
NOVEMBER 18 (legislative day, NOVEMBER 17), 2005.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. KNOLLENBERG, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 3058]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3058) “making appropriations for the Departments of Transportation, Treasury, and Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, District of Columbia, and independent agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes”, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

DIVISION A—TRANSPORTATION, TREASURY, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, THE JUDICIARY, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2006

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the Departments of Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, and independent agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes, namely:
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Salaries and Expenses

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Secretary, $84,900,000, of which not to exceed $2,198,000 shall be available for the immediate Office of the Secretary; not to exceed $698,000 shall be available for the immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary; not to exceed $15,183,000 shall be available for the Office of the General Counsel; not to exceed $11,650,000 shall be available for the Office of the Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy; not to exceed $8,485,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs; not to exceed $2,293,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs; not to exceed $22,031,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration; not to exceed $1,910,000 shall be available for the Office of Public Affairs; not to exceed $1,442,000 shall be available for the Office of the Executive Secretariat; not to exceed $697,000 shall be available for the Board of Contract Appeals; not to exceed $1,265,000 shall be available for the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization; not to exceed $2,033,000 for the Office of Intelligence and Security; not to exceed $11,895,000 shall be available for the Office of the Chief Information Officer; and not to exceed $3,120,000 shall be available for the Office of Emergency Transportation: Provided, That the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to transfer funds appropriated for any office of the Office of the Secretary to any other office of the Office of the Secretary: Provided further, That no appropriation for any office shall be increased or decreased by more than 5 percent by all such transfers: Provided further, That notice of any change in funding greater than 5 percent shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That not to exceed $60,000 shall be for allocation within the Department for official reception and representation expenses as the Secretary may determine: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, excluding fees authorized in Public Law 107–71, there may be credited to this appropriation up to $2,500,000 in funds received in user fees: Provided further, That none of the funds provided in this Act shall be available for the position of Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs.

Office of Civil Rights

For necessary expenses of the Office of Civil Rights, $8,550,000.

Transportation Planning, Research, and Development

For necessary expenses for conducting transportation planning, research, systems development, development activities, and making grants, to remain available until expended, $15,000,000.

Working Capital Fund

Necessary expenses for operating costs and capital outlays of the Working Capital Fund, not to exceed $118,014,000, shall be paid
from appropriations made available to the Department of Transportation: Provided, That such services shall be provided on a competitive basis to entities within the Department of Transportation: Provided further, That the above limitation on operating expenses shall not apply to non-DOT entities: Provided further, That no funds appropriated in this Act to an agency of the Department shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund without the approval of the agency modal administrator: Provided further, That no assessments may be levied against any program, budget activity, subactivity or project funded by this Act unless notice of such assessments and the basis therefor are presented to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and are approved by such Committees.

MINORITY BUSINESS RESOURCE CENTER PROGRAM

For the cost of guaranteed loans, $500,000, as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 332: Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed $18,367,000. In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, $400,000.

MINORITY BUSINESS OUTREACH

For necessary expenses of Minority Business Resource Center outreach activities, $3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007: Provided, That notwithstanding 49 U.S.C. 332, these funds may be used for business opportunities related to any mode of transportation.

PAYMENTS TO AIR CARRIERS

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

(Including Transfer of Funds)

In addition to funds made available from any other source to carry out the essential air service program under 49 U.S.C. 41731 through 41742, $60,000,000, to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, in determining between or among carriers competing to provide service to a community, the Secretary may consider the relative subsidy requirements of the carriers: Provided further, That, if the funds under this heading are insufficient to meet the costs of the essential air service program in the current fiscal year, the Secretary shall transfer such sums as may be necessary to carry out the essential air service program from any available amounts appropriated to or directly administered by the Office of the Secretary for such fiscal year.

NEW HEADQUARTERS BUILDING

For necessary expenses of the Department of Transportation’s new headquarters building and related services, $50,000,000, to remain available until expended.
For necessary expenses of the Federal Aviation Administration, not otherwise provided for, including operations and research activities related to commercial space transportation, administrative expenses for research and development, establishment of air navigation facilities, the operation (including leasing) and maintenance of aircraft, subsidizing the cost of aeronautical charts and maps sold to the public, lease or purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, in addition to amounts made available by Public Law 108–176, $8,036,000,000, of which $5,541,000,000 shall be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, of which not to exceed $6,629,000,000 shall be available for air traffic organization activities; not to exceed $958,542,000 shall be available for aviation regulation and certification activities; not to exceed $11,759,000 shall be available for commercial space transportation activities; not to exceed $50,983,000 shall be available for financial services activities; not to exceed $69,943,000 shall be available for human resources program activities; not to exceed $150,744,000 shall be available for region and center operations and regional coordination activities; not to exceed $142,000,000 shall be available for staff offices; and not to exceed $36,112,000 shall be available for information services: Provided, That not to exceed 2 percent of any budget activity, except for aviation regulation and certification budget activity, may be transferred to any budget activity under this heading: Provided further, That no transfer may increase or decrease any appropriation by more than 2 percent: Provided further, That any transfer in excess of 2 percent shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 710 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the Federal Aviation Administration to finalize or implement any regulation that would promulgate new aviation user fees not specifically authorized by law after the date of the enactment of this Act: Provided further, That there may be credited to this appropriation funds received from States, counties, municipalities, foreign authorities, other public authorities, and private sources, for expenses incurred in the provision of agency services, including receipts for the maintenance and operation of air navigation facilities, and for issuance, renewal or modification of certificates, including airman, aircraft, and repair station certificates, or for tests related thereto, or for processing major repair or alteration forms: Provided further, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not less than $7,500,000 shall be for the contract tower cost-sharing program: Provided further, That funds may be used to enter into a grant agreement with a nonprofit standard-setting organization to assist in the development of aviation safety standards: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for new applicants for the second career training program: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for paying premium pay under 5 U.S.C. 5546(a) to any Federal Aviation Administration employee unless such employee actually performed work during the time corresponding to such premium pay: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act may
be obligated or expended to operate a manned auxiliary flight service station in the contiguous United States: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act for aeronautical charting and cartography are available for activities conducted by, or coordinated through, the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act may be obligated or expended for an employee of the Federal Aviation Administration to purchase a store gift card or gift certificate through use of a Government-issued credit card. In addition, $150,000,000 is for costs associated with the flight service station transition.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for acquisition, establishment, technical support services, improvement by contract or purchase, and hire of air navigation and experimental facilities and equipment, as authorized under part A of subtitle VII of title 49, United States Code, including initial acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant; engineering and service testing, including construction of test facilities and acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant; construction and furnishing of quarters and related accommodations for officers and employees of the Federal Aviation Administration stationed at remote localities where such accommodations are not available; and the purchase, lease, or transfer of aircraft from funds available under this heading; to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, $2,540,000,000, of which $2,110,789,500 shall remain available until September 30, 2008, and of which $429,210,500 shall remain available until September 30, 2006: Provided, That there may be credited to this appropriation funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources, for expenses incurred in the establishment and modernization of air navigation facilities: Provided further, That upon initial submission to the Congress of the fiscal year 2007 President's budget, the Secretary of Transportation shall transmit to the Congress a comprehensive capital investment plan for the Federal Aviation Administration which includes funding for each budget line item for fiscal years 2007 through 2011, with total funding for each year of the plan constrained to the funding targets for those years as estimated and approved by the Office of Management and Budget.

RESEARCH, ENGINEERING, AND DEVELOPMENT
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for research, engineering, and development, as authorized under part A of subtitle VII of title 49, United States Code, including construction of experimental facilities and acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant, $138,000,000, to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund and to remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That there may be credited to this appropriation funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources, for expenses incurred for research, engineering, and development.
For liquidation of obligations incurred for grants-in-aid for airport planning and development, and noise compatibility planning and programs as authorized under subchapter I of chapter 471 and subchapter I of chapter 475 of title 49, United States Code, and under other law authorizing such obligations; for procurement, installation, and commissioning of runway incursion prevention devices and systems at airports of such title; for grants authorized under section 41743 of title 49, United States Code; and for inspection activities and administration of airport safety programs, including those related to airport operating certificates under section 44706 of title 49, United States Code, $3,399,000,000 to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund and to remain available until expended: Provided, That none of the funds under this heading shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the obligations for which are in excess of $3,550,000,000 in fiscal year 2006, notwithstanding section 47117(g) of title 49, United States Code: Provided further, That none of the funds under this heading shall be available for the replacement of baggage conveyor systems, reconfiguration of terminal baggage areas, or other airport improvements that are necessary to install bulk explosive detection systems: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, of funds limited under this heading, not more than $71,096,000 shall be obligated for administration, not less than $10,000,000 shall be available for the airport cooperative research program, and not less than $10,000,000 shall be available to carry out the Small Community Air Service Development Program, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That not later than December 31, 2015, the owner or operator of an airport certificated under 49 U.S.C. 44706 shall improve the airport’s runway safety areas to comply with the Federal Aviation Administration design standards required by 14 CFR part 139: Provided further, That the Federal Aviation Administration shall report annually to the Congress on the agency’s progress toward improving the runway safety areas at 49 U.S.C. 44706 airports.

Of the amounts authorized for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006 and prior years under sections 48103 and 48112 of title 49, United States Code, $1,032,000,000 are rescinded.

Sec. 101. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, airports may transfer without consideration to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) instrument landing systems (along with associated approach lighting equipment and runway visual range equipment)
which conform to FAA design and performance specifications, the purchase of which was assisted by a Federal airport-aid program, airport development aid program or airport improvement program grant: Provided, That the Federal Aviation Administration shall accept such equipment, which shall thereafter be operated and maintained by FAA in accordance with agency criteria.

SEC. 102. None of the funds in this Act may be used to compensate in excess of 375 technical staff-years under the federally funded research and development center contract between the Federal Aviation Administration and the Center for Advanced Aviation Systems Development during fiscal year 2006.

SEC. 103. None of the funds in this Act shall be used to pursue or adopt guidelines or regulations requiring airport sponsors to provide to the Federal Aviation Administration without cost building construction, maintenance, utilities and expenses, or space in airport sponsor-owned buildings for services relating to air traffic control, air navigation, or weather reporting: Provided, That the prohibition of funds in this section does not apply to negotiations between the agency and airport sponsors to achieve agreement on “below-market” rates for these items or to grant assurances that require airport sponsors to provide land without cost to the FAA for air traffic control facilities.

SEC. 104. The Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration may reimburse amounts made available to satisfy 49 U.S.C. 41742(a)(1) from fees credited under 49 U.S.C. 45303: Provided, That during fiscal year 2006, 49 U.S.C. 41742(b) shall not apply, and any amount remaining in such account at the close of that fiscal year may be made available to satisfy section 41742(a)(1) for the subsequent fiscal year.

SEC. 105. Amounts collected under section 40113(e) of title 49, United States Code, shall be credited to the appropriation current at the time of collection, to be merged with and available for the same purposes of such appropriation.

SEC. 106. None of the funds appropriated or limited by this Act may be used to change weight restrictions or prior permission rules at Teterboro Airport in Teterboro, New Jersey.

SEC. 107. None of the funds made available in this Act shall be used for engineering work related to an additional runway at Louis Armstrong New Orleans International Airport.

SEC. 108. (a) Section 44302(f)(1) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “2005,” each place it appears and inserting “2006.”

(b) Section 44303(b) of such title is amended by striking “2005,” and inserting “2006.”

SEC. 109. Section 47114(c)(1) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding the following new paragraph at the end:

“(G) SPECIAL RULE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A) and the absence of scheduled passenger aircraft service at an airport, the Secretary may apportion in fiscal year 2006 to the sponsor of the airport an amount equal to $500,000, if the Secretary finds that—

“(i) the passenger boardings at the airport were below 10,000 in calendar year 2004;
“(ii) the airport had at least 10,000 passenger boardings and scheduled passenger aircraft service in either calendar year 2000 or 2001; and
“(iii) the reason that passenger boardings described in clause (i) were below 10,000 was the decrease in passengers following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001.”.

**FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION**

**LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES**

Necessary expenses for administration and operation of the Federal Highway Administration, not to exceed $364,638,000, shall be paid in accordance with law from appropriations made available by this Act to the Federal Highway Administration together with advances and reimbursements received by the Federal Highway Administration.

**FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS**

**(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)**

**(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)**

None of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs, the obligations for which are in excess of $36,032,343,903 for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for fiscal year 2006: Provided, That within the $36,032,343,903 obligation limitation on Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs, not more than $429,800,000 shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs for transportation research (chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code; sections 111, 5505, and 5506 of title 49, United States Code; and title 5 of Public Law 109–59) for fiscal year 2006: Provided further, That this limitation on transportation research programs shall not apply to any authority previously made available for obligation: Provided further, That the Secretary may, as authorized by section 605(b) of title 23, United States Code, collect and spend fees to cover the costs of services of expert firms, including counsel, in the field of municipal and project finance to assist in the underwriting and servicing of Federal credit instruments and all or a portion of the costs to the Federal government of servicing such credit instruments: Provided further, That such fees are available until expended to pay for such costs: Provided further, That such amounts are in addition to administrative expenses that are also available for such purpose, and are not subject to any obligation limitation or the limitation on administrative expenses under section 608 of title 23, United States Code.

**(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)**

**(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)**

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for carrying out the provisions of title 23, United States Code, that are attributable to Federal-aid highways, not otherwise provided, including reimbursement for sums expended pursuant to the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 308, $36,032,343,903 or so much thereof as may be available...
in and derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account), to remain available until expended.

(RESCSSION)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)


APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM

For necessary expenses for the Appalachian Development Highway System as authorized under section 1069(y) of Public Law 102–240, as amended, $20,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 110. (a) For fiscal year 2006, the Secretary of Transportation shall—

(1) not distribute from the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways amounts authorized for administrative expenses and programs by section 104(a) of title 23, United States Code; programs funded from the administrative takedown authorized by section 104(a)(1) of title 23, United States Code (as in effect on the date before the date of enactment of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users); the highway use tax evasion program; the Bureau of Transportation Statistics; the programs, projects, and activities funded from the takedown authorized by section 112 of this Act; and the unobligated balances of funds made available for programs, projects, and activities funded from the takedown authorized by section 117 of title I of division H of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–447) for which no obligation limitation has previously been made available;

(2) not distribute an amount from the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways that is equal to the unobligated balance of amounts made available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for Federal-aid highways and highway safety programs for previous fiscal years the funds for which are allocated by the Secretary;

(3) determine the ratio that—

(A) the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate of amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2), bears to

(B) the total of the sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (other than sums authorized to be appropriated for provisions of law described in paragraphs (1) through (9) of subsection (b) and sums authorized to be appropriated for section 105 of title 23, United States Code, equal to the amount referred to in subsection (b)(10) for
such fiscal year), less the aggregate of the amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection;

(4)(A) distribute the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2), for sections 1301, 1302, and 1934 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users; sections 117 (but individually for each project numbered 1 through 3676 listed in the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users) and 144(g) of title 23, United States Code; and section 14501 of title 40, United States Code, so that the amount of obligation authority available for each of such sections is equal to the amount determined by multiplying the ratio determined under paragraph (3) by the sums authorized to be appropriated for that section for the fiscal year; and

(B) distribute $2,000,000,000 for section 105 of title 23, United States Code;

(5) distribute the obligation limitation provided for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) and amounts distributed under paragraph (4), for each of the programs that are allocated by the Secretary under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users and title 23, United States Code (other than to programs to which paragraphs (1) and (4) apply), by multiplying the ratio determined under paragraph (3) by the amounts authorized to be appropriated for each such program for such fiscal year; and

(6) distribute the obligation limitation provided for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) and amounts distributed under paragraphs (4) and (5), for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (other than the amounts apportioned for the equity bonus program, but only to the extent that the amounts apportioned for the equity bonus program for the fiscal year are greater than $2,639,000,000, and the Appalachian development highway system program) that are apportioned by the Secretary under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users and title 23, United States Code, in the ratio that—

(A) amounts authorized to be appropriated for such programs that are apportioned to each State for such fiscal year, bear to

(B) the total of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for such programs that are apportioned to all States for such fiscal year.

(b) EXCEPTIONS FROM OBLIGATION LIMITATION.—The obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways shall not apply to obligations:

(1) under section 125 of title 23, United States Code; (2) under section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978; (3) under section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981; (4) under subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982; (5) under subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987; (6) under sections 1103 through 1108 of the Inter-
modal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991; (7) under section 157 of title 23, United States Code, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century; (8) under section 105 of title 23, United States Code, as in effect for fiscal years 1998 through 2004, but only in an amount equal to $639,000,000 for each of those fiscal years; (9) for Federal-aid highway programs for which obligation authority was made available under the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century or subsequent public laws for multiple years or to remain available until used, but only to the extent that the obligation authority has not lapsed or been used; (10) under section 105 of title 23, United States Code, but only in an amount equal to $639,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005 and 2006; and (11) under section 1603 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users, to the extent that funds obligated in accordance with that section were not subject to a limitation on obligations at the time at which the funds were initially made available for obligation.

(c) REDISTRIBUTION OF UNUSED OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary shall, after August 1 of each fiscal year, revise a distribution of the obligation limitation made available under subsection (a) if the amount distributed cannot be obligated during that fiscal year and redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to those previously distributed during that fiscal year, giving priority to those States having large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under sections 104 and 144 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—The obligation limitation shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code, and title V (research title) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users, except that obligation authority made available for such programs under such limitation shall remain available for a period of 3 fiscal years and shall be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for future fiscal years.

(e) REDISTRIBUTION OF CERTAIN AUTHORIZED FUNDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the distribution of obligation limitation under subsection (a), the Secretary shall distribute to the States any funds that—

(A) are authorized to be appropriated for such fiscal year for Federal-aid highways programs; and

(B) the Secretary determines will not be obligated to the States, and will not be available for obligation, in such fiscal year due to the imposition of any obligation limitation for such fiscal year.

(2) RATIO.—Funds shall be distributed under paragraph (1) in the same ratio as the distribution of obligation authority under subsection (a)(6).

(3) AVAILABILITY.—Funds distributed under paragraph (1) shall be available for any purposes described in section 133(b) of title 23, United States Code.
(f) **Special Limitation Characteristics.**—Obligation limitation distributed for a fiscal year under subsection (a)(4) for the provision specified in subsection (a)(4) shall—

(a)(1) for programs, projects, and activities funded from the takedown authorized by section 117 of title I of division H of Public Law 108–447 and under subsection

(1) remain available until used for obligation of funds for that provision; and

(2) be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for future fiscal years.

(g) **High Priority Project Flexibility.**—

(1) **In General.**—Subject to paragraph (2), obligation authority distributed for such fiscal year under subsection (a)(4) for each project numbered 1 through 3676 listed in the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users may be obligated for any other project in such section in the same State.

(2) **Restoration.**—Obligation authority used as described in paragraph (1) shall be restored to the original purpose on the date on which obligation authority is distributed under this section for the next fiscal year following obligation under paragraph (1).

(h) **Limitation on Statutory Construction.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the distribution of obligation authority under subsection (a)(4)(A) for each of the individual projects numbered greater than 3676 listed in the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users.

SEC. 111. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, funds received by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics from the sale of data products, for necessary expenses incurred pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 111 may be credited to the Federal-aid highways account for the purpose of reimbursing the Bureau for such expenses: Provided, That such funds shall be subject to the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction.

SEC. 112. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, whenever an allocation is made of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the Federal lands highway program, and whenever an apportionment is made of the sums authorized to be appropriated for the surface transportation program, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, the National Highway System, the Interstate maintenance program, the bridge program, the Appalachian development highway system, and the equity bonus program, the Secretary of Transportation shall deduct a sum in such amount not to exceed 2.75 percent of all sums so authorized: Provided, That of the amount so deducted in accordance with this section, $600,000,000 shall be made available for surface transportation projects and $25,000,000 shall be made available for highway priority projects as identified under this section in the statement of the managers accompanying this Act: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law and the preceding clauses of this provision, the Secretary of Transportation may use amounts made available by this section to make grants for any surface transportation project otherwise eligible for funding under title
23 or title 49, United States Code: Provided further, That funds made available under this section, at the request of a State, shall be transferred by the Secretary to another Federal agency: Provided further, That the Federal share payable on account of any program, project, or activity carried out with funds made available under this section shall be 100 percent; Provided further, That the sum deducted in accordance with this section shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That all funds made available under this section shall be subject to any limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs set forth in this Act or any other Act: Provided further, That the obligation limitation made available for the programs, projects, and activities for which funds are made available under this section shall remain available until used and shall be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for future fiscal years.

SEC. 113. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, projects and activities described in the statement of managers accompanying this Act under the headings "Federal-Aid Highways" and "Federal Transit Administration" shall be eligible for fiscal year 2006 funds made available for the project for which each project or activity is so designated: Provided, That the Federal share payable on account of any such projects and activities subject to this section shall be the same as the share required by the Federal program under which each project or activity is designated unless otherwise provided in this Act.

SEC. 114. BYPASS BRIDGE AT HOOVER DAM. (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Transportation may expend from any funds appropriated for expenditure in accordance with title 23, United States Code, for payment of debt service by the States of Arizona and Nevada on notes issued for the bypass bridge project at Hoover Dam, pending appropriation or replenishment for that project.

(b) REIMBURSEMENT.—Funds expended under subsection (a) shall be reimbursed from the funds made available to the States of Arizona and Nevada for payment of debt service on notes issued for the bypass bridge project at Hoover Dam.

SEC. 115. Section 1023(h) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 127 note; 105 Stat. 1951) is amended by striking paragraphs (2) and (3) and inserting the following:

"(2) STATE ACTION.—

"(A) WEIGHT LIMITATIONS.—For the period beginning on the date of enactment of this subparagraph and ending on September 30, 2009, a covered State, including any political subdivision of such State, may not enforce a single axle weight limitation of less than 24,000 pounds, including enforcement tolerances, on any vehicle referred to in paragraph (1) in any case in which the vehicle is using the Interstate System.

"(B) COVERED STATE DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term 'covered State' means a State that has enforced, in the period beginning on October 6, 1992, and ending on the date of enactment of this subparagraph, a single axle weight limitation of 20,000 pounds or greater but less than
24,000 pounds, including enforcement tolerances, on any vehicle referred to in paragraph (1) in any case in which the vehicle is using the Interstate System.”.

SEC. 116. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, access to the I–5 “Transit Only” ramps at NE 163rd in Shoreline, Washington, shall be expanded to include King County Solid Waste Division transfer vehicles upon the determination of the Federal Highway Administrator that necessary safety improvements have been completed.

SEC. 117. DESIGNATION OF MAX M. FISHER MEMORIAL HIGHWAY. (a) DESIGNATION.—The portion of highway US–24 in the State of Michigan, beginning at Interstate 96 and extending north to Interstate 75 at exit 93 west of Clarkston, shall be known and designated as the “Max M. Fisher Memorial Highway”. (b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the highway portion referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the “Max M. Fisher Memorial Highway”.

SEC. 118. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds provided in Public Law 108–7 under the heading “Federal-aid Highways” for intelligent transportation system projects and designated for Gettysburg Borough Signal Coordination and Upgrade-Signalization; Adams County, Pennsylvania shall be available for Gettysburg Borough and Surrounding Municipalities Signal Coordination and Upgrade-Signalization; Adams County, Pennsylvania.

FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION
MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS
(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred for administration of motor carrier safety operations and programs pursuant to section 31104(i) of title 49, United States Code, and sections 4127 and 4134 of Public Law 109–59, $213,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account), together with advances and reimbursements received by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, the sum of which shall remain available until expended: Provided, That none of the funds derived from the Highway Trust Fund in this Act shall be available for the implementation, execution or administration of programs, the obligations for which are in excess of $213,000,000, for “Motor Carrier Safety Operations and Programs”, of which $10,084,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2008, is for the research and technology program and $1,000,000 shall be available for commercial motor vehicle operator’s grants to carry out section 4134 of Public Law 109–59: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds under this heading for outreach and education shall be available for transfer.
MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY GRANTS
(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out sections 31102, 31104, 31106, 31107, 31109, 31309, 31313 of title 49, United States Code, and sections 4126 and 4128 of Public Law 109–59, $282,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) and to remain available until expended: Provided, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs, the obligations for which are in excess of $282,000,000, for “Motor Carrier Safety Grants”; of which $188,000,000 shall be available for the motor carrier safety assistance program to carry out sections 31102 and 31104 of title 49, United States Code; $25,000,000 shall be available for the commercial driver's license improvements program to carry out section 31313 of title 49, United States Code; $32,000,000 shall be available for the border enforcement grants program to carry out section 31107 of title 49, United States Code; $5,000,000 shall be available for the performance and registration information system management program to carry out sections 31106 and 31109 of title 49, United States Code; $25,000,000 shall be available for the commercial vehicle information systems and networks deployment program to carry out section 4126 of Public Law 109–59; $2,000,000 shall be available for the safety data improvement program to carry out section 4128 of Public Law 109–59; and $5,000,000 shall be available for the commercial driver's license information system modernization program to carry out section 31309 of title 49, United States Code: Provided further, That of the funds made available for the motor carrier safety assistance program, $29,000,000 shall be available for audits of new entrant motor carriers.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 120. Funds appropriated or limited in this Act shall be subject to the terms and conditions stipulated in section 350 of Public Law 107–87, including that the Secretary submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees annually on the safety and security of transportation into the United States by Mexico-domiciled motor carriers.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION
OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary to discharge the functions of the Secretary, with respect to traffic and highway safety under chapter 301 of title 49, United States Code, and part C of subtitle VI of title 49, United States Code, $122,457,000, to be derived from the sum au-
authorized to be deducted under section 112 of this Act and transferred to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration upon enactment of this Act, of which $96,301,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2006 and $26,156,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That such funds shall be transferred to and administered by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended to plan, finalize, or implement any rulemaking to add to section 575.104 of title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations any requirement pertaining to a grading standard that is different from the three grading standards (treadwear, traction, and temperature resistance) already in effect: Provided further, That all funds made available under this heading shall be subject to any limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs set forth in this Act or any other Act: Provided further, That the obligation limitation made available for the programs, projects, and activities for which funds are made available under this heading shall remain available as specified and shall be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for future fiscal years.

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 403, to remain available until expended, $110,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund: Provided, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the total obligations for which, in fiscal year 2006, are in excess of $110,000,000 for programs authorized under 23 U.S.C. 403.

NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out chapter 303 of title 49, United States Code, $4,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund and remain available until September 30, 2007: Provided, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs the obligations for which are in excess of $4,000,000 for the National Driver Register authorized under chapter 303 of title 49, United States Code.
For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 402, 405, 406, 408, and 410 and sections 2001(a)(11), 2009, 2010, and 2011 of Public Law 109–59, to remain available until expended, $578,176,000 to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account): Provided, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the total obligations for which, in fiscal year 2006, are in excess of $578,176,000 for programs authorized under 23 U.S.C. 402, 405, 406, 408, and 410 and sections 2001(a)(11), 2009, 2010, and 2011 of Public Law 109–59, of which $217,000,000 shall be for “Highway Safety Programs” under 23 U.S.C. 402, $25,000,000 shall be for “Occupant Protection Incentive Grants” under 23 U.S.C. 405, $124,500,000 shall be for “Safety Belt Performance Grants” under 23 U.S.C. 406, $34,500,000 shall be for “State Traffic Safety Information System Improvements” under 23 U.S.C. 408, $120,000,000 shall be for “Alcohol-Impaired Driving Countermeasures Incentive Grant Program” under 23 U.S.C. 410, $16,176,000 shall be for “Administrative Expenses” under section 2001(a)(11) of Public Law 109–59, $29,000,000 shall be for “High Visibility Enforcement Program” under section 2009 of Public Law 109–59, $6,000,000 shall be for “Motorcyclist Safety” under section 2010 of Public Law 109–59, and $6,000,000 shall be for “Child Safety and Child Booster Seat Safety Incentive Grants” under section 2011 of Public Law 109–59: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be used for construction, rehabilitation, or remodeling costs, or for office furnishings and fixtures for State, local or private buildings or structures: Provided further, That not to exceed $500,000 of the funds made available for section 410 “Alcohol-Impaired Driving Countermeasures Grants” shall be available for technical assistance to the States: Provided further, That not to exceed $750,000 of the funds made available for the “High Visibility Enforcement Program” shall be available for the evaluation required under section 2009(f) of Public Law 109–59.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 125. Notwithstanding any other provision of law or limitation on the use of funds made available under section 403 of title 23, United States Code, an additional $130,000 shall be made available to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, out of the amount limited for section 402 of title 23, United States Code, to pay for travel and related expenses for State management reviews and to pay for core competency development training and related expenses for highway safety staff.
FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION
SAFETY AND OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Federal Railroad Administration, not otherwise provided for, $145,949,000, of which $13,856,000 shall remain available until expended.

RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses for railroad research and development, $55,075,000, to remain available until expended, of which $6,500,000 shall be available for positive train control projects and $7,190,000 shall be available for grants for rail corridor planning, development and improvement and Federal share payable under such grants shall be 50 percent.

RAILROAD REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Secretary of Transportation is authorized to issue to the Secretary of the Treasury notes or other obligations pursuant to section 512 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (Public Law 94–210), as amended, in such amounts and at such times as may be necessary to pay any amounts required pursuant to the guarantee of the principal amount of obligations under sections 511 through 513 of such Act, such authority to exist as long as any such guaranteed obligation is outstanding: Provided, That pursuant to section 502 of such Act, as amended, no new direct loans or loan guarantee commitments shall be made using Federal funds for the credit risk premium during fiscal year 2006.

ALASKA RAILROAD REHABILITATION

To enable the Secretary of Transportation to make grants to the Alaska Railroad, $10,000,000, for capital rehabilitation and improvements benefiting its passenger operations, to remain available until expended.

OPERATING SUBSIDY GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

To enable the Secretary of Transportation to make quarterly grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation for operation of intercity passenger rail, $495,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of Transportation shall approve funding to cover operating losses for the National Railroad Passenger Corporation only after receiving and reviewing a grant request for each specific train route: Provided further, That each such grant request shall be accompanied by a detailed financial analysis, revenue projection, and capital expenditure projection justifying the Federal support to the Secretary's satisfaction: Provided further, That the Secretary of Transportation shall reserve $60,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading and is authorized to transfer such sums to the Surface Transportation Board, upon request from said Board, to carry out directed service orders issued pursuant to section 11123 of title 49, United States Code, to respond to the cessation of commuter rail operations by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation: Provided further, That the Secretary of Transportation shall make the reserved funds available to
the National Railroad Passenger Corporation through an appropriate grant instrument not earlier than September 1, 2006 to the extent that no directed service orders have been issued by the Surface Transportation Board as of the date of transfer or there is a balance of reserved funds not needed by the Board to pay for any directed service order issued through September 30, 2006: Provided further, That the Corporation is directed to achieve savings through operating efficiencies including, but not limited to, modifications to food and beverage service and first class service: Provided further, That the Inspector General of the Department of Transportation shall report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations beginning on January 3, 2006 and quarterly thereafter with estimates of the savings accrued as a result of all operational reforms instituted by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation: Provided further, That if the Inspector General cannot certify that the Corporation has achieved operational savings by July 1, 2006, none of the funds in this Act may be used after July 1, 2006, to subsidize the net losses of food and beverage service and sleeper car service on any Amtrak route: Provided further, That of the funds provided under this section, not less than $5,000,000 shall be expended for the development and implementation of a managerial cost accounting system, which includes average and marginal unit cost capability: Provided further, That within 30 days of development of the managerial cost accounting system, the Department of Transportation Inspector General shall review and comment to the Secretary of Transportation and the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations upon the strengths and weaknesses of the system and how it best can be implemented to improve decision making by the Board of Directors and management of the Corporation: Provided further, That not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, Amtrak shall transmit, in electronic format, to the Secretary of Transportation, the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation a comprehensive business plan approved by the Board of Directors for fiscal year 2006 under section 24104(a) of title 49, United States Code: Provided further, That the business plan shall include, as applicable, targets for ridership, revenues, and capital and operating expenses: Provided further, That the plan shall also include a separate accounting of such targets for the Northeast Corridor; commuter service; long-distance Amtrak service; State-supported service; each intercity train route, including Autotrain; and commercial activities including contract operations: Provided further, That the business plan shall include a description of the work to be funded, along with cost estimates and an estimated timetable for completion of the projects covered by this business plan: Provided further, That the Corporation shall continue to provide monthly reports in electronic format regarding the pending business plan, which shall describe the work completed to date, any changes to the business plan, and the reasons for such changes, and shall identify all sole source contract awards which shall be accompanied by a justification as to why said contract was awarded on a sole source basis: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act may be used for operating expenses, including advance purchase orders, not approved by the Secretary of Transportation or on the National Railroad Pas
senger Corporation's fiscal year 2006 business plan: Provided further, That Amtrak shall display the business plan and all subsequent supplemental plans on the Corporation's website within a reasonable timeframe following their submission to the appropriate entities: Provided further, That none of the funds under this heading may be obligated or expended until the National Railroad Passenger Corporation agrees to continue abiding by the provisions of paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 5, 9, and 11 of the summary of conditions for the direct loan agreement of June 28, 2002, in the same manner as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act: Provided further, That none of the funds provided in this Act may be used after March 1, 2006, to support any route on which Amtrak offers a discounted fare of more than 50 percent off the normal, peak fare.

**CAPITAL AND DEBT SERVICE GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION**

To enable the Secretary of Transportation to make quarterly grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation for the maintenance and repair of capital infrastructure owned by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation, including railroad equipment, rolling stock, legal mandates and other services, $780,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed $280,000,000 shall be for debt service obligations: Provided, That the Secretary of Transportation shall approve funding for capital expenditures, including advance purchase orders, for the National Railroad Passenger Corporation only after receiving and reviewing a grant request for each specific capital grant justifying the Federal support to the Secretary's satisfaction: Provided further, That none of the funds under this heading may be used to subsidize operating losses of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation: Provided further, That none of the funds under this heading may be used for capital projects not approved by the Secretary of Transportation or on the National Railroad Passenger Corporation's fiscal year 2006 business plan: Provided further, That the Secretary shall determine the cost to the Corporation for the annual Northeast Corridor capital and maintenance costs attributable to commuter rail operations over said Corridor: Provided further, That these costs shall be calculated by the Secretary based on the train mile usage of each commuter rail authority as a percentage of the total number of annual train miles used by all users of the Northeast Corridor or by whatever measure the Secretary believes to be most appropriate: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary shall assess fees to each commuter rail authority for any direct capital or maintenance costs associated with that rail authority's usage of the corridor: Provided further, That such assessments shall account fully for whatever direct annual contributions are already being made by each commuter authority for such Northeast Corridor capital and maintenance expenses in that fiscal year: Provided further, That the revenues from such fees shall be merged with this appropriation and be available for obligation and expenditure consistent with the terms and conditions of this paragraph: Provided further, That the Secretary shall transmit to Congress a monthly accounting of charges levied in accordance with the preceding proviso.
EFFICIENCY INCENTIVE GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

For an additional amount to be made available to the Secretary for efficiency incentive grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation, $40,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary may make grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation for an additional sum for operating subsidies at any time during the fiscal year for the purpose of maintaining the operation of existing Amtrak routes: Provided further, That nothing in the previous proviso should be interpreted either to encourage or discourage the Corporation with respect to adjusting existing routes or frequencies: Provided further, That the Secretary may make grants for operating subsidies at any time during the fiscal year in order to avert the Corporation's entry into bankruptcy proceedings: Provided further, That prior to awarding additional operating grants for the purpose of the preceding proviso, the Secretary and the Inspector General of the Department of Transportation shall certify to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that such grants are necessary to prevent the Corporation from entering bankruptcy: Provided further, That if the Secretary and the Inspector General deem that sufficient operating funds are available to continue operations through the end of fiscal year 2006, then, as of September 1, 2006, the Secretary may make grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation at such times and in such amounts for capital improvements that have a direct and measurable short-term impact on reducing operating losses of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 130. The Secretary may purchase promotional items of nominal value for use in public outreach activities to accomplish the purposes of 49 U.S.C. 20134: Provided, That the Secretary shall prescribe guidelines for the administration of such purchases and use.

SEC. 131. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, from funds made available to the Federal Railroad Administration under the heading "Next Generation High-Speed Rail" in the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2005 (Public Law 108–447), the Secretary of Transportation shall award a grant in the amount of $500,000 to the Maine Department of Transportation for Safety and Mitigation Rail Relocation in Auburn, Maine.


SEC. 133. Notwithstanding any existing Federal legislation, from funds available to the Federal Railroad Administration under the heading of “Next Generation High-Speed Rail” in the Consoli-
dated Appropriations Act of 2004, Public Law 108–199; the Secretary of Transportation may award a grant of $1,000,000 to the New Orleans Regional Planning Commission, New Orleans, Louisiana for site planning and an update of the Master Plan for the Union Passenger Terminal, located at New Orleans, Louisiana.

SEC. 134. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available to the Federal Railroad Administration for the Spokane Region High Speed Rail Corridor Study on page 1420 of the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference for Public Law 108–447 (House Report 108–792) shall be made available to the Washington State Department of Transportation for grade crossing and related improvements under the Bridging the Valley project between Spokane County, Washington and Kootenai County, Idaho.

SEC. 135. Of the $40,000,000 provided under the heading “Efficiency Incentive Grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation”, and notwithstanding limitation language contained therein, $8,300,000 shall be made available immediately upon enactment of this Act only for a revenue service demonstration of not less than 5,500 carload shipments of premium temperature-controlled express.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

For necessary administrative expenses of the Federal Transit Administration’s programs authorized by chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, $80,000,000: Provided, That of the funds available under this heading, not to exceed $925,000 shall be available for the Office of the Administrator; not to exceed $7,325,000 shall be available for the Office of Administration; not to exceed $4,058,200 shall be available for the Office of the Chief Counsel; not to exceed $1,359,300 shall be available for the Office of Communication and Congressional Affairs; not to exceed $7,985,900 shall be available for the Office of Program Management; not to exceed $8,732,500 shall be available for the Office of Budget and Policy; not to exceed $4,763,900 shall be available for the Office of Demonstration and Innovation; not to exceed $3,153,100 shall be available for the Office of Civil Rights; not to exceed $4,127,300 shall be available for the Office of Planning; not to exceed $20,754,000 shall be available for regional offices; and not to exceed $16,815,800 shall be available for the central account: Provided further, That the Administrator is authorized to transfer funds appropriated for an office of the Federal Transit Administration: Provided further, That no appropriation for an office shall be increased or decreased by more than a total of 5 percent during the fiscal year by all such transfers: Provided further, That any change in funding greater than 5 percent shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That any funding transferred from the central account shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That none of the funds provided or limited in this Act may be used to create a permanent office of transit security under this heading: Provided further, That of the funds in this Act available for the execution of contracts under section 5327(c) of title
49, United States Code, $2,000,000 shall be reimbursed to the Department of Transportation’s Office of Inspector General for costs associated with audits and investigations of transit-related issues, including reviews of new fixed guideway systems: Provided further, That upon submission to the Congress of the fiscal year 2007 President’s budget, the Secretary of Transportation shall transmit to Congress the annual report on new starts, including proposed allocations of funds for fiscal year 2007.

FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 5305, 5307, 5308, 5309, 5310, 5311, 5317, 5320, 5335, 5339, and 5340 and section 3038 of Public Law 105–178, as amended, $1,500,000,000, to be derived from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund and to remain available until expended: Provided, That funds available for the implementation or execution of programs authorized under 49 U.S.C. 5305, 5307, 5308, 5309, 5310, 5311, 5317, 5320, 5335, 5339, and 5340 and section 3038 of Public Law 105–178, as amended, shall not exceed total obligations of $6,979,931,000 in fiscal year 2006: Provided further, That of the funds made available to carry out capital projects to modernize fixed guideway systems authorized under 49 U.S.C. 5309(b)(2), $47,766,000 shall be transferred to the Capital Investment Grants account and made available to carry out new fixed guideway capital projects identified in this Act and in accordance with the applicable provisions of 49 U.S.C. 5309: Provided further, That except as provided in section 3044(b)(1) of Public Law 109–59, funds made available to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5308 shall instead be available to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5309(b)(3).

RESEARCH AND UNIVERSITY RESEARCH CENTERS

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5306, 5312–5315, 5322, and 5506, $75,200,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That $9,000,000 is available to carry out the transit cooperative research program under section 5313 of title 49, United States Code, $4,300,000 is available for the National Transit Institute under section 5315 of title 49, United States Code, $7,000,000 is available for university transportation centers program under section 5506 of title 49, United States Code: Provided further, That $54,200,000 is available to carry out national research programs under sections 5312, 5313, 5314, and 5322 of title 49, United States Code.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS

For necessary expenses to carry out section 5309 of title 49, United States Code, $1,455,234,000, to remain available until expended as follows:

ACE Gap Closure San Joaquin County, California, $5,000,000;
Alaska and Hawaii ferry projects, $15,000,000;
Ann Arbor/Detroit Commuter Rail, Michigan, $5,000,000;
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta Beltline/C-Loop, Georgia</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Central Light Rail Double Track Project, Maryland</td>
<td>$12,420,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Red Line and Green Line, Maryland</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston/Fitchburg, Massachusetts Rail Corridor, Massachusetts</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Corridor/St. Paul-Minneapolis, Minnesota</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Florida Commuter Rail, $11,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Phoenix/East Valley LRT, Arizona, $90,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte South Corridor Light Rail Project, North Carolina</td>
<td>$55,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Miami Streetcar, Florida</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Rock Hill Trolley Study, South Carolina</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Rail, Albuquerque to Santa Fe, New Mexico, $500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Rail, Utah, $9,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRIDORone Regional Rail Project, Pennsylvania, $1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTA Douglas Blue Line, Illinois</td>
<td>$45,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTA Ravenswood Brown Line, Illinois, $40,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTA Yellow Line, Illinois, $1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Northwest/Southeast Light Rail MOS, Texas, $12,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denali Commission, Alaska, $5,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Center City Loop, Michigan, $4,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulles Corridor Rapid Transit Project, Virginia, $26,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Corridor Commuter Rail, Nashville, Tennessee, $6,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Side Access Project, New York, $340,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euclid Corridor Transportation Project, Ohio, $24,770,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale Downtown Rail Link, Florida, $1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gainesville-Haymarket VRE Service Extension, Virginia, $1,450,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford-New Britain Busway, Connecticut, $6,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston METRO, Texas, $12,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson-Bergen Light Rail MOS 2, New Jersey, $100,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City, Missouri, Southtown BRT, $12,300,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metra, Illinois, $42,180,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Gold Line Eastside Light Rail Extension, California, $80,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami Dade County Metrorail Extension, Florida, $10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Coast Light Rail Transit Extension, California, $7,160,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Jordan Light Rail Transit Line, Utah, $500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Valley East, California, $7,700,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Indiana Commuter Transit District Recapitalization, $5,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey Trans-Hudson Midtown Corridor, New Jersey, $12,315,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Corridor Interstate MAX Light Rail Project, Oregon, $18,110,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shore Connector, Pennsylvania, $55,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shore Corridor and Blue Line Extension, Massachusetts, $2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Corridor Commuter Rail Project, Delaware, $1,425,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Northern Branch Bergen County, New Jersey, $2,500,000;
Northstar Corridor Commuter Rail Project, Minnesota, $2,000,000;
Northwest New Jersey—Northeast Pennsylvania Passenger Rail, $10,000,000;
Oceanside Escondido Rail Project, California, $12,210,000;
Odgen Avenue Transit Corridor/Circle Line, Illinois, $1,000,000;
Regional Fixed Guideway Project, Nevada, $3,000,000;
Rhode Island Integrated Commuter Rail Project, Rhode Island, $6,000,000;
San Francisco BART Extension to San Francisco International Airport, California, $81,860,000;
San Francisco Muni Third Street Light Rail Project, California, $25,000,000;
San Juan Tren Urbano, Puerto Rico, $8,045,487;
Santa Barbara Coast Rail Track Improvement Project, California, $1,000,000;
Schuylkill Valley Metro, Pennsylvania, $2,000,000;
Seattle Sound Transit, Washington, $80,000,000;
Second Avenue Subway, New York, $25,000,000;
Silicon Valley Rapid Transit Corridor Project, Santa Clara County, California, $6,500,000;
Silver Line Phase III, Massachusetts, $4,000,000;
Sounder Commuter Rail, Washington, $5,000,000;
Southeast Corridor Multi-Modal Project (T-REX), Colorado, $80,000,000;
Stamford Urban Transitway, Connecticut, $10,000,000;
Triangle Transit Authority Regional Rail System (Raleigh-Durham), North Carolina, $20,000,000;
Washington County Commuter Rail Project, Oregon, $15,000,000;
West Corridor Light Rail, Colorado, $5,000,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 140. The limitations on obligations for the programs of the Federal Transit Administration shall not apply to any authority under 49 U.S.C. 5338, previously made available for obligation, or to any other authority previously made available for obligation.

SEC. 141. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and except for fixed guideway modernization projects, funds made available by this Act under “Federal Transit Administration, Capital investment grants” for projects specified in this Act or identified in reports accompanying this Act not obligated by September 30, 2008, and other recoveries, shall be made available for other projects under 49 U.S.C. 5309.

SEC. 142. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funds appropriated before October 1, 2005, under any section of chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, that remain available for expenditure may be transferred to and administered under the most recent appropriation heading for any such section.

SEC. 143. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, unobligated funds made available for a new fixed guideway systems project under the heading “Federal Transit Administration, Capital Investment Grants” in any appropriations Act prior to this Act may
be used during this fiscal year to satisfy expenses incurred for such projects.

SEC. 144. Funds made available for Alaska or Hawaii ferry boats or ferry terminal facilities pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(2)(B) may be used to construct new vessels and facilities, or to improve existing vessels and facilities, including both the passenger and vehicle-related elements of such vessels and facilities, and for repair facilities: Provided, That not more than $3,000,000 of the funds made available pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(2)(B) may be used by the State of Hawaii to initiate and operate a passenger ferryboat services demonstration project to test the viability of different intra-island and inter-island ferry boat routes and technology: Provided further, That notwithstanding 49 U.S.C. 5302(a)(7), funds made available for Alaska or Hawaii ferry boats may be used to acquire passenger ferry boats and to provide passenger ferry transportation services within areas of the State of Hawaii under the control or use of the National Park Service.

SEC. 145. Amounts made available from the bus category of the Capital Investment Grants Account or Discretionary Grants Account in this or any other previous Appropriations Act that remain unobligated or unexpended in a grant for a multimodal transportation facility in Burlington, Vermont, may be used for site-preparation and design purposes of a multimodal transportation facility in a different location within Burlington, Vermont, than originally intended notwithstanding previous expenditures incurred such purposes at the original location.

SEC. 146. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds designated in the conference report accompanying Public Law 108–447 and Public Law 108–199 for the King County Metro Park and Ride on First Hill, Seattle, Washington, shall be available to the Swedish Hospital parking garage, Seattle, Washington, subject to the same conditions and requirements of section 125 of division H of Public Law 108–447.

SEC. 147. Funds in this Act that are apportioned to the Charleston Area Regional Transportation Authority to carry out section 5307 of title 49, United States Code, may be used to acquire land, equipment, or facilities used in public transportation from another governmental authority in the same geographic area: Provided, That the non-Federal share under section 5307 may include revenues from the sale of advertising and concessions.

SEC. 148. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any unobligated funds designated to the Jacksonville Transportation Authority, Community Transportation Coordinator Program under the heading “Job Access and Reverse Commute Grants” in the statement of the managers accompanying Public Law 108–199 may be made available to the Jacksonville Transportation Authority for any purpose authorized under the Job Access and Reverse Commute program.

SEC. 149. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funds made available to the South Shore Commuter Rail, Indiana, project under the Federal Transit Administration Capital Investment Grants Account in Division H of Public Law 108–447 that remain available may be used for remodernization of the South Shore Commuter Rail system.
SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation is hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to the Corporation, and in accord with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of the Government Corporation Control Act, as amended, as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the Corporation’s budget for the current fiscal year.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE
(HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses for operations and maintenance of those portions of the Saint Lawrence Seaway operated and maintained by the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, $16,284,000, to be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99–662.

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION
MARITIME SECURITY PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to maintain and preserve a U.S.-flag merchant fleet to serve the national security needs of the United States, $156,000,000, to remain available until expended.

OPERATIONS AND TRAINING

For necessary expenses of operations and training activities authorized by law, $122,249,000 of which $23,750,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2006, for salaries and benefits of employees of the United States Merchant Marine Academy; of which $15,000,000 shall remain available until expended for capital improvements at the United States Merchant Marine Academy; and of which $8,211,000 shall remain available until expended for the State Maritime Schools Schoolship Maintenance and Repair.

SHIP DISPOSAL

For necessary expenses related to the disposal of obsolete vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet of the Maritime Administration, $21,000,000, to remain available until expended.

MARITIME GUARANTEED LOAN (TITLE XI) PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, not to exceed $4,126,000, which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for Operations and Training.

SHIP CONSTRUCTION
(RESCSSION)

Of the unobligated balances available under this heading, $2,071,280 are rescinded.
ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 150. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the Maritime Administration is authorized to furnish utilities and services and make necessary repairs in connection with any lease, contract, or occupancy involving Government property under control of the Maritime Administration, and payments received therefore shall be credited to the appropriation charged with the cost thereof: Provided, That rental payments under any such lease, contract, or occupancy for items other than such utilities, services, or repairs shall be covered into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

SEC. 151. No obligations shall be incurred during the current fiscal year from the construction fund established by the Merchant Marine Act, 1936 (46 App. U.S.C. 1101 et seq.), or otherwise, in excess of the appropriations and limitations contained in this Act or in any prior appropriations Act.

PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

For necessary administrative expenses of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, $16,877,000, of which $645,000 shall be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY

For expenses necessary to discharge the hazardous materials safety functions of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, $26,138,000, of which $1,847,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That up to $1,200,000 in fees collected under 49 U.S.C. 5108(g) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury as offsetting receipts: Provided further, That there may be credited to this appropriation, to be available until expended, funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training, for reports publication and dissemination, and for travel expenses incurred in performance of hazardous materials exemptions and approvals functions.

PIPELINE SAFETY

(Pipeline Safety Fund)

(Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund)

For expenses necessary to conduct the functions of the pipeline safety program, for grants-in-aid to carry out a pipeline safety program, as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 60107, and to discharge the pipeline program responsibilities of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, $73,010,000, of which $15,000,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and shall remain available until September 30, 2008; of which $58,010,000 shall be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund, of which $24,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That not less than $1,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading shall be for the one-call State grant program.
For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5127(c), $200,000, to be derived from the Emergency Preparedness Fund, to remain available until September 30, 2007: Provided, That not more than $14,300,000 shall be made available for obligation in fiscal year 2006 from amounts made available by 49 U.S.C. 5116(i) and 5127(d); Provided further, That none of the funds made available by 49 U.S.C. 5116(i), 5127(c), and 5127(d) shall be made available for obligation by individuals other than the Secretary of Transportation, or his designee.

For necessary expenses of the Research and Innovative Technology Administration, $5,774,000, of which $1,121,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That there may be credited to this appropriation, to be available until expended, funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training.

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General to carry out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $62,499,000: Provided, That the Inspector General shall have all necessary authority, in carrying out the duties specified in the Inspector General Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 3), to investigate allegations of fraud, including false statements to the Government (18 U.S.C. 1001), by any person or entity that is subject to regulation by the Department: Provided further, That the funds made available under this heading shall be used to investigate, pursuant to section 41712 of title 49, United States Code: (1) unfair or deceptive practices and unfair methods of competition by domestic and foreign air carriers and ticket agents; and (2) the compliance of domestic and foreign air carriers with respect to item (1) of this proviso.

For necessary expenses of the Surface Transportation Board, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, $26,450,000: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed $1,250,000 from fees established by the Chairman of the Surface Transportation Board shall be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections and used for necessary and authorized expenses under this heading: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2006, to result in a final appropriation from the general fund estimated at no more than $25,200,000.
ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SEC. 160. During the current fiscal year applicable appropriations to the Department of Transportation shall be available for maintenance and operation of aircraft; hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; purchase of liability insurance for motor vehicles operating in foreign countries on official department business; and uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901–5902).

SEC. 161. Appropriations contained in this Act for the Department of Transportation shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for an Executive Level IV.

SEC. 162. None of the funds in this Act shall be available for salaries and expenses of more than 108 political and Presidential appointees in the Department of Transportation: Provided, That none of the personnel covered by this provision may be assigned on temporary detail outside the Department of Transportation.

SEC. 163. None of the funds in this Act shall be used to implement section 404 of title 23, United States Code.


(b) Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary shall not withhold funds provided in this Act for any grantee if a State is in noncompliance with this provision.

SEC. 165. Funds received by the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and Federal Railroad Administration from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training may be credited respectively to the Federal Highway Administration’s “Federal-Aid Highways” account, the Federal Transit Administration’s “Transit Planning and Research” account, and to the Federal Railroad Administration’s “Safety and Operations” account, except for State rail safety inspectors participating in training pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 20105.

SEC. 166. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, rule or regulation, the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to allow the issuer of any preferred stock heretofore sold to the Department to redeem or repurchase such stock upon the payment to the Department of an amount determined by the Secretary.

SEC. 167. None of the funds in this Act to the Department of Transportation may be used to make a grant unless the Secretary of Transportation notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not less than 3 full business days before any discretionary grant award, letter of intent, or full funding grant agreement totaling $1,000,000 or more is announced by the department or its modal administrations from: (1) any discretionary grant program of the Federal Highway Administration other than the emergency relief program; (2) the airport improvement program of the Federal Aviation Administration; or (3) any program of the Federal
Transit Administration other than the formula grants and fixed guideway modernization programs: Provided, That no notification shall involve funds that are not available for obligation.

SEC. 168. Rebates, refunds, incentive payments, minor fees and other funds received by the Department of Transportation from travel management centers, charge card programs, the subleasing of building space, and miscellaneous sources are to be credited to appropriations of the Department of Transportation and allocated to elements of the Department of Transportation using fair and equitable criteria and such funds shall be available until expended.

SEC. 169. Amounts made available in this or any other Act that the Secretary determines represent improper payments by the Department of Transportation to a third party contractor under a financial assistance award, which are recovered pursuant to law, shall be available—

(1) to reimburse the actual expenses incurred by the Department of Transportation in recovering improper payments; and

(2) to pay contractors for services provided in recovering improper payments: Provided, That amounts in excess of that required for paragraphs (1) and (2)—

(A) shall be credited to and merged with the appropriation from which the improper payments were made, and shall be available for the purposes and period for which such appropriations are available; or

(B) if no such appropriation remains available, shall be deposited in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts: Provided, That prior to the transfer of any such recovery to an appropriations account, the Secretary shall notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations of the amount and reasons for such transfer: Provided further, That for purposes of this section, the term "improper payments", has the same meaning as that provided in section 2(d)(2) of Public Law 107–300.

SEC. 170. The Secretary of Transportation is authorized to transfer the unexpended balances available for the bonding assistance program from “Office of the Secretary, Salaries and expenses” to “Minority Business Outreach”.

SEC. 171. None of the funds made available in this Act to the Department of Transportation may be obligated for the Office of the Secretary of Transportation to approve assessments or reimbursable agreements pertaining to funds appropriated to the modal administrations in this Act, except for activities underway on the date of enactment of this Act, unless such assessments or agreements have completed the normal reprogramming process for Congressional notification.

SEC. 172. None of the funds made available under this Act may be obligated or expended to establish or implement a pilot program under which not more than 10 designated essential air service communities located in proximity to hub airports are required to assume 10 percent of their essential air subsidy costs for a 4-year period commonly referred to as the EAS local participation program.

SEC. 173. (a) Section 14710(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—
(1) by striking “a State authority may” and inserting “a State authority other than the attorney general of the state may, as parens patriae,”;

(2) by inserting the following after the first sentence: “Any civil action for injunctive relief to enjoin such delivery or transportation or to compel a person to pay a fine or penalty assessed under chapter 149 shall be brought in an appropriate district court of the United States.”.

(b) Section 14710(b) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(b) EXERCISE OF ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY.—The authority of this section shall be exercised subject to the requirements of sections 14711(b)–(f) of this title.”.

(c) Section 14711(b)(1) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by inserting the following at the end:

“The State may initiate a civil action under subsection (a) if it is reviewable under subsection (b)(2).”.

(d) Section 14711(b)(4) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by inserting “that is subject to review under subsection (b)(2)” before “if the Secretary”.

(e) The amendments made by this section shall cease to be in effect after September 30, 2006.

SEC. 174. Section 112(b)(2) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “title 40” and all that follows through the period and inserting “title 40.”;

(2) by striking subparagraph (B);

(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) through (G) as subparagraphs (B) through (F), respectively;

(4) in subparagraph (E) (as redesignated by paragraph (3)), in the first sentence, by striking “subparagraph (E)” and inserting “subparagraph (D)”;

(5) in subparagraph (F) (as redesignated by paragraph (3)), by striking “State Option” and all that follows through the period and inserting “(F) Subparagraphs (B), (C), (D) and (E) herein shall not apply to the States of West Virginia or Minnesota.”.

SEC. 175. Notwithstanding any provision of law, the Secretary of Transportation is authorized and directed to make project grants under chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, from funds available for fiscal year 2006 and thereafter under 49 U.S.C. 48103, for the cost of acquisition of land, or reimbursement of the cost of land if purchased prior to enactment of this provision and prior to a grant agreement, for non-exclusive use aeronautical purposes on an airport layout plan that has been approved by the Secretary on January 23, 2004, pursuant to section 49 U.S.C. 47107(a)(16), for any small hub airport as defined in 49 U.S.C. 47102, and had scheduled or chartered direct international flights totaling at least 200 million pounds gross aircraft landed weight for calendar year 2002.

SEC. 176. (a) Section 47108 of title 49, United States Code, is amended in subsection (e) by adding the following new paragraph at the end:

“(3) CHANGES TO NONHUB PRIMARY STATUS.—If the status of a nonhub primary airport changes to a small hub primary airport at a time when the airport has received discretionary
funds under this chapter for a terminal development project in accordance with section 47110(d)(2), and the project is not yet completed, the project shall remain eligible for funding from the discretionary fund and the small airport fund to pay costs allowable under section 47110(d). Such project shall remain eligible for such funds for three fiscal years after the start of construction of the project, or if the Secretary determines that a further extension of eligibility is justified, until the project is completed.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 47110(d)(2)(A) is amended by striking “(A) the” and inserting “(A) except as provided in section 47108(e)(3), the”.

SEC. 177. Section 40128(e) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “For purposes of this subsection, an air tour operator flying over the Hoover Dam in the Lake Mead National Recreation Area en route to the Grand Canyon National Park shall be deemed to be flying solely as a transportation route.”. Nothing in this provision shall allow exemption from overflight rules for the Grand Canyon.

SEC. 178. Section 145(c) of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act (49 U.S.C. 40101 note) is amended by striking “November 19, 2005.” and inserting “November 30, 2006.”.

SEC. 179. (a)(1) This section shall apply to a former employee of the Federal Aviation Administration, who—

(A) was involuntarily separated as a result of the reorganization of the Flight Services Unit following the outsourcing of flight service duties to a contractor;

(B) was not eligible by October 3, 2005 for an immediate annuity under a Federal retirement system; and

(C) assuming continued Federal employment, would attain eligibility for an immediate annuity under section 8336(d) or 8414(b) of title 5, United States Code, not later than October 4, 2007.

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, during the period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act and ending October 4, 2007, an employee described under paragraph (1) may, with the approval of the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration or the designee of the Administrator, accept an assignment to such contractor within 14 days after the date of enactment of this section.

(3) Except as provided in subsection (c), an employee appointed under paragraph (1)—

(A) shall be a temporary Federal employee for the duration of the assignment;

(B) notwithstanding such temporary status, shall retain previous enrollment or participation in Federal employee benefits programs under chapters 83, 84, 87, and 89 of title 5, United States Code; and

(C) shall be considered to have not had a break in service for purposes of chapters 83, 84, and sections 8706(b) and 8905(b) of title 5, United States Code, except no service credit or benefits shall be extended retroactively.

(4) An assignment and temporary appointment under this section shall terminate on the earlier of—

(A) October 4, 2007; or
(B) the date on which the employee first becomes eligibility for an immediate annuity under section 8336(d) or 8414(b) of title 5, United States Code.

(5) Such funds as may be necessary are authorized for the Federal Aviation Administration to pay the salary and benefits of an employee assigned under this section, but no funds are authorized to reimburse the employing contractor for the salary and benefits of an employee so assigned.

(b) An employee who was involuntarily separated as a result of the reorganization of the Flight Services Unit following the outsourcing of flight service duties to a contractor, and was eligible to use annual leave under the conditions of section 6302(g) of title 5, United States Code, may use such leave to—

(1) qualify for an immediate annuity or to meet the age or service requirements for an enhanced annuity that the employee could qualify for under sections 8336, 8412, or 8414; or

(2) to meet the requirements under section 8905(b) of title 5, United States Code, to qualify to continue health benefits coverage after retirement from service.

(c)(1) Nothing in this section shall—

(A) affect the validity or legality of the reduction-in-force actions of the Federal Aviation Administration effective October 3, 2005; or

(B) create any individual rights of actions regarding such reduction-in-force or any other actions related to or arising under the competitive sourcing of flight services.

(2) An employee subject to this section shall not be—

(A) covered by chapter 71 of title 5, United States Code, while on the assignment authorized by this section; or

(B) subject to section 208 of title 18, United States Code.

(3) Temporary employees assigned under this section shall not be Federal employees for purposes of chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code (commonly referred to as the Federal Tort Claims Act). Chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code (commonly referred to as the Federal Tort Claims Act) and any other Federal tort liability statute shall not apply to an employee who is assigned to a contractor under subsection (a).

SEC. 180. (a) In this section:


(2) The term “County” means Clark County, Nevada.

(3)(A) The term “helicopter tour” means a commercial helicopter tour operated for profit.

(B) The term “helicopter tour” does not include a helicopter tour that is carried out to assist a Federal, State, or local agency.

(4) The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall convey to the County, subject to valid existing rights, for no consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcel of land described in subsection (c).

(c) The parcel of land to be conveyed under subsection (b) is the parcel of approximately 229 acres of land depicted as tract A on the map entitled “Clark County Public Heliport Facility” and dated May 3, 2004.

(d)(1) The parcel of land conveyed under subsection (b)—
(A) shall be used by the County for the operation of a heliport facility under the conditions stated in paragraphs (2), (3), and (4); and
(B) shall not be disposed of by the County.

(2)(A) Any operator of a helicopter tour originating from or concluding at the parcel of land described in subsection (c) shall pay to the Clark County Department of Aviation a $3 conservation fee for each passenger on the helicopter tour if any portion of the helicopter tour occurs over the Conservation Area.

(B)(i) Not earlier than 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act and every 10 years thereafter, the Secretary shall conduct a review to determine whether to raise the amount of the conservation fee.

(ii) After conducting a review under clause (i) and providing an opportunity for public comment, the Secretary may raise the amount of the conservation fee in an amount determined to be appropriate by the Secretary, but by not more than 50 percent of the amount of the conservation fee in effect on the day before the date of the increase.

(3)(A) The amounts collected under paragraph (2) shall be deposited in a special account in the Treasury of the United States.

(B) Of the amounts deposited under subparagraph (A)—
(i) 2/3 of the amounts shall be available to the Secretary, without further appropriation, for the management of cultural, wildlife, and wilderness resources on public land in the State of Nevada; and
(ii) 1/3 of the amounts shall be available to the Director of the Bureau of Land Management, without further appropriation, for the conduct of Bureau of Land Management operations for the Conservation Area and the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area.

(4)(A) Except for safety reasons, any helicopter tour originating or concluding at the parcel of land described in subsection (c) that flies over the Conservation Area shall not fly—
(i) over any area in the Conservation Area except the area that is between 3 and 5 miles north of the latitude of the southernmost boundary of the Conservation Area;
(ii) lower than 1,000 feet over the eastern segments of the boundary of the Conservation Area; or
(iii) lower than 500 feet over the western segments of the boundary of the Conservation Area.

(B) The Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration shall establish a special flight rules area and any operating procedures that the Administrator determines to be necessary to implement subparagraph (A).
If the County ceases to use any of the land described in subsection (c) for the purpose described in paragraph (1)(A) and under the conditions stated in paragraph (2)—
(A) title to the parcel shall revert to the United States, at the option of the United States; and
(B) the County shall be responsible for any reclamation necessary to revert the parcel to the United States.

The Secretary shall require, as a condition of the conveyance under subsection (b), that the County pay the administrative costs of the conveyance, including survey costs and any other costs associated with the transfer of title.

SEC. 181. The first sentence of section 29(c) of the International Air Transportation Competition Act of 1979 (Public Law 96–192; 94 Stat. 48) is amended by inserting "Missouri," before "and Texas".

SEC. 182. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds provided in or limited by this Act may be obligated or expended to provide a budget justification for fiscal year 2007 concurrently with the President's annual budget submission to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, to any congressional committee other than the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations prior to May 31, 2006.

SEC. 183. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if any funds provided in or limited by this Act are subject to a reprogramming action that requires notice to be provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, said reprogramming action shall be approved or denied solely by the Committees on Appropriations; Provided, That the Secretary may provide notice to other congressional committees of the action of the Committees on Appropriations on such reprogramming but not sooner than 30 days following the date on which the reprogramming action has been approved or denied by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 184. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the projects numbered 5094 and 5096 in the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109–59; 119 Stat. 1144) shall be subject to section 120(c) of title 23, United States Code.

SEC. 185. For necessary expenses, including an independent verification regime, to reimburse fixed-based general aviation operators and the providers of general aviation ground support services at Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport; College Park Airport in College Park, Maryland; Potomac Airpark in Fort Washington, Maryland; Washington Executive/Hyde Field in Clinton, Maryland; and Washington South Capitol Street Heliport in Washington, DC; for direct and incremental financial losses incurred while such airports were closed to general aviation operations, or as of the date of enactment of this provision in the case of airports that have not reopened to such operations, by these operators and service providers solely due to the actions of the Federal government following the terrorist attacks on the United States that occurred on September 11, 2001, not to exceed $17,000,000, to be available until expended: Provided, That of this amount not to exceed $5,000,000 shall be available on a pro-rata basis, if necessary, to fixed-based general aviation operators and the providers of general aviation ground support services located at College Park Airport in College Park, Maryland; Potomac Airpark in Fort Washington, Maryland;
and Washington Executive/Hyde Field in Clinton, Maryland: Provided further, That no funds shall be obligated or distributed to fixed-based general aviation operators and providers of general aviation ground support services until an independent audit is completed:

Provided further, That losses incurred as a result of violations of law, or through fault or negligence, of such operators and service providers or of third parties (including airports) are not eligible for reimbursement: Provided further, That obligation and expenditure of funds are conditional upon full release of the United States Government for all claims for financial losses resulting from such actions.

SEC. 186. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any amounts made available pursuant to Public Law 109–59 for the Gravina Island bridge and the Knik Arm bridge shall be made available to the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities for any purpose eligible under section 133(b) of title 23, United States Code: Provided, That in allocating funds for the equity bonus program under section 105 of such title, the Secretary shall make the calculations required under that section as if this section had not been enacted: Provided further, That the descriptions for High Priority Projects #406, the Gravina Island bridge, and #2465, the Knik Arm bridge, in section 1702 of Public Law 109–59 are hereby deleted and in their place is inserted “the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities”.

SEC. 187. (a) In addition to amounts available to carry out section 10204 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109–59) as of the date of enactment of this Act, of the amounts made available by section 112 of this Act, $1,000,000 shall be used by the Secretary of Transportation and the Secretary of Homeland Security to jointly—

(1) complete the review and assessment of catastrophic hurricane evacuation plans under that section; and

(2) submit to Congress, not later than June 1, 2006, the report described in subsection (d) of that section.

(b) Section 10204 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109–59) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting after “evacuation plans” the following: “(including the costs of the plans)”;

(B) by inserting “and other catastrophic events” before “impacting”;

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “and local” and inserting “parish, county, and municipal”; and

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “safe and” before “practical”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting after “States” the following: “and adjoining jurisdictions”;

(C) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” after the semicolon at the end;

(D) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(E) by adding at the end the following:
“(5) the availability of food, water, restrooms, fueling stations, and shelter opportunities along the evacuation routes;
“(6) the time required to evacuate under the plan; and
“(7) the physical and mental strains associated with the evacuation.”.

This title may be cited as the “Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2006”.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Departmental Offices including operation and maintenance of the Treasury Building and Annex; hire of passenger motor vehicles; maintenance, repairs, and improvements of, and purchase of commercial insurance policies for, real properties leased or owned overseas, when necessary for the performance of official business, not to exceed $3,000,000 for official travel expenses; $196,592,000, of which not to exceed $8,642,000 is for executive direction program activities; not to exceed $7,852,000 is for general counsel program activities; not to exceed $32,011,000 is for economic policies and programs activities; not to exceed $26,574,000 is for financial policies and programs activities; pursuant to section 3004(b) of the Exchange Rates and International Economic Policy Coordination Act of 1988 (22 U.S.C. 5304(b)), not to exceed $1,000,000, to remain available until expended, is for the Secretary of the Treasury, in conjunction with the President, to implement said subsection as it pertains to governments and trade violations involving currency manipulation and other trade violations; not to exceed $39,939,000 is for financial crimes policies and programs activities; not to exceed $16,843,000 is for Treasury-wide management policies and programs activities; and not to exceed $63,731,000 is for administration programs activities: Provided, That of the amount appropriated for financial crimes policies and programs activities, $22,032,016 is for the Office of Foreign Assets Control and shall support no less than 125 full time equivalent positions: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to transfer funds appropriated for any program activity of the Departmental Offices to any other program activity of the Departmental Offices upon notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That no appropriation for any program activity shall be increased or decreased by more than two percent by all such transfers: Provided further, That any change in funding greater than two percent shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, not to exceed $3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, for information technology modernization requirements; not to exceed $100,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and not to exceed $258,000 for unforeseen emergencies of a confidential nature, to be allocated and expended under the direc-
tion of the Secretary of the Treasury and to be accounted for solely on his certificate: Provided further, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, $5,173,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, is for the Treasury-wide Financial Statement Audit Program, of which such amounts as may be necessary may be transferred to accounts of the Department’s offices and bureaus to conduct audits: Provided further, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other provided in this Act.

DEPARTMENT-WIDE SYSTEMS AND CAPITAL INVESTMENTS PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For development and acquisition of automatic data processing equipment, software, and services for the Department of the Treasury, $24,412,000, to remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That these funds shall be transferred to accounts and in amounts as necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Department’s offices, bureaus, and other organizations: Provided further, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated shall be used to support or supplement “Internal Revenue Service, Information Systems” or “Internal Revenue Service, Business Systems Modernization”.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, not to exceed $2,000,000 for official travel expenses, including hire of passenger motor vehicles; and not to exceed $100,000 for unforeseen emergencies of a confidential nature, to be allocated and expended under the direction of the Inspector General of the Treasury, $17,000,000, of which not to exceed $2,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

TREASURY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR TAX ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, including purchase (not to exceed 150 for replacement only for police-type use) and hire of passenger motor vehicles (31 U.S.C. 1343(b)); services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Inspector General for Tax Administration; not to exceed $6,000,000 for official travel expenses; and not to exceed $500,000 for unforeseen emergencies of a confidential nature, to be allocated and expended under the direction of the Inspector General for Tax Administration, $133,286,000; and of which not to exceed $1,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.
AIR TRANSPORTATION STABILIZATION PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For necessary expenses to administer the Air Transportation Stabilization Board established by section 102 of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act (Public Law 107–42), $2,750,000, to remain available until expended.

TREASURY BUILDING AND ANNEX REPAIR AND RESTORATION

For the repair, alteration, and improvement of the Treasury Building and Annex, $10,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2008.

FINANCIAL CRIMES ENFORCEMENT NETWORK

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, including hire of passenger motor vehicles; travel expenses of non-Federal law enforcement personnel to attend meetings concerned with financial intelligence activities, law enforcement, and financial regulation; not to exceed $14,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and for assistance to Federal law enforcement agencies, with or without reimbursement, $73,630,000 of which not to exceed $6,944,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008; and of which $8,521,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2007; Provided, That funds appropriated in this account may be used to procure personal services contracts.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Financial Management Service, $236,243,000, of which not to exceed $9,220,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008, for information systems modernization initiatives; and of which not to exceed $2,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO TAX AND TRADE BUREAU

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of carrying out section 1111 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, $91,126,000; of which not to exceed $6,000 for official reception and representation expenses; not to exceed $50,000 for cooperative research and development programs for laboratory services; and provision of laboratory assistance to State and local agencies with or without reimbursement.

UNITED STATES MINT

UNITED STATES MINT PUBLIC ENTERPRISE FUND

Pursuant to section 5136 of title 31, United States Code, the United States Mint is provided funding through the United States Mint Public Enterprise Fund for costs associated with the production of circulating coins, numismatic coins, and protective services, including both operating expenses and capital investments. The ag-
aggregate amount of new liabilities and obligations incurred during fiscal year 2006 under such section 5136 for circulating coinage and protective service capital investments of the United States Mint shall not exceed $26,768,000.

**Bureau of the Public Debt**

**Administering the Public Debt**

For necessary expenses connected with any public-debt issues of the United States, $179,923,000, of which not to exceed $2,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses, and of which not to exceed $2,000,000 shall remain available until expended for systems modernization: Provided, That the sum appropriated herein from the General Fund for fiscal year 2006 shall be reduced by not more than $3,000,000 as definitive security issue fees and Treasury Direct Investor Account Maintenance fees are collected, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2006 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at $176,923,000. In addition, $70,000 to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to reimburse the Bureau for administrative and personnel expenses for financial management of the Fund, as authorized by section 1012 of Public Law 101–380.

**Community Development Financial Institutions Fund**

**Community Development Financial Institutions Fund Program Account**

To carry out the Community Development Banking and Financial Institutions Act of 1994 (Public Law 103–325), including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for ES–3, $55,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, of which $4,000,000 shall be for financial assistance, technical assistance, training and outreach programs designed to benefit Native American, Native Hawaiian, and Alaskan Native communities and provided primarily through qualified community development lender organizations with experience and expertise in community development banking and lending in Indian country, Native American organizations, tribes and tribal organizations and other suitable providers, and up to $13,500,000 may be used for administrative expenses, including administration of the New Markets Tax Credit, up to $6,000,000 may be used for the cost of direct loans, and up to $250,000 may be used for administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan program: Provided, That the cost of direct loans, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed $11,000,000.
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
PROCESSING, ASSISTANCE, AND MANAGEMENT
(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Internal Revenue Service for prefiling taxpayer assistance and education, filing and account services, shared services support, general management and administration; and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Commissioner, $4,136,578,000, of which up to $4,100,000 shall be for the Tax Counseling for the Elderly Program, of which $8,000,000 shall be available for low-income taxpayer clinic grants, of which $1,500,000 shall be for the Internal Revenue Service Oversight Board; and of which not to exceed $25,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: Provided, That of unobligated amounts available under this heading from previous appropriations acts, $20,000,000 shall be rescinded.

