Arab Emirates, called Dubai Ports World, got the contract to manage American ports. This contract to manage America’s seaports became very controversial. I was involved in it because I don’t think we ought to have a company owned by the United Arab Emirates managing our seaports. Now, contrary to many others, let me talk about Oman for a moment. Oman is a country of 3 million people. One-half of the people—excuse me—one-half of 1 million of those people are actually foreign guest workers. And the majority of Oman’s workers involved in manufacturing or construction. The majority of workers are foreigners brought in from Bangladesh and Sri Lanka, Indonesia, under labor contracts to work construction and factories.

So here is what the 2004 U.S. State Department said about human rights in Oman. Our U.S. State Department cited the country of Oman for cases of forced labor, and in 2004 put out this 2004 report on human rights in Oman:

The law prohibits forced or compulsory labor, including of children. However, there were reports of such practices occurring. The government did not investigate or enforce the law effectively. Foreign workers at times were placed in situations amounting to forced labor.

So we now do a free-trade agreement with Oman. It doesn’t matter to us. Whatever happens, happens, I guess. By the way, the Sultan of Oman, 2½ years ago, issued a Sultanic decree which categorically states the right of workers to organize. So we are going to sign up to a free-trade agreement with them. The Sultan of Oman, however, has written to the USTR and promised he will improve labor laws by October of this year—coincidentally, 1 month after we vote on the Oman Free Trade Agreement.

The Finance Committee of the Senate held a mock markup, I guess it was. They called it a mock markup; they marked up the Oman Free Trade Agreement. When they did that, Senators Conrad and Bingaman offered an amendment that said sweatshop products made in Oman under slave-like conditions would not be allowed under the Oman trade deal. That passed unanimously. But this trade deal which is before the Senate now, just a couple of months later, doesn’t have that. It just got dropped. Is there somebody out there who is supporting having products coming in made under conditions we like conditions in Oman? Is that how it got dropped? If that is so, who is that? Might we have their names? Is there anybody in this Chamber, anybody in the Senate who would volunteer that they support having products being produced in sweatshops under slave-like conditions, and because they support that they objected to this amendment and demanded the amendment be dropped, an amendment which was passed unanimously in a mock markup? It is unbelievable to me, what is going on around here.

There were objections. There was a firestorm of objection from the Congress Republicans and Democrats. The President said: I endorse the takeover of the shipping operations at six major U.S. seaports, and he pledged to veto any bill Congress might approve that would block the agreement. He said: If you pass a bill that says the UAE company, Dubai Ports World, can’t manage seaports, I am going to veto the bill. But then they got, I think, Dubai Ports World to agree to back away somehow. They have not yet divested, but they are trying to find someone else to manage these ports.

But I want to make sure everybody understands. In this free-trade agreement—here is what is in the free-trade agreement with Oman, and it has been in previous free-trade agreements as well. There is a provision in this agreement that says we cannot block Oman from acquiring something. Under the free-trade agreement, we cannot block Oman from acquiring landside aspects of port activities, including operation and maintenance of docks, loading and unloading of vessels, marine cargo handling, and customs. In this free-trade agreement, we have a provision that protects, for example, Oman’s right to be managing America’s seaports.
I don’t understand how this works. Maybe somebody looking at me and listening to me will say: Hey, you don’t. But I really don’t understand the logic of putting in a free-trade agreement preferred activities that say we are going to protect the right of Oman to run America’s seaports. He is wrong about that; he is just dead wrong. He needs to rethink that position. But if we have a President who already said: I believe we ought to have a foreign company run America’s seaports, and it is fine with me whether it is the UAE or no company here that is a failsafe provision so that the President will decide: OK, I understand it is in the free-trade agreement they can do that, but I am going to invoke some sort of national security objection.

My sense is that most people do not know this is here, or if they know it is here, either they do not care or they think it is fine, to turn over the ports in New York and New Jersey and Florida and Louisiana to foreign control, foreign management.

We have spent a lot of time here this week talking about port security. I think we have 7 million containers coming into this country on container ships. We are going to spend $10 billion this year, roughly, to protect us against an incoming missile with a nuclear warhead on it. We are worried about a rogue nation or terrorist group acquiring an intercontinental ballistic missile and putting a nuclear weapon on the tip, so we are trying to create a catcher’s mitt, in effect trying to hit a bullet with a bullet. We are going to spend $10 billion this year.

The most likely threat, of course, is that one of the 7 million containers that comes into this country has in it a weapon of mass destruction, perhaps a stolen nuclear weapon from the Russian arsenal, and it pulls up to an American dock—not at 17,000 miles an hour, but at 3 or 2 miles an hour and it pulls up to a pier at a major American city and we have a detonation of a nuclear weapon. That is far more likely than having a rogue nation or terrorist group acquire an ICBM. But we spend pennies on that and spend billions of dollars trying to create a catcher’s mitt, trying to hit a bullet with a bullet in the sky. It is beyond me how this fits any thoughtful logic, and it is beyond me how this provision in a trade agreement provides individuality for the security at all. It does not. It injures this country’s opportunity for good security, in my opinion.

This trade agreement really needs to be defeated. It will probably not be because we don’t have thoughtful debates. We try and large have pretty thoughtless minidebates about trade these days. But I think one of these days, when the trade deficit is another billion just for the month, $800 billion a year—one of these days, the American people are going to say: Wait a second, doesn’t that mean we are selling our country? And the answer is yes. Today is Friday. We will import from other countries about $2 billion more in value of property than we will export to other countries. That means we will pay for that by putting currency in the hands of others. Over half of it will be in the hands of the Chinese and Japanese. With that currency, they then buy part of our country—stocks, bonds, companies, real estate. We are literally selling part of our country every single day to the tune of $2 billion a day.

The other day, from Warren Buffet and many others who understand that this is unsustainable. Two years ago, Alan Greenspan said that when you reach 5 percent of your GDP as a trade deficit, you are reaching a point at which you are unsustainable and are going to cause serious economic harm. Now we are well over that. He was right then, and he is right now.

We have to take action. This country has to stop and begin to take action. You can’t continue to sell part of your country. You can’t consume 6 percent more than you produce year after year and then pay foreigners to produce it for us and put it in their hands, currency with which they buy this country.

I noticed the other day that we sold Wham-O to the Chinese. It is probably not a big deal—Hula Hoops, Frisbees, and Slip ‘N Slide. Every kid knows Hula Hoops. But if you take a look at what is being transferred from this country—our assets, company after company—to the Chinese and then you evaluate whether this is sustainable and whether this is the course on which America wants to remain, the answer should be no.

I haven’t talked much about jobs. I will do that, I believe, on Monday prior to the time we will have a vote on the Oman Free Trade Agreement. But this is such an important health and strength of our country. No country will long remain a world economic power without a strong manufacturing base, and no country will remain a world economic power without being able to expand its middle-class people. We have done that in a century. We have expanded the middle class and created economic growth unlike we have ever seen on this Earth. And now we are beginning to see that taken apart, people having more trouble finding a job that pays well. We are now seeing American workers being told: You are going to compete against others who will work for 30 cents an hour. That means lower wages for you. We require higher productivity: we are going to give you lower wages and less retirement security.

It takes apart the social compact we built in this country, that expansion of the middle class which created the economic expansion which created the greatest economy on the face of this Earth.

For all those reasons, this is a horrible trade agreement. I just touched on a part of it. I will finish on Monday. This is another chapter in a sad book of failures that no one wants to read very carefully. But my hope is that one of these days this Senate will wake up, this Congress will wake up, this President will wake up and decide: No more free-trade agreements. Let’s fix the problems we have created before we need a new one.

I believe we ought to have a foreign company run America’s seaports. But this President has already said: Yes, but this President has already said: We are going to protect the right of Oman to run America’s seaports. I believe we ought to have a foreign company run America’s seaports. But this President has already said: Yes, but this President has already said: If the President determines that the in-
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Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I would like to dispel claims being put forward by some that the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement will threaten national security. These critics of the agreement claim that it will give foreign port operators an absolute right to establish or acquire operations to run port facilities in the United States. They contend that, in this way, the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement could harm our national security. These claims are just plain wrong. First of all, the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement provides no new rights for Omanis or others to supply port-related services in the United States. Oman companies are presently able to supply such services. The Oman agreement simply mirrors current U.S. law. So it is incorrect for anyone to claim that this trade agreement creates new rights for Omanis or others to contract with U.S. port operators to perform port services.

In contrast, U.S. law specifically the Exon-Florio amendment to the Defense Production Act of 1950—authorizes the President to block foreign investment that would threaten our national security, including investments in port operations. The President delegates the authority to monitor and review foreign investments to the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States, or CFIUS. This free-trade agreement will not change the process of CFIUS in reviewing proposed investments in port operations. Thus, if the President determines that the investments of Oman or other countries in port services in the United States...
will threaten our national security, U.S. law provides that he can block such investments. The U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement in no way changes this existing law.

Moreover, the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement at article 21.2 contains a "national security" exception. This article provides that nothing in the agreement can prevent the United States from taking any action that we consider necessary to protect our essential security interests. This exception, which means that solely the United States can decide what constitutes our essential security interests. Contrary to the claims of some critics, neither an investor-state arbitration tribunal nor a dispute settlement panel has the authority to second-guess what the United States determines to be in its essential security interest. After all, once the United States invokes the national security exemption, there’s nothing for a tribunal or panel to review.

So it is clear that the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement doesn’t diminish the right of the United States to determine whether to block any foreign investment in our country, including in port services. It is also clear that the Oman trade agreement doesn’t create any new rights for Oman to supply port related services in the United States. Anyone who says otherwise is ignoring the facts.

The U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement will benefit the United States. It will not pose security threats for the United States. If it did, I wouldn’t be supporting it. I urge my colleagues to vote for H.R. 5684, the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act.

I yield the floor.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the provisions of the Senate the Senate will return to morning business.

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

FEDERAL FUNDING ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY ACT

Mr. OBAMA. Mr. President, today marks a victory for government transparency and a victory for democratic accountability. By passing the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006, the House of Representatives and the Senate have brought a little much-needed sunlight to the world of government spending.

