111TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION S.619

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 17, 2009

Mr. REID (for Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Ms. SNOWE)) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

A BILL

- To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
5 "Preservation of Antibiotics for Medical Treatment Act of
6 2009".

7 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of8 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Findings.

Sec. 3. Purpose.

Sec. 4. Proof of safety of critical antimicrobial animal drugs.

1 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

2 The Congress finds that—

3 (1)(A) in January 2001, a Federal interagency
4 task force released an action plan to address the
5 continuing decline in effectiveness of antibiotics
6 against common bacterial infections, referred to as
7 antibiotic resistance;

8 (B) the task force determined that antibiotic re9 sistance is a growing menace to all people and poses
10 a serious threat to public health; and

(C) the task force cautioned that if current
trends continue, treatments for common infections
will become increasingly limited and expensive, and,
in some cases, nonexistent;

(2) antibiotic resistance, resulting in a reduced
number of effective antibiotics, may significantly impair the ability of the United States to respond to
terrorist attacks involving bacterial infections or a
large influx of hospitalized patients;

20 (3)(A) any overuse or misuse of antibiotics con21 tributes to the spread of antibiotic resistance, wheth22 er in human medicine or in agriculture; and

| 1 | (B) recognizing the public health threat caused |
|----|---|
| 2 | by antibiotic resistance, Congress took several steps |
| 3 | to curb antibiotic overuse in human medicine |
| 4 | through amendments to the Public Health Service |
| 5 | Act (42 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) made by section 102 of |
| 6 | the Public Health Threats and Emergencies Act |
| 7 | (Public Law 106–505, title I; 114 Stat. 2315), but |
| 8 | has not yet addressed antibiotic overuse in agri- |
| 9 | culture; |
| 10 | (4) in a March 2003 report, the National Acad- |
| 11 | emy of Sciences stated that— |
| 12 | (A) a decrease in antimicrobial use in |
| 13 | human medicine alone will have little effect on |
| 14 | the current situation; and |
| 15 | (B) substantial efforts must be made to |
| 16 | decrease inappropriate overuse in animals and |
| 17 | agriculture; |
| 18 | (5)(A) an estimated 70 percent of the anti- |
| 19 | biotics and other antimicrobial drugs used in the |
| 20 | United States are fed to farm animals for nonthera- |
| 21 | peutic purposes, including— |
| 22 | (i) growth promotion; and |
| 23 | (ii) compensation for crowded, unsanitary, |
| 24 | and stressful farming and transportation condi- |
| 25 | tions; and |

(B) unlike human use of antibiotics, these non therapeutic uses in animals typically do not require
 a prescription;

4 (6)(A) large-scale, voluntary surveys by the De-5 partment of Agriculture's Animal and Plant Health 6 Inspection Service in 1999, 2001, and 2006 revealed 7 that 84 percent of grower-finisher swine farms, 83 8 percent of cattle feedlots, and 84 percent of sheep 9 farms administer antimicrobials in the feed or water 10 for health or growth promotion reasons, and many 11 of the antimicrobials identified are identical or close-12 ly related to drugs used in human medicine, includ-13 ing tetracyclines, macrolides, Bacitracin, penicillins, 14 and sulfonamides; and

(B) these drugs are used in people to treat serious diseases such as pneumonia, scarlet fever, rheumatic fever, venereal disease, skin infections, and
even pandemics like plague, as well as bioterrorism
agents like anthrax;

20 (7) many scientific studies confirm that the
21 nontherapeutic use of antibiotics in agricultural ani22 mals contributes to the development of antibiotic-re23 sistant bacterial infections in people;

24 (8)(A) the periodical entitled "Clinical Infec25 tious Diseases" published a report in June 2002,

4

| 1 | based on a 2-year review by experts in human and |
|----|--|
| 2 | veterinary medicine, public health, microbiology, bio- |
| 3 | statistics, and risk analysis, of more than 500 sci- |
| 4 | entific studies on the human health impacts of anti- |
| 5 | microbial use in agriculture; and |
| 6 | (B) the report recommended that antimicrobial |
| 7 | agents should no longer be used in agriculture in the |
| 8 | absence of disease, but should be limited to therapy |
| 9 | for diseased individual animals and prophylaxis |
| 10 | when disease is documented in a herd or flock; |
| 11 | (9) the United States Geological Survey re- |
| 12 | ported in March 2002 that— |
| 13 | (A) antibiotics were present in 48 percent |
| 14 | of the streams tested nationwide; and |
| 15 | (B) almost half of the tested streams were |
| 16 | downstream from agricultural operations; |
| 17 | (10) an April 1999 study by the General Ac- |
| 18 | counting Office concluded that resistant strains of 3 |
| 19 | microorganisms that cause food-borne illness or dis- |
| 20 | ease in humans—Salmonella, Campylobacter, and E. |
| 21 | coli—are linked to the use of antibiotics in animals; |
| 22 | (11) epidemiological research has shown that |
| 23 | resistant Salmonella and Campylobacter infections |
| 24 | are associated with increased numbers of ill patients |
| 25 | and bloodstream infections, and increased death; |

