Mr. MATHESON changed his vote from "aye" to "no." So the motion to reconsider was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 888, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill. The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Recorded Vote

Mr. CONyers, Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 253, noes 167, not voting 13, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ayes</th>
<th>Noes</th>
<th>Not Voting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>Ackerman</td>
<td>Adams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aderholt</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Alcon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxley</td>
<td>Alex</td>
<td>Altman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis</td>
<td>Amodei</td>
<td>Amorose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brouillette</td>
<td>Armentrout</td>
<td>Arrington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett</td>
<td>Bartlow</td>
<td>Barlow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton</td>
<td>Biscan</td>
<td>Bishop (NC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop</td>
<td>Binkley</td>
<td>Blunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackburn</td>
<td>Broderick</td>
<td>Brown (FL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackburn</td>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Brown (NC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brouillette</td>
<td>Bright</td>
<td>Brown (WI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brouillette</td>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brouillette</td>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brouillette</td>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. SCHIFF asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize the passing of former Congressman Carlos J. Moorhead.

Carlos Moorhead represented the cities of Pasadena, Burbank, and Glendale for 24 years, from 1972 until 1996. Prior to coming to Congress, he served for 6 years in the California State Assembly and before that as an attorney in private practice in the city...
of Glendale. Carlos was a gentleman in every sense of the word—kind, thoughtful, and absolutely dedicated to serving his constituents.

When I was first running for office early in my career and met Carlos, he was always gracious, even scholarly, taking me under his wing and giving me advice and counsel, though we were in different parties. He was at all times hard-working and ethical. I never remember Carlos saying an ill word about anyone. He was able to disagree about policy without making it personal, and he provided a great example for another generation that has gotten away from that kind of civility.

Carlos served the communities in his district ably and effectively throughout his years in Congress. He served as ranking member on both the Judiciary and Energy and Commerce Committees during his tenure. He was particularly known for his expertise on energy policy and intellectual property.

Carlos was survived by his wife, Valerie; three children; six grandchildren; a sister; three nieces; and nephews.

I would ask you all to join me in a moment of silence in memory of Carlos Moorhead. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for a minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to my friend from Virginia, the majority leader, for the purpose of inquiring about the schedule for the week to come.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Maryland, the Democratic whip, for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday the House will meet at noon for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. However, no votes are expected in the House.

On Tuesday and Wednesday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning hour and noon for legislative business. On Thursday the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. We currently expect last votes for the week no later than 3 p.m. on Thursday, but Members are advised to keep their plans flexible as we continue to work towards adjourning for the first session.

Similarly, Members were informed yesterday that we now expect to be in session and voting the week of December 12. The exact voting schedule is not known and will depend on the progress of our legislative business.

Next week the House will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules on Monday and Tuesday. A complete list of these bills will be announced by the close of business today. For the remainder of the week, the House will consider two bills which are part of the House Republican jobs agenda: H.R. 10, the REINS Act, sponsored by Representative Geoff Davis of Kentucky; and H.R. 1633, the Farm Dust Regulation Prevention Act, sponsored by Representatives Kristi Noem of South Dakota and Robert Hurt of Virginia.

In addition, we may be able to go to conference on a couple of year-end items, and we may consider legislation related to expiring provisions of existing law.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his information. If I can clarify, and I understand that we are coming up to the end of the year. There is a lot of business which needs to be done in the time remaining, and so I understand his urgency to be flexible.

My Members have asked me. I'm sure your Members have as well. Friday the 9th is scheduled on the calendar to be a nonwork day, as a matter of fact, the 8th was the target date. Either side very rarely meets its target. But in this case, you need to hold our Members the following week, the week of the 12th, that undoubtedly we are going to be here. But can you give them some sort of confidence level with respect to the 9th, or is that not possible?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, as I've said earlier, it is our intention to finish legislative business for the week next Thursday at 3 p.m. and again to remain flexible with the progress of all of the discussions going on with the gentleman's side of the aisle, both in this Chamber and the one across the way.

Mr. HOYER. Reclaiming my time, thank you for that.

Let me posit a possibility here. Thursday at 3 o'clock we clearly. I don't believe, aren't going to finish the business that we need to finish before we leave. Therefore, my presumption is that we will break in the following week. Therefore, Friday would not be the last day and therefore we could do whatever we have to do on a Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and we should plan on a five-day week at least for the following week.

Is that correct?

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I would say to the gentleman again the request is for Members to leave their schedules flexible. As I indicated we do expect to be in session December 12 but the exact voting schedule is unknown at this time and will depend upon the discussions surrounding the issues that we need to address prior to the Christmas holiday.

Mr. HOYER. Further on the schedule, just so our Members know, we have pretty clear information, the week of the 19th, which is the following week, can you give me some thought on what you are advising your Members with respect to the week of the 19th?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I say to the gentleman I join with the Speaker in saying that we want to be out of here by the 16th. And it will all depend on whether we get the work done. It is not our desire to be here the week running up to Christmas. And I would say to the gentleman that it is my hope that we can finish our business by the end of the week of the 12th.

Mr. HOYER. I want to tell my friend that there is overwhelming bipartisan support on the gentleman's hope; but for the purposes of my Members, I will say that I hope our Members would take the flexibility beyond the week ending on the 16th and make sure, at least on the 19th and 20th and 21st, that they're flexible as well. I think none of us want to be meeting that week, but we have a lot of work to do, as the gentleman knows.

The gentleman has announced that we may go to conference next week on the MiCon bill that was passed by the House and the Senate. It is the only bill that, I think, is in that status. Do you anticipate other bills being added in that conference? Of course, we all know there are nine appropriations bills which still remain unpassed, a number of which have not passed the Senate and some of which have not passed the House, itself.

Will the gentleman clarify the situation that may result or may be effective as it relates to such a conference with respect to the other appropriations bills?

Mr. CANTOR. The gentleman is asking about the shape or form of an appropriations package and what it is we'll be voting on. As the gentleman knows, the committee on both sides of the aisle is engaging in discussions to try and finish up our work, and I look forward to that happening, again, within the time frame in which both of us would like to see it happen.

Mr. HOYER. That doesn't clarify it very much, but I understand the gentleman's problem with respect to what is being done. Let me ask the gentleman:

If we can't get agreement, in light of the gentleman's focus on the 16th as the date of adjournment, is the gentleman saying that we might consider a CR for some period of time, either a balance-of-the-year continuing resolution or a continuing resolution for some other time?

Mr. CANTOR. Our hope is, again, to be able to avoid that so that we can have a full appropriations package to dictate the priorities that we can agree upon for spending in the rest of the year.

Once again, as the gentleman knows, we are operating within the context of the Budget Control Act, the agreement that was put into law at the end of the debt ceiling discussions at the beginning of August of this year. The amount of spending reductions is not within for many of us on our side of the aisle and perhaps may not be enough or too much on his side of the aisle; but we are operating under the