This bill requires the Office of Management and Budget to ensure that a

free, searchable Web site is available to Americans to access information about Federal grants, contracts, loans, and other financial assistance. The Web site will make public important oversight information including the name and location of an entity receiving an award, the purpose of the funding, the amount of funding, the type of transaction, the primary location of performance under the award, the funding agency, and any other relevant information specified by the Office of Management and Budget. Existing Federal databases, such as the Federal Procurement Data System, FPDS, the Federal Assistance Award Data System, FAADS, and Grants.gov, contain other public information that may also be made available through the new Web site.

Current law requires that certain information related to the use of competitive or noncompetitive procedures in procurements be included in FPDS. The legislation to approve the competition information for each procurement transaction, including the extent to which the procurement was subject to competitive procedures, the type of solicitation procedure used, whether the procurement was awarded using a socioeconomic program set-aside, and, when applicable, the reason for a noncompetitive procurement. This information is available to the public through FPDS.

It is my expectation that the Office of Management and Budget will ensure that all relevant information on the use of competitive or noncompetitive procedures will be included in the information made available through the Web site. Since the collection of this information is already mandated and the information is made publicly available, its inclusion on the searchable, user-friendly Web site should not cause any additional burden or complexity.

Mr. President, I would like to ask my friend from Oklahoma and the author of this legislation, who has tirelessly fought to improve government accountability, if he shares my expectation that the new Web site will include information on the use of competitive procedures.

Mr. COBURN. I thank the Senator from Illinois. I share his understanding of this legislation and also his expectation that the Web site will include information about the use of competitive procedures.

Mr. OBAMA. I thank the Senator from Oklahoma for his leadership on this issue. I am grateful for our partnership to improve the efficiency and accountability of government services. I am confident that our efforts to reduce the use of noncompetitive procedures will save taxpayer money and improve the quality of contracted goods and services.

I would also like to inquire of the distinguished chair and ranking member of the Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee who were instrumental in bringing this legislation through committee and ensuring its passage by the Senate.

I would ask Madam Chairman, if it is her expectation that information on the use of competitive or noncompetitive procedures for the financial transactions reported on the Web site created through the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 will be available on the Web site, so that citizens will have straightforward access to comprehensive information on federal awards?

Ms. COLLINS. I would assure the Senator from Illinois that that is indeed my expectation.

Mr. OBAMA. I thank the distinguished chairman of the Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee. The American people are well served by her leadership and the diligence of her excellent staff. Let me further note that all of us are well served by the productive working relationship that the chairman shares with the ranking member. The bipartisan nature of this bill is a testament not only to the broad support for the idea itself but also to the cooperative manner in which the Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee conducts its business.

So let me ask the committee’s ranking member and my good friend from the State of Connecticut, who has long been a champion of good government and transparency, whether he shares my understanding that this new Web site will include information on the competitive or noncompetitive procedures used in government contracting for goods and services.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I agree with the Senator from Illinois. I do expect that publicly available information about the procurement procedures used in government contracting will be made available through the Web site.

Mr. OBAMA. I thank the Senator from Connecticut. It has been my privilege to work with him on this issue. Transparency is the first step to holding government accountable. We can’t reduce waste, fraud, and abuse or improve fairness and efficiency without knowing how, where, and why Federal money is being spent. And we cannot ensure that Americans are getting the best quality and the best prices on government contracts without being able to evaluate the types of procedures used to procure goods and services. Whether you believe the government ought to spend more money or spend less, we can all agree that the government ought to spend every penny efficiently and transparently. If the procedures by which the government spends money or those expenditures themselves, can’t withstand public scrutiny, then the taxpayers’ money shouldn’t be spent. The American people deserve no less.
HONORING OUR ARMED FORCES

MARINE CORPORAL JORDAN PIERSON

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I rise to speak in honor of U.S. Marine Corps Corporal Jordan C. Pierson, of Milford, CT, who was killed in Iraq on August 25, 2006. He was 21 years old.

Corporal Pierson, a member of Charlie Company, 1st Battalion, 25th Marine Regiment, died from hostile gunfire while on foot patrol in Fallujah, Iraq.

Corporal Pierson joined the U.S. Marine Corps in December 2003, just a few months after graduating from South A. Foran High School in Milford. He was adamant about his decision to join the Marines. As a young man who loved the military from an early age, Jordan found his calling in the principles and discipline of the Marine Corps. He postponed his studies at the University of Connecticut, where he was taking courses in business and psychology, to serve in Iraq. During his deployment he was wounded by shrapnel from an insurgent grenade and was awarded the Purple Heart. After treatment at Camp Fallujah, he bravely returned to combat, exhibiting great dedication to his fellow marines and his Nation.

Jordan’s positive spirit and selflessness were an inspiration to all those around him, whether friends, family, or the men and women he served with in the Marine Corps. He represented the very best features of American patriotism. Among his fellow marines, his enthusiasm was contagious, and he often reminded them of the difference they were making in Iraq. To his childhood friends, he was a refuge in times of trouble and a constant source of entertainment. Never forgetting about the family he left behind, Jordan sent money home every month without ever being asked.

People like Corporal Pierson make it possible for us to live each and every day in freedom, peace, and security. They did not do what they did as a career; they did it as a calling. They did it out of love and patriotism. They are the best of America. They have made the ultimate sacrifice to protect their fellow citizens and our Nation, and we must never forget their heroic service.

So today I salute the courage and commitment of U.S. Marine Corporal Pierson, a young man who lived his life to the fullest and died fulfilling the noblest of callings, defending our Nation and the values we hold dear. I offer my deepest sympathies and support to his parents, Eric and Beverly, his brother Ethan, and to everyone who knew and loved him.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

TRIBUTE TO DR. ROBERT H. LAMB

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, today I pay special tribute to a wonderful man, exceptionally gifted physician, and loving husband, father, and friend—Robert H. Lamb, M.D.

I am sad to note that Dr. Lamb recently passed away at his home in Utah, leaving a tremendous void in the lives of all who knew him. Dr. Lamb dedicated his life to the practice of medicine and healing. His example of service and dedication to the health and well-being of people across Utah was truly extraordinary.

Dr. Lamb received his medical degree from Jefferson University in Philadelphia and began his medical service as an intern at the Pennsylvania Hospital. His long and storied medical career included his service as a general surgical resident at the original St. Mark’s Hospital in Salt Lake City, followed by service in the U.S. Army as the chief of the surgical service in the Station Hospital in Fort Hood, TX.

After his release from the Army, he went on to work as a resident orthopedic surgeon at the Cleveland Clinic in Cleveland, OH. In 1951, he moved his young family back to Utah, where he began his medical practice which encompassed work first at Shriner’s Hospital, then the University of Utah Medical Center and St. Mark’s Hospital.

During his professional life, he served in many leadership positions, including the president of the medical staff of St. Mark’s Hospital and Shriner’s Hospital; as a board of trustees member of St. Mark’s Hospital, and later as the chairman of the board of St. Mark’s. Until his death, he continued to serve as a lifetime honorary ex-officio member of the St. Mark’s board of trustees.

Dr. Lamb was not only dedicated to his patients, he was dedicated to the field of medicine. He spent years tutoring and mentoring future medical professionals and helped train some of Utah’s finest doctors. The knowledge and enthusiasm he shared with all those who had the privilege of working with him is contagious, and will inspire many future physicians and other dedicated medical personnel.

Many of Dr. Lamb’s patients have described him as “gentle”, “caring”, and “dedicated”—all sentiments I share. I am grateful to him for his dedication to medicine.

The respected English philosopher and poet Samuel Taylor Coleridge beautifully described what many feel regarding Dr. Lamb’s dedication and service to the betterment of mankind. He is the best physician who is the most ingenious inspirer of hope.” Dr. Lamb always treated his patients with utmost care and respect, and above all else he always strived to inspire hope. He was not only a great physician, but he was also a dedicated husband, father, and grandfather. I know that his wife Mary, and his 5 children, 10 grandchildren, and 5 great-grandchildren will miss him deeply. My greatest hope is that they will be able to find peace and comfort from the memories they have of his exceptional life.

I am grateful that I had the privilege of knowing Dr. Robert Lamb. His life’s work has touched literally hundreds of Utahns, and his memory will live on through the better health and well-being he imparted to many. The lessons he taught and the service he performed will leave an indelible imprint which will inspire and teach generations to come.

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Ms. Evans, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

The nominations received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

The following enrolled bills, previously signed by the Speaker of the House, were signed today, September 15, 2006, by the President pro tempore (Mr. STEVENS):

S. 1773. An act to resolve certain Native American claims in New Mexico, and for other purposes.


S. 2968. An act to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in commemo-ration of the bicentennial of the birth of Abraham Lincoln.

At 11:13 a.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Hays, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 2665. An act to amend title 18, United States Code, to require Federal Prison Industries to compete for its contracts minimizing its unfair competition with private sector firms and their non-inmate workers and empowering Federal agencies to get the best value for taxpayers’ dollars, to provide a five-year period during which Federal Prison Industries adjusts to obtaining inmate work opportunities through other than its mandatory status, to enhance inmate access to remedial and vocational opportunities and other rehabilitative opportunities to better prepare inmates for a successful return to society, to authorize alternative inmate work opportunities in support of non-profit organizations and other public service programs, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6033. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1965 36th Street in Woodside, New York, as the “Thomas J. Manton Post Office Building”.

The message also announced that the House agreed to the resolution (H. Res.
1011) requesting the Senate to return to the House the bill (H.R. 503) to amend the Horse Protection Act to prohibit the shipping, transporting, moving, delivering, receiving, possessing, purchasing, selling, or donation of horses and other equines to be slaughtered for human consumption, and for other purposes.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. STEVENS, from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 3679. A bill to authorize appropriations for the National Transportation Safety Board, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 109-335).

By Mr. GRASSLEY, from the Committee on Finance, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute and an amendment to the title:

S. 1221. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the excise tax on telephone and other communications (Rept. No. 109-336).