TAX LAW ENFORCEMENT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Internal Revenue Service for determining and establishing tax liabilities; providing litigation support; conducting criminal investigation and enforcement activities; securing unfiled tax returns; collecting unpaid accounts; conducting a document matching program; resolving taxpayer problems through prompt identification, referral and settlement; expanded customer service and public outreach programs, strengthened enforcement activities, and enhanced research efforts to reduce erroneous filings associated with the earned income tax credit; compiling statistics of income and conducting compliance research; purchase (for police-type use, not to exceed 850) and hire of passenger motor vehicles (31 U.S.C. 1343(b)); and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Commissioner, $4,725,756,000, of which not to exceed $1,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008, for research; and of which $55,584,000 shall be for the Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement program: Provided, That up to $10,000,000 may be transferred as necessary from this account to the IRS Processing, Assistance, and Management appropriation or the IRS Information Systems appropriation solely for the purposes of management of the Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement Program: Provided further, That up to $10,000,000 may be transferred as necessary from this account to the IRS Processing, Assistance, and Management appropriation or the IRS Information Systems appropriation solely for the purposes of management of the Earned Income Tax Credit compliance program and to reimburse the Social Security Administration for the cost of implementing section 1090 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33): Provided further, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.
INFORMATION SYSTEMS

For necessary expenses of the Internal Revenue Service for information systems and telecommunications support, including developmental information systems and operational information systems; the hire of passenger motor vehicles (31 U.S.C. 1343(b)); and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Commissioner, $1,598,967,000, of which $75,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2007.

BUSINESS SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION

For necessary expenses of the Internal Revenue Service, $199,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2008, for the capital asset acquisition of information technology systems, including management and related contractual costs of said acquisitions, including contractual costs associated with operations authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109: Provided, That none of these funds may be obligated until the Internal Revenue Service submits to the Committees on Appropriations, and such Committees approve, a plan for expenditure that: (1) meets the capital planning and investment control review requirements established by the Office of Management and Budget, including Circular A–11; (2) complies with the Internal Revenue Service's enterprise architecture, including the modernization blueprint; (3) conforms with the Internal Revenue Service's enterprise life cycle methodology; (4) is approved by the Internal Revenue Service, the Department of the Treasury, and the Office of Management and Budget; (5) has been reviewed by the Government Accountability Office; and (6) complies with the acquisition rules, requirements, guidelines, and systems acquisition management practices of the Federal Government.

HEALTH INSURANCE TAX CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary to implement the health insurance tax credit included in the Trade Act of 2002 (Public Law 107–210), $20,210,000: Provided, That of unobligated amounts available under this heading from previous appropriations acts, $9,000,000 shall be rescinded.

ADDITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 201. Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available in this Act to the Internal Revenue Service or not to exceed 3 percent of appropriations under the heading “Tax Law Enforcement” may be transferred to any other Internal Revenue Service appropriation upon the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 202. The Internal Revenue Service shall maintain a training program to ensure that Internal Revenue Service employees are trained in taxpayers' rights, in dealing courteously with taxpayers, and in cross-cultural relations.

SEC. 203. The Internal Revenue Service shall institute and enforce policies and procedures that will safeguard the confidentiality of taxpayer information.
SEC. 204. Funds made available by this or any other Act to the Internal Revenue Service shall be available for improved facilities and increased manpower to provide sufficient and effective 1–800 help line service for taxpayers. The Commissioner shall continue to make the improvement of the Internal Revenue Service 1–800 help line service a priority and allocate resources necessary to increase phone lines and staff to improve the Internal Revenue Service 1–800 help line service.

SEC. 205. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this or any other Act or source to the Internal Revenue Service may be used to reduce taxpayer services as proposed in fiscal year 2006 until the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration completes a study detailing the impact of such proposed reductions on taxpayer compliance and taxpayer services, and the Internal Revenue Service's plans for providing adequate alternative services, and submits such study and plans to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate for approval: Provided, That no funds shall be obligated by the Internal Revenue Service for such purposes for 60 days after receipt of such study: Provided further, That the Internal Revenue Service shall consult with stakeholder organizations, including but not limited to, the National Taxpayer Advocate, the Internal Revenue Service Oversight Board, the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration, and Internal Revenue Service employees with respect to any proposed or planned efforts by the Internal Revenue Service to terminate or reduce significantly any taxpayer service activity.

SEC. 206. Of the funds made available by this Act to the Internal Revenue Service, not less than $6,447,000,000 shall be available only for tax enforcement. In addition, of the funds made available by this Act to the Internal Revenue Service, and subject to the same terms and conditions, $446,000,000 shall be available for enhanced tax enforcement.

SEC. 207. Of the funds made available by this Act to the Internal Revenue Service, not less than $166,249,000 shall be available for operating expenses of the Taxpayer Advocate Service, of which not less than $141,311,650 shall be made available from the “Tax Law Enforcement” account.

SEC. 208. The Internal Revenue Service shall submit its fiscal year 2007 congressional budget justifications to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate using the identical structure provided under this Act and only in accordance with the direction specified in the report accompanying this Act.

SEC. 209. Section 3 under the heading “Administrative Provisions—Internal Revenue Service” of title I of Public Law 103–329 is amended by striking the last proviso.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 210. Appropriations to the Department of the Treasury in this Act shall be available for uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901), including maintenance, repairs, and cleaning; purchase of insurance for official motor vehicles operated in foreign countries; purchase of motor vehicles without regard
to the general purchase price limitations for vehicles purchased and used overseas for the current fiscal year; entering into contracts with the Department of State for the furnishing of health and medical services to employees and their dependents serving in foreign countries; and services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.

SEC. 211. Not to exceed 2 percent of any appropriations in this Act made available to the Departmental Offices—Salaries and Expenses, Office of Inspector General, Financial Management Service, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, and Bureau of the Public Debt, may be transferred between such appropriations upon the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations: Provided, That no transfer may increase or decrease any such appropriation by more than 2 percent.

SEC. 212. Not to exceed 2 percent of any appropriation made available in this Act to the Internal Revenue Service may be transferred to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration's appropriation upon the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations: Provided, That no transfer may increase or decrease any such appropriation by more than 2 percent.

SEC. 213. Of the funds available for the purchase of law enforcement vehicles, no funds may be obligated until the Secretary of the Treasury certifies that the purchase by the respective Treasury bureau is consistent with Departmental vehicle management principles: Provided, That the Secretary may delegate this authority to the Assistant Secretary for Management.

SEC. 214. None of the funds appropriated in this Act or otherwise available to the Department of the Treasury or the Bureau of Engraving and Printing may be used to redesign the $1 Federal Reserve note.

SEC. 215. The Secretary of the Treasury may transfer funds from Financial Management Services, Salaries and Expenses to Debt Collection Fund as necessary to cover the costs of debt collection: Provided, That such amounts shall be reimbursed to such salaries and expenses account from debt collections received in the Debt Collection Fund.

SEC. 216. Section 122(g)(1) of Public Law 105–119 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note), is further amended by striking "7 years" and inserting "8 years".

SEC. 217. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used by the United States Mint to construct or operate any museum without the explicit approval of the House Committee on Financial Services and the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

SEC. 218. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act or source to the Department of the Treasury, the Bureau of Engraving and Printing, and the United States Mint, individually or collectively, may be used to consolidate any or all functions of the Bureau of Engraving and Printing and the United States Mint without the explicit approval of the House Committee on Financial Services; the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs; the House Committee on Appropriations; and the Senate Committee on Appropriations.

SEC. 219. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act or source to the Secretary of the Treasury may be expended to develop, study, or implement any plan
to reallocate the resources of, or merge the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network into the Departmental Offices—Salaries and Expenses, or any other office within the Department of the Treasury.

This title may be cited as the “Department of the Treasury Appropriations Act, 2006”.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

TENANT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For activities and assistance for the provision of tenant-based rental assistance authorized under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq.) (“the Act” herein), not otherwise provided for, $15,573,655,725, to remain available until expended, of which $11,373,656,000 shall be available on October 1, 2005, and $4,200,000,000 shall be available on October 1, 2006: Provided, That the amounts made available under this heading are provided as follows:

(1) $14,089,755,725 for renewals of expiring section 8 tenant-based annual contributions contracts (including renewals of enhanced vouchers under any provision of law authorizing such assistance under section 8(t) of the Act): Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, from amounts provided under this paragraph, the Secretary for the calendar year 2006 funding cycle shall provide renewal funding for each public housing agency based on each public housing agency's 2005 annual budget for renewal funding as calculated by HUD, prior to prorations, and by applying the 2006 Annual Adjustment Factor as established by the Secretary, and by making any necessary adjustments for the costs associated with the first-time renewal of tenant protection or HOPE VI vouchers or vouchers that were not in use during the 12-month period in order to be available to meet a commitment pursuant to section 8(o)(13) of the Act: Provided further, That the Secretary shall, to the extent necessary to stay within the amount provided under this paragraph, pro rate each public housing agency's allocation otherwise established pursuant to this paragraph: Provided further, That except as provided in the following proviso, the entire amount provided under this paragraph shall be obligated to the public housing agencies based on the allocation and pro rata method described above: Provided further, That public housing agencies participating in the Moving to Work demonstration shall be funded pursuant to their Moving to Work agreements and shall be subject to the same pro rata adjustments under the previous proviso: Provided further, That up to $45,000,000 shall be available only: (1) to adjust the allocations for public housing agencies, after application for an adjustment by a public housing agency and verification by HUD, whose allocations under this heading for contract renewals for the calendar year 2005 funding cycle were based on verified VMS leasing and cost data averaged for the months of May, June, and July of 2004
and solely because of temporarily low leasing levels during such 3-month period did not accurately reflect leasing levels and costs for the 2004 fiscal year of the agencies; and (2) for adjustments for public housing agencies that experienced a significant increase, as determined by the Secretary, in renewal costs resulting from unforeseen circumstances or from the portability under section 8(r) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 of tenant-based rental assistance: Provided further, That none of the funds provided in this paragraph may be used to support a total number of unit months under lease which exceeds a public housing agency’s authorized level of units under contract;

(2) $180,000,000 for section 8 rental assistance for relocation and replacement of housing units that are demolished or disposed of pursuant to the Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–134), conversion of section 23 projects to assistance under section 8, the family unification program under section 8(x) of the Act, relocation of witnesses in connection with efforts to combat crime in public and assisted housing pursuant to a request from a law enforcement or prosecution agency, enhanced vouchers under any provision of law authorizing such assistance under section 8(t) of the Act, HOPE VI vouchers, mandatory and voluntary conversions, and tenant protection assistance including replacement and relocation assistance: Provided, That no more than $12,000,000 can be used for section 8 assistance to cover the cost of judgments and settlement agreements;

(3) $48,000,000 for family self-sufficiency coordinators under section 23 of the Act;

(4) $5,900,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund; and

(5) $1,250,000,000 for administrative and other expenses of public housing agencies in administering the section 8 tenant-based rental assistance program, of which up to $10,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary to allocate to public housing agencies that need additional funds to administer their section 8 programs: Provided, That $1,240,000,000 of the amount provided in this paragraph shall be allocated for the calendar year 2006 funding cycle on a pro rata basis to public housing agencies based on the amount public housing agencies were eligible to receive in calendar year 2005: Provided further, That all amounts provided under this paragraph shall be only for activities related to the provision of tenant-based rental assistance authorized under section 8, including related development activities.

HOUSING CERTIFICATE FUND
(RESCISION)

Of the un obligated balances, including recaptures and carryover, remaining from funds appropriated to the Department of Housing and Urban Development under this heading, the heading “Annual contributions for assisted housing”, the heading “Tenant-based rental assistance”, and the heading “Project-based rental assistance”, for fiscal year 2005 and prior years, $2,050,000,000 is rescinded, to be effected by the Secretary no later than September 30,
2006: Provided, That, if insufficient funds exist under these headings, the remaining balance may be derived from any other heading under this title: Provided further, That the Secretary shall notify the Committees on Appropriations 30 days in advance of the rescission of any funds derived from the headings specified above: Provided further, That any such balances governed by reallocation provisions under the statute authorizing the program for which the funds were originally appropriated shall be available for the rescission: Provided further, That any obligated balances of contract authority from fiscal year 1974 and prior that have been terminated shall be cancelled: Provided further, That no amounts recaptured from amounts appropriated in prior years under this heading or the heading “Annual contributions for assisted housing” and no carryover of such appropriated amounts for project-based assistance shall be available for the calendar year 2006 funding cycle for activities provided for under the heading “Tenant-based rental assistance”.

PROJECT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For activities and assistance for the provision of project-based subsidy contracts under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq.) (“the Act” herein), not otherwise provided for, $5,088,300,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the amounts made available under this heading are provided as follows:

(1) $4,939,700,000 for expiring or terminating section 8 project-based subsidy contracts (including section 8 moderate rehabilitation contracts), for amendments to section 8 project-based subsidy contracts (including section 8 moderate rehabilitation contracts), for contracts entered into pursuant to section 441 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, for renewal of section 8 contracts for units in projects that are subject to approved plans of action under the Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987 or the Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990, and for administrative and other expenses associated with project-based activities and assistance funded under this paragraph.

(2) $147,200,000 for performance-based contract administrators for section 8 project-based assistance: Provided, That the Secretary may also use such amounts for performance-based contract administrators for: interest reduction payments pursuant to section 236(a) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–1(a)); rent supplement payments pursuant to section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 (12 U.S.C. 1701s); Section 236(f)(2) rental assistance payments (12 U.S.C. 1715z–1(f)(2)); project rental assistance contracts for the elderly under section 202(c)(2) of the Housing Act of 1959, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1701q, 1701q–1); project rental assistance contracts for supportive housing for persons with disabilities under section 811(d)(2) of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act; project assistance contracts pursuant to section 202(h) of the Housing Act of 1959 (Public Law 86–372; 73 Stat. 667); and loans under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 (Public Law 86–372; 73 Stat. 667).
(3) $1,400,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That amounts recaptured under this heading, the heading, ‘Annual Contributions for Assisted Housing,’ or the heading, ‘Housing Certificate Fund,’ for project-based section 8 activities may be used for renewals of or amendments to section 8 project-based subsidy contracts or for performance-based contract administrators, notwithstanding the purposes for which such amounts were appropriated.

(4) amounts recaptured under this heading, the heading “Annual Contributions for Assisted Housing”, or the heading “Housing Certificate Fund” may be used for renewals or amendments to section 8 project-based contracts, notwithstanding the purposes for which such amounts were appropriated.

PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Public Housing Capital Fund Program to carry out capital and management activities for public housing agencies, as authorized under section 9 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437g) (the “Act”) $2,463,600,000, to remain available until September 30, 2009: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, during fiscal year 2006, the Secretary may not delegate to any Department official other than the Deputy Secretary and the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing any authority under paragraph (2) of section 9(j) regarding the extension of the time periods under such section: Provided further, That for purposes of such section 9(j), the term “obligate” means, with respect to amounts, that the amounts are subject to a binding agreement that will result in outlays, immediately or in the future: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, up to $11,000,000 shall be for carrying out activities under section 9(h) of such Act: Provided further, That $11,000,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That no funds may be used under this heading for the purposes specified in section 9(k) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, up to $17,000,000 shall be available for the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to make grants, notwithstanding section 305 of this Act, to public housing agencies for emergency capital needs resulting from unforeseen or unpreventable emergencies and natural disasters occurring in fiscal year 2006: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, $35,000,000 shall be for supportive services, service coordinators and congregate services as authorized by section 34 of the Act and the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading up to $8,820,000 is to support the costs of administrative and judicial receiverships: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, $7,500,000 shall be for Neighborhood Networks grants for activities authorized in section 9(d)(1)(E) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available in the previous pro-
viso shall be awarded to public housing agencies on a competitive basis: Provided further, That notwithstanding section 9(d)(1)(E) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, any Neighborhood Networks computer center established with funding made available under this heading in this or any other Act, shall be available for use by residents of public housing and residents of other housing assisted with funding made available under this title in this Act or any other Act.

**PUBLIC HOUSING OPERATING FUND**

For 2006 payments to public housing agencies for the operation and management of public housing, as authorized by section 9(e) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437g(e)), $3,600,000,000: Provided, That, in fiscal year 2006 and all fiscal years hereafter, no amounts under this heading in any appropriations Act may be used for payments to public housing agencies for the costs of operation and management of public housing for any year prior to the current year of such Act: Provided further, That no funds may be used under this heading for the purposes specified in section 9(k) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended.

**REVITALIZATION OF SEVERELY DISTRESSED PUBLIC HOUSING (HOPE VI)**

For grants to public housing agencies for demolition, site revitalization, replacement housing, and tenant-based assistance grants to projects as authorized by section 24 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, $100,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, of which the Secretary may use up to $2,000,000 for technical assistance and contract expertise, to be provided directly or indirectly by grants, contracts or cooperative agreements, including training and cost of necessary travel for participants in such training, by or to officials and employees of the department and of public housing agencies and to residents: Provided, That none of such funds shall be used directly or indirectly by granting competitive advantage in awards to settle litigation or pay judgments, unless expressly permitted herein.

**NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

For the Native American Housing Block Grants program, as authorized under title I of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA) (25 U.S.C. 4111 et seq.), $630,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, notwithstanding the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996, to determine the amount of the allocation under title I of such Act for each Indian tribe, the Secretary shall apply the formula under section 302 of such Act with the need component based on single-race Census data and with the need component based on multi-race Census data, and the amount of the allocation for each Indian tribe shall be the greater of the two resulting allocation amounts: Provided further, That of the amounts made available under this heading, $1,000,000 shall be contracted through the Secretary as technical assistance and capacity building to be used by the National American Indian Housing Council in
support of the implementation of NAHASDA; $4,500,000 shall be to support the inspection of Indian housing units, contract expertise, training, and technical assistance in the training, oversight, and management of Indian housing and tenant-based assistance, including up to $300,000 for related travel; up to $4,000,000 may be used for emergencies that constitute imminent threats to health and safety, notwithstanding any other provision of law (including section 305 of this Act): Provided further, That of the amount provided under this heading, $2,000,000 shall be made available for the cost of guaranteed notes and other obligations, as authorized by title VI of NAHASDA; Provided further, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such notes and other obligations, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That of the amount provided under this heading, $2,000,000 shall be made available for the cost of guaranteed notes and other obligations, as authorized by title VI of NAHASDA; Provided further, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such notes and other obligations, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize the total principal amount of any notes and other obligations, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed $17,926,000: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize the total principal amount of any notes and other obligations, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed $17,926,000: Provided further, That for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, up to $150,000 from amounts in the third proviso, which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for “Salaries and Expenses”.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANT

For the Native Hawaiian Housing Block Grant program, as authorized under title VIII of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4111 et seq.), $8,815,000, to remain available until expended, of which $352,606 shall be for training and technical assistance activities.

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by section 184 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z–13a), $4,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize the total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed $116,276,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, up to $250,000 from amounts in the first paragraph which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for “Salaries and Expenses”.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by section 184A of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z–13b), $900,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available
to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaran-
teed, not to exceed $35,714,290.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guar-
anteed loan program, up to $35,000 from amounts in the first para-
graph which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropria-
tion for “Salaries and Expenses”.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS

For carrying out the Housing Opportunities for Persons with
AIDS program, as authorized by the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act
(42 U.S.C. 12901 et seq.), $289,000,000, to remain available until
September 30, 2007, except that amounts allocated pursuant to sec-
tion 854(c)(3) of such Act shall remain available until September
30, 2008: Provided, That the Secretary shall renew all expiring con-
tracts for permanent supportive housing that were funded under
section 854(c)(3) of such Act that meet all program requirements be-
fore awarding funds for new contracts and activities authorized
under this section: Provided further, That the Secretary may use up
to $1,500,000 of the funds under this heading for training, over-
sight, and technical assistance activities.

RURAL HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

For the Office of Rural Housing and Economic Development in
the Department of Housing and Urban Development, $17,000,000,
to remain available until expended, which amount shall be competi-
tively awarded by September 1, 2006, to Indian tribes, State hous-
ing finance agencies, State community and/or economic develop-
ment agencies, local rural nonprofits and community development
corporations to support innovative housing and economic develop-
ment activities in rural areas.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For assistance to units of State and local government, and to
other entities, for economic and community development activities,
and for other purposes, $4,220,000,000, to remain available until
September 30, 2008, unless otherwise specified: Provided, That of
the amount provided, $3,748,400,000 is for carrying out the commu-
nity development block grant program under title I of the Housing
and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended (the “Act”
herein) (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.): Provided further, That unless ex-
plicitly provided for under this heading (except for planning grants
provided in the second paragraph and amounts made available
under the third paragraph), not to exceed 20 percent of any grant
made with funds appropriated under this heading shall be ex-
pired for planning and management development and administra-
tion: Provided further, That $1,600,000 shall be transferred to the
Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That $60,000,000 shall be
for grants to Indian tribes notwithstanding section 106(a)(1) of such
Act, of which, notwithstanding any other provision of law (includ-
ing section 305 of this Act), up to $4,000,000 may be used for emer-
gencies that constitute imminent threats to health and safety;
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$50,000,000 shall be available for YouthBuild program activities authorized by subtitle D of title IV of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, and such activities shall be an eligible activity with respect to any funds made available under this heading: Provided, That local YouthBuild programs that demonstrate an ability to leverage private and nonprofit funding shall be given a priority for YouthBuild funding: Provided further, That no more than eight percent of any grant award under the YouthBuild program may be used for administrative costs: Provided further, That of the amount made available for YouthBuild not less than $4,000,000 is for grants to establish YouthBuild programs in underserved and rural areas and $1,000,000 is to be made available for a grant to YouthBuild USA for capacity building for community development and affordable housing activities as specified in section 4 of the HUD Demonstration Act of 1993, as amended.

Of the amount made available under this heading, $310,000,000 shall be available for grants for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI) to finance a variety of targeted economic investments in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the statement of managers accompanying this Act: Provided, That none of the funds provided under this paragraph may be used for program operations: Provided further, That, for fiscal years 2004, 2005 and 2006, no unobligated funds for EDI grants may be used for any purpose except acquisition, planning, design, purchase of equipment, revitalization, redevelopment or construction.

Of the amount made available under this heading, $50,000,000 shall be available for neighborhood initiatives that are utilized to improve the conditions of distressed and blighted areas and neighborhoods, to stimulate investment, economic diversification, and community revitalization in areas with population outmigration or a stagnating or declining economic base, or to determine whether housing benefits can be integrated more effectively with welfare reform initiatives: Provided, That amounts made available under this paragraph shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the statement of managers accompanying this Act.

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading “Community Development Fund” in title II of division G of Public Law 108–199 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 181 striking “Volusia County” and inserting “Lively Arts Center in Volusia County”.

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading “Community Development Fund” in title II of division G of Public Law 108–199 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 216 by striking “for construction” and inserting “for planning, design, and engineering”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 369 by striking “for the construction of HomeAid America temporary homeless shelters in Costa Mesa, California” and inserting “for the construction of shelters for the temporarily homeless in New York City, New York”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 502 by striking “for acquisition of” and inserting “for ren-
ovations of”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 405 by striking “Willington Senior Center” and inserting
“buildings and facilities associated with the Willington Senior
Housing Center”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 405 by striking “Willington Senior Center” and inserting
“buildings and facilities associated with the Willington Senior
Housing Center”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 674 by striking “City of Big Island, Virginia for the Sedalia
Center restoration” and inserting “to restore the Sedalia Center in
Bedford County, Virginia”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 469 by striking “to the City of Havana, Illinois” and insert-
ing “Havana, Illinois, Rural Fire District”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 554 by striking “$250,000 to the Town of Monroe, New York
for construction of the Monroe Free Library” and inserting
“$150,000 for the Town of Lewisboro, New York for infrastructure
improvements for the Onatri Farm Community Center and
$100,000 for the Town of Poughkeepsie, New York for streetscape
and related improvements in the Arlington Business District”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 445 by striking “City of St. Petersburg, Florida” and insert-
ing “Catholic Charities, Diocese of St. Petersburg, Florida”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Public Law 108–199 is deemed to be amended with respect to item
number 103 for the Mission Preservation Foundation in San Juan
Capistrano, California by striking “for the Great Stone Church res-
toration project” and inserting “to construct and install environment
controls and security measures”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in
Division A of the Emergency Appropriations Act for Defense, Global
War on Terror, and Tsunami Relief, 2005 (Public Law 109–13) is
amended—

1 in section 6070 (119 Stat. 299), by striking paragraph
(1); and

2 in section 6071 (119 Stat. 299), by striking paragraph
(1).

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading
“Community Development Fund” in title II of division I of Public
Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number
83 by striking “construction” and inserting “planning, design, engi-
neering, and construction”.

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading
“Community Development Fund” in title II of division G of Public
Law 108–199 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number
216 by striking “for construction” and inserting “for planning, de-
sign, and engineering”.

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading
“Community Development Fund” in title II of division I of Public
Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item 9 by
striking “for costs associated with the construction” and inserting “to be used for the planning and design”.

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading “Community Development Fund” in title II of Division I of Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item 260 by adding before the period “including $120,000 for property renovation at 754 Broad Street for the Family Center emergency shelter for families and children”.

The referenced statement of the managers accompanying Public Law 106–74 is deemed to be amended by inserting on page 113 “, of which $47,500 may be used for physical improvements at the South Providence Development Corporation business incubator facility or CleanScape, including associated project management costs” after “$100,000 for the South Providence Development Corporation in Providence, Rhode Island for a child care facility”.

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading “Community Development Fund” in title II of Division I of Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 30 by striking “City of San Francisco” and inserting “San Francisco Museum and Historical Society”.

The referenced statement of the managers under the heading “Community Development Fund” in title II of Division G of Public Law 108–199 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 122 by striking “City of San Francisco” and inserting “San Francisco Museum and Historical Society”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in Public Law 108–199 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 855 by striking “the Skagit County Children’s Museum in Mount Vernon, Washington for facilities improvements and renovation” and inserting “the Children’s Museum of Skagit County in Mount Vernon, Washington to purchase and renovate a building”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 1027 by striking “planning and design” and inserting “planning, design, construction and buildout”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 946 by striking “capital” and inserting “planning, design, engineering, and construction”.

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in Public Law 108–447 is deemed to be amended with respect to item number 731 by striking “rehabilitation and buildout” and inserting “planning, evaluation, design, engineering and construction”.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN GUARANTEES PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the cost of guaranteed loans, $3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, as authorized by section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended: Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed $137,500,000, notwithstanding any ag-
aggregate limitation on outstanding obligations guaranteed in section 108(k) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, $750,000 shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for “Salaries and expenses”.

**BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT**
**(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)**

For competitive economic development grants, as authorized by section 108(q) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, for Brownfields redevelopment projects, $10,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007: Provided, That $10,000,000 shall be rescinded from unobligated balances from prior years appropriations under this heading and, to the extent there are insufficient balances, any additional rescission amounts shall be rescinded from funds appropriated under this heading for fiscal year 2006.

**HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM**
**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

For the HOME investment partnerships program, as authorized under title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, $1,750,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That of the total amount provided in this paragraph, up to $42,000,000 shall be available for housing counseling under section 106 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, and $1,000,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund.

In addition to amounts otherwise made available under this heading, $25,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2008, for assistance to homebuyers as authorized under title I of the American Dream Downpayment Act.

**SELF-HELP AND ASSISTED HOMEOWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM**

For the Self-Help and Assisted Homeownership Opportunity Program, $61,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That of the total amount provided in this heading $20,000,000 shall be made available to the Self Help Homeownership Opportunity Program as authorized under section 11 of the Housing Opportunity Program Extension Act of 1996 as amended: Provided further, That $30,000,000 shall be made available for capacity building, of which $26,500,000 shall be for capacity building for Community Development and affordable Housing for LISC and the Enterprise Foundation for activities authorized by Section 4 of the HUD Demonstration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C 9816 note), as in effect immediately before June 12, 1997 and $3,500,000 shall be made available for capacity building activities administered by Habitat for Humanity International: Provided further, That $3,000,000 shall be made available to the Housing Assistance Council; $1,000,000 shall be made available to the National American Indian Housing Council; $4,000,000 shall be available as a grant to the Raza Development Fund of La Raza for the HOPE Fund, of
which $500,000 is for technical assistance and fund management, and $3,500,000 is for investments in the HOPE Fund and financing to affiliated organizations; $2,000,000 shall be available as a grant to the National Housing Development Corporation for operating expenses and a program of affordable housing acquisition and rehabilitation; and $1,000,000 shall be made available to the Special Olympics National Organizing Committee for planning, equipment and operational expenses associated with the 2006 games in Ames, Iowa.

HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the emergency shelter grants program as authorized under subtitle B of title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as amended; the supportive housing program as authorized under subtitle C of title IV of such Act; the section 8 moderate rehabilitation single room occupancy program as authorized under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, to assist homeless individuals pursuant to section 441 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act; and the shelter plus care program as authorized under subtitle F of title IV of such Act, $1,340,000,000, of which $1,320,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008, and of which $20,000,000 shall remain available until expended: Provided, That not less than 30 percent of funds made available, excluding amounts provided for renewals under the shelter plus care program, shall be used for permanent housing: Provided further, That all funds awarded for services shall be matched by 25 percent in funding by each grantee; Provided further, That the Secretary shall renew on an annual basis expiring contracts or amendments to contracts funded under the shelter plus care program if the program is determined to be needed under the applicable continuum of care and meets appropriate program requirements and financial standards, as determined by the Secretary; Provided further, That all awards of assistance under this heading shall be required to coordinate and integrate homeless programs with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which homeless populations may be eligible, including Medicaid, State Children's Health Insurance Program, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, Food Stamps, and services funding through the Mental Health and Substance Abuse Block Grant, Workforce Investment Act, and the Welfare-to-Work grant program: Provided further, That up to $11,674,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for the national homeless data analysis project and technical assistance: Provided further, That $1,000,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That all balances for Shelter Plus Care renewals previously funded from the Shelter Plus Care Renewal account and transferred to this account shall be available, if recaptured, for Shelter Plus Care renewals in fiscal year 2006.
HOUSING PROGRAMS

HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For capital advances, including amendments to capital advance contracts, for housing for the elderly, as authorized by section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959, as amended, and for project rental assistance for the elderly under section 202(c)(2) of such Act, including amendments to contracts for such assistance and renewal of expiring contracts for such assistance for up to a 1-year term, and for supportive services associated with the housing, $742,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2009, of which amount $51,600,000 shall be for service coordinators and the continuation of existing congregate service grants for residents of assisted housing projects, and of which amount up to $24,800,000 shall be for grants under section 202b of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q–2) for conversion of eligible projects under such section to assisted living or related use and for emergency capital repairs as determined by the Secretary: Provided, That of the amount made available under this heading, $4,000,000 shall be made available to carry out section 203 of Public Law 108–186: Provided further, That of the amount made available under this heading, $20,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development only for making competitive grants to private nonprofit organizations and consumer cooperatives for covering costs of architectural and engineering work, site control, and other planning relating to the development of supportive housing for the elderly that is eligible for assistance under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q): Provided further, That amounts under this heading shall be available for Real Estate Assessment Center inspections and inspection-related activities associated with section 202 capital advance projects: Provided further, That $400,000 of the total amount made available under this heading shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That the Secretary may waive the provisions of section 202 governing the terms and conditions of project rental assistance, except that the initial contract term for such assistance shall not exceed 5 years in duration.

HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For capital advance contracts, including amendments to capital advance contracts, for supportive housing for persons with disabilities, as authorized by section 811 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, for project rental assistance for supportive housing for persons with disabilities under section 811(d)(2) of such Act, including amendments to contracts for such assistance and renewal of expiring contracts for such assistance for up to a 1-year term, and for supportive services associated with the housing for persons with disabilities as authorized by section 811(b)(1) of such Act, and for tenant-based rental assistance contracts entered into pursuant to section 811 of such Act, $239,000,000 to remain available until September 30, 2009: Provided, That $400,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That,
of the amount provided under this heading $78,300,000 shall be for amendments or renewal of tenant-based assistance contracts entered into prior to fiscal year 2005 (only one amendment authorized for any such contract): Provided further, That of the amount provided under this heading, the Secretary may make available up to $5,000,000 for incremental tenant-based rental assistance, as authorized by section 811 of such Act (which assistance is 5 years in duration): Provided further, That all tenant-based assistance made available under this heading shall continue to remain available only to persons with disabilities: Provided further, That the Secretary may waive the provisions of section 811 governing the terms and conditions of project rental assistance and tenant-based assistance, except that the initial contract term for such assistance shall not exceed 5 years in duration: Provided further, That amounts made available under this heading shall be available for Real Estate Assessment Center Inspections and inspection-related activities associated with Section 811 Capital Advance Projects.

OTHER ASSISTED HOUSING PROGRAMS

RENTAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE

For amendments to contracts under section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 (12 U.S.C. 1701s) and section 236(f)(2) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–1) in State-aided, non-insured rental housing projects, $26,400,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That amendments to such contracts hereafter may be for a period less than the term of the respective contracts.

FLEXIBLE SUBSIDY FUND

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

From the Rental Housing Assistance Fund, all uncommitted balances of excess rental charges as of September 30, 2005, and any collections made during fiscal year 2006 and all subsequent fiscal years, shall be transferred to the Flexible Subsidy Fund, as authorized by section 236(g) of the National Housing Act, as amended.

MANUFACTURED HOUSING FEES TRUST FUND

For necessary expenses as authorized by the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5401 et seq.), up to $13,000,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Manufactured Housing Fees Trust Fund: Provided, That not to exceed the total amount appropriated under this heading shall be available from the general fund of the Treasury to the extent necessary to incur obligations and make expenditures pending the receipt of collections to the Fund pursuant to section 620 of such Act: Provided further, That the amount made available under this heading from the general fund shall be reduced as such collections are received during fiscal year 2006 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2006 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than $0 and fees pursuant to such section 620 shall be modified as necessary to ensure such a final fiscal year 2006 appropriation.
FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

During fiscal year 2006, commitments to guarantee loans to carry out the purposes of section 203(b) of the National Housing Act, as amended, shall not exceed a loan principal of $185,000,000,000.

During fiscal year 2006, obligations to make direct loans to carry out the purposes of section 204(g) of the National Housing Act, as amended, shall not exceed $50,000,000: Provided, That the foregoing amount shall be for loans to nonprofit and governmental entities in connection with sales of single family real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly insured under the Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund.

For administrative expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed and direct loan program, $355,000,000, of which not to exceed $351,000,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for “Salaries and expenses”; and not to exceed $4,000,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for “Office of Inspector General”. In addition, for administrative contract expenses, $62,600,000, of which $18,281,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided, That to the extent guaranteed loan commitments exceed $65,500,000,000 on or before April 1, 2006, an additional $1,400 for administrative contract expenses shall be available for each $1,000,000 in additional guaranteed loan commitments (including a pro rata amount for any amount below $1,000,000), but in no case shall funds made available by this proviso exceed $30,000,000.

GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by sections 238 and 519 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–3 and 1735c), including the cost of loan guarantee modifications, as that term is defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended, $8,800,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That commitments to guarantee loans shall not exceed $35,000,000,000 in total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed.

Gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized by sections 204(g), 207(l), 238, and 519(a) of the National Housing Act, shall not exceed $50,000,000, of which not to exceed $30,000,000 shall be for bridge financing in connection with the sale of multifamily real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly insured under such Act; and of which not to exceed $20,000,000 shall be for loans to nonprofit and governmental entities in connection with the sale of single-family real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly insured under such Act.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed and direct loan programs, $231,400,000, of which $211,400,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for “Salaries and Expenses”; and of which $20,000,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for “Office of Inspector General”.
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In addition, for administrative contract expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed and direct loan programs, $71,900,000, of which $10,800,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided, That to the extent guaranteed loan commitments exceed $8,426,000,000 on or before April 1, 2006, an additional $1,980 for administrative contract expenses shall be available for each $1,000,000 in additional guaranteed loan commitments over $8,426,000,000 (including a pro rata amount for any increment below $1,000,000), but in no case shall funds made available by this proviso exceed $14,400,000.

**Government National Mortgage Association**

**Guarantees of Mortgage-Backed Securities Loan Guarantee Program Account**

(Including Transfer of Funds)

New commitments to issue guarantees to carry out the purposes of section 306 of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1721(g)), shall not exceed $200,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007.

For administrative expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed mortgage-backed securities program, $10,700,000, to be derived from the GNMA guarantees of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed loan receipt account, of which not to exceed $10,700,000, shall be transferred to the appropriation for “Salaries and Expenses”.

**Policy Development and Research**

**Research and Technology**

For contracts, grants, and necessary expenses of programs of research and studies relating to housing and urban problems, not otherwise provided for, as authorized by title V of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1701z–1 et seq.), including carrying out the functions of the Secretary under section 1(a)(1)(i) of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1968, $56,350,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007: Provided, That of the total amount provided under this heading, $5,000,000 shall be for the Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing (PATH) Initiative: Provided further, That of the amounts made available for PATH under this heading, $2,500,000 shall not be subject to the requirements of section 305 of this title: Provided further, That the Office of Housing shall administer PATH: Provided further, That of funds made available under this heading, $750,000 shall be transferred to the National Research Council for a study in accordance with the statement of the managers accompanying this Act: Provided further, That of the funds made available under this heading, $20,600,000 is for grants pursuant to section 107 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, as follows: $3,000,000 to support Alaska Native serving institutions and Native Hawaiian serving institutions as defined under the Higher Education Act, as amended; $2,600,000 for tribal colleges and universities to build, expand, renovate, and equip their facilities and to expand the role of the colleges into the community through the provision of needed services such as health programs, job training and
economic development activities; $9,000,000 for the Historically Black Colleges and Universities program, of which up to $2,000,000 may be used for technical assistance; and $6,000,000 for the Hispanic Serving Institutions Program.

FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

FAIR HOUSING ACTIVITIES

For contracts, grants, and other assistance, not otherwise provided for, as authorized by title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, and section 561 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, as amended, $46,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, of which $20,000,000 shall be to carry out activities pursuant to such section 561: Provided, That no funds made available under this heading shall be used to lobby the executive or legislative branches of the Federal Government in connection with a specific contract, grant or loan.

OFFICE OF LEAD HAZARD CONTROL

LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION

For the Lead Hazard Reduction Program, as authorized by section 1011 of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, $152,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, of which $9,500,000 shall be for the Healthy Homes Initiative, pursuant to sections 501 and 502 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970 that shall include research, studies, testing, and demonstration efforts, including education and outreach concerning lead-based paint poisoning and other housing-related diseases and hazards: Provided, That for purposes of environmental review, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and other provisions of law that further the purposes of such Act, a grant under the Healthy Homes Initiative, Operation Lead Elimination Action Plan (LEAP), or the Lead Technical Studies program under this heading or under prior appropriations Acts for such purposes under this heading, shall be considered to be funds for a special project for purposes of section 305(c) of the Multifamily Housing Property Disposition Reform Act of 1994: Provided further, That of the total amount made available under this heading, $48,000,000 shall be made available on a competitive basis for areas with the highest lead paint abatement needs, as identified by the Secretary as having: (1) the highest number of occupied pre-1940 units of rental housing; and (2) a disproportionately high number of documented cases of lead-poisoned children: Provided further, That each grantee receiving funds under the previous proviso shall target those privately owned units and multifamily buildings that are occupied by low-income families as defined under section 3(b)(2) of the United States Housing Act of 1937: Provided further, That not less than 90 percent of the funds made available under this paragraph shall be used exclusively for abatement, inspections, risk assessments, temporary relocations and interim control of lead-based hazards as defined by 42 U.S.C. 4851: Provided further, That each recipient of funds provided under the first proviso shall make a matching contribution in an amount not less than
25 percent: Provided further, That each applicant shall submit a detailed plan and strategy that demonstrates adequate capacity that is acceptable to the Secretary to carry out the proposed use of funds pursuant to a Notice of Funding Availability.

**MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

For necessary administrative and non-administrative expenses of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, not otherwise provided for, including purchase of uniforms, or allowances therefore, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; hire of passenger motor vehicles; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and not to exceed $25,000 for official reception and representation expenses, $1,153,285,000, of which $562,400,000 shall be provided from the various funds of the Federal Housing Administration, $10,700,000 shall be provided from funds of the Government National Mortgage Association, $750,000 shall be from the “Community development loan guarantee program” account, $150,000 shall be provided by transfer from the “Native American housing block grants” account, $250,000 shall be provided by transfer from the “Indian housing loan guarantee fund program” account and $35,000 shall be transferred from the “Native Hawaiian housing loan guarantee fund” account: Provided, That funds made available under this heading shall only be allocated in the manner specified in the statement of the managers accompanying this Act unless the Committees on Appropriations of both the House of Representatives and the Senate are notified of any changes in an operating plan or reprogramming: Provided further, That no official or employee of the Department shall be designated as an allotment holder unless the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) has determined that such allotment holder has implemented an adequate system of funds control and has received training in funds control procedures and directives: Provided further, That the Chief Financial Officer shall establish positive control of and maintain adequate systems of accounting for appropriations and other available funds as required by 31 U.S.C. 1514: Provided further, That for purposes of funds control and determining whether a violation exists under the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1341 et seq.), the point of obligation shall be the executed agreement or contract, except with respect to insurance and guarantee programs, certain types of salaries and expenses funding, and incremental funding that is authorized under an executed agreement or contract, and shall be designated in the approved funds control plan: Provided further, That the Chief Financial Officer shall: (1) appoint qualified personnel to conduct investigations of potential or actual violations; (2) establish minimum training requirements and other qualifications for personnel that may be appointed to conduct investigations; (3) establish guidelines and timeframes for the conduct and completion of investigations; (4) prescribe the content, format and other requirements for the submission of final reports on violations; and (5) prescribe such additional policies and procedures as may be required for conducting investigations of, and administering, processing, and reporting on, potential
and actual violations of the Anti-Deficiency Act and all other statutes and regulations governing the obligation and expenditure of funds made available in this or any other Act: Provided further, That up to $15,000,000 may be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That the Secretary shall fill 7 out of 10 vacancies at the GS–14 and GS–15 levels until the total number of GS–14 and GS–15 positions in the Department has been reduced from the number of GS–14 and GS–15 positions on the date of enactment of Public Law 106–377 by 2½ percent.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

For additional capital for the Working Capital Fund (42 U.S.C. 3535) for the development of, modifications to, and infrastructure for Department-wide information technology systems, for the continuing operation of both Department-wide and program-specific information systems, and for program-related development activities, $197,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007: Provided, That any amounts transferred to this Fund under this Act shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That any amounts transferred to this Fund from amounts appropriated by previously enacted appropriations Acts or from within this Act may be used only for the purposes specified under this Fund, in addition to the purposes for which such amounts were appropriated.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $106,000,000, of which $24,000,000 shall be provided from the various funds of the Federal Housing Administration: Provided, That the Inspector General shall have independent authority over all personnel issues within this office.

OFFICE OF FEDERAL HOUSING ENTERPRISE OVERSIGHT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, including not to exceed $500 for official reception and representation expenses, $60,000,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Federal Housing Enterprises Oversight Fund: Provided, That the Director shall submit a spending plan for the amounts provided under this heading no later than January 15, 2006: Provided further, That not less than 80 percent of the total amount made available under this heading shall be used only for examination, supervision, and capital oversight of the enterprises (as such term is defined in section 1303 of the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 4502)) to ensure that the enterprises are operating in a financially safe and sound manner and complying with the capital requirements under Subtitle B of such Act: Provided further, That not to exceed the amount provided here-in shall be available from the general fund of the Treasury to the
extent necessary to incur obligations and make expenditures pending the receipt of collections to the Fund: Provided further, That the general fund amount shall be reduced as collections are received during the fiscal year so as to result in a final appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than $0.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Sec. 301. Fifty percent of the amounts of budget authority, or in lieu thereof 50 percent of the cash amounts associated with such budget authority, that are recaptured from projects described in section 1012(a) of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Amendments Act of 1988 (42 U.S.C. 1437 note) shall be rescinded, or in the case of cash, shall be remitted to the Treasury, and such amounts of budget authority or cash recaptured and not rescinded or remitted to the Treasury shall be used by State housing finance agencies or local governments or local housing agencies with projects approved by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development for which settlement occurred after January 1, 1992, in accordance with such section. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, the Secretary may award up to 15 percent of the budget authority or cash recaptured and not rescinded or remitted to the Treasury to provide project owners with incentives to refinance their project at a lower interest rate.

Sec. 302. None of the amounts made available under this Act may be used during fiscal year 2006 to investigate or prosecute under the Fair Housing Act any otherwise lawful activity engaged in by one or more persons, including the filing or maintaining of a non-frivolous legal action, that is engaged in solely for the purpose of achieving or preventing action by a Government official or entity, or a court of competent jurisdiction.

Sec. 303. (a) Notwithstanding section 854(c)(1)(A) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)(1)(A)), from any amounts made available under this title for fiscal year 2006 that are allocated under such section, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall allocate and make a grant, in the amount determined under subsection (b), for any State that—

(1) received an allocation in a prior fiscal year under clause (ii) of such section; and

(2) is not otherwise eligible for an allocation for fiscal year 2006 under such clause (ii) because the areas in the State outside of the metropolitan statistical areas that qualify under clause (i) in fiscal year 2006 do not have the number of cases of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) required under such clause.

(b) The amount of the allocation and grant for any State described in subsection (a) shall be an amount based on the cumulative number of AIDS cases in the areas of that State that are outside of metropolitan statistical areas that qualify under clause (i) of such section 854(c)(1)(A) in fiscal year 2006, in proportion to AIDS cases among cities and States that qualify under clauses (i) and (ii) of such section and States deemed eligible under subsection (a).

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount allocated for fiscal year 2006 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)), to the City of New York, New York, on behalf of the New York-Wayne-White Plains, New
York-New Jersey Metropolitan Division (hereafter “metropolitan division”) of the New York-Newark-Edison, NY-NJ-PA Metropolitan Statistical Area, shall be adjusted by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by: (1) allocating to the City of Jersey City, New Jersey, the proportion of the metropolitan area’s or division’s amount that is based on the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan area or division that is located in Hudson County, New Jersey, and adjusting for the proportion of the metropolitan division’s high incidence bonus if this area in New Jersey also has a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS; and (2) allocating to the City of Paterson, New Jersey, the proportion of the metropolitan area’s or division’s amount that is based on the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan area or division that is located in Bergen County and Passaic County, New Jersey, and adjusting for the proportion of the metropolitan division’s high incidence bonus if this area in New Jersey also has a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS. The recipient cities shall use amounts allocated under this subsection to carry out eligible activities under section 855 of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12904) in their respective portions of the metropolitan division that is located in New Jersey.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount allocated for fiscal year 2006 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)) to areas with a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS, shall be adjusted by the Secretary on the basis of area incidence reported over a three year period.

SEC. 304. (a) During fiscal year 2006, in the provision of rental assistance under section 8(o) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o)) in connection with a program to demonstrate the economy and effectiveness of providing such assistance for use in assisted living facilities that is carried out in the counties of the State of Michigan notwithstanding paragraphs (3) and (18)(B)(iii) of such section 8(o), a family residing in an assisted living facility in any such county, on behalf of which a public housing agency provides assistance pursuant to section 8(o)(18) of such Act, may be required, at the time the family initially receives such assistance, to pay rent in an amount exceeding 40 percent of the monthly adjusted income of the family by such a percentage or amount as the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines to be appropriate.

SEC. 305. Except as explicitly provided in law, any grant, cooperative agreement or other assistance made pursuant to title III of this Act shall be made on a competitive basis and in accordance with section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989.

SEC. 306. Funds of the Department of Housing and Urban Development subject to the Government Corporation Control Act or section 402 of the Housing Act of 1950 shall be available, without regard to the limitations on administrative expenses, for legal services on a contract or fee basis, and for utilizing and making payment for services and facilities of the Federal National Mortgage Association, Government National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Financing Bank, Federal Reserve banks or any member thereof, Federal Home Loan banks, and
SEC. 307. Unless otherwise provided for in this Act or through a reprogramming of funds, no part of any appropriation for the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall be available for any program, project or activity in excess of amounts set forth in the budget estimates submitted to Congress.

SEC. 308. Corporations and agencies of the Department of Housing and Urban Development which are subject to the Government Corporation Control Act, as amended, are hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to each such corporation or agency and in accordance with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of such Act as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the budget for 2006 for such corporation or agency except as hereinafter provided: Provided, That collections of these corporations and agencies may be used for new loan or mortgage purchase commitments only to the extent expressly provided for in this Act (unless such loans are in support of other forms of assistance provided for in this or prior appropriations Acts), except that this proviso shall not apply to the mortgage insurance or guaranty operations of these corporations, or where loans or mortgage purchases are necessary to protect the financial interest of the United States Government.

SEC. 309. None of the funds provided in this title for technical assistance, training, or management improvements may be obligated or expended unless HUD provides to the Committees on Appropriations a description of each proposed activity and a detailed budget estimate of the costs associated with each program, project or activity as part of the Budget Justifications. For fiscal year 2006, HUD shall transmit this information to the Committees by March 15, 2006 for 30 days of review.

SEC. 310. The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall provide quarterly reports to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations regarding all uncommitted, unobligated, recaptured and excess funds in each program and activity within the jurisdiction of the Department and shall submit additional, updated budget information to these Committees upon request.

SEC. 311. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in fiscal year 2006, in managing and disposing of any multifamily property that is owned or held by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, the Secretary shall maintain any rental assistance payments under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 that are attached to any dwelling units in the property. To the extent the Secretary determines that such a multifamily property owned or held by the Secretary is not feasible for continued rental assistance payments under such section 8, based on consideration of the costs of maintaining such payments for that property or other factors, the Secretary may, in consultation with the tenants of that property, contract for project-based rental assistance payments with an owner or owners of other existing housing properties, or provide other rental assistance.

SEC. 312. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount allocated for fiscal year 2006 under section 854(c) of the
AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)), to the City of Wilmington, Delaware, on behalf of the Wilmington, Delaware-Maryland-New Jersey Metropolitan Division (hereafter “metropolitan division”), shall be adjusted by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by allocating to the State of New Jersey the proportion of the metropolitan division's amount that is based on the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan division that is located in New Jersey, and adjusting for the proportion of the metropolitan division's high incidence bonus if this area in New Jersey also has a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS. The State of New Jersey shall use amounts allocated to the State under this subsection to carry out eligible activities under section 855 of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12904) in the portion of the metropolitan division that is located in New Jersey.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall allocate to Wake County, North Carolina, the amounts that otherwise would be allocated for fiscal year 2006 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)) to the City of Raleigh, North Carolina, on behalf of the Raleigh-Cary, North Carolina Metropolitan Statistical Area. Any amounts allocated to Wake County shall be used to carry out eligible activities under section 855 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 12904) within such metropolitan statistical area.

(c) Notwithstanding section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)), the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may adjust the allocation of the amounts that otherwise would be allocated for fiscal year 2006 under section 854(c) of such Act, upon the written request of an applicant, in conjunction with the State(s), for a formula allocation on behalf of a metropolitan statistical area, to designate the State or States in which the metropolitan statistical area is located as the eligible grantee(s) of the allocation. In the case that a metropolitan statistical area involves more than one State, such amounts allocated to each State shall be in proportion to the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan statistical area located in that State. Any amounts allocated to a State under this section shall be used to carry out eligible activities within the portion of the metropolitan statistical area located in that State.

SEC. 313. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for this fiscal year and every fiscal year thereafter, funds appropriated for housing for the elderly, as authorized by section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959, as amended, and for supportive housing for persons with disabilities, as authorized by section 811 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, shall be available for the cost of maintaining and disposing of such properties that are acquired or otherwise become the responsibility of the Department.

SEC. 314. The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall submit an annual report no later than August 30, 2006 and annually thereafter to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations regarding the number of Federally assisted units under lease and the per unit cost of these units to the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

SEC. 315. The Department of Housing and Urban Development shall submit the Department's fiscal year 2007 congressional budget
justifications to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate using the identical structure provided under this Act and only in accordance with the direction specified in the report accompanying this Act.

SEC. 316. That incremental vouchers previously made available under the heading “Housing Certificate Fund” or renewed under the heading, “Tenant-Based Rental Assistance,” for non-elderly disabled families shall, to the extent practicable, continue to be provided to non-elderly disabled families upon turnover.

SEC. 317. A public housing agency or such other entity that administers Federal housing assistance in the States of Alaska, Iowa, and Mississippi shall not be required to include a resident of public housing or a recipient of assistance provided under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 on the board of directors or a similar governing board of such agency or entity as required under section (2)(b) of such Act. Each public housing agency or other entity that administers Federal housing assistance under section 8 in the States of Alaska, Iowa and Mississippi shall establish an advisory board of not less than 6 residents of public housing or recipients of section 8 assistance to provide advice and comment to the public housing agency or other administering entity on issues related to public housing and section 8. Such advisory board shall meet not less than quarterly.

SEC. 318. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, subject to the conditions listed in subsection (b), for fiscal years 2006 and 2007, the Secretary may authorize the transfer of project-based assistance, debt and statutorily required low-income and very low-income use restrictions, associated with one multifamily housing project to another multifamily housing project.

(b) The transfer authorized in subsection (a) is subject to the following conditions:

(1) the number of low-income and very low-income units and the net dollar amount of Federal assistance provided by the transferring project shall remain the same in the receiving project;
(2) the transferring project shall, as determined by the Secretary, be either physically obsolete or economically non-viable;
(3) the receiving project shall meet or exceed applicable physical standards established by the Secretary;
(4) the owner or mortgagor of the transferring project shall notify and consult with the tenants residing in the transferring project and provide a certification of approval by all appropriate local governmental officials;
(5) the tenants of the transferring project who remain eligible for assistance to be provided by the receiving project shall not be required to vacate their units in the transferring project until new units in the receiving project are available for occupancy;
(6) the Secretary determines that this transfer is in the best interest of the tenants;
(7) if either the transferring project or the receiving project meets the condition specified in subsection (c)(2)(A), any lien on the receiving project resulting from additional financing obtained by the owner shall be subordinate to any FHA-insured
mortgage lien transferred to, or placed on, such project by the Secretary;

(8) if the transferring project meets the requirements of subsection (c)(2)(E), the owner or mortgagor of the receiving project shall execute and record either a continuation of the existing use agreement or a new use agreement for the project where, in either case, any use restrictions in such agreement are of no lesser duration than the existing use restrictions;

(9) any financial risk to the FHA General and Special Risk Insurance Fund, as determined by the Secretary, would be reduced as a result of a transfer completed under this section; and

(10) the Secretary determines that Federal liability with regard to this project will not be increased.

(c) For purposes of this section—

(1) the terms “low-income” and “very low-income” shall have the meanings provided by the statute and/or regulations governing the program under which the project is insured or assisted;

(2) the term “multifamily housing project” means housing that meets one of the following conditions—

(A) housing that is subject to a mortgage insured under the National Housing Act,

(B) housing that has project-based assistance attached to the structure,

(C) housing that is assisted under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 as amended by section 801 of the Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act,

(D) housing that is assisted under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959, as such section existed before the enactment of the Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act, or,

(E) housing or vacant land that is subject to a use agreement;

(3) the term “project-based assistance” means—

(A) assistance provided under section 8(b) of the United States Housing Act of 1937;

(B) assistance for housing constructed or substantially rehabilitated pursuant to assistance provided under section 8(b)(2) of such Act (as such section existed immediately before October 1, 1983);

(C) rent supplement payments under section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965;

(D) additional assistance payments under section 236(f)(2) of the National Housing Act; and,

(E) assistance payments made under section 202(c)(2) of the Housing Act of 1959;

(4) the term “receiving project” means the multifamily housing project to which the project-based assistance, debt, and statutorily required use low-income and very low-income restrictions are to be transferred;

(5) the term “transferring project” means the multifamily housing project which is transferring the project-based assistance, debt and the statutorily required low-income and very low-income use restrictions to the receiving project; and,
(6) the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

SEC. 319. The funds made available for Native Alaskans under the heading “Native American Housing Block Grants” in title III of this Act shall be allocated to the same Native Alaskan housing block grant recipients that received funds in fiscal year 2005.

SEC. 320. (a) EXTENSION.—The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall extend the term of the Moving to Work Demonstration Agreement entered into between a public housing agency and the Secretary under section 204, title V, of the Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–134, April 26, 1996) if—

(1) the public housing agency requests such extension in writing;
(2) the public housing agency is not at the time of such request for extension in default under its Moving to Work Demonstration Agreement; and
(3) the Moving to Work Demonstration Agreement to be extended would otherwise expire on or before September 30, 2006.

(b) TERMS.—Unless the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development and the public housing agency otherwise agree, the extension under subsection (a) shall be upon the identical terms and conditions set forth in the extending agency’s existing Moving to Work Demonstration Agreement, except that for each public housing agency that has been or will be granted an extension to its original Moving to Work Agreement, the Secretary shall require that data be collected so that the effect of Moving to Work policy changes on residents can be measured.

(c) EXTENSION PERIOD.—The extension under subsection (a) shall be for such period as is requested by the public housing agency, not to exceed 3 years from the date of expiration of the extending agency’s existing Moving to Work Demonstration Agreement.

(d) BREACH OF AGREEMENT.—Nothing contained in this section shall limit the authority of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to terminate any Moving to Work Demonstration Agreement of a public housing agency if the public housing agency is in breach of the provisions of such agreement.

SEC. 321. No funds provided under this title may be used for an audit of the Government National Mortgage Association that makes applicable requirements under the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661 et seq.).

SEC. 322. Incremental vouchers previously made available under the heading, “Housing Certificate Fund” or renewed under the heading, “Tenant-Based Rental Assistance”, for family unification shall, to the extent practicable, continue to be provided for family unification.

SEC. 323. Section 223(f)(1) of the National Housing Act is amended by inserting “purchase or” immediately before “refinancing of existing debt”.

SEC. 324. Section 421 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987 (12 U.S.C. § 1715z–4a) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(A), by inserting after “is” the following: “or, at the time of the violations, was”; and
(2) in subsection (a)(1)(C), by inserting after “held” the following: “or, at the time of the violations, was insured or held”. 
SEC. 325. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for fiscal year 2006 and thereafter, all mortgagees receiving interest reduction payments under section 236 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–1) shall submit only electronic invoices to the Department of Housing and Development in order to receive such payments. The mortgagees shall comply with this requirement no later than 90 days from the date of enactment of this provision.

SEC. 326. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the recipient of a grant under section 202b of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q–2) after December 26, 2000, in accordance with the unnumbered paragraph at the end of section 202b(b) of such Act, may, at its option, establish a single-asset nonprofit entity to own the project and may lend the grant funds to such entity, which may be a private nonprofit organization described in section 831 of the American Homeownership and Economic Opportunity Act of 2000.

SEC. 327. (a) No assistance shall be provided under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f) to any individual who—

(1) is enrolled as a student at an institution of higher education (as defined under section 102 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002));
(2) is under 24 years of age;
(3) is not a veteran;
(4) is unmarried;
(5) does not have a dependent child; and
(6) is not otherwise individually eligible, or has parents who, individually or jointly, are not eligible, to receive assistance under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f).

(b) For purposes of determining the eligibility of a person to receive assistance under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f), any financial assistance (in excess of amounts received for tuition) that an individual receives under the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.), from private sources, or an institution of higher education (as defined under the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002)), shall be considered income to that individual, except for a person over the age of 23 with dependent children.

(c) Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall issue final regulations to carry out the provisions of this section.

SEC. 328. The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall give priority consideration to applications from the housing authorities of the Counties of San Bernardino and Santa Clara and the City of San Jose, California to participate in the Moving to Work Demonstration Agreement under Section 204, Title V, of the Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–134, April 26, 1996): Provided, That upon turnover, existing requirements on the re-issuance of Section 8 vouchers shall be maintained to ensure that not less than 75 percent of all vouchers shall be made available to extremely low-income families.

This title may be cited as the “Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2006”.
For expenses necessary for the operation of the Supreme Court, as required by law, excluding care of the building and grounds, including purchase or hire, driving, maintenance, and operation of an automobile for the Chief Justice, not to exceed $10,000 for the purpose of transporting Associate Justices, and hire of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343 and 1344; not to exceed $10,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and for miscellaneous expenses, to be expended as the Chief Justice may approve, $60,730,000, of which $2,000,000 shall remain available until expended.

CARE OF THE BUILDING AND GROUNDS
For such expenditures as may be necessary to enable the Architect of the Capitol to carry out the duties imposed upon the Architect by the Act approved May 7, 1934 (40 U.S.C. 13a–13b), $5,624,000, which shall remain available until expended.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
For salaries of the chief judge, judges, and other officers and employees, and for necessary expenses of the court, as authorized by law, $24,000,000.

UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
For salaries of the chief judge and eight judges, salaries of the officers and employees of the court, services, and necessary expenses of the court, as authorized by law, $15,480,000.

COURTS OF APPEALS, DISTRICT COURTS, AND OTHER JUDICIAL SERVICES
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
For the salaries of circuit and district judges (including judges of the territorial courts of the United States), justices and judges retired from office or from regular active service, judges of the United States Court of Federal Claims, bankruptcy judges, magistrate judges, and all other officers and employees of the Federal Judiciary not otherwise specifically provided for, and necessary expenses of the courts, as authorized by law, $4,348,780,000 (including the purchase of firearms and ammunition); of which not to exceed $27,817,000 shall remain available until expended for space alteration projects and for furniture and furnishings related to new space alteration and construction projects.
In addition, for expenses of the United States Court of Federal Claims associated with processing cases under the National Child-
hood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–660), not to exceed $3,833,000, to be appropriated from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund.

DEFENDER SERVICES

For the operation of Federal Defender organizations; the compensation and reimbursement of expenses of attorneys appointed to represent persons under the Criminal Justice Act of 1964, as amended (18 U.S.C. 3006A); the compensation and reimbursement of expenses of persons furnishing investigative, expert and other services under the Criminal Justice Act of 1964 (18 U.S.C. 3006A(e)); the compensation (in accordance with Criminal Justice Act maximums) and reimbursement of expenses of attorneys appointed to assist the court in criminal cases where the defendant has waived representation by counsel; the compensation and reimbursement of travel expenses of guardians ad litem acting on behalf of financially eligible minor or incompetent offenders in connection with transfers from the United States to foreign countries with which the United States has a treaty for the execution of penal sentences; the compensation of attorneys appointed to represent jurors in civil actions for the protection of their employment, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 1875(d); and for necessary training and general administrative expenses, $717,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FEES OF JURORS AND COMMISSIONERS

For fees and expenses of jurors as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 1871 and 1876; compensation of jury commissioners as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 1863; and compensation of commissioners appointed in condemnation cases pursuant to rule 71A(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (28 U.S.C. Appendix Rule 71A(h)), $61,318,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the compensation of land commissioners shall not exceed the daily equivalent of the highest rate payable under section 5332 of title 5, United States Code.

COURT SECURITY

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, incident to the provision of protective guard services for United States courthouses and other facilities housing Federal court operations, and the procurement, installation, and maintenance of security systems and equipment for United States courthouses and other facilities housing Federal court operations, including building ingress-egress control, inspection of mail and packages, directed security patrols, perimeter security, basic security services provided by the Federal Protective Service, and other similar activities as authorized by section 1010 of the Judicial Improvement and Access to Justice Act (Public Law 100–702), $372,000,000, of which not to exceed $15,000,000 shall remain available until expended, to be expended directly or transferred to the United States Marshals Service, which shall be responsible for administering the Judicial Facility Security Program consistent with standards or guidelines agreed to by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts.
and the Attorney General, and of which not to exceed $65,500,000 shall remain available until expended, to be expended directly or transferred to the United States Federal Protective Service for costs associated with building security.

**ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts as authorized by law, including travel as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1345, hire of a passenger motor vehicle as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343(b), advertising and rent in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, $70,262,000, of which not to exceed $8,500 is authorized for official reception and representation expenses and of which up to $1,000,000 shall be made available to the National Academy of Public Administration for a review of the financial and management procedures of the Federal Judiciary.

**FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses of the Federal Judicial Center, as authorized by Public Law 90–219, $22,350,000; of which $1,800,000 shall remain available through September 30, 2007, to provide education and training to Federal court personnel; and of which not to exceed $1,500 is authorized for official reception and representation expenses.

**JUDICIAL RETIREMENT FUNDS**

**PAYMENT TO JUDICIARY TRUST FUNDS**

For payment to the Judicial Officers' Retirement Fund, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 377(o), $36,800,000; to the Judicial Survivors' Annuities Fund, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 376(c), $600,000; and to the United States Court of Federal Claims Judges' Retirement Fund, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 178(t), $3,200,000.

**UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For the salaries and expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of chapter 58 of title 28, United States Code, $14,400,000, of which not to exceed $1,000 is authorized for official reception and representation expenses.

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—THE JUDICIARY**

**SEC. 401.** Appropriations and authorizations made in this title which are available for salaries and expenses shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.

**SEC. 402.** Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the Judiciary in this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation, except “Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services, Defender Services” and “Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services, Fees of Jurors and Commis-
sioners”, shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers: Provided, That any transfer pursuant to this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under sections 705 and 710 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

SEC. 403. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the salaries and expenses appropriation for Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services shall be available for official reception and representation expenses of the Judicial Conference of the United States; Provided, That such available funds shall not exceed $11,000 and shall be administered by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts in the capacity as Secretary of the Judicial Conference.

SEC. 404. Within 90 days of enactment of this Act, the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a comprehensive financial plan for the Judiciary allocating all sources of available funds including appropriations, fee collections, and carryover balances, to include a separate and detailed plan for the Judiciary Information Technology fund.

SEC. 405. Pursuant to section 140 of Public Law 97–92, and from funds appropriated in this Act, Justices and judges of the United States are authorized during fiscal year 2006, to receive a salary adjustment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 461.

SEC. 406. The existing judgeship for the eastern district of Missouri authorized by section 203(c) of the Judicial Improvements Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–650, 104 Stat. 5089) as amended by Public Law 105–53, as of the effective date of this Act, shall be extended. The first vacancy in the office of district judge in this district occurring 20 years or more after the confirmation date of the judge named to fill the temporary judgeship created by section 203(c) shall not be filled.

SEC. 407. (a) Section 604 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding section (4) at the end of section “(g)”:

“(4) The Director is hereby authorized:

“(A) to enter into contracts for the acquisition of severable services for a period that begins in one fiscal year and ends in the next fiscal year to the same extent as the head of an executive agency under the authority of section 253l of 41 U.S.C.;

“(B) to enter into contracts for multiple years for the acquisition of property and services to the same extent as executive agencies under the authority of section 254c of 41 U.S.C.; and

“(C) to make advance, partial, progress or other payments under contracts for property or services to the same extent as executive agencies under the authority of section 255 of 41 U.S.C.”

(b) Section 612 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking the current language in section (e)(2)(B) and inserting “such contract is in accordance with the Director’s authority in section 604(g) of 28 U.S.C.; and,”.

(c) The authorities granted in this section shall expire on September 30, 2010.

SEC. 408. (a) The division of the court shall release to the Congress and to the public not later than 60 days after the date of en-
actment of this Act all portions of the final report of the independent
counsel of the investigation of Henry Cisneros made under section
594(h) of title 28, United States Code. The division of the court shall
make such orders as are appropriate to protect the rights of any in-
dividual named in such report and to prevent undue interference
with any pending prosecution. Upon the release of the final report,
the final report shall be published pursuant to section 594(h)(3) of
title 28, United States Code.

(b)(1) After the release and publication of the final report re-
ferred to in subsection (a), the independent counsel shall continue
his office only to the extent necessary and appropriate to perform the
noninvestigative and nonprosecutorial tasks remaining of his statu-
tory duties as required to conclude the functions of his office.

(2) The duties referred to in paragraph (1) shall specifically in-
clude—

(A) the evaluation of claims for attorney fees, pursuant to
section 593(l) of title 28, United States Code;

(B) the transfer of records to the Archivist of the United
States pursuant to section 594(k) of title 28, United States
Code;

(C) compliance with oversight obligations pursuant to sec-
tion 595(a) of title 28, United States Code; and

(D) preparation of statements of expenditures pursuant to
section 595(c) of title 28, United States Code.

(c)(1) The independent counsel shall have not more than 90
days after the release and publication of the final report referred to
in subsection (a) to complete his remaining statutory duties unless
the division of the court determines that it is necessary for the inde-
pendent counsel to have additional time to complete his remaining
statutory duties.

(2) If the division of the court finds that the independent coun-
sel needs additional time under paragraph (1), the division of the
court shall issue a public report stating the grounds for the exten-
sion and a proposed date for completion of all aspects of the inves-
tigation of Henry Cisneros and termination of the office of the inde-
pendent counsel.

This title may be cited as the “Judiciary Appropriations Act,
2006”.

TITLE V

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS
APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

COMPENSATION OF THE PRESIDENT

For compensation of the President, including an expense allow-
ance at the rate of $50,000 per annum as authorized by 3 U.S.C.
102, $450,000: Provided, That none of the funds made available for
official expenses shall be expended for any other purpose and any
unused amount shall revert to the Treasury pursuant to section
1552 of title 31, United States Code.
WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the White House as authorized by law, including not to exceed $3,850,000 for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 105; subsistence expenses as authorized by 3 U.S.C. 105, which shall be expended and accounted for as provided in that section; hire of passenger motor vehicles, newspapers, periodicals, teletype news service, and travel (not to exceed $100,000 to be expended and accounted for as provided by 3 U.S.C. 103); and not to exceed $19,000 for official entertainment expenses, to be available for allocation within the Executive Office of the President, $53,830,000: Provided, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, $1,500,000 shall be for the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

EXECUTIVE RESIDENCE AT THE WHITE HOUSE

OPERATING EXPENSES

For the care, maintenance, repair and alteration, refurnishing, improvement, heating, and lighting, including electric power and fixtures, of the Executive Residence at the White House and official entertainment expenses of the President, $12,436,000, to be expended and accounted for as provided by 3 U.S.C. 105, 109, 110, and 112–114.

REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES

For the reimbursable expenses of the Executive Residence at the White House, such sums as may be necessary: Provided, That all reimbursable operating expenses of the Executive Residence shall be made in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, such amount for reimbursable operating expenses shall be the exclusive authority of the Executive Residence to incur obligations and to receive offsetting collections, for such expenses: Provided further, That the Executive Residence shall require each person sponsoring a reimbursable political event to pay in advance an amount equal to the estimated cost of the event, and all such advance payments shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended: Provided further, That the Executive Residence shall require the national committee of the political party of the President to maintain on deposit $25,000, to be separately accounted for and available for expenses relating to reimbursable political events sponsored by such committee during such fiscal year: Provided further, That the Executive Residence shall ensure that a written notice of any amount owed for a reimbursable operating expense under this paragraph is submitted to the person owing such amount within 60 days after such expense is incurred, and that such amount is collected within 30 days after the submission of such notice: Provided further, That the Executive Residence shall charge interest and assess penalties and other charges on any such amount that is not reimbursed within such 30 days, in accordance with the interest and penalty provisions applicable to an outstanding debt on a United States Government claim under section 3717 of title 31, United States Code: Provided further, That each such amount that is reimbursed, and any
accompanying interest and charges, shall be deposited in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts: Provided further, That the Executive Residence shall prepare and submit to the Committees on Appropriations, by not later than 90 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Act, a report setting forth the reimbursable operating expenses of the Executive Residence during the preceding fiscal year, including the total amount of such expenses, the amount of such total that consists of reimbursable official and ceremonial events, the amount of such total that consists of reimbursable political events, and the portion of each such amount that has been reimbursed as of the date of the report: Provided further, That the Executive Residence shall maintain a system for the tracking of expenses related to reimbursable events within the Executive Residence that includes a standard for the classification of any such expense as political or nonpolitical: Provided further, That no provision of this paragraph may be construed to exempt the Executive Residence from any other applicable requirement of subchapter I or II of chapter 37 of title 31, United States Code.

WHITE HOUSE REPAIR AND RESTORATION

For the repair, alteration, and improvement of the Executive Residence at the White House, $1,700,000, to remain available until expended, for required maintenance, safety and health issues, and continued preventative maintenance.

COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES


OFFICE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Policy Development, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 107, $3,500,000.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the National Security Council, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, $8,705,000.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Administration, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 107, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, $89,322,000, of which $11,768,000 shall remain available until expended for the Capital Investment Plan for continued modernization of the information technology infrastructure within the Executive Office of the President.
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Management and Budget, including hire of passenger motor vehicles and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and to carry out the provisions of chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, $76,930,000, of which not to exceed $3,000 shall be available for official representation expenses: Provided, That, as provided in 31 U.S.C. 1301(a), appropriations shall be applied only to the objects for which appropriations were made and shall be allocated in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the accompanying statement of the managers except as otherwise provided by law: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated in this Act for the Office of Management and Budget may be used for the purpose of reviewing any agricultural marketing orders or any activities or regulations under the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.): Provided further, That none of the funds made available for the Office of Management and Budget by this Act may be expended for the altering of the transcript of actual testimony of witnesses, except for testimony of officials of the Office of Management and Budget, before the Committees on Appropriations or their subcommittees: Provided further, That the preceding shall not apply to printed hearings released by the Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That none of the funds provided in this or prior Acts shall be used, directly or indirectly, by the Office of Management and Budget, for evaluating or determining if water resource project or study reports submitted by the Chief of Engineers acting through the Secretary of the Army are in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations, and requirements relevant to the Civil Works water resource planning process: Provided further, That the Office of Management and Budget shall have not more than 60 days in which to perform budgetary policy reviews of water resource matters on which the Chief of Engineers has reported. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall notify the appropriate authorizing and Appropriations Committees when the 60-day review is initiated. If water resource reports have not been transmitted to the appropriate authorizing and appropriating committees within 15 days of the end of the OMB review period based on the notification from the Director, Congress shall assume OMB concurrence with the report and act accordingly.

OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of National Drug Control Policy; for research activities pursuant to the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 1998 (21 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); not to exceed $10,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and for participation in joint projects or in the provision of services on matters of mutual interest with nonprofit, research, or public organizations or agencies, with or without reimbursement, $26,908,000; of which $1,316,000 shall remain available until expended for policy research and evaluation: Provided, That the Office is authorized to accept, hold, administer, and utilize gifts, both real
and personal, public and private, without fiscal year limitation, for the purpose of aiding or facilitating the work of the Office.

COUNTERDRUG TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT CENTER
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for the Counterdrug Technology Assessment Center for research activities pursuant to the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 1998 (21 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), $30,000,000, which shall remain available until expended, consisting of $14,000,000 for counternarcotics research and development projects, of which up to $1,000,000 is to be directed to supply reduction activities, and $16,000,000 for the continued operation of the technology transfer program: Provided, That the $14,000,000 for counternarcotics research and development projects shall be available for transfer to other Federal departments or agencies.

FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS
HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS PROGRAM
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of National Drug Control Policy’s High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program, $227,000,000 for drug control activities consistent with the approved strategy for each of the designated High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas, of which no less than 51 percent shall be transferred to State and local entities for drug control activities, which shall be obligated within 120 days of the date of the enactment of this Act: Provided, That up to 49 percent, to remain available until September 30, 2007, may be transferred to Federal agencies and departments at a rate to be determined by the Director, of which not less than $2,000,000 shall be used for auditing services and associated activities, and at least $500,000 of the $2,000,000 shall be used to develop and implement a data collection system to measure the performance of the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program: Provided further, That High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas programs designated as of September 30, 2005, shall be funded at no less than the fiscal year 2005 initial allocation levels unless the Director submits to the Committees on Appropriations, and the Committees approve, justification for changes in those levels based on clearly articulated priorities for the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas programs, as well as published Office of National Drug Control Policy performance measures of effectiveness: Provided further, That a request shall be submitted in compliance with the reprogramming guidelines to the Committees on Appropriations for approval prior to the obligation of funds of an amount in excess of the fiscal year 2005 budget request: Provided further, That none of the funds made available under this heading shall be available for the Consolidated Priority Organization Target program.
OTHER FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For activities to support a national anti-drug campaign for youth, and for other purposes, authorized by the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 1998 (21 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), $194,900,000, to remain available until expended, of which the amounts are available as follows: $100,000,000 to support a national media campaign, as authorized by the Drug-Free Media Campaign Act of 1998: Provided, That the Office of National Drug Control Policy shall maintain funding for non-advertising services for the media campaign at no less than the fiscal year 2003 ratio of service funding to total funds and shall continue the corporate outreach program as it operated prior to its cancellation; $80,000,000 to continue a program of matching grants to drug-free communities, of which $2,000,000 shall be a directed grant to the Community Anti-Drug Coalitions of America for the National Community Anti-Drug Coalition Institute, as authorized in chapter 2 of the National Narcotics Leadership Act of 1988, as amended; $1,000,000 for the National Drug Court Institute; $1,000,000 for the National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws; $8,500,000 for the United States Anti-Doping Agency for anti-doping activities; $2,900,000 for the United States membership dues to the World Anti-Doping Agency; and $1,500,000 for evaluations and research related to National Drug Control Program performance measures: Provided further, That such funds may be transferred to other Federal departments and agencies to carry out such activities: Provided further, That of the amounts appropriated for a national media campaign, not to exceed 10 percent shall be for administration, advertising production, research and testing, labor and related costs of the national media campaign.

UNANTICIPATED NEEDS

For expenses necessary to enable the President to meet unanticipated needs, in furtherance of the national interest, security, or defense which may arise at home or abroad during the current fiscal year, as authorized by 3 U.S.C. 108, $1,000,000.

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE TO THE PRESIDENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to enable the Vice President to provide assistance to the President in connection with specially assigned functions; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 106, including subsistence expenses as authorized by 3 U.S.C. 106, which shall be expended and accounted for as provided in that section; and hire of passenger motor vehicles, $4,455,000.

OFFICIAL RESIDENCE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT

OPERATING EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the care, operation, refurnishing, improvement, and to the extent not otherwise provided for, heating and lighting, including
electric power and fixtures, of the official residence of the Vice President; the hire of passenger motor vehicles; and not to exceed $90,000 for official entertainment expenses of the Vice President, to be accounted for solely on his certificate, $325,000: Provided, That advances or repayments or transfers from this appropriation may be made to any department or agency for expenses of carrying out such activities.

This title may be cited as the “Executive Office of the President Appropriations Act, 2006”.

TITLE VI
INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, as authorized by section 502 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, $5,941,000: Provided, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, there may be credited to this appropriation funds received for publications and training expenses.

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable under 5 U.S.C. 5376, purchase of nominal awards to recognize non-Federal officials’ contributions to Commission activities, and not to exceed $500 for official reception and representation expenses, $63,000,000 of which up to $500,000 shall be used to coordinate with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency in the Agency’s study pursuant to H.R. 2361, as passed by the Senate in the first session of the 109th Congress, to assess safety risks to both persons and the environment with regard to small engines, as required in Public Law 108–199, including real-world scenarios involving, among other things, operator burn, fire due to contact with flammable items, and refueling.

ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the Help America Vote Act of 2002, $14,200,000, of which $2,800,000 shall be transferred to the National Institute of Standards and Technology for election reform activities authorized under the Help America Vote Act of 2002.
FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $31,000,000, to be derived from the Bank Insurance Fund, the Savings Association Insurance Fund, and the FSLIC Resolution Fund.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, $54,700,000, of which no less than $4,700,000 shall be available for internal automated data processing systems, and of which not to exceed $5,000 shall be available for reception and representation expenses.

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Federal Labor Relations Authority, pursuant to Reorganization Plan Numbered 2 of 1978, and the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and including hire of experts and consultants, hire of passenger motor vehicles, and rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, $25,468,000: Provided, That public members of the Federal Service Impasses Panel may be paid travel expenses and per diem in lieu of subsistence as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5703) for persons employed intermittently in the Government service, and compensation as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109: Provided further, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, funds received from fees charged to non-Federal participants at labor-management relations conferences shall be credited to and merged with this account, to be available without further appropriation for the costs of carrying out these conferences.

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Maritime Commission as authorized by section 201(d) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended (46 U.S.C. App. 1111), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; hire of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343(b); and uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902, $20,499,000: Provided, That not to exceed $2,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.
To carry out the purposes of the Fund established pursuant to section 210(f) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended (40 U.S.C. 592), the revenues and collections deposited into the Fund shall be available for necessary expenses of real property management and related activities not otherwise provided for, including operation, maintenance, and protection of federally owned and leased buildings; rental of buildings in the District of Columbia; restoration of leased premises; moving governmental agencies (including space adjustments and telecommunications relocation expenses) in connection with the assignment, allocation and transfer of space; contractual services incident to cleaning or servicing buildings, and moving; repair and alteration of federally owned buildings including grounds, approaches and appurtenances; care and safeguarding of sites; maintenance, preservation, demolition, and equipment; acquisition of buildings and sites by purchase, condemnation, or as otherwise authorized by law; acquisition of options to purchase buildings and sites; conversion and extension of federally owned buildings; preliminary planning and design of projects by contract or otherwise; construction of new buildings (including equipment for such buildings); and payment of principal, interest, and any other obligations for public buildings acquired by installment purchase and purchase contract; in the aggregate amount of $7,752,745,000, of which: (1) $792,056,000 shall remain available until expended for construction (including funds for sites and expenses and associated design and construction services) of additional projects at the following locations:

New Construction:

**Alabama:**
- Tuscaloosa, Federal Building, $34,500,000.

**California:**
- San Diego, United States Courthouse, $230,803,000.

**Colorado:**
- Lakewood, Denver Federal Center Infrastructure, $4,658,000.

**District of Columbia:**
- Coast Guard Consolidation, $24,900,000.
- St. Elizabeths West Campus Infrastructure, $13,095,000.

**Southeast Federal Center Site Remediation, $15,000,000.**

**Illinois:**
- Rockford Federal Courthouse, $34,500,000.

**Maine:**
- Calais, Border Station, $50,146,000.
- Jackman, Border Station, $12,788,000.

**Maryland:**
Montgomery County, Food and Drug Administration Consolidation, $127,600,000.
Mississippi:
  Jackson, United States Courthouse, $8,750,000.
Missouri:
  Jefferson City, United States Courthouse, $5,200,000.
New York:
  Champlain, Border Station, $52,510,000.
  Massena, Border Station, $49,783,000.
Texas:
  Austin, United States Courthouse, $3,000,000.
Washington:
  Blaine, Peace Arch Border Station, $46,534,000.

Material Price Increases for the following existing projects:
FBI Office, Houston, Texas; Border Station, Del Rio, Texas;
United States Courthouse, Cape Girardeau, Missouri; United
States Courthouse, El Paso, Texas; Border Station, El Paso,
Texas; and United States Courthouse, Las Cruces, New Mexico,
$66,789,000.

Non-prospectus Construction, $9,500,000:
Provided, That each of the foregoing limits of costs on new construc-
tion projects may be exceeded to the extent that savings are effected
in other such projects, but not to exceed 10 percent of the amounts
included in an approved prospectus, if required, unless advance ap-
proval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations of a
greater amount: Provided further, That all funds for direct con-
struction projects shall expire on September 30, 2007 and remain in
the Federal Buildings Fund except for funds for projects as to which
funds for design or other funds have been obligated in whole or in
part prior to such date; (2) $861,376,000 shall remain available
until expended for repairs and alterations, which includes associ-
ated design and construction services:

Repairs and Alterations:
Arizona:
  Tucson, James A. Walsh United States Cour-
  house, $16,136,000.
District of Columbia:
  For transfer to the Navy for certain permanent re-
  location expenses pursuant to section 1(e) of Public
  Law 108–268, $2,000,000.
  Eisenhower Executive Office Building,
  $33,417,000.
  Federal Office Building 8, $47,769,000.
  Heating, Operation, and Transmission District Re-
  pair, $18,783,000.
  Herbert C. Hoover Building, $54,491,000.
  Main Interior Federal Building, $41,399,000.
Georgia:
  Atlanta, Martin Luther King, Jr., Federal Build-
  ing, $30,129,000.
New York:
  Brooklyn, Emanuel Celler Courthouse, $96,924,000.
New York City, James Watson Federal Building and United States Courthouse, $9,721,000.

Special Emphasis Programs:
- Chlorofluorocarbons Program, $10,000,000.
- Energy Program, $28,000,000.
- Glass Fragmentation Program, $15,700,000.
- Design Program, $21,915,000.

Basic Repairs and Alterations, $434,992,000:

Provided further, That funds made available in this or any previous Act in the Federal Buildings Fund for Repairs and Alterations shall, for prospectus projects, be limited to the amount identified for each project, except each project in this or any previous Act may be increased by an amount not to exceed 10 percent unless advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations of a greater amount: Provided further, That additional projects for which prospectuses have been fully approved may be funded under this category only if advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That the amounts provided in this or any prior Act for "Repairs and Alterations" may be used to fund costs associated with implementing security improvements to buildings necessary to meet the minimum standards for security in accordance with current law and in compliance with the reprogramming guidelines of the appropriate Committees of the House and Senate: Provided further, That the difference between the funds appropriated and expended on any projects in this or any prior Act, under the heading "Repairs and Alterations", may be transferred to Basic Repairs and Alterations or used to fund authorized increases in prospectus projects: Provided further, That all funds for repairs and alterations prospectus projects shall expire on September 30, 2007 and remain in the Federal Buildings Fund except funds for projects as to which funds for design or other funds have been obligated in whole or in part prior to such date: Provided further, That the amount provided in this or any prior Act for Basic Repairs and Alterations may be used to pay claims against the Government arising from any projects under the heading "Repairs and Alterations" or used to fund authorized increases in prospectus projects; (3) $168,180,000 for installment acquisition payments including payments on purchase contracts which shall remain available until expended; (4) $4,046,031,000 for rental of space which shall remain available until expended; and (5) $1,885,102,000 for building operations which shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That funds available to the General Services Administration shall not be available for expenses of any construction, repair, alteration and acquisition project for which a prospectus, if required by the Public Buildings Act of 1959, as amended, has not been approved, except that necessary funds may be expended for each project for required expenses for the development of a proposed prospectus: Provided further, That funds available in the Federal Buildings Fund may be expended for emergency repairs when advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Administrator of the General Services Administration is authorized and directed to proceed with site, design, acquisition, and construction for a new courthouse in Jefferson City, Missouri, of which planning and design funding is provided in this Act: Provided fur-
ther, That amounts necessary to provide reimbursable special services to other agencies under section 210(f)(6) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended (40 U.S.C. 592(b)(2)) and amounts to provide such reimbursable fencing, lighting, guard booths, and other facilities on private or other property not in Government ownership or control as may be appropriate to enable the United States Secret Service to perform its protective functions pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3056, shall be available from such revenues and collections: Provided further, That revenues and collections and any other sums accruing to this Fund during fiscal year 2006, excluding reimbursements under section 210(f)(6) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 592(b)(2)) in excess of the aggregate new obligational authority authorized for Real Property Activities of the Federal Buildings Fund in this Act shall remain in the Fund and shall not be available for expenditure except as authorized in appropriations Acts.

GENERAL ACTIVITIES

GOVERNMENT-WIDE POLICY

For expenses authorized by law, not otherwise provided for, for Government-wide policy and evaluation activities associated with the management of real and personal property assets and certain administrative services; Government-wide policy support responsibilities relating to acquisition, telecommunications, information technology management, and related technology activities; and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, $52,796,000.

OPERATING EXPENSES

For expenses authorized by law, not otherwise provided for, for Government-wide activities associated with utilization and donation of surplus personal property; disposal of real property; providing Internet access to Federal information and services; agency-wide policy direction and management, and Board of Contract Appeals; accounting, records management, and other support services incident to adjudication of Indian Tribal Claims by the United States Court of Federal Claims; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and not to exceed $7,500 for official reception and representation expenses, $99,890,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General and service authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, $43,410,000: Provided, That not to exceed $15,000 shall be available for payment for information and detection of fraud against the Government, including payment for recovery of stolen Government property; Provided further, That not to exceed $2,500 shall be available for awards to employees of other Federal agencies and private citizens in recognition of efforts and initiatives resulting in enhanced Office of Inspector General effectiveness.
ELECTRONIC GOVERNMENT FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses in support of interagency projects that enable the Federal Government to expand its ability to conduct activities electronically, through the development and implementation of innovative uses of the Internet and other electronic methods, $3,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That these funds may be transferred to Federal agencies to carry out the purposes of the Fund: Provided further, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act: Provided further, That such transfers may not be made until 10 days after a proposed spending plan and justification for each project to be undertaken has been submitted to the Committees on Appropriations.

ALLOWANCES AND OFFICE STAFF FOR FORMER PRESIDENTS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out the provisions of the Act of August 25, 1958, as amended (3 U.S.C. 102 note), and Public Law 95–138, $2,952,000: Provided, That the Administrator of General Services shall transfer to the Secretary of the Treasury such sums as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of such Acts.

FEDERAL CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER FUND

For necessary expenses of the Federal Citizen Information Center, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, $15,000,000, to be deposited into the Federal Citizen Information Center Fund: Provided, That the appropriations, revenues, and collections deposited into the Fund shall be available for necessary expenses of Federal Citizen Information Center activities in the aggregate amount not to exceed $32,000,000. Appropriations, revenues, and collections accruing to this Fund during fiscal year 2006 in excess of such amount shall remain in the Fund and shall not be available for expenditure except as authorized in appropriations Acts.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Sec. 601. The appropriate appropriation or fund available to the General Services Administration shall be credited with the cost of operation, protection, maintenance, upkeep, repair, and improvement, included as part of rentals received from Government corporations pursuant to law (40 U.S.C. 129).

Sec. 602. Funds available to the General Services Administration shall be available for the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Sec. 603. Funds in the Federal Buildings Fund made available for fiscal year 2006 for Federal Buildings Fund activities may be transferred between such activities only to the extent necessary to meet program requirements: Provided, That any proposed transfers shall be approved in advance by the Committees on Appropriations.

Sec. 604. Except as otherwise provided in this title, no funds made available by this Act shall be used to transmit a fiscal year 2007 request for United States Courthouse construction that: (1)
does not meet the design guide standards for construction as established and approved by the General Services Administration, the Judicial Conference of the United States, and the Office of Management and Budget; and (2) does not reflect the priorities of the Judicial Conference of the United States as set out in its approved 5-year construction plan: Provided, That the fiscal year 2007 request must be accompanied by a standardized courtroom utilization study of each facility to be constructed, replaced, or expanded.

SEC. 605. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used to increase the amount of occupiable square feet, provide cleaning services, security enhancements, or any other service usually provided through the Federal Buildings Fund, to any agency that does not pay the rate per square foot assessment for space and services as determined by the General Services Administration in compliance with the Public Buildings Amendments Act of 1972 (Public Law 92–313).

SEC. 606. From funds made available under the heading “Federal Buildings Fund, Limitations on Availability of Revenue”, claims against the Government of less than $250,000 arising from direct construction projects and acquisition of buildings may be liquidated from savings effected in other construction projects with prior notification to the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 607. The General Services Administration shall conduct a program to promote the use of stairs in all Federal buildings.

SEC. 608. No funds shall be used by the General Services Administration to reorganize its organizational structure without approval by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations through an operating plan change.

SEC. 609. In the case of any General Services Administration (GSA) project subject to its published design criteria or specifications of any solicitations for offers issued for construction of a Federal building or courthouse and to the extent GSA utilizes, references or relies on any sustainable building rating systems that award credit for certified wood products, GSA shall ensure credit under its procedures and requirements to any project that uses wood or wood products certified by a credible third party sustainable forest certification program, including the Sustainable Forestry Initiative and the Forest Stewardship Council: Provided, That not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall report to the relevant congressional committees of jurisdiction on the progress and next steps toward recognition of other credible sustainable building rating systems within the GSA sustainable building procurement process.

SEC. 610. For purposes of the eTravel system, no less than 23 percent of all subcontracted dollars shall be allocated to small businesses.

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Merit Systems Protection Board pursuant to Reorganization Plan Numbered 2 of 1978, the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, and the Whistle-
blower Protection Act of 1989 (5 U.S.C. 5509 note), as amended, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, hire of passenger motor vehicles, direct procurement of survey printing, and not to exceed $2,000 for official reception and representation expenses, $35,600,000 together with not to exceed $2,605,000 for administrative expenses to adjudicate retirement appeals to be transferred from the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund in amounts determined by the Merit Systems Protection Board.

**MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY FOUNDATION**

**MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY TRUST FUND**

*(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)*

For payment to the Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Trust Fund, pursuant to the Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental and Native American Public Policy Act of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 5601 et seq.), $2,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which up to $50,000 shall be used to conduct financial audits pursuant to the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act of 2002 (Public Law 107–289) notwithstanding sections 8 and 9 of Public Law 102–259: Provided, That up to 60 percent of such funds may be transferred by the Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Foundation for the necessary expenses of the Native Nations Institute.

**ENVIRONMENTAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FUND**

For payment to the Environmental Dispute Resolution Fund to carry out activities authorized in the Environmental Policy and Conflict Resolution Act of 1998, $1,900,000, to remain available until expended.

**NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION**

**OPERATING EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses in connection with the administration of the National Archives and Records Administration (including the Information Security Oversight Office) and archived Federal records and related activities, as provided by law, and for expenses necessary for the review and declassification of documents, and for the hire of passenger motor vehicles, $283,045,000: Provided, That the Archivist of the United States is authorized to use any excess funds available from the amount borrowed for construction of the National Archives facility, for expenses necessary to provide adequate storage for holdings: Provided further, That of the funds provided in this paragraph, $2,000,000 shall be for initial move of records, staffing, and operations of the Nixon Library.

**ELECTRONIC RECORDS ARCHIVES**

For necessary expenses in connection with the development of the electronic records archives, to include all direct project costs as-
associated with research, analysis, design, development, and program management, $37,914,000, of which $22,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2008: Provided, That none of the multi-year funds may be obligated until the National Archives and Records Administration submits to the Committees on Appropriations, and such Committees approve, a plan for expenditure that: (1) meets the capital planning and investment control review requirements established by the Office of Management and Budget, including Circular A–11; (2) complies with the National Archives and Records Administration’s enterprise architecture; (3) conforms with the National Archives and Records Administration’s enterprise life cycle methodology; (4) is approved by the National Archives and Records Administration and the Office of Management and Budget; (5) has been reviewed by the Government Accountability Office; and (6) complies with the acquisition rules, requirements, guidelines, and systems acquisition management practices of the Federal Government.

REPAIRS AND RESTORATION

For the repair, alteration, and improvement of archives facilities, and to provide adequate storage for holdings, $9,682,000, to remain available until expended, of which $1,500,000 is to construct a new regional archives and records facility in Anchorage, Alaska, and of which $1,000,000 is for the repair and restoration of the plaza that surrounds the Lyndon Baines Johnson Presidential Library that is under the joint control and custody of the University of Texas: Provided, That such funds may be transferred directly to the University and used, together with University funds, for repair and restoration of the plaza and remain available until expended for this purpose: Provided further, That such funds shall be spent in accordance with the construction plan submitted to the Committees on Appropriations on March 14, 2005: Provided further, That the Archivist shall be prohibited from entering into any agreement with the University or any other party that requires additional funding commitments on behalf of the Federal Government.

NATIONAL HISTORICAL PUBLICATIONS AND RECORDS COMMISSION

GRANTS PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for allocations and grants for historical publications and records as authorized by 44 U.S.C. 2504, as amended, $7,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the funds provided in this paragraph, $2,000,000 shall be transferred to the operating expenses account for operating expenses of the National Historical Publications and Records Administration.

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

CENTRAL LIQUIDITY FACILITY

During fiscal year 2006, gross obligations of the Central Liquidity Facility for the principal amount of new direct loans to member credit unions, as authorized by 12 U.S.C. 1795 et seq., shall not exceed $1,500,000,000: Provided, That administrative expenses
of the Central Liquidity Facility in fiscal year 2006 shall not exceed $323,000.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REVOLVING LOAN FUND

For the Community Development Revolving Loan Fund program as authorized by 42 U.S.C. 9812, 9822 and 9910, $950,000 shall be available until September 30, 2007 for technical assistance to low-income designated credit unions, and amounts of principal and interest on loans repaid shall be available until expended for low-income designated credit unions.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the National Transportation Safety Board, including hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for a GS–15; uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901–5902) $76,700,000, of which not to exceed $2,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses.

(RESCISSION)

Of the available unobligated balances made available under Public Law 106–246, $1,000,000 are rescinded.

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

PAYMENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

For payment to the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation for use in neighborhood reinvestment activities, as authorized by the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation Act (42 U.S.C. 8101–8107), $118,000,000, of which $5,000,000 shall be for a multi-family rental housing program.

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Office of Government Ethics pursuant to the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, as amended and the Ethics Reform Act of 1989, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, hire of passenger motor vehicles, and not to exceed $1,500 for official reception and representation expenses, $11,148,000.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF TRUST FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Office of Personnel Management pursuant to Reorganization Plan Numbered 2 of 1978 and the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; medical examinations per-
formed for veterans by private physicians on a fee basis; rental of
conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere; hire of
passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed $2,500 for official reception
and representation expenses; advances for reimbursements to applica-
table funds of the Office of Personnel Management and the Federal
Bureau of Investigation for expenses incurred under Executive
Order No. 10422 of January 9, 1953, as amended; and payment of
per diem and/or subsistence allowances to employees where Voting
Rights Act activities require an employee to remain overnight at his
or her post of duty, $122,521,000, of which $6,983,000 shall remain
available until expended for the Enterprise Human Resources Inte-
gration project; $1,450,000 shall remain available until expended
for the Human Resources Line of Business project; $500,000 shall
remain available until expended for the E-Training project; and
$1,412,000 shall remain available until expended until September
30, 2007 for the E-Payroll project; and in addition $100,017,000 for
administrative expenses, to be transferred from the appropriate trust
funds of the Office of Personnel Management without regard to
other statutes, including direct procurement of printed materials, for
the retirement and insurance programs: Provided, That the provi-
sions of this appropriation shall not affect the authority to use ap-
plicable trust funds as provided by sections 8348(a)(1)(B), and
9004(f)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code: Provided further, That
no part of this appropriation shall be available for salaries and ex-
penses of the Legal Examining Unit of the Office of Personnel Man-
age established pursuant to Executive Order No. 9358 of July
1, 1943, or any successor unit of like purpose: Provided further,
That the President's Commission on White House Fellows, estab-
lished by Executive Order No. 11183 of October 3, 1964, may, dur-
ing fiscal year 2006, accept donations of money, property, and per-
sonal services: Provided further, That such donations, including
those from prior years, may be used for the development of publicity
materials to provide information about the White House Fellows, ex-
cept that no such donations shall be accepted for travel or reim-
bursement of travel expenses, or for the salaries of employees of such
Commission.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF TRUST FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in car-
rying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act, as amended,
including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, hire of passenger
motor vehicles, $2,071,000, and in addition, not to exceed
$16,329,000 for administrative expenses to audit, investigate, and
provide other oversight of the Office of Personnel Management's re-
tirement and insurance programs, to be transferred from the appro-
priate trust funds of the Office of Personnel Management, as deter-
mined by the Inspector General: Provided, That the Inspector Gen-
eral is authorized to rent conference rooms in the District of Colum-
bia and elsewhere.
GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNuitants, EMPLOYEES HEALTH
BENEFITS

For payment of Government contributions with respect to retired employees, as authorized by chapter 89 of title 5, United States Code, and the Retired Federal Employees Health Benefits Act (74 Stat. 849), as amended, such sums as may be necessary.

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNuitants, EMPLOYEE LIFE
INSURANCE

For payment of Government contributions with respect to employees retiring after December 31, 1989, as required by chapter 87 of title 5, United States Code, such sums as may be necessary.

PAYMENT TO CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY FUND

For financing the unfunded liability of new and increased annuity benefits becoming effective on or after October 20, 1969, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 8348, and annuities under special Acts to be credited to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund, such sums as may be necessary: Provided, That annuities authorized by the Act of May 29, 1944, as amended, and the Act of August 19, 1950, as amended (33 U.S.C. 771–775), may hereafter be paid out of the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund.

OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES


SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Selective Service System, including expenses of attendance at meetings and of training for uniformed personnel assigned to the Selective Service System, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 4101–4118 for civilian employees; purchase of uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; hire of passenger motor vehicles; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and not to exceed $750 for official reception and representation expenses; $25,000,000: Provided, That during the current fiscal year, the President may exempt this appropriation from the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 1341, whenever the President deems such action to be necessary in the interest of national defense: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be expended for or in connection with the induction of any person into the Armed Forces of the United States.
UNITED STATES INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS
OPERATING EXPENSES

For necessary expenses (including payment of salaries, authorized travel, hire of passenger motor vehicles, the rental of conference rooms, and the employment of experts and consultants under section 3109 of title 5, United States Code) of the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness in carrying out the functions pursuant to title II of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as amended, $1,800,000.

Title II of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as amended, is amended in section 209 by striking “2005” and inserting “2006”.

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
PAYMENT TO THE POSTAL SERVICE FUND

For payment to the Postal Service Fund for revenue forgone on free and reduced rate mail, pursuant to subsections (c) and (d) of section 2401 of title 39, United States Code, $116,350,000, of which $73,000,000 shall not be available for obligation until October 1, 2006: Provided, That mail for overseas voting and mail for the blind shall continue to be free: Provided further, That 6-day delivery and rural delivery of mail shall continue at not less than the 1983 level: Provided further, That none of the funds made available to the Postal Service by this Act shall be used to implement any rule, regulation, or policy of charging any officer or employee of any State or local child support enforcement agency, or any individual participating in a State or local program of child support enforcement, a fee for information requested or provided concerning an address of a postal customer: Provided further, That none of the funds provided in this Act shall be used to consolidate or close small rural and other small post offices in fiscal year 2006.

UNITED STATES TAX COURT
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, including contract reporting and other services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, $47,998,000: Provided, That travel expenses of the judges shall be paid upon the written certificate of the judge.

TITLE VII
GENERAL PROVISIONS THIS ACT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Sec. 701. Such sums as may be necessary for fiscal year 2006 pay raises for programs funded in this Act shall be absorbed within the levels appropriated in this Act or previous appropriations Acts.

Sec. 702. None of the funds in this Act shall be used for the planning or execution of any program to pay the expenses of, or otherwise compensate, non-Federal parties intervening in regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings funded in this Act.
SEC. 703. None of the funds appropriated in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, nor may any be transferred to other appropriations, unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 704. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract pursuant to section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing executive order issued pursuant to existing law.

SEC. 705. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriations Act.

SEC. 706. None of the funds made available by this Act shall be available for any activity or for paying the salary of any Government employee where funding an activity or paying a salary to a Government employee would result in a decision, determination, rule, regulation, or policy that would prohibit the enforcement of section 307 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1307).

SEC. 707. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be available to pay the salary for any person filling a position, other than a temporary position, formerly held by an employee who has left to enter the Armed Forces of the United States and has satisfactorily completed his period of active military or naval service, and has within 90 days after his release from such service or from hospitalization continuing after discharge for a period of not more than 1 year, made application for restoration to his former position and has been certified by the Office of Personnel Management as still qualified to perform the duties of his former position and has not been restored thereto.

SEC. 708. No funds appropriated pursuant to this Act may be expended by an entity unless the entity agrees that in expending the assistance the entity will comply with sections 2 through 4 of the Act of March 3, 1933 (41 U.S.C. 10a–10c, popularly known as the “Buy American Act”).

SEC. 709. No funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this Act shall be made available to any person or entity that has been convicted of violating the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a–10c).

SEC. 710. Except as otherwise provided in this Act, none of the funds provided in this Act, provided by previous appropriations Acts to the agencies or entities funded in this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2006, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury derived by the collection of fees and available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that: (1) creates a new program; (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity; (3) increases funds or personnel for any program, project, or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by the Congress; (4) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by either the House or Senate Committees on Appropriations for a different purpose; (5) augments existing programs, projects, or
activities in excess of $5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; (6) reduces existing programs, projects, or activities by $5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; or (7) creates, reorganizes, or restructures a branch, division, office, bureau, board, commission, agency, administration, or department different from the budget justifications submitted to the Committees on Appropriations or the table accompanying the statement of the managers accompanying this Act, whichever is more detailed, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided, That not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, each agency funded by this Act shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and of the House of Representatives to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year: Provided further, That the report shall include: (1) a table for each appropriation with a separate column to display the President’s budget request, adjustments made by Congress, adjustments due to enacted rescissions, if appropriate, and the fiscal year enacted level; (2) a delineation in the table for each appropriation both by object class and program, project, and activity as detailed in the budget appendix for the respective appropriation; and (3) an identification of items of special congressional interest: Provided further, That the amount appropriated or limited for salaries and expenses for an agency shall be reduced by $100,000 per day for each day after the required date that the report has not been submitted to the Congress.

SEC. 711. Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, not to exceed 50 percent of unobligated balances remaining available at the end of fiscal year 2006 from appropriations made available for salaries and expenses for fiscal year 2006 in this Act, shall remain available through September 30, 2007, for each such account for the purposes authorized: Provided, That a request shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for approval prior to the expenditure of such funds: Provided further, That these requests shall be made in compliance with reprogramming guidelines.

SEC. 712. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used by the Executive Office of the President to request from the Federal Bureau of Investigation any official background investigation report on any individual, except when—

(1) such individual has given his or her express written consent for such request not more than 6 months prior to the date of such request and during the same presidential administration; or

(2) such request is required due to extraordinary circumstances involving national security.

SEC. 713. The cost accounting standards promulgated under section 26 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (Public Law 93–400; 41 U.S.C. 422) shall not apply with respect to a contract under the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program established under chapter 89 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 714. For the purpose of resolving litigation and implementing any settlement agreements regarding the nonforeign area cost-of-living allowance program, the Office of Personnel Management may accept and utilize (without regard to any restriction on unanticipated travel expenses imposed in an Appropriations Act) funds made available to the Office pursuant to court approval.
SEC. 715. No funds appropriated by this Act shall be available to pay for an abortion, or the administrative expenses in connection with any health plan under the Federal employees health benefits program which provides any benefits or coverage for abortions.

SEC. 716. The provision of section 715 shall not apply where the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term, or the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.

SEC. 717. In order to promote Government access to commercial information technology, the restriction on purchasing nondomestic articles, materials, and supplies set forth in the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.), shall not apply to the acquisition by the Federal Government of information technology (as defined in section 11101 of title 40, United States Code), that is a commercial item (as defined in section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))).

SEC. 718. None of the funds made available in the Act may be used to finalize, implement, administer, or enforce—

(1) the proposed rule relating to the determination that real estate brokerage is an activity that is financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity published in the Federal Register on January 3, 2001 (66 Fed. Reg. 307 et seq.); or

(2) the revision proposed in such rule to section 1501.2 of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 719. All Federal agencies and departments that are funded under this Act shall issue a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on all sole source contracts by no later than July 31, 2006. Such report shall include the contractor, the amount of the contract and the rationale for using a sole source contract.

SEC. 720. The Secretary of the Treasury may transfer funds from amounts appropriated under title II of this Act for any costs necessary to pay for both career and non-career senior Treasury officials and support staff in locations of economic strategic interest throughout the world. Such positions would be used to advocate positions of interest to the United States Government, including open and fair financial markets, consistent with the Secretary's obligation under the Gold Reserve Act of 1934 (48 Stat. 337) to promote orderly exchange arrangements and an orderly system of exchange rates. Any transfer shall not be made available until approved in an operating plan request by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.


SEC. 722. The Secretary of the Treasury may make payments from the Treasury Forfeiture Fund to reimburse the United States Secret Service for costs of protecting the Secretary of the Treasury: Provided, That the United States Secret Service shall provide the Department of the Treasury with a detailed, itemized list of expenses associated with such protection: Provided further, That the Comptroller General shall review all expenditures related to such
protection and shall determine if each expense is a reasonable and unavoidable cost of this protection; Provided further, That all such reimbursable expenses shall be subject to a memorandum of understanding between the Department of the Treasury and the United States Secret Service.


SEC. 724. (a) **In General.**—None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used for any Federal Government contract with any foreign incorporated entity which is treated as an inverted domestic corporation under section 835(b) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 395(b)) or any subsidiary of such an entity.

(b) **Waivers.**—

(1) **In General.**—Any Secretary shall waive subsection (a) with respect to any Federal Government contract under the authority of such Secretary if the Secretary determines that the waiver is required in the interest of national security.

(2) **Report to Congress.**—Any Secretary issuing a waiver under paragraph (1) shall report such issuance to Congress.

(c) **Exception.**—This section shall not apply to any Federal Government contract entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act, or to any task order issued pursuant to such contract.

SEC. 725. From funds made available in this Act under the headings “White House Office”, “Executive Residence at the White House”, “White House Repair and Restoration”, “Council of Economic Advisors”, “National Security Council”, “Office of Administration”, “Office of Policy Development”, “Special Assistance to the President”, and “Official Residence of the Vice President”, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (or such other officer as the President may designate in writing), may, fifteen days after giving notice to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, transfer not to exceed 10 percent of any such appropriation to any other such appropriation, to be merged with and available for the same time and for the same purposes as the appropriation to which transferred: Provided, That the amount of an appropriation shall not be increased by more than 50 percent by such transfers: Provided further, That no amount shall be transferred from “Special Assistance to the President” or “Official Residence of the Vice President” without the approval of the Vice President.

SEC. 726. No funds in this Act may be used to support any Federal, State, or local projects that seek to use the power of eminent domain, unless eminent domain is employed only for a public use: Provided, That for purposes of this section, public use shall not be construed to include economic development that primarily benefits private entities: Provided further, That any use of funds for mass transit, railroad, airport, seaport or highway projects as well as utility projects which benefit or serve the general public (including energy-related, communication-related, water-related and wastewater-related infrastructure), other structures designated for use by the general public or which have other common-carrier or public utility functions that serve the general public and are subject to regulation and oversight by the government, and projects for the re-
moval of an immediate threat to public health and safety or brownfields as defined in the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (Public Law 107–118) shall be considered a public use for purposes of eminent domain: Provided further, That the Government Accountability Office, in consultation with the National Academy of Public Administration, organizations representing State and local governments, and property rights organizations, shall conduct a study to be submitted to the Congress within 12 months of the enactment of this Act on the nationwide use of eminent domain, including the procedures used and the results accomplished on a state-by-state basis as well as the impact on individual property owners and on the affected communities.

TITLE VIII
GENERAL PROVISIONS GOVERNMENT-WIDE
DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, AND CORPORATIONS

SEC. 801. Funds appropriated in this or any other Act may be used to pay travel to the United States for the immediate family of employees serving abroad in cases of death or life threatening illness of said employee.

SEC. 802. No department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States receiving appropriated funds under this or any other Act for fiscal year 2006 shall obligate or expend any such funds, unless such department, agency, or instrumentality has in place, and will continue to administer in good faith, a written policy designed to ensure that all of its workplaces are free from the illegal use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances (as defined in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)) by the officers and employees of such department, agency, or instrumentality.

SEC. 803. Unless otherwise specifically provided, the maximum amount allowable during the current fiscal year in accordance with section 16 of the Act of August 2, 1946 (60 Stat. 810), for the purchase of any passenger motor vehicle (exclusive of buses, ambulances, law enforcement, and undercover surveillance vehicles), is hereby fixed at $8,100 except station wagons for which the maximum shall be $9,100: Provided, That these limits may be exceeded by not to exceed $3,700 for police-type vehicles, and by not to exceed $4,000 for special heavy-duty vehicles: Provided further, That the limits set forth in this section may be exceeded by more than 5 percent for electric or hybrid vehicles purchased for demonstration under the provisions of the Electric and Hybrid Vehicle Research, Development, and Demonstration Act of 1976: Provided further, That the limits set forth in this section may not be exceeded by more than the increment of clean alternative fuels vehicles acquired pursuant to Public Law 101–549 over the cost of comparable conventionally fueled vehicles.

SEC. 804. Appropriations of the executive departments and independent establishments for the current fiscal year available for expenses of travel, or for the expenses of the activity concerned, are hereby made available for quarters allowances and cost-of-living allowances, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 5922–5924.

SEC. 805. Unless otherwise specified during the current fiscal year, no part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act
shall be used to pay the compensation of any officer or employee of
the Government of the United States (including any agency the ma-
majority of the stock of which is owned by the Government of the
United States) whose post of duty is in the continental United
States unless such person: (1) is a citizen of the United States; (2)
is a person in the service of the United States on the date of the en-
actment of this Act who, being eligible for citizenship, has filed a
declaration of intention to become a citizen of the United States
prior to such date and is actually residing in the United States; (3)
is a person who owes allegiance to the United States; (4) is an alien
from Cuba, Poland, South Vietnam, the countries of the former So-
viet Union, or the Baltic countries lawfully admitted to the United
States for permanent residence; (5) is a South Vietnamese, Camb-
obian, or Laotian refugee paroled in the United States after Janu-
ary 1, 1975; or (6) is a national of the People's Republic of China
who qualifies for adjustment of status pursuant to the Chinese Stu-
for the purpose of this section, an affidavit signed by any such per-
son shall be considered prima facie evidence that the requirements
of this section with respect to his or her status have been complied
with: Provided further, That any person making a false affidavit
shall be guilty of a felony, and, upon conviction, shall be fined no
more than $4,000 or imprisoned for not more than 1 year, or both:
Provided further, That the above penal clause shall be in addition
to, and not in substitution for, any other provisions of existing law:
Provided further, That any payment made to any officer or employee
contrary to the provisions of this section shall be recoverable in ac-
tion by the Federal Government. This section shall not apply to citi-
zens of Ireland, Israel, or the Republic of the Philippines, or to na-
tionals of those countries allied with the United States in a current
defense effort, or to international broadcasters employed by the
United States Information Agency, or to temporary employment of
translators, or to temporary employment in the field service (not to
 exceed 60 days) as a result of emergencies.

SEC. 806. Appropriations available to any department or agency
during the current fiscal year for necessary expenses, including
maintenance or operating expenses, shall also be available for pay-
ment to the General Services Administration for charges for space
and services and those expenses of renovation and alteration of
buildings and facilities which constitute public improvements per-
fomed in accordance with the Public Buildings Act of 1959 (73
Stat. 749), the Public Buildings Amendments of 1972 (87 Stat. 216),
or other applicable law.

SEC. 807. In addition to funds provided in this or any other
Act, all Federal agencies are authorized to receive and use funds re-
sulting from the sale of materials, including Federal records dis-
posed of pursuant to a records schedule recovered through recycling
or waste prevention programs. Such funds shall be available until
expended for the following purposes:

(1) Acquisition, waste reduction and prevention, and recy-
cling programs as described in Executive Order No. 13101 (Sep-
tember 14, 1998), including any such programs adopted prior
to the effective date of the Executive order.

(2) Other Federal agency environmental management pro-
grams, including, but not limited to, the development and im-

plementation of hazardous waste management and pollution prevention programs.

(3) Other employee programs as authorized by law or as deemed appropriate by the head of the Federal agency.

SEC. 808. Funds made available by this or any other Act for administrative expenses in the current fiscal year of the corporations and agencies subject to chapter 91 of title 31, United States Code, shall be available, in addition to objects for which such funds are otherwise available, for rent in the District of Columbia; services in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 3109; and the objects specified under this head, all the provisions of which shall be applicable to the expenditure of such funds unless otherwise specified in the Act by which they are made available: Provided, That in the event any functions budgeted as administrative expenses are subsequently transferred to or paid from other funds, the limitations on administrative expenses shall be correspondingly reduced.

SEC. 809. No part of any appropriation for the current fiscal year contained in this or any other Act shall be paid to any person for the filling of any position for which he or she has been nominated after the Senate has voted not to approve the nomination of said person.

SEC. 810. No part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be available for interagency financing of boards (except Federal Executive Boards), commissions, councils, committees, or similar groups (whether or not they are interagency entities) which do not have a prior and specific statutory approval to receive financial support from more than one agency or instrumentality.

SEC. 811. Funds made available by this or any other Act to the Postal Service Fund (39 U.S.C. 2003) shall be available for employment of guards for all buildings and areas owned or occupied by the Postal Service or under the charge and control of the Postal Service. The Postal Service may give such guards, with respect to such property, any of the powers of special policemen provided under 40 U.S.C. 1315. The Postmaster General, or his designee, may take any action that the Secretary of Homeland Security may take under such section with respect to that property.

SEC. 812. None of the funds made available pursuant to the provisions of this Act shall be used to implement, administer, or enforce any regulation which has been disapproved pursuant to a joint resolution duly adopted in accordance with the applicable law of the United States.

SEC. 813. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and except as otherwise provided in this section, no part of any of the funds appropriated for fiscal year 2006, by this or any other Act, may be used to pay any prevailing rate employee described in section 5342(a)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code—

(1) during the period from the date of expiration of the limitation imposed by the comparable section for previous fiscal years until the normal effective date of the applicable wage survey adjustment that is to take effect in fiscal year 2006, in an amount that exceeds the rate payable for the applicable grade and step of the applicable wage schedule in accordance with such section; and

(2) during the period consisting of the remainder of fiscal year 2006, in an amount that exceeds, as a result of a wage sur-
vey adjustment, the rate payable under paragraph (1) by more
than the sum of—
(A) the percentage adjustment taking effect in fiscal
year 2006 under section 5303 of title 5, United States Code,
in the rates of pay under the General Schedule; and
(B) the difference between the overall average percent-
age of the locality-based comparability payments taking ef-
fact in fiscal year 2006 under section 5304 of such title
(whether by adjustment or otherwise), and the overall aver-
age percentage of such payments which was effective in the
previous fiscal year under such section.
(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no prevailing
rate employee described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of section
5342(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code, and no employee covered
by section 5348 of such title, may be paid during the periods for
which subsection (a) is in effect at a rate that exceeds the rates that
would be payable under subsection (a) were subsection (a) applica-
table to such employee.
(c) For the purposes of this section, the rates payable to an em-
ployee who is covered by this section and who is paid from a sched-
ule not in existence on September 30, 2005, shall be determined
under regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Manage-
ment.
(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, rates of pre-
mium pay for employees subject to this section may not be changed
from the rates in effect on September 30, 2005, except to the extent
determined by the Office of Personnel Management to be consistent
with the purpose of this section.
(e) This section shall apply with respect to pay for service per-
formed after September 30, 2005.
(f) For the purpose of administering any provision of law (in-
cluding any rule or regulation that provides premium pay, retire-
ment, life insurance, or any other employee benefit) that requires
any deduction or contribution, or that imposes any requirement or
limitation on the basis of a rate of salary or basic pay, the rate of
salary or basic pay payable after the application of this section shall
be treated as the rate of salary or basic pay.
(g) Nothing in this section shall be considered to permit or re-
quire the payment to any employee covered by this section at a rate
in excess of the rate that would be payable were this section not in
effect.
(h) The Office of Personnel Management may provide for excep-
tions to the limitations imposed by this section if the Office deter-
mines that such exceptions are necessary to ensure the recruitment
or retention of qualified employees.
SEC. 814. During the period in which the head of any depart-
ment or agency, or any other officer or civilian employee of the Gov-
ernment appointed by the President of the United States, holds of-
office, no funds may be obligated or expended in excess of $5,000 to
furnish or redecorate the office of such department head, agency
head, officer, or employee, or to purchase furniture or make im-
provements for any such office, unless advance notice of such fur-
nishing or redecoration is expressly approved by the Committees on
Appropriations. For the purposes of this section, the term “office”
shall include the entire suite of offices assigned to the individual,
as well as any other space used primarily by the individual or the use of which is directly controlled by the individual.

SEC. 815. Notwithstanding section 1346 of title 31, United States Code, or section 809 of this Act, funds made available for the current fiscal year by this or any other Act shall be available for the interagency funding of national security and emergency preparedness telecommunications initiatives which benefit multiple Federal departments, agencies, or entities, as provided by Executive Order No. 12472 (April 3, 1984).

SEC. 816. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act may be obligated or expended by any Federal department, agency, or other instrumentality for the salaries or expenses of any employee appointed to a position of a confidential or policy-determining character excepted from the competitive service pursuant to section 3302 of title 5, United States Code, without a certification to the Office of Personnel Management from the head of the Federal department, agency, or other instrumentality employing the Schedule C appointee that the Schedule C position was not created solely or primarily in order to detail the employee to the White House.

(b) The provisions of this section shall not apply to Federal employees or members of the armed services detailed to or from—

(1) the Central Intelligence Agency;
(2) the National Security Agency;
(3) the Defense Intelligence Agency;
(4) the offices within the Department of Defense for the collection of specialized national foreign intelligence through reconnaissance programs;
(5) the Bureau of Intelligence and Research of the Department of State;
(6) any agency, office, or unit of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps, the Department of Homeland Security, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Drug Enforcement Administration of the Department of Justice, the Department of Transportation, the Department of the Treasury, and the Department of Energy performing intelligence functions; and
(7) the Director of National Intelligence or the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

SEC. 817. No department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States receiving appropriated funds under this or any other Act for the current fiscal year shall obligate or expend any such funds, unless such department, agency, or instrumentality has in place, and will continue to administer in good faith, a written policy designed to ensure that all of its workplaces are free from discrimination and sexual harassment and that all of its workplaces are not in violation of title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Public Law 88–352, 78 Stat. 241), as amended, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (Public Law 90–202, 81 Stat. 602), and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Public Law 93–112, 87 Stat. 355).

SEC. 818. No part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be available for the payment of the salary of any officer or employee of the Federal Government, who—

(1) prohibits or prevents, or attempts or threatens to prohibit or prevent, any other officer or employee of the Federal Government from having any direct oral or written communication or contact with any Member, committee, or subcommittee
of the Congress in connection with any matter pertaining to the employment of such other officer or employee or pertaining to the department or agency of such other officer or employee in any way, irrespective of whether such communication or contact is at the initiative of such other officer or employee or in response to the request or inquiry of such Member, committee, or subcommittee; or

(2) removes, suspends from duty without pay, demotes, reduces in rank, seniority, status, pay, or performance of efficiency rating, denies promotion to, relocates, reassigns, transfers, disciplines, or discriminates in regard to any employment right, entitlement, or benefit, or any term or condition of employment of, any other officer or employee of the Federal Government, or attempts or threatens to commit any of the foregoing actions with respect to such other officer or employee, by reason of any communication or contact of such other officer or employee with any Member, committee, or subcommittee of the Congress as described in paragraph (1).

SEC. 819. (a) None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be obligated or expended for any employee training that—

(1) does not meet identified needs for knowledge, skills, and abilities bearing directly upon the performance of official duties;
(2) contains elements likely to induce high levels of emotional response or psychological stress in some participants;
(3) does not require prior employee notification of the content and methods to be used in the training and written end of course evaluation;
(4) contains any methods or content associated with religious or quasi-religious belief systems or “new age” belief systems as defined in Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Notice N–915.022, dated September 2, 1988; or
(5) is offensive to, or designed to change, participants’ personal values or lifestyle outside the workplace.

(b) Nothing in this section shall prohibit, restrict, or otherwise preclude an agency from conducting training bearing directly upon the performance of official duties.

SEC. 820. No funds appropriated in this or any other Act may be used to implement or enforce the agreements in Standard Forms 312 and 4414 of the Government or any other nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement if such policy, form, or agreement does not contain the following provisions: “These restrictions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order No. 12958; section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by the Military Whistleblower Protection Act (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect against disclosure that may compromise the national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952
of title 18, United States Code, and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by said Executive order and listed statutes are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”: Provided, That notwithstanding the preceding paragraph, a nondisclosure policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

SEC. 821. No part of any funds appropriated in this or any other Act shall be used by an agency of the executive branch, other than for normal and recognized executive-legislative relationships, for publicity or propaganda purposes, and for the preparation, distribution or use of any kit, pamphlet, booklet, publication, radio, television or film presentation designed to support or defeat legislation pending before the Congress, except in presentation to the Congress itself.

SEC. 822. None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act may be used by an agency to provide a Federal employee’s home address to any labor organization except when the employee has authorized such disclosure or when such disclosure has been ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction.

SEC. 823. None of the funds made available in this Act or any other Act may be used to provide any non-public information such as mailing or telephone lists to any person or any organization outside of the Federal Government without the approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 824. No part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be used directly or indirectly, including by private contractor, for publicity or propaganda purposes within the United States not heretofore authorized by the Congress.

SEC. 825. (a) In this section the term “agency”—

(1) means an Executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code;
(2) includes a military department as defined under section 102 of such title, the Postal Service, and the Postal Rate Commission; and
(3) shall not include the Government Accountability Office.

(b) Unless authorized in accordance with law or regulations to use such time for other purposes, an employee of an agency shall use official time in an honest effort to perform official duties. An employee not under a leave system, including a Presidential appointee exempted under section 6301(2) of title 5, United States Code, has an obligation to expend an honest effort and a reasonable proportion of such employee’s time in the performance of official duties.

SEC. 826. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1346 and section 810 of this Act, funds made available for the current fiscal year by this or
any other Act to any department or agency, which is a member of the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB), shall be available to finance an appropriate share of FASAB administrative costs.

SEC. 827. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1346 and section 910 of this Act, the head of each Executive department and agency is hereby authorized to transfer to or reimburse "General Services Administration, Government-wide Policy" with the approval of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, funds made available for the current fiscal year by this or any other Act, including rebates from charge card and other contracts: Provided, That these funds shall be administered by the Administrator of General Services to support Government-wide financial, information technology, procurement, and other management innovations, initiatives, and activities, as approved by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the appropriate interagency groups designated by the Director (including the Chief Financial Officers Council and the Joint Financial Management Improvement Program for financial management initiatives, the Chief Information Officers Council for information technology initiatives, the Chief Human Capital Officers Council for human capital initiatives, and the Federal Acquisition Council for procurement initiatives). The total funds transferred or reimbursed shall not exceed $10,000,000. Such transfers or reimbursements may only be made 15 days following notification of the Committees on Appropriations by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

SEC. 828. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a woman may breastfeed her child at any location in a Federal building or on Federal property, if the woman and her child are otherwise authorized to be present at the location.

SEC. 829. Notwithstanding section 1346 of title 31, United States Code, or section 810 of this Act, funds made available for the current fiscal year by this or any other Act shall be available for the interagency funding of specific projects, workshops, studies, and similar efforts to carry out the purposes of the National Science and Technology Council (authorized by Executive Order No. 12881), which benefit multiple Federal departments, agencies, or entities: Provided, That the Office of Management and Budget shall provide a report describing the budget of and resources connected with the National Science and Technology Council to the Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Science; and the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation 90 days after enactment of this Act.

SEC. 830. Any request for proposals, solicitation, grant application, form, notification, press release, or other publications involving the distribution of Federal funds shall indicate the agency providing the funds, the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number, as applicable, and the amount provided: Provided, That this provision shall apply to direct payments, formula funds, and grants received by a State receiving Federal funds.

SEC. 832. (a) Prohibition of Federal Agency Monitoring of Individuals' Internet Use.—None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used by any Federal agency—

(1) to collect, review, or create any aggregation of data, derived from any means, that includes any personally identifiable information relating to an individual's access to or use of any Federal Government Internet site of the agency; or

(2) to enter into any agreement with a third party (including another government agency) to collect, review, or obtain any aggregation of data, derived from any means, that includes any personally identifiable information relating to an individual's access to or use of any nongovernmental Internet site.

(b) Exceptions.—The limitations established in subsection (a) shall not apply to—

(1) any record of aggregate data that does not identify particular persons;

(2) any voluntary submission of personally identifiable information;

(3) any action taken for law enforcement, regulatory, or supervisory purposes, in accordance with applicable law; or

(4) any action described in subsection (a)(1) that is a system security action taken by the operator of an Internet site and is necessarily incident to providing the Internet site services or to protecting the rights or property of the provider of the Internet site.

(c) Definitions.—For the purposes of this section:

(1) The term "regulatory" means agency actions to implement, interpret or enforce authorities provided in law.

(2) The term "supervisory" means examinations of the agency's supervised institutions, including assessing safety and soundness, overall financial condition, management practices and policies and compliance with applicable standards as provided in law.

SEC. 833. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to enter into or renew a contract which includes a provision providing prescription drug coverage, except where the contract also includes a provision for contraceptive coverage.

(b) Nothing in this section shall apply to a contract with—

(1) any of the following religious plans:

(A) Personal Care's HMO; and

(B) OSF HealthPlans, Inc.; and

(2) any existing or future plan, if the carrier for the plan objects to such coverage on the basis of religious beliefs.

(c) In implementing this section, any plan that enters into or renews a contract under this section may not subject any individual to discrimination on the basis that the individual refuses to prescribe or otherwise provide for contraceptives because such activities would be contrary to the individual's religious beliefs or moral convictions.

(d) Nothing in this section shall be construed to require coverage of abortion or abortion-related services.

SEC. 834. The Congress of the United States recognizes the United States Anti-Doping Agency (USADA) as the official antidoping agency for Olympic, Pan American, and Paralympic sport in the United States.
SEC. 835. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated for official travel by Federal departments and agencies may be used by such departments and agencies, if consistent with Office of Management and Budget Circular A–126 regarding official travel for Government personnel, to participate in the fractional aircraft ownership pilot program.

SEC. 836. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds appropriated or made available under this Act or any other appropriations Act may be used to implement or enforce restrictions or limitations on the Coast Guard Congressional Fellowship Program, or to implement the proposed regulations of the Office of Personnel Management to add sections 300.311 through 300.316 to part 300 of title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations, published in the Federal Register, volume 68, number 174, on September 9, 2003 (relating to the detail of executive branch employees to the legislative branch).

SEC. 837. (a) Not later than 180 days after the end of the fiscal year, the head of each Federal agency shall submit a report to Congress on the amount of the acquisitions made by the agency from entities that manufacture the articles, materials, or supplies outside of the United States in that fiscal year.

(b) The report required by subsection (a) shall separately indicate—

(1) the dollar value of any articles, materials, or supplies purchased that were manufactured outside of the United States;

(2) an itemized list of all waivers granted with respect to such articles, materials, or supplies under the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.); and

(3) a summary of the total procurement funds spent on goods manufactured in the United States versus funds spent on goods manufactured outside of the United States.

(c) The head of each Federal agency submitting a report under subsection (a) shall make the report publicly available to the maximum extent practicable.

(d) This section shall not apply to acquisitions made by an agency, or component thereof, that is an element of the intelligence community as set forth in or designated under section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4)).

SEC. 838. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no executive branch agency shall purchase, construct, and/or lease any additional facilities, except within or contiguous to existing locations, to be used for the purpose of conducting Federal law enforcement training without the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations, except that the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center is authorized to obtain the temporary use of additional facilities by lease, contract, or other agreement for training which cannot be accommodated in existing Center facilities.

SEC. 839. Notwithstanding section 1346 of title 31, United States Code, and section 809 of this Act and any other provision of law, the head of each appropriate executive department and agency shall transfer to or reimburse the Federal Aviation Administration, upon the direction of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, funds made available by this or any other Act for the purposes described below, and shall submit budget requests for such purposes. These funds shall be administered by the Federal Avia-
tion Administration, in consultation with the appropriate inter-
agency groups designated by the Director and shall be used to en-
sure the uninterrupted, continuous operation of the Midway Atoll
Airfield by the Federal Aviation Administration pursuant to an
operational agreement with the Department of the Interior for the
entirety of fiscal year 2006 and any period thereafter that precedes
the enactment of the Transportation, Treasury, the Judiciary, Hous-
ing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations
Act, 2007. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget
shall mandate the necessary transfers after determining an equi-
table allocation between the appropriate executive departments and
agencies of the responsibility for funding the continuous operation
of the Midway Atoll Airfield based on, but not limited to, potential
use, interest in maintaining aviation safety, and applicability to
governmental operations and agency mission. The total funds trans-
ferred or reimbursed shall not exceed $6,000,000 for any twelve-
month period. Such sums shall be sufficient to ensure continued op-
eration of the airfield throughout the period cited above. Funds
shall be available for operation of the airfield or airfield-related
capital upgrades. The Director of the Office of Management and
Budget shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of such trans-
fers or reimbursements within 15 days of this Act. Such transfers
or reimbursements shall begin within 30 days of enactment of this
Act.

SEC. 840. Section 4(b) of the Federal Activities Inventory Re-
form Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–270) is amended by adding at
the end the following new paragraph:

"(5) Executive agencies with fewer than 100 full-time em-
ployees as of the first day of the fiscal year. However, such an
agency shall be subject to section 2 to the extent it plans to con-
duct a public-private competition for the performance of an ac-
tivity that is not inherently governmental."

SEC. 841. (a) No funds shall be available for transfers or reim-
bursements to the E-Government Initiatives sponsored by the Office
of Management and Budget (OMB) prior to 15 days following sub-
mission of a report to the Committees on Appropriations by the Di-
rector of the Office of Management and Budget and receipt of ap-
proval to transfer funds by the House and Senate Committees on
 Appropriations.

(b) The report in (a) shall detail—

(1) the amount proposed for transfer for any department
and agency by program office, bureau, or activity, as appro-
priate;
(2) the specific use of funds;
(3) the relevance of that use to that department or agency
and each bureau or office within, which is contributing funds; and
(4) a description on any such activities for which funds
were appropriated that will not be implemented or partially im-
plemented by the department or agency as a result of the trans-
fer.

SEC. 842. (a) REQUIREMENT FOR PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETI-
TION.—

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the
funds appropriated by this or any other Act shall be available
to convert to contractor performance an activity or function of an executive agency, that on or after the date of enactment of this Act, is performed by more than 10 Federal employees unless—

(A) the conversion is based on the result of a public-private competition that includes a most efficient and cost effective organization plan developed by such activity or function; and

(B) the Competitive Sourcing Official determines that, over all performance periods stated in the solicitation of offers for performance of the activity or function, the cost of performance of the activity or function by a contractor would be less costly to the executive agency by an amount that equals or exceeds the lesser of—

(i) 10 percent of the most efficient organization's personnel-related costs for performance of that activity or function by Federal employees; or

(ii) $10,000,000.

(2) This paragraph shall not apply to—

(A) the Department of Defense;

(B) section 4492D of title 49, United States Code;

(C) a commercial or industrial type function that—

(i) is included on the procurement list established pursuant to section 2 of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 47); or

(ii) is planned to be converted to performance by a qualified nonprofit agency for the blind or by a qualified nonprofit agency for other severely handicapped individuals in accordance with that Act;

(D) depot contracts or contracts for depot maintenance as provided in sections 2469 and 2474 of title 10, United States Code; or

(E) activities that are the subject of an ongoing competition that was publicly announced prior to the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) USE OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITION.—Nothing in Office of Management and Budget Circular A–76 shall prevent the head of an executive agency from conducting a public-private competition to evaluate the benefits of converting work from contract performance to performance by Federal employees in appropriate instances. The Circular shall provide procedures and policies for these competitions that are similar to those applied to competitions that may result in the conversion of work from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor.

SEC. 843. (a) The adjustment in rates of basic pay for employees under the statutory pay systems that takes effect in fiscal year 2006 under sections 5303 and 5304 of title 5, United States Code, shall be an increase of 3.1 percent, and this adjustment shall apply to civilian employees in the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security and such adjustments shall be effective as of the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2006.

(b) Notwithstanding section 813 of this Act, the adjustment in rates of basic pay for the statutory pay systems that take place in fiscal year 2006 under sections 5344 and 5348 of title 5, United
States Code, shall be no less than the percentage in paragraph (a) as employees in the same location whose rates of basic pay are adjusted pursuant to the statutory pay systems under section 5303 and 5304 of title 5, United States Code. Prevailing rate employees at locations where there are no employees whose pay is increased pursuant to sections 5303 and 5304 of title 5 and prevailing rate employees described in section 5343(a)(5) of title 5 shall be considered to be located in the pay locality designated as “Rest of US” pursuant to section 5304 of title 5 for purposes of this paragraph.

(c) Funds used to carry out this section shall be paid from appropriations, which are made to each applicable department or agency for salaries and expenses for fiscal year 2006.

SEC. 844. Unless otherwise authorized by existing law, none of the funds provided in this Act or any other Act may be used by an executive branch agency to produce any prepackaged news story intended for broadcast or distribution in the United States, unless the story includes a clear notification within the text or audio of the prepackaged news story that the prepackaged news story was prepared or funded by that executive branch agency.

SEC. 845. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used in contravention of section 552a of title 5, United States Code (popularly known as the Privacy Act) or of section 552.224 of title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 846. Each Executive department and agency shall evaluate the creditworthiness of an individual before issuing the individual a government travel charge card. The department or agency may not issue a government travel charge card to an individual that either lacks a credit history or is found to have an unsatisfactory credit history as a result of this evaluation: Provided, That this restriction shall not preclude issuance of a restricted-use charge, debit, or stored value card made in accordance with agency procedures to: (1) an individual with an unsatisfactory credit history where such card is used to pay travel expenses and the agency determines there is no suitable alternative payment mechanism available before issuing the card; or (2) an individual who lacks a credit history. Each Executive department and agency shall establish guidelines and procedures for disciplinary actions to be taken against agency personnel for improper, fraudulent, or abusive use of government charge cards, which shall include appropriate disciplinary actions for use of charge cards for purposes, and at establishments, that are inconsistent with the official business of the Department or agency or with applicable standards of conduct.

SEC. 847. Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to “this Act” contained in this division shall be treated as referring only to the provisions of this division.

This division may be cited as the “Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006”.

DIVISION B—DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2006

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the District of Columbia and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes, namely:
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FEDERAL FUNDS

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR RESIDENT TUITION SUPPORT

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia, to be deposited into a dedicated account, for a nationwide program to be administered by the Mayor, for District of Columbia resident tuition support, $33,200,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such funds, including any interest accrued thereon, may be used on behalf of eligible District of Columbia residents to pay an amount based upon the difference between in-State and out-of-State tuition at public institutions of higher education, or to pay up to $2,500 each year at eligible private institutions of higher education: Provided further, That the awarding of such funds may be prioritized on the basis of a resident's academic merit, the income and need of eligible students and such other factors as may be authorized: Provided further, That the District of Columbia government shall maintain a dedicated account for the Resident Tuition Support Program that shall consist of the Federal funds appropriated to the Program in this Act and any subsequent appropriations, any unobligated balances from prior fiscal years, and any interest earned in this or any fiscal year: Provided further, That the account shall be under the control of the District of Columbia Chief Financial Officer, who shall use those funds solely for the purposes of carrying out the Resident Tuition Support Program: Provided further, That the Office of the Chief Financial Officer shall provide a quarterly financial report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate for these funds showing, by object class, the expenditures made and the purpose therefor: Provided further, That not more than $1,200,000 of the total amount appropriated for this program may be used for administrative expenses.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR EMERGENCY PLANNING AND SECURITY COSTS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

For necessary expenses, as determined by the Mayor of the District of Columbia in written consultation with the elected county or city officials of surrounding jurisdictions, $13,500,000, to remain available until expended, to reimburse the District of Columbia for the costs of providing public safety at events related to the presence of the national capital in the District of Columbia and for the costs of providing support to respond to immediate and specific terrorist threats or attacks in the District of Columbia or surrounding jurisdictions: Provided, That any amount provided under this heading shall be available only after such amount has been apportioned pursuant to chapter 15 of title 31, United States Code.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

For salaries and expenses for the District of Columbia Courts, $218,912,000, to be allocated as follows: for the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, $9,198,000, of which not to exceed $1,500 is for official reception and representation expenses; for the District of Columbia Superior Court, $87,342,000, of which not to exceed $1,500 is for official reception and representation expenses; for the District
of Columbia Court System, $41,643,000, of which not to exceed $1,500 is for official reception and representation expenses; and $80,729,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, for capital improvements for District of Columbia courthouse facilities: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, a single contract or related contracts for development and construction of facilities may be employed which collectively include the full scope of the project; Provided further, That the solicitation and contract shall contain the clause "availability of Funds" found at 48 CFR 52.232–18: Provided further, That funds made available for capital improvements shall be expended consistent with the General Services Administration master plan study and building evaluation report: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, all amounts under this heading shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for salaries and expenses of other Federal agencies, with payroll and financial services to be provided on a contractual basis with the General Services Administration (GSA), and such services shall include the preparation of monthly financial reports, copies of which shall be submitted directly by GSA to the President and to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate, the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate: Provided further, That 30 days after providing written notice to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate, the District of Columbia Courts may reallocate not more than $1,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading among the items and entities funded under this heading for operations, and not more than 4 percent of the funds provided under this heading for facilities.

DEFENDER SERVICES IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

For payments authorized under section 11–2604 and section 11–2605, D.C. Official Code (relating to representation provided under the District of Columbia Criminal Justice Act), payments for counsel appointed in proceedings in the Family Court of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia under chapter 23 of title 16, D.C. Official Code, or pursuant to contractual agreements to provide guardian ad litem representation, training, technical assistance and such other services as are necessary to improve the quality of guardian ad litem representation, payments for counsel appointed in adoption proceedings under chapter 3 of title 16, D.C. Code, and payments for counsel authorized under section 21–2060, D.C. Official Code (relating to representation provided under the District of Columbia Guardianship, Protective Proceedings, and Durable Power of Attorney Act of 1986), $44,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the funds provided in this Act under the heading "Federal Payment to the District of Columbia Courts" (other than the $80,729,000 provided under such heading for capital improvements for District of Columbia courthouse facilities) may also be used for payments under this heading: Provided further, That in addition to the funds provided under this heading, the Joint Committee on Judicial Administration in the District of Columbia may use funds provided in this Act under the heading "Federal Payment to the District of Columbia Courts" (other than the
$80,729,000 provided under such heading for capital improvements for District of Columbia courthouse facilities, to make payments described under this heading for obligations incurred during any fiscal year: Provided further, That funds provided under this heading shall be administered by the Joint Committee on Judicial Administration in the District of Columbia: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, this appropriation shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for expenses of other Federal agencies, with payroll and financial services to be provided on a contractual basis with the General Services Administration (GSA), and such services shall include the preparation of monthly financial reports, copies of which shall be submitted directly by GSA to the President and to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate, the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE COURT SERVICES AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For salaries and expenses, including the transfer and hire of motor vehicles, of the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia and the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia, as authorized by the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997, $201,388,000, of which not to exceed $2,000 is for official receptions and representation expenses related to Community Supervision and Pretrial Services Agency programs; of which not to exceed $25,000 is for dues and assessments relating to the implementation of the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Interstate Supervision Act of 2002; of which $129,360,000 shall be for necessary expenses of Community Supervision and Sex Offender Registration, to include expenses relating to the supervision of adults subject to protection orders or the provision of services for or related to such persons; of which $42,195,000 shall be available to the Pretrial Services Agency; and of which $29,833,000 shall be transferred to the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, all amounts under this heading shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for salaries and expenses of other Federal agencies: Provided further, That the Director is authorized to accept and use gifts in the form of in-kind contributions of space and hospitality to support offender and defendant programs, and equipment and vocational training services to educate and train offenders and defendants: Provided further, That the Director shall keep accurate and detailed records of the acceptance and use of any gift or donation under the previous proviso, and shall make such records available for audit and public inspection: Provided further, That the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Director is authorized to accept and use reimbursement from the D.C. Government for space and services provided on a cost reimbursable basis: Provided further, That for this fiscal year and subsequent fiscal years, the
Public Defender Service is authorized to charge fees to cover costs of materials distributed and training provided to attendees of educational events, including conferences, sponsored by the Public Defender Service, and notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, United States Code, said fees shall be credited to the Public Defender Service account to be available for use without further appropriation.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, $7,000,000, to remain available until expended, to continue implementation of the Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Plan: Provided, That the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority provides a 100 percent match for this payment.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR THE ANACOSTIA WATERFRONT INITIATIVE

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, $3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, for design and construction of a continuous pedestrian and bicycle trail system from the Potomac River to the District's border with Maryland.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL

For a Federal payment to the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council, $1,300,000, to remain available until expended, to support initiatives related to the coordination of Federal and local criminal justice resources in the District of Columbia.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, $1,000,000, to operate a downtown circulator transit system.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR FOSTER CARE IMPROVEMENTS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

For the Federal payment to the District of Columbia for foster care improvements, $2,000,000 to remain available until expended: Provided, That $1,750,000 shall be for the Child and Family Services Agency, of which $1,000,000 shall be for a loan repayment program for social workers; of which $750,000 shall be for post-adoption services: Provided further, That $250,000 shall be for the Washington Metropolitan Council of Governments, to continue a program in conjunction with the Foster and Adoptive Parents Advocacy Center, to provide respite care for and recruitment of foster parents: Provided further, That these Federal funds shall supplement and not supplant local funds for the purposes described under this heading.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

For a Federal payment to the Office of the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia, $29,200,000: Provided, That these funds shall be available for the projects and in the amounts spe-
fied in the Statement of the Managers on the conference report accompanying this Act: Provided further, That each entity that receives funding under this heading shall submit to the Office of the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia (CFO) a report on the activities to be carried out with such funds no later than March 15, 2006, and the CFO shall submit a comprehensive report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate no later than June 1, 2006.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

For a Federal payment for a school improvement program in the District of Columbia, $40,000,000, to be allocated as follows: for the District of Columbia Public Schools, $13,000,000 to improve public school education in the District of Columbia; for the State Education Office, $13,000,000 to expand quality public charter schools in the District of Columbia, to remain available until September 30, 2007; for the Secretary of the Department of Education, $14,000,000 to provide opportunity scholarships for students in the District of Columbia in accordance with division C, title III of the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2004 (Public Law 108–199; 118 Stat. 126), of which up to $1,000,000 may be used to administer and fund assessments.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR BIOTERRORISM AND FORENSICS LABORATORY

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia, $5,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2007, for costs associated with the construction of a bioterrorism and forensics laboratory: Provided, That the District of Columbia shall provide an additional $1,500,000 with local funds as a condition of receiving this payment.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR THE NATIONAL GUARD YOUTH CHALLENGE PROGRAM

For a Federal payment for the District of Columbia National Guard Youth Challenge program, $500,000: Provided, That the amount appropriated by this heading shall be transferred to the Secretary of Defense and made available to the Commanding General of the District of Columbia National Guard for activities under the National Guard Youth Challenge Program under section 509 of title 32, United States Code, and shall be in addition to any matching funds otherwise required of the District of Columbia for that Program in fiscal year 2006 under subsection (d)(4) of such section.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR MARRIAGE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVEMENT

For a Federal payment for marriage development and improvement in the District of Columbia, $3,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That $1,500,000 shall be for the Capital Area Asset Building Corporation for the establishment of marriage development accounts in accordance with the requirements in the accompanying report, of which $400,000 shall be for program planning, marketing, evaluation, and account administration: Provided further, That $1,500,000 shall be for mentoring, counseling, community outreach, and training and technical assistance, of which $850,000 shall be for the National Center for Fathering and
$650,000 shall be for the East Capitol Center for Change to carry out these activities: Provided further, That within 30 days of enactment of this Act, the entities receiving funds under this title shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate, a detailed expenditure plan and program requirements that comport with the guidance in the accompanying report.

District of Columbia Funds

The following amounts are appropriated for the District of Columbia for the current fiscal year out of the general fund of the District of Columbia, except as otherwise specifically provided: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, except as provided in section 450A of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act (D.C. Official Code, section 1–204.50a) and provisions of this Act, the total amount appropriated in this Act for operating expenses for the District of Columbia for fiscal year 2006 under this heading shall not exceed the lesser of the sum of the total revenues of the District of Columbia for such fiscal year or $8,700,158,000 (of which $5,007,344,000 shall be from local funds, $1,921,287,000 shall be from Federal grant funds, $1,754,399,000 shall be from other funds, and $17,129,000 shall be from private funds), in addition, $163,116,000 from funds previously appropriated in this Act as Federal payments: Provided further, That of the local funds, $466,894,000 shall be derived from the District's general fund balance: Provided further, That of these funds the District's intradistrict authority shall be $468,486,000: in addition for capital construction projects there is appropriated an increase of $2,820,637,000, of which $1,072,671,000 shall be from local funds, $49,551,000 from Highway Trust funds, $172,183,000 from the Local Street Maintenance fund, $378,000,000 from securitization of future revenue streams, $400,000,000 from Certificates of Participation financing, $534,800,000 from financing for construction of a baseball stadium, $213,432,000 from Federal grant funds, and a rescission of $295,032,000 from local funds appropriated under this heading in prior fiscal years, for a net amount of $2,525,605,000, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That the amounts provided under this heading are to be allocated and expended as proposed under “Title II—District of Columbia Funds” of the Fiscal Year 2006 Proposed Budget and Financial Plan submitted to the Congress of the United States by the District of Columbia on June 6, 2005: Provided further, That this amount may be increased by proceeds of one-time transactions, which are expended for emergency or unanticipated operating or capital needs: Provided further, That such increases shall be approved by enactment of local District law and shall comply with all reserve requirements contained in the District of Columbia Home Rule Act as amended by this Act: Provided further, That the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall take such steps as are necessary to assure that the District of Columbia meets these requirements, including the apportioning by the Chief Financial Officer of the appropriations and funds made available to the District during fiscal year 2006, except that the Chief Financial Officer may not reprogram for operating expenses any funds derived from bonds, notes, or other obligations issued for capital projects.
GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. Whenever in this Act, an amount is specified within an appropriation for particular purposes or objects of expenditure, such amount, unless otherwise specified, shall be considered as the maximum amount that may be expended for said purpose or object rather than an amount set apart exclusively therefor.

SEC. 102. Appropriations in this Act shall be available for expenses of travel and for the payment of dues of organizations concerned with the work of the District of Columbia government, when authorized by the Mayor, or, in the case of the Council of the District of Columbia, funds may be expended with the authorization of the Chairman of the Council.

SEC. 103. There are appropriated from the applicable funds of the District of Columbia such sums as may be necessary for making refunds and for the payment of legal settlements or judgments that have been entered against the District of Columbia government.

SEC. 104. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), no part of this appropriation shall be used for publicity or propaganda purposes or implementation of any policy including boycott designed to support or defeat legislation pending before Congress or any State legislature.

(b) The District of Columbia may use local funds provided in this title to carry out lobbying activities on any matter other than—

(1) the promotion or support of any boycott; or

(2) statehood for the District of Columbia or voting representation in Congress for the District of Columbia.

(c) Nothing in this section may be construed to prohibit any elected official from advocating with respect to any of the issues referred to in subsection (b).

