Durbin

Feinstein

Franken

Gillibrand

April 14,	2011	
Corker Cornyn Crapo DeMint Ensign Enzi Graham Grassley Hatch Hoeven Hutchison Inhofe	Isakson Johanns Johnson (WI) Kirk Kyl Lee Lugar McCain McConnell Moran Murkowski Paul	Portman Risch Roberts Rubio Sessions Shelby Snowe Thune Toomey Vitter Wicker
	NAYS—53	
Akaka Baucus Begich Bennet Bingaman Blumenthal Boxer Brown (OH) Cantwell Cardin Carper	Hagan Harkin Inouye Johnson (SD) Kerry Klobuchar Kohl Landrieu Lautenberg Leahy Levin	Nelson (NE) Nelson (FL) Pryor Reed Reid Rockefeller Sanders Schumer Shaheen Stabenow
Casey Conrad Coons Durbin	Lieberman Manchin McCaskill Menendez	Tester Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Warner

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. FRANKEN). On this vote, the yeas are 47, the nays are 53. Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for the adoption of the concurrent resolution, the concurrent resolution is rejected.

Webb

Wyden

Whitehouse

The Senator from Washington.

Merkley

Mikulski

Murray

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the following votes be 10-minute votes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table.

CORRECTING THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 1473

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the clerk will report H. Con. Res. 36, which was received from the House.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 36) directing the clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1473.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, there will be 2 minutes of debate equally divided prior to the vote.

The Senator from Washington.

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, I vield back all debate time, and I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection? Without objection, it is so ordered.

Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient sec-

The question is on agreeing to the concurrent resolution.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll

The result was announced—yeas 42, nays 58, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 60 Leg.] YEAS-42

Alexander	Blunt	Chambliss
Ayotte	Boozman	Coats
Barrasso	Burr	Coburn

Cochran	Hutchison	Paul
Corker	Inhofe	Portman
Cornyn	Isakson	Risch
Crapo	Johanns	Roberts
DeMint	Johnson (WI)	Rubio
Ensign	Kyl	Sessions
Enzi	Lee	Shelby
Graham	Lugar	Thune
Grassley	McCain	Toomey
Hatch	McConnell	Vitter
Hoeven	Moran	Wicker
	NAYS—58	

Akaka Hagan Nelson (NE) Baucus Harkin Nelson (FL) Begich Inouye Pryor Johnson (SD) Bennet. Reed Bingaman Kerry Reid Blumenthal Kirk Rockefeller Klobuchar Boxer Sanders Brown (MA) Kohl Schumer Brown (OH) Landrieu Shaheen Cantwell Lautenberg Snowe Cardin Leahy Stahenow Carper Levin Tester Casev Lieberman Udall (CO) Collins Manchin Udall (NM) Conrad McCaskill Warner Coons Menendez Durbin Webb Whitehouse Feinstein Mikulski Murkowski Wyden Franken Murray

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 42, the nays are 58. Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for the adoption of the concurrent resolution, the concurrent resolution is rejected. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND FULL-YEAR CONTINUING APPRO-PRIATIONS ACT, 2011

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the clerk will report H.R. 1473, which was received from

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1473) making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, there will be 2 minutes of debate, equally divided prior to a vote.

The Senator from Illinois.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I yield back all time on both sides and ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second? There appears to be a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further debate, the question is on the third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass? The yeas and nays have been ordered and the clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 81, nays 19, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 61 Leg.] YEAS-81

Akaka Alexander Ayotte Barrasso	Durbin Enzi Feinstein Franken	Menendez Merkley Mikulski Moran
Baucus	Gillibrand	Murkowski
Begich	Grassley	Murray
Bennet	Hagan	Nelson (NE)
Bingaman	Harkin	Nelson (FL)
Blumenthal	Hoeven	Portman
Blunt	Hutchison	Pryor
Boozman	Inouye	Reed
Boxer	Isakson	Reid
Brown (MA)	Johanns	Roberts
Brown (OH)	Johnson (SD)	Rockefeller
Burr	Kerry	Schumer
Cantwell	Kirk	Sessions
Cardin	Klobuchar	Shaheen
Carper	Kohl	Snowe
Casey	Kyl	Stabenow
Chambliss	Landrieu	Tester
Coats	Lautenberg	Thune
Cochran	Lieberman	Udall (CO)
Collins	Lugar	Udall (NM)
Conrad	Manchin	Warner
Coons	McCain	Webb
Corker	McCaskill	Whitehouse
Cornyn	McConnell	Wicker

NAYS-19

Coburn	Johnson (WI)	Sanders
Crapo	Leahy	Shelby
DeMint	Lee	Toomey
Ensign	Levin	Vitter
Graham	Paul	Wyden
Hatch	Risch	, doll
Inhofe	Rubio	

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote the yeas are 81, the nays are 19. Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for passage, the bill is passed.

The bill (H.R. 1473) was passed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table.

Under the previous order, the Secretary will immediately notify the House of the Senate's action on the House measures.

The majority leader.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate proceed to a period of morning business for debate only until 7 p.m. tonight, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each; further, that the majority leader be recognized at 7 p.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from South Carolina.

PORT OF CHARLESTON

Mr. GRAHAM. I ask unanimous consent to enter into a colloguy with my good friend, the majority leader.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. GRAHAM. Mr. President, a lot of Members now understand the problem we have with the port of Charleston in 2011. There is no money in the President's budget to do a scoping study. Under the new rules concerning earmarking, it has been very difficult to find a way forward. With the help of the majority leader and his staff and people on Appropriations—the the staffs of Senators Feinstein and LAMAR ALEXANDER—we came up with