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mrs. DOLE:

S. 3903. A bill to redesignate the Special Textile Negotiator of the United States Trade Representative as Chief Textile Negotiator and confer the rank of Ambassador upon that position, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. BAUCUS:

S. 3904. A bill to extend the generalized system of preferences program under the Trade Act of 1974, to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, to extend certain trade preferences under the African Growth and Opportunity Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Ms. CANTWELL (for herself and Mrs. MURRAY):

S. 3905. A bill to adjust the boundary of the Minidoka Interment National Monument to include the Nidoto Nai Yoni Memorial in Bainbridge Island, Washington, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Ms. COLLINS (for herself and Mr. HARKIN):

S. 3906. A bill to amend chapter 89 of title 5, United States Code, to make individuals employed by the Roosevelt Campobello International Park Commission eligible to obtain Federal health insurance; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. DODD (for himself, Mr. ENISI, Mr. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. BURR, Mr. MIKULSKI, Mr. COCHRAN, Ms. MURRAY, Mr. SPECTER, Mr. REED, Mr. DOMENICI, Mrs. CLINTON, Ms. SNOWE, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. BURKETT, Mr. BURNS, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. REED, Mr. AKAKA, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. LUTENBERG, Mr. SALAZAR, Mr. KERRY, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. LIBBY, Mr. CARPER, Mr. KOHL, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. FYRST, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mrs. FEINSTEIN of California, Mr. INOUYE, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. MARTINEZ, and Mr. SCHUMER):


ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 1067

At the request of Mr. MCCAIN, the names of the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. DOMENICI) and the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI) were added as cosponsors of S. 1057, a bill to amend the Indian Health Care Improvement Act to revise and extend that Act.

S. 2269

At the request of Mr. GRASSLEY, the names of the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. AKAKA), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. BROWNBACK), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY), the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER), the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Wyden), the Senator from Florida (Mr. Nelson) and the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. Landrieu) were added as cosponsors of S. 2250, a bill to award a congressional gold medal to Dr. Norman E. Borlaug.

S. 2272

At the request of Mr. JOHNSON, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2272, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase the deduction for host families of foreign exchange and other students from $50 per month to $200 per month.

S. 2323

At the request of Mr. GRAHAM, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 2323, a bill to extend the temporary suspension of duty on certain high-performance loudspeakers.

S. 2324

At the request of Mr. GRAHAM, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 2324, a bill to suspend temporarily the duty on certain audio headphones.

S. 2325

At the request of Mr. GRAHAM, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 2325, a bill to reduce temporarily the duty on certain audio headphones achieving full-spectrum noise reduction.

S. 2339

At the request of Mr. GRAHAM, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 2330, a bill to extend the temporary suspension of duty on certain R-core transformers.

S. 2355

At the request of Mr. WYDEN, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Boxer) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2635, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the transportation fringe benefit to bicycle commuters.

S. 3887

At the request of Mr. DORGAN, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. Conrad) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3887, a bill to prohibit the Internal Revenue Service from using
In the spirit of bipartisan recognition that FDR was one of our greatest and most inspiring Presidents, I am delighted to be joined in this effort by Senator HARKIN. His endorsement of this bill is especially notable because he serves on the Roosevelt Campobello International Park Commission, and is thus very familiar with the difficulty of my Maine constituents employed at the Park.

The Roosevelt Campobello International Park was dedicated in 1964 as a unique memorial to former President Franklin D. Roosevelt. The Park is governed by a treaty between the United States and Canada, and is funded by both governments.

The Park employs approximately 10 full-time employees who are American citizens residing in Maine. Unfortunately, the treaty that governs the Park does not address the health insurance needs of individuals employed directly by Roosevelt Campobello International Park. As a result, the State Department issued an opinion in 1965 stating that those employed by the Park Commission, “shall be subject to the relevant Canadian labor laws.” Based on the State Department opinion, the Civil Service Commission, the predecessor of the Office of Personnel Management, has determined that the employees are not considered Federal employees eligible for FEHBP coverage.

The employees currently receive health insurance coverage through a small group plan negotiated by the Roosevelt Park Commission. The premiums are so high that they can no longer afford coverage.

The full-time employees are unique in their situation and should be included under the FEHBP for health insurance purposes. This would be a matter of fairness as well as compassion for those workers and their families. Full-time employees of other parks that share a border with Canada, like Glacier National Park, while technically the shared responsibility of both the United States and Canada, are eligible for coverage under the FEHBP.

In addition, the location of the Park makes it impractical for these employees to seek medical treatment in Canada even if the government allowed them to. We should encourage and help them to join the Canadian health system. The closest doctors and hospitals are in Maine, and the Park is only accessible from the United States.

If the treaty were negotiated today, health insurance would certainly be a part of the negotiations. The situation facing this small group of Roosevelt Campobello Park employees is a consequence of negotiations conducted when health insurance was not a standard employee benefit as it is today.

I hope that my colleagues will join me in supporting this legislation so that U.S. citizens maintaining the Park honoring a great American President will be treated fairly.

By Mr. BAUCUS:

S. 3904. A bill to extend the generalized system of preferences program under the Trade Act of 1974, to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, to extend certain trade preferences under the African Growth and Opportunity Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, today I introduce the Emergency Trade Program Extension Act of 2006.

For more than 30 years, the United States has opened its vast market to developing countries through trade preference programs. We have done so to encourage greater economic development and openness in those countries. The United States is the largest market in the world. And it is also one of the most open. And this openness has played an important role in economic development, both in the United States and around the globe.

Two important preference programs are set to expire at the end of this year: the Generalized System of Preferences and the Andean Trade Preference Act.

I know that some have criticized these programs, GSP in particular, for being unilateral, inefficient, or counterproductive. They argue that the majority of the imports that benefit from GSP come from just a handful of mid-income countries. And they argue that the truly poorest developing countries barely use the program at all. Many of the most active users of GSP—like Brazil, Thailand, Indonesia, and Argentina—have developed strong export-oriented sectors. This raises the question of whether they ever use programs to compete on the world market.

And critics charge that big GSP beneficiary countries like India and Brazil were among the most recalcitrant in the Doha Round negotiations. For market access in the Round, they claim that the active efforts of these countries contributed to the collapse of the Round. Why, they ask, should we keep our markets open to such countries, if they will not open their markets to us?

I am not deaf to these criticisms. I think that there is much truth in them. But before we allow these important programs simply to expire, I believe that we should examine them in detail, and decide whether they should be renewed and how they might be changed to address valid criticisms. We should understand the effect that canceling them might have on the U.S. image around the world, U.S. diplomatic efforts, and our trade priorities in the Doha Round and elsewhere. And we should give those in the United States who rely upon these programs an opportunity to explain how their interests might be adversely affected by cancelling GSP and ATPA.

The Office of the U.S. Trade Representative recently began a review of the GSP program to look at many of these very issues. That review will not be completed until mid-November, at the earliest. I believe that we should preserve the status quo until we in the Congress have had an opportunity to digest the outcome of that review and conduct our own analysis.

GSP is important to keeping countries engaged in the trade liberalization agenda. For one, it is the benefit countries are interested in maintaining benefits under the program. As a result, they are more willing to address concerns that we may raise with them. GSP-eligibility has given us leverage to address bilateral trade problems—such as intellectual property protection—and to persuade beneficiary countries to respect international norms on labor rights, human rights, and other matters. And as they gain more experience in international markets, they can be the beneficiary of GSP in action. Without GSP, those countries might see China or other big exporters take over their share of our market.

Most of the imports from GSP beneficiary countries occur outside the program. U.S. imports from GSP beneficiary countries in 2005 exceeded $238 billion. Of that, less than $27 billion—less than 10 percent—entered duty-free under the GSP program.

GSP is greater than much more important to the least developed countries. One-third of the total imports from these countries were under GSP preferences. Many of the least developed countries depend on
GSP to sell their products into the American market. They have worked hard to establish export-oriented industries, but still need the extra boost provided by GSP or other preference programs.

Some of our other preference programs target these very poor countries, including the preferences under the African Growth and Opportunity Act and the Caribbean Basin programs. But for a large number of countries—including Afghanistan, Armenia, Bangladesh, Bosnia, Cambodia, Colombia, and Pakistan—there are no other programs to help them compete against other exporters in the U.S. market.

GSP is also important to U.S. competitiveness. Raw materials and components for further processing make up more than two-thirds of the products imported under GSP. For example, GSP imports make up a significant percentage of U.S. total imports of leather processed after tanning—45 percent, aluminum sheets—25 percent, and copper wire—25 percent.

American retailers have taken advantage of the programs to find new products and new sources of supply. And U.S. consumers save millions of dollars because of GSP duty savings.

Trade preferences for our Andean partners have helped curb the production and smuggling of drugs. Trade can encourage legitimate exports out of drug crops, and offer an economic future to people who otherwise are easy prey for narcotraffickers. The Andean preference programs play a significant role in facilitating exports from Colombia, Peru, Ecuador and Bolivia. Almost 60 percent of exports from those countries are covered by these preferences.

Some argue that trade preferences for the Andean countries are now both unnecessary and ill-advised. They say that the Andean preferences are unnecessary because the United States has negotiated free trade agreements with Peru and Colombia. And they say that the preferences are ill-advised in extending benefits to Bolivia and Ecuador, both of which have taken actions and made statements recently at variance with U.S. interests.

While we have negotiated free trade agreements with Peru and Colombia, neither has passed the U.S. Congress. It is far from clear that the U.S.-Peru agreement will even be considered in Congress before the Andean preference program expires at the end of this year, and there is no chance at all that the U.S.-Colombia agreement will be. We should extend the Andean preference program for these countries to avoid a lapse in benefits prior to the implementation of any free-trade agreement with them. Such a lapse would be disruptive to U.S. businesses, as well.

It may be that there are good arguments for ending the program with respect to Bolivia and Ecuador. But again, I believe that we should give Congress time to examine these arguments as well as any counterarguments and make a reasoned judgment about the future of the program.

That is why I am sponsoring the Emergency Trade Program Extension Act of 2006. This bill will extend both GSP and the Andean Trade Preference Act for 2 years. This is a short-term extension to allow the Congress to have hearings and consider in depth what should be done with these programs. I do not believe that we should let these programs expire without the benefit of a thorough analysis of their merits and failings.

Some may argue that this legislation is unnecessary. They may say that we can allow these programs to expire, consider them in depth next year, and then renew them retroactively if we so decide. Indeed, we have done that before. But that is very disruptive, both to U.S. businesses and the countries who rely upon these programs. The uncertainty of whether the programs will be renewed retroactively, or renewed at all, undermines the goals of encouraging investment in the beneficiary countries. So we should pass this legislation now with the certainty of these programs while we consider what to do with them.