SEC. 105. (a) None of the funds provided under this title to the agencies funded by this title, both Federal and District government agencies, that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2006, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this title, shall be available for obligation or expenditures for an agency through a reprogramming of funds which—

(1) creates new programs;

(2) eliminates a program, project, or responsibility center;

(3) establishes or changes allocations specifically denied, limited or increased under this Act;

(4) increases funds or personnel by any means for any program, project, or responsibility center for which funds have been denied or restricted;

(5) reestablishes any program or project previously deferred through reprogramming;

(6) augments any existing program, project, or responsibility center through a reprogramming of funds in excess of $3,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; or

(7) increases by 20 percent or more personnel assigned to a specific program, project or responsibility center, unless the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate are notified in writing 15 days in advance of the reprogramming.
(b) None the local funds contained in this Act may be available for obligation or expenditure for an agency through a transfer of any local funds in excess of $3,000,000 from one appropriation heading to another unless the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate are notified in writing 15 days in advance of the transfer, except that in no event may the amount of any funds transferred exceed 4 percent of the local funds in the appropriations.

SEC. 106. Consistent with the provisions of section 1301(a) of title 31, United States Code, appropriations under this Act shall be applied only to the objects for which the appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law.


SEC. 108. No later than 30 days after the end of the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Mayor of the District of Columbia shall submit to the Council of the District of Columbia and the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate the new fiscal year 2006 revenue estimates as of the end of such quarter. These estimates shall be used in the budget request for fiscal year 2007. The officially revised estimates at midyear shall be used for the midyear report.

SEC. 109. No sole source contract with the District of Columbia government or any agency thereof may be renewed or extended without opening that contract to the competitive bidding process as set forth in section 303 of the District of Columbia Procurement Practices Act of 1985 (D.C. Law 6–85; D.C. Official Code, section 2–303.03), except that the District of Columbia government or any agency thereof may renew or extend sole source contracts for which competition is not feasible or practical, but only if the determination as to whether to invoke the competitive bidding process has been made in accordance with duly promulgated rules and procedures and has been reviewed and certified by the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia.

SEC. 110. None of the Federal funds provided in this Act may be used by the District of Columbia to provide for salaries, expenses, or other costs associated with the offices of United States Senator or United States Representative under section 4(d) of the District of Columbia Statehood Constitutional Convention Initiatives of 1979 (D.C. Law 3–171; D.C. Official Code, section 1–123).

SEC. 111. None of the Federal funds made available in this Act may be used to implement or enforce the Health Care Benefits Expansion Act of 1992 (D.C. Law 9–114; D.C. Official Code, section 32–701 et seq.) or to otherwise implement or enforce any system of registration of unmarried, cohabiting couples, including but not limited to registration for the purpose of extending employment, health, or governmental benefits to such couples on the same basis that such benefits are extended to legally married couples.
SEC. 112. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the Mayor, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia may accept, obligate, and expend Federal, private, and other grants received by the District government that are not reflected in the amounts appropriated in this Act.

(b)(1) No such Federal, private, or other grant may be obligated, or expended pursuant to subsection (a) until—

(A) the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia submits to the Council a report setting forth detailed information regarding such grant; and

(B) the Council has reviewed and approved the obligation, and expenditure of such grant.

(2) For purposes of paragraph (1)(B), the Council shall be deemed to have reviewed and approved the obligation, and expenditure of a grant if—

(A) no written notice of disapproval is filed with the Secretary of the Council within 14 calendar days of the receipt of the report from the Chief Financial Officer under paragraph (1)(A); or

(B) if such a notice of disapproval is filed within such deadline, the Council does not by resolution disapprove the obligation, or expenditure of the grant within 30 calendar days of the initial receipt of the report from the Chief Financial Officer under paragraph (1)(A).

(c) No amount may be obligated or expended from the general fund or other funds of the District of Columbia government in anticipation of the approval or receipt of a grant under subsection (b)(2) or in anticipation of the approval or receipt of a Federal, private, or other grant not subject to such subsection.

(d) The Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia may adjust the budget for Federal, private, and other grants received by the District government reflected in the amounts appropriated in this title, or approved and received under subsection (b)(2) to reflect a change in the actual amount of the grant.

(e) The Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall prepare a quarterly report setting forth detailed information regarding all Federal, private, and other grants subject to this section. Each such report shall be submitted to the Council of the District of Columbia and to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate not later than 15 days after the end of the quarter covered by the report.

SEC. 113. (a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, none of the funds made available by this Act or by any other Act may be used to provide any officer or employee of the District of Columbia with an official vehicle unless the officer or employee uses the vehicle only in the performance of the officer’s or employee’s official duties. For purposes of this paragraph, the term “official duties” does not include travel between the officer’s or employee’s residence and workplace, except in the case of—

(1) an officer or employee of the Metropolitan Police Department who resides in the District of Columbia or is otherwise designated by the Chief of the Department;

(2) at the discretion of the Fire Chief, an officer or employee of the District of Columbia Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department who resides in the District of Columbia and is
on call 24 hours a day or is otherwise designated by the Fire Chief;

(3) the Mayor of the District of Columbia; and

(4) the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia.

(b) The Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall submit by March 1, 2006, an inventory, as of September 30, 2005, of all vehicles owned, leased or operated by the District of Columbia government. The inventory shall include, but not be limited to, the department to which the vehicle is assigned; the year and make of the vehicle; the acquisition date and cost; the general condition of the vehicle; annual operating and maintenance costs; current mileage; and whether the vehicle is allowed to be taken home by a District officer or employee and if so, the officer or employee’s title and resident location.

SEC. 114. None of the funds contained in this Act may be used for purposes of the annual independent audit of the District of Columbia government for fiscal year 2006 unless—

(1) the audit is conducted by the Inspector General of the District of Columbia, in coordination with the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia, pursuant to section 208(a)(4) of the District of Columbia Procurement Practices Act of 1985 (D.C. Official Code, section 2–302.8); and

(2) the audit includes as a basic financial statement a comparison of audited actual year-end results with the revenues submitted in the budget document for such year and the appropriations enacted into law for such year using the format, terminology, and classifications contained in the law making the appropriations for the year and its legislative history.

SEC. 115. (a) None of the funds contained in this Act may be used by the District of Columbia Corporation Counsel or any other officer or entity of the District government to provide assistance for any petition drive or civil action which seeks to require Congress to provide for voting representation in Congress for the District of Columbia.

(b) Nothing in this section bars the District of Columbia Corporation Counsel from reviewing or commenting on briefs in private lawsuits, or from consulting with officials of the District government regarding such lawsuits.

SEC. 116. (a) None of the funds contained in this Act may be used for any program of distributing sterile needles or syringes for the hypodermic injection of any illegal drug.

(b) Any individual or entity who receives any funds contained in this Act and who carries out any program described in subsection (a) shall account for all funds used for such program separately from any funds contained in this Act.

SEC. 117. None of the funds contained in this Act may be used after the expiration of the 60-day period that begins on the date of the enactment of this Act to pay the salary of any chief financial officer of any office of the District of Columbia government (including any independent agency of the District of Columbia) who has not filed a certification with the Mayor and the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia that the officer understands the duties and restrictions applicable to the officer and the officer’s agency as a result of this Act (and the amendments made by this Act), includ-
ing any duty to prepare a report requested either in the Act or in any of the reports accompanying the Act and the deadline by which each report must be submitted: Provided, That the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate by April 1, 2006 and October 1, 2006, a summary list showing each report, the due date, and the date submitted to the Committees.

SEC. 118. Nothing in this Act may be construed to prevent the Council or Mayor of the District of Columbia from addressing the issue of the provision of contraceptive coverage by health insurance plans, but it is the intent of Congress that any legislation enacted on such issue should include a “conscience clause” which provides exceptions for religious beliefs and moral convictions.

SEC. 119. The Mayor of the District of Columbia shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate, the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate quarterly reports addressing—

(1) crime, including the homicide rate, implementation of community policing, the number of police officers on local beats, and the closing down of open-air drug markets;

(2) access to substance and alcohol abuse treatment, including the number of treatment slots, the number of people served, the number of people on waiting lists, and the effectiveness of treatment programs;

(3) management of parolees and pre-trial violent offenders, including the number of halfway houses escapes and steps taken to improve monitoring and supervision of halfway house residents to reduce the number of escapes to be provided in consultation with the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia;

(4) education, including access to special education services and student achievement to be provided in consultation with the District of Columbia Public Schools and the District of Columbia public charter schools;

(5) improvement in basic District services, including rat control and abatement;

(6) application for and management of Federal grants, including the number and type of grants for which the District was eligible but failed to apply and the number and type of grants awarded to the District but for which the District failed to spend the amounts received; and

(7) indicators of child well-being.

SEC. 120. (a) No later than 30 calendar days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress, the Mayor, and the Council of the District of Columbia a revised appropriated funds operating budget in the format of the budget that the District of Columbia government submitted pursuant to section 442 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act (D.C. Official Code, section 1–204.42), for all agencies of the District of Columbia government for fiscal year 2006 that is in the total amount of the approved appropriation and that realigns all budgeted data for personal services and other-than-personal-services, respectively, with anticipated actual expenditures.
(b) This section shall apply only to an agency where the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia certifies that a reallocation is required to address unanticipated changes in program requirements.

SEC. 121. Notwithstanding any other law, in fiscal year 2006 and in each subsequent fiscal year, the District of Columbia Courts shall transfer to the general treasury of the District of Columbia all fines levied and collected by the Courts under section 10(b)(1) and (2) of the District of Columbia Traffic Act (D.C. Official Code, section 50–2201.05(b)(1) and (2)): Provided, that the transferred funds are hereby made available and shall remain available until expended and shall be used by the Office of the Attorney General of the District of Columbia for enforcement and prosecution of District traffic alcohol laws in accordance with section 10(b)(3) of the District of Columbia Traffic Act (D.C. Official Code, section 50–2201.05(b)(3)).

SEC. 122. (a) None of the funds contained in this Act may be made available to pay—

1. the fees of an attorney who represents a party in an action or an attorney who defends an action brought against the District of Columbia Public Schools under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq.) in excess of $4,000 for that action; or

2. the fees of an attorney or firm whom the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia determines to have a pecuniary interest, either through an attorney, officer, or employee of the firm, in any special education diagnostic services, schools, or other special education service providers.

(b) In this section, the term “action” includes an administrative proceeding and any ensuing or related proceedings before a court of competent jurisdiction.

SEC. 123. The Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall require attorneys in special education cases brought under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) in the District of Columbia to certify in writing that the attorney or representative rendered any and all services for which they receive awards, including those received under a settlement agreement or as part of an administrative proceeding, under the IDEA from the District of Columbia. As part of the certification, the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall require all attorneys in IDEA cases to disclose any financial, corporate, legal, memberships on boards of directors, or other relationships with any special education diagnostic services, schools, or other special education service providers to which the attorneys have referred any clients as part of this certification. The Chief Financial Officer shall prepare and submit quarterly reports to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate on the certification of and the amount paid by the government of the District of Columbia, including the District of Columbia Public Schools, to attorneys in cases brought under IDEA. The Inspector General of the District of Columbia may conduct investigations to determine the accuracy of the certifications.

SEC. 124. The amount appropriated by this Act may be increased by no more than $42,000,000 from funds identified in the comprehensive annual financial report as the District’s fiscal year
2005 unexpended general fund surplus. The District may obligate and expend these amounts only in accordance with the following conditions:

(1) The Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall certify that the use of any such amounts is not anticipated to have a negative impact on the District’s long-term financial, fiscal, and economic vitality.

(2) The District of Columbia may only use these funds for the following expenditures:
   (A) One-time expenditures.
   (B) Expenditures to avoid deficit spending.
   (C) Debt Reduction.
   (D) Program needs.
   (E) Expenditures to avoid revenue shortfalls.

(3) The amounts shall be obligated and expended in accordance with laws enacted by the Council in support of each such obligation or expenditure.

(4) The amounts may not be used to fund the agencies of the District of Columbia government under court ordered receivership.

(5) The amounts may not be obligated or expended unless the Mayor notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate not fewer than 30 days in advance of the obligation or expenditure.

SEC. 125. (a) The fourth proviso in the item relating to “Federal Payment for School Improvement” in the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–335; 118 Stat. 1327) is amended—

(1) by striking “$4,000,000” and inserting “$4,000,000, to remain available until expended,”; and

(2) by striking “$2,000,000 shall be for a new incentive fund” and inserting “$2,000,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for a new incentive fund”.

(b) The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2005.

SEC. 126. (a) To account for an unanticipated growth of revenue collections, the amount appropriated as District of Columbia Funds pursuant to this Act may be increased—

(1) by an aggregate amount of not more than 25 percent, in the case of amounts proposed to be allocated as “Other-Type Funds” in the Fiscal Year 2006 Proposed Budget and Financial Plan submitted to Congress by the District of Columbia on June 6, 2005; and

(2) by an aggregate amount of not more than 6 percent, in the case of any other amounts proposed to be allocated in such Proposed Budget and Financial Plan.

(b) The District of Columbia may obligate and expend any increase in the amount of funds authorized under this section only in accordance with the following conditions:

(1) The Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall certify—
   (A) the increase in revenue; and
(B) that the use of the amounts is not anticipated to have a negative impact on the long-term financial, fiscal, or economic health of the District.

(2) The amounts shall be obligated and expended in accordance with laws enacted by the Council of the District of Columbia in support of each such obligation and expenditure, consistent with the requirements of this Act.

(3) The amounts may not be used to fund any agencies of the District government operating under court-ordered receivership.

(4) The amounts may not be obligated or expended unless the Mayor has notified the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate not fewer than 30 days in advance of the obligation or expenditure.

SEC. 127. The Chief Financial Officer for the District of Columbia may, for the purpose of cash flow management, conduct short-term borrowing from the emergency reserve fund and from the contingency reserve fund established under section 450A of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act (Public Law 98–198): Provided, That the amount borrowed shall not exceed 50 percent of the total amount of funds contained in both the emergency and contingency reserve funds at the time of borrowing: Provided further, That the borrowing shall not deplete either fund by more than 50 percent: Provided further, That 100 percent of the funds borrowed shall be replenished within 9 months of the time of the borrowing or by the end of the fiscal year, whichever occurs earlier: Provided further, That in the event that short-term borrowing has been conducted and the emergency or the contingency funds are later depleted below 50 percent as a result of an emergency or contingency, an amount equal to the amount necessary to restore reserve levels to 50 percent of the total amount of funds contained in both the emergency and contingency reserve fund must be replenished from the amount borrowed within 60 days.

SEC. 128. (a) None of the funds contained in this Act may be used to enact or carry out any law, rule, or regulation to legalize or otherwise reduce penalties associated with the possession, use, or distribution of any schedule I substance under the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802) or any tetrahydrocannabinols derivative.

(b) The Legalization of Marijuana for Medical Treatment Initiative of 1998, also known as Initiative 59, approved by the electors of the District of Columbia on November 3, 1998, shall not take effect.

SEC. 129. None of the funds appropriated under this Act shall be expended for any abortion except where the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term or where the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.

SEC. 130. Section 7 of the District of Columbia Stadium Act of 1957 (Public Law 85–300, 71 Stat. 619), as amended, is further amended by inserting after paragraph (d)(4) the following:

“(e)(1) Upon receipt of a written description from the District of Columbia of not more than 15 contiguous acres (hereinafter referred to as ‘the 15 acres’), within the area designated ‘D’ on the revised map entitled ‘Map to Designate Transfer of Stadium and Lease of Parking Lots to the District’ and bound by 21st Street, NE, Okla-
homa Avenue, NE, Benning Road, NE, the Metro line, and C Street, NE, and execution of a long-term lease by the Mayor of the District of Columbia that is contingent upon the Secretary's conveyance of the 15 acres and for the purpose consistent with this paragraph, the Secretary shall convey the 15 acres described land to the District of Columbia for the purpose of siting, developing, and operating an educational institution for the public welfare, with first preference given to a pre-collegiate public boarding school.

“(2) Upon conveyance, the portion of the stadium lease that affects the 15 acres on the property and all the conditions associated therewith shall terminate, and the 15 acres property shall be removed from the ‘Map to Designate Transfer of Stadium and Lease of Parking Lots to the District’, and the long-term lease described in paragraph (1) shall take effect immediately. The Mayor of the District of Columbia shall execute and deliver a quitclaim deed to effectuate the District’s responsibilities under this section.”

SEC. 131. The authority that the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia exercised with respect to personnel and the preparation of fiscal impact statements during a control period (as defined in Public Law 104–8) shall remain in effect until September 30, 2006.

SEC. 132. The entire process used by the Chief Financial Officer to acquire any and all kinds of goods, works and services by any contractual means, including but not limited to purchase, lease or rental, shall be exempt from all of the provisions of the District of Columbia’s Procurement Practices Act: Provided, That provisions made by this subsection shall take effect as if enacted in D.C. Law 11–259 and shall remain in effect until September 30, 2006.

SEC. 133. Section 4013 of the Uniform Per Student Funding Formula for Public Schools and Public Charter Schools Amendment Act of 2005, passed on first reading on May 10, 2005 (engrossed version of Bill 16–200), is hereby enacted into law.

SEC. 134. The Chief Financial Officer of the District is hereby authorized to transfer $5,000,000 from the local funds appropriated for the Deputy Mayor for Economic Development to the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation and to reallocate the appropriation authority for such funds to a heading to be entitled “Anacostia Waterfront Corporation” in addition, an amount of $3,200,000 is hereby appropriated from the local funds made available to the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation in fiscal year 2005. Provided, That all of the funds made available herein to the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation shall remain available until expended.

SEC. 135. Amounts appropriated in the Act for the Department of Health may be increased by $250,000 in local funds to remain available until expended to conduct a health study in Spring Valley.


SEC. 137. Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to “this Act” contained in this division shall be treated as referring only to the provisions of this division.

This division may be cited as the “District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2006”.
This Act (including divisions A and B) may be cited as the "Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, the District of Columbia, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006".

And the Senate agree to the same.

Joe Knollenberg,
Frank R. Wolf,
Harold Rogers,
Todd Tiahrt,
Anne M. Northup,
Robert B. Aderholt,
John E. Sweeney,
John Abney Culberson,
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John W. Olver,
Steny H. Hoyer,
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Carolyn C. Kilpatrick,
James E. Clyburn,
Steven R. Rothman,
Managers on the Part of the House.

Christopher S. Bond,
Richard C. Shelby,
Arlen Specter,
R.F. Bennett,
Kay Bailey Hutchison,
Mike DeWine,
Sam Brownback,
Ted Stevens,
Pete V. Domenici,
Conrad Burns,
Wayne Allard,
Thad Cochran,
Patty Murray,
Robert C. Byrd,
Barbara Mikulski,
Harry Reid,
Herb Kohl,
Richard J. Durbin
(except for Cuba trade),
Byron L. Dorgan
(except for Cuba trade),
Patrick J. Leahy
(except for Cuba trade),
Tom Harkin
(except for Cuba trade),
Mary L. Landrieu
(except for Cuba trade),
Daniel K. Inouye
(except for Section 173),
Managers on the Part of the Senate.
JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3058), “making appropriations for the Departments of Transportation, Treasury, and Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, District of Columbia, and independent agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes”, submits the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the conferees and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

This legislation intent in the House and Senate versions in H.R. 3058 is set forth in the accompanying House report (H. Rept. 109–153) and the accompanying Senate report (S. Rept. 109–109).

The Senate amendment deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

The language and allocations set forth in the House and Senate reports should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and the statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate or this statement of managers and Senate report language which is not changed by this statement of managers is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where the House or the Senate has directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $84,900,000 for the salaries and expenses of the office of the secretary instead of $67,824,000 as proposed by the House and $86,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. As proposed by both the House and the Senate, bill language is included that specifies funding by office. The conference agreement is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office of the Secretary</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate office of the Secretary</td>
<td>$2,198,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate office of the Deputy Secretary</td>
<td>$698,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the General Counsel</td>
<td>$15,183,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Under Secretary for Transportation Policy</td>
<td>$11,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs</td>
<td>$8,485,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs</td>
<td>$2,293,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The conference agreement retains provisions proposed by both the House and the Senate limiting transfers among each office to no more than 5 percent and requiring that any transfer greater than 5 percent must be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. Bill language is also included which allows the Department to spend up to $60,000 within the funds provided for official reception and representation expenses.

The conference agreement retains bill language proposed by the House prohibiting funds from being used for the position of Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs. The conference agreement also retains bill language proposed by both the House and the Senate that allows up to $2,500,000 in user fees to be credited to salaries and expenses.

The conferees direct the Department to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no less than three full business days before any grant totaling $1,000,000 is announced and further clarify that such notifications shall be based on the grants full-year funding level, not just the incremental amount being released.

The conferees reiterate the need for better budget materials from the Department in general and direct the Department to provide additional details in the fiscal year 2007 budget justification materials as instructed in both the House and Senate reports.

The conferees direct the Secretary to submit an operating plan for fiscal year 2006 for the entire Department as described in the House report for approval by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

Further, the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs shall submit a report to both the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations at the beginning of each fiscal quarter on the status of all outstanding reports and reporting requirements, including the deadlines established by Congress for each report and an estimated date for delivery, as directed by the Senate. The Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs is also directed to submit a quarterly report detailing all funding transfers made between offices within the office of the secretary (OST) pursuant to transfer authority in OST salaries and expenses.

The conferees direct the Secretary to immediately resume collecting, processing, and disseminating the motor carrier financial and operating statistics survey (Form M data), as was in effect in the Department prior to October 1, 2004, and to provide a report within 30 days of enactment of this Act to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that identifies the agency responsible for the survey and the funds to be allocated to the survey in fiscal year 2006.
The conferees direct that up to $500,000 of the funds provided to the Office of the Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy be used for an independent forensic audit of expenses and payments made under the essential air service (EAS) program, as directed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not provide funds for an EAS audit to be conducted by the National Academy of Public Administration, as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees deny the funding requested by the Department for contractor support for oversight of credit programs. Further, the conferees direct the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs to submit a report detailing initiatives to improve the management and reduce the risk of the Department's credit programs and to provide this report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not later than March 1, 2006, as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees direct the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), to establish procedures not less than 60 days after the enactment of this Act to ensure that proper precautions are taken by airports and air carriers to recognize and prevent the spread of avian flu, as directed by the Senate.

The provision relating to Love Field, Texas, that was proposed by the Senate is addressed in the Title I administrative provisions.

The conferees once again urge the Department and the FAA to make it their highest priority to consider allocating permanent slots at LaGuardia Airport, as allowable under 49 U.S.C. 41716(b), to allow the communities of Akron-Canton, Ohio, and Newport News-Williamsburg, Virginia, to each have permanent third roundtrips to LaGuardia with stage III aircraft with no less than 110 and no more than 125 seats.

The conference agreement provides $8,550,000 for the office of civil rights as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement provides $15,000,000 for transportation planning, research and development as proposed by the Senate and instead of $9,030,000 as proposed by the House. Adjustments to the budget request are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballast Water Study—UWS</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware State University Hydrogen Storage Research</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT privacy assessment</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Transportation Institute, University of Missouri—Rolla</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovative Materials Research at Lawrence Tech University, Southfield, MI</td>
<td>$1,175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Commercial Vehicle Safety Enforcement Technology Initiative, MI</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Transportation Research, Mississippi State University</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Domain Awareness Pilot Project, WA</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Fire and Safety Association, WA</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Manufacturing Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI</td>
<td>$1,175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVTA Hydrogen Bus or PVTA Electric Bus</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Improvement Association of Oakland County, MI</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Laboratory at the Detroit Science Center, Detroit, MI</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTI Pipeline Safety Research</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW Superior—STARTS</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The conference agreement does not provide funds to support the orderly discontinuation of Amtrak’s mail and express service. The conference agreement also does not hold any funds within this account in reserve to carry out directed service should Amtrak cease operations.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

The conference agreement includes a limitation of $118,014,000 for working capital fund activities instead of $120,014,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

MINORITY BUSINESS RESOURCE CENTER PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides an appropriation of $900,000 for the administrative expenses of the minority business resource center program and limits loans made under the program to $18,367,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

MINORITY BUSINESS OUTREACH

The conference agreement provides $3,000,000 for minority business outreach as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

PAYMENTS TO AIR CARRIERS

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $60,000,000 for payments to air carriers to be derived from the trust fund as proposed by the Senate, instead of $54,000,000 as proposed by the House. In addition to these funds, the program will receive $50,000,000 in mandatory spending pursuant to the Federal Aviation Authorization Act of 1996, resulting in a program budget of $110,000,000.

The conference agreement includes bill language, as proposed by the House, which allows the secretary to take into consideration the subsidy requirements of carriers when selecting between carriers competing to provide service to a community.

Should the total amount of overflight fees collected not be sufficient to meet all the funding needs of the program in the fiscal year, then the secretary is authorized to transfer funds from the available balances of any program appropriated to, or directly administered by the office of the secretary to the essential air service program. The conferees direct the office of the secretary to consult with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations if such a transfer is necessary and identify the source of the funds of said transfer subject to normal reprogramming guidelines.

The provisions relating to the transfer of funds to and from the FAA for the EAS program, as proposed by the House, are addressed in the FAA administrative provisions, as proposed by the Senate.
NEW HEADQUARTERS BUILDING

The conference agreement provides $50,000,000 for continued construction and buildout of the new headquarters building as proposed by the Senate and instead of $55,000,000 as proposed by the House.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONS

The conference agreement includes $8,186,000,000 for operations of the Federal Aviation Administration instead of $8,396,920,000 as proposed by the House and $8,176,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the total amount provided, $5,541,000,000 is to be derived from the airport and airway trust fund instead of $4,986,000,000 as proposed by the House and $5,686,500,000 proposed by the Senate. Funds are distributed in the bill by budget activity, as proposed by the Senate.

Contract tower cost-sharing.—The bill specifies $7,500,000 for continuation of the contract tower cost-sharing program as proposed by the House and Senate.

Transfers between budget activities.—The conference agreement retains Senate language that allows transfers of no greater than two percent from any budget activity, excluding aviation regulation and certification, to any budget activity. Transfers of more than two percent are subject to reprogramming procedures contained in this Act.

Flight service station transition costs.—The conference agreement provides an additional $150,000,000 for flight service station transition costs as proposed by the Senate, instead of $91,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The following table compares the conference agreement to the President’s budget request and the levels proposed in the House and Senate bills by budget activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Activity</th>
<th>House bill</th>
<th>Senate bill</th>
<th>Conference agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Traffic Organization</td>
<td>$6,647,305,000</td>
<td>$6,647,305,000</td>
<td>$6,647,305,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Tower base program</td>
<td>3,200,000</td>
<td>2,710,000</td>
<td>3,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract tower cost-sharing program</td>
<td>395,000</td>
<td>395,000</td>
<td>395,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration of ARA</td>
<td>$222,171,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of MOUs and MOAs</td>
<td>-500,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTS Aviation Statistics</td>
<td>-4,000,000</td>
<td>-4,000,000</td>
<td>-4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualization Adjustment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2,000,000</td>
<td>-2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Handoff</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-17,000,000</td>
<td>-17,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alien Species Action Plan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY/NJ Airspace Redesign</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(2,000,000)</td>
<td>(2,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Recommended</td>
<td>6,424,229,000</td>
<td>6,627,010,000</td>
<td>6,629,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Service Stations Transition</td>
<td>91,000,000</td>
<td>150,000,000</td>
<td>150,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Recommended</td>
<td>91,000,000</td>
<td>150,000,000</td>
<td>150,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Acquisition</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration of office funding</td>
<td>222,171,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Recommended</td>
<td>222,171,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation Regulation and Certification/Aviation Safety</td>
<td>941,742,000</td>
<td>941,742,000</td>
<td>941,742,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Standards Safety inspectors</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Certification Service</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and Security Analytics</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Aerial Application support system</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certification of upset training program</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>House bill</td>
<td>Senate bill</td>
<td>Conference agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Intervention and Motivation Study</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medallion Program</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter air service safety program</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Space Transportation</td>
<td>11,759,000</td>
<td>11,759,000</td>
<td>11,759,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount recommended</td>
<td>50,983,000</td>
<td>50,983,000</td>
<td>50,983,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel adjustments</td>
<td>-400,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount recommended</td>
<td>50,583,000</td>
<td>50,983,000</td>
<td>50,983,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resource Management</td>
<td>69,943,000</td>
<td>69,943,000</td>
<td>69,943,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount recommended</td>
<td>69,943,000</td>
<td>69,943,000</td>
<td>69,943,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region and Center Operations</td>
<td>150,744,000</td>
<td>150,744,000</td>
<td>150,744,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount recommended</td>
<td>150,744,000</td>
<td>150,744,000</td>
<td>150,744,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Offices</td>
<td>141,909,000</td>
<td>141,909,000</td>
<td>141,909,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel adjustments</td>
<td>-1,572,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Recommended</td>
<td>140,337,000</td>
<td>140,337,000</td>
<td>140,337,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Services</td>
<td>36,612,000</td>
<td>36,612,000</td>
<td>36,612,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-gov adjustment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-500,000</td>
<td>-500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount recommended</td>
<td>36,612,000</td>
<td>36,112,000</td>
<td>36,112,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account-wide Adjustments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel compensation and benefits</td>
<td>-8,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfilled executive positions</td>
<td>-5,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital Fund</td>
<td>-1,500,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undistributed reduction</td>
<td>-14,500,000</td>
<td>-18,702,000</td>
<td>-13,083,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount recommended</td>
<td>8,133,920,000</td>
<td>8,176,500,000</td>
<td>8,186,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National airspace redesign.—The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 and language proposed by the Senate regarding the use of funds for the national airspace redesign project in the New York/New Jersey metropolitan area. The conferees agree to House language that no funds made available under this appropriation may be used to prepare the Environmental Impact Statement for the redesign of the New York/New Jersey/Philadelphia regional airspace, or to conduct any work as part of the review of the redesign project conducted under the National Environmental Policy Act and related laws, as long as the FAA fails to consider noise mitigation. Further, none of the funds made available for this purpose shall be reprogrammed by the FAA to other activities, including airspace redesign not directly related to New York, New Jersey, and Philadelphia airspace redesign.

Safety inspectors.—The conferees provide a total of $683,845,000 for flight standards safety inspectors and $162,271,000 for aircraft certification services to address staffing reductions. This funding level represents an increase over the budget request of $8,000,000 for flight standards safety inspectors and $4,000,000 for aircraft certification services.

The conference agreement modifies reporting requirements proposed by the House and Senate regarding safety inspector staffing in the offices of flight standards and aircraft certification, and directs FAA to submit semi-annual reports in fiscal year 2006 identifying baseline staffing levels, staffing goals, number of new hires on board, number of new hires in the pipeline, and the use of funds provided for these offices.
Information services.—The conference agreement provides $36,112,000 for information services, as proposed by the Senate, and modifies Senate language directing that no funds be transferred to another agency in support of the e-gov initiative without prior notification of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

On-airport mobile refuelers.—The conferees recommend that the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) work with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to establish reasonable methods of compliance for the EPA’s Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) requirements as they relate to on-airport mobile refuelers.

On-airport mobile refueling vehicles already incorporate significant spill prevention protections. The design of refuelers is regulated by DOT and incorporates numerous safety systems, including emergency cut-off switches, interlock systems, and over-fill prevention devices for minimizing the potential for spills. In addition, the FAA extensively regulates the operations of mobile refuelers and other ground vehicles at airports to ensure safe operations. Moreover, mobile refueler operations at airports are subject to EPA regulations governing stormwater discharges, and airports have response plans in place to address potential spills. The conferees urge DOT and EPA to work together to ensure that the regulations do not impose unreasonable cost burdens on the operators of the refueling vehicles.

Non-precision GPS approaches.—The conference agreement includes up to $5,000,000 for development of additional approaches and flight procedures at non-part 139 certified airports.

Air charter safety management system.—The conference agreement provides $1,000,000 for the government and industry cooperative program to improve safety for America’s Part 135 on-demand air taxi industry. This program provides proactive tools for industry participants to prevent accidents and to improve and measure safety management by Part 135 on-demand air carriers.

Department of Defense schools.—FAA organizations have traditionally staffed their overseas facilities with employees who have return rights to the U.S. mainland, making their dependents qualified to attend DOD schools. In 2004, FAA reviewed the eligibility of FAA employees in Puerto Rico and determined that many were ineligible to attend these schools. The majority of employees in Puerto Rico are local hires or employees that have stayed so long that they have forfeited their return rights.

The FAA worked with DOD and its employees to allow the dependents of ineligible employees to enroll for one more year under a “good cause” continuation for the 2004–2005 school year. After FAA’s determination in June 2004, another “good cause” extension was requested from DOD for the 2005–2006 school year. The conferees understand that the second extension was granted to provide adequate time to plan for the 2007 school year. FAA should continue to provide DOD school access for the dependents of eligible employees, consistent with its policies. Further, the conferees direct that the FAA provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 15, 2006 detailing the justification for its determination, assistance it provided to employees deter-
mined as ineligible, and the tuition expenses that are provided for all FAA dependents living outside of the U.S.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement includes $2,540,000,000 instead of $3,053,000,000 as proposed by the House and $2,448,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the total amount available, $429,210,500 is available until September 30, 2006, and $2,110,789,500 is available until September 30, 2008. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate directing FAA to transmit a detailed five-year capital investment plan to Congress with its fiscal year 2007 budget submission.

The following table provides a breakdown of the House and Senate bills and the conference agreement by program:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MLI</th>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>FY 2008 Estimate</th>
<th>House bill</th>
<th>Senate bill</th>
<th>Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2916</td>
<td>Terminal Radar (ORP) - Improvements</td>
<td>$892,700</td>
<td>$892,700</td>
<td>$892,700</td>
<td>$892,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2917</td>
<td>Terminal Communications-Improvements</td>
<td>$1,483,000</td>
<td>$1,483,000</td>
<td>$1,483,000</td>
<td>$1,483,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated Capital and Marketing System</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal - Terminal Programs</strong></td>
<td>$2,375,700</td>
<td>$2,375,700</td>
<td>$2,375,700</td>
<td>$2,375,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2921</td>
<td>Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS)</td>
<td>$4,006,000</td>
<td>$4,006,000</td>
<td>$4,006,000</td>
<td>$4,006,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2922</td>
<td>FAA Operational and Supportability Improvement Program (OASI)</td>
<td>$14,203,000</td>
<td>$14,203,000</td>
<td>$14,203,000</td>
<td>$14,203,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2923</td>
<td>Flight Service Station (FSS) Modernization</td>
<td>$1,889,000</td>
<td>$1,889,000</td>
<td>$1,889,000</td>
<td>$1,889,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong> - Flight Service Programs</td>
<td>$15,208,000</td>
<td>$15,208,000</td>
<td>$15,208,000</td>
<td>$15,208,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2927</td>
<td>VHF Omnidirectional Radio Range (VOR) with Distance Measuring Equipment (DME)</td>
<td>$9,995,000</td>
<td>$9,995,000</td>
<td>$9,995,000</td>
<td>$9,995,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2928</td>
<td>Instrument Landing System (ILS) Display/Exhibit</td>
<td>$8,299,000</td>
<td>$8,299,000</td>
<td>$8,299,000</td>
<td>$8,299,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2931</td>
<td>Weather Area Augmentation System (WAAS) for GPS</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2935</td>
<td>Primary Visual Range (PVR)</td>
<td>$6,995,000</td>
<td>$6,995,000</td>
<td>$6,995,000</td>
<td>$6,995,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2945</td>
<td>Navigation and Landing Aid-Improves</td>
<td>$2,567,000</td>
<td>$2,567,000</td>
<td>$2,567,000</td>
<td>$2,567,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2949</td>
<td>Approach Lighting System Improvements (ALSIP)</td>
<td>$5,555,000</td>
<td>$5,555,000</td>
<td>$5,555,000</td>
<td>$5,555,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2957</td>
<td>Distance Measuring Equipment (DME)</td>
<td>$1,829,000</td>
<td>$1,829,000</td>
<td>$1,829,000</td>
<td>$1,829,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2960</td>
<td>Aerial Navigation/Expanded Enroute Network (AN/ENR)</td>
<td>$5,850,000</td>
<td>$5,850,000</td>
<td>$5,850,000</td>
<td>$5,850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2970</td>
<td>Instrument Approach Procedures/Landing Aids (IP/ILA)</td>
<td>$3,095,000</td>
<td>$3,095,000</td>
<td>$3,095,000</td>
<td>$3,095,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2971</td>
<td>FAA Replacement (Project with President’s Approval) Multi-Initiator</td>
<td>$5,595,000</td>
<td>$5,595,000</td>
<td>$5,595,000</td>
<td>$5,595,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL - Landing &amp; Navigation Aids</strong></td>
<td>$21,803,000</td>
<td>$21,803,000</td>
<td>$21,803,000</td>
<td>$21,803,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2981</td>
<td>Fire Fighting Tank Replacement and Monitoring</td>
<td>$6,700,000</td>
<td>$6,700,000</td>
<td>$6,700,000</td>
<td>$6,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2982</td>
<td>FAA Sidestrip and Equipment</td>
<td>$15,450,000</td>
<td>$15,450,000</td>
<td>$15,450,000</td>
<td>$15,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2991</td>
<td>Critical Power and Systems Support/Protection</td>
<td>$4,103,000</td>
<td>$4,103,000</td>
<td>$4,103,000</td>
<td>$4,103,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2994</td>
<td>Air Navigation and ATC Facilities/Local Projects</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2995</td>
<td>Aircraft Replacement Program</td>
<td>$22,203,000</td>
<td>$22,203,000</td>
<td>$22,203,000</td>
<td>$22,203,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2996</td>
<td>Airport Cables (Conduit System (UAS and Support)</td>
<td>$5,995,000</td>
<td>$5,995,000</td>
<td>$5,995,000</td>
<td>$5,995,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3017</td>
<td>Aeronautics Data Facility Communication System (ADACS)</td>
<td>$269,000</td>
<td>$269,000</td>
<td>$269,000</td>
<td>$269,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL - OTHER ATC FACILITIES</strong></td>
<td>$89,300,000</td>
<td>$89,300,000</td>
<td>$89,300,000</td>
<td>$89,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL ACTIVITY 3</strong></td>
<td>$1,415,798,000</td>
<td>$1,415,798,000</td>
<td>$1,415,798,000</td>
<td>$1,415,798,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activity 4 - Mission Support

- 2951 Airspace Center Infrastructure Modernization
- 2962 National Aeronautics System (NAS) Training Facilities
- 2973 Simulation Training
- **SUBTOTAL - Training Equipment & Facilities**

**Activity 4**

- $118,200,000
- $118,200,000
- $118,200,000
- $118,200,000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLI</th>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>FY 2006 Estimate</th>
<th>House bill</th>
<th>Senate bill</th>
<th>Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4A01</td>
<td>System Engineering and Development Support</td>
<td>$32,240,000</td>
<td>$32,240,000</td>
<td>$27,595,000</td>
<td>$27,595,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A02</td>
<td>Safety Management System</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A03</td>
<td>Program Support Leases</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A04</td>
<td>Logistic Support Services (LSS)</td>
<td>$9,700,000</td>
<td>$9,700,000</td>
<td>$9,700,000</td>
<td>$9,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A05</td>
<td>Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center Leases</td>
<td>$13,500,000</td>
<td>$13,500,000</td>
<td>$13,500,000</td>
<td>$13,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A06</td>
<td>Transition Engineering Support</td>
<td>$24,000,000</td>
<td>$24,000,000</td>
<td>$24,000,000</td>
<td>$24,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A07</td>
<td>Frequency and Spectrum Engineering</td>
<td>$6,100,000</td>
<td>$8,600,000</td>
<td>$8,100,000</td>
<td>$8,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A08</td>
<td>Permanent Change of Station (PCS) Moves</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A09</td>
<td>Technical Support Services Contract (TSSC)</td>
<td>$33,000,000</td>
<td>$33,000,000</td>
<td>$33,000,000</td>
<td>$33,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A10</td>
<td>Center for Advanced Aviation System Development (CAASD)</td>
<td>$69,600,000</td>
<td>$69,600,000</td>
<td>$77,800,000</td>
<td>$77,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A11</td>
<td>NOTAMS and Aeronautical Information Programs</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A12</td>
<td>Flight Service Facilities - Improve</td>
<td>$1,183,100</td>
<td>$1,183,100</td>
<td>$1,183,100</td>
<td>$1,183,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total - Activity 4: $247,803,100

Activity 5 - Personnel Compensation, Benefits and Travel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLI</th>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>FY 2006 Estimate</th>
<th>House bill</th>
<th>Senate bill</th>
<th>Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5A01</td>
<td>Personnel and Related Expenses</td>
<td>$435,000,000</td>
<td>$435,000,000</td>
<td>$423,421,000</td>
<td>$429,210,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accountwide Adjustment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2006 Estimate</th>
<th>House bill</th>
<th>Senate bill</th>
<th>Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accountwide Adjustment</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$447,400,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRAND TOTAL $2,448,000,000 $3,053,000,000 $2,448,000,000 $2,540,000,000
Advanced technology development and prototyping.—The conference agreement includes $68,210,000 for advanced technology development and prototyping instead of $41,460,000 as proposed by the House and $75,960,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table compares the conference agreement to the House and Senate bills by budget activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>House</th>
<th>Senate</th>
<th>Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runway Incursion</td>
<td>$7,100,000</td>
<td>$7,100,000</td>
<td>$7,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation System Capacity Improvement (ASCI)</td>
<td>6,500,000</td>
<td>6,500,000</td>
<td>6,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation Standards</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Aviation and Vertical Flight Technology</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Concept Validation</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Requirements</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Skies</td>
<td>3,400,000</td>
<td>3,400,000</td>
<td>3,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Safety Assessment</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake Turbulence</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Management Laboratory</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Profiling in Juneau, AK</td>
<td>3,160,000</td>
<td>3,160,000</td>
<td>3,160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Cooperative Research Program</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS Anti-jam technologies</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fogeye</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Technologies to reduce ASR</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Object Infrastructure Technology</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Technology Program</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17,500,000</td>
<td>17,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airfield Pavements Research</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runway Obstruction Warning System</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41,460,000</td>
<td>75,960,000</td>
<td>68,210,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Airport pavement research.—Of the funds provided, $4,000,000 is for the airfield improvement program authorized under section 905 of Public Law 106–181.

Safe Flight 21.—The conference agreement includes $42,950,000 for Safe Flight 21, of which $10,000,000 is to augment ADS–B funding. The conferees direct the FAA to submit a spend plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 30 days of enactment.

En route automation.—The conference agreement provides $333,550,000 for en route automation. FAA is given the discretion to allocate the reduction of $8,000,000 among projects within this program.

Airport surface detection equipment—Model X (ASDE–X).—The conference agreement provides $30,200,000 for ASDE–X, instead of $27,200,000 proposed by both the House and Senate. The conferees note that the ASDE–X deployment schedule has slipped by two years. Although the FAA recently announced deployment at 15 major airports, the conferees remain concerned about runway incursions and provide an additional $3,000,000 to expedite installation and deployment of ASDE–X equipment.

Airport traffic control tower TRACON facilities.—The conferees provide $44,233,830, instead of $51,469,000 as proposed by the House and Senate. The reduction is due to the prohibition of ARAC consolidation into the Oklahoma City TRACON.

Houston Area Air Traffic System (HAATS).—The conference agreement provides $10,200,000 for the HAATS.

DOD/FAA facilities transfer.—The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 to continue the FAA contribution for operation of
the airport radar approach control at Lawton/Fort Sill Regional Airport in Oklahoma.

Integrated control and monitoring system (ICMS).—The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for ICMS. Although the system has been operating successfully at six airports, the FAA is conducting an operational safety assessment of ICMS. The conferees concur with the FAA’s decision to spend no more than $500,000 on this assessment, and the FAA used a portion of the fiscal year 2005 appropriation for this purpose. The conferees expect FAA to obligate the funding within three months of enactment of this Act.

Terminal automation modernization replacement (TAMR).—The conference agreement provides $20,000,000 for modernization of display systems replacement at two terminal radar approach control facilities and their associated air traffic control towers. The funding level is consistent with the budget request; however, the conference agreement provides funds under TAMR instead of the terminal automation program. The conferees note that on November 9, 2005, FAA requested interested companies with automation systems in the NAS for descriptions of their systems. The notice includes four critical sites: Chicago, Illinois; Denver, Colorado; St. Louis, Missouri; and Minneapolis—St. Paul, Minnesota, with an expected award date of January 2007. The sites were identified by the FAA as critical to upgrade. The conferees are concerned that the competition for the replacement of these four aging systems, which is only being offered to a limited number of vendors, is expected to take up to 15 months. The conferees encourage FAA to expedite consideration of proposals and make an award or awards, as the case may be, as soon as possible. In order to best address the emergency needs of each site in the most timely and efficient manner, the FAA is encouraged to independently evaluate bids based on the unique circumstances and situations at each location. Furthermore, the conferees note that if the FAA determines that air traffic control equipment at other facilities poses a critical safety risk, the conferees would consider and promptly respond to a request to reprogram funds to accommodate additional facilities if safety critical arise.

Terminal automation program.—The conference agreement provides $24,300,000 for the terminal automation program instead of $64,300,000 as proposed by the House and $39,300,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement transferred $20,000,000 provided by both the House and Senate to TAMR to reflect that FAA will complete the display modernization. The funding level includes a total of $22,000,000 to continue to sustain software at the busiest facilities in the NAS.

Terminal air traffic control facilities replacement.—The conference agreement provides $124,800,000 for this program. Funds shall be distributed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Conference agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Field, Dallas, Texas</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battle Creek, Michigan</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billings, Montana</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boise, Idaho</td>
<td>7,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broomfield, Colorado</td>
<td>1,220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champaign, Illinois</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, Ohio</td>
<td>18,225,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spokane Tower.—The conferees include $3,000,000 for the demolition or relocation of seven buildings that are in the line-of-sight of the new air traffic control tower currently under construction. The conferees also understand that the need to build additional duct banks and other costs will require additional resources in fiscal year 2006 in order for the tower to be commissioned on August 27, 2007. The conferees direct the FAA to utilize unobligated balances within the tower program to fully cover these additional costs.

Wide area augmentation system (WAAS).—The conferees provide $93,000,000 for WAAS, instead of $110,000,000 as proposed in the House and $98,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the funds provided, no less than $5,000,000 is for approaches at airports without an existing ILS. The conferees note that an additional $10,000,000 was provided for WAAS in a reprogramming letter dated September 20, 2005.

Chicago O’Hare.—The conferees remain concerned that congestion and delays at major hubs impact the national airways system. Improving overall efficiency through investment in technology and procedures will increase capacity while long-term solutions to airport congestion continue to be developed. The conferees support and encourage the FAA to make the following improvements to operations at O’Hare International Airport: (1) expeditiously install a multilateration system and accelerate deployment of the ASDE–X radar system to improve ground handling of aircraft and (2) redesign the descent corridor airspace and implement new RNAV arrivals and idle descents.

Detroit Metro Airport, Michigan.—Detroit Metro Airport was identified as a candidate airport where FAA should consider installation of the precision runway monitor (PRM) system to improve airport capacity in inclement weather conditions. Since then, FAA has begun to limit PRM deployment in favor of multilateration technology. Since the need for capacity improvements at Detroit
Metro remains valid and FAA is moving away from PRM deployments, the conferees provide $6,000,000 to develop and implement multilateration technology at this airport.

Approach lighting system improvement program.—The conference agreement provides $9,000,000 for the approach lighting system improvement program. Of the amount provided, $3,000,000 is to continue the program of providing lighting systems at rural airfields throughout Alaska, and $1,000,000 is for a medium-intensity approach lighting system replacement (MALSR) for Lee Gilmer Airport in Gainesville, Georgia. The conferees do not include House language directing $5,000,000 to procure MALSR equipment, as a significant number of procured systems have not been installed. Further, the conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding issuing new MALSR specifications.

Instrument landing system establishment.—The conference agreement provides $19,850,000 for the instrument landing system establishment. Funds shall be distributed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood, Florida</td>
<td>Install previously procured approach lighting system (runway 31).</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach, California</td>
<td>Install previously procured approach lighting system (runway 25R).</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartsfield-Jackson International, Georgia</td>
<td>Acquire and install replacement LPDME</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keokuk Municipal, Iowa</td>
<td>Install previously acquired glide scope</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klawock, Alaska</td>
<td>Install previously acquired ILS</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCook Municipal, Nebraska</td>
<td>Phase II installation of glide slope and PAPI system</td>
<td>$675,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Nebraska (Scottsbluff) Regional,</td>
<td>Acquire and install glide slope and MALSR</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAllen-Miller Airport, Texas</td>
<td>Acquire and install ILS</td>
<td>$1,623,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset Airport, Kentucky</td>
<td>Installation of previously acquired ILS</td>
<td>$1,525,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leesburg Executive Airport, Virginia</td>
<td>Installation of previously acquired ILS</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozark Regional Airport, Arkansas</td>
<td>Final phase of ILS installation</td>
<td>$577,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the conference agreement includes $4,400,000 for the cost sharing initiative and $600,000 for the FAA to conduct site surveys to determine costs and feasibility for installing instrument landing systems at the following airports: Reno/Tahoe International, Nevada; University Park, Pennsylvania; Aiken Municipal, South Carolina; Wendover, Utah; Menomonie Municipal—Score Field, Wisconsin; and Taylor County, Wisconsin.

Frequency and spectrum engineering.—The conference agreement includes $8,600,000 for frequency and spectrum engineering, of which $2,500,000 is for the national airspace interference detection location and mitigation project.

Center for advanced systems development (CAASD).—The conference agreement provides $77,800,000 for CAASD. The conferees encourage the use of funds in support of simulations and technical analysis to ensure implementation of constant descent arrivals using aircraft based spacing and merging.

Transponder landing system.—The conference agreement does not provide funds for this program.

RESEARCH, ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement provides $138,000,000 for research, engineering, and development instead of $130,000,000 as proposed by the House and $134,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The fol-
lowing table compares the conference agreement to the House and Senate bills by budget activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>House bill</th>
<th>Senate bill</th>
<th>Conference agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve Aviation Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire research and safety</td>
<td>$6,244,000</td>
<td>$6,244,000</td>
<td>$6,244,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propulsion and fuel systems</td>
<td>4,049,000</td>
<td>5,049,000</td>
<td>5,799,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced material/structural safety</td>
<td>2,613,000</td>
<td>3,213,000</td>
<td>5,941,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric hazards/digital system safety</td>
<td>3,441,000</td>
<td>3,441,000</td>
<td>3,441,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging aircraft</td>
<td>19,007,000</td>
<td>20,007,000</td>
<td>20,007,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft catastrophic failure prevention research</td>
<td>3,340,000</td>
<td>3,340,000</td>
<td>3,340,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flightdeck maintenance/system integration human factors</td>
<td>3,340,000</td>
<td>3,340,000</td>
<td>3,340,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation safety risk analysis</td>
<td>4,932,000</td>
<td>4,932,000</td>
<td>4,932,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air traffic control airways facility human factors</td>
<td>9,654,000</td>
<td>9,654,000</td>
<td>9,654,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeromedical research</td>
<td>6,889,000</td>
<td>8,889,000</td>
<td>8,889,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather program—safety</td>
<td>20,582,000</td>
<td>20,582,000</td>
<td>20,582,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint program and development office</td>
<td>18,100,000</td>
<td>17,000,000</td>
<td>18,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake Turbulence</td>
<td>2,296,000</td>
<td>2,296,000</td>
<td>2,296,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce Environment Impacts—Environment and Energy Mission Support</td>
<td>16,008,000</td>
<td>17,008,000</td>
<td>16,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Planning and Resource Management</td>
<td>1,271,000</td>
<td>1,201,000</td>
<td>1,201,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William J. Hughes Technical Center Laboratory Facility</td>
<td>3,393,000</td>
<td>3,393,000</td>
<td>3,393,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>130,000,000</td>
<td>134,500,000</td>
<td>138,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Propulsion and fuel systems.**—Of the funds provided, $500,000 is to continue the evaluation of molecular markers for detecting the adulteration or dilution of jet fuel; $300,000 is for research into modifying general aviation piston engines to enable their safe operation using unleaded aviation fuel; $500,000 is for research into aviation grade ethanol fuels at South Dakota State University; $400,000 for the Center of Excellence for General Aviation research; and $750,000 is for simulation of containment of airplane engine failure at the George Washington University in Virginia.

**Advanced materials/structural safety.**—Of the funds provided, $4,000,000 is for research and equipment at the National Institute for Aviation Research at Wichita State University and $400,000 is for advanced materials research at the University of Washington.

**Aging Aircraft.**—Of the funds provided, $1,000,000 is for the Center for Aviation Systems Reliability; $1,265,000 is for the Aging Aircraft Nondestructive Inspection Validation Center; $1,000,000 is for the National Institute for Aviation Research; $1,325,000 is for Center for Aviation Research and Aerospace Technology; and $100,000 is for the Center of Excellence for General Aviation Research.

**Flightdeck safety/systems integration.**—Of the funds provided, $235,000 is to continue developing in-flight simulator training for commercial pilots at the Flight Research Training Center.

**Aeromedical research.**—Of the funds provided, $2,000,000 is to continue studies related to cabin air quality to be conducted by the Center of Excellence for Cabin Environment Research.
GRANTS-IN-AID FOR AIRPORTS
(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement includes a liquidating cash appropriation of $3,399,000,000 instead of $3,600,000,000 as proposed by the House, and $3,390,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Obligation limitation.—The conferees agree to an obligation limitation of $3,550,000,000 for the “Grants-in-aid for airports” program, instead of $3,600,000,000 as proposed by the House and $3,500,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Administration.—The conference agreement includes a limitation on administrative expenses of $71,096,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Small community air service development program.—The bill includes $10,000,000 under the obligation limitation to continue the small community air service development program instead of $20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House had no similar funding.

Airport cooperative research program.—The bill includes $10,000,000 under the obligation limitation for the airport cooperative research program, as proposed by the House. The Senate provided funds under the facilities and equipment appropriation.

High priority projects.—Of the funds covered by the obligation limitation in this bill, the conferees direct FAA to provide not less than the following funding levels, out of available resources, for the following projects. The conferees agree that state apportionment funds may be construed as discretionary funds for the purposes of implementing this provision. To the maximum extent possible, the administrator should work to ensure that airport sponsors for these projects first use available entitlement funds to finance the projects. However, the FAA should not require sponsors to apply carryover entitlements to discretionary projects funded in the coming year, but only those entitlements applicable to the fiscal year 2006 obligation limitation. The conferees further direct that the specific funding allocated below shall not diminish or prejudice the application of a specific airport or geographic region to receive other AIP discretionary grants or multiyear letters of intent.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sitka Rocky Gutierrez Airport, AK</td>
<td>Design airport improvements</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbeville Municipal Airport, AL</td>
<td>Rehabilitate runways</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntsville International - Jones Field Airport, AL</td>
<td>Construct taxiway L</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Arthur Field Airport, AL</td>
<td>Hangar development</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boone County Regional Airport, AR</td>
<td>Ramp rehabilitation</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texarkana Regional Airport, AR</td>
<td>Construct ARFF building</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Deer Valley Airport, AZ</td>
<td>Land acquisition</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Sky Harbor Airport, AZ</td>
<td>Noise reduction</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Sky Harbor Int'l Airport, AZ</td>
<td>Taxiway reconstruction</td>
<td>3,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Gateway Airport, AZ</td>
<td>Perimeter road construction</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern Valley Airport, CA</td>
<td>Runway extension</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadows Field Airport, CA</td>
<td>Cargo apron</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nut Tree Airport, CA</td>
<td>Airport expansion</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino International Airport, CA</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton Airport, CA</td>
<td>Master plan update</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware Airpark</td>
<td>Construct runway and taxiway system phase III and land acquisition</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale Hollywood International Airport, FL</td>
<td>Broward County automated people mover</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gainesville Regional Airport, FL</td>
<td>Runway and taxiway renovations and various improvements</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville International Airport, FL</td>
<td>Reconstruct terminal apron and taxiway improvements</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami International Airport Runway, FL</td>
<td>Runway rehabilitation</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Coast Regional, FL</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of apron</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augusta Regional Airport, GA</td>
<td>Terminal development program</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene County Regional Airport, GA</td>
<td>Runway and taxiway extension</td>
<td>1,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Georgia Regional Airport, GA</td>
<td>Runway extension</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankeny Regional Airport, IA</td>
<td>Safety improvements, apron and runway expansion and various improvements</td>
<td>3,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Bluffs Municipal Airport, IA</td>
<td>Extend and rehabilitate crosswind runway 14/32</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfield Municipal Airport, IA</td>
<td>Construct runway 18/36</td>
<td>3,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeKalb Taylor Municipal Airport, IL</td>
<td>Land acquisition and development</td>
<td>2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis University Airport, IL</td>
<td>Land acquisition approach protection for runway 9/27</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad City International, IL</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Illinois Airport, IL</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waukegan Regional Airport, IL</td>
<td>Environmental impact study (EIS) for runway extension project</td>
<td>1,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary/Chicago Airport, IN</td>
<td>Runway safety area improvements, rail relocation project</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence Municipal Airport, KS</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa Municipal Airport, KS</td>
<td>Apron reconstruction</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkley Regional Airport, KY</td>
<td>Runway improvements</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisville International - Standiford Field, KY</td>
<td>Noise mitigation</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset Airport, KY</td>
<td>Kit Cowan Road relocation</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport, LA</td>
<td>Rehabilitate taxiway lighting and various improve</td>
<td>3,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houma-Terrebonne Airport, LA</td>
<td>Widen taxiway</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Airport, LA</td>
<td>Construct taxiway B, phase 2</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe Regional Airport, LA</td>
<td>New passager terminal</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans International Airport, LA</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore-Washington International, MD</td>
<td>Airport improvements</td>
<td>875,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn-Lewiston Municipal Airport, ME</td>
<td>Construct parallel taxiway</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LifeFlight Airport, Bangor, ME</td>
<td>LifeFlight heipad improvements</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol City Airport, MI</td>
<td>Runway extension project</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Metropolitan Airport, MI</td>
<td>Glycol force main</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Metropolitan Airport, MI</td>
<td>Capacity enhancements - runway; environmental</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Metropolitan Airport, MI</td>
<td>Capacity preservation - runway</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huron County Memorial Airport, MI</td>
<td>Land Acquisition and various improvements</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalamazoo/Battle Creek Airport, MI</td>
<td>Airport terminal</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manistee County Blacker Airport, MI</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport, MN</td>
<td>Terminal and apron improvements</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson City Airport, MO</td>
<td>Extend taxiways</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico Memorial Airport, MO</td>
<td>Jet fuel improvements and terminal renovations</td>
<td>190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moberly Airport, MO</td>
<td>Airport improvements</td>
<td>1,940,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Airport, MO</td>
<td>Runway Rehabilitation</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield Branson Airport, MO</td>
<td>Replacement Terminal Construction</td>
<td>2,670,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Campbell Field, MS</td>
<td>Construct terminal and access road</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corinth-Alcorn County Airport, MS</td>
<td>Land acquisition</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Triangle Regional Airport, MS</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulfport-Biloxi International Airport, MS</td>
<td>Perimeter Road and taxiway rehabilitation</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iuka Airport, MS</td>
<td>Runway and facility improvements</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Evers International Airport, MS</td>
<td>Airfield improvements</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunica Airport, MS</td>
<td>Runway extension, lighting, and ILS</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billings Logan International Airport, MT</td>
<td>Runway</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concord Regional Airport, NC</td>
<td>Runway and various improvements</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halifax-Northampton Regional Airport, NC</td>
<td>Construct new runway</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston County Airport, NC</td>
<td>Runway extension</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe Airport, NC</td>
<td>Runway expansion</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockingham County Airport, NC</td>
<td>Land acquisition and various improvements</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowan County Airport, NC</td>
<td>Land acquisition</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanly County Airport, NC</td>
<td>Land acquisition</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statesville Airport, NC</td>
<td>Runway extension and environmental assessment</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington International Airport, NC</td>
<td>Rehabilitate runway 6/24</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bismarck Municipal Airport, ND</td>
<td>Rehabilitate/expand apron and taxiway</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devils Lake Municipal Airport, ND</td>
<td>Perimeter Fence, ARFF Building, taxiway extension and</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>various improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamestown Municipal Airport, ND</td>
<td>Perimeter Fence, ARFF Building, taxiway extension and</td>
<td>1,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>various improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Nebraska Regional Airport, NE</td>
<td>Airport improvements</td>
<td>1,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Municipal Airport, NM</td>
<td>Crosswind runway</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Buffalo International Airport, NY</td>
<td>Construct glycol treatment reed beds</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Rochester International Airport, NY</td>
<td>Construct taxiway</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Falls International Airport, NY</td>
<td>Construct access road and apron</td>
<td>3,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester County Airport, NY</td>
<td>ILS System upgrade and various improvements</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akron-Canton Regional Airport, OH</td>
<td>Centralized deicing pad and glycol runoff containment facility</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pul-in-Bay Airport, OH</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ohio University Airport, OH</td>
<td>Various improvements</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo Express Airport, OH</td>
<td>Terminal improvements</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardmore Municipal Airport, OK</td>
<td>Rehabilitate Runway 17/35</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.L. Jones, Jr. Airport, OK</td>
<td>Airport improvements</td>
<td>1,225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Woodward Airport, OK</td>
<td>Runway extension and airport improvements</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogue Valley Airport, OR</td>
<td>Terminal improvements</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doylestown Airport, PA</td>
<td>Extend runway cost benefit analysis</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette County Airport Authority, PA</td>
<td>Land acquisition</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia International, PA</td>
<td>Airport improvements</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh International Airport, PA</td>
<td>Glycol collection</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venango Regional Airport, PA</td>
<td>Security upgrades</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillon County Airport, SC</td>
<td>Airport improvements</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Hills Clyde Ice Field, SD</td>
<td>Land acquisition and improvements</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chattanooga Airport, TN</td>
<td>Reconstruction of runway 15/33</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everett Stewart Airport, TN</td>
<td>Runway extension</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashville International Airport, TN</td>
<td>Runway 13-31 Improvements</td>
<td>1,375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Cumberland Regional Airport, TN</td>
<td>Extend runway</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance Airport, TX</td>
<td>Runway extension</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport, TX</td>
<td>Rehabilitate parallel taxiway</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport, TX</td>
<td>Runway 17/35 extension and parallel taxiway extension</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easterwood Airport, TX</td>
<td>Rehabilitate Runway 15/34</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granbury Municipal Airport, TX</td>
<td>Construct new runway 18/36</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Porte Municipal Airport, TX</td>
<td>Rehabilitate taxiway, aircraft parking apron, and install</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waco International, TX</td>
<td>Construct taxiway and airport service road</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaks Interstate Regional Airport, VA</td>
<td>Environmental assessment for new airport</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee County Airport, VA</td>
<td>Construction of partial taxiway</td>
<td>475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish County (Paine Field), WA</td>
<td>Kilo One taxiway ADG-V improvements</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O. Simenstad Municipal Airport, WI</td>
<td>Reconstruct and extend runway, construct parallel taxiway and</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>develop hangar area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Crosse Municipal Airport, WI</td>
<td>Construct taxiway F</td>
<td>1,325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitowoc County Airport, WI</td>
<td>Reconstruction of runway 17/35</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Municipal Airport, WI</td>
<td>Construct parallel taxiways, hangar area and terminal building</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Richmond Regional Airport, WI</td>
<td>Apron rehabilitation</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Name</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinelander-Oneida County Airport, WI</td>
<td>Extend and reconstruct runway 15/33</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Lake Regional Airport, WI</td>
<td>Strengthen primary runway and parallel taxiway</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheboygan County Memorial Airport, WI</td>
<td>Land Acquisition; construct primary runway extension</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor County Airport, WI</td>
<td>Expand runway</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV Statewide</td>
<td>Airport improvements</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The conference agreement includes a rescission of contract authority of $1,032,000,000 instead of $469,000,000 proposed by the House and $1,174,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Section 101 retains a provision authorizing airports to transfer instrument landing systems and other equipment purchased with federal airport grants to the FAA, subject to certain conditions, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 102 allows 375 technical staff-years at the Center for Advanced Aviation Systems Development as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 103 prohibits funds for adopting guidelines or regulations requiring airport sponsors to provide FAA “without cost” building construction, maintenance, or space as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 104 retains a Senate provision that permits reimbursement for fees collected and credited under 49 U.S.C. 45303. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Section 105 retains a provision proposed in the Senate bill that allows reimbursement of funds for providing technical assistance to foreign aviation authorities to be credited to the Operations account. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Section 106 retains a provision proposed by the House prohibiting funds to change weight restrictions or prior permission rules at Teterboro Airport in New Jersey. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

Section 107 retains a provision proposed by the House prohibiting funds for engineering work related to an additional runway at Louis Armstrong New Orleans International Airport in Louisiana. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

Section 108 includes a provision as proposed by the House and modifies a Senate provision concerning the continuation and mandatory expansion of the war risk insurance program. The conference agreement extends the existing terms and conditions of the program for one year, until December 31, 2006. The conferees note that, under the provisions of section 106 of Public Law 108–176, the Secretary continues to have the authority to extend war risk insurance to aircraft manufacturers at his discretion. The Senate provision extending the virtual primary airport subsidies is addressed under section 109.

Section 109 modifies a Senate provision regarding extending virtual primary airport subsidies for fiscal year 2006. It is the conferees intent that this will be the last extension for such subsidies.
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The conference agreement limits administrative expenses of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to $364,638,000, as proposed by the Senate instead of $359,529,000 as proposed by the House. This amount assumes funding for six additional full-time equivalent staff years (FTES) to help oversee FHWA major projects, for an overall agency total of 2,430 FTES. The conferees recommend the following adjustment to the budget request by program and activity:

Administrative funding in support of oversight and stewardship activities ........................................... $4,000,000

The conferees direct FHWA to submit with the fiscal year 2007 budget justification a report describing the cost, schedule, funding, and technical status of all major projects and an explanation of significant risks to costs, schedules, funding or technical issues.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement limits obligations for the federal-aid highways program to $36,032,343,903 instead of $36,287,100,000 as proposed by the House and $40,194,259,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes bill language, as proposed by the House, which allows the Secretary to charge and collect fees from the applicant for a direct loan, guaranteed loan, or line of credit to cover the cost of the financial and legal analyses performed on behalf of the Department as authorized under section 605(b) of title 23, United States Code. The fees so collected are not subject to any obligation limitation or the limitation on administrative expenses set for the infrastructure finance program under section 608 of title 23, United States Code.

The conferees recognize the importance of permitting States to use transportation enhancement funds for historic preservation. The conferees direct the FHWA to continue approving the use of transportation enhancement funds for the preservation or restoration of historic courthouses when there is a linkage to transportation, consistent with past practices.

LIMITATION ON TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH

The conference agreement includes a general limitation on transportation research of $429,800,000 instead of $485,000,000 as proposed by the House and $408,491,420 as proposed by the Senate. Within this level, the conference agreement includes funding for the following activities:

Surface transportation research, development, deployment program $196,400,000
Training and education program .............................................. 26,700,000
Bureau of Transportation Statistics ....................................... 27,000,000
University transportation research ....................................... 69,700,000
Intelligent transportation systems research ...................... 110,000,000
BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS

Under the obligation limitation of the FHWA and within the sublimitation for transportation research, the conference agreement provides $27,000,000 for the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS). Since the passage of the Norman Y. Mineta Research and Special Programs Improvement Act, Public Law 108–426, on November 30, 2004, BTS is a part of the Research and Innovative Technology Administration (RITA) within the Department. Accordingly, additional information regarding BTS is included in the RITA section of this report.

FERRY BOATS AND FERRY TERMINAL FACILITIES

Within the funds available for ferry boats and ferry terminal facilities, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beale Street Landing/Docking Facility, Memphis, TN</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport High Speed Ferry, CT</td>
<td>2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware Ferry Terminal NJ</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry Boat New Construction, WA</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferryboat Vessel Acquisition, Erie, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Island Ferry Terminal, Saltaire, NY</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor Commission Car Ferry, Cassville, WI</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homer-Halibut Cove-Jakolof Bay-Seldovia Ferry, AK</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa-Illinois Regional Ferry Service, IA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitsap Transit, purchase a low-wake passenger-only ferry, WA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitsap Transit, Rich-Passage Wake Impact Study, Bremerton, WA</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaGuardia Airport Ferry, NY</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Branch Ferry Pier, NJ</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorain Port Authority Black River Excursion Vessel, OH</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manns Harbor Maintenance Facility, NC</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayport Vessel Replacement, Jacksonville, FL</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City Water Transport System, OK</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Aransas Ferryboat, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement of Kennedy Class Ferries, NY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Bay Area Water Transit Ferry Boat Oyster Point, CA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savannah Water Ferry, GA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Class Ferry, Ocracoke, NC</td>
<td>2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamford High Speed Ferry, CT</td>
<td>2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staten Island Fast Ferry Purchase, NY</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames Shipyard/Cross Sound Ferry Terminal, CT</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vashon Island Passenger Ferry, WA</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRANSPORTATION AND COMMUNITY AND SYSTEM PRESERVATION PROGRAM

Within the funds made available for the transportation and community and system preservation program, funds are to be distributed to the following projects and activities:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridge for Kids, Carbon River Pedestrian Bridge, Orting, WA</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol Chestnut Street Resurfacing, Sidewalks, and Drainage, RI</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn Queens Express Mitigation Study, NY</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Rail Yard Revitalization, NY</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choctaw Roads, MS</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Clinton Downtown Revitalization, MO</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Guin Industrial Commercial Park, AL</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Newburgh, NY</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Parsons Streetscape Improvements, WV</td>
<td>$1,520,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Vermillion Downtown Streetscape Project, SD</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark County Fairgrounds improvements, OH</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Fuel Service Station, NY</td>
<td>$320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colchester, VT Route 15 Streetscape and Pedestrian Crossing Signal, VT</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Train Depot, Columbus, GA</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut River Scenic Byway, MA</td>
<td>$575,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of an elevated rail corridor from Douglas Avenue to 17th Street North, Wichita, KS</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction on Watterson Trail and Plantside Drive, KY</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor Access Management on Route 7/20 Pittsfield/Lenox, MA</td>
<td>$190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Highway A, Douglas County, WI</td>
<td>$1,950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of a pedestrian/bike path, Thompson, CT</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Webster College, NH</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and construction of Portzer Road connector, PA</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Dodge City Core Streetscapes, KS</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Parking Garage in Windham, CT</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension of River Road, KY</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagler Drive Improvement Project, West Palm Beach, FL</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Eustis Gateway Improvements, VA</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Avenue Traffic Improvements, Grover Beach, CA</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville Borough Streetscape Enhancements, PA</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harden Street, SC</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 165 Stuttgart Railroad Overpass, AR</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic District Streetscape and Transportation Improvements, Port Townsend, WA</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes County Trail Enhancements, OH</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeybranch Industrial Park Road, Martin County, KY</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houghton Road Corridor Transportation Initiative, Pima County, AZ</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Computerized Traffic Signal System, TX</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hummelstown East Main Street and Walton Avenue Improvements, PA</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-77, SC</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements to Junction Bridge, AR</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inman Parkway Extension, Beloit, WI</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Improvements near Plato Extension Center, Plano, IL</td>
<td>$755,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon Grove Lighting Project, CA</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln South and West Beltway, NE</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan Southwest Gateway Project, Logan, UT</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Martinsburg Roundhouse Center, WV .......................................................... 680,000
Mason County Tourism Mural Project, WV ........................................... 100,000
Mount Greylock Scenic Byway Road Improvements, MA .................. 1,000,000
Natchez Historical Trail, MS ................................................................. 200,000
National Guard Armory Road, Haleyville, AL ................................... 370,000
New Orleans City Park Roadway Improvements, LA ....................... 1,000,000
Newberg-Dundee Transportation Improvement Project, OR .......... 500,000
North Broadway Streetscape Project, CA ............................................. 100,000
North Hempstead Hybrid Vehicle Fleet, NY ..................................... 275,000
Old Montauk Highway, NY ............................................................... 300,000
Olmsted Parks Historic Bridges, KY .................................................. 200,000
Park Forest Street Lighting, IL ......................................................... 250,000
Pedestrian Tunnel and Trail, Stockbridge, GA .................................. 530,000
Pedestrian Walkway Project, Calimesa, CA ....................................... 1,000,000
Pembroke Rail Feasibility Study, NC .................................................. 150,000
Queen's Medical Center H-1 Off-ramp, HI ........................................ 2,000,000
Reading River Road Extension, PA .................................................. 400,000
Reconstruction of Main Street, Duvall, WA ........................................ 250,000
Resurface San Juan County Road 4990, NM ..................................... 575,000
Resurfacing and sidewalks Chesnut Street, Bristol, RI .................... 500,000
Richmond Downtown Revitalization, MO .......................................... 1,000,000
Robeson County Rail Spur Feasibility Study, NC ............................ 200,000
Safety improvements Fruit Hill Road, Providence, RI ...................... 300,000
Santa Monica Boulevard/Western Intersection Safety Project, CA .... 155,000
Shelby Intermodal Hub, MT ............................................................... 1,000,000
Sidewalk Enhancement, Buckhannon, WV ........................................ 50,000
Sidewalk replacement, Superior, WI ................................................ 225,000
SLU Streetscape Improvements, MO .................................................. 1,500,000
Snow plows for the Mackinac Island State Park Commission, MI .... 225,000
Springfield Downtown Streetscape Improvements, MO .................... 1,000,000
SR-154 Memorial Drive, construct sidewalks, GA ............................ 500,000
St. Louis Central Business District Street Improvements, MO ....... 250,000
Street Improvements, Lower Moreland Township, PA ................... 110,000
Street Road PA Route 132 Expansion Project, PA ............................ 450,000
Swamp Road Improvement Project, PA ............................................. 900,000
Syracuse Connective Corridor, Syracuse, NY .................................. 2,000,000
Tampa Bay regional transportation vision and planning for six county metro area, Pinellas County, FL ............................................................. 100,000
Texas Medical Center Emergency Services Access, TX .................... 750,000
Town of Frisco West Main Street Safety Study, CO ......................... 1,000,000
Town of North Kingstown Main Street Improvements, RI ............... 500,000
Twin Peaks Road Corridor Design and Engineering, AZ .................. 500,000
U.S. Route 150 entrance Gatesburg Logistics Park, IL ..................... 400,000
US 90 Beautification Project, TX ...................................................... 150,000
US Highway 54 Improvements, Kingman County, KS ...................... 500,000
Walnut Grove Ave Traffic Light, Rosemead, CA ............................... 85,000
Washington State Transit Car Sharing Job Access Project, WA................................. 500,000
West City Park Road Resurfacing, Festus, MO......................................................... 160,000
Widening of 20th Street and 20th Avenue, Haleyville, AL.................................. 1,000,000
Winooski East Bicycle and Pedestrian Path in VT............................................. 150,000
Within the funds available for the federal lands program, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14th Street Bridge Corridor Improvements, VA</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-Mile Road Reconstruction, WY</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Line Road Project, Makah Indian Tribe, WA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Teague Parkway Extension, Red River Refuge, LA</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agua Caliente Cultural Museum Road Improvements, CA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Trails Initiative, AK</td>
<td>2,925,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battlefield Parkway Expansion from Kincaid Boulevard to Route 7, Leesburg, VA</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge Access Road, UT</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA Road 27, Blackstone River Bikeway, RI</td>
<td>3,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackstone River Bikeway, RI</td>
<td>3,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluff Street Corridor (SR–18), UT</td>
<td>575,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Harbor Islands, Accessible Floats and Ramps, MA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campobello International Park paving of main road and parking area</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickasaw Museum and Cultural Center, Natchez Trace Parkway, MS</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Rocks Back Country Byway, ID</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Road Grade Separation, Las Vegas, NV</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow’s Neck Environmental Education Center, Tishomingo County, MS</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elm Street Garage Improvements, New Bedford, MA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA Access Road, Montgomery County, MD</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH–24, Banks to Lowman, ID</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Road 235 in Magdalena Ridge, NM</td>
<td>1,165,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Campbell U.S. 41A Force Protection Barrier Project in Fort Camp- bell, KY</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. George Island Traffic Study, FL</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy Parks and Trails, CA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCRH Improvements, Cascade Locks, OR</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoover Dam Bypass Bridge, Arizona and Nevada</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoover Dam Bypass Bridge, AZ</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopi/Navajo Route 60 in Navajo County, AZ</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwy 49–Hwy 7 Connector Road, Ledford County, MS</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyde Park Information and Transportation Center, NV</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements to Turquoise Trail BIA Route 4, AZ</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 580 Freeway Extension, NV</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamestown 2007 Project, VA</td>
<td>1,625,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Highway 117 Environmental Assessment, LA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Mead Parkway Improvements, City of Henderson, NV</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone Pine Dam, Navajo County, AZ</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowell Canal Walkway-West River Reach, MA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Elwha Tribe Access Road Project, WA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Heritage Center Interchange, VA</td>
<td>1,540,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Route 13 Rehabilitation, NM</td>
<td>1,540,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needles Highway, San Bernardino, County, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Recreation Trail Connections, VA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean County Route 539 Crossing Resurfacing Upgrade, NJ</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pikes Peak Erosion and Sedimentation Control, CO</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preston North and South in Richardson County, NE</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction of S–323, Alzada to Ekalaka, MT</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repaving of Delta/Drummond Road, US Forest Highway 35, WI</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverwalk construction, Lowell, Middlesex, MA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road to the Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe Reservation, WA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon Falls Creek Bridge, ID</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan County Road 442 in the Navajo Nation, UT</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan County Road 444 in the Navajo Nation, UT</td>
<td>330,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan County Road 470 in the Navajo Nation, UT</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD 40 Resurfacing from Hermosa, South Dakota to Shannon County, Line, DS</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoyah National Wildlife Refuge, OK</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 145 Dolores to Stoner, CO</td>
<td>4,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skaitook Lake Access Roads, OK</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Access to Golden Gate Bridge, CA</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEDERAL LANDS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Dupree Road BIA Route 15, SD</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirit Lake Reservation Tokio-Ephriam Road, ND</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 160 Blue Diamond Highway Widening, Valley View to Rainbow, NV</td>
<td>3,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 4–Wagon Trail Realignment, CA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 92/I–15 Interchange, Utah County, UT</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR-92, I–15 Interchange to SR–146, UT</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stones River National Battlefield Tour Route, TN</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit Valley Road, San Bernardino County, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumpter Valley Railroad Restoration, Baker County, OR</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taholah School Access Road Project, Quinault Indian Nation, WA</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Cole National Historic Site, NY</td>
<td>745,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohono Oodham highway improvements, AZ</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Forever Golden Gate Conservancy, CA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 26 Passing Lanes, Wasco County, OR</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 93 Evaro to Polson Corridor, MT</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valles Caldera National Preserve, NM</td>
<td>1,475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Vail Pass Vegetated Wildlife Overpass, CO</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Lake Cedar Creek Bridge Crossing, KS</td>
<td>130,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE DISCRETIONARY**

Within the funds available for the interstate maintenance discretionary program, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bluff Street and I-15 Interchange near St. George, UT</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cactus Avenue/ I-15 Interchange Project, NV</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso's Great Streets, TX</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont Gateway Connector System, WV</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontage Road Construction, Lake Charles, LA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 156, Monterey County, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-10 Cypress Avenue Overcrossing, Fontana, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15 Bluff Street Interchange, St. George, UT</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15 Reconstruction Salt Lake County, UT</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15/Base Line Road Interchange, Rancho Cucamonga, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-20 from MS River Bridge thru Vicksburg, MS</td>
<td>5,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-205, OR</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-205/Highway 213 Interchange, OR</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-215/I-515 Interchange, NV</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-235 storm water management project, IA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-235/US 54 design and construction and I-235/Central Avenue Interchange, KS</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-25 Corridor through the Pikes Peak Region, CO</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-25, Tramway north to Bernalillo, NM</td>
<td>775,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-35/Lone Elm Rd./159th St. Interchange, Olathe, KS</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-40/77 Interchange in Iredell County, NC</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5 Blaine Exit Interchange and Border Crossing Improvements, WA</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-55 South Nissan Interchange, MS</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-64 Harrison County Interchange study, IN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Northern Bypass around Somerset, KY</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Pike County, KY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Somerset to London, KY</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-70 Improvement Project: Frederick, MD</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-70 Interchange Improvements, Bentleyville, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-75 Corridor Border between Exit 38 and Exit 41, KY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-80 Colfax Narrows Project, NV</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-93 Interchange, Andover, Tewksbury and Wilmington, MA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-94: Marquette Interchange, WI</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95/SC-327 Interchange Improvements, SC</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interchange at Interstate 80 at Fernley, NV</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY 52 project in the City of Beattyville, KY</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY 9 Extension in Campbell County, KY</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laredo - Scott and Sanchez Streets Grade Separation Project, TX</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee County I-20 Frontage Road, U.S. 15 to SC-341, SC</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Avenue I-5 Interchange Improvements Project, CA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynnwood I-5 City Center Exit, WA</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahoning County US-224, Ohio Safety Improvements, OH</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New I-25 Interchange near m.p. 217, NM</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara River Gorge, NY</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio River Bridges, IN</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio River Bridges, KY</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Paseo del Volcan I-40 Interchange, NM .................................................. 5,000,000
Pearl Harbor Bridge Replacement Project, CT ....................................... 1,250,000
Pennsylvania Turnpike I-95 Interchange Project, Bucks County, PA .......... 2,000,000
Pickens-Battiest Road, McCurtain County, OK ..................................... 900,000
Prospect Road Widening, Polk County, GA ........................................ 650,000
Reconstruct Interchange at South Dakota Highway 42 and Interstate 29,
Sioux Falls, SD .................................................................................. 3,000,000
Renovate I-65/Brook Street Ramp, KY .................................................. 500,000
Reyes Adobe Interchange Project, Agoura Hills, CA .............................. 850,000
Rock Springs I-80 Marginal, WY ........................................................ 500,000
Route 116 Modernization, ME ........................................................... 500,000
SR 19 Improvements, Morrisville, PA .................................................. 1,000,000
SR 704/I-5 Cross Base Highway, Pierce County, WA ............................ 2,500,000
State Route 180 E Improvements, CA ................................................... 900,000
Texas DOT - I-69 Environmental Studies, TX ...................................... 1,750,000
Triangle Project, I-5/SR 18/SR 161, Federal Way, WA ......................... 3,000,000
US 67 Super Two Design, La Entrada al Pacifico Trade Corridor, TX ....... 1,250,000
US 68 at Highland Glen Industrial Park in Barren County, KY ............... 200,000
US 77 Highway Overpass Reconstruction, TX .................................... 500,000
Widen Route 82 in Norwich, CT ....................................................... 625,000
Widening of Interstate Highway 10, El Paso, TX ................................ 1,000,000
The conference agreement provides a liquidating cash appropriation of $36,032,343,903 to pay the outstanding obligations of the various highway programs at levels provided in this Act and prior appropriations Acts, instead of $36,000,000,000 as proposed by the House and $40,194,259,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes a rescission of $1,999,999,000 of the unobligated balances of funds apportioned to the States under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, excluding safety programs and funds set aside within the State for population areas. The conferees direct the FHWA to administer the rescission by allowing each State maximum flexibility in making adjustments among the apportioned highway programs.