| 1 | (12)(A) in January 2003, Consumer Reports |
|----|--|
| 2 | published test results on poultry products bought in |
| 3 | grocery stores nationwide showing disturbingly high |
| 4 | levels of Campylobacter and Salmonella bacteria that |
| 5 | were resistant to antibiotics used to treat food-borne |
| 6 | illnesses; |
| 7 | (B) the Food and Drug Administration's Na- |
| 8 | tional Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System |
| 9 | routinely finds that retail meat products are con- |
| 10 | taminated with bacteria resistant to antibiotics im- |
| 11 | portant in human medicine including the foodborne |
| 12 | pathogens Campylobacter and Salmonella; and |
| 13 | (C) in December 2007, the USDA issued a fact |
| 14 | sheet on the recently recognized link between anti- |
| 15 | microbial drug use in animals and the Methicillin |
| 16 | Resistant Staphylococcus Aureas (MRSA) infections |
| 17 | in humans; |
| 18 | (13) in October 2001, the New England Jour- |
| 19 | nal of Medicine published an editorial urging a ban |
| 20 | on nontherapeutic use of medically important anti- |
| 21 | biotics in animals; |
| 22 | (14) in 1998, the National Academy of Sciences |
| 23 | noted that antibiotic-resistant bacteria generate a |
| 24 | minimum of \$4,000,000,000 to \$5,000,000,000 in |
| 25 | costs to United States society and individuals yearly; |
| | |

| 1 | (15) the American Medical Association, the |
|----|--|
| 2 | American Public Health Association, the National |
| 3 | Association of County and City Health Officials, and |
| 4 | the National Campaign for Sustainable Agriculture, |
| 5 | are among the more than 300 organizations rep- |
| 6 | resenting health, consumer, agricultural, environ- |
| 7 | mental, humane, and other interests that have sup- |
| 8 | ported enactment of legislation to phase out non- |
| 9 | therapeutic use in farm animals of medically impor- |
| 10 | tant antibiotics; |
| 11 | (16) the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act |
| 12 | (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.)— |
| 13 | (A) requires that all drugs be shown to be |
| 14 | safe before the drugs are approved; and |
| 15 | (B) places the burden on manufacturers to |
| 16 | account for health consequences and prove safe- |
| 17 | ty; |
| 18 | (17)(A) the Food and Drug Administration re- |
| 19 | cently modified the drug approval process for anti- |
| 20 | biotics to recognize the development of resistant bac- |
| 21 | teria as an important aspect of safety; |
| 22 | (B) however, most antibiotics currently used in |
| 23 | animal production systems for nontherapeutic pur- |
| 24 | poses were approved before the Food and Drug Ad- |

| ministration began giving in-depth consideration to |
|--|
| resistance during the drug-approval process; and |
| (C) the Food and Drug Administration has not |
| established a schedule for reviewing those existing |
| approvals; |
| (18) certain non-routine uses of antibiotics in |
| animal agriculture are legitimate to prevent animal |
| disease; and |
| (19)(A) an April 2004 study by the General Ac- |
| counting Office concluded that Federal agencies do |
| not collect the critical data on antibiotic use in ani- |
| mals that they need to support research on human |
| health risks; and |
| (B) the report recommends that the Depart- |
| ment of Agriculture and the Department of Health |
| and Human Services develop and implement a plan |
| to collect data on antibiotic use in animals. |
| SEC. 3. PURPOSE. |
| The purpose of this Act is to preserve the effective- |
| ness of medically important antibiotics used in the treat- |
| ment of human and animal diseases by reviewing the safe- |
| ty of certain antibiotics for nontherapeutic purposes in |
| food-producing animals. |
| |

1 SEC. 4. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL

| 2 | ANIMAL DRUGS. |
|----|--|
| 3 | (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 201 of the Federal Food, |
| 4 | Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by |
| 5 | adding at the end the following: |
| 6 | "(rr) Critical Antimicrobial Animal Drug.— |
| 7 | The term 'critical antimicrobial animal drug' means a |
| 8 | drug that— |
| 9 | "(1) is intended for use in food-producing ani- |
| 10 | mals; and |
| 11 | "(2) is composed wholly or partly of— |
| 12 | "(A) any kind of penicillin, tetracycline, |
| 13 | macrolide, lincosamide, streptogramin, amino- |
| 14 | glycoside, or sulfonamide; or |
| 15 | "(B) any other drug or derivative of a |
| 16 | drug that is used in humans or intended for use |
| 17 | in humans to treat or prevent disease or infec- |
| 18 | tion caused by microorganisms. |
| 19 | "(ss) Nontherapeutic Use.—The term 'nonthera- |
| 20 | peutic use', with respect to a critical antimicrobial animal |
| 21 | drug, means any use of the drug as a feed or water addi- |
| 22 | tive for an animal in the absence of any clinical sign of |
| 23 | disease in the animal for growth promotion, feed effi- |
| 24 | ciency, weight gain, routine disease prevention, or other |