This legislation also includes a 1-year extension of the third-country fabric provisions in the African Growth and Opportunity Act, or AGOA. These provisions are currently set to expire in September of next year. These provisions allow Africa’s poorest countries to import fabric from countries outside of Africa for use in their apparel industries. These third-country fabric provisions have helped create jobs in desperately poor countries like Lesotho, where one textile worker supports numerous family members. U.S. retailers looking to next year’s products are already making their sourcing decisions now. If they cannot be confident that Africa will continue to be able to import third-country fabric, then they will stop sourcing from Africa. And tens of thousands of jobs could be lost.

This bill is not intended as the final word on AGOA. I fully expect that the next Congress will consider a comprehensive reform of AGOA. Many worthwhile ideals have been proposed. But we do not have time to consider them now. More Africa will begin to feel the effects of the expiration of third-country fabric provisions next fall. We should give Africa breathing space while Congress completes its work.

The suspension of the Doha Round negotiations at the World Trade Organization has sparked a period of soul searching and debate in the trade community both here and abroad. Our trade preference programs should be part of that debate. It simply makes no sense to look at these programs in isolation from these discussions about the future of trade policy. And we cannot look at the future of the trading system without considering the treatment of developing countries in that system.

For all of these reasons, I am proud to sponsor the Emergency Trade Program Extension Act of 2006. I am proud to note, as well, that this bill is a companion to an identical bill introduced yesterday in the House by Representatives by my friend the ranking Democratic member of the Ways and Means Committee, Mr. Rangel. I applaud his leadership on these issues. And I look forward to working with him to get this important legislation passed into law.

---

**SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS**

**SENATE, CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 116—SUPPORTING ‘‘LIGHTS ON AFTERSCHOOL!’’, A NATIONAL CELEBRATION OF AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAMS**

Mr. DODD (for himself, Mr. ENSIGN, Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. BURR, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. COCHRAN, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. SPECKER, Mr. REED, Mr. DOMENICI, Mrs. CLINTON, Ms. SNOWE, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. MURkowski, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. BIDEN, Mr. BURNS, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. REID, Mr. AKAKA, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. LUTENBERG, Mr. SALAZAR, Mr. KERRY, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. CARPER, Mr. KOHL, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. PRIOR, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. BACUS, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mrs. FEINSTRIN, Mr. JOHNSTON, Mr. INOUYE, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. MARTINEZ, and Mr. SCHUMER) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions:

S. CON. RES. 116

Whereas high quality after school programs provide safe, challenging, engaging, and fun learning experiences to help children and youth develop their social, emotional, physical, and academic skills;

Whereas high quality after school programs support working families by ensuring that the children in the United States are safe and productive after the regular school day ends;

Whereas high quality after school programs build stronger communities by involving the Nation’s students, parents, business leaders, and adult volunteers in the lives of the Nation’s youth, thereby promoting positive relationships among children, youth, families, and adults;

Whereas high quality after school programs engage families, schools, and diverse community partners in advancing the well-being of the Nation’s children;

Whereas “Lights On Afterschool!”, a national celebration of after school programs held on October 12, 2006, promotes the critical importance of high quality after school programs in the lives of children, their families, and their communities;

Whereas more than 28,000,000 children in the United States have parents who work outside the home and 14,300,000 children in the United States have no place to go after school; and

Whereas many after school programs across the United States are struggling to
keep their doors open and their lights on: Now, therefore, be it
Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress supports the legal and ethical principles of Lights On Afterschool: a national celebration of after
school programs.

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, today Senator ENSIGN and I, along with 42 co-
sponsors, are submitting a concurrent resolution with the House designating October 12, 2006 as Lights On Afterschool Day. Lights on Afterschool is a national celebration of afterschool programs, designed to promote the critical importance of afterschool in the lives of America’s children, families, and communities. This year alone, we expect over 1 million Americans to par-
ticipate in this important celebration.

Quality afterschool programs keep kids safe, help working families, and improve academic achievement. It has been demonstrated that children in afterschool programs show greater in-
terest in school, learn new skills, exhibit improved behavior and get better grades than their peers. Afterschool programs also build stronger commu-
nities by involving our students, par-
ents, business leaders and adult vol-
teers in the lives of our young people, thereby promoting positive relation-
ships among children and adults.

In America today, more than 28 mil-
lion children have parents who work
outside the home. As many as 15 mil-
lion of these children have no place to
go after school and consequently are
missing out on important opportuni-
ties to learn and grow. Two-thirds of
Americans say that it is difficult to
find programs in their communities and that not enough programs are
available.

In our work on the Senate After-
school Caucus, Senator ENSIGN and I
have been working for more than a
year to impress upon our colleagues
the importance of afterschool and are
proud to say that 34 of our colleagues
have joined the Caucus to date. We hope that they, along with other Mem-
bers of the Congress, will join us on Oc-
tober 12th to celebrate the importance
of afterschool programs in their com-
mmunities back home.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—H.R. 6061

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I
understand there is a bill at the desk
that to leave for a second reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title for
the second time.

The assistant legislative clerk reads as follows:

A bill (H.R. 6061) to establish operational control over the international land and mar-
time borders of the United States.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, in
order to place the bill on the calendar
under the provisions of rule XIV, I ob-
ject to further proceedings.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objec-
tion is heard. The bill will be placed on the calendar.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, as
in executive session, I ask unanimous consent that at 2:15 p.m. on Tuesday,
September 19, the Senate proceed to
executive session to consider Executive Calendar No. 171, Alice Fisher; provided
further that the be 90 minutes under
the control of Senator LEVIN, 30 min-
utes under the control of Senator LEAHY, and 90 minutes under the con-
throl of Chairman SPECTER or his des-
ginee, plus 1 hour under the control of Senator RZED, and 1 hour total under
the control of Senator Frist and myself;
provided further, that following the use or yielding back of time, the Senate
proceed to a vote on the confirmation
of the nomination, with no intervening action or debate, and that following the vote, the President be imme-
diately notified of the Senate’s action, and the Senate resume legisla-
tive session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without
objection, it is so ordered.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I
ask unanimous consent that the Sen-
ate immediately proceed to executive
session to consider the following nomi-
inations on today’s Executive Calendar:
Nos. 892, 895, 898, and 899.

I further ask unanimous consent that
the nominees be confirmed en bloc, the
motions to reconsider be laid upon the
table, and the President be imme-
diately notified of the Senate’s action.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without
objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations were considered and
confirmed en bloc as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Donald C. Johnson, of Texas, a Career
Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Ex-
traordinary and Plenipotentiary of the
United States of America to the Republic
of Equatorial Guinea.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Mark Myers, of Alaska, to be Director of
the United States Geological Survey.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION

Board

William B. Wark, of Maine, to be Member of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investiga-
tion Board for a term of five years.

William E. Wright, of Florida, to be a
Member of the Chemical Safety and Hazard
Investigation Board for a term of five years.

PROTOCOL AMENDING 1962 EXTRA-
DITION CONVENTION WITH ISRAEL

U.N. CONVENTION AGAINST
CORRUPTION

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I
ask unanimous consent that the Sen-
ate proceed to consider the following
treaties on today’s Executive Calendar:
Nos. 16 and 18. I further ask unanimous consent that the treaties be considered
as having passed through their various
parliamentary stages, up to and includ-
ing the presentation of the resolutions
of ratification; that any committee
reports, declarations or reservations
be agreed to as applicable; that any
statements be printed in the
RECORD as if read; and that the Senate
agrees to the resolutions of ratification; that any committee
resolutions be agreed to as applicable; that any
monthly, 100 votes; further, that when the reso-
lations of ratification are voted upon,
the motion to reconsider be laid upon
the table, the President be notified of the
Senate’s action, and that following the
resolution of the treaties, the Senate
proceed to a vote on the

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without
objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I
ask for a division vote on the resolu-
tions of ratification.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. A divi-
sion is requested. All Senators in favor
of the resolutions of ratification shall
and count. Those opposed will stand and be
counted.

On a division, two-thirds of the Sen-
ators present and voting having voted
in the affirmative, the resolutions of
ratification are agreed to.

The resolutions of ratification are as
follows:

Extradition Convention With Israel]
Resolved (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein), That the Senate
advise and consent to the ratification of the Prot-
ocol between the Government of the United
States of America and the Government of the
State of Israel Amending the Convention on
Extradition of 1962, signed at Jerusalem
on June 7, 2005 (Treaty Doc. 109-3),
[Treaty Doc. 109-6 U.N. Convention Against
Corruption]
Resolved (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein), Section 1. Senate Advice and Consent Sub-
ject to Reservations and Declarations.

The Senate advises and consents to the
ratification of the United Nations Conven-
tion Against Corruption (hereinafter in this
resolution referred to as the “Convention”),
adopted by the United Nations General As-
sembly on October 31, 2003, and signed by the
United States on December 9, 2003, at
Merida, Mexico (T. Doc. 109-6), subject to
the reservations in section 2 and the declara-
tions in section 3.

Section 2. Reservations.

The advice and consent of the Senate
under section 1 is subject to the following
reservations, which shall be included in the United States instrument of ratification:

(1) The United States of America reserves
the right to assume obligations under the
Convention in a manner consistent with its
fundamental principles and policies; pro-
vided that to which both federal and state criminal
laws must be considered in relation to the
court conduct addressed in the Convention. U.S. federal
criminal law, which regulates con-
duct based on its effect on international or for-
egn commerce, or another federal interest,
serves as an important component of the legal regime within countries for
combating corruption and is broadly effec-
tive for this purpose. Federal criminal law

does not apply where such criminal conduct does not so involve interstate or foreign commerce, or another federal interest. There are conceivable situations involving offenses of a purely local character where U.S. federal and state criminal law may not be entirely adequate to satisfy an obligation under the Convention. In the U.S. system, the states are responsible for preventive measures governing their own officials. While the states generally regulate their own affairs, a harmonization consistent with obligations set forth in the chapter on preventive measures in the Convention, in some cases they may do so in a different manner. Accordingly, there may be situations where state and federal law will not be entirely adequate to satisfy an obligation in Chapters II and III of the Convention. The United States of America therefore reserves to the obligations set forth in the Convention to the extent they (1) address conduct that would fall within this narrow category of highly localized activity or (2) involve preventive measures not covered by federal law governing state and local officials. This reservation does not affect in any respect the ability of the United States to provide international cooperation to other States Parties in accordance with the provisions of the Convention.