The conference agreement provides $20,000,000 for the Appalachian Development Highway System to be allocated for West Virginia Corridor H.

The conference agreement includes a provision similar to language proposed by the Senate that modifies the distribution of Federal-aid highway obligation limitation. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 111 retains the provision, as proposed by both the House and Senate, that allows funds received by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics from the sale of data products to be credited to the Federal-aid Highways account.

Section 112 includes a new provision that establishes an administrative takedown and sets aside funding for activities of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. The remaining amounts shall be distributed for the following purposes:

### Surface Transportation Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th Street Flood Prevention Project, Perry Township, OH</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 West from Syracuse Road to Midland Drive, Davis and Weber Counties, UT</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Street/Andrews Avenue/3rd Street Enhancements, Ft. Lauderdale, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31st Street, Haksell Ave. to O’Connell Rd., Lawrence, KS</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55th Street Bridge Replacement, Plain Township, OH</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56th Avenue/Quebec Improvements, Denver, CO</td>
<td>2,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90A Widening, Stafford, TX</td>
<td>1,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90A Widening, Sugar Land, TX</td>
<td>1,475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–B Street NW Corridor Connector, Auburn, WA</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Vehicle Research Center, NC</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Road Expansion, Phase II, Jasper, AL</td>
<td>1,830,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Terminal Roadway Improvements, Broward County, FL</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda Corridor-East, San Gabriel Valley, CA</td>
<td>4,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alief Community Park Rehabilitation Project, TX</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance for Transportation Research, University of New Mexico, NM</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas Falcon Road Improvements, OK</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Discovery Trail, Coralville, IA</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews Air Force Base Gateway Beautification, MD</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Transportation Improvements, NE</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Avenue Retail Market, NY</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashburton Avenue Reconstruction, City of Yonkers, NY</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland County, Town of Lapointe, reconstruct Rice street with</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>storm sewer, sidewalk and parking, WI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly Street Railroad Relocation, SC</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Avenue Extension, NY</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Road Extension, Prospect, CT</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin-San Antonio Regional Commuter Rail Project, TX</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin County Highway 83 Evacuation Route Project, AL</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Road, Oakland County, MI</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Area Transit System Expansion, MD</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnhardt Road Extension, Umatilla County, OR</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bass River Park Gateway, Dennis, MA</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back and Wixom Road/1-96 Interchange, MI</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckley Exhibition Coal Mine, WV</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belleville Road/Ecorse Road Intersection, MI</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird Springs Road/Bridge Rehabilitation, AZ</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Anthony Parkway, Barnett Res., MS</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bobby Jones/Palmetto Parkway, SC</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borough of Landsdale Street Improvements, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston University Infrastructure Investment Research, MA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary Street Construction, Marlborough, MA</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourbon County Industrial Park Access Road, KY</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge at 132nd St. and US-69, Overland Park, KS</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Rehabilitation in Excelsior Springs, MO</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Replacement, Werner Church Road Plain Township, OH</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeton Trail/Park, MO</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol Street Multi-Modal Corridor, CA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn Children’s Museum Pedestrian Enhancements, NY</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookwood Road Restoration, Halfmoon, NY</td>
<td>1,330,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burbank/Sepulveda Boulevards Intersection Improvements, CA</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California University Pennsylvania (CUP) Urban MAG, PA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmar Telematics ITS Project, Liverpool, NY</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calumet City Street Resurfacing, IL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Cod Hyannis Memorial Statue Gateway/Walkway, MA</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Park RM 1451 Widening Project, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Aquatic Life and Conservation, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Business and Education Park Access Road, VA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston International Airport Parking Garage, SC</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte County Florida Evacuation Widening, FL</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatham Area Transit Job Access Reverse Commute, Savannah, VA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Department of Transportation Damen/Eston/Fullerton, IL</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Ashland Industrial Park Road Construction, OH</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Ashland, Pedestrian Infrastructure Improvements, WI</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Baytown, Streetscape Project, TX</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Elkhart Grade Separation Project, Norfolk-Southern Railroad, IN</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Key West Job Access Program, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Lawndale Street Improvements, CA</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Leander Upgrade FM 2243, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Omaha Widening/Improvements to Q Street from 157th Street to</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204th Street, NE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Presque Isle Public Works Department Capital Improvements, ME</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Fe Springs, Los Angeles County, CA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Monica ITS Improvements, CA</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Selma Water Avenue Streetscape Improvement, AL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of St. Petersburg bicycle master plan, FL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Thornton, Grade Separation Improvements, CO</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark County, NV Beltway and Interchanges, NV</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifton Corridor Transit and Transportation Improvements, GA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coalfields Expressway, WV</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobblestone Landing Restoration, Memphis, TN</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Southern Idaho Student Safety Initiative, ID</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Boulevard Connector, CO</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Bypass, MS</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus North Arterial Project, NE</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting Road from Route 78 and Lathrop Street to East 2900th Street, Annawan, IL</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of K–254, Northwest Bypass in Sedgwick, KS</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of USH 8 and Industrial Parkway intersection overpass, City of St. Croix Falls, WI</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Road 19 Improvements, Cherokee County, AL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creager Island Bridge Replacement, Wayne County, NY</td>
<td>950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of Pedestrian/bike paths on Route 190 Bridge, Enfield, CT</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromwell Industrial Park road construction in Cromwell, CT</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crooks Road, from 14 Mile Road to Elmwood Road/Meijer Drive, Clawson, MI</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Valley Connector, Santa Clarita, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Lake Mitigation, Manchester, NH</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAAH 82 Victory Extension Project, Stage 3, MN</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAA of America Nationwide Job Links, MA</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culvert Replacement on Roads, AK</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curry College Area Road Improvements, MA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota Turkey Plant Access Road US 14 in Huron, SD</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton Avenue Highway Improvements, Penfield, NY</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedekind Road, Gulfport, MS</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware Welfare to Work Program, DE</td>
<td>275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton I–35E Bridge at Loop 38/U.S. 77, TX</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des Moines (Principal) Riverwalk, Des Moines, IA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and construction of Union Street railroad underpass, West Springfield, MA</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development and construction of SR37/SR145, IN</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Multimodal Parking System, Huntsville, AL</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Road Improvement, Indianapolis, IN</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dualization of MD 404, MD</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dualization of US 113, MD</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dublin Boulevard and Dougherty, City of Dublin, CA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Genesee Avenue streetscape project, Saginaw, MI</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Lake Sammamish Parkway, Sammamish, WA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Hills Corridor road construction, Clarence, Erie County, NY</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Boulevard Improvements, Bernalillo County, NM</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Camino East/West Corridor, LA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden Park Road, Berkley, Oak Park, and Huntington Woods, MI</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Shield, Brooklyn Queens Expressway, NY</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential road improvements, Desert Hot Springs, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evacuation Plan Funding, LA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evanston, IL: CTA viaducts reconstruction, IL</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evansville Indiana Downtown Traffic Study, IN</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion of access and parking adjacent to Post Office, City of Jacksonville, AL</td>
<td>110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion of Highway 431, Town of Roanoke, AL</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend I–759 East to US Highway 278, Gadsden, AL</td>
<td>2,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST–TRAC SCATS signal installations, Oakland County, MI</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flamingo Road Reconstruction, Laguna Beach, CA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bragg Bike Path, CA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Campbell Variable Message Board/Directional Signs in Fort Campbell, KY</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Flood Safety, TX</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Transportation Improvement Projects, TX</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortification Street Rehabilitation, Jackson, MS</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Street Bridge, San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fredericksburg Road–Medical Drive, San Antonio, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight Rail Improvements, New Bedford, MA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno State Route 41 Off ramp Improvements, CA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston Rail Trolley Extension to Seawall Boulevard, TX</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEARs Intelligent Transportation Systems, PA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva Road (SR–114) from Orem 1600 North to University,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provo, UT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going Street Overcrossing Project, OR</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Teton Pathways Project, WY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great River Road, Renovating Old Fort Madison, IA</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great River Road, Scenic Byways, Montrose, IA</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Nanticoke (Luzerne Co, PA) Connector Road, PA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbridge Transportation Improvements, White Center, WA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene Co. Demonstration Bridge, MO</td>
<td>148,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene County, Georgia Conversion of I–20 and Carey Station, GA</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake Bridge, Grenada, MS</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guaranteed Ride Home Prg. Santa Clara County, CA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hapeville rail facilities and corridor, GA</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford Avenue Improvements to Aid Pocasset River Drainage, RI</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hattiesburg Intelligent Transportation System, MS</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 100 Trail Bridge and 26th Street Pedestrian Bridge, St.</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Pkwy, MN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 149 Improvements, Richland, MS</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 21 extension, Talladega, AL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 25 to MS Highway 471 in Flowood, MS</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 278 Corridor, Beaufort County, SC</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 412 Mountain Home to Ash Flat, AR</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 44 Bridge, Marion County, MS</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 45 Bypass, Columbus, MS</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 49 Widening from the University of North Carolina to the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yadkin River Bridge, Charlotte, NC</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 49/Highway 7 Connector Road, Greenwood, MS</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 6 Bypass, TX</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 6 from Batesville to Clarkdale, MS</td>
<td>3,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 63: Interstate 55–Jonesboro, AR</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 7 Improvements, Blue Springs, MO</td>
<td>2,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 71: Texarkana—DeQueen, AR</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 77 Rail Grade Separation, Marion, AR</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 82 Frontage Roads, Leland, MS</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway-rail grade crossing bypass, Silver Spring, NY</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hike and Bike Trails, Burleson, TX</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Court Square Improvements, Charlottesville, VA</td>
<td>140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobson Waterfront Walkway along North Stratford Drive</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu Ways to Work, HI</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoosier Heartland Highway, Cass/Carroll County, IN</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoosier Heartland Highway, IN</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoover Nature Trail, Ely, IA</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Flood Improvement Projects, TX</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Road Improvements, TX</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howell, Shannon, Carter and Butler Counties, Route 60, MO</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson River Waterfront Walkway, NJ</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWY 133 from Valdosta to Moultrie to Albany, GA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–15 Layton Interchange, Layton, UT</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–15 North &amp; Commuter Rail Coordination study; Davis County, UT</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–15 (Fulchion Rd/SR 18 Interchange, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–20 Corridor Program, Lincoln Parish, LA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-26/US 1 Airport Intermodal Connector, Lexington, SC</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-275/–5 corridor economic development study for Oakland and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Counties by the I–275/M–5 Transportation Alliance,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Hills, MI</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–4 Crosstown Connector, FL</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–4 Land Acquisition (Orlando Metropolitan Area), FL</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-40/Az. 95 Interconnect, Needles, CA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-49 North, LA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–5 Freeway/Highway 43 Freeway Ramp and Street Capacity Improvements, OR</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–5 Sorrento Valley/Genesea Avenue, San Diego, CA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–69, Indianapolis to Evansville, IN</td>
<td>1,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-74 Northern Beltway, Eastern Section Extension, Winston-Salem, NC</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-76 Corridor Improvements from Ft. Morgan to Nebraska state line, CO</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-79 Parkway West ramp construction and widening, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-90 Burma Avenue Overpass, Gillette, WY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-94 Westbound Reconstruction, ND</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Go Carsharing Project, Chicago, IL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Trails, IL</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Millstonebrook Road Southampton, NY</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements to Alton Traffic Rotary, NH</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements to Mill Bridge, Somers, CT</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements to Raffia Road in Enfield, CT</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INAAP Re-use Authority Project, IN</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River Bridge Inlet Replacement, DE</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis Downtown Road Improvements, IN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Development and Highway/Street Access Improvements, OK</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interchange construction on I-94 at 21 Mile Road, Chesterfield Township, MI</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Freight Facilities, Port of Walla Walla, WA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Infrastructure Enhancement Project, Port of Olympia, Olympia, WA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection at I-49 and Highway 190, St. Landry Parish, LA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Improvements Route 9/Oak, Natick, MA</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 40/Highways 25/64 Interchange, AR</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 5 Blaine Exit Interchange in Blaine, WA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 5 Trade Corridor, OR</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 69, TN</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 93 Water Quality Study, NY</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate-235 Reconstruction Project, IA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Wight Emergency Signals, VA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issac Middle School Pedestrian Bridge, AZ</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS St. George Area, UT</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Road right-of-way and reconstruction, MI</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville Transportation Authority, Soutel Road Improvements, FL</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennie Barker Rd./Mary St./K–156 Intersection Reconfiguration, Finney County, KS</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey City Signalization Improvements, Jersey City, NJ</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County, East/West Corridor, Phase 1, IN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joplin Downtown Streetscape Development, MO</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joplin West Bypass Study, MO</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-18 4-lane Improvement, Preliminary Engineering and Design, Riley County, KS</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalkberg Commerce Park, NY</td>
<td>725,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall Square Transportation Improvements, MA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keystone Drive Reconstruction and Upgrade, AK</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY1494 widening in Bullitt County, KY</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA 1 Replacement, LA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Highway 28 from Ft. Polk to Alexandria, LA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Velle Road Reconstruction, Alamogordo, NM</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lago Pond Inlet Bridge, Martha’s Vineyard, MA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County Passage, Lake County, IL</td>
<td>975,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County Passage, Lake County, IL</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Falcon Improvements Project, TX</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Road Seaway Trail, Webster, NY</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latson Road/I-96 Interchange, Brighton, MI</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leeville Bridge, LA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenexa Prairie Star Parkway, KS</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington Connector, SC</td>
<td>893,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Street Reconstruction, McDonald, PA</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Lane Project, NY</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Bypass, CA</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln South Beltway, NE</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Bay Bridges/Spaulding Turnpike, NH</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Sugar Creek, Greenway, NC</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livermoor Road, from South Boulevard to Avon Road, Rochester Hills, MI</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Branch Village Center Access Improvements, Silver Spring, MD</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longfellow Bridge Rehabilitation, Boston, MA</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street Extension Realignment, Freemensburg, PA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malden Industrial Park Improvement Programs, MO</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Road lane addition and road improvements between Drake and Beck, MI</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marin Novato Narrows Highway 101 Corridor Widening, CA</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland 4 Sutland Parkway Exchange, MD</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland 5 at Maryland 373, MD</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD 246, MD235 to Saratoga Drive, MD</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD45, Cavan to Ridgely Road, MD</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Civil Aerospace Engineering Complex, Rolla, MO</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medford Downtown Traffic and Pedestrian Redevelopment Project, MA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorial Drive Improvements, Lancaster, OH</td>
<td>950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meredith Village Improvement Project, NH</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico Branch Line Improvements, MO</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Reservation Road Improvements, Wyoming County, NY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlothian Road Widening and Signalization Project, IL</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midtown Greenway, Minneapolis, MN</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee Intermodal Terminal, WI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misericordia Job Program, IL</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Avenue Reconstruction, Keokuk, IA</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaville Road Bike Path Tunnel, IL</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Automated Crash Notification Research, MT</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montour Trail completion project, PA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan County Bridges Improvement Project in Morgan County, KY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan State University Transportation Center, MD</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Avenue Duarte Road Realignment, Duarte, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU South Entrance Loop, MS</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrtle Avenue Streetscape Project, Monrovia, CA</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A. Sandifer Highway, Lincoln County, MS</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Yard Reconstruction of Broad Street Quaywall, PA</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska Highway 35, NE</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire Route 111A Intersection Safety Improvements, NH</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven Missouri River bore project, MO</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico State University Bridge Research Center, NM</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ninth Street Arterial Connector, Prineville, OR</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris Viaduct Project, WY</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Case Parkway Corridor Improvement from U.S. 71 to Mullen Road, Belton, MO</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County 1–5 interchanges and Arch Sperry Road, San Joaquin County, CA</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Oak Corridor Improvement Project, MO</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Corridor Widening, St. George, UT</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northside Dr, Clinton, MS</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-South Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Butler Transportation Improvement District, Butler County, OH</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Highway Extension, Oakland County, MI</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio to Erie Trail/Camp Chase Segment, OH</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Orchard Rd Overpass, MO</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County SR50 Road Improvements, Fl</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County, FL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas for SH146 at Wharton Wheel, La Porte, TX</td>
<td>1,475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA 901/PA61/PA54 Connector, Northumberland, PA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA–10 widening, New Morgan Borough, PA</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paducah Waterfront Development Project in Paducah, KY</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer Canyon Road Improvements, Los Angeles County, CA</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Boulevard drainage improvements, Pinellas Park, FL</td>
<td>4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Street Streetscape Improvements, Alameda, CA</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCO Fleet Upgrade, NJ</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecue Land Interchange and Realignment, LA</td>
<td>225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Walkway at SCSU and Claflin University, SC</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelzer Street Reconstruction, City of Winona, MN</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn and Smallman Street Gateways Project, PA</td>
<td>345,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsfield Downtown Streetscape Plan, MA</td>
<td>1,850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning, preliminary engineering, land acquisition, and construction to widen I-75 from Eight Mile Road to M-59, MI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plough Boulevard Interchange at Winchester Road, Memphis, TN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of Albany Operational Improvements, Albany, NY</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of Siuslaw Infrastructure Improvements, OR</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of Stockton, Daggett Road, Stockton, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland &amp; Western Rail Bridge Replacement Project, Albany, OR</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ports to Plains, US 287 Corridor, CO</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell County Bridge Replacement, MT</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Star Parkway/K-7—Maize Blvd., Lenexa, KS</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Projects, South Dakota Department of Transportation</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVTA JARC, MA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quakertown Rail Investment Study, PA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queens Plaza Rebuilding Project, Queens, NY</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail right-of-way purchase, City of Spooner, WI</td>
<td>155,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad Avenue Improvement, LA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ram Island Road Improvements, Shelter Isle, NY</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranch Vista Boulevard widening project, Palmdale, CA</td>
<td>545,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranchero Road Grade Separation, Hesperia, CA</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenswood Road Improvement Project, East Palo Alto, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-alignment and Reconstruction of Somerset Street, ME</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realignment, Widening and Reconstruction of Prospect Street in Hartford, CT</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct 3rd Street, City of Wausau, WI</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct Barnes Street/Eastern Avenue, Rhinelander, WI</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct Main Street in Tappan, NY</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct Main Street, Stoneham, MA</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction of Old Highway 77, Geary County, KS</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction of University Drive from the Crittenton Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Center east to Main Street (M-150) in the City of Rochester, MI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation Route 1(a) Bridge, Hampton, NH</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovations on Dixon Road, City of Cocoa, FL</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovations on Industry Road, City of Cocoa, FL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair of Route 9 Bridge and Vanderbilt Wall, NY</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace Ash Street/Pillsbury Road Bridge, Londonderry, NH</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace Milford Road Bridge, Anderson, SC</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement of Makakupia Stream Bridge, Molokai, HI</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion Parkway Environmental Assessment, Madison, MS</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Bypass, McHenry, IL</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande Bike Trail, Garfield County, CO</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTTC Mass Country Roads, MA</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/Overpass Improvements at Adriaen's Landing and CT Science Center, Hartford, CT</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway improvements to Old Laurens Road, Laurens, SC</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochelle Park and Paramus, Bergen County, NJ</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 10/202-Southwick, MA</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 112 Scenic Byway, MA</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 195 Corridor Study, Tolland, CT</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 23 Hardyston Road Improvements, NJ</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 31 Ashby State Road, Fitchburg, MA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 5 and Route 10 Bernardston, MA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 7 Leesburg Bypass Project, Leesburg, VA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 78 widening (Transit Road) from Genesee Street to Main Street, Erie County, NY</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routes I-295 and 42 Missing Moves, Camden County, NJ</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural dock and waterfront development projects, AK</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.R. 5 Corridor Improvements (W. 12 St.), PA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento Buses and Bus Facilities, CA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Roadside Barriers, NE</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Improvements to Third Street, Suffield, CT</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakonnet River Bridge, RI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco 19th Avenue Improvements, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga RR Overpass, Simpson County, MS</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Pedestrian Safety, Alameda County, CA</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCRRRA Highway/Rail Sealed Corridor Program, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Connector/Martin Luther King, Jr. Parkway East, Des Moines, IA</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Street Bridge Replacement project, MO</td>
<td>864,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semmes Street Project, East Point, GA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Transportation Project, OH</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH71–FM20 to 25 m west of SH304 Bastrop City, TX</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra College Boulevard/I–80 Interchange, Rocklin, CA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siesta Gardens Alternative Access Road, VA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sistrunk Boulevard Streetscape Improvements, Ft. Lauderdale, FL</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset Downtown Revitalization Project, KY</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Boulevard Signal System, NC</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Capitol Street Improvements, MD</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South County Commuter Rail, RI</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Frontage Road, Vicksburg, MS</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Lawrence Traffic Way, City of Lawrence and Douglas County, KS</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Medford Interchange, OR</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Road Mitigation, Londonderry, NH</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Valley Connector Project, ID</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California High Speed Rail Grade Crossing Improvements, CA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Services Transportation Agency, Chittenden County, VT</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield Evening Bus Service, IL</td>
<td>375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 146, Saint Rose Parkway (Phase 2) Reconstruction and Widening, NV</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 171 at Rocky Comfort Creek, GA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 247/SR1012 Valley View Business Park, Lackawanna County, PA</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 4 widening and bridge replacement, Brentwood, CA</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 62 Lloyd Expressway Vanderburgh County, IN</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 67 and SR 605 from I–110 to US 49, MS</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR–561–5 Northbound Widening Project, San Diego, CA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR–91 Chokepoint Elimination in Corona, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Francois, Madison and Wayne Counties, Route 67, MO</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Georges Avenue Improvements, Roselle/Linden, NJ</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis and Garden District Community Transportation Improve-</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ment Initiative, MO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis Science Center Streetscape Improvements, MO</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis Zoo Public Safety and Transportation Improvements Project, MO</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Landing Road Corridor, Desoto County, MS</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Road A1A S-Curve Improvement project, Deerfield Beach, FL</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Route 79 Realignment, Riverside County, CA</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Street Redesign, Madison, WI</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steger Street Improvements, IL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STH 29/WSH 51, Marathon County, Wausau, WI</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subway Hub Access, Museum of Arts and Design, NY</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sybiak Farm Mitigation, Derry, NH</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacoma Rail Mountain Division Rail-line Improvements from Frederickson</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Morton, WA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanana River Bridge Replacement, AK</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH 23 Paynesville Bypass, MN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Avenue resurfacing Project, Ranburne, AL</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibbee Road Project, Clay County, MS</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toa Baja Recreational Trail Design and Construction, PR</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toby Tubby Parkway Oxford, MS</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolt Bridge Replacement, King County, WA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Bridge Pedestrian/Bike Improvements, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towpath Trail to Downtown Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Calming Project in Plainsboro, NJ</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic congestion mitigation at I-210 and Highway 14, Lake Charles, LA</td>
<td>1,220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic study for Mystic Seaport, Stonington, CT</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailways Station Revitalization and Visitors Center, GA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Access, Northlake, IL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Engineering Research Facility, Columbia, MO</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Grants for Evacuee Impacted Communities, LA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Infrastructure Improvements and Expansion for Green River, WV</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinity River Visions Neighborhood Linkage, TX</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truman Boulevard Feasibility Study, MO</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truman Boulevard Planning Improvements to I–670, MO</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trump Avenue/Georgetown Street Canton Township, OH</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk Highway 610/10, MN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTC–69 Environmental and Route Location Studies, TX</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTI Bryan–College Station ITS pilot for mid-size studies, TX</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson Wash Crossings Improvements, AZ</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnpike Improvements Project at I–95 and State Route 1, DE</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 12 Improvement Study, Saline, Washtenaw, MI</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 20 Toledo's Greenhouse Row, OH</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 26–287, Dubois to Moran Junction, WV</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 35 Interchanges in Green County, OH</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Highway 11 in St. Tammany Parish, LA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Highway 67 Eastern Outer Road in Desloge, MO</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Highway 87 Improvements, MI</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 13 Corridor redevelopment, PA</td>
<td>9,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unions to Brownsville—Monayette Expressway, PA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University District Improvements, Phases 2 and 3, Spokane, WA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Arizona Science Center Bridge, AZ</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of South Alabama Transportation Technology Center, AL</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Parkway Project, Evansville, IN</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNMC Relocation of Saddle Creek Road, NE</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP/Sunset Avenue Grade Separation Banning, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade of Route 60 and Route 22/30 Interchange, Allegheny County, PA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Wells Highway/Sheep, Farm Road, Oconee, SC</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrades to Maple Street Bridge, Mainstein, MI</td>
<td>345,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrades to U.S. Rt. 30, City of Wooster, OH</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 75 Extension to LA 335, Vermillion Parish, LA</td>
<td>3,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 22 to I–79 Southern Beltway Project, Allegheny County, PA</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 278 in Beaufort County, SC</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 287 Corridor construction and repaving, CO</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 31, St. Joseph and Marshall Counties, IN</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 35 Interchange W/I–64 Paving and Bridges, WV</td>
<td>2,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 6 Carbon County, UT</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 61 Fort Madison Bypass, IA</td>
<td>2,975,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 64/State Route 15, TN</td>
<td>4,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 67 Marfa Reliever Route, TX</td>
<td>510,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 80/SR26 Bridge at Ogeechee River, GA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 87 Feasibility Study, TX</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Highway 51—Highway 43 Connector Road Canton, MS</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 14/18 and SD34 “S” Curve Underpass, Pierre, SD</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US–34 Corridor Missouri River Bridges Pair, NE</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 401 Harnett and Cumberland Counties, NC</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US–54 (Kellogg Rd.) from I–135 to K–96, Wichita, KS</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 59 at Grand Parkway overpass in Sugar Land, TX</td>
<td>1,850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US–95, Worley North, ID</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USH2 Improvements, Ashland County, City of Ashland, WI</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW Superior/UM Duluth Maritime Research, WI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village of Matteson Safety Upgrades, IL</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Tech Transportation Institute Vehicle and Roadside Safety</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Development, VA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadsworth Interchange/State Highway 128, CO</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walden Point Road, AK</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walnut Street Route 98 Oak Street, Genesee County, NY</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War Memorial Hospital Infrastructure, WV</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington St., Greenville, MS</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington State Produce Rail Car Program, WA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water main, sewer and street improvements, City of Barron, WI</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront Parking Garage, Camden, NJ</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront Redevelopment Project, Bellingham, WA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront Walkway 12th Street to 10th Street along North Sinatra Drive, Hoboken, NJ</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weathersfield US 422 Widening, Trumbull Co, OH</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Bypass Study City of Joplin, MO</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Vancouver Freight Access Project, Port of Vancouver, WA</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia Route 10, Logan County, WV</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wickiup Junction Grade Separation, Deschutes County, OR</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen Route 50 from Route 2 to Poland Road, Fairfax, VA</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen Route 7 west of Tysons Corner, VA</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen SR 86—Sells, AZ</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widening of Gratiot Avenue from 24 Mile Road to 26 Mile Road, Macomb County, MI</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wick's Lake bicycle and pedestrian trail, Farmville, VA</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildcat Glades Conservation &amp; Audubon Nature Center &amp; Trail, MO</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsburg bridge plaza improvement, Brooklyn, NY</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Street Bridge land acquisition and design, Batavia, IL</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WINR Donated Wheels Program Expansion, WI</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Statewide JARC</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming Statewide ITS</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakima Grade Separations, WA</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zora and Main Street Interchange, Joplin, MO</td>
<td>5,880,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transportation Assistance for Hurricane Impacted Communities in Louisiana.**—The conference agreement provides $1,500,000 to be made available as a grant to the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development to establish a program under which the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development shall provide grants to parish and municipal governments in the State of Louisiana that experience a significant spike in population of at least 10 percent because of an unexpected influx of hurricane evacuees, as determined by the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, to quickly implement smart and innovative plans to alleviate traffic congestion and to address increased transportation demands in the affected communities.

**Illinois Trails.**—The conference agreement provides $12,000,000 to the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) for various transportation enhancement projects throughout the State. The conferees expect IDOT to provide funding to the following projects: Springfield Interurban Trail, Urbana to Danville Trail, Galena River Trail, Camp Sacajawea Trail, and the Genoa Route 66 Prairie Trail.

**HIGHWAY PRIORITY PROJECTS**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Briggs-DeLaine-Pearson Connector, SC</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Monticello sidewalks and bikeways, GA</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Sylvester streetscape, GA</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of new roads at University Park, PA</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Road 390 Widening Project, FL</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Area Regional Transportation Authority, MI</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-40 and Morgan Road Interchange Improvements, Oklahoma City, OK</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-40 reconstruction, I-240 E to Choctaw Road, OK</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-405/Beach/Edinger Interchange, CA</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-73 Corridor project from North Carolina State line to Myrtle Beach, SC</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-94 Reconstruct and Widen, Kalamazoo, MI</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligent Transportation System, Monroe County, NY</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Street Extension, Henrietta, Monroe County, NY</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Guaranteed Loans (Title XI)</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Valley Regional Rail Authority Track Rehab</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Initiative, Beloit, WI</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Rhett Boulevard Extension, Charleston, SC</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympia Intermodal Infrastructure Enhancement, WA</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Connection Project, Greenport, NY</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promenade Street Improvements, Mason County, IL</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 22 Sustainable Corridor, Somerset County, NJ</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springdale Cemetery, Peoria, IL</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Route 60/Potrero Road Interchange, Beaumont, CA</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRA3P Phase II, IA</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 113 includes a new provision that makes certain projects and activities eligible to receive fiscal year 2006 grants.

Section 114 retains the provision, as proposed by the Senate, that allows Nevada and Arizona to reimburse debt service payment on the Bypass Bridge at Hoover Dam project with future apportionments, in accordance with title 23, United States Code. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 115 includes a provision similar to language proposed by the Senate that exempts over-the-road bus and public transit vehicles from axle weight limitations.

Section 116 retains the provision, as proposed by the Senate, that provides access for solid waste vehicles to a “transit only” ramp in Washington State following the completion of necessary safety improvements to the ramp. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 117 includes a new provision that designates the name of a Michigan highway.

Section 118 includes a new provision that modifies the designation of an intelligent transportation systems project in Public Law 108–7.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would have prohibited funding from being used for development or dissemination of any programmatic agreement making the Interstate eligible under the National Register of Historic Places.

FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement includes a liquidation of contract authorization and a limitation on obligations of $213,000,000 for the operating expenses of and motor carrier safety research by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), instead of $215,000,000 as proposed by the House and $211,400,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides funding in the following manner:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses</td>
<td>$144,475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and technology</td>
<td>10,084,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information management</td>
<td>42,092,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory development</td>
<td>10,414,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach and education</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRISM operations</td>
<td>935,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial motor vehicle operators grants</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outreach and education.—The conference agreement provide a total of $4,000,000 for outreach and education. Of this amount, the conferees direct that no more than $100,000 shall be for the “safety is good business” program, no less than $1,000,000 shall be used to increase safety belt usage among commercial motor vehicle drivers, no less then $500,000 shall be for the share the road safely
program, and no less than $1,000,000 shall be for household goods outreach. The conferees also provide within the funding for outreach and education $150,000 to continue the motorcoach transportation service selection program and $390,000 for the telephone hotline.

The conference agreement also prohibits any funds relating to outreach and education from being transferred to another agency.

The conference agreement retains language proposed by the Senate directing FMCSA to provide at least two updates to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations during fiscal year 2006 on the transition of the share the road safely program from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to FMCSA, as well as the status of the two planned enforcement/media waves. The conferees also direct NHTSA to return to FMCSA the one FTE that had been detailed from FMCSA to help oversee the share the road safely program.

Research and Technology.—The conference agreement includes $10,084,000 for research and technology and stipulates that the funds shall be available until September 30, 2008.

Within the funds provided for operating expenses, the conference agreement includes funding for the following activities:

- **Salaries and benefits**: $91,746,000
- **Travel**: $14,087,000
- **Transportation**: $242,000
- **Communications, rent, and utilities**: $515,000
- **GSA Rent**: $10,887,000
- **Printing**: $530,000
- **Other supplies**: $17,551,000
- **Equipment**: $3,687,000
- **Working capital fund**: $3,873,000

New entrant program.—The conference agreement provides a total of $2,000,000 for the new entrant program for oversight and other Federal responsibilities. This funding level is sufficient to support the existing on-board staffing for fiscal year 2006. An additional $29,000,000 is provided for state grants under the motor carrier safety assistance program.

Commercial vehicle analysis reporting system (CVARS).—Under the recently enacted reauthorization of motor carrier safety programs, no funding is provided within this limitation for CVARS. However, the conferees note that funding is available within motor carrier safety grants for the FMCSA to make grants to, or enter into contracts with, states, local government, or other persons for CVARS.

**MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY GRANTS**

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement provides a liquidating cash appropriation and a limitation on obligations of $282,000,000 for motor carrier safety grants, instead of $286,000,000 as proposed by the House and $278,620,000 as proposed by the Senate.
The conference agreement provides funding for motor carrier safety grants as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor carrier safety assistance program</td>
<td>$188,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border enforcement grants</td>
<td>32,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance and registration information system management</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grant program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial driver's license (CDL) program improvement grants</td>
<td>25,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial vehicle information systems and networks deployment</td>
<td>25,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDL information system modernization</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety data improvement grants</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The conference agreement directs that $29,000,000 of the funds provided for the motor carrier safety assistance program shall be distributed as grants to States and local governments for new entrant motor carrier audits.

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION**

Section 120 retains the provision as proposed by the House and the Senate that subjects funds appropriated in this Act to the terms and conditions of section 350 of Public Law 107–87, including that the Secretary submit a report on Mexico-domiciled motor carriers.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that prohibited using funds in this Act to implement or enforce any provision of the Final Rule issued on April 16, 2003, as it applies to operators of utility service vehicles and motion picture and television production drivers working at a site within a 100 air mile radius of the reporting location.

**NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION**

As noted in both the House and Senate reports, the lack of detail and specificity in NHTSA's fiscal year 2006 budget justification has put at risk the House and Senate Appropriations Committees' ability to glean the information necessary to make informed decisions about the Nation's highway traffic safety programs. To ensure that the Committees have the information necessary to invest scarce Federal resources wisely, the conferees direct NHTSA to provide detailed information regarding requested increases and reductions to each program, project or activity outlined in the budget request. In particular, for each program, project or activity, the conferees direct NHTSA in its fiscal year 2007 budget justification to show the fiscal year 2006 enacted level, the requested level and to provide a narrative explaining the rationale for any increases or reductions to the current fiscal year enacted level.
The conference agreement provides a total program level of $232,457,000 for highway and traffic safety activities, instead of $227,367,000 as proposed by the House and $232,688,000 as proposed by the Senate. The limited amounts for obligation include $122,457,000 to be transferred from the Federal Highway Administration for operations and research, and $110,000,000 for operations and research.

The following table summarizes the conference agreement for operations and research by budget activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and benefits</td>
<td>$72,002,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>1,336,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses</td>
<td>22,963,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract programs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety performance (rulemaking)</td>
<td>14,155,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety assurance (enforcement)</td>
<td>18,277,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway safety programs</td>
<td>46,595,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and analysis</td>
<td>72,632,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General administration</td>
<td>673,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant administration reimbursements</td>
<td>16,176,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>232,457,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SALARIES AND BENEFITS**

The conference agreement provides an increase of $150,000 to support one additional FTE to work exclusively on enforcement against non-compliant tire imports in NHTSA's vehicle safety compliance office, as proposed by the Senate.

**OPERATING EXPENSES**

The conference agreement provides $5,403,000 for NHTSA's contribution to the working capital fund and provides no funding for workforce planning and development, as proposed by the Senate.

**SAFETY PERFORMANCE**

The conference agreement includes $10,500,000 for NCAP vehicle testing, instead of $7,859,000 as proposed by the House and $13,679,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $206,000 for harmonization of vehicle safety standards, as proposed by the Senate. The House provided no funding for this activity.

**HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS**

The conference agreement provides the following amounts for highway safety programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving</td>
<td>$12,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial and prosecutorial awareness</td>
<td>(1,100,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Research and Analysis

The conference agreement provides the following amounts for research and analysis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety Systems</td>
<td>$ 9,226,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomechanics</td>
<td>14,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, Crashworthiness</strong></td>
<td>23,226,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>4,515,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial vehicle rollover prevention technology demonstration</td>
<td>(900,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Research Institute for research to reduce vehicle weight</td>
<td>(1,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Manufacturing Sciences heavy vehicle fuel economy research program</td>
<td>(500,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver/Vehicle Performance/ Simulator</td>
<td>7,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatic Tire Research</td>
<td>621,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, Crash Avoidance</strong></td>
<td>12,186,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatality Analysis Reporting System</td>
<td>7,063,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Automotive Sampling System</td>
<td>12,230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analysis Program</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Data Program</td>
<td>2,540,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Crash Investigations</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, National Center for Statistics &amp; Analysis</strong></td>
<td>25,533,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Motor Vehicle Crash Causation Survey</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Research and Test Center</td>
<td>1,012,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FastFARS</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crash Avoidance Initiative</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic and composite automobiles</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Fuel Cell and Alternative Fuel Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>925,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>11,687,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total, Research and Analysis**                                         **72,632,000**

*Driver/Vehicle Performance/Simulator.*—The conference agreement retains a provision in the Senate report directing that not less than $3,000,000 be provided for the National Advanced Driving Simulator.

*Driver Distraction.*—The conferees direct NHTSA to undertake an effort to consolidate current knowledge on driver distraction for use by policy makers that would assist state and local governments to formulate effective policies, regulations and laws. Such an effort should also identify areas in which scientific evidence is weak or
lacking, thus helping to focus the federal research effort in the most productive directions.

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement limits obligations for operations and research to $110,000,000, instead of $75,000,000 as proposed by the House and $226,688,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement limits obligations for the national driver register to $4,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement limits obligations for highway traffic safety grants to $578,176,000, instead of $551,000,000 as proposed by the House and $548,182,095 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees direct NHTSA to submit a High Visibility Enforcement grants spending plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Section 125 modifies a provision included by the Senate providing funding for travel and related expenses for state management reviews and highway safety core competency development training. The House did not include a similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would provide funding for seat belt and impaired driving mobilizations.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would authorize innovative project allocations under section 157 seat belt grants.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that provides additional funding for NCAP. The conference agreement provides funding under “Operations and Research.”
The conference agreement provides $145,949,000 for Safety and Operations as proposed by the House instead of $146,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees approve three new positions for FRA: two hazardous materials tank car facility inspectors and one R&D program manager.

RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement provides $55,075,000 for Railroad Research and Development, instead of no funding as proposed by the House and $41,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the amount provided, the conferees have provided $1,500,000 for the Foster Miller Advanced Freight Locomotive; $500,000 for DMU compliance and demonstration, NJ; $210,000 for the WVU constructed facility center; $1,500,000 for the Marshall University-University of Nebraska consortium for safety and research programs in rail equipment, human factors, and track and rail safety related issues; and $10,000,000 for NDGPS.

Within the total, the conference agreement provides $6,500,000 for positive train control programs, including $3,000,000 for the North American Joint PTC program and $3,500,000 for a public-private partnership with a freight railroad to fund a project to assist the development of technology to deploy safety overlay technology designed to prevent train movement authority violations, over-speed violations, and train collision accidents caused by non-compliance of authorities as well as provide additional protections to roadway workers and to protect against open switches in non-signal territories.

The conference agreement includes $7,190,000 for rail corridor planning, to be distributed as follows: $500,000 for the Southeast HSR Corridor, NC; $500,000 for the Gulf Coast High Speed Corridor, near Carriere, MS; $2,500,000 to address critical corridor planning and highway-rail crossing safety needs within the Gulf Coast High Speed Rail Corridor; $1,540,000 for the Southeast High Speed Rail corridor between North Carolina and Virginia; $500,000 for grade crossing hazard elimination in Jemison, AL; $750,000 for highway-rail crossing improvements to the Pacific Northwest Corridor in Vancouver, Washington; $500,000 for the Public Education and Enforcement Research program for highway-rail grade crossing safety in Illinois; and, $400,000 for corridor improvements to the Midwest Regional Rail Initiative in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Rail-highway crossing hazard eliminations.—The conference agreement provides the following funding allocations for rail-highway grade crossing mitigation authorized under section 1103(f) of Public Law 109–59:

Grade crossing improvements, Deer Park, TX .......................... $650,000
Conceh Valley Railroad grade crossing at Henderson Highway (CR–21), Troy, AL .................................................. 100,000
Streeter Avenue grade crossing, Riverside, CA ..................... 300,000
Grade crossing improvements, Fort Worth, TX ................. 450,000
Grade crossing improvements, Palm Beach Gardens, FL .... 375,000
Upper Peninsula grade crossing improvements, MI .......... 750,000
Los Angeles grade crossing improvements, CA ................. 500,000
Pacific Northwest Corridor grade crossing improvements, WA ........ 1,250,000
Louisiana statewide grade crossing improvements ............................ 1,000,000
Gulf Coast grade crossing improvements, MS ................................. 1,000,000

NEXT GENERATION HIGH-SPEED RAIL

The conference agreement provides no funding for Next Generation High-Speed Rail, instead of $10,165,000 as proposed by the House and $11,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ALASKA RAILROAD REHABILITATION

The conference agreement provides $10,000,000 for the rehabilitation expenses of the Alaska Railroad instead of no funding as proposed by the House and $20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

The conference agreement provides a total of $1,315,000,000 for operations, capital improvements and debt service to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak). The conferees agree to provide these funds in a new account structure that provides better clarity as to the nature and extent of Amtrak’s operations. The conferees further agree that reform is an essential element to bring escalating Amtrak costs under control in both the short and long term. As such, the conference agreement includes a number of reforms aimed at bringing about operational efficiency.

OPERATING SUBSIDY GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

The conference agreement provides $495,000,000 to the Secretary of Transportation to make quarterly operating subsidy grants to Amtrak, upon submittal of grant requests. Amtrak and the Secretary are reminded that the quarterly grants need not be of equal size, and that Amtrak should submit grant requests that align to seasonal operating needs.

Earlier in the year, the Appropriations Committees received testimony from the Department of Transportation Inspector General (IG) indicating that Amtrak would require an appropriation between $1,400,000,000 and $1,500,000,000 in order to maintain all existing services through fiscal year 2006. More recently, however, the conferees received a communication from the IG indicating that Amtrak carried over roughly $120,000,000 in available funds into fiscal year 2006—some $90,000,000 more than was anticipated at the time of his initial testimony. The IG also noted that Amtrak failed to avail itself of multiple cost-saving opportunities, particularly in the areas of food and beverage and first class services. These findings prompted the IG to conclude that “Amtrak can function at a lower level of Federal funding—$1,275,000,000 in FY 2006—without cutting routes.” In total, the conference agreement provides $1,315,000,000 for Amtrak—$40,000,000 more than the level cited by the Inspector General.

The conference agreement includes bill language mandating that Amtrak achieve operational efficiencies, and directing the DOT Inspector General to submit quarterly reports to Congress...
tracking Amtrak’s progress in this area. The conferees direct the Inspector General to develop an operating subsidy baseline by January 3, 2006 against which Amtrak’s progress will be measured. The conference agreement includes bill language that prohibits federal subsidies for food and beverage and sleeper car service if the IG cannot certify by the July 1, 2006 quarterly report that Amtrak has achieved operational savings. The conference agreement also includes a provision prohibiting Amtrak from discounting tickets at more than 50 percent off the normal, peak fare after March 1, 2006, consistent with Amtrak’s recently announced plan for the Smart Pass program.

The conferees are aware of a recent Government Accountability Office report that highlights serious weaknesses in Amtrak’s procurement practices. The conferees expect that these concerns will be remedied, and direct Amtrak, as part of its monthly reporting requirements, to identify and justify all sole source contract awards.

The conference agreement also provides $5,000,000 for development of a managerial cost accounting system, as proposed by the Senate. Finally, the conference agreement continues reporting and grant-making provisions contained in prior appropriations Acts, including the withholding of $60,000,000 for directed service orders should it be needed.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Act, the conferees encourage Amtrak to continue offering discounted tickets for veterans and, if financially feasible, to increase the veterans’ discounts offered during off-peak periods when space remains available on trains. If discounts are offered, the conferees expect these discounts to be offered equally to members of all veterans service organizations.

**CAPITAL AND DEBT SERVICE GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION**

The conference agreement includes $780,000,000 for capital and debt service payment grants to Amtrak. The conferees agree to language that provides not more than $280,000,000 for debt service payments. If Amtrak is able to refinance its debt and reduce the size of its payments, the conference agreement permits the savings to be used for the capital program. The conferees include language carried in previous appropriations Acts requiring the Secretary to approve capital expenditures in advance.

The conference agreement also includes a provision directing the Secretary to determine the capital and maintenance cost to Amtrak associated with the use of Amtrak-owned infrastructure on the Northeast Corridor by the commuter railroads that operate over that corridor. The provision requires the Secretary to determine and assess appropriate fees on the commuter railroads based on that use. The revenues from these fees will be merged with the capital appropriation and be used for the appropriate capital investments along the Northeast Corridor. In establishing the level of such fees, the Secretary will account fully for the contributions that commuter railroads currently make toward these costs. The conferees expect the Secretary to establish these fees expeditiously and through an open and transparent process that seeks, to the
maximum extent possible, to yield a consensus on the part of all stakeholders as to the appropriate distribution of costs between said stakeholders. The conferees expect the Inspector General to include an assessment of the Department’s efforts in assessing and collecting these fees as part of his quarterly reports on Amtrak’s operating efficiencies beginning with the report due on July 1, 2006.

EFFICIENCY INCENTIVE GRANTS

The conference agreement includes $40,000,000 for a new Efficiency Incentive Grant program. These funds are to be used at the discretion of the Secretary and may be used at any time during the fiscal year to make additional operating assistance available to Amtrak if the Secretary determines such assistance is necessary to maintain the operation of existing Amtrak routes. Funds may also be disbursed by the Secretary at any time during the fiscal year for operating assistance if such assistance is necessary for Amtrak to stay out of bankruptcy and the Secretary and IG have certified that an emergency situation exists. The conferees expect the Secretary to hold any funds not disbursed for operating assistance in reserve until September 1, 2006, and if such funds are not needed for additional operating assistance, the Secretary should then make capital grants to Amtrak for investments that will have a direct and measurable short-term impact on operating efficiencies.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

Section 130 retains a provision included by the House that permits FRA to purchase promotional items for Operation Lifesaver. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

Section 131 retains a provision included by the Senate that clarifies the purpose of fiscal year 2005 funding in the State of Maine. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 132 retains a provision proposed by the Senate that clarifies the purpose of fiscal year 2005 funding in the State of Illinois. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 133 retains a provision proposed by the Senate that permits fiscal year 2004 funding to be used for site planning and improvements to Union Passenger Terminal in New Orleans. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 134 modifies a provision proposed by the Senate that permits fiscal year 2005 funding to be used for improvements in Spokane, WA. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 135 includes a new provision regarding a temperature-controlled express demonstration. The conferees direct Amtrak to report to both the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the status of this demonstration not later than April 14, 2006 and monthly thereafter.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

The House and Senate Committees on Appropriations both reported out of committee H.R. 3058, which provided appropriations for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), prior to the August 10, 2005 enactment of Public Law 109–59, the Safe, Accountable,
Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users or “SAFETEA–LU.” Both the House and the Senate structured the appropriations for FTA under the authorities contained in Public Law 105–178, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century or “TEA–21” and split funded the accounts between the General Fund and the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund. Besides various changes to the transit programs, SAFETEA–LU changed the funding mechanism for FTA such that accounts are funded completely from either the General Fund or the Mass Transit Account. The conference agreement follows the structure of SAFETEA–LU.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides a total of $80,000,000 from the General Fund for the administrative expenses of the Federal Transit Administration. Of the amount provided, the conferees direct the funds for the following offices:

- Administrator ......................................................................................... $925,000
- Administration ....................................................................................... 7,325,000
- Chief Counsel ......................................................................................... 4,058,200
- Communications and Congressional Affairs ........................................ 1,359,300
- Program Management (includes public safety) .................................... 7,985,900
- Budget and Policy .................................................................................... 8,732,500
- Research, Demonstration, and Innovation ........................................... 4,763,900
- Civil Rights ............................................................................................. 3,153,100
- Planning ................................................................................................. 4,127,300
- Regional Offices ..................................................................................... 20,754,000
- Central Account ..................................................................................... 16,615,000

The conference agreement retains provisions proposed by both the House and the Senate allowing for the transfer of up to five percent of funds between offices, directing FTA to submit for approval any proposal to transfer funds from the Central Account, prohibiting funds for a permanent office of transit security, directing FTA to reimburse up to $2,000,000 to the Office of the Inspector General, and directing the submission of the annual new starts report. As proposed by the House, funds for the National Transit Database are included under the formula program.

The conferees direct FTA to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations prior to funding e-gov initiatives based in the Office of the Secretary. Activities in support of the Secretary’s initiative should be reflected in either the OST account or in the FTA accounts.

The conferees direct the FTA Administrator to comply with the Department’s July 18, 2005 chief financial officer (CFO) policy requiring each operating agency chief financial officer to manage directly all financial and budget activities for both program and administrative funds. The conferees agree that the FTA CFO is to oversee the formulation and execution of all authorized and appropriated funds to the agency. The conferees direct the Administrator to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 30, 2006, detailing how FTA has aligned the agency’s management and oversight of the financial and budget activities for both program and administrative funds consistent with the Department’s CFO policy.
Further, the conferees direct the Inspector General to conduct an audit of FTA's administrative expenses for fiscal year 2005 to validate that funds were spent consistent with the provisions of the appropriations Act and the directives that were included in the committee reports. The Inspector General should report the results of the audit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by December 31, 2005.

FTA is directed to submit its fiscal year 2007 congressional budget justification for administrative funds itemized by office with material detailing salaries and expenses, staffing increases, and programmatic initiatives of each office. The initiatives for each should be clearly stated, and include a justification for each new position or full-time equivalent, should FTA request additional FTEs next year. In addition, the congressional budget justifications must identify the administrative costs for each new fixed guideway project included in the fiscal year 2007 request.

The conferees reiterate the Senate directive to continue reporting monthly on the new starts program, including milestone schedules for projects within two years of reaching their full funding grant agreement.

**FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS**

**(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY)**

**(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

The conference agreement limits obligations from the Mass Transit Account for the formula and bus grant program to $6,979,931,000. Of the amount available for the fixed guideway modernization program, $47,766,000 is to be transferred to the Capital Investment Grants account for activities under that program. The conferees expect FTA to distribute funds as directed by SAFETEA-LU.

Of the funds provided for bus and bus facilities, the conferees direct funds to the following priorities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 new fixed-route buses, Cedar Rapids, IA</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st District Bus Replacement and Facilities, MI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd St/Andrews Ave/3rd St Enhancements, Fort Lauderdale, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th Avenue Transit Hub, FL</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th District Buses and Bus Facilities, WI</td>
<td>1,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th Street Red Line Station, IL</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of MARTA Transit Buses, GA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Paratransit Vehicles, San Diego, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams Co. Transit Authority purchase of buses, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Association of Area Agencies on Aging Bus and Van Purchase</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama State Docks Choctaw Point Terminal</td>
<td>2,160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Native Medical Center intermodal bus/parking facility</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria Transit Service Improvements, VA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allegan County Transportation Services, MI</td>
<td>89,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative fuel buses, Broward County, FL</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Transit Authority, PA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County Bus Transfer Facility, VA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic City Regional Medical Center Bus Project, NJ</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated Light study along Route 59, NY</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automation Alley/BUSolutions, MI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive-Based Fuel Cell Hybrid Bus Program, DE</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Grant Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARTA—Auto Vehicle Locator System, PA</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARTA—Franklin Street Station Intermodal, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battle Creek Transit Bus Replacement, MI</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay City MTA New and Replacement Vehicles, MI</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellows Falls Multimodal Facility, VT</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Franklin Transit, Maintenance and Operations Facility, WA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergen Intermodal Stations and Park N' Rides, NJ</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berrien County Public Transportation, MI</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billings Public Bus and Transfer, MT</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacksburg Transit Intermodal Facility, VA</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomfield Intermodal Facilities and Park-and-Ride, NJ</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomington, Indiana University Campus Bus System, IN</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomington Public Transportation Corporation, IN</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Line Trolley Shelter Improvements, CA</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boro Park JCC Bus Purchase, NY</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borden Highway MAX Bus Rapid Transit System, NV</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos Transit District Bus Replacement, TX</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos Transit District, Capital Cost Contracting, TX</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport Intermodal Transport Center, CT</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigham City Buses and Bus Facilities, UT</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brockton Area Transit Authority Replacement Buses, MA</td>
<td>1,031,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broward County Alternative Fuel Buses, FL</td>
<td>115,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broward County Southwest Bus Facility, FL</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucks County Intermodal Facility, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burbank Airport Hybrid Shuttle Demonstration Project, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington Transit Facilities, VT</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Facility 65th Intermodal Station, NY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Purchase and Upgrades, Columbus, IN</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Purchase for Red Rose Transit Authority, Lancaster, PA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Rapid Transit in Western Slope Area, CO</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Replacement and Facilities, DE</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Replacement, TX</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Replacements, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Shelters, Dallas, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Terminal and Support Facility, Lake Charles, LA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus/Vehicle Replacement, Lufkin, TX</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses and Bus Facilities, Danville, VA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses and Bus Facilities, Farmington, NM</td>
<td>320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses and Bus Facilities, GA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses and Bus Facilities, Las Cruces, NM</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus and Bus Facilities, Clarkstown and White Plains, NY</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland County Transit Authority, PA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Area Transit (CAT), PA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Area Transportation Authority, Hybrid Public Transportation Vehicles Purchase, Lansing, MI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Metro Expansion and Improvement, TX</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Metro North Operating Facility, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Metro Rapid Bus Project, TX</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass County Transit, MI</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Avenue Bus Rapid Transit, Dakota County, MN</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central New York Regional Transportation Authority</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central NJ Intermodal Stations and Park &amp; Rides</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Ohio Transit Authority Paratransit Facility</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre Area Transportation Intermodal Facility, PA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFRTA LYNX Bus Fleet Expansion, FL</td>
<td>1,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatham Area Transit Authority bus and facility, GA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherry Street Joint Development Project, IN</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheshire Hill Parking Foundation, Cheltenham, PA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Street Transportation Center, PA</td>
<td>225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Albuquerque Transit Vehicles, NM</td>
<td>225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Anderson Intermodal Center Project, IN</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Brownsville Urban System, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Clinton, Missouri, Buses and Bus Facilities, MO</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Coralville Intermodal Facility, IA</td>
<td>575,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of El Paso Sun Metro Bus Replacement, TX</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Lamar, Missouri, new transit vehicle</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Lubbock Citibus Improvement, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Marshall, new transit vehicles, MO</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Midland Dial-A-Ride Section 5309, MI</td>
<td>366,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Modesto’s Bus Maintenance Facility, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Moultrie, Georgia, Intermodal Facility</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Northfield, MN Transit Station</td>
<td>280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Texarkana, AR</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Utilities of Springfield bus facilities, MO</td>
<td>1,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clallam Transit, WA</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clare County Transit Administration Facility, MI</td>
<td>460,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coatesville Train Station, Coatesville, PA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconino County Bus Facilities, AZ</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Transit Coalition, CO</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Equipment and Bus, Belding, MI</td>
<td>76,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Rail Hub Planning and Renovation of the Historic Brigham City Train Depot, UT</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete intermodal transit facility, Lufkin, TX</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Buses, TX</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct bus shelters in Bellflower, CA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of Amesbury Bus Facility, MA</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona Transit Center, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi Bus and Bus Facilities, TX</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Lebanon Transit (COLT), PA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Intermodal Transportation Facility, PA</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyride/Ames Iowa Bus Garage facility</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deneka Maintenance Facility, MI</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Bus Leasing and Expansion, MI</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dowagiak Dial A Ride, MI</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Akron Transportation Center, OH</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Nashville Transit Transfer Facility, TN</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East County Bus Maintenance Facility, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Valley Bus Maintenance Facility, AZ</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Intermodal, PA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Roberts Campus, Berkeley, CA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Garces Intermodal Station, Needles, CA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric, Next-Generation Transit Buses, Broome County Transit, NY</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endless Mountain Transportation Authority, Bradford County, PA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance Oklahoma Transit Association Public System</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancements to Bus Terminal in McAllen, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everett Transit, Bus and Paratransit Vehicle Replacement, WA</td>
<td>825,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfield/Vacaville Intermodal Station, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Connection of Shelby County Trans Project, AL</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette Area Coordinated Transportation, PA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagler County Buses and Bus Facilities, FL</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint MTA New and Replacement Vehicles, MI</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foothill Transit, San Gabriel Valley, CA</td>
<td>3,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foothills Community Action Partnership Foothills Express Transit Expansion Project, KY</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bend Co, TX, Park &amp; Ride</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wayne Citilink, IN</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County Transportation Council, MO</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Multimodal Center, MA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton County Transit Authority, KY</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadaden State Community College Transit Project, AL</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner Maintenance Facility Construction, MA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia GRTA Xpress Implementation Buses</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gettysburg Bus and Bus Facilities, PA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Empire Transit traffic signal priority project, CA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Transit, WA</td>
<td>225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grays Harbor Transit, Transit Center Expansion, WA</td>
<td>780,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grays Harbor Transit, WA</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Lapeer Transportation Authority, MI</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Lynchburg Transit Company Vehicle Replacement, VA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Minnesota Transit Capital</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Minnesota Transit Capitol—5309 Buses and Bus Facilities, Rock County</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Ouachita Port intermodal facility, LA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Richmond Transit Company Bus Operations and Maintenance Facility, VA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Sacramento Regional Bus Replacement/Bus Facility Expansion, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton Roads Southside Bus Facility, VA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton Roads Transit Bus Facilities, VA</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicap Buses Desoto County, MS</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor Transit, MI</td>
<td>404,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harlan County Transit Center, KY</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazleton Intermodal, PA</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helena Transit Facility, MT</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson Area Rapid Transit Authority, KY</td>
<td>44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Point International Furniture Market Transportation Terminal, NC</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill County Transit Administration Facility, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsdale Dial-A-Ride, MI</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holyoke Multimodal Center, MA</td>
<td>1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston East-West Bus Connector, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu Bus and Bus Facilities, HI</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston METRO Bus Transit Centers, TX</td>
<td>2,030,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt County Committee on Aging, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-35W BRT 46th Street Station, Minneapolis, MN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho Statewide ITS</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho Transit Coalition Bus Capital Investment</td>
<td>2,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho Transit Coalition Buses and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL Statewide buses and facilities</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-city Transit Companies, Meridian, MS</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-Modal Center, Middletown, CT</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Center, Scottsdale, AZ</td>
<td>810,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Facility, Augusta, ME</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Facility, Ouachita Parish, LA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Park and Ride Facility at Discovery, CA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Station Improvements, Salem and Beverly, MA</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Terminal Center, Jacksonville, FL</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Transfer Facility at Duncan and Boyle, MO</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal Transit Center, Bell Gardens, CA</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal transportation facility, Huntington Hospital, NY</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 15 managed lanes, San Diego, CA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island Transit, WA</td>
<td>480,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS Security Equipment for Buses, TX</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivy Tech Multi-Modal Facility, Indianapolis, IN</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville Transportation Authority Bus and Bus Facilities, FL</td>
<td>340,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville 2007 Natural Gas Bus purchase, VA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JARC Hartline, Hillsborough County, FL</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JATRAN bus replacement, MS</td>
<td>550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson City, Missouri, Buses and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County Transit, WA</td>
<td>365,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County Fleet Vehicle Replacement, KS</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County SEATS Para-Transit Facility Program, IA</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalamazoo Metro Transit, MI</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalispell Buses, MT</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalkaska County Transportation Facility, MI</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, KS</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapkowski Road Transportation Planning Area Project, NJ</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCATA buses, MO</td>
<td>3,850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key West Buses and Bus Facilities, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King County Airfield Transfer Area, WA</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King County Metro Park and Ride on First Hill, WA</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King County Metro, Bus Radio Replacement Program, WA</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knoxville Electric Transit Intermodal Center, TN</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Habra Shuttle Senior Transportation Program, CA</td>
<td>157,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Statewide buses and facilities</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Bus Replacement, IN</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Louisiana Multimodal Transportation Facility</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County Bus Systems, IN</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Erie Transit Maintenance Bay Expansion, Michigan</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeland Area Citrus Connection Transit Systems</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeside Center Hub, Prospect Park, Brooklyn, New York</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Award Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewood Bus Stop Improvements, Lakewood, California</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Intermodal, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawson State Community College, Alabama</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewistown Bus Facility, Montana</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln County Senior Citizen Bus, Kentucky</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link Transit Low Floor Coaches Chelan/Leavenworth, WA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livermore Amador Valley Satellite Maintenance and Operations Facility, California</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach Transit Bus Purchase, California</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Valley College Bus Station Extension, California</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock/Citibus Low-Floor Buses, Paratransit Vans and Facilities, and Passenger Amentities, TX</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludington Mass Transportation Authority</td>
<td>320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macatawa Area Express</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MART Advanced Vehicle Locator System (AVL), Massachusetts</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MART Maintenance Facility, Fitchburg, Massachusetts</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MART Vehicle Replacement, Massachusetts</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARTA Atlanta Clean Fuel Buses</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARTA Automated Smart Card Fare Collection Systems, Georgia</td>
<td>375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Statewide Bus Program</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason County Transit, Washington</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memphis Airport Intermodal Facility, Tennessee</td>
<td>1,375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METRO St Louis Downtown Shuttle Trolley, Missouri</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority acquisition of clean buses, Georgia</td>
<td>2,610,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Mon Valley Transit Authority, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midland Bus Facilities, TX</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midland Bus Facilities, Texas</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midland County Board of Commissioners Connection</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Transit Cap.—5309 Buses and Bus Facilities—St. Peter</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miramar Town Center Transit Hub, Miramar, Florida</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Waterfront Infrastructure Development, AL</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe Township/Clairion University Transit</td>
<td>660,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monrovia, Los Angeles County, CA, Transit Village</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Salinas Transit, Monterey, California</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery Bus Stop, Shelters and Bus GPS Tracking System, Alabama</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Intermodal, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morristown Intermodal Historic Station, NJ</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Line Bus, Montana</td>
<td>875,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA transit vehicles for disabled persons, Guam</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muncie Indiana Transit System</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Indiana Mental Health Trans. Partnership</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau County Hub and Centre, NY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau County, New York Bus Replacement</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Transportation Needs (TRANSPO), FL</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, NV</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Bus Facility Capital Improvements, California (San Joaquin)</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans Union Passenger Terminal Rehab, Louisiana</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark Penn Station Intermodal Improvements, New Jersey</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFRTA Hybrid Buses, Amherst, Erie County, New York</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority Buses, New York</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIUHTP, Madison Center, South Bend, IN</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Transit-Jitney Bus Replacement, Atlantic City</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota Statewide Transit</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hempstead Green Bus Fleet, New York</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Leominster Parking Improvements, Massachusetts</td>
<td>720,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern New Mexico Park and Ride</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumberland County Transportation, PA</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Busway, Minneapolis, Minnesota</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Connecticut Central Transit Facility</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwalk Pulse Point Joint Improvements, CT</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW NJ Multi-County Intermodal Transit Initiative</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATS buses and bus facilities, Missouri</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTA BRT</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden Buses and Bus Facilities, UT</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>5,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ojai Multi-Agency Transportation Facility, CA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma DOT Transit Program Division</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omni Trans Para Transit Vehicles</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Bus Facility, Montana</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Buses, Montana</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE Bus Service to the College of DuPage, Glen Ellyn, IL</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace Suburban Bus Transit Signal Priority, Illinois</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Station Multimodal Facility, Santa Cruz, California</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paducah Area Transit System in Paducah, Kentucky</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Springs Aerial Tramway Bus Project, CA</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Tran, Palm Beach County, FL</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faulk Transportation Center</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramount Easy Rider Clean-Air Buses, Paramount, California</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park and Ride Facility, Ashland, OR</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park-and-Ride Lot, Springfield, VA</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco County Transit Facilities Project, FL</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco County Public Transportation Bus Purchase, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco County Transit Construction, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennyville Allied Community Services</td>
<td>93,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersburg Multi-Modal Transit Center, VA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersburg Transit Intermodal Facility, VA</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix/Avondale/Glendale Bus Expansion, Arizona</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix/Glendale West Valley Operating Facility, Arizona</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Ridge Transit System, South Dakota</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placerville Station II</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poplar Transit Facility Renovation, Montana</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Angeles International Gateway Project, Washington</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Authority of Allegheny County Bus Acquisition, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>3,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potomac and Rappahannock Transit Commission Buses for service expansion, VA</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospect and East 21st Street Intermodal Transportation Center, OH</td>
<td>875,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Bus Transfer and Parking Facility, MT</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transit for STCC College Students, Massachusetts</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pullman Multi-Modal Transit Center, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pullman Transit, Washington</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of Five Transit Buses, Pasco County, FL</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Transit Buses for Macon Transit Authority, Georgia</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam County, FL Ride Solutions Buses</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puyallup Transit Center Park and Ride, Washington</td>
<td>780,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Transit Handicap Accessibility, Newton, Massachusetts</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray County Transit Buses and Bus Equipment, Missouri</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redondo Beach Coastal Shuttle Transit Vehicles, California</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Bus and Bus Facilities: Intermodal Terminals, UT, including Gateway TRAX station</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Intermodal Transportation, South Amboy, New Jersey</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renaissance Square, NY</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno and Sparks Intermodal Transportation Terminals and Related Development, NV</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGRTA Hampton Corners Livingston County, NY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island Public Transit Authority Elmwood Avenue Maintenance Facility Improvements</td>
<td>1,240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island Public Transit Authority Transit Security Improvements</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island Statewide Vehicle Replacement</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Highway Public Transportation Initiative, VA</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside Transit Center, CA</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RiverSphere Multimodal Facility, Louisiana</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Stock for HCTD Urban System, TX</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roscommon Transportation Authority Route Service</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary Children’s Services’ Transportation Program, California</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTC Transit Maintenance Facility, NV</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Bus Program, HI</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Peter’s McGrinley Square Intermodal Facility, New Jersey</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SanTrans Revenue Collection System, California</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio—New Buses, Bus Facility Improvements, and Bus-Related Projects TX</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Bus Rapid Transportation Demonstration Project, California</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Muni Buses and Bus Facilities, California</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Rey Transit Center</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Transit Bus Facility, Oregon</td>
<td>375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Co. Transit Authority, MI</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara Valley Transit Authority Paratransit Vehicle, California</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors Transportation, Inc. Buses and Bus Facilities, New York</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenango Valley Shuttle Service, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle bus to transport seniors in Bell Gardens, California</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring Transit Center, Maryland</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simi Valley Public Transit Radio Communications, CA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sky Transit Bus Acquisition, Washington</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagit Transit Chuckanut Dr. Station in Burlington, Washington</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagway Intermodal facility, Alaska</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART Multi-Modal Transit Center and Bus Maintenance Facility, Oregon</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana Beach Transit Center, Solana Beach, CA</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Transit, Eastgate Transit Access, Washington</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Missouri Transportation Service, Missouri</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Norwalk Intermodal Facility, Norwalk, CT</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Tennessee Human Resource Agency</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern and Eastern Ky Bus and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Initiative</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Missouri Buses and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Coast Area Transit Bus Terminal, FL</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer Avenue Bus Transfer Center, Orovile, CA</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Johns County, FL Council on Aging Buses</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George Terminal, Staten Island, NY</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Joseph County Transit</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamford Urban Transitway Phase II, CT</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StarTran Farebox Technology Upgrades, Nebraska</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Arkansas—Bus and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, NC</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, SD</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, Utah</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Bus Replacement, Iowa</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART), MI</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk County Buses and Bus Facilities, New York</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun Tran CNG Buses and Facilities</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun-TRAN Operations and Maintenance Facility Expansion, UT</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW King County-Highline CC Intermodal Transit Facility and Parking Garage</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TALTRAN Bus Expansion Project, Florida</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taltran Bus Fleet Replacement</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAM—purchase of 10 hybrid electric buses</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech Town Transportation Center, OH</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee Department of Transportation Buses and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The District-Bryan/College Station Bus Replacement, Texas</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The UEL Bus Stop, University of Minnesota Twin Cities Transitway, MN</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Bus Depot on Staten Island, NY—South Shore</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN DOT Job Access Reverse Commute</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities</td>
<td>5,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torrance Transit System, California</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina Replacement Bus</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Normal Multimodal Transportation Center, IL</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Center 9400 South Sandy, Utah</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Vehicles for Albuquerque, NM</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasure Coast Connector, St. Lucie County, FL</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangle Transit Authority Replacement Buses, North Carolina</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolley Plaza, AL</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolley Shelter, West Palm Beach, Florida</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolley System, Boynton Beach, FL</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson SunTRAN Bus Storage and Maintenance Facility, AZ</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Cities Dial A Ride</td>
<td>89,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Transit, Washington</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The conferees provide $8,000,000 to the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) for Section 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities grants. The conferees expect IDOT to provide at least $4,000,000 for Downstate Illinois replacement buses in Bloomington, Champaign-Urbana, Danville, Decatur, Peoria, Pekin, Quincy, River Valley, Rockford, Rock Island, Springfield, Madison County, Rides MTD, South Central MTD, and Macomb, including $375,000 for the Springfield MTD night service project. Further, the conferees expect IDOT to provide appropriate funds for bus facilities in Bloomington, Galesburg, Macomb, Peoria, and Rock Island, including $500,000 for the Champaign Day Care Center/Park-n-Ride and $500,000 for the Macomb maintenance facility.

