

H.R. 2990, the National Employment Emergency Act, can accomplish this. It's our choice: increase taxes, cut spending, put the economy in a stall, or put millions back to work.

CONGRATULATING MOELLER HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL CHAMPIONS

(Mrs. SCHMIDT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. SCHMIDT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Ohio High School Athletic Association's 2012 Division I State football champions, the Moeller Crusaders. Last Saturday, the Crusaders, led by Head Coach John Rodenberg, defeated Toledo Whitmer 20-12 to capture Moeller's eighth State football championship title.

Archbishop Moeller High School, a Catholic institution in the Marianist tradition, currently has a student enrollment of 925 outstanding young men. Since its inception in 1960, Moeller High School has earned itself a well-deserved reputation for promoting both academic excellence and athletic prowess. Under their very first coach, Gerry Faust, who later went on to coach for Notre Dame, the Crusaders compiled a record of 178 wins, 23 losses, and two ties, while winning four national football championships, five State football championships, and enjoying seven undefeated seasons. One of Coach Faust's most favorite players was our very own JOHN BOEHNER. Speaker BOEHNER played as a linebacker for the Crusaders.

Following Coach Faust's tenure at the helm, the Crusaders have won three additional State championships, including the one last weekend in front of a crowd of 8,834 people at Fawcett Stadium in Canton, Ohio.

So to the Moeller High School football players, coaching staff, parents, student body, school administrators, teachers, faculty members, and fans, I offer you my heartfelt congratulations on this auspicious occasion of winning your eighth State championship. God bless you. God bless the Moeller Crusaders. God bless the men of Moeller. Take care.

Go Crusaders!

CREATING JOBS FOR AMERICA

(Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, no Republican in Congress is trying to protect millionaires or billionaires. In fact, Republicans lose most of the wealthiest counties and neighborhoods by very large margins. Much of the impasse in the current negotiations is over who is going to spend the money. Republicans do not want higher taxes simply because so much of what the Federal Government spends is lost to waste, fraud, and abuse.

The most wasteful, inefficient way to spend money is to turn it over to the Federal Government. The best way to create jobs and hold prices steady is to let private citizens spend and invest as they choose. The wealthy do all right even in socialist countries. But lower-income and working people come out much better in countries that allow the most free enterprise. Millionaires and billionaires can take care of themselves. Republicans are simply trying to help create jobs and keep the cost of living from going out of sight for ordinary people.

□ 0910

MIDDLE CLASS TAX CUTS

(Mr. CICILLINE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, Democrats and Republicans agree that we should preserve tax cuts for all Americans on the first \$250,000 of family income. This will protect 98 percent of Americans from a tax increase and 97 percent of small businesses.

We have different ideas on the best and fairest way to set rates for the top 2 percent, the wealthiest Americans. Let's have that debate in the coming weeks, but let's act on the overwhelming areas of agreement today. This is not about a Democratic or Republican victory in this Chamber. It's about a victory for the American people.

Instead of moving forward with middle class tax cuts, our friends on the other side of the aisle are trying again to put forth a plan that gives tax breaks to the richest Americans at the expense of our seniors, veterans, the disabled, and the middle class.

It's time for the partisan games to end. Let's prove to the American people that this is the people's House. Pass the middle class tax cuts today.

MIDDLE CLASS TAX CUTS

(Ms. TSONGAS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. TSONGAS. Mr. Speaker, yesterday I was proud to join my colleagues in signing a petition to bring the middle class tax cuts to the House floor for an up-or-down vote. While there is much left to negotiate, there is one step that we can take today. It will provide millions of families and 97 percent of all small businesses with the security of knowing that their income taxes will not rise on January 1.

Both parties and the American people agree on the need to pass an extension of the tax cuts for every family on the first \$250,000 of income. The Senate has passed such a bill, the President stands ready to sign it today, and I have heard from hundreds of constituents urging support for this now.

I urge my Republican colleagues to join us today in protecting middle class

Americans and send the Senate-passed bill to the President.