(2) The United States of America reserves the right not to apply in part the obligation set forth in Article 42, paragraph 1(b) with respect to the offenses established in accordance with the Convention. The United States does not provide for plenary jurisdiction over offenses that are committed on board ships flying its flag or aircraft registered under its laws. However, in many circumstances, U.S. law provides for jurisdiction over such offenses committed on board U.S.-flagged ships or aircraft registered under U.S. law. Accordingly, the United States shall implement paragraph 1(b) to the extent provided for under its federal law.

Section 3. Declarations.
(a) The advice and consent of the Senate under section 1 is subject to the following declaration:

The United States of America declares that, in view of its reservations, current United States law, including the laws of the States of United States, fulfills the obligations of the Convention for the United States. Accordingly, the United States of America does not intend to enact new legislation to fulfill its obligations under the Convention.

(b) The advice and consent of the Senate under section 1 is subject to the following declarations, which shall be included in the United States instrument of ratification:

(1) In accordance with Article 66, paragraph 3, the United States of America declares that it does not consider itself bound by the obligation set forth in Article 66, paragraph 2.

(2) The United States declares that the provisions of the Convention (with the exception of Articles 44 and 46) are non-self-executing. None of the provisions of the Convention creates a private right of action.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will now return to legislative session.

ORDERS FOR MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2006

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand in adjournment until 2 p.m. on Monday, September 18. I further ask that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved, and the Senate proceed to a period for morning business, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, next week we will resume consideration of the Oman free trade bill. Under the time agreement, we will have a vote on passage on Tuesday. Senators should expect this vote to occur before our weekly policy lunches. This will be the first vote of the week.

We now also have an agreement to finish a very important nomination, that of Alice Fisher—of Louisville, KY. I might add—to be Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. We will consider that nomination early next week as well. I thank all Members for their attention.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2006, AT 2 P.M.

Mr. MCCONNELL. If there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 12:34 p.m., adjourned until Monday, September 18, 2006, at 2 p.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate September 15, 2006:

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

MICHAEL J. ASTUTE, OF MASSACHUSETTS, TO BE COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY FOR A TERM EXPIRING JANUARY 20, 2011. VICE JO ANNE BARNHEART.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

BARBARA BOXER, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE SIXTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

NORMAN R. COLEMAN, OF MINNESOTA, TO BE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE SIXTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

REED L. SMEDSLAND, OF FLORIDA, TO BE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE SIXTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

BARBARA McCONNELL-BARRETT, OF ARIZONA, TO BE AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE SIXTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

CECIL E. FLOYD, OF SOUTH CAROLINA, TO BE AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE SIXTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DAVID PALMER, OF MARYLAND, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION FOR A TERM EXPIRING JULY 1, 2011. VICE CARL C. DOMINGUEZ, TERM EXPIRED.

ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

CAROLINE C. HUNTER, OF FLORIDA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION FOR A TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 12, 2006. VICE PAUL S. DIGREGORIO, TERM EXPIRED.

CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate Friday, September 15, 2006:

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

DONALD C. JOHNSON, OF TEXAS, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE CERESI FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PlENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE REPUBLIC OF EQUATORIAL GUINEA.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

MARK MYERS, OF ALASKA, TO BE DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

WILLIAM B. WALK, OF MAINE, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS.

WILLIAM E. WRIGHT, OF FLORIDA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS.

The above nominations were approved subject to the nominees’ commitment to respond to requests to appear and testify before any duly constituted committee of the Senate.
EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

TRIBUTE TO RABBI AMY RADER

HON. ROBERT WEXLER
OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. WEXLER. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to recognize today Rabbi Amy Rader, who eloquently delivered yesterday’s opening prayer. Rabbi Rader’s historic prayer, the second opening prayer led by a female Rabbi in the history of the House of Representative represents a significant moment for the American Jewish community. Rabbi Rader, an Associate Rabbi at Temple B’nai Torah in Boca Raton is a prominent and proud member of the South Florida Jewish community. Whether directing the B’nai Mitzvah Program, or coordinating the Judaic curriculum of the Early Childhood Center, Rabbi Rader’s dedication to her congregation and community makes her an extremely worthy choice to lead this morning’s prayer.

Rabbi Rader enjoys increased prominence in the Conservative movement and was a recipient of the prestigious Rabbi Simon Greenberg Rabbinic Leadership Award by the Jewish Theological Seminary. She was admitted to the innovative rabbinic leadership development program, Professional Education and Excellence for Rabbis, which is sponsored by the Synagogue Transformation and Renewal.

Rabbi Rader studied at the Schechter Institute of Judaic Studies in Jerusalem and the University of Judaism in Los Angeles, and was ordained by the Jewish Theological Seminary of America in New York City in 1999. Rabbi Rader also serves as the rabbi for the Lakeland Hills Jewish Center in Ringwood, New Jersey, was a fellow at the National Jewish Healing Center in New York City, and was the first Jewish chaplain at Methodist Hospital in Minneapolis, Minnesota, her hometown.

I am pleased to recognize Rabbi Rader’s husband, Kevin, and their four children: Caleb, Ruby, Yael and Ezra. In her six years in the Boca Raton and South Florida communities, Rabbi Rader has wonderfully balanced her service to the community with her devotion to her family and she is a model for us all to emulate.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. JIM KOLBE
OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. KOLBE. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 450, my vote was not recorded. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

HONORING THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE FOUNDERING OF THE HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

HON. MIKE THOMPSON
OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the 50th Anniversary of the establishment of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District. Since 1955, residents and businesses of the Humboldt Bay and beyond have benefited from this well-organized and superbly run service that has grown and developed to meet the needs of Humboldt County.

The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District has emphasized close and positive relationships with the municipal customers who deliver water to residents of the Humboldt Bay, and with industrial customers in the area. By concentrating on these relationships, they have consistently delivered a highly-valued standard of service to my constituents.

Mr. Speaker, the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District was founded on the basis of an elective referendum on March 13, 1956. It began operations in 1961 with services to the Cities of Eureka and Arcata, and to the Georgia Pacific Lumber Mill, still in operation as Evergreen Pulp, Inc. Over the following decade, 5 other municipal services districts joined the HBMWD. The presence of a steady supply of water facilitated the development of the pulp industry in Humboldt County and has made possible the productive use of wood waste generated by the lumber industry.

Mr. Speaker, many people have been important to the long-term success of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, but none have matched the vital contributions of Mr. John Wintzer. Mr. Wintzer has worked on the project since 1961, and as District Engineer has guided the development and maintenance of this important infrastructure.

Mr. Speaker, it is appropriate at this time that we commend the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District on its 50th year in operation, and recognize the importance of the District for the quality of life and economic vitality of the Humboldt Bay region.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. LYNN C. WOOLEY
OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 15, 2006

Ms. WOOLEY. Mr. Speaker, we rise today to honor Roberta Hollowell of Santa Rosa, California, who passed away on August 10, 2006, after a two-year battle with cancer. We know that, though she has left this earthly plane, she will be continuing her work as a feminist activist elsewhere. Hers is the kind of voice that will never be silenced, and her spirit lives on in the many she inspired.

Born 77 years ago in Brooklyn, NY, Roberta moved to California as a teenager when her father took an engineering job in the Bay Area. She graduated from UC Berkeley (where she met her husband, Ellis Hollowell) and later earned a master’s degree from Mills College. The couple had three children before divorcing in 1962.

In 1962 Roberta and the children moved to Sebastopol and later to Santa Rosa. At that time, Roberta began teaching English at Healdsburg High School, a position she held for 32 years.

As an activist, Roberta was a leader and member in many organizations, and as a retired teacher, education was one of her passions. She was active in the California Teachers Association (CTA), National Education Association (NEA), the Sonoma County Educators Council, and the Healdsburg Area Teachers Association. Other affiliations included National Organization for Women, Sierra Club, NAACP, Sonoma County Commission on the Status of Women, and California State Democratic Central Committee.

Her union work and liberal politics probably showed up as a family trait, but Roberta blazed her own path and was a mainstay of the Sonoma County Democratic Party. She was active till the end in a host of issues that benefited from her skills and contacts.

But it is Roberta’s personal contacts and example that we remember the most. Stories told at her funeral attest to her unique ability in bringing others into the fold. She insisted that since we all have voices we should use them. Her humor and warmth as well as her determination, leadership, and sense of organization were all key to her success. And fond nicknames, like The General and La Jefa, were also marks of respect.

Roberta was very proud of her wonderful family. She is survived by her daughter Mardi, her son Tom, grandchildren Ryan and Danielle, and great-granddaughter Melody Ann. Her son David preceded her in death in 1995.

Mr. Speaker, we are honored to have been counted among Roberta Hollowell’s many friends. We will miss her support and inspiration and will carry her legacy with us.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. JIM KOLBE
OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. KOLBE. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall no. 449, my vote was not recorded. Had I been present, I would have voted no.

● This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.
HONORING MR. WILLIAM H. CORNELISON OF LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

HON. MIKE THOMPSON OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the career of William H. Cornelison on the occasion of his retirement as Lake County Superintendent of Public Schools. Mr. Cornelison’s career in public education has been distinguished by his strong leadership and success expanding the facilities and service of the Lake County school districts.

Mr. Cornelison was born in San Bernardino, CA, and attended the University of San Diego where he received a bachelor of arts degree in history. He finished his masters of education in 1967 and went on to complete a Ph.D. in educational leadership and human behavior from U.S. International University in San Diego in 1970.

Mr. Cornelison arrived in Lake County after serving as a teacher and then principal at several schools in California. He was hired as the superintendent of the Middletown Unified School District in 1979. He moved on to Knociti Unified School District where he was superintendent from 1986 to 1994.

Mr. Cornelison was elected as Lake County Superintendent of Schools in 1994 and has served three consecutive terms. He has made numerous improvements to the schools of Lake County, and has expanded a wide range of programs which increase the opportunities for Lake County’s children. These include preschool, after school, Healthy Start and early reading programs, all established to ensure our children receive the strongest possible education. He has also worked to implement vocational training for Lake County schools, including an innovative vocational agriculture program at Middletown High School.