The conferees direct FTA to refrain from reallocating funds provided in fiscal year 2003 and prior year appropriations Acts for the Department of Transportation as follows:

GA—Macon Intermodal
NY—Middletown/Tompkins Consolidated Area Transit Center
NY—Tompkins County/Tompkins Consolidated Area Transit Center
SC—Sumter Intermodal
SC—Intermodal/Inland Port Terminal
PA—Wilkes-Barre intermodal
WV—Morgantown intermodal
AL—Jefferson County, Diesel Hybrid Electric Buses
MA—Attleboro Intermodal
NY—Jamaica Intermodal Facilities
KS—Lawrence Transit System Transfer Center
CT—Hartford-New Britain Busway Project
CT—Hollyhock Station/Intermodal Transportation Center, Norwich
IN—Indianapolis downtown transit facility
MA—Springfield Union Station intermodal facility
MA—Springfield Union Station Intermodal Redevelopment Project
NE—Metro Area Transit—Intermodal Facility
WA—Aurora Avenue Bus Rapid Transit
PA—Easton Intermodal Terminal

SAFETEA–LU setasides of bus and bus facility funds.—The conferees note that the recently enacted surface transportation authorization bill, SAFETEA–LU, (Public Law 109–59) sets aside more than $442,000,000 of the formula funds made available in this Act for specific bus and bus related facility projects. These projects include eight high priority ferry boat system projects and 645 separate high priority bus projects. Included among those projects is annual funding of $5,000,000 for a Statewide grant for bus and bus related facilities in the State of West Virginia.

The conferees are aware that hybrid buses offer reduced fuel consumption while utilizing existing infrastructure, a significant benefit particularly at a time when fuel conservation is paramount. Also, reduced maintenance for hybrid buses equates to significant life cycle cost benefits. Accordingly, the conferees believe that FTA should develop a program for encouraging and incentivizing a far greater number of transit systems to adopt this technology. The FTA is directed to develop such an initiative, which is to be submitted with the fiscal year 2007 budget submission.

RESEARCH AND UNIVERSITY RESEARCH CENTERS

The conference agreement provides $75,200,000 from the General Fund for research activities. Of the amounts provided, $4,300,000 is for the National Transit Institute, $9,000,000 is for transit cooperative research programs, $7,000,000 is for the university centers program. The conferees provided additional funds over and above the guaranteed level in order to preserve the core research program, which was inadvertently reduced under SAFETEA–LU.

The conferees note that the recently enacted surface transportation authorization bill, SAFETEA–LU, (Public Law 109–59) sets aside research funds made available in this Act for specific research and university projects. Of the remaining funds provided for the national planning and research program, the conference agreement directs funds for the following:

American Cities Transportation Institute, PA ......................... $500,000
CTAA of America Nationwide Joblinks ................................... $800,000
CALSTART/WESTART Advanced Transit Technology ................. $2,000,000
Boston-Fitchburg, MA Rail Corridor ....................................... $640,000
Automation Alley BUSolution ............................................... $1,500,000
Advanced Transportation Technology Institute, TN ................... $1,000,000
Research Hybrid Fuel Technology Transit System, CA .............. $250,000
Wichita State University: mass transit vehicle crash protection .... $250,000
The conference agreement provides $1,455,234,000 from the General Fund for capital investment grants.
San Francisco BART Extension to San Francisco International Airport, California ................................................................. 81,860,000
San Francisco Muni Third Street Light Rail Project, California .......... 25,000,000
Santa Barbara Coast Rail Track Improvement Project, California .... 8,045,487
Schuylkill Valley Metro, Pennsylvania ........................................... 4,000,000
Seattle Sound Transit, Washington ................................................. 80,000,000
Second Avenue Subway, New York ................................................ 25,000,000
Silicon Valley Rapid Transit Corridor Project, Santa Clara County, California ................................................................. 6,500,000
Silver Line Phase III, Massachusetts ............................................... 4,000,000
Sounder Commuter Rail, Washington ........................................... 5,000,000
Southeast Corridor Multi-Modal Project (T-REX), Colorado .......... 80,000,000
Stamford Urban Transitway, Connecticut ...................................... 10,000,000
Triangle Transit Authority Regional Rail System (Raleigh-Durham), North Carolina ......................................................... 20,000,000
Washington County Commuter Rail Project, Oregon ................... 15,000,000
West Corridor Light Rail, Colorado ................................................ 5,000,000
Denali Commission ...................................................................... 5,000,000

The conferees direct FTA to refrain from reallocating funds provided in fiscal year 2003 and prior year appropriations acts for the Department of Transportation as follows:
Minneapolis, MN Northstar Corridor
Kenosha-Racine-Milwaukee Rail Extension Project
Washington Dulles Corridor Project
Bridgeport, Connecticut, Intermodal Transportation Center
Albuquerque/Greater Albuquerque, New Mexico Mass Transit and Light Rail
Las Vegas, Nevada, Resort Corridor Fixed Guideway
Indianapolis Northeast-Downtown Corridor project
Maryland, [MARC] Commuter Rail Improvements
Wilmington, DE, Downtown Transit Corridor Project
Wilmington, DE, Train Station Improvements

The conferees agree that FTA needed to change the new starts criteria, but reiterate the concern of the Senate in the way FTA implemented the new policy. The conferees direct FTA to report back to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations as directed by the Senate on how FTA will address similar changes in the future.

The conferees direct FTA to refrain from signing any full funding grant agreement with a maximum Federal share higher than 60 percent.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Section 140 exempts previously made transit obligations from limitations on obligations as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 141 allows funds appropriated for capital investment grants not obligated by September 30, 2008, plus other recoveries, to be available for other projects under 49 U.S.C. 5209 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 142 allows transit funds appropriated prior to October 1, 2005 that remain available for expenditure to be transferred to another eligible purpose as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 143 allows prior year funds available for capital investment grants to be used in this fiscal year for such projects as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.
Section 144 addresses transit funds available to Alaska and Hawai‘i for ferry boats as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 145 makes technical changes to a grant made with prior year funds for Burlington, Vermont as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 146 makes technical changes to a grant made with prior year funds for Seattle, Washington as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 147 makes technical changes to funds made available to Charleston, South Carolina as proposed by the conferees.

Section 148 makes technical changes to prior year funds available to Jacksonville, Florida as proposed by the conferees.

Section 149 makes technical changes to prior year funds available to the South Shore Commuter Rail in Indiana as proposed by the conferees.

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

(HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement includes $16,284,000 for the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation as proposed by the House and Senate.

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

MARITIME SECURITY PROGRAM

The conference agreement includes $156,000,000 for the maritime security program as proposed by the House and Senate.

OPERATIONS AND TRAINING

The conference agreement includes $122,249,000 for the Maritime Administration’s operations and training account, instead of $112,336,000 as proposed by the House and $118,649,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement allocates the funds for operations and training as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Conference Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Merchant Marine Academy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary and benefits</td>
<td>$23,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midshipmen program</td>
<td>7,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional program</td>
<td>5,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program direction and</td>
<td>2,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>administration</td>
<td>7,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital improvements</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, USMMA</td>
<td>$61,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Maritime Schools:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student incentive payments</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct scholarship payments</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarship maintenance and</td>
<td>8,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, State Maritime</td>
<td>$11,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARAD Operations:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base operations</td>
<td>34,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Conference Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise architecture &amp; IT security upgrades</td>
<td>4,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA space</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT Electronic Government</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Transportation System Advocate facility</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, MARAD Operations</strong></td>
<td><strong>$49,184</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Operations and Training</strong></td>
<td><strong>$122,249</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SHIP DISPOSAL**

The conference agreement includes $21,000,000 for the disposal of obsolete vessels of the National Defense Reserve Fleet as proposed by the House and Senate.

**MARITIME GUARANTEED LOAN (TITLE XI) PROGRAM ACCOUNT**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

The conference agreement includes $4,126,000 for administrative expenses of the maritime guaranteed loan program (Title XI), instead of $3,526,000 as proposed by the House and $4,726,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement modifies a Senate proposed reporting requirement regarding companies in "Credit Watch". In order to protect proprietary and sensitive business information that may cause direct financial and/or competitive harm, the companies shall not be specifically identified in the report. The conferees direct MARAD to provide the report within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

The conference agreement includes a new reporting requirement, due with the fiscal year 2007 budget submittal, that directs MARAD to detail funds provided or personnel detailed to the Office of the Secretary's credit council since its inception, by year.

**SHIP CONSTRUCTION**

**(RESCISSION)**

The conference agreement includes a rescission of unobligated balances totaling $2,071,280 from the dormant ship construction account as proposed by the House and Senate.

**NATIONAL DEFENSE TANK VESSEL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM**

The conference agreement does not include funds for the National Defense Tank Vessel Construction Program authorized under Public Law 108–136, as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed $25,000,000.

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION**

Section 150 retains a provision proposed by the House and Senate that authorizes MARAD to furnish utilities and services and make necessary repairs in connection with any lease, contract, or occupancy involving Government property under control of MARAD, and allow payments received to be credited to the Treasury, as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Section 151 retains a provision proposed by the House and Senate that prohibits obligations incurred during the current year from construction funds in excess of appropriations contained in
this or prior year appropriations Acts as proposed by both the House and Senate.

**PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION**

**ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES**

The conference agreement provides $16,877,000 for necessary administrative expenses of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), as proposed by the Senate instead of $17,027,000 as proposed by the House. Of this amount, $645,000 is to be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund.

The conferees reduce the budget request by $150,000 to account for the transfer of an attorney to the office of general counsel for the office of emergency transportation litigation caseload.

**HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY**

The conference agreement provides $26,138,000 to continue the agency’s hazardous materials safety functions, as proposed by the Senate instead of $26,183,000 as proposed by the House.

*Spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste shipments.*—The conferees deny funding for four new positions for activities related to assuring the safety of shipments of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste to Skull Valley, Utah, as was requested in the budget. The conferees note the fact that the Bureau of Land Management still has yet to approve the transportation route to the site, which raises significant doubts about the ability for the site to be opened during fiscal year 2006 and the need for the requested positions.

*Hazardous materials regulations compliance.*—The conferees approve the three new positions to help ensure compliance with current hazmat regulations and the associated half-year funding.

**PIPELINE SAFETY**

*(PIPELINE SAFETY FUND)*

*(OIL SPILL LIABILITY TRUST FUND)*

The conference agreement provides $73,010,000 for the office of pipeline safety (OPS), instead of $72,860,000 as proposed by the House and $73,165,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees approve seven of the additional positions requested for OPS, instead of five as proposed by the House and eight as proposed by the Senate.

*Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund.*—The conferees strongly agree with language contained in both the House and Senate reports expressing concern over the significant increases in the request of funds from the oil spill liability trust fund and the lack of justification for these increases in the budget documentation. The conferees once again direct the agency to include an itemization of how funds from the oil spill liability trust fund are being allocated within the OPS in the fiscal year 2007 budget justification.
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS GRANTS

(EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FUND)

The conference agreement provides a total of $14,500,000 for Emergency Preparedness Grants, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

RESEARCH AND INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY ADMINISTRATION
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement provides $5,774,000 to continue research and development activities in fiscal year 2006, instead of $4,326,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate, and stipulates that $1,121,000 of the funds provided shall be available until September 30, 2008. The agreement supports a staffing level of 28 full-time equivalent staff years (FTE).

The conferees reduce funding by $500,000 below the budget by denying the requested increase in hydrogen research.

BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS
LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS

Under the appropriation of the Federal Highway Administration, the conference agreement provides $27,000,000 for the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS).

As has been the practice in previous years, the conferees limit BTS staff to 122 FTE in fiscal year 2006 in order to curtail the significant growth in staffing that occurred previously within this agency.

The language relating to the collection of the motor carrier financial and operating statistics survey is addressed in the office of the secretary section of this statement of the managers, as proposed by the House, instead of under BTS as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $62,499,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the House and Senate.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $26,450,000 for the Surface Transportation Board to fund salaries and expenses from a direct appropriation, instead of $26,622,000 as proposed by the House and $24,388,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes language that allows the Board to offset $1,250,000 of this appropriation from fees collected during the fiscal year, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.
Section 160 retains the provision as proposed by both the House and Senate that allows the Department of Transportation (DOT) to use funds for aircraft, motor vehicles, liability insurance, uniforms, or allowances, as authorized by law.

Section 161 retains the provision that limits appropriations for services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 to the rate for an Executive Level IV, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 162 retains the provision that prohibits funds to be used for salaries and expenses of more than 108 political and Presidential appointees in DOT, instead of 100 appointees as proposed by the House and 109 appointees as proposed by the Senate. The provision also requires that none of the personnel covered by this provision may be assigned on temporary detail outside DOT, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 163 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that prohibits funds from being used to implement section 404 of title 23, United States Code.

Section 164 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that prohibits recipients of funds made available in this Act from releasing certain personal information and photographs from a driver's license or motor vehicle record, without express consent of the person to whom such information pertains; and prohibits the withholding of funds provided in this Act for any grantee if a State is in noncompliance with this provision.

Section 165 retains the provision that permits funds received by specified DOT agencies from States or other private or public sources for expenses incurred for training to be credited to certain specified agency accounts, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 166 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that authorizes the Secretary of Transportation to allow issuers of any preferred stock sold to the Department to redeem or repurchase such stock upon the payment to the Department of an amount determined by the Secretary.

Section 167 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that prohibits funds from being used to make a grant unless the Secretary of Transportation notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no less than three days in advance of any discretionary grant award, letter of intent, or full funding grant agreement totaling $1,000,000 or more.

Section 168 retains the provision that allows funds received from rebates, refunds, and similar sources to be credited to appropriations of the DOT, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 169 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that allows amounts from improper payments to a third party contractor that are lawfully recovered by the DOT to be available to cover expenses incurred in the recovery of such payments.

Section 170 retains the provision that allows the Secretary of Transportation to transfer unexpended sums from “Office of the Secretary, Salaries and Expenses” to “Minority Business Outreach”, as proposed by the House and Senate.
Section 171 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that prohibits the Office of the Secretary of Transportation from approving assessments or reimbursable agreements pertaining to funds appropriated to the modal administrations in this Act, unless such assessments or agreements have completed the normal reprogramming process for Congressional notification.

Section 172 retains the provision as proposed by the House that prohibits the use of funds to implement an essential air service local cost share participation pilot program. The Senate included a similar provision in title VII.

Section 173 includes a provision similar to what was proposed by the Senate that amends Section 14711(c) of title 49 to allow DOT to be substituted for a State in civil actions to enforce certain consumer protection provisions. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 174 includes a provision similar to what was proposed by the Senate that modifies title 23 relating to contracting for engineering and design services to no longer permit such services to be awarded under State qualifications. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 175 retains a Senate provision making eligible for the FAA's Airport Improvement Program a project meeting certain specified requirements. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 176 includes a Senate provision that allows a small hub to be eligible to receive terminal funding if the airport received a discretionary grant while the airport was designated as a non-primary airport. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 177 retains a Senate provision amending title 49 to deem an air tour operator flying over the Hoover Dam to the Grand Canyon National Park as flying solely as a transportation route. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 178 retains a Senate provision extending a requirement for air carriers to honor tickets for suspended air passenger service. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 179 retains a Senate provision that allows former flight service station employees within two years of retirement to remain temporary FAA employees until they reach retirement eligibility. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 180 retains a Senate provision that authorizes conveyance of land to establish a heliport in Clark County, Nevada. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 181 retains a Senate provision amending section 29(c) of the Public Law 96–192. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 182 includes a new provision that modifies a provision relating to the delivery of budget justifications.

Section 183 includes a new provision that modifies a provision relating to processing of reprogrammings.

Section 184 includes a new provision that modifies designations relating to certain highway projects in Vermont.

Section 185 modifies House language to provide up to a total of $17,000,000 to reimburse fixed based general aviation operators and providers of general aviation ground support services at five
facilities that incurred financial losses when the Federal government closed the facilities due to the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. Each of the five facilities was closed to general aviation operations on September 11, 2001. Three airports in Maryland were reopened to such operations on March 2, 2002; the South Capitol Street Heliport was permanently closed to general aviation; and Ronald Reagan National Airport was reopened to general aviation operations on October 18, 2005.

It is the conferees intent that reimbursement cover the unilateral closures of these facilities after September 11, 2001. It is not the conferees intent to reimburse for closures resulting from a business operation or facility action or inaction. The conferees note without prejudice that DOT's September 2005 report estimated losses incurred through January 23, 2004 at $10,443,936. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

The language specifies that of the amount provided, up to $5,000,000 will be distributed, if necessary, to the fixed based operators and providers of general aviation ground support services at the three affected Maryland airports. Further, DOT is directed to verify direct and incremental financial losses through an independent audit no later than July 14, 2006 before any funds are provided. In addition, obligation and expenditure of funds are conditional upon full release of the government for all financial claims from the closing of these facilities.

Section 186 includes a new provision that modifies designations relating to certain highway projects in Alaska.

Section 187 includes a provision similar to what was proposed by the Senate that provides $1,000,000 from the amounts made available in Section 112 of this Act to conduct a study and issue a report relating to catastrophic hurricane evacuation plans. The House did not include a similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would have reduced the fiscal year 2006 working capital fund limitation of DOT by $1,000,000.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would have designated the city of Norman, Oklahoma, to be considered part of the Oklahoma City Transportation urbanized area.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would have required the use of a sliding scale match ratio for certain transportation projects in the States of Idaho and Washington.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would have modified the designation relating to a certain project in the State of New York.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that would have modified the designation relating to a certain project in the State of New York.
The conference agreement provides $196,592,000 for depart-
tmental salaries and expenses instead of $157,452,000 as proposed
by the House and $197,591,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the
amount provided, not more than $3,000,000 is for travel expenses,
not more than $3,000,000 is for information technology moderniza-
tion, $258,000 is for emergencies or activities of a confidential na-
ture, $5,173,000 is for Treasury-wide financial audits, and $100,000
is for reception and representation expenses.

For the activities under this heading, the conferees recommend
the following funding levels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Direction</td>
<td>$8,642,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Counsel</td>
<td>$7,852,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Policies</td>
<td>$32,011,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Policies</td>
<td>$26,574,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Crimes</td>
<td>$39,939,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury-wide Management</td>
<td>$16,843,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$63,731,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the funds provided for the financial crimes activity, the con-
feres have agreed to include bill language providing $22,032,016
and not less than 125 full-time equivalent positions for the Office
of Foreign Assets Control. The conferees direct that the Office of
the Under Secretary for Terrorism and Financial Crimes shall be
funded at no more than $1,998,000 to ensure that resources are di-
rected to the operational offices. The conferees agree to the Senate
provision, in lieu of the House provision, directing the Assistant
Secretary for Intelligence and Analysis to report on the Office of In-
telligence and Analysis within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

The conference agreement includes a provision allowing the
Department to transfer up to two percent of funds available be-
tween activities. In addition, the conferees direct the Department,
including all bureaus and offices and the Internal Revenue Service,
to submit an operating plan 60 days after enactment of this Act for
fiscal year 2006 resources. The plan must include by office and by
activity, a comparison of fiscal year 2005 actual expenditures, the
fiscal year 2006 budget request, and the fiscal year 2006 resources
including full-time equivalent positions and appropriated funds,
and all initiatives underway at the Department.

The conference agreement includes $1,000,000, available until
expended, for combating trade violations, including currency ma-
nipulation as similarly proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement does not include an increase of
$720,000 for the Treasury media room and $1,000,000 for the
building fund. The conferees agree that the Department must
budget for capital expenses of the building, but have instead pro-
vided funds for the completion of the building renovation under a
different account. The conferees direct the Department to include
in the fiscal year 2007 budget request a proposal to fund building
operations and maintenance expenses.
The conferees direct the Secretary to submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations providing a legal basis for the application of section 1.148-1(c) of the United States Treasury Regulations (regarding arbitrage bond regulations) to the reserve funds held by the Clean Water and Safe Drinking Water State revolving funds which generally contain replacement proceeds but not bond proceeds. This report should be submitted by no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Of the funds provided for Financial Policies, $1,500,000 is for the e-Cavern partnership and $250,000 is for Treasury’s public key infrastructure.

DEPARTMENT-WIDE SYSTEMS AND CAPITAL INVESTMENTS PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $24,412,000 for systems and capital investments as proposed by the Senate instead of $21,412,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees direct the Department to provide detailed information on all systems, especially the TFIN project, in the operating plan as proposed by the House.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $17,000,000 for salaries and expenses of the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of $16,722,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the amounts provided, up to $2,000,000 may be used for travel, $100,000 may be used for emergencies or activities of a confidential nature, and up to $2,500 may be used for reception and representation expenses.

TREASURY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR TAX ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $133,286,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of the amounts provided, $6,000,000 is for travel expenses, $500,000 is for emergencies, and $1,500 is for reception and representation expenses.

AIR TRANSPORTATION STABILIZATION PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement provides $2,750,000, to remain available until expended, for the costs of the air transportation stabilization program instead of $2,942,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include funds for this program.

TREASURY BUILDING AND ANNEX REPAIR AND RESTORATION

The conference agreement provides $10,000,000 for the repair and restoration of the Treasury building as proposed by both the House and the Senate.
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FINANCIAL CRIMES ENFORCEMENT NETWORK

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $73,630,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of the amounts provided, not more than $14,000 is for reception and representation expenses, $6,944,000 is available until September 30, 2008, and $8,521,000 is available until September 30, 2007.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $236,243,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of the amounts provided, $9,220,000 is available until September 30, 2008 and $2,500 is available for reception and representation expenses.

ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO TAX AND TRADE BUREAU

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $91,126,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of the amounts provided, not more than $6,000 is for reception and representation expenses and $50,000 is for cooperative research.

UNITED STATES MINT

UNITED STATES MINT PUBLIC ENTERPRISE FUND

The conference agreement limits the amounts available for salaries and expenses to not more than $26,768,000 instead of $36,900,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate, based on a revised estimate of costs.

BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

ADMINISTERING THE PUBLIC DEBT

The conference agreement provides $176,923,000 for costs associated with administering the public debt as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of the amounts provided, not more than $2,500 is for reception and representation expenses and $2,000,000 is for systems modernization. The conference agreement includes $3,000,000 in user fees to offset the appropriated amounts and $70,000 from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to reimburse the Bureau for various administrative expenses.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS FUND

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement provides $55,000,000, available until September 30, 2007 as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed the same level of funding with one year availability. Of the amounts provided, up to $13,500,000 is for administrative costs,
$6,000,000 is for direct loans, $250,000 is for administrative expenses of the direct loan program, and $4,000,000 is for technical assistance and other purposes for Native American, Native Hawaiian, and Alaskan Native communities. The conference agreement includes language that limits loan obligations of up to $11,000,000, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement directs that the Bank Enterprise Award program be funded at no less than $11,000,000.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

PROCESSING, ASSISTANCE, AND MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes $4,136,578,000 for Processing, Assistance and Management as proposed by the Senate, instead of $4,181,520,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees direct IRS to consult with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations prior to elimination, consolidation, or reorganization of the workforce and direct IRS not to proceed with any such activity unless explicitly approved by the Committees through the IRS operating plan.

The conferees direct the IRS, the IRS Oversight Board and the National Taxpayer Advocate to develop a 5-year plan for taxpayer service activities and report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by April 14, 2006, as outlined in the Senate report. The plan should include long-term goals that are strategic and quantitative and that balance enforcement and service.

The conferees direct the IRS, in consultation with the National Taxpayer Advocate, to report by June 30, 2006 on uses of the Debt Indicator Tool—and whether it facilitates the use of refund anticipation loans (RALs)—the debt collection offset practice, the use of RALs, and evaluations of RAL alternatives, and use of debit cards for refunds, including recommendations on how to deliver tax refunds more quickly.

The conferees are aware that the IRS and the Free File Alliance have signed a new, four-year agreement under which IRS continues to agree not to enter the tax preparation market. The conferees direct IRS to abide by the terms and conditions of that agreement.

The conference agreement rescinds $20,000,000 in unobligated prior year balances from the Processing, Assistance and Management account.

TAX LAW ENFORCEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $4,725,756,000 for Tax Law Enforcement as proposed by the Senate, instead of $4,580,216,000 as proposed by the House. Language providing $55,584,000 for the Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement (ICDE) program is included, as proposed by House. The conference agreement permits the transfer of up to $10,000,000 for management of the ICDE program, as proposed by the House. In addition, the conference agreement allows for the transfer of up to $10,000,000 to the Social Se-
curity Administration as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate that designates $1,000,000 available until September 30, 2008, for research. The House did not include similar language.

The conferees direct IRS to report back to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on tax enforcement, including estimates for the entire program, enforcement spending, workload indicators, direct tax enforcement-related revenue and an explanation of the methodology and accuracy of the estimates provided. The report shall be submitted by no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

INFORMATION SYSTEMS

The conference agreement provides $1,598,967,000 for information systems instead of $1,575,146,000 as proposed by the House and $1,597,717,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the amount provided, the conferees provide $1,250,000 for a vulnerability management solution that continuously discovers network exposures through an appliance-based technology, running a hardened operating system.

BUSINESS SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION

The conference agreement provides $199,000,000 for Business Systems Modernization as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Language is retained, proposed by both the House and the Senate, requiring a spend plan from the IRS prior to the release of these funds.

HEALTH INSURANCE TAX CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $20,210,000 for administration of the Health Insurance Tax Credit program as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement rescinds $9,000,000 in unobligated prior year balances from the Health Insurance Tax Credit Administration account.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Section 201 retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate that provides transfer authority.

Section 202 retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate that requires IRS to maintain training in taxpayer service.

Section 203 retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate that requires IRS to safeguard taxpayer information.

Section 204 retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate that permits funding for 1–800 help line services and directs the Commissioner to make improving phone service a priority.

Section 205 amends a provision included by both the House and the Senate prohibiting funds to reduce taxpayer services until TIGTA completes a study on impacts to compliance.
Section 206 retains a provision included by the Senate that specifies $6,447,000,000 for enhanced tax enforcement. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 207 amends a provision included by the Senate specifying $166,249,000 for operating expenses of the Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS), of which $141,311,650 shall be made available from the Tax Law Enforcement account. The conferees direct the IRS to continue providing overhead support from accounts outside of TAS. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 208 includes a provision requiring the IRS to submit its fiscal year 2007 budget justification in the existing account structure.

Section 209 retains a provision included by the Senate that repeals the limitation on user fees to supplement appropriations. The House did not include a similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes a provision included by the Senate that requires a tax enforcement report.

**Administrative Provisions—Department of the Treasury (Including Transfer of Funds)**

Section 210 allows Treasury to purchase uniforms, lease vehicles, and engage in other activities pursuant to title 5 U.S.C. 5901 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 211 allows for the transfer of up to two percent of funds between Departmental Offices and the various Treasury bureaus, except the IRS as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 212 allows for the transfer of up to two percent from the IRS accounts to TIGTA as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 213 directs that the purchase of vehicles be consistent with vehicle management principles.

Section 214 prohibits funds to be used to redesign the $1 note as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 215 allows for the transfer of funds from “Financial management service, salaries and expenses” to the Debt Collection Fund conditional on future reimbursement as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 216 extends the franchise fund for one year as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 217 prohibits funds to build a United States Mint museum without the approval of the authorizing committees of jurisdiction as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 218 prohibits funds for consolidating functions of the United States Mint and the Bureau of Engraving and Printing without the approval of the authorizing committees of jurisdiction as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 219 prohibits funds to reallocate the funds provided to the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN), or merge FinCEN into the departmental offices as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.
The conferees reiterate that the Department must limit the reprogramming of funds between the programs, projects, and activities within each account to not more than $500,000 without prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations. Unless otherwise identified in this Statement of Managers or Committee reports, the most detailed allocation of funds presented in the budget justifications is approved, with any deviation from such approved allocation subject to the normal reprogramming requirements. Further, it is the intent of the conferees that all carryover funds in the various accounts, including recaptures and de-obligations, are subject to the normal reprogramming requirements outlined above. Further, no changes may be made to any program, project, or activity if it is construed to be policy or a change in policy, without prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations. Finally, the conferees expect to be notified regarding reorganizations of offices, programs or activities prior to the planned implementation of such reorganizations, as well as be notified, on a monthly basis, of all ongoing litigation, including any negotiations or discussions, planned or ongoing, regarding a consent decree between the Department and any other entity, including the estimated costs of such decrees.

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

TENANT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The joint statement of the managers herein reflects the agreement of the conferees on tenant-based rental assistance. The conference agreement appropriates $15,573,655,725 for all tenant-based Section 8 (voucher) activities under the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Account. The House proposed $15,631,400,000 and the Senate proposed $15,636,064,000 for these activities. Language is included designating funds provided as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Conference agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voucher Renewals</td>
<td>$14,089,755,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Protection Vouchers</td>
<td>$180,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Costs (Administrative Fees)</td>
<td>$1,250,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Self-Sufficiency Coordinators</td>
<td>$48,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital Fund</td>
<td>$5,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, Tenant Based Rental Assistance</td>
<td>15,573,655,725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 8 Voucher Renewals.—The conference agreement includes $14,089,755,725 instead of $14,089,756,000 as proposed by the Senate and $14,189,756,725 as proposed by the House. The conferees continue the 2005 allocation method as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed to revise the allocation methodology.

The conferees direct HUD not to use recaptures from any source or any project-based carryover to augment total 2006 funding for this account. In addition, the conferees direct HUD to provide all public housing agencies (PHAs) with a fixed, annual budget
within which each agency must manage its voucher programs for fiscal year 2006. The conferees expect that Moving To Work (MTW) agencies will be funded based on their agreements and are subject to the same adjustments made to all other PHA annual budgets based on funding availability. HUD may make any necessary adjustments for the costs associated with the first-time renewals of tenant protection and HOPE VI vouchers in 2005. The conferees further direct the Department to commit the entire amount of funds provided for voucher renewals to the public housing authorities at the time annual budgets of the public housing authorities are established.

The conferees direct HUD to provide funds to PHAs based on the amounts PHAs would have received in fiscal year 2005 before any pro rata reductions, and adjusted for the 2005 AAF and the 2006 AAF for each PHA, plus the estimated number of first time renewals of vouchers that will enter the Tenant Based Rental Assistance Account from other forms of assistance. The conferees direct HUD, to the extent necessary, to pro rate each public housing agency’s budget to stay within the amount appropriated.

The conference agreement includes up to $45,000,000 in funds to adjust the baseline amount for PHAs that for anomalous reasons, or unforeseen circumstances, were significantly under leased at the time the baseline was set. Examples include the timing of the PHAs fiscal year, portability or other unforeseen circumstances, including the assignment of a significant number of vouchers, which results in a sharp rise in costs. The Secretary has full discretion to determine the appropriate amount of adjustment. HUD is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on requests made by PHAs for adjustments to allocations and the final decisions made by the Department.

The conferees reiterate House report language that requires HUD to track and report on the extent to which subsidy changes are due to changes in rent costs and changes in tenant incomes.

Tenant Protection.—The conference agreement includes $180,000,000 for rental subsidies for tenant protection activities instead of $165,700,000 as proposed by the House and $192,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, to replace project-based Section 8 assistance with section 8 vouchers, for conversion of section 202 and section 23 projects to section 8 assistance, and for the family reunification program and for the witness protection program.

Administrative Fees.—The conference agreement includes $1,250,000,000 for public housing agencies’ administrative costs and other expenses, instead of $1,225,000,000 as proposed by the House and $1,295,408,000 as proposed by the Senate. Language is included making up to $10,000,000, as proposed by the Senate, available to the Secretary to allocate to public housing agencies that need additional funds to administer their programs. The House had provided $25,000,000. The conferees direct the Department to specify the activities eligible for this funding in the notice to be issued within sixty days of enactment of this Act. The Senate did not include similar language. The conferees did not adopt language included in the House bill that allowed the transfer of up to $200,000,000 in tenant-based funds to the project based account.
Family Self Sufficiency Coordinators.—The conference agreement includes $48,000,000 for public housing agencies family self-sufficiency coordinator staff as proposed by the Senate instead of $45,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Working Capital Fund.—The conference agreement includes $5,900,000 for transfer to the Working Capital Fund as proposed by the House and Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate that limits funds for litigation and settlements to $12,000,000. The House had more narrow language.

HOUSING CERTIFICATE FUND
(RESCISION)

The conference agreement includes a rescission of $2,050,000,000 from unobligated balances and recaptures from prior-year appropriations provided in the tenant-based rental assistance and the project-based rental assistance accounts or any other account within this title. This rescission is to be effected no later than September 30, 2006. The House proposed to rescind $2,500,000,000 and the Senate proposed to rescind $1,500,000,000.

The conferees direct that the Department rescind funds provided to Section 8 programs in prior years to the maximum extent possible. The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate to require that HUD and OMB salaries be reduced 10 percent if sufficient Section 8 funds are not available. Instead, language is included that directs HUD to notify the Committees on Appropriations 30 days in advance if unobligated balances in any other accounts will be required to implement the rescission.

PROJECT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $5,088,300,000 for project-based rental assistance activities as proposed by the House, instead of $5,072,100,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides funds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Conference agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project-Based Contract Renewals</td>
<td>$4,939,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Administrators</td>
<td>147,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital Fund</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Project-Based Rental Assistance</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,088,300,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language is included, similar to language proposed by the House and the Senate, designating $4,939,700,000 for renewals and amendment of section 8 project-based contracts, section 8 moderate rehabilitation contracts (including associated PHA administrative expenses), Emergency Low-Income Housing Preservation Reform Act (ELIHPRA) and Low-Income Housing Preservation Reform Act (LIHPRA) contracts, and section 441 single room occupancy contracts (including associated PHA administrative expenses).

Language is included, as proposed by the Senate, designating $147,200,000 for performance-based contract administrators. The conference agreement also includes language proposed by the House that would allow these funds for the inspection and adminis-
ivation of units funded through elderly and disabled, section 236, rent supplement and rental assistance programs and the section 202 loan programs.

The conference agreement assumes that project-based section 8 contract amendment funding requirements for fiscal year 2006 can also be met through the use of recaptures available in the Housing Certificate Fund, as proposed in the budget request. Language is included elsewhere in this title making funds available for such purpose.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House that allowed up to $200,000,000 to be transferred from the tenant-based account to the project-based account.

The conferees direct that the Department conduct a study and prepare a report that describes the progress, if any, in improving the living conditions of the tenants of the Evergreen I and Evergreen II housing complexes in Joliet, Illinois, by the owners of such complexes. An interim report is required within six months of enactment of this Act. A final report is required within 12 months of enactment of this Act, which shall detail findings and recommendations, if any.

PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $2,463,600,000 for the Public Housing Capital Fund, instead of $2,600,000,000 as proposed by the House and $2,327,200,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the total funding the conference agreement includes:

—up to $8,820,000 to support the costs of existing administrative and judicial receiverships in effect as of the date of enactment of this Act, as proposed by the House and Senate;
—$11,000,000 for information technology systems instead of $10,000,000 as proposed by the House and $13,200,000 as proposed by the Senate; and
—up to $17,000,000 for emergency capital needs resulting from unforeseen emergencies or natural disasters in fiscal year 2006 as proposed by both the House and Senate. Additional language is included that was not in either the House or Senate proposal to add the word “unpreventable” to the definition of “emergency”.

The conference agreement includes $38,000,000 for the Resident Opportunity Self-Sufficiency (ROSS) program, instead of $24,000,000 as proposed by the House and $45,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $7,500,000 for Neighborhood Networks grants similar to language proposed by the Senate, but does not include $1,000,000 for technical assistance grants. The House did not include separate funding for this activity. The conferees direct the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations no later than July 15, 2006 on the effectiveness of this program in assisting low-income households in developing skills related to computer technology.

The conference agreement does not designate up to $20,000,000 for demolition, relocation and site remediation for ob-
solete and distressed public housing units as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include funds for this activity.

PUBLIC HOUSING OPERATING FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $3,600,000,000 for the Public Housing Operating Fund as proposed by the House instead of $3,557,300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House requiring HUD to implement the negotiated rule as described in the “Post 4th Session Rule” since HUD has postponed the effective date of the rule and has instead issued a letter that establishes broad participation by PHAs in developing the technical guidance to implement the rule. The conferees direct HUD to provide quarterly updates to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the status of the implementing rule and directs that the Department include broad participation from impacted agencies.

The conference agreement directs that up to $10,000,000 be used for a program to provide bonus funding for PHAs that assist families in moving away from dependency on housing assistance programs, as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision. The conferees expect the Department to allocate these funds through a Notice of Funding Availability that provides clear eligibility criteria for this program.

The conference agreement includes language that restricts funding to operations in fiscal year 2006 and includes language proposed by the Senate that would make this annual requirement permanent law.

The conferees believe it is time to consider alternative approaches to the HOPE VI program that provide flexible authority for PHAs to address obsolete housing as well as new tools for PHAs to develop mixed income housing.

REVITALIZATION OF SEVERELY DISTRESSED PUBLIC HOUSING (HOPE VI)

The conference agreement appropriates $100,000,000 for the Revitalization of Severely Distressed Public Housing program (HOPE VI), instead of $150,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and $60,000,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement allows up to $2,000,000 may be used for technical assistance. Language is included making funds available for obligation until September 30, 2007.

The conferees believe it is time to consider alternative approaches to the HOPE VI program that provide flexible authority for PHAs to address obsolete housing as well as new tools for PHAs to develop mixed income housing.

NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $630,000,000 instead of $622,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and $600,000,000 as proposed by the House.
The conference agreement includes $4,500,000 for inspections, training, and technical assistance and $1,000,000 for the National American Indian Housing Council for technical assistance and capacity building. The House proposed $2,308,000 and $1,200,000 respectively for these activities. The Senate proposed $4,500,000 and $2,200,000 for these activities respectively.

The conference agreement requires that HUD distribute the needs portion of the formula distribution on the basis of either single race or multi race data whichever is the most advantageous to the grant recipient, as proposed by the House. Sufficient additional funds have been added to the base, along with uncommitted carryover from 2005, to ensure that no grantee is disadvantaged.

The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 for guaranteed loans to subsidize a total guaranteed loan principal of up to $17,926,000 as proposed by both the House and Senate and includes modified language transferring $150,000 to the Department’s Salaries and Expenses Account, as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes no funds for transfer to the Working Capital Fund for information technology systems as proposed by the House instead of $2,600,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANT

The conference agreement provides $8,815,000 for the Native Hawaiian Housing Block Grant as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The Senate included the funds as part of the Community Development Fund.

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $4,000,000, to subsidize a loan limitation of up to $116,276,000 instead of $2,645,000 as proposed by the House and $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $900,000 for guaranteed loans for Native Hawaiian housing, instead of $882,000 as proposed by the House and $1,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, to subsidize a total guaranteed loan principal of up to $35,714,290.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS

The conference agreement appropriates $289,000,000 for Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) instead of $290,000,000 as proposed by the House and $287,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Up to $1,500,000 is provided for technical assistance instead of $1,000,000 as proposed by the House and $2,200,000 as proposed by the Senate. HUD is directed to dis-
tribute 90 percent of the funds through the formula and 10 percent through a national competition.

RURAL HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement appropriates $17,000,000 for rural housing and economic development instead of $10,000,000 as proposed by the House and $24,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Language is included requiring funds to be awarded competitively by September 1, 2006 as proposed by both the House and Senate.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $4,220,000,000 for the Community Development Fund, compared to $4,243,000,000 as proposed by the House, and $4,323,610,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree to the following:

- Formula distribution of funds $3,748,400,000
- Economic Development Initiative Grants $310,000,000
- Transfer to the Working Capital Fund $1,600,000
- Indian Economic Block Grants $60,000,000
- Youthbuild $50,000,000
- Neighborhood Initiatives Program $50,000,000

The conference agreement includes modified language making technical corrections to certain targeted economic development initiative grants funded under this heading in prior appropriations Acts, similar to language proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House transferring up to $1,600,000 to the Working Capital Fund for development of and modifications to information technology systems. The Senate had proposed $3,000,000 for this transfer.

The conference agreement includes $60,000,000 for the Indian Economic Block Grant program, of which up to $4,000,000 is for emergencies. The House proposed $45,000,000 as part of the Native American Housing Block Grant program. The Senate proposed $69,000,000 for this grant program.

The conference agreement provides $50,000,000 for the Youthbuild program instead of $55,000,000 proposed by the Senate. The House did not include Youthbuild as a separate program.

The conference agreement includes language limiting the use of funds provided under this heading for planning, management and administration to not more than 20 percent of the funds provided except for amounts provided for certain activities as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $310,000,000 for the Economic Development Initiative with specific requirements on how these funds can be used. The conference agreement directs HUD to implement the Economic Development Initiatives program as follows:

1. $100,000 to the City of Anchorage, Alaska for facilities construction associated with the SAFE Center at Chester Creek;
2. $400,000 for Bean’s Café in Anchorage, Alaska for the expansion of its kitchen;
3. $150,000 for the Alaska Botanical Garden in Anchorage, Alaska for expansion and renovation of its infrastructure;
4. $750,000 for the Bering Straits Native Corporation in Nome, Alaska for Cape Nome Quarry upgrades;
5. $950,000 for the Western Alaska Council, Boy Scouts of America in Anchorage, Alaska for construction of the Boy Scouts High Adventure Base Camp near Talkeetna, Alaska;
6. $750,000 for the construction of the Tongass Coast Aquarium;
7. $750,000 for Alaska Pacific University for the construction of a building;
8. $250,000 for the construction of the Alyeska Roundhouse in Girdwood, Alaska;
9. $500,000 for the People’s Regional Learning Center in Bethel, Alaska to construct a vocational school and dormitories;
10. $500,000 for the Dillingham City School District in Dillingham, Alaska, to repair the gymnasium in the Dillingham middle/high school;
11. $250,000 National Children’s Advocacy Center in Huntsville, Alabama for facilities planning and improvements to the advocacy center;
12. $200,000 to Chambers County, Alabama for the development of the Chambers County industrial park;
13. $400,000 to Clarke County, Alabama for an ongoing economic development project by the Clark Co. commission;
14. $200,000 to the City of Ashland, Alabama for the purchase of land for Ashland industrial development;
15. $300,000 to the City of Decatur, Alabama for the Ingalls Harbor/Day Park Riverfront Renovation;
16. $200,000 to the City of Fort Payne, Alabama for acquisition as part of the downtown revitalization project;
17. $100,000 to the City of Guntersville, Alabama for renovations to the Whole Backstage Theater;
18. $100,000 to the City of Huntsville, Alabama for land acquisition for downtown redevelopment;
19. $250,000 to the City of Montevallo, Alabama for facilities renovation and expansion of the Ramsay Conference Center at the University of Montevallo in Alabama;
20. $100,000 to the City of Montevallo, Alabama for sidewalks, street furniture, and façade improvements;
21. $1,000,000 to the City of Opelika, Alabama for the North-east Opelika Industrial Park;
22. $150,000 to the City of Prattville, Alabama for the Prattville Waterfront Development Project to provide access to local waterways;
23. $100,000 to the City of Robertsdale, Alabama for upgrades to the PZK Civic Center;
26. $100,000 to the City of Shorter, Alabama for facilities construction and renovation of the Old Shorter School building to a community center;

27. $150,000 to the City of Thomasville, Alabama to construct a worker training center at Alabama Southern Community Center;

28. $275,000 to the City of Troy, Alabama for small business training at the Troy University Center for International Trade and Business Development;

29. $100,000 to the Huntsville Museum of Art, Alabama for facility renovations;

30. $75,000 to the Town of Mooresville, Alabama for rehabilitation, facility improvements, and buildout of three buildings;

31. $400,000 for Construction and outfitting of the University of South Alabama’s Mitchell School of Business Library in Mobile, Alabama;

32. $400,000 for construction and outfitting of the New Centurions, Inc. New Life for Women Shelter in Etowah County, Alabama;

33. $250,000 for the Greenville Family YMCA for child care facility acquisition, renovation, and construction in Greenville, Alabama;

34. $300,000 for the City of Evergreen for expansion of the Evergreen Conecuh County Library in Evergreen, Alabama;

35. $400,000 for the Fayette County Commission for the Fayette County Industrial Park in Fayette County, Alabama;

36. $200,000 for the Hayneville/Lowndes County Library Foundation for construction of a new library in Hayneville, Alabama;

37. $350,000 for the Jasper Area Family Services Center for construction of the Center in Jasper, Alabama;

38. $300,000 for the City of Tuskegee for Downtown Revitalization in Tuskegee, Alabama;

39. $400,000 for the Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind’s Tuscaloosa Regional Center in Tuscaloosa, Alabama;

40. $250,000 for the City of Montgomery to develop the Montgomery Riverwalk in Montgomery, Alabama;

41. $250,000 for the Cleveland Avenue YMCA for facility expansion in Montgomery, Alabama;

42. $200,000 for the Wilcox County Industrial Development Authority for planning and development of its Industrial/Commercial Park;

43. $300,000 for the City of Guin in Wilcox County, Alabama for planning and development of its Industrial/Commercial Park;

44. $150,000 to Grand Prairie Center for the Arts and Allied Health, Phillips County Community College in Stuttgart, Arkansas for facility construction;

45. $150,000 to the City of Little Rock, Arkansas for facilities renovation and improvements to the community center at Granite Mountain;

46. $150,000 to the El Dorado Public Schools in El Dorado, Arkansas for the expansion of a recreational field;

47. $150,000 to the North Arkansas College, Harrison County, Arkansas for renovations to a Conference and Training facility;
48. $250,000 to Vada Sheid Community Development Center, ASU in Mountain Home, Arkansas for the community development center auditorium;
49. $800,000 for the Central Arkansas Resource Conservation and Development Council in Helena, Arkansas for the construction of the Phillips County Agricultural Storage Facility;
50. $200,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of Ouachita County, Arkansas for the construction of recreational facilities;
51. $200,000 for the City of Conway, Arkansas for downtown revitalization;
52. $200,000 for Audubon Arkansas for the development of the Audubon Nature Center at Gillam Park in Little Rock, Arkansas;
53. $600,000 to Chicanos Por La Causa in Phoenix, Arizona for redevelopment of the Nuestro Barrio Community;
54. $250,000 to Chicanos Por La Causa in Phoenix, Arizona for land acquisition and redevelopment of the East Washington Fluff site;
55. $250,000 to Pinal County, Arizona for the renovation and repair of the Pinal County Courthouse;
56. $350,000 to the City of Douglas, Arizona for facilities renovation of the Grand Theater;
57. $500,000 to the City of Eloy, Arizona for construction of a community center;
58. $250,000 to the City of Globe, Arizona for land acquisition and streetscape improvements;
59. $180,000 to the City of Scottsdale, Arizona for the renovation of the Vista del Camino Community Center;
60. $650,000 to the City of Sierra Vista, Arizona for construction of the Boys & Girls Club in Sierra Vista;
61. $150,000 to the Dunbar Coalition in Tucson, Arizona for the Dunbar Project;
62. $350,000 to Valley of the Sun YMCA in Phoenix, Arizona for facilities construction of a YMCA;
63. $150,000 to Chualar, California for construction of a multi-purpose cultural room on the Chualar Elementary School campus;
64. $150,000 to Merced County, California for renovation of the George Washington Carver Community Center in Dos Palos, California;
65. $150,000 to Mono County, California for the Library Authority Board of Education for construction of a building;
66. $100,000 to San Bernardino County, CA for the development of the Santa Ana River Regional Park;
67. $200,000 to Solano County, California for renovation of two structures used by local veterans groups;
68. $150,000 to Taylor Yard Park in Los Angeles, California for recreational equipment and other park upgrades that will serve at-risk youth;
69. $250,000 to the City of Alhambra, California for development and construction of a park;
70. $1,000,000 to the City of Apple Valley, California for Civic Center Park development;
71. $250,000 to the City of Banning, CA for city pool improvements;
72. $350,000 to the City of Beaumont, CA for the construction of the Beaumont Sports Park;
73. $200,000 to the City of Bell Gardens, California for renovation and update of facilities;
74. $100,000 to the City of Bishop, California for improvements to City Housing;
75. $75,000 to the City of Chino, California for construction of a facility for the Hillview Acres Children’s Home;
76. $150,000 to the City of Chowchilla, California for reconstruction of an industrial park;
77. $80,000 to the City of Colfax, California for an expansion of the Youth Center;
78. $150,000 to the City of Colton, California for improvements to Veterans Park;
79. $100,000 to the City of Corona, California for the renovation of the Old City Hall;
80. $1,000,000 to the City of Crescenta Valley, California for the ongoing construction of a new library;
81. $350,000 to the City of Davis, California; to complete the design and construction of Shafter Research and Extension Center at the University of California, Davis;
82. $250,000 to the City of Diamond Bar, California for the renovation of the Diamond Bar High School and Community Sports Field;
83. $150,000 to the City of East Palo Alto, California for the construction of facilities for community services;
84. $350,000 to the City of El Monte, California for construction of a community gymnasium;
85. $250,000 to the City of Encinitas, California for the construction of a visitor center in the San Elijo Lagoon Open Space Preserve;
86. $250,000 to the City of Greenfield, California for construction of a multipurpose community facility;
87. $100,000 to the City of Huntington Beach, California for the planning and design phase of a senior center;
88. $200,000 to the City of Huntington Park, California for renovation of a recreation center building;
89. $500,000 to the City of Idyllwild, California for building cabins and dining hall improvements at Ronald McDonald camp;
90. $200,000 to the City of Inglewood, California for construction of a new senior center;
91. $75,000 to the City of La Habra, California to rehabilitate the La Habra Vista Grande Park;
92. $150,000 to the City of La Mirada, California for construction of an aquatic center;
93. $250,000 to the City of Lake Morena, California for the design of a residential facility for homeless youth;
94. $250,000 to the City of Lancaster, California for installations related to the baseball complex;
95. $100,000 to the City of Lancaster, California for improvements to the Boys and Girls Club of Antelope Valley;
96. $100,000 to the City of Lompoc, California to construct a new C.N.A. training center;
97. $50,000 to the City of Lompoc, California to construct an elevator for a building that serves the disabled;
98. $150,000 to the City of Long Beach, California to develop an exhibit to educate the public on the importance of ports;
99. $125,000 to the City of Los Angeles, California for the Esperanza Community Maple-Mae Project;
100. $400,000 to the City of Los Angeles, California for site acquisition and development;
101. $100,000 to the City of Madera, California to construct a youth center for at risk youth;
102. $200,000 to the City of Mariposa, California for preservation of the CA Mining and Mineral Museum;
103. $150,000 to the City of Mendota, California for construction of the Rural Vocational Training Facility (RVTF);
104. $50,000 to the City of Oak View, California for rehabilitation of the multi-purpose room and kitchen of the Oak View Park and Resource Center;
105. $150,000 to the City of Oakland, California for renovation of historic Fruitvale Masonic Temple;
106. $200,000 to the City of Oceanside, California for a Senior Center facility to serve seniors from Oceanside, Vista, Carlsbad and San Marcos;
107. $100,000 to the City of Oroville, California for Vega Center renovations;
108. $200,000 to the City of Pico Rivera, California for the expansion of the California senior center;
109. $200,000 to the City of Placerville, California for Gold Bug Park Renovations;
110. $250,000 to the City of Redding, California to develop the Stilwater business park;
111. $100,000 to the City of Riverside, California for the development of a Technology Center within University Research Park;
112. $100,000 to the City of Riverside, California for facility construction of the School for Nursing at Riverside Community College;
113. $100,000 to the City of Riverside, California for construction of a pedestrian bridge in the California Citrus State Park;
114. $400,000 to the City of Sacramento, California for construction of the Sacramento Food Bank;
115. $100,000 to the City of San Bernardino, California for Renovations to National Orange Show stadium;
116. $100,000 to the City of San Fernando, California for revitalization of downtown San Fernando;
117. $300,000 to the City of San Jacinto, California for improvements to city museum/estudillo property;
118. $150,000 to the City of San Jose, California to the construction of a community center in a low and moderate-income area;
119. $350,000 to the City of San Leandro, California for streetscape and pedestrian safety improvements;
120. $150,000 to the City of San Pedro, California for streetscape and other improvements along Gaffey Street;
121. $500,000 to the City of Santee, California for construction of a new Boys and Girls Club facility at East County;
122. $100,000 to the City of Stockton, California for the Oasis of Hope Community Development Corporation education project;
123. $125,000 to the City of Tehachapi, California for design and construction of a performing arts center;
124. $100,000 to the City of Thousand Oaks, California to construct a community aquatics complex on the campus of California Lutheran University;
125. $100,000 to the City of Tulare, California to expand educational activities with the College of Sequoias and the California Polytechnic University;
126. $40,000 to the City of Tulare, California for modernization of the veterans hall;
127. $250,000 to the City of Twentynine Palms, California for Development of a Visitors Center;
128. $100,000 to the City of Visalia, California for construction of a new facility to provide shelter for homeless women and children;
129. $100,000 to the City of Vista, California Solutions Family Intake/Access Center for homeless families and their children;
130. $350,000 to the City of Yucaipa, California for development and construction of the Yucaipa/Crafton Hills College Recreational Facility;
131. $350,000 to the City of Yucaipa, California for development of the Yucaipa Valley Regional Sports Complex;
132. $150,000 to the Community Action partnership of Orange County in Garden Grove, California for acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation of a service facility;
133. $200,000 to the Department of Economic Development in Rancho Cordova, California for Cordova Senior Center Expansion;
134. $250,000 to the Earle Baum Center of the Blind, Inc. in Santa Rosa, California to build a center for the visually impaired;
135. $250,000 to the Lake County Arts Council in Lakeport, California for renovation of the Lakeport Cinema to a Performing Arts Center;
136. $500,000 to the Museum of Latin American Art in Long Beach, California to complete the renovation of the Museum;
137. $150,000 to the San Diego Housing Commission in San Diego, California for the HOPE Village Project to construct a 20-unit housing complex to house homeless individuals;
138. $150,000 to the Santa Barbara County Food bank in Santa Barbara, California for expansion and upgrades to its facility;
139. $550,000 to the Skirball Cultural Center in Los Angeles, California for development and construction of Noah’s pArk;
140. $250,000 to the Town of Yucca Valley, California for development and construction of the South Side Community Center;
141. $200,000 to the Valley Alliance for the Arts in San Fernando Valley, California for construction of a performing arts center;
142. $200,000 to the Youth Science Institute Center in San Jose, California for building renovations;
143. $250,000 for the 10th and Mission Affordable Family Housing & Commercial Space Project, for the development of hous-
ing units and commercial space, Mercy Housing, San Francisco, California;
144. $200,000 for the City of Inglewood, California to construct a Senior Center;
145. $200,000 for the San Francisco Museum and Historical Society Old Mint Restoration Project for planning, design and construction, California;
146. $150,000 for the Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission, Fresno, CA, for construction of the Neighborhood Youth Center;
147. $600,000 for the City of Oakland, CA for the Fox Theater Restoration;
148. $200,000 for the City of Redding, CA for the Stillwater Business Park;
149. $200,000 for the West Angeles Community Development Corporation, CA for the development of the West Angeles Plaza;
150. $100,000 to the Housing Trust of Santa Clara County, CA, for the First Time Home Buyer Loan Program;
151. $175,000 for the San Francisco Fine Arts Museums, CA, for M.H. de Young Memorial Museum construction;
152. $175,000 for the Agua Caliente Cultural Museum, Palm Springs, CA for construction;
153. $160,000 to the City of Montrose, Colorado for expansion of a research park for Mesa State University;
154. $240,000 to the City of Pueblo, Colorado for redevelopment of recreation and park facilities;
155. $250,000 to the City of Wellington, Colorado for construction and renovation of rehabilitation facilities;
156. $150,000 to the Denver Rescue Mission in Denver, Colorado for acquisition and renovation of an emergency shelter;
157. $300,000 for the City of Denver, Denver Rescue Mission for the Acquisition and Renovation of Emergency and Transitional Housing for Colorado’s Homeless population;
158. $150,000 to the City of Ansonia, Connecticut for construction of a new community space;
159. $350,000 to the City of Bridgeport, Connecticut for relocation of the Music and Arts Center for the Humanities to a now-vacant department store;
160. $100,000 to the City of Bridgeport, Connecticut for planning and implementation of a Neighborhood Revitalization Zone (NRZ);
161. $100,000 to the City of Bridgeport, Connecticut to complete the renovation of the former CT state armory facility;
162. $100,000 to the City of Ellington, Connecticut for construction of a new YMCA in an underserved area;
163. $250,000 to the City of Farmington, Connecticut for Hill-Stead Museum Renovation and Security Improvements;
164. $100,000 to the City of New Britain, Connecticut for the renovation of 85 Arch Street by the Friendship Service Center of New Britain;
165. $100,000 to the City of Norwalk, Connecticut for the Human Services Council to redevelop facilities for affordable housing;
166. $250,000 to the City of Stamford, Connecticut for renovations to the Palace Theatre;

167. $100,000 to the City of Stamford, Connecticut for repairs to the Yerwood Community Center;

168. $100,000 to the City of Waterbury, Connecticut for renovations to the Mattatuck Museum to create an exhibit on the history of Brass Valley;

169. $450,000 to the Naugatuck YMCA in Naugatuck, Connecticut for upgrades and other facilities expansion;

170. $100,000 to the Town of Sherman, Connecticut for reconstruction of the Sherman town library;

171. $350,000 to the Town of Stonington, Connecticut for the construction of south pier at Stonington Town Dock Complex;

172. $350,000 to the Town of Willington, Connecticut for the expansion of low-income senior housing;

173. $300,000 to the University of Hartford in Hartford, Connecticut for facilities construction and renovation of the Hartt Performing Arts Center;

174. $450,000 for the City of Hartford, Connecticut for the Hartford Homeownership Initiative;

175. $200,000 for the City of Hartford, Connecticut for the renovation of the Mark Twain House Building;

176. $300,000 for the City of Ansonia, Connecticut for the renovation of the Ansonia Armory;

177. $250,000 for the City of West Haven, CT, for the redevelopment of residential housing;

178. $250,000 for the City of Stamford, CT, for renovations to the Yerwood Community Center;

179. $250,000 for the Town of Southbury, CT, for renovations to the Bent of the River Audubon Center;

180. $200,000 for the City of Hartford, CT, for neighborhood restoration activities undertaken by the Southside Institutions Neighborhood Alliance;

181. $250,000 to the African American Civil War Museum in Washington, DC for capital improvements to the facility and visitors center;

182. $200,000 to New Castle County, Delaware for renovations to the Wilmington Senior Center;

183. $250,000 to Sussex County, Delaware for the renovation of Beebe Medical Center;

184. $250,000 for the Ministry of Caring, House of Joseph II, in Wilmington, DE for the renovation/operation of the facility;

185. $200,000 to the St. Michaels School and Nursery, Wilmington, DE, for expansion of the school;

186. $200,000 to the Wilmington Senior Center, Wilmington, DE, for the completion of the renovation of the Lafayette Court Senior Apartments project;

187. $250,000 for Easter Seals Delaware & Maryland's Eastern Shore for the construction of the new Easter Seals Facility in Georgetown, Delaware;

188. $200,000 for the Wilmington Music School for the Music School Expansion in Wilmington, Delaware;

189. $200,000 to the City of Lewes for the Lewes Canalfront Park in Lewes, Delaware;
190. $350,000 to Brevard County, Florida for construction of a marine and coastal research center at Hubbs/Sea World;  
191. $75,000 to Brevard County, Florida for the construction of Crosswinds youth center;  
192. $200,000 to Goodwill of North Florida, Inc. in Jacksonville, Florida for the expansion of its facility;  
193. $100,000 to Hillsborough County, FL for construction of an agricultural worker center;  
194. $200,000 to Lake County, FL for construction of a library;  
195. $500,000 to Miami-Dade County, Florida for construction of a new building for the Centro Mater Foundation;  
196. $250,000 to Pinellas County, Florida for the renovation of Palm Harbor Public Library;  
197. $25,000 to the City of Alachua, Florida for the construction of the Veterans’ Memorial at City Hall;  
198. $250,000 to the City of Bartow, Florida for the redevelopment of downtown Bartow;  
199. $250,000 to the City of Boca Raton, Florida for infrastructure improvements for Pearl City;  
200. $96,300 to the City of Coral Gables, Florida for the renovation of historic Biltmore Hotel;  
201. $100,000 to the City of DeBary, Florida for construction of a Gateway Center for the Arts;  
202. $500,000 to the City of Dunedin, FL for construction of a new community center;  
203. $250,000 to the City of Elfers, Florida to replace the Community Aging & Retirement Services, Inc building;  
204. $200,000 to the City of Ft. Myers, Florida for the redevelopment of Edison & Ford Estates;  
205. $250,000 to the City of Gainesville, Florida for the expansion of the Fine and Applied Arts Educational Building at Santa Fe Community College;  
206. $400,000 to the City of Gainesville, Florida for renovations and historic preservation of James Norman Hall at the University of Florida, Gainesville;  
207. $200,000 to the City of Gulfport, Florida for renovations to City of Gulfport Scout Hall;  
208. $200,000 to the City of Hollywood, Florida for the construction and development of the Young Circle Arts Park project;  
209. $150,000 to the City of Homestead, Florida for upgrades to the Dade County water and sewer infrastructure;  
210. $75,000 to the City of Marathon, Florida for the redevelopment of Boot Key Municipal Harbor;  
211. $250,000 to the City of Miami Gardens, Florida for revitalization of the business district;  
212. $100,000 to the City of Miami Springs, Florida for the construction of a hurricane shelter;  
213. $250,000 to the City of Miami, Florida for the elderly assistance program;  
214. $250,000 to the City of Naranja, Florida to construct a facility at Camillus House;  
215. $250,000 to the City of New Port Richey, Florida for the renovation of Good Samaritan Health Clinic of Pasco, Inc;
216. $300,000 to the City of Ocala, Florida for improvements to the Fine Arts Center at Central Florida Community College;
217. $250,000 to the City of Ocoee, Florida for construction of a senior citizens veterans service center;
218. $100,000 to the City of Osceola County, Florida for the completion of Osceola County Homeless Shelter;
219. $100,000 to the City of Osceola County, Florida for the construction of a senior citizen center;
220. $100,000 to the City of Pensacola, Florida for construction of the YMCA of Greater Pensacola;
221. $250,000 to the City of Pinellas County, Florida for construction of Joe’s Creek Greenway Park;
222. $300,000 to the City of Riviera Beach, Florida for site acquisition and improvements for commercial revitalization;
223. $250,000 to the City of Sarasota, Florida for renovations to the Robert L. Taylor Community Center;
224. $200,000 to the City of Seminole, Florida for the development of a Science and Nature Park at St. Petersburg College;
225. $250,000 to the City of St. Petersburg Beach, Florida for construction of a new Community Center;
226. $100,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for planning and design of Albert Whitted Waterfront Park;
227. $125,000 to the City of Treasure Island, Florida for construction of beach walkovers;
228. $250,000 to the City of Winter Haven, Florida for improvements to the downtown business district;
229. $150,000 to the Tangerine Avenue Community Redevelopment Area in St. Petersburg, Florida for the redevelopment of the Tangerine Avenue Community Area;
230. $400,000 to Wakulla County, Florida for construction of the multi-purpose community center;
231. $500,000 for Orange County, FL for Central Receiving Center to renovate single occupancy rooms;
232. $500,000 for the Lowry Park Zoological Society, Tampa, FL for business development initiative;
233. $300,000 for the Central Florida YMCA to expand and renovate the Wayne Densch YMCA Family Center;
234. $250,000 for Miami Dade College and the construction of a library at their Hialeah, Florida campus;
235. $250,000 for Nova Southeastern University in Florida for the Center for Collaborative Bio-Medical Research;
236. $600,000 for the City of Coral Gables, Florida for the Biltmore Complex Restoration Project;
237. $400,000 for the City of Orlando, Florida for the Parramore Neighborhood Revitalization Project;
238. $250,000 for Miami Dade County, Florida for the Miami Performing Arts Center;
239. $250,000 for the American Beach Property Owners’ Association, Fernandina Beach, Florida for the Historic Evans Rendezvous Cultural Center Restoration Project;
240. $200,000 for the City of Gainesville, Florida for the Downtown Revitalization Project;
241. $200,000 for the Florida Memorial University, Miami, Florida: West Augustine Initiative;
242. $200,000 to Clarkston Community Center in Dekalb County, Georgia for renovation of Clarkston Community Center;
243. $150,000 to Clayton County, Georgia for renovation of the Clayton Senior Center;
244. $400,000 to Morehouse School of Medicine in Atlanta, Georgia for land acquisition to revitalize its West End neighborhood;
245. $250,000 to Paulding County, Georgia for site preparations;
246. $175,000 to SOWEGA Council on Aging in Albany, Georgia for facility construction;
247. $100,000 to the City of Atlanta, Georgia for development of land for Morehouse School of Medicine;
248. $50,000 to the City of Atlanta, Georgia for development of land for Morehouse School of Medicine;
249. $150,000 to the City of Augusta, Georgia for a Hope House facility for therapeutic childcare;
250. $100,000 to the City of Covington, Georgia for renovation and construction of a resource center;
251. $100,000 to the City of Marietta, Georgia for the city redevelopment of Marietta Growth Fund;
252. $100,000 to the City of Powder Springs, Georgia to refurbish the Ford Center;
253. $275,000 to the City of Savannah, Georgia for the renovation of a building annex to house a library and computer lab;
254. $75,000 to the City of Savannah, Georgia for revitalization of the Central Georgia Railway for Coastal Heritage Society;
255. $75,000 to the City of Tybee Island, Georgia for a new facility for the Georgia 4–H Foundation;
256. $250,000 to the City of Warner Robins, Georgia for the construction of a WWII exhibit and depot flight line for the Museum of Aviation;
257. $250,000 to the Community Service Board of Middle Georgia for construction of a girls crisis center;
258. $225,000 to the Infantry Museum and Heritage Park in Columbus, Georgia for construction/development of National Infantry Museum and Heritage Park;
259. $200,000 for Mercer University, Macon, Georgia for Critical Personnel Development Program (CPDP);
260. $200,000 for the Atlanta, Georgia Intergenerational Resource Center for a senior housing project;
261. $200,000 for the Warner Robins, Georgia Museum of Aviation for expansion of aviation flight and technology center;
262. $200,000 City of Moultrie, Georgia for a community and economic development initiative;
263. $200,000 Morehouse School of Medicine for West End Community Development;
264. $500,000 Atlanta Symphony Orchestra, Georgia for the Atlanta Symphony Center expansion;
265. $150,000 to the Children’s Justice Center Foundation in Honolulu, Hawaii for renovation of a building to provide services to victims of child abuse and neglect;
266. $150,000 to the County of Hawaii in Kailua-Kona, Hawaii for construction of a homeless shelter;
267. $650,000 for the Boys & Girls Club of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, for planning, design and construction of the Nanakuli Boys & Girls Club;
268. $300,000 for Pa’a Pono Miloli’I to construct a community and youth center;
269. $300,000 for the Children’s Justice Center Foundation to construct and renovate the child counseling center on Oahu;
270. $300,000 for the Maui Economic Development Board to renovate the enterprise building;
271. $300,000 for the Kauai YMCA to construct facilities;
272. $200,000 for the Lanai Youth Center to acquire and construct activity facilities;
273. $200,000 for the County of Hawaii for the renovation of a Caregiver and Senior Resource Center;
274. $300,000 for Hale Mahaolu Ehiku to construct affordable rental housing for senior citizens;
275. $450,000 to Iowa City, Iowa for the establishment of a service center for Systems Unlimited, Inc to aid disadvantaged families;
276. $450,000 to the city of Cedar Rapids, Iowa for redevelopment of southern Cedar Rapids;
277. $400,000 to the City of Des Moines, Iowa for land acquisition for a technology park;
278. $750,000 for the City of Clinton, Iowa, for redevelopment of Liberty Square;
279. $250,000 for the National Cattle Congress, Waterloo, Iowa, for renovation and construction of facilities;
280. $400,000 for the City of Waterloo, Iowa, for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the Cedar Valley TechWorks facility;
281. $300,000 for the City of Des Moines, Iowa, for the Riverpoint West development;
282. $300,000 for the City of Fort Dodge, Iowa for the Lincoln Neighborhood housing initiative;
283. $1,000,000 to the Iowa Department of Economic Development for the Main Street Iowa program for restoration of structures on main streets throughout the state;
284. $750,000 to Polk County, Iowa for the purchase and rehabilitation of housing for low income people;
285. $200,000 to the Heartland Hill Habitat for Humanity in Brehmer County, Iowa for the renovation of deteriorated housing for low income housing;
286. $300,000 to the City of Council Bluffs, Iowa for downtown historic building renovation;
287. $100,000 to Franklin County, Idaho for restoration of Oneida Stake Academy for historic renovations;
288. $45,000 to the City of Franklin, Idaho for repairs to historic City Hall;
289. $150,000 to the City of Lewiston, Idaho for completion of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Project Planning and Implementation;
290. $100,000 to the City of Pocatello, Idaho for renovations to the Greater Pocatello Senior Center;
291. $350,000 to the City of Rexburg, Idaho for construction of recreational facilities and handicap accessibility;
292. $1,000,000 for Ada County, Idaho for development of the Family Justice Center and the Detox Center;
293. $1,000,000 for the Clearwater Economic Development Association for the implementation of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Plan;
294. $1,000,000 for Boise State University for construction of the Center for Environmental Science and Economic Development;
295. $1,000,000 for the Idaho Migrant Council for planning, design, and construction of the Burley Community Center, Burley, Idaho;
296. $250,000 to Western Illinois University Quad City Campus in Moline, Illinois for renovations of facilities;
297. $250,000 to Coles County, Illinois for construction of LifeSpan Center for seniors;
298. $100,000 to Northeastern Illinois University in Chicago, Illinois for a feasibility study on planning and design analysis for a new education building;
299. $200,000 to Pioneer Center Group Home in McHenry County, Illinois for upgrades at to a group home;
300. $150,000 to Seguin Services in Cicero, Illinois for construction of a garden center;
301. $200,000 to the Avalon Park School in Chicago, Illinois for construction of a child-parent center;
302. $900,000 to the Chicago Academy High School in Chicago, Illinois for construction of a campus park;
303. $150,000 to the Chicago Children’s Advocacy Center in Chicago, Illinois for expansion of its facilities;
304. $150,000 to the Chicago Park District in Chicago, Illinois for land acquisition and facilities improvements to expand a park;
305. $200,000 to the Chicago Park District in Chicago, Illinois for land acquisition and facilities improvements for the expansion of a park;
306. $80,000 to the City of Beardstown, Illinois for construction of the Grand Opera House Beardstown Historical Society;
307. $200,000 to the City of Bloomingdale, Illinois for the renovation of Marklund Children’s Home;
308. $100,000 to the City of Collinsville, Illinois for completion of the Collins Home Project;
309. $500,000 to the City of Downers Grove, Illinois for improvements to Ray Graham Association for People With Disabilities;
310. $100,000 to the City of East Moline, Illinois for revitalization of downtown;
311. $225,000 to the City of Harvey, Illinois for demolition and redevelopment of property to aid the community;
312. $150,000 to the City of Hudson, Illinois for construction of Timber Pointe Outdoor Center;
313. $250,000 to the City of Jacksonville, Illinois for renovation to Crampon Hall at Illinois College;
314. $250,000 to the City of Joliet, Illinois for repairs to Rialto Square Theater;
315. $100,000 to the City of Lincoln, Illinois for the restoration of the Earl C. Hargrove Auditorium at Lincoln Christian College;
316. $200,000 to the City of Naperville, Illinois for the DuPage Children's Museum for building renovations;
317. $75,000 to the City of Naperville, Illinois for Our Children's Homestead to construct new foster care homes;
318. $250,000 to the City of Peoria, Illinois for design and construction of Central Illinois Regional Museum;
319. $250,000 to the City of Peoria, Illinois for renovations to Bradley Hall at Bradley University;
320. $250,000 to the City of Peoria, Illinois for design and construction of Africa exhibit at Glen Oak Zoo;
321. $100,000 to the City of Peru, Illinois for construction of the Horizon House;
322. $100,000 to the City of Quincy, Illinois for the design and construction of an Art and Sciences Center at Quincy University;
323. $150,000 to the City of Rockford, Illinois for the expansion of laboratories and public viewing areas at Burpee/Discovery Center Museum;
324. $200,000 to the City of Shawneetown, Illinois for construction of a facility at Shawneetown Regional Port District;
325. $150,000 to the City of Wheaton, Illinois for renovation of the County of DuPage's nursing facility to be used for nurses training center;
326. $500,000 to the City of Yorkville, Illinois for the redevelopment of a Yorkville site;
327. $75,000 to the City of Crest Hill, Illinois for redevelopment of Division Street;
328. $75,000 to the Home of the Sparrow in Lake, Illinois for the renovation of a homeless shelter;
329. $75,000 to the Inner Voice in Chicago, Illinois for upgrades to homeless shelters on the South Side of Chicago;
330. $100,000 to the Village of Hazel Crest in Hazel Crest, Illinois for the redevelopment of the area around Hazel Crest Metra Station;
331. $160,000 to the Village of Orion, Illinois for lead-based paint removal;
332. $75,000 to the Village of South Jacksonville, Illinois for construction of a playground and park for disabled children;
333. $500,000 for the Looking for Lincoln Heritage Coalition in Springfield, IL, for the Looking for Lincoln economic development and tourism initiative;
334. $800,000 for the Peace and Education Coalition in Chicago, IL, for construction of a new facility to serve San Miguel Schools in the City's Back of the Yards neighborhood;
335. $300,000 to the Haymarket Center in Chicago, IL, for construction and establishment of the McDermott Addiction Center;
336. $200,000 for the Quincy Public Library in Quincy, IL, for a newspaper digitization and community education project;
337. $200,000 to the Community Foundation of Decatur/Macon County, Illinois for construction and rehabilitation of housing facilities for the homeless and disabled;
338. $200,000 to the Heartland Community Health Center in Illinois for equipment and facilities to expand services;
339. $250,000 to the Chicago Historical Society in Illinois for construction of a new Chicago History Exhibition and redevelopment of current facilities;

