^{111TH CONGRESS} 2D SESSION **S. 3878**

To amend title V of the Social Security Act to eliminate the abstinenceonly education program.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

SEPTEMBER 29, 2010

Mr. LAUTENBERG (for himself, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. KERRY, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Mr. WHITEHOUSE) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

A BILL

To amend title V of the Social Security Act to eliminate the abstinence-only education program.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Repealing Ineffective
- 5 and Incomplete Abstinence-Only Program Funding Act of

6 2010".

- 7 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 8 Congress makes the following findings:

1 (1) The United States has one of the highest 2 teen pregnancy rates in the developed world. Be-3 tween 1990 and 2005, the United States teenage 4 pregnancy rate declined 41 percent. For the first 5 time in more than a decade, the rate rose 3 percent 6 in 2006. At the same time, teens were receiving less 7 information about contraception in schools and their 8 use of contraceptives was declining.

9 (2) While young people in the United States 10 aged 15 to 25 make up only $\frac{1}{4}$ of the sexually active 11 population, they contract about $\frac{1}{2}$ of the 19,000,000 12 sexually transmitted infections (STIs) which occur 13 annually. Young people ages 13 to 29 account for 14 nearly ¹/₄ of the estimated 56,300 new HIV infec-15 tions each year. Every hour, 1 young person is in-16 fected with HIV. In 2008, the Centers for Disease 17 Control and Prevention estimated that 1 in 4 young 18 women between the ages of 14 and 19 and nearly 1 19 in 2 African-American young women are infected 20 with at least one of the four most common STIs.

(3) Abstinence-only-until-marriage programs
have been discredited by a wide body of evidence, including most recently in a congressionally mandated
study in 2007 which found these programs ineffective in stopping or delaying teen sex, reducing the

 $\mathbf{2}$

number of reported sexual partners, reducing reported rates of pregnancy or sexually transmitted infections, or otherwise beneficially impacting young
people's sexual behavior. The Institute of Medicine
of the National Academy of Sciences recommends
the termination of such programs because they represent "poor fiscal and public health policy."

8 (4) Leading medical and public health profes-9 sional groups, including the American Medical Asso-10 ciation, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the So-11 ciety of Adolescent Health and Medicine, the Amer-12 ican College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the 13 American Nurses Association, the American Public 14 Health Association, and the American Psychological 15 Association, oppose an abstinence-only-until-mar-16 riage approach as antithetical to the principles of 17 science. These organizations all stress the need for 18 sexuality education that includes messages about ab-19 stinence and also provide young people with informa-20 tion about contraception for the prevention of teen 21 pregnancy, HIV/AIDS, and other STIs.

(5) Since 1996, the United States has spent
over \$1,500,000,000 in Federal funding on abstinence-only-until-marriage programs that fail to
teach teens how to prevent unintended pregnancy or

STIs, including HIV. Particularly during the Na tion's worst economic disaster since the Great De pression, government funding should only support
 evidence-based programs.

(6) According to the results of a 2005–2006 5 6 nationally representative survey of United States 7 adults published in the Archives of Pediatric & Ado-8 lescent Medicine, more than 8 in 10 (82 percent) of 9 those polled, regardless of political ideology, support 10 comprehensive sex education that is medically accu-11 rate and age-appropriate and includes information 12 about both abstinence and contraception for protec-13 tion against unintended pregnancy and STIs, includ-14 ing HIV.

15 (7) There is strong evidence that more com-16 prehensive approaches to sex education help young 17 people both to withstand the pressures to have sex 18 too soon and to have healthy, responsible, and mutu-19 ally protective relationships when they do become 20 sexually active. More comprehensive sex education 21 has been found to be effective in delaying sexual 22 intercourse, increasing contraceptive use, and reduc-23 ing the number of partners among teens.

24 (8) Strong evidence indicates that sex education25 programs that promote both abstinence and the use

of contraception does not increase sexual behavior.
 Studies show that when teens are educated about
 and have access to contraception, levels of contracep tion use at first intercourse increase while levels of
 sex stay the same.

6 (9) Teens who receive sex education that in-7 cludes both abstinence and contraception are more 8 likely than those who receive abstinence-only-until-9 marriage messages to delay sexual activity and use 10 contraception when they do become sexually active. 11 Research from the United States shows that teens 12 who practice contraception consistently in their first 13 sexual relationship are more likely to continue doing 14 so than those who use no method or who use a 15 method inconsistently.

16 The Personal Responsibility Education (10)17 Program (PREP) funds programs that are required 18 to provide information on both abstinence and con-19 traception for the prevention of pregnancy and STIs, 20 including HIV/AIDS, with a substantial emphasis on 21 both abstinence and contraceptive use. Programs 22 must also address adulthood preparation topics such 23 as healthy relationships, adolescent development, fi-24 nancial literacy, educational and career success, and 25 healthy life skills. Funded programs are required to

1 be evidence-based or replicate elements of evidence-2 based programs that have been proven on the basis 3 of rigorous scientific research to change behavior. 4 SEC. 3. ELIMINATION OF ABSTINENCE-ONLY EDUCATION 5 PROGRAM. 6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Title V of the Social Security Act 7 (42 U.S.C. 701 et seq.) is amended by striking section 8 510. 9 (b) RESCISSION.—Amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2010 under section 510(d) of the Social Security Act 10 11 (42 U.S.C. 710(d)) (as in effect on the day before the date 12 of enactment of this Act) that are unobligated as of the

13 date of enactment of this Act are rescinded.

(c) REPROGRAM OF ELIMINATED ABSTINENCE-ONLY
FUNDS FOR THE PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY EDUCATION
PROGRAM (PREP).—Section 513(f) of the Social Security
Act (42 U.S.C. 713(f)) is amended by striking "for each
of fiscal years 2010 through 2014" and inserting "for fiscal year 2010, and \$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years
2011 through 2014".

0