Mr. Speaker, in addition to his work with our schools, Mr. Cornelison has been active in the community working to spread the same kind of opportunities he has provided to his students. He is a long-time Republican, including a stint as president, and sits on the boards of North Coast Opportunities, the Lake County Community Action Council, and the Sonoma-Mendocino-Lake United Way. Additionally, he is active with the Diocese of Santa Rosa and a member of the Queen of Peace Catholic Church. Like his work in schools, his volunteer work contributes vastly to the quality of life in Lake County.

Mr. Speaker, it is appropriate at this time that we congratulate Mr. William Cornelison on the occasion of his retirement as Lake County Superintendent of Schools and that him for his long service to public education. I wish Bill, his wife Denise and their entire family all the best as he begins the next chapter in his life.

HON. JEFF FORTENBERRY OF NEBRASKA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Wednesday, September 13, 2006

Mr. FORTENBERRY. Mr. Speaker, today we remember those who died tragically on September 11, 2001—and the family members who continue to mourn such terrible loss. We honor the strength of these Americans and we also thank the brave men and women defending America today from those who continue to seek to do us harm.

We live in a very special place. We live in a country that is built on the fundamental principle that all persons have inherent dignity and rights. The freedoms we enjoy depend on this fundamental principle. As many did in the immediate aftermath of the 9–11 attacks, Americans are willing to risk their lives for the sake of their fellow citizens—for the good of country, family, and community.

Last week I had the privilege of formally welcoming home the soldiers of the 67th Area Support Group of the Nebraska National Guard as they all returned home safely from a yearlong deployment. What a beautiful scene! Families reunited . . . husbands and wives in loving embraces, children scrambling to greet the mom or dad they’d known only through letters and photos the year before. Parents taking up young children in their arms, some perhaps for the first time.

In their commitment and patriotism, these soldiers had given a year away from families and homes to serve their country. Many of our military service personnel will tell you that their service is driven by the events of that fateful day 5 years ago. They sacrifice so much personally to help protect our Nation.

Fortunately there has not been an attack on America for five years. This is not due to wishful thinking. This is due to the extraordinary effort to rethink and reform our national security efforts. Our military, our homeland security forces, police officers, firefighters, and emergency first responders have all played a very important role in protecting America.

Their service is driven by the events of that fateful day 5 years ago. They sacrifice so much personally to help protect our Nation.

And the compassion, sacrifice, and resolve of the American people help make our Nation great. We have faced difficult challenges of worldwide significance in the past—World War II and the Cold War. We prevailed then and we must now prevail for the good of our country and the hope of a more peaceful world.

HON. JIM KOLBE OF ARIZONA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. KOLBE. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 448, my vote was not recorded.

Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

HON. MARK R. KENNEDY OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained yesterday, September 14, 2006; however, my vote on the following rollcall would have been as follows: rollcall No. 447, H.R. 2864, “yea.”

HON. THOMAS M. REYNOLDS OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. Speaker, with great pride and delight I rise today to honor a leader and entrepreneur who has spent his lifetime raising up not only buildings and properties, but his Western New York community as well.

Mr. Frank L. Ciminelli has literally left his mark on Western New York through his leadership, savvy, integrity and hard work as founder and head of Ciminelli Development Company, making him one of the most respected figures in the area. Headquartered in the Buffalo-Niagara region since its inception, the company has created, developed, renovated and renewed more than 9 million square feet of the region’s commercial and industrial space, dotting the region’s map with distinctive properties and more than 350 business tenants. Mr. Ciminelli’s life and work has been fully committed to Western New York—to building and transforming the region, supporting its businesses and giving back to the community.

Mr. Ciminelli built his business and his dream from the ground up. After graduating from the Erie County Technical Institute in 1954, he started his residential construction specializing in concrete work before branching out to commercial and industrial projects. The Frank L. Ciminelli Construction Company was founded in 1960, and over the next decades Mr. Ciminelli would expand his operation into a diverse and highly successful general construction, development and management entity. Transitioning into full service real estate development, Mr. Ciminelli founded the Ciminelli Development Company in 1981. From site selection through tenant occupancy, the company now controls all aspects of its development projects, earning accolades for its quality and reliability and earning Mr. Ciminelli numerous awards, including the 1996 Niagara Frontier Executive of the Year, the 1995 Upstate New York Entrepreneur of the Year and the 1993 Buffaloian of the Year and most recently the 2006 Brick by Brick Lifetime Achievement Award.

His mark on Western New York goes far beyond his buildings, however. Mr. Ciminelli has made it a priority and a personal charge to give back to the Western New York community. Involved in countless organizations—including Sisters of Charity Hospital, Sisters of Mercy,
Tribute to Joseph Maull Carey

Hon. Barbara Cubin
Of Wyoming
In the House of Representatives

Friday, September 15, 2006

Mrs. CUBIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the life and achievements of one of Wyoming and Delaware's great citizens, Joseph Maull Carey. I am honored to venerate a man who served his fellow citizens in the halls of local, State, and Federal Government.

Joseph Maull Carey was born in 1845 to successful Delaware farmers in Milton, Delaware. After schooling in Delaware, Carey attended college in New York and law school in Pennsylvania. Carey moved to Wyoming following his graduation from law school, where many years later he would join the list of Governors hailing from Milton.

Governor Carey began his memorable career in public service to the people of Wyoming and the United States in 1869, serving as a U.S. district attorney while Wyoming was still a territory. In 1871, Joseph Carey moved to the bench and served the growing population of Wyoming as an associate justice to the Wyoming Supreme Court until 1876. Even though Carey went on to serve in many other capacities, he still kept the title of judge for the remainder of his life. Following his departure from the bench, Governor Carey and his brother went into business together, creating a thriving ranching business in the heart of Wyoming. Despite this successful business venture, Joseph Carey still had a longing desire to serve his fellow citizens.

Carey reentered public life in 1881 when he was elected Mayor of Cheyenne, Wyoming. He served only a single term as Mayor before moving on to represent the people of Wyoming at the federal level. Carey became the territorial representative to the United States Congress in 1885, a first rate business to Wyoming to achieve statehood. Under his leadership, a bill was introduced that paved the way for Wyoming to become the 44th state in the union. Following Wyoming's constitutional convention in September of 1889, Carey was on hand as President Benjamin Harrison signed the bill into law officially granting statehood to Wyoming. In 1890, Carey became Wyoming's first senator, serving as the chairman of the Committee on Education and Labor. Senator Carey returned to Cheyenne in 1895 and resumed his law practice while advancing educational opportunities for the people of our great state as a trustee for the University of Wyoming. Joseph Carey forayed once more into public service in 1911, becoming governor of Wyoming. During his tenure, he was one of the founding members of the progressive party, which sought to re-elect Teddy Roosevelt.

Joseph Maull Carey was a devoted public servant for the people of Wyoming, Delaware, and the United States. He served the people admirably in many different roles throughout the course of his life, and has forever etched himself in the history of our Nation.

Federal Prison Industries Competition in Contracting Act of 2006

Speech of

Hon. Wally Herger
Of California
In the House of Representatives

Thursday, September 14, 2006

The House in Committee of the Whole on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2965) to amend title 18, United States Code, to require Federal Prison Industries to compete for its contracts minimizing its unfair competition with private sector firms and their non-inmate workers and empowering Federal agencies to get the best value for taxpayers' dollars, to provide a 5-year period during which Federal Prison Industries adjusts to obtaining inmate work opportunities through other than its mandatory source of FPI, contracts, and would have supported it. However, the legislation contained some other provisions that, in my view, undermined the effort to make Federal contracting more competitive. Specifically, the bill requires that the Federal Government scale back its total purchases from FPI, regardless of whether FPI's bids are competitive. It also mandates a substantial increase in the wages paid to inmates employed by FPI, apparently with an eye toward making FPI less competitive. I am concerned that this policy could increase costs to Government agencies by forcing them to make expensive contracts. Furthermore, in order to make up for the potential loss of FPI employment, H.R. 2965 includes several expensive new prisoner rehabilitation programs. Since FPI currently receives no taxpayer money, I am not convinced that this approach makes sense in our tight budget climate. Thus, while I support doing away with the current preferential treatment of FPI in Government contracting, I opposed H.R. 2965 for these reasons.

Mildred Dixon:

Hon. Corrine Brown
Of Florida
In the House of Representatives

Friday, September 15, 2006

Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, as the city of Winter Garden, Florida's first female and first African-American elected to the city commission in 1985, Mildred L. Dixon, 83, dedicated her life to serving her community.

Commissioner Dixon first took office after filing a lawsuit denouncing Winter Garden's at-large elections as violating the 1965 Voting Rights Act. She was the first black commissioner elected in the city's 77-year history. In seven terms, she ran unopposed three times and soundly defeated her opponents in four races.

In 1983, 2 years before running for office, she was successful in initiating single member voting districts for commissioners in Winter Garden. Most recently, she initiated the city's current charter review. As an advocate for youth and senior programs, as well as affordable housing in the east Orange County community, she tirelessly pursued potential funding sources for area community centers, and possible joint ventures for low income and affordable housing developments.

A retired nurse, she was a member of the 9th Street Church of Christ in Winter Garden since 1939. As a testament to her tenacity, and tireless love for her community, in 1992 the city named a street in the Horizons Oaks neighborhood in her honor. In 1999, the Mildred Dixon Activities Center, home of the West Orange Boys and Girls Club on West Crown Point Road was renamed in her honor.

She was an officer in the West Orange Citizen Action Coalition; Orange County Community and Faith-based Coalition; West Orange Community Development Corporation; and the West Orange Community Housing Development Corporation. In addition, she was a member of the Orange County Community Action Board; West Orange Neighborhood Center for Families; the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People; National League of Cities Black Caucus of Local Elect officials; and the National League of Cities organizations.

Mildred Dixon was a determined advocate for Winter Garden and its citizens. She will be missed.

Personal Explanation

Hon. Emanuel Cleaver
Of Missouri
In the House of Representatives

Friday, September 15, 2006

Mr. CLEAVER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the RECORD to reflect that
on September 14, 2006, I was unavoidably detained. Mr. Speaker, had I been present for:

Rolcall vote 441 on the Scott amendment to H.R. 2965, the Federal Prison Industries Competition in Contracting Act, I would have voted "nay";

Rolcall vote 442 on the Scott amendment to H.R. 2965, the Federal Prison Industries Competition in Contracting Act, I would have voted "nay";

Rolcall vote 443 on final passage of H.R. 2965, the Federal Prison Industries Competition in Contracting Act I would have voted "yea";

Rolcall vote 444 on the Thompson/Reyes Motion to Recommit H.R. 6061, the U.S./Mexico Border Fence Bill, I would have voted "yea."