THE GIFT OF FREQUENT FLYER MILES

(Mr. BARROW asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BARROW. Mr. Speaker, the holidays are a time to reflect on the things for which we are grateful. As we enjoy the company of family and friends, we should also take time to thank the brave men and women serving in our Armed Forces.

Every one of us knows the tremendous debt we owe our military families. This year, as a token of my thanks, I'm donating over 79,000 frequent flyer miles that I received for congressional travel to the Fisher House's Hero Miles program, which provides free airline tickets to American soldiers and their families.

Flying to Washington is part of our job, and there's no better way to use the miles we accumulate from those trips than to help our troops and their families see each other. I encourage all of my colleagues to donate their miles to the Fisher House or a similar charity that helps make a difference this holiday season.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken later.

COAST GUARD AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2012 AMENDMENTS

Mr. LOBIONDO. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 825) providing for the concurrence by the House in the Senate amendments to H.R. 2838, with an amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 825

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution the House shall be considered to have taken from the Speaker's table the bill, H.R. 2838, with the Senate amendments thereto, and to have concurred in the Senate amendments with the following amendment:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the amendment of the Senate to the text of the bill, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2012".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—AUTHORIZATION

- Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.
 Sec. 102. Authorized levels of military strength and training.

TITLE II—COAST GUARD

- Sec. 201. Interference with Coast Guard transmissions.
 Sec. 202. Coast Guard authority to operate and maintain Coast Guard assets.
 Sec. 203. Limitation on expenditures.
 Sec. 204. Academy pay, allowances, and emoluments.
 Sec. 205. Policy on sexual harassment and sexual violence.
 Sec. 206. Appointments of permanent commissioned officers.
 Sec. 207. Selection boards; oath of members.
 Sec. 208. Special selection boards; correction of errors.
 Sec. 209. Prohibition of certain involuntary administrative separations.
 Sec. 210. Major acquisitions.
 Sec. 211. Advance procurement funding.
 Sec. 212. Minor construction.
 Sec. 213. Capital investment plan and annual list of projects to Congress.
 Sec. 214. Aircraft accident investigations.
 Sec. 215. Coast Guard Auxiliary enrollment eligibility.
 Sec. 216. Repeals.
 Sec. 217. Technical corrections to title 14.
 Sec. 218. Acquisition workforce expedited hiring authority.
 Sec. 219. Renewal of temporary early retirement authority.
 Sec. 220. Response Boat-Medium procurement.
 Sec. 221. National Security Cutters.
 Sec. 222. Coast Guard polar icebreakers.

TITLE III—SHIPPING AND NAVIGATION

- Sec. 301. Identification of actions to enable qualified United States flag capacity to meet national defense requirements.
 Sec. 302. Limitation of liability for non-Federal vessel traffic service operators.
 Sec. 303. Survival craft.
 Sec. 304. Classification societies.
 Sec. 305. Dockside examinations.
 Sec. 306. Authority to extend the duration of medical certificates.
 Sec. 307. Clarification of restrictions on American Fisheries Act vessels.
 Sec. 308. Investigations by Secretary.
 Sec. 309. Penalties.
 Sec. 310. United States Committee on the Marine Transportation System.
 Sec. 311. Technical correction to title 46.
 Sec. 312. Deepwater ports.

TITLE IV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION AUTHORIZATION

- Sec. 401. Short title.
 Sec. 402. Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the merchant marine for fiscal year 2013.
 Sec. 403. Maritime environmental and technical assistance.
 Sec. 404. Property for instructional purposes.
 Sec. 405. Short sea transportation.
 Sec. 406. Limitation of National Defense Reserve Fleet vessels to those over 1,500 gross tons.
 Sec. 407. Transfer of vessels to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.
 Sec. 408. Clarification of heading.
 Sec. 409. Mission of the Maritime Administration.
 Sec. 410. Amendments relating to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.
 Sec. 411. Requirement for barge design.
 Sec. 412. Container-on-barge transportation.
 Sec. 413. Department of Defense national strategic ports study and Comptroller General studies and reports on strategic ports.