340. $200,000 for Home Sweet Home Ministries—Threshold program located in the City of Bloomington, IL for the construction of an additional housing facility;

341. $250,000 for the Village of Northfield, IL for construction of pedestrian and bicycle paths as well as other infrastructure improvements to the Northfield Park District;

342. $200,000 for the Township of North Hurricane, IL for construction of a multi-purpose building within Precinct 1 of the Township;

343. $100,000 to Martin County, Indiana for improvements to the Crane Technology Park;

344. $250,000 to the African American Achievers Youth Corporation in Gary, Indiana for renovations of the Glen Theater;

345. $150,000 to the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana for the construction of a new building for Crossroad;

346. $100,000 to the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana for construction of a new facility for Easter Seals Arc of Northeast Indiana;

347. $500,000 to the City of Marion, Indiana for the renovation of Memorial Coliseum Redevelopment;

348. $250,000 to the City of Muncie, Indiana for enhancements to Urban Park;

349. $500,000 to the City of South Bend, Indiana for the South Bend Heritage Foundation for neighborhood economic development and revitalization;

350. $250,000 to the City of South Bend, Indiana for the redevelopment of a brownfield site;

351. $500,000 to the Town of Cedar Lake, Indiana for downtown streetscape improvements;

352. $500,000 for the City of Muncie, Indiana to revitalize the downtown urban park;

353. $250,000 for the Learning Collaborative to implement the Web Portal Technology Development Initiative in Daviess County, IN;

354. $250,000 for the City of Anderson, Indiana to expand the Fiber Optic Network;

355. $150,000 for the City of Indianapolis, IN for the Link Savoy Housing Development;

356. $100,000 for the City of Evansville, IN for the Center City Industrial Park;

357. $100,000 for the City of Fort Wayne, IN for the Fort Wayne Technology Center;

358. $200,000 to SAFEHOME, Inc. in Overland Park, Kansas for building acquisition;

359. $100,000 to the City of Atchison, Kansas for the redevelopment of a storm water system overflow;

360. $200,000 to the City of Florence, Kansas for construction and upgrades of the World Impact Morning Star Ranch;

361. $250,000 to the City of Fort Scott, Kansas for restoration of historic buildings and brick streets in the downtown area;

362. $250,000 to the City of Independence, Kansas for renovations to historic Landon House and Booth Theater;
363. $300,000 to the City of Wichita, Kansas for expansion of Lord's Diner of Wichita;
364. $300,000 to the City of Wichita, Kansas for construction of food bank central distribution facility;
365. $250,000 to the City of Wichita, Kansas for the downtown WaterWalk revitalization project;
366. $150,000 to the YWCA of Greater Kansas City in Kansas City, Kansas for expansion of the facility;
367. $1,000,000 for the Boys and Girls Clubs of Greater Kansas City for the construction of the Heathwood Community Center for Children and Families in Wyandotte County, KS;
368. $500,000 for Sedgwick County, KS for the construction of a Technical Education and Training Center;
369. $300,000 for the City of Fort Scott, KS for the redevelopment of underground infrastructure in the Central Business District;
370. $200,000 for the City of Topeka, KS for renovating and updating Heartland Park Topeka;
371. $500,000 for the City of Mission Kansas to ensure the future viability of business and residential districts near the Rock Creek Project;
372. $500,000 for the City of Fairview, Kansas to ensure the future viability of business and residential districts near the Rock Creek Project;
373. $75,000 to Crittenden County, Kentucky for expansion of the Crittenden County Day Care Center;
374. $200,000 to Fleming County, Kentucky for the completion of a building by the Fleming County Industrial Authority;
375. $150,000 to Hardin County, Kentucky for renovation of an historic state theater;
376. $100,000 to LaRue County, Kentucky for construction of a facility for the Lincoln Bicentennial celebration in 2008;
377. $150,000 to Powell County Fiscal Court in Powell County, Kentucky for the construction and development of a park;
378. $350,000 to Pulaski County, Kentucky for construction of the Mill Springs Battlefield Visitors Center;
379. $100,000 to the City of Louisville, Kentucky for the renovation of First Gethsemane Center for Family Development;
380. $350,000 to the City of Louisville, Kentucky for construction of a community resource center for Day Spring Foundation;
381. $350,000 to the City of Louisville, Kentucky for the renovation of a facility for the Temple Community Development Corporation;
382. $250,000 to the City of Louisville, Kentucky for the construction of an entertainment facility for the Community Economic Empowerment Corporation;
383. $100,000 to the City of Louisville, Kentucky for renovation of a facility for the New Zion Community Foundation;
384. $100,000 to the City of Louisville, Kentucky for construction of a playground in Shawnee Park;
385. $100,000 to the City of Louisville, Kentucky for construction of a playground in the Louisville Olmsted Parks Conservancy;
386. $500,000 to the City of Lynch, Kentucky for historic preservation of the Portal 31 Exhibition Mine Site;
387. $500,000 to the City of Manchester, KY for facility construction;
388. $70,000 to the City of Tompkinsville, Kentucky for the completion of the Tompkinsville Senior Citizen Housing Complex;
389. $600,000 for the Kentucky Commerce Cabinet to develop a visitor center at the Big Bone Lick State Park;
390. $200,000 for McCracken County Fiscal Court in Kentucky to construct an Emergency Services Building
391. $200,000 for Clinton County to develop and construct a Welcome Center, KY;
392. $100,000 to Livingston Parish, Louisiana for construction of Livingston Parish Veterans’ Memorial Plaza;
393. $250,000 to Loyola University New Orleans, Louisiana for renovations and upgrades to a facility;
394. $250,000 to the City of Grand Isle, Louisiana for construction of a multiplex center;
395. $500,000 to the City of Opelousas, Louisiana for Phase I of recreation improvements;
396. $250,000 to the City of Shreveport, Louisiana for renovations to a donated building in Shreveport;
397. $180,000 to the City of St. Tammany, Louisiana for repairs to the Town Hall and Community Center;
398. $225,000 to the City of St. Tammany, Louisiana to build a trailhead plaza;
399. $250,000 for Alexandria Central Economic Development District, to develop the Alexandria Riverfront Development in Louisiana;
400. $250,000 for Ascension Parish, to develop the Lamar Dixon Exposition Center in Louisiana;
401. $500,000 for the Audubon Nature Institute for the Audubon Living Science Museum and Wetlands Center in New Orleans, Louisiana;
402. $500,000 for Lafourche Parish for waterfront development along Bayou Lafourche in Ascension, Assumption and Lafourche Parishes, Louisiana;
403. $300,000 to American International College in Springfield, Massachusetts for the renovation of Reed Mansion and Breck Hall;
404. $600,000 to Banknorth building in Fitchburg, Massachusetts for renovation and construction;
405. $200,000 to Boston Healthcare for the Homeless in Boston, Massachusetts for renovation of its facility;
406. $300,000 to Edith Wharton Restoration, Inc. in Lenox, Massachusetts for facilities upgrade and buildout;
407. $300,000 to Endicott College in Beverly, Massachusetts for construction of a research center;
408. $100,000 to Greenfield Community College in Greenfield, Massachusetts for a feasibility study;
409. $380,000 to Lawrence Community Works in Lawrence, Massachusetts for construction of a design and technology training center;
410. $250,000 to Stetson Town Hall in Randolph, Massachusetts for improvements and renovations of its facility;
411. $200,000 to the City of Holyoke, Massachusetts for renovations of facility for Solutions Development Corporation;
412. $200,000 to the City of Lynn, Massachusetts for the renovation of the City Hall and Auditorium;
413. $500,000 to the City of Medford, Massachusetts for construction and renovation of an outdoor facility;
414. $300,000 to the City of Melrose, Massachusetts for improvements to the Soldiers and Sailors Memorial Hall;
415. $1,000,000 to the City of New Bedford, Massachusetts for design and construction of a community center;
416. $100,000 to the City of Sommerville, Massachusetts for renovations and upgrades to its facility;
417. $100,000 to the Community Art Center, Inc. in Cambridge, Massachusetts for renovation and capital improvements;
418. $300,000 to the Mahaiwae Performing Arts Center, Inc. in Great Barrington, Massachusetts for facilities renovation and improvements;
419. $400,000 to the Main South Community Development Corporation in Worcester, Massachusetts for revitalization of the Gardner-Kilby-Hammond neighborhood;
420. $125,000 to the Mashpee Wampanoq Tribal Council, Inc. in Massachusetts for renovation of a facility;
421. $200,000 to the Merrimack Repertory Theater in Lowell, Massachusetts for renovation of facilities;
422. $100,000 to the Narrows Center in Fall River, Massachusetts for renovations and upgrades to facilities;
423. $400,000 to the Springfield Day Nursery in Springfield, Massachusetts for renovations to the King Street Children’s Center;
424. $400,000 to Western Mass Enterprise Fund, Inc. in Greenfield, Massachusetts for capitalization of a loan fund;
425. $200,000 to Whittier Street Community Center in Roxbury, Massachusetts for facilities renovation;
426. $400,000 to Walpole, MA for improvements and renovations to town fields;
427. $280,000 for the City of North Adams, MA for the renovation of the historic Mohawk Theater;
428. $280,000 for the City of Holyoke, MA for renovations to the Picknelly Adult and Family Education Center;
429. $200,000 for the City of Medford, MA for the redevelopment of Medford Square;
430. $280,000 for the Main South Community Development Corporation, Worcester, MA for the redevelopment of the Gardner-Kilby-Hammond Neighborhood;
431. $260,000 for the City of Lawrence, MA for the redevelopment of the Lawrence In-Town Mall site;
432. $250,000 for the Bird Street Community Center, Boston, MA for facility renovations;
433. $200,000 for Straight Ahead Ministries of Westboro, MA for the acquisition and renovation of facilities in Hubbardston, MA;
434. $200,000 for Girls Incorporated of Lynn, MA for building renovations;
435. $250,000 to Dawson Safe Haven for Children, Youth, and Families in Baltimore, Maryland for reconstruction of the Dawson Safe Haven facility;
436. $225,000 to St. Mary’s College, St. Mary’s, Maryland for the renovation and purchasing of technology equipment for Goodpaster Hall;
437. $150,000 to the City of Baltimore, Maryland for revitalization of the East Baltimore Development Project Area;
438. $250,000 to the City of Hyattsville, Maryland for construction of the Renaissance Square Artists’ Housing;
439. $250,000 to the City of Takoma Park, Maryland for construction and build out of a community learning center;
440. $500,000 to the Historic St. Mary’s City Commission in St. Mary’s City, Maryland for construction and renovation of a brick chapel;
441. $275,000 to the Ministers Alliance of Charles County in Waldorf, Maryland for the acquisition, renovation, and construction of a business center;
442. $100,000 to the Towson YMCA Day Care in Towson, Maryland for the renovation and expansion of the Day Care Facility;
443. $300,000 for the Maryland Food Bank in Baltimore for construction and equipping of new food distribution center;
444. $500,000 for the Washington Archdiocese/Langley Park Health Clinic and Social Service Center, Maryland;
445. $450,000 for the East Baltimore Development Project, Maryland;
446. $500,000 for Patterson Park/Library Square Revitalization, Maryland;
447. $400,000 for Goucher College, Community Service Center, Maryland;
448. $200,000 for the American Visionary Arts Museum, Maryland;
449. $200,000 for the Our Daily Bread Employment Center, Maryland;
450. $100,000 to Bowdoin College in Brunswick, Maine for site planning and renovation of a building;
451. $200,000 to the Town of Milo, Maine for the development of an industrial park;
452. $325,000 for the City of Brewer Administrative Building Redevelopment, ME;
453. $300,000 for the Maine Franco-American Heritage Center, Renovation Project;
454. $325,000 for the Bangor Waterfront Park on the Penobscot River for the City of Bangor, Maine;
455. $350,000 for the Town of Milo, Maine for the development of the Eastern Piscataquis Industrial Park;
456. $350,000 for the Town of Van Buren for the Van Buren Regional Business Park, Maine;
457. $350,000 for Western Maine Community Action for the Keeping Seniors Home program;
458. $300,000 for the University of New England: George and Barbara Bush Cultural Center for construction and equipment;
459. $200,000 for the City of Portland, Portland Public Library Renovation and Expansion Project, Maine;
460. $100,000 for the Penobscot Marine Museum Maine-Mawooshen for the One Country, Two Worlds Project, Maine;
461. $300,000 for the Westbrook Housing Authority: Larrabee Village Supportive Services for construction and design of facilities for the elderly & disabled;
462. $250,000 to Grand Traverse County, Michigan for a homeless shelter to serve five counties;
463. $400,000 to Grand Valley State University in the Town of Allendale, Michigan for renovations to a research and education facility;
464. $150,000 to Northern Michigan University in Marquette, Michigan for construction and facility expansion of the Olympic Village Project;
465. $550,000 to the Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services in Dearborn, Michigan for construction of a museum;
466. $550,000 to the City of Detroit, Michigan for the demolition of unsafe buildings;
467. $500,000 to the City of Detroit, Michigan for demolition of dangerous structures;
468. $300,000 to the City of Detroit, Michigan for revitalization of Eastern Market;
469. $350,000 to the City of East Lansing, Michigan for the construction of housing units for low-income families;
470. $150,000 to the City of Farmington, Michigan for trail improvements to Shiawassee Park;
471. $350,000 to the City of Farmington, Michigan for ADA compliance of the Municipal Riverfront Park;
472. $400,000 to the City of Ferndale, Michigan for the expansion of the existing Kulick Community Center;
473. $100,000 to the City of Frankfort, Michigan for mixed-use development;
474. $250,000 to the City of Port Huron, Michigan for the renovation of areas in conjunction with the city revitalization plan;
475. $350,000 to the City of Saginaw, Michigan for renovation of the YMCA of Saginaw;
476. $100,000 to the Detroit Zoo for construction of the Ford Center for Environmental and Conservation Education;
477. $200,000 to the Jewish Vocational Services in the City of Southfield, Michigan for the development of assisted housing;
478. $300,000 to the Labor Museum and Learning Center of Michigan in Flint, Michigan for construction and buildout of a museum;
479. $400,000 to the Lighthouse of Oakland County, Michigan for construction of new homes in Unity Park;
480. $475,000 to the Michigan Jewish Institute in West Bloomfield, Michigan for improvements to campus buildings and classrooms;
481. $200,000 to the MotorCities National Heritage Area in Detroit, Michigan for renovations to the historic Piquette Plant;
482. $700,000 to the National Center for Manufacturing Sciences in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan for the development of advanced technologies to the manufacturing base;
483. $200,000 to The Oakland Livingston Human Service Agency in Pontiac, Michigan for the purchase of 196 Cesar Chavez Avenue;
484. $250,000 to the Presbyterian Villages of Pontiac, Michigan for improvements to the senior wellness center;
485. $350,000 to the Presbyterian Villages of Redwood, Michigan for construction of green housing;
486. $200,000 to the Recording for the Blind and Dyslexic in the City of Troy, Michigan for material dissemination to homes and classrooms;
487. $250,000 to the Samaritan Center in the City of Detroit, Michigan for renovation of a multipurpose facility;
488. $250,000 to the Village of Clinton, Michigan for renovations to the Boysville Neighborhood Centers;
489. $250,000 to Walsh College in the City of Troy, Michigan for a library expansion;
490. $600,000 for The Enterprise Group of Jackson, MI for the Armory Arts redevelopment project;
491. $600,000 to the Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services (ACCESS) in Dearborn, MI for expansion of a museum;
492. $600,000 to the City of Detroit, MI for redevelopment of the Far East Side neighborhood;
493. $350,000 to the City of Saginaw, MI to provide for the revitalization of Northeast Saginaw;
494. $300,000 for the State of Michigan for costs associated with the relocation of the A.E. Seaman Mineral Museum;
495. $300,000 for Focus: Hope in Detroit, MI for the upgrades to the cogeneration micro-grid;
496. $250,000 for the Goodwill Inn Homeless Shelter in Traverse City, MI for construction of a new shelter;
497. $200,000 to the Harbor Habitat for Humanity in Benton Harbor, MI for costs associated with infrastructure in the construction of new homes;
498. $150,000 to the City of St. Paul, Minnesota for rehabilitation needs at the Ames Lake Neighborhood/Phalen Place Apartments;
499. $100,000 to the City of St. Paul, Minnesota for the development of supporting housing for homeless youth;
500. $500,000 to the Minneapolis American Indian Center in Minneapolis, Minnesota for facilities renovation;
501. $275,000 to the Northside Residents Redevelopment Council in Minneapolis, Minnesota for construction of mixed-use facilities;
502. $550,000 to the Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians in Red Lake, Minnesota for construction and buildout of a multi-purpose complex;
503. $200,000 for the Hmong American Mutual Assistance Association in Minneapolis, Minnesota to complete the HAMAA Community Center;
504. $200,000 for the Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians in Red Lake, Minnesota to construct criminal justice complex project;
505. $200,000 for the Chicanos Latinos Unidos En Servicio (CLUES) in St. Paul, Minnesota for facility construction;
506. $200,000 for Redwood County, Minnesota for the Material Recovery/Waste to Energy Facility at Lamberton, Minnesota;
507. $300,000 to construct a community, activity center for low-income seniors in Mora, MN;
508. $75,000 to the 3rd Ward Neighborhood Council in St. Louis, Missouri for renovation and preservation of a facility;
509. $150,000 to the Better Family Life Cultural Center & Museum in St. Louis, Missouri for facility construction and renovation;
510. $500,000 to the City of Cape Girardeau, Missouri for the construction of a new school for visual and performing arts at Southeast Missouri State University;
511. $250,000 to the City of Cape Girardeau, Missouri for construction of a Discovery Research Institute;
512. $250,000 to the City of Joplin, Missouri for the renovation of center downtown district;
513. $150,000 to the City of Kansas City, Missouri for project planning and design, demolition, and redevelopment at the Columbus Park Redevelopment Project;
514. $500,000 to the City of Springfield, Missouri for the renovation of Gillioz/Reagan Theater;
515. $250,000 to the City of Springfield, Missouri for the construction of a multi-purpose community facility;
516. $150,000 to the City of Ste. Genevieve, Missouri for streetscape improvements;
517. $500,000 for the Liberty Memorial Association in Kansas City, MO for construction and renovation;
518. $250,000 for the St. Louis Bosnian Chamber of Commerce for construction of a community center in St. Louis, MO;
519. $250,000 for the Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Kansas City, MO for RBI construction;
520. $250,000 for the Winston Churchill Memorial in Fulton, MO for construction and renovation;
521. $250,000 for Covenant House Missouri for construction of homeless youth center in St. Louis, MO;
522. $250,000 for Truman State University for construction of Speech and Hearing Clinic in Kirksville, MO;
523. $250,000 for City of Springfield, MO for renovation of the Springfield Commercial Club Building;
524. $750,000 to the Family Support Services Center for Autistic Children for construction of a Center to serve families with autistic children in St. Charles County, Missouri;
525. $500,000 to the University of Missouri for Hickman House preservation, renovation and improvements projects in Howard County, Missouri;
526. $500,000 to the Salvation Army Northland Community Center, to construct a family center and community room Clay County, Missouri;
527. $1,000,000 to the Kansas City Neighborhood Alliance for capital improvements in Kansas City, Missouri;
528. $1,000,000 to Better Living Communities for capital improvements for Salisbury Park neighborhood housing development in St. Louis, Missouri;
529. $500,000 to the St. Louis Housing Authority for neighborhood housing development of the Cochran Gardens Public Housing Site in St. Louis, Missouri;
530. $620,000 to the City of Kansas City for Swope Community Builders for the Linwood Housing project, Kansas City, Missouri;
531. $500,000 to the Missouri Soybean Association for test plots for the Life Sciences Research Development and Commercialization Project in Boone County, Missouri;
532. $500,000 to the Mark Twain Neighborhood Association for capital improvements in St. Louis, Missouri;
533. $750,000 to the Students in Free Enterprise World Headquarters for capital improvements [equipment] in Greene County, Missouri;
534. $250,000 to the Advanced Technology Center for construction of Laser/photronics lab complex and classroom in Mexico, Missouri;
535. $750,000 to the Youzeum for construction of youth health museum in Boone County, Missouri;
536. $400,000 to City of Kennett for downtown revitalization in Kennett, Missouri;
537. $550,000 City of Moorhead, Sunflower County, Mississippi for streetscape improvements;
538. $300,000 to Panola County, Mississippi for the construction of a multi-purpose community facility;
539. $200,000 to the City of Meridian, Mississippi for the construction of the Mississippi Arts and Entertainment Center;
540. $100,000 to the City of Natchez, Mississippi for a long term master plan for community development;
541. $750,000 to the City of Pontotoc, Mississippi for construction of the Pontotoc County Sportsplex;
542. $50,000 to the City of Starkville, Mississippi for improvements to the Cornerstone Industrial Park;
543. $250,000 to the Town of McLain, Mississippi for industrial park development;
544. $500,000 in the City of Oxford, Mississippi for the Innovation and Outreach Center;
545. $500,000 in the City of Madison, Mississippi, for the Historic Madison Gateway Project;
546. $500,000 in the City of Tchula, Mississippi for the Tchula New Town Infrastructure Project;
547. $1,500,000 for the Mississippi Museum of Art in Jackson, Mississippi, for renovations and improvements;
548. $950,000 for the Education Building for the Jackson Zoo in Jackson, Mississippi, to construct an educational building;
549. $850,000 for the Lafayette County Courthouse in Oxford, Mississippi, to restore and renovate their historic c.1872 courthouse;
550. $800,000 for the Hinds Community College Performing Arts Center in Utica, Mississippi, to construct a performing arts, multi-purpose building;
551. $500,000 for the Mississippi University for Women Facility Restoration in Columbus, Mississippi, for facility improvements and restoration;
552. $500,000 for the Simpson County, Mississippi Courthouse for renovations and improvements;
553. $500,000 for the Jackson Public School-Belhaven College H.T. Newell Field Complex Partnership for facility improvements and construction in Jackson, Mississippi;
554. $600,000 for the City of Collins, Mississippi, to build a multi-purpose civic center;
555. $500,000 for the renovation of the Robert O. Wilder Building at Tougaloo College in Jackson, Mississippi;
556. $500,000 for the St. Ambrose Leadership College in Wesson, Mississippi, for restoration of a historic building for housing;
557. $500,000 for Delta State University for economic development activities and campus and facility improvements;
558. $500,000 for the Historical Preservation at Alcorn State University, Alcorn State, Mississippi, for the restoration project of existing historic buildings;
559. $100,000 to the City of Billings, Montana for the renovation of the Child and Family Intervention Center;
560. $100,000 to the City of Havre, Montana for improvements to the Montana State University Applied Technology Center;
561. $40,000 to the City of Lolo, Montana for construction of a pedestrian bridge over Lolo Creek;
562. $500,000 to the City of Missoula, Montana for expansion of the Montana Food Bank Network;
563. $200,000 for the Liberty House Foundation, for construction expenses in Ft. Harrison, MT;
564. $350,000 for the Rocky Mountain Development Council, to continue the PenKay Eagles Manor Renovation in Helena, MT;
565. $250,000 for the Rocky Boy Reservation’s utilization of Malmstrom Air Force Base’s excess housing;
566. $200,000 for the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation in Missoula, MT for the infrastructure needs of their new headquarters facility;
567. $250,000 for the Center for St. Vincent Healthcare’s Center for Healthy Aging in Billings, MT;
568. $200,000 for the Child and Family Intervention Center to renovate the Garfield School Building in Billings, MT;
569. $200,000 for the Yellowstone Boys and Girls Ranch’s Education Facilities Expansion in Billings, MT;
570. $200,000 for the Carter County Museum’s Highway to Hell Creek project facilities expansion in Ekalaka, MT;
571. $400,000 for the Big Sky Economic Development Corporation for acquisition and rehabilitation for low-income housing in Billings, MT;
572. $200,000 for the Missoula Aging Services building renovation in Missoula, MT;
573. $200,000 to the St. Vincent Center for Healthy Aging for construction in Billings, MT;
574. $300,000 to the Daly Mansion Preservation Trust for the renovation of the Daly Mansion in Hamilton, MT;
575. $250,000 to CommunityWorks for the construction of the ExplorationWorks Museum in Helena, MT;
576. $200,000 to the Montana Technology Enterprise Center for the construction of lab facilities in Missoula, MT;
577. $150,000 to Columbus County, North Carolina for construction of a center for the Southeast Community College;
578. $250,000 to Davidson County, North Carolina for facility and equipment upgrades to the Davidson County Community College;
579. $200,000 to DHIC, Inc. in Wake County, North Carolina for a revolving loan fund for low-income homebuyers;
580. $200,000 to EmPOWERment, Inc. in Chapel Hill, North Carolina for a revolving loan fund for low-income homebuyers;
581. $150,000 to Gaston County, North Carolina for technology park expansion;
582. $50,000 to Madison County, North Carolina; for restoration of an old school building to be used as the Spring Creek Community Center;
583. $100,000 to Northampton County, North Carolina for planning, design, and construction of a community center;
584. $348,700 to the City of Asheville, North Carolina for the renovation of the Asheville Veterans Memorial Stadium;
585. $250,000 to the City of Asheville, North Carolina; for construction of a new science and multi-media building;
586. $50,000 to the City of Dobbins Heights, North Carolina for the redevelopment of downtown;
587. $150,000 to the City of Durham, North Carolina for facilities construction/renovation and streetscape improvements;
588. $150,000 to the City of Fayetteville and Cumberland County, North Carolina for the development of a business park;
589. $250,000 to the City of Hatteras, North Carolina for the construction of the Graveyard of the Atlantic Museum;
590. $250,000 to the City of Laurinburg, North Carolina for the demolition of an old hospital;
591. $250,000 to the City of Monroe, North Carolina for the renovation of Old Armory for neighborhood revitalization;
592. $200,000 to the City of Raeford, North Carolina for improvements to the Raeford downtown streetscape;
593. $250,000 to the City of Sparta, North Carolina for construction of the Sparta Teapot Museum;
594. $250,000 to the City of Troy, North Carolina for the implementation of an affordable housing program;
595. $150,000 to the City of Winston-Salem, North Carolina for renovation and expansion of the Central Library of Forsyth County;
596. $250,000 to the Inter-Faith Council for Social Services in Chapel Hill, North Carolina for construction, renovation, and build out of facilities;
597. $200,000 to the Piedmont Environmental Center in High Point, North Carolina for renovation and expansion of the Naturalist Education Center;
598. $150,000 to the Town of Cullowhee, North Carolina for interior building renovations to the Center for Engineering Technologies at Western Carolina University;
599. $150,000 to the Town of Zebulon, North Carolina for land acquisition;
600. $200,000 to UDI Community Development Corporation in Durham, North Carolina for construction/renovation and build out of an industrial park facility;
601. $400,000 for Renovations to the Core Sound Waterfowl Museum in Harkers Island, NC;
602. $200,000 to the City of Kannapolis, NC for the rehabilitation of the Pillowtex Plant 1 site;
603. $250,000 for New River Community Partners, Inc., in Sparta, NC for the Sparta Teapot Museum;
604. $200,000 for Catawba Science Museum to renovate and expand exhibitions in Hickory, NC;
605. $200,000 for Military Business Park Development in Fayetteville, NC;
606. $250,000 for the City of Wilmington, NC, for the Downtown Park & Open Space Initiative;
607. $250,000 for the City of Fayetteville, NC, for the Military Business Park;
608. $250,000 for the City of Asheville, NC, for the Veterans Memorial Restoration;
609. $350,000 to the Dakota Boys and Girls Ranch Residential Facilities in North Dakota for construction and renovation of its three facilities;
610. $250,000 for the Northwest Ventures Communities, Minot, ND for the construction of the Northwest Career and Technology Center;
611. $200,000 for the United Tribes Technical College in Bismarck, ND for the construction of family housing;
612. $350,000 for the City of Killdeer, ND to construct a community activity center;
613. $400,000 for the City of Rugby, ND to support construction and other projects within two North Dakota REAP Zones;
614. $300,000 for the Dakota Boys and Girls Ranch, Minot, ND for facilities at their Minot location;
615. $350,000 for the UND Center for Innovation Foundation in Grand Forks, ND for the Ina Mae Rude Entrepreneur Center;
616. $300,000 for the Bismarck-Mandan Development Association, Bismarck, ND for the construction of the National Energy Technology Training and Education Facility;
617. $200,000 for the Minot Area Community Development Foundation, Minot, ND for the Prairie Community Development Center;
618. $200,000 for the Turtle Mountain Community College, Belcourt, ND for the Turtle Mountain Community College Vocational Educational Center;
619. $250,000 to the City of Boys Town, Nebraska for the national priorities of Girls and Boys Town USA;
620. $200,000 to the City of Columbus, Nebraska for renovations to the Boys and Girls Home of Nebraska;
621. $400,000 to the City of Lincoln, Nebraska for the revitalization of the Antelope Valley Neighborhood Project;
622. $100,000 to the City of Lincoln, Nebraska for the expansion of rural business enterprise development;
623. $100,000 to the City of Omaha, Nebraska for the restoration of Tech Auditorium;
624. $150,000 to the City of Peru, Nebraska for construction of a new technology building at Peru State College;
625. $100,000 to the City of Red Cloud, Nebraska for renovations to the historic Moon Block building;
626. $200,000 to Thurston County, Nebraska for the renovation of the Thurston County Courthouse;
627. $1,000,000 for Metro Community College's Health Careers and Science Building in the City of Omaha, NE;
628. $200,000 for Thurston County Courthouse renovation in the City of Pender, NE;
629. $200,000 for the Boys and Girls Home of Nebraska's Columbus Family Resources Center in the City of Columbus, NE;
630. $200,000 for the Willa Cather Pioneer Memorial and Educational Foundation's Moon Block restoration project in the City of Red Cloud;
631. $200,000 for Clarkson College's Central Student Service Center Facility in the City of Omaha, NE;
632. $200,000 for University of Nebraska-Lincoln's Enterprise Development in Rural Nebraska in the City of Lincoln;
633. $950,000 for a parking facility as part of the Joslyn Art Museum Master Plan, in Omaha, Nebraska;
634. $100,000 to the City of Bethlehem, New Hampshire for the renovation of Main Street performing arts theater;
635. $150,000 to the City of Concord, New Hampshire for site preparation for improvements to White Park;
636. $100,000 to the City of Portsmouth, New Hampshire for construction of an environmentally responsible library;
637. $225,000 to the Town of Temple, New Hampshire for restoration of Temple Town Hall;
638. $100,000 to the Village of North Conway, New Hampshire for construction of an academic learning center at the New Hampshire Community Technical College;
639. $450,000 for Families in Transition, Manchester, New Hampshire for the Mothers and Children: Staying Together Recovery Center;
640. $350,000 for New Hampshire Community Technical College System, Conway, New Hampshire for the Consortium-Based Academic Center;
641. $200,000 for Gibson Center, Madison, New Hampshire for the preservation of senior housing at Silver Lake Landing;
642. $500,000 for the New Hampshire Community Loan Fund, manufactured housing park program;
643. $200,000 for the Monadnock, NH, Township home owner initiative;
644. $400,000 for the Derry, NH, Senior Center project;
645. $600,000 for the Manchester, NH, YWCA project;
646. $400,000 for the Nashua, NH, Downtown Riverfront Opportunity Program;
647. $400,000 for the Student Conservation Association service center, New Hampshire;
648. $400,000 to 2nd Floor Youth Helpline in Hazlet, New Jersey for construction and renovation of its space;
649. $300,000 to Essex County, New Jersey for economic development;
650. $250,000 to Eva’s Kitchen and Sheltering Program in Paterson, New Jersey for renovation and construction of a homeless shelter;

651. $150,000 to Hunterdon County, New Jersey for improvements to the Village of Oldwick;

652. $300,000 to Morris County, New Jersey for economic development;

653. $150,000 to Rutgers University in New Jersey for land acquisition for Early Childhood Research Learning Academy;

654. $300,000 to Somerset County, New Jersey for economic development;

655. $300,000 to Sussex County, New Jersey for economic development;

656. $150,000 to the City of Atlantic City, New Jersey for the development of a manufacturers business park;

657. $200,000 to the City of Barnegat Light, New Jersey for renovations to historic structures;

658. $150,000 to the City of Bridgeton, New Jersey for the revitalization of Southeast Gateway Neighborhood;

659. $300,000 to the City of Cape May, New Jersey for rehabilitation of a community arts center;

660. $350,000 to the City of East Orange, New Jersey for upgrades and improvements to recreation fields;

661. $100,000 to the City of Elmer, New Jersey for expansion of Appel Farms Arts and Music Center;

662. $250,000 to the City of Lakewood, New Jersey for the construction of a new building for the School for Children with Hidden Intelligence;

663. $600,000 to the City of Perth Amboy, New Jersey for rehabilitation and construction of the Jewish Renaissance Medical Center;

664. $50,000 to the City of Trenton, New Jersey for the completion of the Martin House Transitional Housing Program;

665. $350,000 to the City of West Milford, New Jersey for public commercial improvements;

666. $100,000 to the City of Westfield, New Jersey for the renovation of the new East Board Street YMCA;

667. $250,000 to the Monroe Township in Middlesex County, New Jersey for the development of recreation facilities;

668. $100,000 to the Town of Montclair, New Jersey for construction of a facility at Montclair State University;

669. $250,000 for the City of Pleasantville, NJ for the construction and renovation of the Pleasantville Marina;

670. $200,000 for the City of Paterson, NJ for the design and renovation of the Silk City Senior Nutrition Center;

671. $200,000 for the St. Joseph’s School of the Blind in Jersey City, NJ for the construction of a new facility;

672. $300,000 for the Rutgers-Camden Business Incubator, Camden NJ for the expansion of the business incubator;

673. $20,000 to the City of Albuquerque, New Mexico for the East Central Ministries enterprises program;

674. $500,000 to the City of Albuquerque, New Mexico for the construction of the YMCA of Albuquerque;
675. $250,000 to the City of Belen, New Mexico for construction of a multipurpose community center;
676. $150,000 to the City of Carlsbad, New Mexico for construction of the Carlsbad Battered Family Shelter;
677. $350,000 to the City of Placitas, New Mexico for the construction of the Placitas Public Library;
678. $200,000 to the Village of Angel Fire in New Mexico for construction and development of a town square;
679. $1,130,000 for Presbyterian Medical Services for their Head Start Facility in Santa Fe, New Mexico;
680. $750,000 for the Albuquerque Mental Health Housing Coalition, Inc. for the renovation of the Sunport Plaza Apartments in Albuquerque, New Mexico;
681. $620,000 for Eastern New Mexico State University in Portales, New Mexico for scientific instructional equipment;
682. $200,000 Otero County, NM, Veteran's Museum Construction;
683. $350,000 City of Carlsbad, NM, Battered Family Shelter Construction;
684. $250,000 Helping Hands Food Bank of Deming, NM, Construction;
685. $350,000 City of Sunland Park, NM, Community Center Construction;
686. $250,000 Sandoval County, NM, Community Health Alliance, Construction and Equipment;
687. $200,000 City of Portales, NM, Rehabilitation of the Yam Movie Palace;
688. $100,000 to the City of Carson, Nevada for expansion of Nevada’s Center for Entrepreneurship and Technology;
689. $500,000 to the City of Henderson, Nevada for improvements and building renovations;
690. $350,000 to the City of Las Vegas, Nevada for improvements to WestCare;
691. $150,000 to the City of North Las Vegas, Nevada for construction of a recreation center;
692. $150,000 to the City of Tonapah, Nevada for the development of multifunctional recreational facilities;
693. $300,000 for the Pahrump Senior Center, Pahrump NV, for senior transportation;
694. $500,000 for the Nathan Adelson Hospice, Henderson, NV, for an adult day care center;
695. $200,000 for the Ridge House, Reno, NV, for the purchase or acquisition of facilities for the Reentry Resource Center;
696. $500,000 for the University of Nevada-Reno to provide a Small Business Development Center;
697. $500,000 for the City of Las Vegas, Nevada for the renovation of the Old Post Office;
698. $350,000 for the City of Reno, Nevada to provide Fourth St. Corridor Enhancements;
699. $300,000 for the City of Pahrump/Nye County, Nevada Fairgrounds Project;
700. $500,000 for Wadsworth, Nevada to provide a Community Center;
701. $200,000 for the City of Sparks, Nevada for the Deer Park Facility Renovation Project;
702. $250,000 for the City of Reno, Nevada to provide a Food Bank of Northern Nevada Regional Distribution Facility Project;
703. $350,000 to Columbia County, New York for restoration of historic Great Stone Barn;
704. $150,000 to Elmcro Youth and Adult Activities in Queens, New York for renovation of economic development facilities;
705. $350,000 to Erie County, New York for the Suburban Solutions Center;
706. $400,000 to Fordham University in Bronx, New York for the construction of a multipurpose center;
707. $75,000 to Mamaroneck Village, New York for a pedestrian streetscape program;
708. $150,000 to Monroe County, New York for the rehabilitation of historic Whiteside Barnett and Co. Agricultural Works property;
709. $150,000 to Monroe County, New York for construction of education center classrooms;
710. $150,000 to Monroe County, New York for construction of a research and education center at the State University of New York College, Brockport;
711. $250,000 to Proctor's Theatre in Schenectady, New York for facility expansion;
712. $250,000 to Prospect Park Alliance in Brooklyn, New York for construction of a visitor’s center and upgrades to its facilities;
713. $150,000 to Sunnyside Community Services in Queens, New York for construction of a senior center;
714. $150,000 to the 39th Street Recreation Center, New York Department of Parks for the renovation of a recreation center;
715. $250,000 to the Bardavon 1869 Opera House, Inc. in Poughkeepsie, New York for improvements to the Bardavon Opera House;
716. $150,000 to the Beth Gavriel Bukharian Congregation in Queens, New York for planning, design, and construction of a building expansion to serve the Bukharian and Russian populations;
717. $550,000 to the Boricua College in New York, New York for renovation of the Audubon Terrace Building;
718. $250,000 to the Burchfield-Penney Art Center in Buffalo, New York for the construction of an art museum;
719. $450,000 to the City College of New York for the planning, design, and construction of the Center for Public Service;
720. $158,000 to the City of Alfred, New York for construction of the Sugar Hill Industrial Park;
721. $200,000 to the City of Alfred, New York for construction of a facility at Alfred State College;
722. $250,000 to the City of Babylon, New York for construction of 9/11 Education Center;
723. $300,000 to the City of Brooklyn, New York for additions to Sephardic Community Center;
724. $100,000 to the City of Brooklyn, New York for improvements to the 86th Street Business District;
725. $250,000 to the City of Elmira, New York for the restoration of Cowles Hall on the Elmira College Campus;
726. $100,000 to the City of Fort Ann, New York for construction of the Adirondack Golden Goal complex;
727. $100,000 to the City of Geneva, New York for construction of community recreation center;
728. $100,000 to the City of Glen Cove, New York for construction of children's center for the YMCA at Glen Cove;
729. $250,000 to the City of Houghton, New York for the rehabilitation of Paine Science Center at Houghton College;
730. $250,000 to the City of Hunter, New York for renovations of the Orpheum Theatre and renovations of the Sugar Maples Center for the Arts;
731. $250,000 to the City of Lindenhurst, New York for construction of a center for Breast Cancer Help, Inc;
732. $100,000 to the City of Plattsburgh, New York for the construction of Adirondack Champlain Fiber Network;
733. $150,000 to the City of Rochester, New York for construction to the Northwest Family YMCA, Camp Northpoint;
734. $100,000 to the City of Rome, New York for the construction of a community recreation center;
735. $250,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for the continuation of the Neighborhood Initiative Program;
736. $100,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for the Essential New York Initiative;
737. $250,000 to the City of Utica, New York for the replacement of windows at the Utica Public Library;
738. $100,000 to the City of Utica, New York for the construction and expansion of nursing laboratory;
739. $100,000 to the City of Watertown, New York for renovations to North Country Children's Clinic;
740. $200,000 to the Federation of Italian-American Organizations in Brooklyn, New York for facility upgrades;
741. $150,000 to the Huntington Economic Development Corporation in Huntington, New York for planning and design of a public plaza;
742. $550,000 to the Lutheran Medical Center in Brooklyn, New York for renovation and capital improvements;
743. $200,000 to the Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center in Bronx, New York for the construction of a multipurpose center;
744. $150,000 to the Museum of the Moving Image in Queens, New York for facility expansion;
745. $750,000 to the Old Fort Niagara Gateway to History in Porter, New York for rehabilitation of a visitor's center;
746. $400,000 to the Orange County Community College in Middletown, New York for construction of a new building;
747. $75,000 to the Pregones Theater in Bronx, New York for renovation of its facility;
748. $75,000 to the Queens Borough Children's Discovery Center, New York City, New York for the construction of a children's discovery center;
749. $200,000 to the Town of Brookhaven, Farmingville, New York for demolition and construction of a new Senior Citizens Wellness Center;
750. $75,000 to the Town of Eastchester, New York for construction of a youth center;
751. $100,000 to the Town of Lenox, New York for construction of WWI Memorial;
752. $150,000 to the Town of North Hempstead, New York for construction and revitalization in New Cassel;
753. $200,000 to the town of Old Forge, New York for the renovation of Arts Guild of Old Forge;
754. $100,000 to the Town of Ripley, New York for land acquisition;
755. $75,000 to the Village of Elmsford, New York for construction of a new senior center;
756. $75,000 to the Village of Pleasantville, New York for a pedestrian streetscape program;
757. $200,000 to the Village of Tuckahoe, New York for streetscape improvements in the Crestwood section;
758. $250,000 to the Town of Volney, New York for the development of Riverview Business Park;
759. $500,000 to Warren County, New York for facilities construction at North Creek Ski Bowl;
760. $200,000 to the YWCA of Niagara, NY for the computer lab expansion;
761. $250,000 to Alianza Dominicana of New York City, NY for expansion of the Triangle building;
762. $200,000 to SUNY Plattsburgh, NY for the expansion of the Adirondack-Champlain Community Fiber Network;
763. $250,000 to the El Museo del Barrio in New York City, NY for capital improvements;
764. $200,000 to the Central New York Community Arts Council of Utica, NY for the expansion of the Stanley Theater;
765. $200,000 to the City of Canandaigua, NY for the construction of a regional tourism center;
766. $200,000 for the Graduate College of Union University, Schenectady, NY to establish a freestanding campus;
767. $200,000 for the Robert H. Jackson Center, Jamestown, NY for auditorium restoration;
768. $200,000 for the Griffiss Local Development Corporation, Rome, NY for development of a multi-tenant technology office complex;
769. $200,000 for the Nassau County Museum of Art, Roslyn Harbor, NY for building restoration;
770. $200,000 for the Veterans Outreach Center, Rochester, NY for renovation and expansion of employment and training facilities;
771. $100,000 to Carroll County, Ohio for the development of a community center;
772. $250,000 to Columbiana County, Ohio for construction of a new community services building;
773. $200,000 to Connecting Point, Inc. in Toledo, Ohio for facility construction;
774. $200,000 to Ross County, Ohio for development of an industrial park;
775. $100,000 to the City of St. Clairsville, Ohio for the renovation of the Clarendon Hotel;
776. $750,000 to the City of Canton, Ohio for construction of a Community Youth/Recreation Activity Center;
777. $350,000 to the City of Cincinnati, Ohio for the construction of community education center on grounds of fire training facility;
778. $100,000 to the City of Cincinnati, Ohio for the renovation of Covedale Center for Performing Arts;
779. $650,000 to the City of Columbus, Ohio for the Campus Partners Neighborhood Initiative;
780. $300,000 to the City of Columbus, Ohio for mixed-use commercial and residential facilities;
781. $250,000 to the City of Dayton, Ohio for street infrastructure and parking facility improvements;
782. $100,000 to the City of Dayton, Ohio for redevelopment of Brown and Stewart Street properties at the University of Dayton;
783. $200,000 to the City of Delaware, Ohio for renovations to the Stand Theater;
784. $200,000 to the City of Glouster, Ohio for renovations to the Ohio Department of Corrections Facility;
785. $250,000 to the City of Green, Ohio for the purchase of Southgate Farm;
786. $75,000 to the City of Lancaster, Ohio for the renovation of a building for the glass-blowing museum;
787. $100,000 to the City of Lima, Ohio for improvements to riverwalk;
788. $150,000 to the City of Lorain, Ohio for planning, design, demolition, and redevelopment of Broadway Avenue;
789. $400,000 to the City of Navarre, Ohio for construction of a library for the Towpath Trail YMCA Community Center;
790. $295,000 to the City of Peebles, Ohio for improvements to the Serpent Mound State Memorial Visitor Facility;
791. $1,000,000 to the City of Springfield, Ohio for the expansion of Applied Research Technology Park (ARTP) in Springfield;
792. $175,000 to the City of Springfield, Ohio for demolition of a property to be used for a new hospital;
793. $200,000 to the City of St. Marys, Ohio for renovations to the historic Glass Block;
794. $100,000 to the City of Toledo, Ohio for the construction of Ice-Skating Rinks in City Parks;
795. $150,000 to the City of Urbana, Ohio for the revitalization of Champaign County heritage sites;
796. $250,000 to the City of Van Wert, Ohio for renovations of a facility for The Marsh Foundation;
797. $250,000 to the City of Van Wert, Ohio for the renovation of facilities for Starr Commonwealth;
798. $200,000 to the Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance in Toledo, Ohio for facility construction;
799. $150,000 to the Urban League of Greater Cleveland, Ohio for a multicultural business development center;
800. $200,000 to the Youngstown, Ohio Associated Neighborhood Center in Youngstown, Ohio for upgrades to the McGuffey Center;
801. $200,000 for the City of Canton, Ohio for the New Horizons Park land and site acquisition, demolition, or facilities construction;
802. $200,000 for Wright Dunbar, Inc., Dayton, Ohio, to construct the Gateway to Paul Laurence Dunbar Memorial;
803. $200,000 for Daybreak, Inc., Dayton, Ohio, for the Daybreak Opportunity House land and site acquisition, demolition, site preparation and facilities construction;
804. $200,000 for Catholic Charities Services Corporation, Parma, Ohio, for Parmadale's land and site acquisition, demolition, site preparation and facilities construction;
805. $100,000 for Cornerstone of Hope, Independence, OH, to build a facility;
806. $300,000 for The Preston Fund for SMA Research, Beachwood, Ohio, for the construction and development of Preston's H.O.P.E.;
807. $300,000 for the Defiance County Senior Service Center, Defiance, Ohio, for construction;
808. $250,000 for the Ukrainian Museum-Archives, Cleveland, Ohio, for Phase II development and construction;
809. $250,000 for The Scioto Society, Inc., Chillicothe, Ohio for the “Tecumseh!” Capital Improvement Project;
810. $270,000 for the Lorain County Community College Great Lakes Business Growth and Development Center in Ohio;
811. $200,000 for the City of Jackson’s Day Care Center, Ohio;
812. $260,000 for Wilberforce University, Ohio Private Historically Black University Residence Hall Project;
813. $270,000 for the Solid Waste Authority of Central Ohio (SWACO) Pyramid Resource Center;
814. $250,000 to the City of Durant, Oklahoma for an employer assisted housing initiative;
815. $100,000 to the City of El Reno, Oklahoma for the construction of a facility for Youth and Family Services;
816. $300,000 to the City of Pawnee, Oklahoma for the renovation of the Buffalo Theater;
817. $100,000 to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma for the renovation of a facility to establish a one-stop youth and family service center;
818. $220,000 for the City of Ardmore, OK, to construct the Ardmore Community Resource Center;
819. $220,000 for Norman Economic Development Corporation, Norman, OK, to construct an engineering incubator;
820. $200,000 for the City of Ponca City, OK, to construct a museum building and information center for the statue of Ponca Chief Standing Bear;
821. $220,000 for the United States-Mexico Cultural Education Foundation to establish the Center for North American Sustainable Economic Development at the University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK;
822. $220,000 for the Native American Cultural Center and Museum, Oklahoma City, OK, for construction of the American Indian Cultural Center;
823. $200,000 for the City of Midwest City, OK to construct a community outreach center;
824. $150,000 to the Portland Center Stage Armory Theater in Portland, Oregon for renovations and upgrades to its facility;
825. $150,000 to the Portland Development Commission in Portland, Oregon for urban revitalization of the South Waterfront District;
826. $300,000 to the Richard E. Wildish Community Theater in Springfield, Oregon for the completion of construction of its facility;
827. $200,000 to the Salem Urban Renewal Agency in Salem, Oregon for rehabilitation of downtown Salem;
828. $200,000 for the City of Lakeview, Oregon to develop geothermal resources;
829. $200,000 for Marion-Polk Food Share in Salem, Oregon to improve and renovate an emergency food distribution center;
830. $200,000 for the City of Pendleton, Oregon to improve and renovate round-up facilities;
831. $500,000 for construction of an education building at the Blue Mountain Community College's Northeastern Oregon Collaborative University Center, Hermiston, Oregon;
832. $250,000 for construction of the Downtown/Riverfront Access Project by the City of The Dalles for the Port of The Dalles, Oregon;
833. $200,000 for construction of a Teen Activity Center at the Santo Community Center in Medford, Oregon;
834. $200,000 to Armstrong County, Pennsylvania for rebuilding the Belmont Complex;
835. $200,000 to Berks County, Pennsylvania for a Competitive Greater Reading Initiative;
836. $500,000 to Bradford County, Pennsylvania for the construction of two business parks;
837. $200,000 to Bristol Township, Pennsylvania for the construction of a community center for Freedom Neighborhood;
838. $150,000 to Carbon County, Pennsylvania for land acquisition, facilities renovation, and demolition;
839. $200,000 to Greene County, Pennsylvania for revitalization of recreational facilities;
840. $100,000 to Gwen's Girls, Inc. in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania for construction of a residential facility;
841. $200,000 to Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania for construction of a new facility for the YMCA of Carbondale;
842. $750,000 to Lower Makefield Township, Pennsylvania for construction of the Lower Makefield 9/11 Memorial Garden;
843. $150,000 to North Central Triangle Revitalization in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for planning and design of the Triangle Revitalization project;
844. $47,000 to Perry County, Pennsylvania for expansion of the community pool in Liverpool Township;
845. $100,000 to Point Breeze Performing Arts Center in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for renovations and upgrades of its facility;
846. $200,000 to the Borough of Mahonoy City, Pennsylvania for improvements to West Market Street;
847. $100,000 to the Carroll Park Neighbors Advisory Council in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for facility renovations and upgrades;
848. $15,000 to the City of Blaine, Pennsylvania for renovations to the baseball park in Toboyne Township;
849. $100,000 to the City of Allentown, Pennsylvania for the construction of the Da Vinci Discovery Center of Science and Technology;
850. $100,000 to the City of Allentown, Pennsylvania for expansion of the Allentown Art Museum;
851. $100,000 to the City of Allentown, Pennsylvania for the construction of a center for LeHigh Valley Heritage;
852. $100,000 to the City of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania for the renovation of KidsPeace Broadway Campus;
853. $200,000 to the City of Bradford, Pennsylvania for construction of an aquatic area at Brookville YMCA;
854. $60,000 to the City of Cambria, Pennsylvania for construction of a playground facility for Coal Country Hang-out Youth Center;
855. $250,000 to the City of Carnegie, Pennsylvania for infrastructure improvements;
856. $100,000 to the City of Chambersburg, Pennsylvania for renovations to the Capitol Theater;
857. $250,000 to the City of Chester, Pennsylvania for improving the YWCA of Chester;
858. $200,000 to the City of Clarion, Pennsylvania for improvements to Sawmill Center for the Arts;
859. $200,000 to the City of Clearfield, Pennsylvania for improvements to the Clearfield YMCA;
860. $200,000 to the City of Corry, Pennsylvania for the redevelopment of the former Cooper Ajax facility;
861. $200,000 to the City of Galeton, Pennsylvania for the expansion of the museum’s visitor center;
862. $100,000 to the City of Gettysburg, Pennsylvania for the renovation of Gettysburg Railway Station as a visitor’s center;
863. $150,000 to the City of Greenville, Pennsylvania for the reconstruction of streetscapes;
864. $50,000 to the City of Hollidaysburg, Pennsylvania for the renovations to the YMCA in Hollidaysburg;
865. $50,000 to the City of Homer, Pennsylvania for construction of a new athletic facility;
866. $250,000 to the City of Jeannette, Pennsylvania for parking improvements to the business district;
867. $400,000 to the City of Johnstown, Pennsylvania for construction and improvements to the convention center;
868. $250,000 to the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania for construction of the Columbia Clubhouse for the Boys and Girls Club of Lancaster;
869. $10,000 to the City of Marysville, Pennsylvania for enhancements to a public playground;
870. $100,000 to the City of Media, Pennsylvania for technology infrastructure at the Delaware County Community College;
871. $25,000 to the City of Mifflintown, Pennsylvania for the development of a playground facility;
872. $250,000 to the City of Monroeville, Pennsylvania for construction of a new center and park for Monroeville Community Center;
873. $100,000 to the City of Oil City, Pennsylvania for upgrades to the Oil Creek Railway Historic Caboose;
874. $300,000 to the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for streetscape of the vendors mall;
875. $200,000 to the City of Pine Forge, Pennsylvania for construction of an student center at Pine Forge Academy;
876. $250,000 to the City of Radnor, Pennsylvania for expansion of a community center for Cabrini College;
877. $250,000 to the City of Sunbury, Pennsylvania for construction of an amphitheater complex for the Susquehanna Riverfront;
878. $200,000 to the City of Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania for construction of a community facility for autistic children;
879. $150,000 to the City of York, Pennsylvania for improvements to streetscapes;
880. $1,500,000 to the Indiana University, Indiana, Pennsylvania for the development and construction of a Regional Development Center;
881. $1,500,000 to the Indiana University, Indiana, Pennsylvania for the construction of a multiuse training facility in Indiana, Pennsylvania;
882. $150,000 to the Jewish Community Center of Greater Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for facilities construction and improvements;
883. $200,000 to Waynesburg College Center, Greene County, Pennsylvania for a center for economic development;
884. $200,000 for the City of Carbondale, Pennsylvania for the South Main Street Economic Development Initiative which is designed to reduce blight along the City's Main Street Corridor;
885. $200,000 for the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Corry to acquire a brownfield site in downtown Corry, Pennsylvania;
886. $200,000 for Weatherly Borough, Pennsylvania to acquire and redevelop the Lehigh Valley Railroad Shops and Weatherly Steel Plant complex in the heart of Weatherly, PA;
887. $200,000 for Indiana County, Pennsylvania to acquire the Wayne Avenue Property in Indiana;
888. $200,000 for Armstrong County, Pennsylvania for remediation and infrastructure development on a 14.2 acre of brownfield property in Apollo Borough;
889. $200,000 for Perry County, Pennsylvania to develop an industrial park in New Bloomfield;
890. $200,000 for People for People, Inc. for planning and project development efforts for the Triangle redevelopment project;
891. $200,000 for the Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission, to develop the Alta Vista Business Park, a mixed-use business park on a former strip mine site adjacent to I–70, in Washington County, Pennsylvania;
892. $300,000 for the Allegheny County Airport Authority in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania for site preparation and construction of its North Field Development project;
893. $200,000 for Gaudenzia, Inc. in Norristown, Pennsylvania to renovate and expand its residential facilities;
894. $200,000 for Our City Reading in Reading, Pennsylvania to rehabilitate abandoned houses and provide down payment assistance to home buyers;
895. $200,000 for the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania for the revitalization and construction of Lancaster Square;
896. $200,000 for the Greater Wilkes-Barre Chamber of Business and Industry in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania for acquisition, planning, and redevelopment of the historic Irem Temple;
897. $200,000 for the Greene County Department of Planning and Economic Development in Greene County, Pennsylvania for construction and site development of a multi-phased business park on the grounds of the Greene County Airport;
898. $200,000 for Impact Services Corporation in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania to renovate, redevelop, and convert an existing building into low-income housing units;
899. $200,000 for the Shippensburg University Foundation in Shippensburg, Pennsylvania for construction of Phase III of the Shippensburg Regional Conference Center;
900. $200,000 for the Partnership CDC in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for acquisition, renovation and rehabilitation of affordable housing for moderate- and low-income families;
901. $200,000 for the Allentown Art Museum in Allentown, Pennsylvania for the planning, site development, and construction of Phase I of its expansion project;
902. $200,000 for Universal Community Homes in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for conversion of parcels of land into housing units for low- and moderate-income families;
903. $150,000 to the Municipality of Isabela, Puerto Rico for the construction of a youth center;
904. $250,000 to the Village of Aguadilla, Puerto Rico for construction of a little league baseball park at Old Ramey Air Force Base;
905. $200,000 to the City of Central Falls, Rhode Island for construction and renovation of parks facilities;
906. $150,000 to the Providence YMCA in Providence, Rhode Island for the construction of a multipurpose center;
907. $200,000 to the Town of North Smithfield, Rhode Island for economic development initiatives focused on technology improvements;
908. $350,000 for the Cranston Public Library in Cranston, Rhode Island for building renovations;
909. $250,000 for Jamiel Park in Warren, Rhode Island for facility improvements;
910. $200,000 for the Town of West Warwick, Rhode Island for the development and construction of a river walk;
911. $200,000 for Meeting Street School in Providence, Rhode Island for the construction of the Bright Futures Early Learning Center;
912. $200,000 for Sexual Assault and Trauma Resource Center in Providence, Rhode Island for building acquisition and renovations;
914. $200,000 for the Pastime Theatre in Bristol, Rhode Island for building improvements;
915. $200,000 for Family Service of Rhode Island in Providence, Rhode Island for building purchase and renovations;
916. $200,000 for St. Mary’s Home for Children in North Providence, Rhode Island for building renovations;
917. $200,000 for Stand Up for Animals in Westerly, Rhode Island for building construction;
918. $300,000 for the acquisition and renovation of the Seniors Helping Others volunteer center in South Kingstown, RI;
919. $300,000 for the expansion and renovation of the Pawtucket Day Child Development Center, Pawtucket, RI;
920. $300,000 for the renovation and expansion of the John E. Fogarty Center to provide services and programs for children and adults with disabilities, North Providence, RI;
921. $200,000 for the City of Woonsocket, RI for the redevelopment of the Hamlet Avenue Mill site;
922. $200,000 to provide for equipment and construction of the Arlington Branch of the Cranston Public Library, Cranston, RI;
923. $1,000,000 Engenuity South Carolina in the City of Columbia for the National Institute of Hydrogen Commercialization;
924. $100,000 to Georgetown County, South Carolina for construction of the Choppee Regional Resource Center;
925. $60,000 to Laurens County, South Carolina for the Hunter Industrial Park improvements;
926. $250,000 to Lee County, South Carolina for construction of a county recreation center;
927. $150,000 to Marion County, South Carolina for constructing of an outdoor wellness facility;
928. $125,000 to the Bible Way Community Development Corporation, Columbia, South Carolina for construction of a multipurpose facility;
929. $100,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of the Pee Dee in Florence, South Carolina for renovation and expansion of Florence and Sumter facilities;
930. $400,000 to the City of Charleston, SC for completed construction of the Spirit of South Carolina;
931. $500,000 to the City of Greenville, South Carolina for the development of Clemson University International Center for Automotive Research;
932. $300,000 to the City of Lancaster, South Carolina for renovation of the “Hope on the Hill” adult education and after-school center;
933. $100,000 to the City of Spartanburg, South Carolina for the expansion of dormitories and classrooms at the South Carolina School for the Deaf and the Blind;
934. $300,000 to the City of Walterboro, South Carolina for construction of Great Swamp Sanctuary Discovery Center and associated streetscape;
935. $200,000 to the National Council of Negro Women, Inc. in Bishopville, South Carolina for construction of the Dr. Mary McLeod Bethune Memorial Park;
936. $200,000 to the Paxville Community Development Center in Paxville, South Carolina for the construction of a multipurpose center;
937. $50,000 to the Progressive Club in John's Island, South Carolina for renovation of a multi-purpose building;
938. $400,000 to the Town of Greenwood, South Carolina for the renovation of Old Federal Courthouse;
939. $100,000 to the Town of St. Stephens, South Carolina for renovation of the Berkeley Senior Center;
940. $75,000 to the Williamsburg County Boys and Girls Club in Hemingway, South Carolina for expansion and upgrading of facilities;
941. $280,000 for the South Carolina School for the Deaf and Blind in Spartanburg, SC for dormitory renovation;
942. $220,000 for Crisis Ministries Homeless Shelter in Charleston, SC for facilities renovation;
943. $100,000 to the Children's Home Society of South Dakota in Sioux Falls, South Dakota for construction of facilities;
944. $100,000 to the City of Aberdeen, South Dakota for renovations to the Aberdeen Recreation and Cultural Center;
945. $150,000 to Wakpa Sica Reconciliation Place in Ft. Pierre, South Dakota for construction of the Wakpa Sica Reconciliation Place;
946. $250,000 for the City of Aberdeen, South Dakota to construct a Recreation and Cultural Center;
947. $250,000 for the Children's Home Society in Sioux Falls to expand its at-risk youth facility;
948. $400,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of Brookings, SD for Facilities Expansion;
949. $200,000 to the Children's Home Society of Sioux Falls, SD for At-Risk Youth Facilities Expansion;
950. $200,000 to the City of North Sioux City, SD for Community Library Expansion;
951. $200,000 to the Mammoth Site of Hot Springs, SD for the Theater and Lecture Hall Project;
952. $200,000 to the Wakpa Sica Historical Society of Fort Pierre, SD for the Wakpa Sica Reconciliation Place;
953. $200,000 to the Rapid City Area Economic Development Partnership of Rapid City, SD for the Technology Transfer and Entrepreneur Center Project;
954. $200,000 to Miner County Revitalization of Howard, SD for the Rural Learning Center Project;
955. $100,000 to Bradley County, Tennessee for construction of a facility to house small business development;
956. $100,000 to Clay County, Tennessee for renovation of the Clay County Senior Citizens Center;
957. $150,000 to Hamilton County, Tennessee for technology improvements to the Hamilton County Center for Entrepreneurial Growth;
958. $250,000 to Johnson City, Tennessee for construction materials for expansion of the Appalachia Service Project;
959. $250,000 to Knox County, Tennessee for the construction of a senior center;
960. $100,000 to Loudon County, Tennessee to complete construction of a senior center;
961. $500,000 to Polk County, Tennessee for the construction of community projects;
962. $100,000 to the City of Gallatin, Tennessee for construction of facilities;
963. $50,000 to the City of Gray, Tennessee for renovations to the storage warehouse of Second Harvest Food Bank;
964. $100,000 to the City of Oak Ridge, Tennessee for the nanoscience research initiative for Tech 2020;
965. $100,000 to the City of Savannah, Tennessee for the expansion of the Tennessee River Museum;
966. $200,000 to the Cumberland County Playhouse in Crossville, Tennessee for facility renovations;
967. $150,000 to the Second Harvest Food Bank in Middle, Tennessee for facilities renovation and buildout;
968. $150,000 to the Second Harvest Food Bank in Nashville, Tennessee for facilities renovation and equipment;
969. $150,000 to the Southwest Tennessee Community College in Memphis, Tennessee for construction of a teaching facility;
970. $750,000 for the City of Clinton, Tennessee to renovate the Green McAdoo Cultural Center;
971. $400,000 for the Second Harvest Food Bank of Middle Tennessee in Nashville, Tennessee for the expansion of its distribution center;
972. $300,000 for the Chattanooga African American Chamber of Commerce, Tennessee to construct the Martin Luther King Business Solutions Center;
973. $600,000 for the Carroll County Watershed Authority in Carroll County, Tennessee for land acquisition;
974. $200,000 for the Big South Fork Visitors Center in Cumberland County, Tennessee to develop new visitors facilities;
975. $500,000 for Technology 2020 in Oak Ridge, Tennessee to support the East Tennessee Nanotechnology Initiative;
976. $250,000 for Smith County, Tennessee for construction and infrastructure improvements to the Health, Senior, and Education complex;
977. $320,000 to Cameron County, Texas for construction of a Boys and Girls Club in Santa Rosa, Texas;
978. $150,000 to Harris County, Texas for the development of an economic development plan;
979. $150,000 to Harris County, Texas for the construction of a senior education center;
980. $150,000 to the Children’s Museum of Houston, Texas for construction of an annex to a Children’s Museum;
981. $250,000 to the City of Abilene, Texas for construction of a new hangar at Abilene Regional Airport;
982. $500,000 to the City of Arlington, Texas for construction of an entrepreneur center;
983. $100,000 to the City of Austin, Texas for construction of International Center of Austin;
984. $500,000 to the City of Cleburne, Texas for construction of a new East Cleburne Community Center;
985. $150,000 to the City of Dallas, Texas for planning and design of an Afro-Centric cultural district;
986. $650,000 to the City of Fort Worth, Texas for construction of the Trinity River Vision;
987. $350,000 to the City of Fort Worth, Texas for the Central City Revitalization Initiative;
988. $1,000,000 to the City of Houston, Texas for construction of a facility for the Bay Area Business and Technology Center at the University of Houston Clear Lake;
989. $200,000 to the City of Leonard, Texas for streetscape improvements;
990. $250,000 to the City of Livingston, Texas for facility improvements to the reservation of the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas;
991. $100,000 to the City of Madisonville, Texas for upgrades and improvements to its’ community recreational fields;
992. $250,000 to the City of Midland, Texas for the renovation of downtown Midland;
993. $200,000 to the City of Nacogdoches, Texas for renovations to The Fredonia Hotel and Convention Center;
994. $250,000 to the City of Odessa, Texas for the renovation of Historical Globe Theatre;
995. $250,000 to the City of Rio Bravo, Texas for the construction of a community center;
996. $150,000 to the City of Tilden, Texas for construction of a community center;
997. $250,000 to the Food Bank of the Rio Grande Valley, Inc. in McAllen, Texas for purchase of a facility;
998. $250,000 to the Foundation for Brownsville Sports in Brownsville, Texas for renovation of a site;
999. $150,000 to the San Antonio Food Bank in San Antonio, Texas for construction of a distribution facility;
1000. $400,000 for the Dallas Women’s Museum in Dallas, Texas to conduct renovations;
1001. $200,000 for the Houston Hispanic Forum of Houston, Texas to provide the historic preservation and renovation of the Houston Light Guard Armory into the Hispanic Cultural and Educational Center;
1002. $200,000 for Polk County, Texas to restore the Polk County Annex;
1003. $200,000 to the Arlington Chamber of Commerce in Arlington, Texas to establish the Arlington Entrepreneur Center;
1004. $200,000 to the City of Fort Worth, Texas for the Central City revitalization initiative;
1005. $200,000 to the World Congress on Information Technology in Austin, Texas for convention center renovations;
1006. $200,000 to the City of Commerce, Texas for a new city hall facility;
1007. $200,000 to the City of Hillsboro, Texas for the district warehouse development project;
1008. $200,000 to the City of Dallas, Texas for the Dallas Fair Park Commercial District;
1009. $300,000 to the City of Lufkin, Texas for the convention center initiative;
1010. $200,000 for the Los Fresnos Texas Boys and Girls Club, Los Fresnos, TX for planning, design and facility construction;
1011. $200,000 to Sandy City, Utah for streetscape improvements and revitalization efforts;
1012. $250,000 to the City of Riverton, Utah for the construction of Nature Center;
1013. $250,000 to the City of Riverton, Utah for the reconstruction of Old Dome Meeting Hall;
1014. $150,000 to the College of Eastern Utah in Blanding, Utah for construction of a building on its campus;
1015. $600,000 for the City of Provo, Utah to build the Provo Community Arts Center in the City of Provo;
1016. $200,000 for the City of Hyrum, Utah to build the Hyrum Library and Museum Complex in the City of Hyrum;
1017. $1,000,000 for Sandy City, Utah, for the revitalization of the city’s original historic district;
1018. $1,200,000 for the City of Blanding’s College of Eastern Utah—San Juan Campus, for the construction of a library community multipurpose building;
1019. $800,000 for Summit County, Utah, for improvements to the Utah Olympic Park facilities;
1020. $100,000 to Bedford County, Virginia for construction of the National D-Day Memorial;
1021. $50,000 to Chase City, Virginia for the construction of an African-American historic landmark memorial;
1022. $100,000 to Fairfax County, Virginia for creation of the Housing Counseling Information and Technology Center;
1023. $150,000 to Franklin County, Virginia for restoration of the Jubal A. Early homeplace;
1024. $150,000 to Henrico County, Virginia for site preparation and construction of a war memorial and visitor’s center;
1025. $400,000 to Northampton County, Virginia for construction of a community center;
1026. $250,000 to Northampton County, Virginia for the construction of a recreational facility;
1027. $100,000 to Prince William County, Virginia for improvements to the Nokesville streetscape;
1028. $200,000 to the Alexandria Redevelopment Housing Authority in Alexandria, Virginia for renovations of the Family Resource Learning Center;
1029. $250,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of Alexandria in Alexandria, Virginia for renovation and expansion of facilities;
1030. $250,000 to the City of Berryville, Virginia for the restoration of Barns of Rose Hill;
1031. $250,000 to the City of Chesapeake, Virginia for improvements to the Poindexter streetscape;
1032. $50,000 to the City of Danville, Virginia for development of the American Armoured Foundation Tank Museum;
1033. $100,000 to the City of Harrisonburg, Virginia for renovations to the Harrisonburg Children’s Museum;
1034. $300,000 to the City of Manassas, Virginia for construction of a technology building at the Northern Virginia Community College;
1035. $100,000 to the City of Martinsville, Virginia for the expansion of the West Piedmont Business Development Center;
1036. $250,000 to the City of Newport News, Virginia for the restoration of USS Monitor artifacts;
1037. $300,000 to the City of Richmond, Virginia for the construction of the Virginia Performing Arts Foundation Education Center;
1038. $200,000 to the City of Richmond, Virginia for construction and renovations to the Virginia Holocaust Museum;
1039. $150,000 to the City of Richmond, Virginia for construction and renovations to the Virginia Historical Society;
1040. $150,000 to the City of Richmond, Virginia for facility expansion of the Virginia Museum of Fine Arts;
1041. $250,000 to the City of Roanoke, Virginia for renovations to the Southwestern Virginia Food Bank;
1042. $100,000 to the City of South Boston, Virginia for restoration of The Prizery for a community arts center;
1043. $150,000 to the City of Staunton, Virginia for building renovations and improvements to downtown buildings;
1044. $200,000 to the City of Vienna, Virginia for the Green Project;
1045. $150,000 to the Dabney S. Lancaster Community College in Clifton Forge, Virginia for construction of the Virginia Packaging Applications Center;
1046. $100,000 to the Falls Church Education Foundation in Falls Church, Virginia for planning and expansion of Mt. Daniel Elementary School;
1047. $75,000 to the Town of Boydton, Virginia for revitalization projects in the central business district;
1048. $50,000 to the Town of Charlotte, Virginia for the revitalization of the historic Charlotte Court House;
1049. $450,000 to Warren County, Virginia for renovations to the county youth center;
1050. $250,000 for the Woodrow Wilson Presidential Library in Staunton, Virginia to continue undertaking initial design of the Library;
1051. $250,000 for the Radford University Business and Technology Park in Radford, Virginia to begin site preparation and schematic design of the Park;
1052. $200,000 for the George L. Carter Home Regional Arts and Crafts Center in Hillville, Virginia to restore the historic home to serve as a regional Appalachian arts and crafts center;
1053. $200,000 for the Suffolk Museum of African-American History in Suffolk, Virginia to renovate the former Phoenix Bank of Nansemond for the Museum of African-American History;
1054. $500,000 for the Christopher Newport News University Real Estate Foundation for the Warwick Boulevard Commercial Corridor Redevelopment Project in Newport News, Virginia;
1055. $200,000 for the Mariners’ Museum for the USS Monitor Center in Newport News, Virginia;
1056. $200,000 for the Total Action Against Poverty to restore and revitalize the Dumas Center for Artistic and Cultural Development in Roanoke, Virginia;
1057. $200,000 for the Appalachia Service Project for its Home Repair Program in Jonesville, Virginia;
1058. $200,000 to the Northeast Vermont Area Agency on Aging in Vermont for construction and rehabilitation of senior centers;
1059. $750,000 for the Preservation Trust of Vermont, Burlington, VT for the Village Revitalization Initiative;
1060. $750,000 for the Vermont Broadband Council, Waterbury, VT for high speed broadband deployment;
1061. $450,000 for the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board, Montpelier, VT for development of affordable housing in Townsend, VT;
1062. $300,000 for Project Independence, Bennington, VT for renovation of the Harwood Hill Farm Facility;
1063. $250,000 for the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board to build low-income housing and reconstruct downtown Enosburg, VT;
1064. $250,000 for the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board to construct senior housing in South Burlington, VT;
1065. $250,000 for the Visiting Nurse Association of Chittenden and Grand Isle Counties, VT to construct a low-income parent and child center in Burlington, VT;
1066. $200,000 for the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board to rehabilitate and construct affordable rental housing in Bradford, VT;
1067. $150,000 to Kitsap County, Washington for land acquisition for a community center and park/utility complex;
1068. $200,000 to Skagit County, Washington for land acquisition to assist in the redevelopment of Hamilton, Washington;
1069. $150,000 to Skamania County Wind River Public Development Authority in Washington for rehabilitation and upgrades to existing buildings;
1070. $350,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of King County in Seattle, Washington for renovation of the Greenbridge Community Center;
1071. $800,000 to the City of Bellevue, Washington for the purchase of an additional Safe House for short-term transitional shelter;
1072. $250,000 to the City of Kent, Washington for renovations to the Springwood Community Center;
1073. $300,000 to the City of Roslyn, Washington for rehabilitation of Roslyn City Hall;
1074. $200,000 to the City of Yakima, Washington for restoring buildings and improving streetscapes;
1075. $200,000 to the Foss Waterway Development Authority in Tacoma, Washington for redevelopment of its downtown urban core;
1076. $550,000 to the Museum of Glass in Tacoma, Washington for construction of facilities;
1077. $225,000 to the Northwest Maritime Center in Port Townsend, Washington for construction of its facility;
1078. $300,000 for the City of Roslyn, WA, for the Old City Hall and Library Renovation Project;
1079. $325,000 for the Wing Luke Asian Museum in Seattle, WA for an expansion project;
1080. $500,000 for North Helpline in Seattle, WA for new facility site acquisition;
1081. $500,000 for the Fremont Public Association in Seattle, WA for the Housing for the Homeless project;
1082. $500,000 for the Asian Counseling and Referral Service in Seattle, WA for facility construction;
1083. $325,000 for the Urban League in Seattle, WA for construction of the Northwest African American Museum;
1084. $500,000 for the Seattle Art Museum in Seattle, WA for construction of the Olympic Sculpture Park;
1085. $325,000 for the Seattle Aquarium Society in Seattle, WA for the renovation and expansion of the Seattle Aquarium;
1086. $500,000 Northeast Community Center Association in Spokane, WA for a capital improvement project;
1087. $400,000 for Easter Seals Washington in Seattle, WA for construction of a camp and respite lodging facility;
1088. $500,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of King County, WA for renovations to the Greenbridge Community Center;
1089. $325,000 for the Spokane Symphony in Spokane, WA for renovations to the Fox Theater;
1090. $500,000 for Kitsap Community Resources in Bremerton, Washington, for the construction of the Bremerton Community Services Center;
1091. $150,000 to Chippewa Valley Technical College in Eau Claire, Wisconsin for construction of an addition to the Gateway Manufacturing and Technology Center;
1092. $200,000 to Manitowoc County, Wisconsin for reconstruction of the Manitowoc County Courthouse;
1093. $150,000 to Monroe Senior Center in Monroe, Wisconsin for renovation of its facilities;
1094. $100,000 to the City of Cedarburg, Wisconsin for demolition of a facility for future construction;
1095. $300,000 to the City of Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin for the completion of the New Launch System at Sturgeon Bay Shipbuilding Cluster;
1096. $100,000 to the Juneau County Economic Development Corporation in Wisconsin for renovation of a multipurpose facility;
1097. $200,000 to the Milwaukee Public Schools for a demolition project;
1098. $150,000 to the West End Development Corporation in Milwaukee, Wisconsin for revitalization of the city’s Near West Side;
1099. $200,000 for the City of LaCrosse, WI to construct the Center for Manufacturing Excellence;
1100. $300,000 for the City of Appleton, WI for construction of affordable housing units at the Appleton Wire Works factory site;
1101. $270,000 for the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Racine, WI to redevelop brownfields space for the Racine Industrial Park;
1102. $200,000 for the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Milwaukee, WI to redevelop a vacant school and provide for the Bronzeville Cultural Center;
1103. $200,000 for the City of Kenosha, WI for construction related to the Columbus Neighborhood Affordable Housing Project;
1104. $200,000 for West End Development Corporation in Milwaukee, WI to rehabilitation a commercial building as part of the North 27th Street Project;
1105. $230,000 for the City of Green Bay, WI, for the Green Bay Waterfront construction and revitalization project;
1106. $200,000 for the City of Milwaukee, WI for construction of the Menomonee Valley Partners Stormwater Park;
1107. $200,000 for City of Necedah, WI to construct a facility for the Juneau County Business Incubator;
1108. $250,000 for the City of Milwaukee, WI for rehabilitation associated with the 30th Street Industrial Corridor-Esser Paint site;
1109. $25,000 Mineral County Historical Foundation for facilities construction;
1110. $2,200,000 to Glenville State College in Glenville, West Virginia for facilities construction;
1111. $550,000 to Greenbrier County, West Virginia for construction of the Greenbrier Valley Welcome and Interpretive Center;
1112. $100,000 to Preston County Commission in West Virginia for construction and renovation;
1113. $300,000 to the City of Montgomery, West Virginia for completion of a building for the West Virginia Technical College newspaper publishing program;
1114. $450,000 to the City of South Charleston, West Virginia for a feasibility study for the Mid-Atlantic Technology, Research and Innovation Center;
1115. $25,000 to the Friends of Preston Academy for facilities construction;
1116. $50,000 to Wetzel County Commission for construction and renovation;
1117. $1,000,000 for construction, related activities, and programs at the Scarborough Library at Shepherd University;
1118. $1,000,000 for the Wheeling Park Commission for the development of training facilities at Oglebay Park;
1119. $2,000,000 for West Virginia University for the development of a facility to house forensic science research and academic programs;
1120. $1,000,000 for the Kanawha Institute for Social Research and Action, for renovations to the Empowerment Center in West Dunbar, which will house an array of self-sufficiency programs for low- to moderate-income individuals;
1121. $150,000 to the City of Dubois, Wyoming for improvements to the Dubois Community area;
1122. $350,000 to the City of Laramie, Wyoming for construction of a National Creative Arts Center facility;
1123. $100,000 to the City of Laramie, Wyoming for improvements to the Wyoming Technology Business Center;
1124. $900,000 for the Sustainable Agriculture Research & Extension Center (SAREC) in Goshen County, Wyoming for construction of a community center building;
The conference agreement includes $50,000,000 for the Neighborhood Initiatives program and directs HUD to implement the program as follows:

1. $1,000,000 to the City and County of San Francisco for rehabilitation of a facility for use as a homeless shelter;
2. $1,000,000 to the City of Desert Hot Springs, California for construction of a civic center;
3. $500,000 to the Fine Arts Museum of San Francisco, California for construction of a museum;
4. $2,000,000 to the Nixon Foundation for capital improvements to the Richard Nixon Library and Birthplace;
5. $1,000,000 to the San Francisco Conservatory of Music for relocation of its facility;
6. $400,000 to the University of San Francisco for construction, renovation, and expansion of the Science Center;
7. $750,000 for Barracks Row Main Street, Inc. for the redevelopment of the Eastern Market Metro Plaza;
8. $600,000 for the National Children’s Museum for facility construction;
9. $100,000 for the National Council for Negro Women for facility construction;
10. $1,250,000 to the Bucks County Community College in the County of Bucks, Pennsylvania, for facilities design and construction;
11. $2,500,000 to ER One in Washington, DC for facilities construction;
12. $700,000 to Southeastern University for facility renovation;
13. $700,000 to The ARC in Washington, DC for construction of a community center;
14. $1,325,000 to the DC Food Bank for facilities construction;
15. $1,250,000 to the Center on Halsted in Chicago, Illinois for the construction of a new community center;
16. $3,000,000 for the City of Paducah, Kentucky, to develop the Paducah Waterfront Development Project;
17. $950,000 to Picknelly Adult & Family Education Center in Holyoke, Massachusetts for an adult literacy center;
18. $800,000 to Pittsfield and Leeds Cooperative Housing in Pittsfield, MA for homeless veterans;
19. $100,000 for the Technical Exploration Center (TEC) of Husson College: Expand the Service Capacity of TEC;
20. $500,000 for the Detroit Science Center to create a Space Science Discovery Lab;
21. $200,000 to Presbyterian Villages of Michigan for construction and building upgrades to its facilities;
22. $5,000,000 for planning, development and acquisition for the Detroit Riverfront Conservancy, for the West Riverfront Redevelopment project, Detroit, Michigan;
23. $200,000 for the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency in St. Paul, Minnesota to provide supportive housing for homeless youth;
24. $5,000,000 for the Grace Hill Neighborhood Health Centers, Inc. shall be spent on primary prevention activities with no less than $4,000,000 spent on remediation and abatement activities of housing in St. Louis, Missouri.
25. $150,000 for the Covenant House I Elderly Demonstration Program to preserve and expand affordable housing opportunities for the elderly in St. Louis, Missouri;
26. $130,000 to the City of Kansas City for Swope Community Builders for the Linwood Housing project, Kansas City, Missouri;
27. $500,000 for Mississippi State University costs for facility restoration and development;
28. $300,000 for the Stennis Institute of Government capacity development initiative in Starkville, Mississippi, for the enhancement of economic development capabilities;
29. $200,000 for the Housing Education and Economic Development Center in Jackson, Mississippi, for the enhancement of housing and economic development programs;
30. $100,000 for the Mississippi Community College Foundation for the Montgomery Institute to provide entrepreneurship assistance and coordination in NI;
31. $800,000 for Enochs Hall in Brookhaven, Mississippi for the construction of additional teaching facilities and operations of activities;
32. $275,000 to Newark Downtown Corridor Revitalization in Newark, New Jersey for revitalization of the Newark Downtown Corridor;
33. $275,000 to the Englewood Hospital in New Jersey for construction of its facility;
34. $275,000 to the I-Port 440 International Trade and Logistics Center for construction and renovation of its facility;
35. $275,000 to the Meadowlands Hospital Emergency Department in Secaucus, New Jersey for expansion and upgrades of the Emergency Department;
36. $1,000,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for continuation of the Neighborhood Initiative Program;
37. $5,000,000 to the Housing Partnership Network for capitalization of its affordable housing-related ventures;
38. $575,000 to the Metropolitan Development Association in Syracuse, New York for the Essential New York Initiative;
39. $220,000 for Rural Enterprises Institute of Oklahoma to continue the HUD Employer Assisted Housing Project;
40. $200,000 for Union County, Oregon to support economic development and tourism activities for the Wallowa Union Railroad;
41. $200,000 for Umatilla County, Oregon to support economic development and infrastructure improvements;
42. $200,000 to the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania for the North Main Avenue redevelopment project;
43. $200,000 for Oxford Mainstreet, Inc, Oxford, PA to revitalize the downtown commercial district.
44. $200,000 to Camp Fire USA Lone Star Council in Dallas, Texas for their Texas public housing initiative;
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45. $200,000 for the City of Eagle Mountain, Utah for community development and park facilities improvements in the City of Eagle Mountain;
46. $1,500,000 for the Washington State Farmworker Housing Trust in Seattle, WA for the Washington Farmerworker and Housing Homeownership;
47. $500,000 for the Enterprise Foundation in Seattle, WA for the Washington Greenbuilding Initiative;
48. $3,200,000 to the University of Wisconsin, Marathon for construction of a building;
49. $1,600,000 to Vandalia Heritage Foundation, Inc. in West Virginia for land acquisition, facilities construction and renovation;
50. $1,000,000 for construction, related activities, and programs at the Scarborough Library at Shepherd University.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN GUARANTEES PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $3,000,000 for costs associated with section 108 loan guarantees, including administrative costs, to subsidize a total loan principal of up to $137,500,000. The House had proposed no funding for this program and the Senate had proposed $6,000,000 for a loan limit of $275,000,000. The conference agreement transfers $750,000 to the Salaries and Expenses account instead of $1,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT
(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes $10,000,000 for Brownfields Redevelopment. The House proposed no funds and the Senate proposed $15,000,000. The agreement includes a rescission of $10,000,000 from unobligated funds from prior years appropriations and, to the extent funds are unavailable, from FY 2006 funds.