Rolcall vote 445, final passage of the U.S./Mexico Border Fence Bill, I would have voted "nay."

Rolcall vote 446, the Melancon Motion to Instruct Conferees on H.R. 2864, the Water Resources Development Act, I would have voted "yea."
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Measures Introduced: Four bills and one resolution were introduced, as follows: S. 3903–3906, and S. Con. Res. 116.

Measures Reported:
  S. 3679, to authorize appropriations for the National Transportation Safety Board, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute. (S. Rept. No. 109–335)
  S. 1321, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the excise tax on telephone and other communications, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute. (S. Rept. No. 109–336)

U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act: Senate began consideration of H.R. 5684, to implement the United States-Oman Free Trade Agreement.

Pursuant to the order of September 14, 2006, Senate will resume consideration of the bill on Monday, September 18, 2006.

Fisher Nomination—Agreement: A unanimous-consent-time agreement was reached providing that at 2:15 p.m. on Tuesday, September 19, 2006, Senate begin consideration of the nomination of Alice S. Fisher, of Virginia, to be an Assistant Attorney General; that there be 90 minutes under the control of Senator Levin, 30 minutes under the control of Senator Leahy, 90 minutes under the control of Senator Specter, or his designee, 60 minutes under the control of Senator Reid, and 60 minutes under the control of Senator Frist and Senator McConnell; provided further, that following the use, or yielding back of time, Senate proceed to a vote on confirmation of the nomination.

Treaties Approved: The following treaties having passed through their various parliamentary stages, up to and including the presentation of the resolution of ratification, upon division, two-thirds of the Senators present having voted in the affirmative, the resolutions of ratification were agreed to:
  Protocol Amending 1962 Extradition Convention with Israel (Treaty Doc. 109–5); and
  U.N. Convention Against Corruption (Treaty Doc. 109–6), with 2 reservations and 3 declarations.

Nominations Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nominations:
  William B. Wark, of Maine, to be a Member of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board for a term of five years.
  William E. Wright, of Florida, to be a Member of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board for a term of five years.
  Donald C. Johnson, of Texas, to be Ambassador to the Republic of Equatorial Guinea.
  Mark Myers, of Alaska, to be Director of the United States Geological Survey.

Nominations Received: Senate received the following nominations:
  Michael J. Astrue, of Massachusetts, to be Commissioner of Social Security for a term expiring January 19, 2013.
  Barbara Boxer, of California, to be a Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-First Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.
  Norman B. Coleman, of Minnesota, to be a Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-First Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.
  Barbara McConnell Barrett, of Arizona, to be an Alternate Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-First Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.
  Cecil E. Floyd, of South Carolina, to be an Alternate Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-First Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.
  David Palmer, of Maryland, to be a Member of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission for a term expiring July 1, 2011.
Caroline C. Hunter, of Florida, to be a Member of the Election Assistance Commission for a term expiring December 12, 2009.

Messages From the House:

Measures Referred:

Measures Placed on Calendar:

Enrolled Bills Presented:

Additional Cosponsors:

Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions:

Committee Meetings

No committee meetings were held.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 3 public bills, H.R. 6089–6091 were introduced.

Additional Cosponsors:

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

H.R. 5830, to amend section 29 of the International Air Transportation Competition Act of 1979 relating to air transportation to and from Love Field, Texas, with an amendment (H. Rept. 109–600, Pt. 2);

H.R. 5808, to authorize the Secretary of Transportation to make grants to public transportation agencies and over-the-road bus operators to improve security, with an amendment (H. Rept. 109–662);

H.R. 5414, to enact certain laws relating to public contracts as title 41, United States Code, “Public Contracts” (H. Rept. 109–663); and

H.R. 6054, to amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize trial by military commission for violations of the law of war, and for other purposes, with amendments (H. Rept. 109–664, Part 1).

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Hastings of Washington to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.

Speaker Pro Tempore: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed the Honorable Doc Hastings of Washington to act as Speaker Pro Tempore to sign enrolled bills and joint resolutions through September 19, 2006.

Quorum Calls—Votes: There were no Yea and Nay votes, and there were no Recorded votes. There were no quorum calls.

Committee Meetings

CONTACT LENS SALES

Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Commerce, Trade, and Consumer Protection held a hearing entitled “Contact Lens Sales: Is Market Regulation the Prescription?” Testimony was heard from Maureen Ohlhausen, Director, Office of Policy Planning, FTC; Wayne Klein, Assistant Attorney General, State of Utah; and public witnesses.

IRAQ: DEMOCRACY OR CIVIL WAR?

Committee on Government Reform: Subcommittee on National Security, Emerging Threats and International Relations continued hearings entitled “Iraq: Democracy or Civil War?” with further emphasis on What Will It Take To Achieve National Reconciliation? and emphasis also on What Are the Consequences of Leaving Iraq? Testimony was heard from former Ambassador to Croatia Peter Galbraith; and public witnesses.

CONGRESSIONAL PROGRAM AHEAD

Week of September 18 through September 23, 2006

Senate Chamber


On Tuesday, Senate will continue consideration of H.R. 5684, U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, with a vote on final passage of the bill. At 2:15 p.m., Senate will begin consideration of the nomination of Alice S. Fisher, of Virginia, to
be an Assistant Attorney General, and after a period of debate, vote on confirmation of the nomination.

During the balance of the week, Senate may consider any other cleared legislative and executive business, including appropriation bills and conference reports, when available.

Senate Committees
(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Committee on Appropriations: September 21, Subcommittee on Legislative Branch, to resume hearings to examine progress of the Capitol Visitor Center construction, 10:30 a.m., SD–138.

Committee on Armed Services: September 19, to hold hearings to examine the nominations of General Bantz J. Craddock, USA, for reappointment to be general and to be Commander, U.S. European Command, Vice Admiral James G. Stavridis, USN, for appointment to be admiral and to be Commander, U.S. Southern Command, Nelson M. Ford, of Virginia, to be Assistant Secretary of the Army for Financial Management and Comptroller, and Ronald J. James, of Ohio, to be Assistant Secretary of the Army for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, 9:30 a.m., SH–216.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: September 19, to hold hearings to examine combating child pornography by eliminating pornographers’ access to the financial payment system, 10 a.m., SD–538.

September 20, Subcommittee on Housing and Transportation, with the Subcommittee on Economic Policy, to hold joint hearings to examine assessing non-traditional mortgage products, 10 a.m., SD–538.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: September 19, business meeting to consider the nominations of Kevin J. Martin, of North Carolina, to be a Member of the Federal Communications Commission, John M.R. Kneuer, of New Jersey, to be Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information, and a nomination list in the Coast Guard, Time to be announced, Room to be announced.

September 19, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine certain issues relating to online child pornography, 2:30 p.m., SR–253.

September 20, Subcommittee on Trade, Tourism, and Economic Development, to hold hearings to examine the future of ICANN relating to Internet governance, 10 a.m., SR–253.

September 20, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the nomination of Mary E. Peters, of Arizona, to be Secretary of Transportation, 2:30 p.m., SR–253.

September 21, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine pending nominations, 10 a.m., SR–253.

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: September 21, to hold hearings to examine the nomination of Mary Amelia Bomar, of Pennsylvania, to be Director of the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 10 a.m., SD–628.

September 21, Subcommittee on Water and Power, to hold hearings to examine S. 1106, to authorize the construction of the Arkansas Valley Conduit in the State of Colorado, S. 1811, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to study the feasibility of enlarging the Arthur V. Watkins Dam Weber Basin Project, Utah, to provide additional water for the Weber Basin Project to fulfill the purposes for which that project was authorized, S. 2070, to provide certain requirements for hydroelectric projects on the Mohawk River in the State of New York, S. 3522, to amend the Bonneville Power Administration portions of the Fisheries Restoration and Irrigation Mitigation Act of 2000 to authorize appropriations for fiscal years 2006 through 2012, S. 3832, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to establish criteria to transfer title to reclamation facilities, S. 3851, to provide for the extension of preliminary permit periods by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for certain hydroelectric projects in the State of Alaska, S. 3798, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to exclude and defer from the pooled reimbursable costs of the Central Valley Project the reimbursable capital costs of the unused capacity of the Folsom South Canal, Auburn-Folsom South Unit, Central Valley Project, H.R. 2563, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to conduct feasibility studies to address certain water shortages within the Snake, Boise, and Payette River systems in Idaho, and H.R. 3897, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Reclamation to enter into a cooperative agreement with the Madera Irrigation District for purposes of supporting the Madera Water Supply Enhancement Project, 2:30 p.m., SD–628.

Committee on Environment and Public Works: September 20, to hold hearings to examine approaches embodied in the Asia Pacific Partnership, 2:30 p.m., SD–406.

Committee on Finance: September 20, to hold hearings to examine objectives, deficiencies, and options for reform relating to business tax system, 10 a.m., SD–215.

September 21, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the nomination of John K. Veroneau, of Virginia, to be a Deputy United States Trade Representative, with the Rank of Ambassador, 10:30 a.m., SD–215.

Committee on Foreign Relations: September 19, to hold hearings to examine responding to Iran’s nuclear ambitions, 9:30 a.m., SD–419.