- Sec. 414. Maritime workforce study.
 Sec. 415. Maritime Administration vessel recycling contract award practices.

TITLE V—PIRACY

- Sec. 501. Short title.
 Sec. 502. Training for use of force against piracy.
 Sec. 503. Security of Government-impelled cargo.
 Sec. 504. Actions taken to protect foreign-flagged vessels from piracy.

TITLE VI—MARINE DEBRIS

- Sec. 601. Short title.
 Sec. 602. Short title amendment; references.
 Sec. 603. Purpose.
 Sec. 604. NOAA Marine Debris Program.
 Sec. 605. Repeal of obsolete provisions.
 Sec. 606. Coordination.
 Sec. 607. Confidentiality of submitted information.
 Sec. 608. Definitions.
 Sec. 609. Severe marine debris event determination.

TITLE VII—MISCELLANEOUS

- Sec. 701. Distant water tuna fleet.
 Sec. 702. Technical corrections.
 Sec. 703. Extension of moratorium.
 Sec. 704. Notice of arrival.
 Sec. 705. Waivers.
 Sec. 706. National Response Center notification requirements.
 Sec. 707. Vessel determinations.
 Sec. 708. Mille Lacs Lake, Minnesota.
 Sec. 709. Transportation Worker Identification Credential process reform.
 Sec. 710. Investment amount.
 Sec. 711. Integrated cross-border maritime law enforcement operations between the United States and Canada.
 Sec. 712. Bridge permits.
 Sec. 713. Tonnage of *Aqueos Acadian*.
 Sec. 714. Navigability determination.
 Sec. 715. Coast Guard housing.
 Sec. 716. Assessment of needs for additional Coast Guard presence in high-latitude regions.
 Sec. 717. Potential Place of Refuge.
 Sec. 718. Merchant mariner medical evaluation program.
 Sec. 719. Determinations.
 Sec. 720. Impediments to the United States-flag registry.
 Sec. 721. Arctic deepwater seaport.
 Sec. 722. Risk assessment of transporting Canadian oil sands.

TITLE I—AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are authorized to be appropriated for each of fiscal years 2013 and 2014 for necessary expenses of the Coast Guard as follows:

- (1) For the operation and maintenance of the Coast Guard—
 (A) \$6,882,645,000 for fiscal year 2013; and
 (B) \$6,981,036,000 for fiscal year 2014;
 of which \$24,500,000 is authorized each fiscal year to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to carry out the purposes of section 1012(a)(5) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(5)).
 (2) For the acquisition, construction, rebuilding, and improvement of aids to navigation, shore and offshore facilities, vessels, and aircraft, including equipment related thereto—
 (A) \$1,545,312,000 for fiscal year 2013; and
 (B) \$1,546,448,000 for fiscal year 2014;
 to remain available until expended and of which \$20,000,000 is authorized each fiscal year to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to carry out the purposes of section 1012(a)(5) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(5)).
 (3) For the Coast Guard Reserve program, including personnel and training costs, equipment, and services—

- (A) \$138,111,000 for fiscal year 2013; and
 (B) \$140,016,000 for fiscal year 2014.

(4) For environmental compliance and restoration of Coast Guard vessels, aircraft, and facilities (other than parts and equipment associated with operation and maintenance)—

- (A) \$16,699,000 for fiscal year 2013; and
 (B) \$16,701,000 for fiscal year 2014;
 to remain available until expended.

(5) To the Commandant of the Coast Guard for research, development, test, and evaluation of technologies, materials, and human factors directly related to improving the performance of the Coast Guard's mission with respect to search and rescue, aids to navigation, marine safety, marine environmental protection, enforcement of laws and treaties, ice operations, oceanographic research, and defense readiness—

- (A) \$19,848,000 for fiscal year 2013; and
 (B) \$19,890,000 for fiscal year 2014.