25 routine purpose.".

| 1 | (b) Applications Pending or Submitted After |
|----|---|
| 2 | ENACTMENT.—Section 512(d)(1) of the Federal Food, |
| 3 | Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(d)(1)) is amend- |
| 4 | ed— |
| 5 | (1) in the first sentence— |
| 6 | (A) in subparagraph (H), by striking "or" |
| 7 | at the end; |
| 8 | (B) by redesignating subparagraph (I) as |
| 9 | subparagraph (J); and |
| 10 | (C) by inserting after subparagraph (H) |
| 11 | the following: |
| 12 | "(I) with respect to a critical antimicrobial |
| 13 | animal drug or a drug of the same chemical |
| 14 | class as a critical antimicrobial animal drug, |
| 15 | the applicant has failed to demonstrate that |
| 16 | there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to |
| 17 | human health due to the development of anti- |
| 18 | microbial resistance that is attributable, in |
| 19 | whole or in part, to the nontherapeutic use of |
| 20 | the drug; or'; and |
| 21 | (2) in the second sentence, by striking "(A) |
| 22 | through (I)" and inserting "(A) through (J)". |
| 23 | (c) PHASED ELIMINATION OF NONTHERAPEUTIC |
| 24 | USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL |
| 25 | DRUGS IMPORTANT FOR HUMAN HEALTH.—Section 512 |

| 1 | of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. |
|----|--|
| 2 | 360b) is amended by adding at the end the following: |
| 3 | "(q) Phased Elimination of Nontherapeutic |
| 4 | USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL |
| 5 | Drugs Important for Human Health.— |
| 6 | "(1) Applicability.—This subsection applies |
| 7 | to the nontherapeutic use in a food-producing ani- |
| 8 | mal of a drug— |
| 9 | "(A)(i) that is a critical antimicrobial ani- |
| 10 | mal drug; or |
| 11 | "(ii) that is of the same chemical class as |
| 12 | a critical antimicrobial animal drug; and |
| 13 | "(B)(i) for which there is in effect an ap- |
| 14 | proval of an application or an exemption under |
| 15 | subsection (b), (i), or (j) of section 505; or |
| 16 | "(ii) that is otherwise marketed for use. |
| 17 | "(2) WITHDRAWAL.—The Secretary shall with- |
| 18 | draw the approval of a nontherapeutic use in food- |
| 19 | producing animals described in paragraph (1) on the |
| 20 | date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of |
| 21 | this subsection unless— |
| 22 | "(A) before the date that is 2 years after |
| 23 | the date of the enactment of this subsection, |
| 24 | the Secretary makes a final written determina- |
| 25 | tion that the holder of the approved application |

1 has demonstrated that there is a reasonable 2 certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that 3 4 is attributable in whole or in part to the non-5 therapeutic use of the drug; or 6 "(B) before the date specified in subpara-7 graph (A), the Secretary makes a final written 8 determination, with respect to a risk analysis of 9 the drug conducted by the Secretary and other 10 relevant information, that there is a reasonable 11 certainty of no harm to human health due to 12 the development of antimicrobial resistance that 13 is attributable in whole or in part to the non-14 therapeutic use of the drug. 15 "(3) EXEMPTIONS.—Except as provided in 16 paragraph (5), if the Secretary grants an exemption 17 under section 505(i) for a drug that is a critical

18 antimicrobial animal drug, the Secretary shall re-19 scind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a 20 food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial 21 animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class 22 as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the 23 date that is 2 years after the date on which the Sec-24 retary grants the exemption.

"(4) APPROVALS.—Except as provided in para-1 2 graph (5), if an application for a drug that is a crit-3 ical antimicrobial animal drug is submitted to the 4 Secretary under section 505(b), the Secretary shall 5 rescind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a 6 food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class 7 8 as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the 9 date that is 2 years after the date on which the ap-10 plication is submitted to the Secretary. 11 "(5) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (3) or (4), as the 12 case may be, shall not apply if— "(A) before the date on which approval 13 14 would be rescinded under that paragraph, the 15 Secretary makes a final written determination 16 that the holder of the application for the ap-17 proved nontherapeutic use has demonstrated 18 that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm 19 to human health due to the development of 20 antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in 21 whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use in 22 the food-producing animal of the critical anti-23 microbial animal drug; or

24 "(B) before the date specified in subpara-25 graph (A), the Secretary makes a final written

determination, with respect to a risk analysis of 2 the critical antimicrobial animal drug conducted 3 by the Secretary and any other relevant information, that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attrib-6 utable in whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use of the drug.". 8

 \bigcirc

1

4

5

7