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: September 20, business meeting to consider S. 2322, to amend the Public Health Service Act to make the provision of technical services for medical imaging examinations and radiation therapy treatments safer, more accurate, and less costly, S. 1531, to direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to expand and intensify programs with respect to research and related activities concerning elder falls, S. 3771, to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide additional authorizations of appropriations for the health centers program under section 330 of such Act, S. 1325, to establish grants to provide health services for improved nutrition, increased physical activity, obesity and eating disorder prevention, H.R. 5074, to amend the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 to provide for continued payment of railroad retirement annuities by the Department of the Treasury, and the nominations of Randolph James Clerihue, of Virginia, to be an
Assistant Secretary of Labor, Jane M. Doggett, of Montana, to be a Member of the National Council on the Humanities, Andrew von Eschenbach, of Texas, to be Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Department of Health and Human Services, Stephen Goldsmith, of Indiana, to be a Member of the Board of Directors, of the Corporation for National and Community Service, Roger L. Hunt, of Nevada, John E. Kidde, of California, and John Peyton, of Florida, each to be a Member of the Board of Trustees of the Harry S Truman Scholarship Foundation, Lauren M. Maddox, of Virginia, to be Assistant Secretary for Communications and Outreach, Department of Education, Eliza McFadden, of Florida, to be a Member of the National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board, Sandra Pickett, of Texas, to be a Member of the National Museum and Library Services Board, Arthur K. Reilly, of New Jersey, to be a Member of the National Science Board, National Science Foundation, Peter W. Tredick, of California, to be a Member of the National Mediation Board, nominations in the Public Health Service Corps, and other pending nominations, 10 a.m., SD–430.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: September 19, to hold hearings to examine if terrorist cells are forming in U.S. cell blocks relating to prison radicalization, 10 a.m., SD–342.

September 20, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine assessing Spiral 1.1 of the National Security Personnel System, 2:30 p.m., SD–342.

Committee on Indian Affairs: September 20, to hold an oversight hearing to examine Tribal Self Governance, 9:30 a.m., SR–485.

Committee on the Judiciary: September 19, business meeting to consider pending judicial nominations, Time to be announced, Room to be announced.

September 19, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine understanding the financial and human impact of criminal activity relating to the cost of crime, 10:30 a.m., SD–226.

September 19, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine judicial nominations, 3 p.m., SD–226.

September 20, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine preserving effective Federal law enforcement relating to reporters' privilege legislation, 9:30 a.m., SD–226.

September 20, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the proposal to restructure the Ninth Circuit, 2 p.m., SD–226.

September 21, Subcommittee on Corrections and Rehabilitation, to hold an oversight hearing to examine Federal assistance for prisoner rehabilitation and reentry into our states, 2:30 p.m., SD–226.

Committee on Veterans' Affairs: September 20, to hold hearings to examine the legislative presentation of the American Legion, 10 a.m., SD–106.

Select Committee on Intelligence: September 20, to receive a closed briefing regarding intelligence matters, 3:30 p.m., SH–219.

September 21, Full Committee, to hold closed hearings to examine intelligence matters, 2:30 p.m., SH–219.

Special Committee on Aging: September 21, to hold hearings to examine savings for seniors and Medicare relating to increasing generic drug use, 10 a.m., SD–562.

House Committees

Committee on Agriculture, September 20, hearing to review Federal Farm Policy, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

September 21, to consider the following measures: H. Con. Res. 424, Expressing the sense of Congress that it is the goal of the United States that, not later than January 1, 2025, the agricultural, forestry, and working land of the United States should provide from renewable resources not less than 25 percent of the total energy consumed in the United States and continue to produce safe, abundant, and affordable food, feed, and fiber; H.R. 4559, To provide for the conveyance of certain National Forest System land to the towns of Laona and Wabeno, Wisconsin, to authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to convey certain isolated parcels of National Forest System land in Florence and Langlade counties, Wisconsin; H.R. 5103, To provide for the conveyance of the former Konnarock Lutheran Girls School in Smyth County, Virginia, which is currently owned by the United States and administered by the Forest Service, to facilitate the restoration and reuse of the property; and H.R. 5313, Open Space and Farmland Preservation Act, 9:30 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

September 21, Subcommittee on General Farm Commodities and Risk Management, hearing to review Federal Farm Policy, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

Committee on Armed Services, September 21, Subcommittee on Tactical Air and Land Forces, hearing on Combat Vehicle Active Protection Systems, 10 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

Committee on Education and the Workforce, September 21, hearing entitled “No Child Left Behind: How Can We Increase Parental Awareness of Supplemental Education Services?” 10:30 a.m., 2175 Rayburn.


September 21, Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, hearing entitled “Deleting Commercial Child Pornography Sites From the Internet: The U.S. Financial Industry’s Efforts To Combat This Problem,” 10 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.

September 21, Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet and the Subcommittee on Commerce, Trade, and Consumer Protection, joint hearing entitled “ICANN Internet Governance: Is It Working?” 2 p.m., 2123 Rayburn.

Committee on Financial Services, September 19, hearing entitled “Sarbanes-Oxley at Four: Protecting Investors and Strengthening the Markets,” 2 p.m., 2128 Rayburn.


Committee on Government Reform, September 19, Subcommittee on National Security, Emerging Threats and International Relations, hearing entitled “Combating Terrorism: Lessons Learned From London,” 1 p.m., 2154 Rayburn.


September 20, Subcommittee on Federalism and the Census, hearing entitled “Historic Preservation and Community Development: Why Cities and Towns Should Look to the Past as the Key to Their Future,” 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

September 21, full Committee, to consider pending business; followed by a hearing entitled ‘Climate Change Technology Research: Do We Need a ‘Manhattan Project’ for the Environment?’ 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

September 22, hearing entitled “CSI Washington: Does the District Need Its Own Crime Lab?” 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.


September 21, Subcommittee on Prevention of Nuclear and Biological Attack, hearing entitled “Police as First Preventers: Local Strategies in the War on Terror,” 2 p.m., room to be announced.

Committee on International Relations, September 20 and 21, hearings on Afghanistan: Five Years after 9/11, Parts I and II, 10:30 a.m., on September 20 and 2 p.m., on September 21, 2172 Rayburn.


September 20, Subcommittee on Europe and Emerging Threats, hearing on Serbia: Current Issues and Future Direction, 1 p.m., 2200 Rayburn.

September 21, Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, hearing on America and Asia in a Changing World, 10:30 a.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on the Judiciary, September 19, Subcommittee on Commercial and Administrative Law, hearing on H.R. 5637, Nonadmitted and Reinsurer Reimbursement Reform Act of 2006, 10 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

September 20, Subcommittee on Commercial and Administrative Law, hearing on H.R. 5974, To amend the Inspector General Act of 1978 and the Legal Services Corporation Act to provide appropriate removal procedures for the Inspector General of the Legal Services Corporation, 4 p.m., 2141 Rayburn.

September 21, Subcommittee on Courts, the Internet, and Intellectual Property, hearing on H. Res. 916, Impeaching Manuel L. Real, judge of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, for high crimes and misdemeanors, 9 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

September 21, Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security and the Subcommittee on Western Hemisphere of the Committee on International Relations, joint hearing on the Need for European Assistance to Colombia for the Fight against Illicit Drugs, 11:30 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.


September 21, Subcommittee on Fisheries and Oceans, hearing on H.R. 4315, to amend the Acts popularly known as the Duck Stamp Act and the Wetland Loan Act to reauthorize appropriations to promote the conservation of migratory waterfowl and to offset or prevent the serious loss of important wetlands and other waterfowl habitat essential to the preservation of such waterfowl, 10 a.m., 1334 Longworth.

September 21, Subcommittee on Water and Power, oversight hearing on the San Joaquin River Restoration Settlement Act, 10 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

Committee on Rules, September 19, to consider the following: H.R. 6054, Military Commissions Act of 2006; and H.R. 4844, Federal Election Integrity Act of 2006, 5 p.m., H–313 Capitol.

Committee on Science, September 20, Subcommittee on Energy, hearing on Department of Energy’s Plan for Climate Change Technology Programs, 2 p.m., 2318 Rayburn.

September 20, Subcommittee on Research, hearing on International Polar Year: The Scientific Agenda and Federal Role, 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.

September 21, Full Committee, hearing on Research on Environmental and Safety Impacts of Nanotechnology: What Are the Federal Agencies Doing? 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.

Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, September 20, to mark up the following: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Survey Resolutions; GSA Capital Investment and Leasing Program Resolutions for Fiscal Year 2007; H.R. 1105, Dam Rehabilitation and Repair Act of 2005; H.R. 4981, amended, Dam Safety Act of 2006; H.R. 5026, To designate the Investigations Building of the Food and Drug Administration located at 466 Fernandez Juncos Avenue in San Juan, Puerto, as the “Andres Toro Building;” H.R. 1556, To designate a parcel of land located on the site of the Thomas F. Eagleton United States Courthouse in St. Louis, Missouri, as the “Clyde S. Cahill Memorial Park;” H.R. 5606, To designate the Federal building and United States courthouse located at 221 and 211 West Ferguson Street in Tyler, Texas, as the “William M. Steger Federal Building and United States Courthouse;” H.R. 2322, To designate the Federal building located at 320 North Main Street in McAllen, Texas, as the “Kika de la Garza Federal Building;” H.R. 5546, amended, To designate the U.S. courthouse to be constructed in Greenville, South Carolina, as the “Carroll A. Campbell, Jr., Federal Courthouse;” and H.R. 6051, amended, To designate the Federal building located at 2 South Main Street in Akron, Ohio, as the “John F. Seiberling Federal Building,” 11 a.m., 2167 Rayburn.

September 20, Subcommittee on Aviation, hearing entitled “Oversight of Federal Aviation Administration Safety Programs,” 2 p.m., 2167 Rayburn.
Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, September 20 and 21, oversight hearings to review the previous fiscal year and look ahead to the upcoming year, 10:30 a.m., 334 Cannon.

Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, September 20, to mark up H.R. 6825, Electronic Surveillance Modernization Act, 2 p.m., H–405 Capitol.

September 20, Subcommittee on Terrorism, Human Intelligence, Analysis and Counterintelligence, executive, hearing on DOD HUMINT Way Ahead, 3 p.m., H–405 Capitol.

September 21, Full Committee, executive, briefing on Global Updates/Hotspots, 9 a.m., H–405 Capitol.

September 21, Subcommittee on Terrorism, Human Intelligence, Analysis and Counterintelligence, executive, briefing on State and Local Fusion Centers, 10 a.m., H–405 Capitol.

September 22, Subcommittee on Technical and Tactical Intelligence, executive, hearing on NGA’s Acquisition Strategy, 9:30 a.m., H–405 Capitol.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
2 p.m., Monday, September 18

Senate Chamber

Program for Monday: Senate will be in a period of morning business. Also, Senate will resume consideration of H.R. 5684, to implement the United States-Oman Free Trade Agreement.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
12:30 p.m., Tuesday, September 19

House Chamber

Program for Tuesday: To be announced.
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