

Water for Rapid City has been subsequently provided under annual contracts. A second 40-year contract between reclamation and the Rapid Valley Conservancy District for irrigation water expired in 2001. The district decided not to renew this contract due to decreased irrigation demand and sufficient alternative water sources. Since the district no longer needs Pactola water, repayment of construction costs originally allocated to irrigation can be reallocated to M&I uses and fish and wildlife purposes. Under law, Congress must authorize this reallocation.

As I close with the Resources Committee issues today, Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Lisa Pittman, our chief counsel on the Resources Committee, for all her hard work during the 109th Congress.

Thank you, Lisa.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. HERSETH. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. RADANOVICH) that the House suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill, S. 819.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds of those voting have responded in the affirmative.

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the 6 bills just considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

REAUTHORIZING LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN BASIN RESTORATION PROGRAM

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6121) to amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to reauthorize a program relating to the Lake Pontchartrain Basin, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 6121

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN BASIN RESTORATION REAUTHORIZATION.

The first section 121 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1273) (relat-

ing to Lake Pontchartrain Basin) is amended in subsection (f) by striking "2005" and inserting "2011".

SEC. 2. TECHNICAL CORRECTION.

The second section 121 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1274) (relating to wet weather watershed pilot projects) is redesignated as section 122.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. PETRI) and the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I rise in strong support of H.R. 6121, to reauthorize the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Restoration Program.

The Lake Pontchartrain Basin is a 5,000 square mile watershed encompassing 16 parishes in Louisiana and four Mississippi counties. The area comprises the largest estuary in the gulf coast region and one of the largest estuaries in these United States.

In 2000, Congress added section 121 to the Clean Water Act to establish a Lake Pontchartrain Basin Restoration Program within EPA. The program authorizes the Environmental Protection Agency to help people in Louisiana and Mississippi address pollution problems affecting Lake Pontchartrain. Now it is time to reauthorize the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Program.

H.R. 6121, introduced by Mr. BAKER, would reauthorize the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Restoration Program for an additional 5 years. I would like to commend Representative BAKER for his efforts to restore the ecological health of Lake Pontchartrain, and I urge all Members to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I too rise in support of H.R. 6121, the reauthorization of the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Program. My good friend and colleague from Wisconsin gave a clear and convincing summary of what the bill is about, and I see no reason to actually prolong this. I agree with the sentiment, and I strongly support it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr.

PETRI) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 6121.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds of those voting having responded in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SENATOR WILLIAM V. ROTH, JR. BRIDGE

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill (S. 1140) to designate the State Route 1 Bridge in the State of Delaware as the "Senator William V. Roth, Jr. Bridge".

The Clerk read as follows:

S. 1140

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. DESIGNATION OF SENATOR WILLIAM V. ROTH, JR. BRIDGE.

The State Route 1 Bridge over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal in the State of Delaware is designated as the "Senator William V. Roth, Jr. Bridge".

SEC. 2. REFERENCES.

Any reference in a law (including regulations), map, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the bridge described in section 1 shall be considered to be a reference to the Senator William V. Roth, Jr. Bridge.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. PETRI) and the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill now under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This bill will designate the State Route 1 bridge in the State of Delaware as the Senator William V. Roth, Jr. Bridge.

Senator Roth began his public service when he was elected to the House in 1966. He served two terms in the House before being elected to the Senate in 1970, where he served for 30 years. During that time, Bill Roth rose to the chairmanship of the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs and the Senate Finance Committee. Senator Roth was a well-known fiscal conservative who is probably best known outside his home State of Delaware as the creator of the individual retirement account that bears his name, the Roth IRA.

I would note that the Senate passed this bill by unanimous consent last year, and our colleague, Representative MIKE CASTLE, has introduced an identical bill here in the House. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support S.

1140. Naming this bridge after Senator Roth is a fitting tribute to his many years of public service.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I too rise in support of S. 1140.

I appreciate the clear analysis from my friend from Wisconsin. I would have just one other element to add, and that is that I think it is particularly fitting and appropriate for our committee to advance this and to commemorate Senator Roth. He was keenly interested in environmental protection in the course of his career and was one of the most aggressive and active supporters of Amtrak, at a time when, as my colleague and friend mentioned, Senator Roth was a fiscal conservative to the bone.

□ 1445

But he was very clear that investment in a national rail passenger service, Amtrak, was good sound fiscal investment. It was good for the environment. It was good for transportation. I am only sorry that it is not a railroad bridge that we are naming after Senator Roth, but I am proud to support this legislation.

Mr. CASTLE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of S. 1140, legislation to name the State Route 1 Bridge over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal in Delaware after the late Senator William V. Roth, Jr.

S. 1140 passed the Senate unanimously in June 2005, and as the sponsor of the House companion, H.R. 2800, I am pleased to join Senators CARPER and BIDEN in offering my full support for S. 1140 and to encourage its adoption by the House today.

A leader and dedicated public servant, Senator Roth served honorably during his years in Congress and set a tremendous example for future generations of Americans. Best known for creating the successful "Roth IRA," Senator Roth also fought hard in Congress to improve the quality of transportation in the State of Delaware. In the early 1990s, Senator Roth played an important role in helping to build the State Route 1 Bridge in New Castle County, Delaware.