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates a total of $1,775,000,000 for this account, instead of $1,900,000,000 as proposed by the House and by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $1,750,000,000 for the HOME Investment Partnerships program, instead of $1,850,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. Within this account, funds are allocated as follows:

—$42,000,000 is for housing counseling as proposed by the Senate. The House had proposed $41,700,000.
—$1,000,000 is transferred to the Working Capital fund as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed $2,000,000.

The conference agreement directs that 15 percent of the formula is reserved for housing developed, sponsored or owned by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision. In addition, $10,000,000 is reserved for technical assistance as pro-
posed by the Senate. The House had proposed $17,300,000 for technical assistance. Of amounts made available for technical assistance, $8,000,000 is for qualified non-profit intermediaries to provide technical assistance to CHDOs as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

In addition to the $1,750,000,000 for the grant amount above, the conference agreement includes $25,000,000 to provide down-payment assistance to low-income families to help them achieve homeownership, instead of $50,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

**SELF-HELP AND ASSISTED HOMEOWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM**

The conference agreement provides funding for Section 4 and other entities under a new account structure as proposed by the House. The account combines those specific organizations that engage in self-help or other forms of homeownership and assisted housing formerly funded under the Community Development Fund. The Senate proposed to retain these entities as set-asides within the CDBG program. A total of $61,000,000 is provided under this structure, and the conferees direct that funds be distributed as follows:

- LISC/Enterprise Foundation ................................................................. $30,000,000
- La Raza ................................................................................................... 4,000,000
- Housing Assistance Council ................................................................. 3,000,000
- National American Indian Housing Council ....................................... 1,000,000
- Self Help and Opportunity Program .................................................... 20,000,000
- Special Olympics .................................................................................... 1,000,000
- National Housing Development Corporation ....................................... 2,000,000

In addition, the conference agreement directs that, of the $30,000,000 made available to LISC and Enterprise Foundation, $3,500,000 shall be made available for Habitat for Humanity for technical assistance and capacity building.

**HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS**

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $1,340,000,000 for Homeless Assistance Grants, as proposed by the House instead of $1,415,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funds are available for two years except for $20,000,000, which is available until expended. As proposed by both the House and Senate, $238,000,000 is for renewal of Shelter Plus Care contracts. The conference agreement transfers $1,000,000 to the Working Capital Fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Language is included designating up to $11,674,000 for the National Homeless Data Analysis project and for technical assistance as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees reiterate the three specific directives in the Senate report, which address homeless families and expect the Department to fund these directives from funds made available for the National Homeless Data Analysis project and technical assistance.

Language is included as proposed by both the House and Senate requiring that 30 percent of the funds be for permanent shelter and requires a 25 percent match for service funds.
The conference agreement appropriates $742,000,000 for the section 202 Housing for the Elderly program as proposed by the Senate, instead of $741,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement allocates funds as follows:
—$641,200,000 for new capital and PRAC contracts, amendments to contracts and for the renewal of contracts for up to one year terms and for supportive services;
—$51,600,000 for service coordinators and the continuation of congregate services grants. The House had proposed $49,600,000 for service coordinators and congregate services and the Senate had proposed $53,600,000;
—Up to $24,800,000 for assisted living conversion grants and emergency capital repairs as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed $30,000,000 for assisted living conversion grants, emergency capital repairs, and substantial rehabilitation;
—$4,000,000 for a demonstration project to determine the efficacy of implementing Section 203 of Public Law 108–186;
—$20,000,000 for competitive grants for planning, design and development activities for section 202 projects as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose funds for these activities. These funds are to be allocated for project planning, preliminary design, site control activities and other development costs, including gap financing if appropriate, directly related to section 202 projects in order to facilitate timely completion of such projects. The conferees do not intend for these funds to be used for technical assistance but instead expect such funds to be used for start-up costs associated with such projects; and
—$400,000 for transfer to the Working Capital Fund for information technology activities as proposed by the House instead of $450,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides a total program level of $239,000,000 for the Section 811 program instead of $238,100,000 as proposed by the House and $240,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes bill language proposed by the House and Senate to ensure that housing assistance made available under this account remains available to persons with disabilities upon turnover.

The conference agreement allocates funds as follows:
—$155,700,000 for new capital grants and PRAC;
—$78,300,000 for amendment and one-year renewal costs of Section 811 rental assistance as proposed by the House. The
Senate had proposed funding renewals under the Tenant-based Rental Assistance Account; and
—Caps funds for incremental vouchers at $5,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conferees reiterate language included in the House report directing HUD to issue program guidance for the Section 811 mainstream program including guidance on (1) targeting rental assistance eligibility criteria; (2) maintaining vouchers exclusively for eligible persons; and (3) retaining a meaningful role for non-profit disability organizations. The conference agreement further reiterates Senate report language to ensure that all tenant-based assistance made available under this account is to remain available to persons with disabilities upon turnover.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate that allows the use of funds by the Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC) for inspection related activities.

OTHER ASSISTED HOUSING PROGRAMS

RENTAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE

The conference agreement provides $26,400,000 for Section 236 payments to State-aided, non-insured projects as proposed by both the House and the Senate. In addition, the conference agreement includes language, allowing HUD to amend contracts for a period of less than needed to fund the contracts to term. The House and Senate did not propose this language.

FLEXIBLE SUBSIDY FUND

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes language permanently transferring excess rental charges to the Flexible Subsidy Fund as proposed by the Senate. The House included similar language.

MANUFACTURED HOUSING FEES TRUST FUND

The conference agreement appropriates up to $13,000,000 for authorized activities from fees collected in the Fund as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed $12,896,000.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement establishes an $185,000,000,000 limitation on commitments to guarantee single-family loans during fiscal year 2006, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement establishes a $50,000,000 limitation on direct loans to nonprofits and governmental entities in connection with the sale of HUD-owned single-family properties, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

As proposed by both the House and the Senate the conference agreement appropriates:
—$355,000,000 for administrative expenses, of which $351,000,000 is for transfer to the Salaries and Expenses ac-
count and not to exceed $4,000,000 is for transfer to the Office of Inspector General; and
—$62,600,000 for administrative contract expenses, of which $18,281,000 is for information technology systems. Language is also included allowing up to an additional $30,000,000 to be made available for such expenses in certain circumstances.

GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)
The conference agreement, as proposed by both the House and the Senate:
—Establishes a $35,000,000,000 limitation on multifamily and specialized loan guarantees during fiscal year 2006;
—Appropriates $8,800,000 for subsidy costs to support certain multifamily and special purpose loan guarantee programs as proposed by both the House and Senate;
—Appropriates $231,400,000 for administrative expenses, of which $211,400,000 is transferred to the Salaries and Expenses Account and $20,000,000 is for transfer to the Office of Inspector General; and
—Appropriates $71,900,000 for administrative contract expenses, of which $10,800,000 is for transfer to the Working Capital Fund for information technology systems.
Language is also included allowing up to an additional $4,000,000 to be made available for such expenses in certain circumstances as proposed by both the House and Senate.

GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION
GUARANTEES OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)
The conference agreement includes up to $200,000,000,000 for new commitments to issue guarantees and appropriates $10,700,000 for administrative expenses to be transferred to the Salaries and Expenses account as proposed by the House instead of $11,360,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH
RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY
The conference agreement provides for a new structure for this program, which includes both general contract funds for research and funds for Section 107 academic grants formerly funded under the Community Development Fund, and which have been historically administered by PD&R.

The conference agreement also includes language that directs that the implementation of $5,000,000 for the Partnership for the Advancing of Technology in Housing (PATH) be shifted to the Office of Housing. Both the House and the Senate proposed funding for PATH under the PD&R account.
In total the conference agreement appropriates $56,350,000 for research and technology instead of $60,000,000 as proposed by the House and $46,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Of the amount provided the conference agreement directs that:

—$750,000 be provided to the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council for a thorough evaluation of HUD's current research plan and provide HUD and the Congress with a set of options and recommendations for Congress to consider about the future course of research needed to address future technology, engineering and social or economic issues; and

—$20,600,000 is provided for Section 107 grants to academic institutions, and is to be distributed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 107</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions</td>
<td>(3,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Colleges and Universities</td>
<td>(2,600,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBCUs</td>
<td>(9,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic Serving Institutions</td>
<td>(6,000,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

FAIR HOUSING ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates $46,000,000 for this program as proposed by the Senate instead of $46,500,000 as proposed by the House. Of this amount, $26,000,000 is for the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP) and $20,000,000 is for the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP), as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed $26,500,000 for FHAP and $20,000,000 for FHIP.

OFFICE OF LEAD HAZARD CONTROL

LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION

The conference agreement appropriates $152,000,000 for the Lead Hazard Reduction program instead of $166,656,000 as proposed by the House and $167,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement allocates funds as follows:

—$76,900,000 for the lead-based paint hazard control grant program to provide assistance to State and local governments and Native American tribes for lead-based paint abatement in private low-income housing;

—$8,800,000 for Operation LEAP;

—$8,800,000 for technical assistance and support to State and local agencies and private property owners;

—$9,500,000 for the Healthy Homes Initiative for competitive grants for research, standards development, and education and outreach activities to address lead-based paint poisoning and other housing-related diseases and hazards; and

—$48,000,000 for an initiative to target lead abatement funds to areas with the highest lead paint abatement needs.
MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $1,153,285,000 for the management and administration of HUD as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed $1,145,195,000 for these activities.

Of the amount provided the conference agreement includes $579,000,000 from direct appropriations, of which up to $15,000,000 can be transferred to the working capital fund and $574,285,000 is to be derived from transfers from other accounts.

Operating Plans/Reprogramming Requirements.—All Departments and agencies funded within the Subcommittee’s jurisdiction are required to submit operating plans, reprogramming letters and reorganization proposals for committee approval. Unless otherwise specified in this Act or the accompanying statement of the managers, the approved level for any program, project, or activity is that amount detailed for that program, project, or activity in the Department’s annual detailed budget justification document unless changed through an approved operating plan.

Limitations on Conferences and associated expenditures.—The conference agreement directs HUD to conduct an analysis of funds used by each office for conferences in fiscal year 2005. The analysis is to include conferences that are not specifically associated with the issuance of formal guidance, the implementation of new regulations or implementation of HUD directives to grantees pursuant to Congressional directives as well as conferences that are funded as part of technical assistance of any kind and HUD staff conferences for purposes of internal guidance or staff-related training. The types of costs to be included are travel, including the travel costs of employees and any other individuals paid for by HUD, the dollar value of FTE utilization to develop and support the conference, and contractual or grant costs associated with the development or conduct of the conferences.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $197,000,000 for the Working Capital Fund (WCF) instead of $265,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and $62,000,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees direct that HUD reduce staffing in the WCF by 33 FTEs as the first part of a three-year phase out of 100 FTEs due to the signing of the new long-term HITS contract, as proposed by the House. Within funds provided, the Department is directed to modernize its e-mail system and make it compatible with the systems used by the House and Senate Appropriations Committees to facilitate the electronic transfer of information and data.

The conferees reiterate House report language that precludes the transfer of funds from the WCF for the “e-gov” initiative prior to submission of an operating plan; requires a report on the status of the four IT projects and directs HUD to submit an updated 5 year IT plan.

In addition, the conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate that allows transfers from the
following accounts to be used for the purposes of the fund and for which the funds were appropriated. Transfers include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHA, Mutual mortgage insurance fund</td>
<td>$18,281,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHA, General and special risk insurance fund</td>
<td>$10,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community development fund</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME investment partnerships program</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless assistance</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public housing capital fund</td>
<td>$11,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant-based rental assistance</td>
<td>$5,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-based rental assistance</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing for the elderly</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing for the disabled</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and Administration</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $106,000,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the Senate instead of $103,000,000 as proposed by the House. Of this amount, $24,000,000 is provided by transfer from the various funds of the Federal Housing Administration as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees reiterate the House language that prohibits the IG from requiring HUD to rescind funds from existing Section 236 contracts and requires that any proposal to require HUD to reduce obligations on existing long term contracts as part of an audit must be approved in the IG’s operating plan. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

As proposed in the House, the conference agreement includes language within Administrative Provisions that precludes HUD’s IG from conducting an audit of GNMA under any circumstances other than those in effect for fiscal year 2005. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

OFFICE OF FEDERAL HOUSING ENTERPRISE OVERSIGHT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $60,000,000 for the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight (OFHEO) to be derived from collections available in the Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes an administrative amendment proposed by the House that continues prior year requirements on the use of funds. The Senate did not include a similar provision. A set aside for special investigations, as proposed by the House was not included.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 301 splits overpayments 50/50 between Treasury and State HFAs, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 302 precludes the use of funds to prosecute or investigate legal activities under the Fair Housing Act, as proposed by the House and Senate.
Section 303 continues language to correct anomalies for HOPWA and specifies jurisdictions in New York and New Jersey and uses three year average as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 304 authorizes the Secretary to waive certain requirements on adjusted income for certain assisted living projects for counties in Michigan, and expands the demonstration to be statewide. The Senate proposed four counties.

Section 305 requires that funds be subject to competition unless specified otherwise in statute as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 306 allows HUD to use funds for services or facilities of GNMA, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and certain banks as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 307 requires HUD to comport with the budget estimates except as otherwise provided in this Act or through an approved reprogramming, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 308 provides authorization for HUD corporations to utilize funds under certain conditions and restrictions, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 309 requires that technical assistance and training funds be subject to an approved operating plan as proposed by the House and Senate due by March 15, 2006.

Section 310 requires a report on unexpended balances each quarter as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 311 provides funding for continued project-based assistance for HUD-held or -owned projects subject to cost considerations and the physical condition of the properties. The House limited this program to units occupied by the elderly and disabled. HUD is directed to report quarterly to the Committees on Appropriations on the disposition of all HUD-held or -owned properties.

Section 312 specifies the distribution of AIDs funds to New Jersey and North Carolina, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 313 allows Section 202 and 811 funds to be used for disposition of properties. The Senate did not have a similar provision.

Section 314 requires a report annually on number of leased units and average costs. The Senate did not have a similar provision.

Section 315 requires that budget justifications shall be submitted in traditional format as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 316 requires that non-elderly disabled assistance shall continue for non-elderly disabled persons upon turnover to the extent practicable as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 317 exempts the residency requirement for PHA Boards in Alaska, Iowa and Mississippi as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 318 authorizes HUD to transfer debt and use agreements from an obsolete project to a viable project, provided that no additional costs are incurred, and other conditions are met. The House did not have a similar provision.

Section 319 distributes fiscal year 2006 Indian block grant funds to the same Native Alaskan recipients as fiscal year 2005 as proposed by the House and Senate.
Section 320 extends the MTW agreements (about to expire at the end of 2006) for up to three years. The House did not have a similar provision.

Section 321 prohibits the IG from changing the basis on which the audit of GNMA is conducted. The Senate did not have a similar provision.

Section 322 requires that the renewal of Family Unification vouchers upon turnover shall, to the extent practicable, go to family unification. The House did not have a similar provision.

Section 323 clarifies section 223(f) of NHA to include purchase as well as refinancing of debt. The Senate did not have a similar provision.

Section 324 makes a technical fix to allow HUD to pursue sanctions against owners of FHA multi-family housing who skim equity. Language is included that makes violations applicable retroactively. The House did not have a similar provision.

Section 325 requires that Section 236 vouchers be submitted electronically, to avoid payment errors by HUD. The Senate did not have a similar provision.

Section 326 includes an amendment that clarifies that unused or underutilized commercial properties selected by HUD for Section 202b assistance after December 26, 2000 are eligible to use the limited partnership ownership structure made possible by the new definition of non-profit organizations. The Senate did not have a similar provision.

Section 327 requires that athletic scholarships for housing shall be considered part of adjusted income for purposes of eligibility for Section 8. The House did not have a similar provision.

Section 328 requires priority consideration for Moving to Work Demonstration applications from Santa Clara/San Jose and San Bernardino.

The conference agreement does not include a Senate provision that limits HUD conference expenses to $3,000,000 in fiscal year 2006. Instead the conferees direct HUD to conduct a study of funding for conferences including associated travel, staff time and related expenses elsewhere in this title. The House did not have a similar provision.

TITLE IV—THE JUDICIARY

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $60,730,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Supreme Court, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

CARE OF THE BUILDING AND GROUNDS

The conference agreement includes $5,624,000 for care of the Supreme Court building and grounds, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $24,000,000 for the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, instead of $24,613,000 as proposed by the House and $23,489,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided sufficient funding to hire court security officers originally provided in fiscal year 2003, but deny funding for all program increases outlined in the court's fiscal year 2006 budget justification.

UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $15,480,000 for the U.S. Court of International Trade, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

COURTS OF APPEALS, DISTRICT COURTS, AND OTHER JUDICIAL SERVICES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $4,348,780,000 for salaries and expenses of the Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services, as proposed by the House, instead of $4,374,959,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees are aware of substantial carry-over funding from fiscal year 2005 that is available to the Judiciary and expect that these funds will be used to supplement fiscal year 2006 appropriations. Within the amount provided, the conferees encourage the Judiciary to make available $1,300,000 for the Edwin L. Nelson Local Initiatives Program, with $1,000,000 reserved for local court grants. In addition, the conferees provide $672,000 for Electronic Probation Pretrial Services under the Judiciary Information Technology Fund (JITF). No funding is provided for other new JITF programs, nor is funding provided for additional court automation support personnel, as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees direct the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO) to report on all new trends in caseload changes, including those resulting from the recent Booker/Fanfan decision, increased law enforcement activities along the borders, and the recently enacted bankruptcy reform legislation.

As the formula for the distribution of fiscal year 2006 funds is developed, the conferees encourage the Administrative Office to take into account district courts with extremely heavy caseloads along the international border.

VACCINE INJURY COMPENSATION TRUST FUND

The conference agreement includes $3,833,000 from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate.
DEFENDER SERVICES

The conference agreement includes $717,000,000 for defender services instead of $721,919,000 as proposed by the House and $710,785,000, as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement deletes language denying cost-of-living adjustments to panel attorneys, as proposed by the Senate. The conferees will revisit the need for panel attorney cost-of-living adjustments in fiscal year 2007.

FEES OF JURORS AND COMMISSIONERS

The conference agreement includes $61,318,000 for fees of jurors and commissioners, as proposed by the Senate, instead of $60,053,000 as proposed by the House.

COURT SECURITY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes $372,000,000 for court security, instead of $379,461,000 as proposed by the House and $372,426,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes language limiting payments to the Federal Protective Service (FPS) to not more than $65,500,000. The conferees remain concerned that FPS has yet to produce a full accounting of charges to the Judiciary. Furthermore, the conferees are concerned that security decisions made in the field without consultation with the AO have placed in jeopardy other important court activities.

The conferees are aware that the AO and the U.S. Marshals Service cannot reach agreement over which entity will administer the annual maintenance of security systems for which $11,935,000 was provided in Public Law 109–13, the fiscal year 2005 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Tsunami Relief. The conferees direct the AO to work with the U.S. Marshals Service to come to a resolution of this impasse prior to submission of the fiscal year 2007 President's budget request.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $70,262,000 for the Administrative Office of the United States Courts as proposed by the House, instead of $72,198,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $22,350,000 for salaries and expenses of the Federal Judicial Center as proposed by the Senate, instead of $22,249,000 as proposed by the House.
JUDICIAL RETIREMENT FUNDS
PAYMENT TO JUDICIARY TRUST FUNDS

The conference agreement includes $40,600,000 for payment to various judicial retirement funds, as proposed by the House and Senate.

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $14,400,000 for the United States Sentencing Commission, instead of $14,046,000 as proposed by the House and $14,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—THE JUDICIARY

Section 401 retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate that allows appropriations to be used for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.

Section 402 retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate related to the transfer of funds.

Section 403 retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate that allows up to $11,000 to be used for official representation expenses of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Section 404 retains a provision included by the Senate that requires a financial plan. The conferees intend that the financial plan should serve as the equivalent of operating plans required of other entities receiving funding under this Act. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 405 retains a provision proposed by the Senate that provides a cost-of-living adjustment to justices and judges. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 406 retains a provision proposed by the Senate that extends a temporary judgeship in Missouri. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 407 retains a provision included by the Senate that provides certain procurement authorities to the Judicial branch that are currently available to the Legislative and Executive branches. The House did not include a similar provision. The conferees direct the AO to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations detailing a two-year history of the use of these authorities on or before May 1, 2008.

Section 408 modifies a provision included by the Senate concerning the investigation of Henry Cisneros. The House did not include a similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate that requires a GAO report on the impacts of increased border/homeland security funding in the Judiciary.
TITLE V—EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

COMPENSATION OF THE PRESIDENT

The conference agreement provides $450,000 for compensation of the President as proposed by both the House and Senate.

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $53,830,000 as proposed by the House instead of $58,081,000 as proposed by the Senate. The bill specifies that, of the total funding provided, $1,500,000 is for the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

EXECUTIVE RESIDENCE AT THE WHITE HOUSE

OPERATING EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $12,436,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

WHITE HOUSE REPAIR AND RESTORATION

The conference agreement provides $1,700,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $4,040,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $3,500,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed to consolidate OPD in the White House Salaries and Expenses.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $8,705,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $89,322,000 as proposed by the House instead of $98,609,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes $11,768,000 for the capital investment plan. The conferees allocate funds by activity as proposed in budget request.
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $76,930,000 for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) instead of $67,930,000 proposed by the House and $68,411,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees did not agree to consolidate rent and health costs into the Enterprise Services activity. The conferees also allocate funds by object class, and limit reception and representation expenses to $3,000 as proposed by the House instead of $2,000 proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement reiterates language included in the general provisions precluding the use of funds for the “e-Gov” initiative and for conducting PART studies prior to consultation with the Committees on Appropriations.

The conference agreement continues prior year restrictions and requirements for congressional notification for agricultural marketing orders and on the review of water projects and other water resource matters, as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include restrictions and requirements relating to water resource projects.

OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conferees agree to provide $26,908,000 for salaries and expenses, as proposed by the House instead of $24,224,000 proposed by the Senate. The conferees do not agree to transfer the rent and health costs to the Enterprise Services activity. Within this total, the conference agreement retains specific funding and staffing levels for ONDCP administrative offices as proposed in the House and Senate reports.

The conferees are concerned with ONDCP’s lack of attention and activity on Methamphetamine despite the increasing reports on the devastating impact Methamphetamine has on the Nation’s communities. The conferees direct ONDCP to increase its focus, resources and activities targeted at combating Methamphetamine abuse.

COUNTERDRUG TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT CENTER
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conferees agree to provide $30,000,000 for the Counterdrug Technology Assessment Center (CTAC), as proposed by the House and the Senate. Of this amount, the conferees agree to provide $16,000,000 for the operation of the technology transfer program, instead of $18,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and $12,000,000 as proposed by the House. Also included in this amount is $14,000,000 for counter-narcotics research and development. Of this amount, up to $1,000,000 shall be provided for supply reduction and directed to marijuana eradication. The House proposed $18,000,000 for research and the Senate proposed $12,000,000. Fiscal year 2006 CTAC/HIDTA appropriated funds must be transferred within 90 days of enactment of this Act.
The conferees direct that a spending plan be included in the ONDCP operating plan for fiscal year 2006. In addition, the conferees direct that a thorough review of the entire CTAC program be implemented to determine the future course of funding for the CTAC program. A report with options for the Committees to consider shall be included in the Administration’s fiscal year 2007 budget justification for ONDCP.

Further, the conferees direct the completion of existing imaging system instrumentation validation effects at qualified academic institutions and direct that ONDCP assess the reinstatement of the demand instrumentation infrastructure development program in the fiscal year 2007 budget.

**FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS**

**HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS PROGRAM**

(*INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS*)

The conferees agree to provide $227,000,000 for the HIDTA program, as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed $236,000,000. The conference agreement precludes the use of funds for the Consolidated Priority Organization Target (CPOT) list as proposed by the Senate. Of the funds provided, no less than $2,000,000 shall be for new counties; $2,000,000 is provided for audit activities, of which at least $500,000 is to develop performance measures. Language is included that HIDTAs designated as of September 30, 2005 shall be funded at no less than the fiscal year 2005 initial allocations, as proposed by the House. The Senate report contained a similar provision.

The conferees encourage the use of performance measures that were developed by the HIDTA Directors Committee, as proposed by the House.

**OTHER FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS**

(*INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS*)

The conferees agree to provide $194,900,000 for Other Federal Drug Control Programs, instead of $238,292,000 as proposed by the House and $191,400,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the amount provided, the agreement provides the following allocations:

- National Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign $100,000,000
- Drug Free Communities Support Program $80,000,000
- National Community Anti-Drug Coalitions Institute (2,000,000)
- National Drug Court Institute 1,000,000
- National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws 1,000,000
- U.S. Anti-Doping Agency 8,500,000
- World Anti-Doping Agency Membership Dues 2,900,000
- Research & Performance Measures 1,500,000

The conferees have reviewed ONDCP’s pending performance measures for research and note that much of it reflects ongoing interest in defining the nature and extent of drug use and its damaging consequences in the United States. The conferees direct ONDCP to expand its research to include a study of the social costs of Methamphetamine use and production in the United States.
The conferees direct ONDCP to maintain funding for non-advertising services for the Media Campaign at no less than the fiscal year 2003 ratio of service funding to total funds and to re-institute the corporate outreach program as it operated prior to its cancellation as proposed by the House. The Senate had no similar provision.

The conferees direct that USADA submit a report including a spending plan and performance measures for fiscal year 2006, specifying the use of funds that were provided above the request.

Unanticipated Needs

The conference agreement provides $1,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Special Assistance to the President

Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement provides $4,455,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Official Residence of the Vice President

Operating Expenses

(Including Transfer of Funds)

The conference agreement provides $325,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate, and adopts the header as proposed by the Senate.

Title VI—Independent Agencies

Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement includes $5,941,000 as proposed by the House and Senate.

Consumer Product Safety Commission

Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement includes $63,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Election Assistance Commission

Salaries and Expenses

(Including Transfer of Funds)

The conference agreement provides $14,200,000 for salaries and expenses of the Election Assistance Commission instead of $15,877,000 as proposed by the House and $13,888,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees direct that funds provided above the fiscal year 2005 level are to be used only to conduct audits of state
expenditures of Help America Vote Act grant funds, for which one additional position is authorized.

The conference agreement transfers $2,800,000 to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) as proposed by the House, instead of $4,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. In addition, the conferees encourage the Commission to provide $250,000 for the Help America Vote College Program.

**FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION**

**OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**

The conference agreement includes $31,000,000 for the Office of Inspector General, as proposed by the Senate instead of $29,965,000 as proposed by the House. Funds for this account are derived from the Bank Insurance Fund, the Savings and Loan Insurance Fund, and the FSLIC Resolution Fund and are therefore not reflected in either the budget authority or budget outlay totals.

**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

The conference agreement includes $54,700,000 for salaries and expenses of the Commission as proposed by the House instead of $54,600,000 as proposed by the Senate.

**FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

The conference agreement includes $25,468,000 for the Federal Labor Relations Authority as proposed by the House and Senate.

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

The conference agreement includes $20,499,000 as proposed by the House and Senate.

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

**REAL PROPERTY ACTIVITIES**

**FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND**

**LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF REVENUE**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

The conference agreement provides resources from the Federal Buildings Fund in the aggregate amount of $7,752,745,000 instead of $6,867,097,000 as proposed by the House and $7,889,745,000 as proposed by the Senate.

**CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION**

The conference agreement limits funds for construction to $792,056,000 instead of $630,817,000 as proposed by the House and $829,056,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agree-
ment modifies the projects proposed by the House and Senate bills and provides funds for the following projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, U.S. Courthouse</td>
<td>$230,803,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewood, Denver Federal Center Infrastructure</td>
<td>4,658,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Guard Consolidation</td>
<td>24,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Elizabeths West Campus Infrastructure</td>
<td>13,095,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Federal Center Site Remediation</td>
<td>15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calais, Border Station</td>
<td>50,146,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackman, Border Station</td>
<td>12,788,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County FDA Consolidation</td>
<td>127,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champain, Border Station</td>
<td>52,510,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massena, Border Station</td>
<td>49,783,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, U.S. Courthouse</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaine, Peace Arch Border Station</td>
<td>46,534,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuscaloosa Federal Building</td>
<td>34,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford Federal Courthouse</td>
<td>34,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson, U.S. Courthouse</td>
<td>8,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson City U.S. Courthouse</td>
<td>5,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material price increases for U.S. Mission to the United Nations, New York; Houston, Texas FBI Office; Del Rio, Texas Border Station; Cape Girardeau, Missouri U.S. Courthouse; El Paso, Texas U.S. Courthouse; El Paso, Texas Border Station; Las Cruces, New Mexico U.S. Courthouse</td>
<td>66,789,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprospectus construction</td>
<td>9,500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REPAIRS AND ALTERATIONS

The conference agreement limits resources for repairs and alterations to $861,376,000 instead of $392,967,000 as proposed by the House, and $961,376,000 as proposed by the Senate. The bill specifies certain projects and various programs as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, James A. Walsh Courthouse</td>
<td>$16,136,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenhower Executive Office Building</td>
<td>33,417,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Office Building 8</td>
<td>47,769,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating, Operation, and Transmission repair</td>
<td>18,783,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert C. Hoover Building</td>
<td>54,491,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Interior Building</td>
<td>41,399,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta, Martin Luther King, Jr. Federal Building</td>
<td>30,129,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn, Emanuel Celler Courthouse</td>
<td>96,924,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Watson Federal Building and Courthouse, New York City</td>
<td>9,721,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers to Navy for permanent relocation expenses pursuant to section 1(e) of PL 108–268</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Emphasis Programs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorofluorocarbons program</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Program</td>
<td>28,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Fragmentation Program</td>
<td>15,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Program</td>
<td>21,915,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Repairs and Alterations</td>
<td>434,992,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INSTALLMENT ACQUISITION PAYMENTS

The conference agreement provides a limitation of $168,180,000 for installment acquisition payments as proposed by both the House and Senate.

RENTAL OF SPACE

The conference agreement limits $4,046,031,000 for rental of space as proposed by the Senate instead of $4,033,531,000 as proposed by the House.
BUILDING OPERATIONS

The conference agreement limits $1,885,102,000 for building operations as proposed by the Senate, instead of $1,641,602,000 as proposed by the House.

GENERAL ACTIVITIES

GOVERNMENT-WIDE POLICY

The conference agreement appropriates $52,796,000 for government-wide policy activities as proposed by both the House and Senate. The conference agreement retains Senate language that directs GSA to reflect any proposed reorganization in the operating plan. Further, the conferees recognize the funding level is consistent with the request. The Committees on Appropriations will entertain reprogramming requests if the proposed reorganization is not accepted and adjustments are required between the government-wide policy and operating expenses appropriation.

OPERATING EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates $99,890,000 for operating expenses as proposed by the Senate, instead of $82,179,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees urge GSA to support the mission of the Public Employees Roundtable and provide $150,000 in administrative and logistical assistance to Public Service Recognition Week activities.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $43,410,000 for the office of inspector general as proposed by both the House and Senate.

ELECTRONIC GOVERNMENT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $3,000,000 for e-gov as proposed by the House, instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ALLOWANCES AND OFFICE STAFF FOR FORMER PRESIDENTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates $2,952,000 for former presidents as proposed by both the House and Senate.

FEDERAL CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER FUND

The conference agreement provides $15,000,000 to be deposited into the Federal Citizen Information Center Fund as proposed by the Senate, instead of $15,030,000 as proposed by the House.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Section 601 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that authorizes GSA to credit accounts with certain funds received from Government corporations.
Section 602 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that authorizes GSA to use funds for the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 603 retains the provision as proposed by the House and Senate that allows Federal Buildings Funds to be transferred between appropriations with advance approval from Congress.

Section 604 modifies a Senate provision that prohibits, except as provided under this title, funds for courthouse construction projects that do not meet GSA standards and the priorities of the Judicial conference’s five-year plan and requires that the fiscal year 2007 budget request be accompanied by a standardized courtroom utilization study of each facility to be constructed, replaced, or expanded. Further, it is the conferees’ intent to adhere to the priorities of the judicial conference as reflected in GSA’s budget request in future years.

Section 605 prohibits funds from being used to increase space and from providing services usually provided to any agency that does not pay the requested rent as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 606 allows GSA to pay small claims made against the government as proposed by the House and Senate.

Section 607 retains the provision proposed by the Senate that directs GSA to conduct a program promoting the use of stairs. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 608 prohibits the use of funds by GSA to reorganize its structure except through an operating plan change as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 609 modifies a Senate provision to ensure that GSA’s rating system credit products that use wood or wood products certified by a credible third party sustainable forest certification program and directs that GSA report to the relevant Congressional committees of jurisdiction on its progress within 60 days of enactment of this Act. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 610 modifies a Senate provision on e-travel and the percentage of subcontracted dollars allocated to small businesses. The conference agreement deletes a provision included by the House relating to a property in Arizona.

**MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

The conference agreement includes $35,600,000, plus $2,605,000 from appropriate trust funds, for salaries and expenses of the Board as proposed by the House and the Senate.
MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY FOUNDATION

MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY TRUST FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 for the Morris K. Udall Trust Fund as proposed by both the House and Senate.

ENVIRONMENTAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FUND

The conference agreement provides $1,900,000 for the Environmental Dispute Resolution Fund as proposed by the House.

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

OPERATING EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $283,045,000 for operating expenses of the Administration instead of $283,975,000 as proposed by the House and $280,975,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, $2,000,000 shall be used for the initial move of records, staffing, and operation of the Nixon Library in California, as proposed by the House.

ELECTRONIC RECORDS ARCHIVES

The conference agreement includes $37,914,000 for the electronic records archives instead of $35,914,000 as proposed by the House and $38,914,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees also retain the directive requiring NARA to submit, and for the Committees on Appropriations to approve, a plan that outlines the expenditure of ERA funds. The conferees have also provided $2,000,000 to allow NARA to begin work with the Naval Oceanographic Office at the National Center for Critical Information Processing and Storage at the Stennis Space Center in Mississippi.

REPAIRS AND RESTORATION

The conference agreement includes $9,682,000 for repairs and restoration instead of $6,182,000 as proposed by the House and $11,682,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees provide $1,500,000 for construction of a new regional facility in Anchorage, Alaska and $1,000,000 for repair and restoration of the plaza of the Lyndon Baines Johnson Presidential Library in Austin, Texas. In addition, the conferees direct $1,000,000 for the design and renovations to the John F. Kennedy Presidential Library, as proposed by the Senate.

NATIONAL HISTORICAL PUBLICATIONS AND RECORDS COMMISSION

GRANTS PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes $7,500,000 for the grant program, of which $2,000,000 is for operating expenses, as proposed by the House instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.
NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION
CENTRAL LIQUIDITY FACILITY

The conference agreement provides limitation of $1,500,000,000 on CLF lending activities from borrowed funds and provides $323,000 for limitation on administrative expenses, as proposed by the House and Senate.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REVOLVING LOAN FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $950,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees reiterate language proposed by the Senate encouraging NCUA to continue to develop technical assistance in rural areas.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $76,700,000 for the National Transportation Safety Board as proposed by the House and Senate.

NTSB Academy.—The conference agreement retains the requirement proposed by the Senate to reduce workforce hours at the NTSB Academy in order to minimize the impact of any loss of FTEs on the agency’s key investigatory responsibilities and the report requirements regarding the use of investigators at the Academy.

(RESCISSION)

The conference agreement includes a rescission of $1,000,000 from unobligated balances from the National Transportation Safety Board as proposed by the House and Senate.

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

PAYMENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

The conference agreement includes $118,000,000 for the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed $115,000,000.

Language is included in the bill that designates $5,000,000 to support the Corporation’s multi-family rental housing program, as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $11,148,000 for salaries and expenses of the Office, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.
The conference agreement includes $122,521,000 for salaries and expenses instead of $119,952,000 as proposed by the House and $124,521,000 as proposed by the Senate. In addition, the conference agreement transfers $100,017,000 from the Office of Personnel Management trust funds for salaries and expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of $102,679,000 as proposed by the House. As proposed by both the House and the Senate, the conferees agree to provide up to $2,500 for reception and representation costs, $6,983,000 for the enterprise human resources integration project, $1,450,000 for the e-human resources line of business project, $500,000 for the e-training project, and $1,412,000 for the e-payroll project.

The conference agreement provides the full budget request for the continued refinement of a new government personnel system. However, the conferees direct OPM to evaluate fully the systems developed and introduced at the Department of Defense (DoD) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). A full evaluation and discussion of lessons learned from the pilot programs at DoD and DHS should be a part of the development, introduction, and implementation of a reformed civil service employment system in other departments and agencies.

Of the funds provided for salaries and expenses, not less than $2,700,000 are to be used for activities required by the Voting Rights Act of 1965. In the future, OPM is to budget for these expenses and include details of the activities proposed in the annual budget justifications.

The conferees retain the reduction of $3,000,000 from the budget request for the Center for Financial Services for performance measurement and evaluation as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed funding this activity at the budget request.

In addition, the conferees agree to provide $600,000 for the Call to Service Recruitment Initiative with the Partnership for Public Service instead of $680,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate did not propose funding for this activity.

The conferees retain the directive proposed by the House requiring OPM to submit an operating plan for approval by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment of this Act. The plan must contain details on the funding and staffing levels for the various offices, centers, programs, activities, and initiatives under the jurisdiction of OPM. The plan should compare the resources provided and used in fiscal year 2005, requested in fiscal year 2006, and planned based on the appropriation provided for fiscal year 2006.
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $2,071,000 for salaries and expenses instead of $1,614,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The additional funds are provided to support ongoing audits and investigations. In addition, the conferees agreed to provide $16,329,000 from the OPM trust funds as proposed by the Senate instead of $16,786,000 as proposed by the House.

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNUITANTS, EMPLOYEES HEALTH BENEFITS

The conference agreement provides such sums as necessary for health benefits payments as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNUITANTS, EMPLOYEES LIFE INSURANCE

The conference agreement provides such sums as necessary for life insurance payments as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

PAYMENT TO THE CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY FUND

The conference agreement provides such sums as necessary for retirement and disability payments as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $15,325,000 for salaries and expenses for the Office of Special Counsel as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conferees reiterate language proposed by the Senate concerning how funding shall be allocated to each office and directing the Office to submit quarterly staffing reports.

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $25,000,000 for salaries and expenses instead of $24,000,000 as proposed by the House and $25,650,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the funds provided, up to $750 may be used for reception and representation expenses. The conferees prohibit the Selective Service System from using funds to support the Corporation for National and Community Service.
UNITED STATES INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS

OPERATING EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $1,800,000 as proposed by the Senate and extends the expiration date for the Council until September 1, 2006. The Senate proposed extending the expiration date until 2012.

The conferees direct the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness to conduct an assessment of the guidance disseminated by the Department of Education, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and other related Federal agencies for grantees of homeless assistance programs on whether such guidance is consistent with and does not restrict the exercise of education rights provided to parents, youth, and children under subtitle B of title VII of the McKinney-Vento Act. The assessment shall address whether the practices, outreach, and training efforts of said agencies serve to protect and advance such rights. The Council shall submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations an interim report by May 1, 2006, and a final report by September 1, 2006.

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

PAYMENT TO THE POSTAL SERVICE FUND

The conference agreement provides $116,350,000 for payment to the Postal Service Fund, as proposed by the House and the Senate. Of this amount, $73,000,000 is provided as an advance appropriation for free mail for the blind and overseas voters to be available on October 1, 2006. The agreement also includes $29,000,000 for repayment for revenue forgone. The conferees retain the provision directing OMB to report to the House and the Senate Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of enactment of this Act, the revised estimated amount of Federal funding that may be necessary to complete the Postal Service's work to secure the nation's mail system, as directed by the House. The conferees direct the USPS to adhere to the Senate reporting requirements on installation of Biohazardous Detection System (BDS) and House reporting requirements on the progress of the mail irradiation facility in the Washington, D.C. area.

UNITED STATES TAX COURT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $47,998,000 for the United States Tax Court as proposed by the Senate.

TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS, THIS ACT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Section 701 provides that pay raises be absorbed within levels provided in this or previous appropriations acts, as proposed by the House and the Senate.
Section 702 prohibits pay and other expenses for non-Federal parties in regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings funded in this Act, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 703 prohibits obligations beyond the current fiscal year and prohibits transfers of funds unless expressly so provided here-in, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 704 limits consulting service expenditures to contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record, with exceptions, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 705 prohibits funds from being transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States without expressed authority, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 706 prohibits the use of funds to engage in activities that would prohibit the enforcement of section 307 of the 1930 Tariff Act, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 707 concerns employment rights of Federal employees who return to their civilian jobs after assignment with the Armed Forces by prohibiting payment under certain circumstances to any employee who fills this position, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 708 prohibits funds from being expended unless the recipient agrees to comply with the Buy American Act, as proposed by the Senate.

Section 709 prohibits funding to a person or entity convicted of violating the Buy American Act, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 710 specifies reprogramming procedures for all departments, agencies, and offices funded under this Act unless otherwise specified elsewhere in this Act, by subjecting the establishment of new offices and reorganizations to the reprogramming process. Reprogramming requirements apply to transfers in excess of $5,000,000 or 10 percent or whichever is less.

Section 711 provides that not to exceed fifty percent of unobligated balances from salaries and expenses may remain available for certain purposes, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 712 provides that no funds may be used by the Executive Office of the President to request any official background investigation from the Federal Bureau of Investigation unless the person has given consent or there are national security circumstances, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 713 requires that cost accounting standards not apply to a contract under the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 714 permits OPM to accept funds regarding the nonforeign area cost of living allowances, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 715 prohibits the expenditure of funds for abortions under the FEHBP, as proposed by the House.

Section 716 provides an exemption from section 715 if the life of the mother is in danger or if the pregnancy is a result of an act of rape or incest, as proposed by the House.

Section 717 waives restrictions on the purchase of non-domestic articles, materials, and supplies in the case of acquisition by the
Federal Government of information technology, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 718 prohibits the use of funds for a proposed rule relating to the determination that real estate brokerage is a financial activity. This applies to fiscal year 2006 only, as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed to make this provision permanent.

Section 719 modifies a provision proposed by the Senate that requires a report to the Committees on Appropriations on all sole source contracts by no later than July 31, 2006.

Section 720 modifies a provision that was proposed by the Senate that authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to establish offices in locations of strategic interest throughout the world, once an operating plan is approved by the Committees on Appropriations, as proposed by the Senate.

Section 721 extends the Federal Election Commission administrative fine program through December 31, 2008, as proposed by the House.

Section 722 establishes certain requirements in order for the Secret Service to be reimbursed by the Secretary of the Treasury.

Section 723 repeals the increased micropurchase threshold, as proposed by the Senate.

Section 724 prohibits funding of federal contracts with expatriated entities, as proposed by the Senate.

Section 725 provides transfer authority for selected agencies within the Executive Office of the President.

Section 726 prohibits funds from being used to support any Federal, State or local project using eminent domain unless it is employed for a public use with certain conditions. A study by GAO, NAPA and certain organizations is required, as proposed by the Senate.

TITLE VIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS GOVERNMENT-WIDE

DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, AND CORPORATIONS

Section 801 allows payment for travel of families serving overseas to the United States in case of death or life threatening illness, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 802 requires all agencies have a written policy for ensuring a drug free workplace, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 803 sets specific limits on the cost of passenger vehicles with exceptions for police, heavy duty, electric hybrid and clean fuels, as proposed by the House.

Section 804 makes appropriations available for quarters/cost of living allowances, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 805 prohibits the government from employing non-US citizens (with exceptions) whose posts are in the continental United States, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 806 ensures that appropriations made available to any department or agency for space, services and rental charges shall also be available for payment to the GSA, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 807 allows the use of receipts from the sale of materials for acquisition, waste reduction and prevention; environ-
mental management programs and other federal employee pro-
grams as appropriate, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 808 permits that funds for administrative expenses
shall also be available for rent in the District of Columbia services
under 5 U.S.C. 3109 and other objects specified in this head, as
proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 809 prohibits funds to pay to hire someone for a posi-
tion for which they have been rejected by the Senate, as proposed
by the House and the Senate.

Section 810 prohibits funds for interagency financing boards
(with exception), commissions, counsels, committees or similar
groups without prior approval to receive multi-agency funding, as
proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 811 allows use of funds for guards at Postal Service
buildings, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 812 precludes funds for regulations which have been
disapproved by joint resolution, as proposed by the House and the
Senate.

Section 813 sets ceilings on pay rates for certain Federal em-
ployees for fiscal year 2006, as proposed by the House and the Sen-
ate.

Section 814 continues the provision limiting the amount of
funds that can be used for redecoration of offices under certain cir-
cumstances to $5,000, unless approved by the Appropriations Com-
mittees, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 815 continues the provision to allow for interagency
funding of national security and emergency telecommunications
initiatives, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 816 continues the provision requiring agencies to cer-
tify that a Schedule C appointment was not created solely or pri-
marily to detail the employee to the White House, as proposed by
the House and the Senate.

Section 817 continues the provision requiring agencies to ad-
minister a policy designed to ensure that all workplaces are free
from discrimination and sexual harassment, as proposed by the
House and the Senate.

Section 818 continues the provision prohibiting the payment of
any employee who prohibits, threatens, prevents or otherwise pe-
nalizes another employee from communicating with Congress, as
proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 819 continues the provision prohibiting federal training
not directly related to the performance of official duties, as pro-
posed by the House and the Senate.

Section 820 prevents funds from being used to implement or
enforce non-disclosure agreement policies unless certain provi-
sions are included, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 821 continues the provision prohibiting propaganda,
publicity and lobbying by executive agency personnel in support or
defeat of legislative initiatives, as proposed by the House and the
Senate.

Section 822 continues the provision prohibiting any federal
agency from disclosing an employee’s home address to any labor or-
ganization, absent employee authorization or court order, as pro-
posed by the House and the Senate.
Section 823 continues the provision prohibiting funds to be used to provide non-public information such as mailing or telephone lists to any person or organization outside the government without the approval of the Committees on Appropriations, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 824 continues a provision, with modifications, prohibiting the use of funds for propaganda and publicity purposes not authorized by Congress, as proposed by the House.

Section 825 continues the provision directing agency employees to use official time in an honest effort to perform official duties, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 826 continues the provision, with technical modifications, authorizing the use of funds to finance an appropriate share of the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board administrative costs, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 827 continues the provision, with technical modifications, authorizing agencies to transfer funds to the Government-wide Policy account of GSA to finance an appropriate share of the Joint Financial Management Improvement Program and other purposes. The limit on funds allowed to be transferred or reimbursed is $10,000,000, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 828 continues the provision that permits breast feeding in a federal building or on federal property if the woman and child are authorized to be there, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Senate 829 continues the provision that permits interagency funding of the National Science and Technology Council and that OMB provide a report on the budget and resources of the National Science and Technology Council, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 830 requires that the federal forms that are used in distributing federal funds must carry agency and domestic catalogue information and codes, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 831 extends the authorization period for agency franchise funds by striking “October 1, 2005” and inserting “October 1, 2006, except for the Department of Homeland Security, as proposed by the Senate.

Section 832 continues the provision prohibiting the use of funds to monitor personal information relating to the use of federal internet sites to collect, review, or create any aggregate list that includes personally identifiable information relating to access to or use of any federal internet site of such agency, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 833 continues the provision requiring health plans participating in the FEHBP to provide contraceptive coverage and provides exemptions to certain religious plans, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 834 continues the provision providing recognition of the U.S. Anti-Doping Agency as the official anti-doping agency for Olympic, Pan American and Paralympic sport in the United States, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 835 continues a provision allowing funds for official travel to be used by departments and agencies, if consistent with
OMB and Budget Circular A–126, to participate in the fractional aircraft ownership pilot program, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 836 continues a provision prohibiting funds for implementation of OPM regulations limiting detailees to the Legislative Branch, and implementing limitations on the Coast Guard Congressional Fellowship Program, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 837 requires Agencies to report to Congress on the amount of acquisitions made from entities that manufacture articles, materials or supplies outside the United States, as proposed by the Senate.

Section 838 continues a provision that restricts the use of funds for federal law enforcement training facilities with an exception for the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 839 modifies a provision proposed by the Senate that provides funding for the Midway Atoll airfield. The conferees note that the fuel farm on Midway Island is a critical but aging facility that is essential to the functioning of several Federal agencies in the region. The conferees expect the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not later than July 1, 2006 outlining his plan to replace the fuel farm and detailing which Federal agencies will be assessed the necessary funds to replace the facility.

Section 840 provides certain requirements for public-private competition for the performance of certain activities for offices with less than 100 FTEs, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 841 modifies a provision proposed by the House that precludes the use of funds for E-Gov, including transfers until 15 days after a report is provided to Congress which details each transfer and details which projects included in the budget requests of any agency which was funded by Congress but will not be done and will be reduced as a result of the transfer and the impacts of that loss of funding. Any transfer requires approval by the Committees on Appropriations.

Section 842 modifies a provision that was proposed by the Senate that precludes the use of funds to convert to contractors, if more than 10 federal employees perform the activity, unless the analysis reveals that savings would exceed 10 percent of the most efficient organization personnel cost or $10,000,000, whichever is the lesser. The conferees recognize that public-private competition is an effective management tool for reducing costs and improving the performance of government. The conferees request that the Office of Management and Budget advise the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate of the impact of this section on the Federal government’s ability to obtain best value for the taxpayer, both in terms of cost and quality, through the use of competitive sourcing. The House and Senate Committees on Appropriations will consider this information as part of the fiscal year 2007 appropriations process.

Section 843 continues a provision, with modifications, providing that the adjustment in rates of basic pay for employees
under statutory pay systems taking effect in fiscal year 2006 shall be an increase of 3.1 percent, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 844 continues the provision that prohibits executive branch agencies from creating prepackaged news stories that are broadcast or distributed in the United States unless the story includes a clear notification within the text or audio of that news story that the prepackaged news story was prepared or funded by that executive branch agency, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Section 845 precludes contravention of Sec. 552a of title 5 USC (Privacy Act) or 552.224 of title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Section 846 includes a provision that in general prohibits agencies from issuing a government travel charge card to individuals who have an unsatisfactory credit history as proposed by the House. The Senate included a similar provision which also included government purchase charge cards. The conferees direct each Executive department and agency to establish requirements and benchmarks designed to reduce the improper, fraudulent, or abusive use of government purchase charge cards and report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no later than August 1, 2006.

Section 847 requires any reference to “this Act” to apply to the provisions of this division.

The conference agreement did not include a provision proposed by the House and the Senate to prohibit the use of funds to enforce a provision of the Cuban Assets Control Regulations that impedes sales to Cuba.

DIVISION B—THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES

The committee of conference approves report language included by the House (House Report 109–153) or the Senate (Senate Report 109–106) that is not changed by the conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, is not intended to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

FEDERAL FUNDS

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR TUITION SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides $33,200,000 for tuition support as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of the amount provided, not more than $1,200,000 is available for administrative expenses.

The conferees direct that no later than March 1, 2006, the Mayor of the District of Columbia shall submit to the Congress a detailed action plan and implementation timetable for correcting the programmatic, operational, and financial weaknesses in the District of Columbia Tuition Assistance Grant (D.C. TAG) program as identified in the findings and recommendations of the Government Accountability Office in their October 2005 report (GAO–06–
14). The plan shall also make specific recommendations on the Federal legislative authority necessary to improve the program’s operations while maximizing available resources to benefit as many students as possible.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR EMERGENCY PLANNING AND SECURITY COSTS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The conference agreement provides $13,500,000 for emergency planning and security costs instead of $15,000,000 as proposed by the House and $12,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The District of Columbia may be reimbursed from funds under this heading for public safety services in support of protecting foreign dignitaries and significant local events impacted by the presence of Federal officials, in addition to reimbursement for National Special Security Events.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

The conference agreement provides $218,912,000 for the courts as proposed by the Senate instead of $221,693,000 as proposed by the House. Of the amounts provided, $9,198,000 is for the Court of Appeals, $87,342,000 is for the Superior Court, and $41,643,000 is for the Court System, each of which is limited to $1,500 for reception and representation expenses. The conferees also agreed to provide $80,729,000 for capital improvements to court facilities as proposed by the Senate instead of $83,510,000 as proposed by the House.

The conferees reiterate the direction of the Senate requiring the courts to report through GSA within 15 days of each month on the status of obligations for the Counsel for Child Abuse and Neglect Program.

DEFENDER SERVICES IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

The conference agreement provides $44,000,000 for defender services instead of $45,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE COURT SERVICES AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides $201,388,000 for the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency as proposed by the Senate instead of $203,388,000 as proposed by the House. Of the amount appropriated, not more than $2,000 is for representation and reception expenses, $25,000 is for dues and assessments, $129,360,000 is for the expenses of the Community Supervision and Sex Offender Registration, $42,195,000 is for the Pretrial Service Agency, and $29,833,000 is available for transfer to the Public Defender Services Agency.

In addition, the conference agreement includes a provision allowing the Public Defender Service to charge fees to cover the costs of training and materials in this and subsequent fiscal years as proposed by the Senate. The House included a similar provision applicable only for fiscal year 2006.
The conference agreement provides $7,000,000 for the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority to continue implementation of the combined sewer overflow long-term plan instead of $10,000,000 as proposed by the House and $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides $3,000,000 to the District of Columbia Department of Transportation for continuation of the Anacostia waterfront initiative as proposed by the Senate instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement provides $1,300,000 for the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council as proposed by both the House and Senate.

The conference agreement provides $1,000,000 to the District of Columbia Department of Transportation for the downtown circulator transit system as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include funds for this activity. The conferees agree that the District shall provide 100 percent matching funds for the system.

The conference agreement provides $2,000,000 for foster care improvements in the District of Columbia as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include funds for this activity. Of the amount provided, $1,750,000 is for the Child and Family Services Agency, of which $1,000,000 is for a loan repayment program for social workers and $750,000 is for post-adoption services. In addition, $250,000 is for the Washington Metropolitan Council of Governments.

The conference agreement provides $29,200,000 to the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), to be distributed as listed below. Each entity receiving funding must report to the CFO by March 15, 2006 on the activities carried out with the funds provided in this Act, and the CFO will submit a comprehensive report from all grantees to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by June 1, 2006.

The conferees direct grants to the following:

- All-Faith Consortium/homeless veterans ............................................. $100,000
- American Community Partnerships, Inc. (ACP) ................................. 250,000
- AppleTree Institute ................................................................. 150,000
- Arise Foundation ........................................................................... 250,000
- Arthritis Foundation, Metropolitan Washington Chapter .................... 300,000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washington Area Women's Foundation/financial independence initiative</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASA/water study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voyager Expanded Learning/DCPS program</td>
<td>175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lab School of Washington, DC</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Area Food Bank/capital development</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol Hill Baseball and Softball League/capital improvements</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean American Mission for Education Research and Action, Inc. (CAMERA)</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst Capitlol City Careers Program</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Insrripated Teaching</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centro Nia/early childhood education</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Health Fund/mobile health van</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Hospital/cord blood bank for African-American children</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's National Medical Center</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Research Institute/Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Year</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Youth Connection</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congressional Glaucoma Caucus</td>
<td>103,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC CARES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Humane Society</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Pearls III Foundation/college preparation program</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Primary Care Association</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Public Charter School Association/school quality project</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery Creek Children's Museum/public school science program</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia Department of Transportation/safety improvements to Foxhall Road</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth Conservation Corps</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of the River Clergy/prisoner re-entrant housing</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Market Ventilation Improvements</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excel Institute</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Communications/educational material for child care</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father McKenna Center/homeless men's shelter</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Carter Barron Foundation for the Performing Arts</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Dupont Ice Arena</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown Circulator</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girl Scouts Council of the Nation's Capital/young leaders project</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Youth Service and Development Corps</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jump Start/deployment of college students to mentor Head Start children</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Youth Center Youth Build</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les Aspin Center/community service and outreach</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My Sister's Place/capital development</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy with Uhlich Children's Advantage Network</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Capital Children's Museum/capital development</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Trust for Historic Preservation/Lincoln Cottage refurbishment</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCMS Technology Transfer Partnership/DC College Program</td>
<td>727,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry School Community Services Center, Inc</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public School Library Initiative</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReadNet Foundation/literacy program</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Chance Employment Services</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Forever Foundation/employment training</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewall Belmont House/education and outreach</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern University/capital development</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Coletta's School/capital development</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEED Youth Education and Recreation Program</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Advancement Program Foundation/DCPS and charter school program</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teen Connection</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lab School of Washington, DC</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurgood Marshall Academy/capital development</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voyager Expanded Learning/DCPS program</td>
<td>175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASA/water study</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Area Women's Foundation/financial independence initiative</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Washington Jesuit Academy ................................................................. 250,000
Washington Metropolitan Transit Authority for the replacement of aged bi-directional antennae ............................................................. 450,000
Whitman-Walker Clinic/technology improvement .............................. 650,000
Youth Leadership Foundation .............................................................. 200,000

Total ................................................................................................. $29,200,000

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

The conference agreement provides $40,000,000 for school improvement as proposed by the Senate instead of $41,616,000 as proposed by the House. Of the funds provided, $13,000,000 is for improvements to the District of Columbia Public Schools and $14,000,000 is for opportunity scholarships, of which $1,000,000 is for assessments. Of the funds for the District of Columbia Public Schools not less than $250,000 shall be to support the Superintendent’s assessment of public school facilities.

In addition, the conferees agree to provide $13,000,000 for charter schools as proposed by the Senate instead of $13,525,000 as proposed by the House. Of the funds provided, the conferees agree with the Senate proposal to provide $4,000,000 for the direct loan fund, $2,000,000 for credit enhancement, $2,000,000 for continuation of the City Build Charter School program, $1,500,000 for flexible grants, $2,000,000 for grants for public charter schools to improve public school facilities, $400,000 for college access programming, $300,000 for a truancy center, $250,000 for administration of Federal entitlement funding, $300,000 for data collection and analysis, and $250,000 for administration in the State Education Office.

The conferees remind the Mayor and the Council of the District of Columbia that the primary intention of section 342 of the fiscal year 2005 District of Columbia Appropriations Act, Public Law 108–335 as amended, is to make surplus public school facilities available to public charter schools. The provision should not be construed to prevent a consortium of charter schools, or a non-profit organization managing a charter school or an incubator for multiple charter schools, from occupying surplus public school space. The conferees are encouraged that the Mayor and the Council of the District of Columbia are moving expeditiously to make surplus facilities available to public charter schools, consistent with current law, to serve the 20,000 public charter school students in the District, thereby retaining public funds for the benefit of public education.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR BIOTERRORISM AND FORENSICS LABORATORY

The conference agreement provides $5,000,000 for the construction of a bioterrorism and forensics laboratory instead of $7,200,000 as proposed by the House and $5,200,000 as proposed by the Senate. As a condition of the Federal payment, the District of Columbia must provide an additional $1,500,000 in local funds, for this project.
FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR THE NATIONAL GUARD YOUTH CHALLENGE PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides $500,000 for the District of Columbia National Guard Youth Challenge Program as proposed by the Senate. The House did not recommend funding for this program.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR MARRIAGE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVEMENT

The conference agreement provides $3,000,000 for the marriage development and improvement initiative proposed by the Senate. The House did not include funds for this program.

The conferees require that, in the event that a couple divorces prior to withdrawing funds from their marriage development account, each may withdraw what they have individually contributed but neither will be entitled to the Federal-private matching funds in the account. However, if a spouse is convicted of domestic abuse, the other partner shall be entitled to his or her share of the Federal/private match. The conferees further direct the Capital Area Asset Building Corporation to contract with an appropriate research firm to evaluate the implementation and determine the success of marriage development accounts.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FUNDS

The conference agreement provides authority for the District of Columbia to spend $8,700,158,000 from the General Fund of the District of Columbia. Of the funds provided, $5,007,344,000 is from local funds, of which $466,894,000 is from the general fund balance; $1,921,287,000 is from the Federal grant funds; $1,754,399,000 is from other funds; and $17,129,000 is from private funds. In addition, the District may use $163,116,000 from prior year funds.

For capital construction, the conference agreement provides an additional $2,820,637,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include this provision. Of the funds provided, $1,072,671,000 is from local funds, $49,551,000 is from the Highway Trust Fund, $172,183,000 is from the Local Street Maintenance Fund, $378,000,000 is from the security of future revenue streams, $400,000,000 is from Certificates of Participation financing, $534,800,000 is from stadium construction, and $213,432,000 is from Federal grant funds. In addition, $295,032,000 of prior year local funds are rescinded. In total, $2,525,605,000 are provided.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section 101 specifies that an appropriation for a particular purpose or object is the maximum available for expenditure as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 102 permits funds to be used for travel and dues as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 103 permits funds to be used to pay tax refunds, settlements, and judgments as proposed by both the House and the Senate.
Section 104 prohibits funds for lobbying activities and publicity to promote a boycott or statehood as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed similar provisions applicable only to Federal funds.

Section 105 establishes reprogramming guidelines as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 106 limits funds for the appropriated purpose unless otherwise provided in law as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 107 clarifies the District’s employee compensation authority as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 108 directs the Mayor to submit revenue estimates as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 109 prohibits sole source contracting except under certain conditions as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 110 prohibits Federal funds for the costs of a United States Senator or Representative as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 111 prohibits funds for registering unmarried, cohabiting couples as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 112 allows the Mayor to accept, obligate, and expend other funds not reflected in this Act as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 113 restricts official vehicles to official duties except in certain circumstances as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 114 prohibits funds for a financial audit unless the District Inspector General conducts or contracts for the audit as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 115 prohibits funds for the District of Columbia Corporation Counsel to provide assistance for District voting representation in Congress as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 116 prohibits funds for needle exchange programs as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed limiting only Federal funds for such purpose.

Section 117 prohibits funds for any governmental chief financial officer (CFO) unless that CFO certifies that he or she understands the duties of the office as proposed by the Senate. The House included a similar provision with a different reporting schedule.

Section 118 addresses contraceptive coverage by insurance plans as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 119 requires the Mayor to report quarterly on various issues as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 120 requires the Chief Financial Officer to submit an operating budget as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 121 requires the District of Columbia Courts make available all fines levied from alcohol-related traffic violations for enforcement and prosecution of such laws as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 122 addresses the payment of lawyer fees in legal cases under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) as proposed by both the House and the Senate.
Section 123 requires lawyers involved in IDEA cases in the District to comply with certain reporting requirements as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 124 allows for an additional $42,000,000 from District funds to be spent under certain conditions as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 125 makes a technical correction to Public Law 108–335 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 126 allows for the obligation of additional District funds under certain circumstances as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 127 allows the District to conduct short-term borrowing from emergency and contingency reserve funds under certain circumstances in fiscal year 2006. The House and Senate had similar provisions.

Section 128 prohibits funds to change the legality of marijuana use as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 129 prohibits funds for abortion except under certain circumstances as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Section 130 authorizes the conveyance of a parcel of Federal land to the District for a school as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 131 extends the authorities of the CFO with respect to personnel and preparing financial statements as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 132 exempts the CFO from certain provisions of the District of Columbia Procurement Practices Act as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 133 enacts section 4013 of the Uniform Per Student Funding Formula for Public Schools and Public Charter Schools Amendment Act of 2005 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 134 makes technical changes to fiscal year 2005 funds available for the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 135 allows an additional $250,000 to the District’s Department of Health for a health study in Spring Valley as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Section 136 enacts amendments to the Ballpark Technical Amendments Act of 2005 and the Ballpark Fee Rebate Act of 2005 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2006 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2005 amount, the 2006 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2006 follow:

(In thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005</td>
<td>$87,431,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2006</td>
<td>$83,885,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House bill, fiscal year 2006</td>
<td>91,018,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate bill, fiscal year 2006</td>
<td>89,463,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference agreement, fiscal year 2006</td>
<td>89,135,149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conference agreement compared with:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005</td>
<td>+1,703,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2006</td>
<td>+5,249,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House bill, fiscal year 2006</td>
<td>-1,883,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate bill, fiscal year 2006</td>
<td>-328,251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Managers on the Part of the House:**

- Joe Knollenberg
- Frank R. Wolf
- Harold Rogers
- Todd Tiahrt
- Anne M. Northup
- Robert B. Aderholt
- John E. Sweeney
- John Abney Culberson
- Ralph Regula
- Jerry Lewis
- John W. Olver
- Steny H. Hoyer
- Ed Pastor
- Carolyn C. Kilpatrick
- James E. Clyburn
- Steven R. Rothman

**Managers on the Part of the Senate:**

- Christopher S. Bond
- Richard Shelby
- Arlen Specter
- R.F. Bennett
- Kay Bailey Hutchison
- Mike DeWine
- Sam Brownback
- Ted Stevens
- Pete Domenici
- Conrad Burns
- Wayne Allard
- Thad Cochran
- Patty Murray
- Robert C. Byrd
- Barbara Mikulski
- Harry Reid
- Herb Kohl
- Richard J. Durbin (except for Cuba trade)
- Byron L. Dorgan (except for Cuba trade)
- Patrick J. Leahy (except for Cuba trade)
- Tom Harkin (except for Cuba trade)
- Mary L. Landrieu (except for Cuba trade)
- Daniel K. Inouye
(except for Section 173),
Managers on the Part of the Senate.