Senator Roth was first elected to the House in 1966, serving two terms, before being elected to the Senate, where he served for 30 years. He also won the Bronze Star for his service in the U.S. Army during World War II. He died in 2003 at the age of 82.

Nothing in my mind would serve as a better tribute to Senator Roth's many years of dedicated public service than to rename this bridge, a true architectural gem in Delaware, in his honor.

I urge all my colleagues to join me in supporting S. 1140.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PETRI. I have no further speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. PETRI) that the House suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill, S. 1140.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds of those voting having responded in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the Senate bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANIMAL ENTERPRISE TERRORISM ACT

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill (S. 3880) to provide the Department of Justice the necessary authority to apprehend, prosecute, and convict individuals committing animal enterprise terror.

The Clerk read as follows:

S. 3880

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act".

SEC. 2. INCLUSION OF ECONOMIC DAMAGE TO ANIMAL ENTERPRISES AND THREATS OF DEATH AND SERIOUS BODILY INJURY TO ASSOCIATED PERSONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 43 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 43. Force, violence, and threats involving animal enterprises

"(a) OFFENSE.—Whoever travels in interstate or foreign commerce, or uses or causes to be used the mail or any facility of interstate or foreign commerce—

"(1) for the purpose of damaging or interfering with the operations of an animal enterprise; and

"(2) in connection with such purpose—

"(A) intentionally damages or causes the loss of any real or personal property (including animals or records) used by an animal enterprise, or any real or personal property of a person or entity having a connection to, relationship with, or transactions with an animal enterprise;

"(B) intentionally places a person in reasonable fear of the death of, or serious bodily injury to that person, a member of the immediate family (as defined in section 115) of that person, or a spouse or intimate partner of that person by a course of conduct involving threats, acts of vandalism, property damage, criminal trespass, harassment, or intimidation; or

"(C) conspires or attempts to do so; shall be punished as provided for in subsection (b).

"(b) PENALTIES.—The punishment for a violation of section (a) or an attempt or conspiracy to violate subsection (a) shall be—

"(1) a fine under this title or imprisonment not more than 1 year, or both, if the offense does not instill in another the reasonable fear of serious bodily injury or death and—

"(A) the offense results in no economic damage or bodily injury; or

"(B) the offense results in economic damage that does not exceed \$10,000;

"(2) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 5 years, or both, if no bodily injury occurs and—

"(A) the offense results in economic damage exceeding \$10,000 but not exceeding \$100,000; or

"(B) the offense instills in another the reasonable fear of serious bodily injury or death;

"(3) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 10 years, or both, if—

"(A) the offense results in economic damage exceeding \$100,000; or

"(B) the offense results in substantial bodily injury to another individual;

"(4) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 20 years, or both, if—

"(A) the offense results in serious bodily injury to another individual; or

"(B) the offense results in economic damage exceeding \$1,000,000; and

"(5) imprisonment for life or for any terms of years, a fine under this title, or both, if the offense results in death of another individual.

"(c) RESTITUTION.—An order of restitution under section 3663 or 3663A of this title with respect to a violation of this section may also include restitution—

"(1) for the reasonable cost of repeating any experimentation that was interrupted or invalidated as a result of the offense;

"(2) for the loss of food production or farm income reasonably attributable to the offense; and

"(3) for any other economic damage, including any losses or costs caused by economic disruption, resulting from the offense.

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

"(1) the term 'animal enterprise' means—

"(A) a commercial or academic enterprise that uses or sells animals or animal products for profit, food or fiber production, agriculture, education, research, or testing;

"(B) a zoo, aquarium, animal shelter, pet store, breeder, furrier, circus, or rodeo, or other lawful competitive animal event; or

"(C) any fair or similar event intended to advance agricultural arts and sciences;

"(2) the term 'course of conduct' means a pattern of conduct composed of 2 or more acts, evidencing a continuity of purpose;

"(3) the term 'economic damage'—

"(A) means the replacement costs of lost or damaged property or records, the costs of repeating an interrupted or invalidated experiment, the loss of profits, or increased costs, including losses and increased costs resulting from threats, acts of vandalism, property damage, trespass, harassment, or intimidation taken against a person or entity on account of that person's or entity's connection to, relationship with, or transactions with the animal enterprise; but

"(B) does not include any lawful economic disruption (including a lawful boycott) that results from lawful public, governmental, or business reaction to the disclosure of information about an animal enterprise;

"(4) the term 'serious bodily injury' means—

"(A) injury posing a substantial risk of death;

"(B) extreme physical pain;

"(C) protracted and obvious disfigurement; or

"(D) protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty; and

"(5) the term 'substantial bodily injury' means—

"(A) deep cuts and serious burns or abrasions;

"(B) short-term or nonobvious disfigurement;

"(C) fractured or dislocated bones, or torn members of the body;

"(D) significant physical pain;

"(E) illness;

"(F) short-term loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty; or

"(G) any other significant injury to the body.

"(e) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed—

"(1) to prohibit any expressive conduct (including peaceful picketing or other peaceful