(6) For alteration or removal of bridges over navigable waters of the United States constituting obstructions to navigation, and for personnel and administrative costs associated with the Alteration of Bridges Program—

- (A) \$16,000,000 for fiscal year 2013; and
 (B) \$16,000,000 for fiscal year 2014.

SEC. 102. AUTHORIZED LEVELS OF MILITARY STRENGTH AND TRAINING.

(a) ACTIVE DUTY STRENGTH.—The Coast Guard is authorized an end-of-year strength for active duty personnel of 47,000 for each of fiscal years 2013 and 2014.

(b) MILITARY TRAINING STUDENT LOADS.—The Coast Guard is authorized average military training student loads for each of fiscal years 2013 and 2014 as follows:

- (1) For recruit and special training, 2,500 student years.
 (2) For flight training, 165 student years.
 (3) For professional training in military and civilian institutions, 350 student years.
 (4) For officer acquisition, 1,200 student years.

TITLE II—COAST GUARD

SEC. 201. INTERFERENCE WITH COAST GUARD TRANSMISSIONS.

Section 88 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) An individual who knowingly and willfully operates a device with the intention of interfering with the broadcast or reception of a radio, microwave, or other signal (including a signal from a global positioning system) transmitted, retransmitted, or augmented by the Coast Guard for the purpose of maritime safety is—

- “(1) guilty of a class E felony; and
 “(2) subject to a civil penalty of not more than \$1,000 per day for each violation.”.

SEC. 202. COAST GUARD AUTHORITY TO OPERATE AND MAINTAIN COAST GUARD ASSETS.

Section 93 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF COAST GUARD ASSETS AND FACILITIES.—All authority, including programmatic budget authority, for the operation and maintenance of Coast Guard vessels, aircraft, systems, aids to navigation, infrastructure, and other assets or facilities shall be allocated to and vested in the Coast Guard and the department in which the Coast Guard is operating.”.

SEC. 203. LIMITATION ON EXPENDITURES.

Section 149(d) of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) The amount of funds used under this subsection may not exceed \$100,000 in any fiscal year.”.

SEC. 204. ACADEMY PAY, ALLOWANCES, AND EMOLUMENTS.

Section 195 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by striking “person” each place it appears and inserting “foreign national”; and
- (2) by striking “pay and allowances” each place it appears and inserting “pay, allowances, and emoluments”.

SEC. 205. POLICY ON SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Chapter 9 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 200. Policy on sexual harassment and sexual violence

“(a) **REQUIRED POLICY.**—The Commandant of the Coast Guard shall direct the Superintendent of the Coast Guard Academy to prescribe a policy on sexual harassment and sexual violence applicable to the cadets and other personnel of the Academy.

“(b) **MATTERS TO BE SPECIFIED IN POLICY.**—The policy on sexual harassment and sexual violence under this section shall include specification of the following:

“(1) Programs to promote awareness of the incidence of rape, acquaintance rape, and other sexual offenses of a criminal nature that involve cadets or other Academy personnel.

“(2) Information about how the Coast Guard and the Academy will protect the confidentiality of victims of sexual harassment or sexual violence, including how any records, statistics, or reports intended for public release will be formatted such that the confidentiality of victims is not jeopardized.

“(3) Procedures that cadets and other Academy personnel should follow in the case of an occurrence of sexual harassment or sexual violence, including—

“(A) if the victim chooses to report an occurrence of sexual harassment or sexual violence, a specification of the person or persons to whom the alleged offense should be reported and options for confidential reporting, including written information to be given to victims that explains how the Coast Guard and the Academy will protect the confidentiality of victims;

“(B) a specification of any other person whom the victim should contact; and

“(C) procedures on the preservation of evidence potentially necessary for proof of criminal sexual assault.

“(4) Procedures for disciplinary action in cases of criminal sexual assault involving a cadet or other Academy personnel.

“(5) Sanctions authorized to be imposed in a substantiated case of sexual harassment or sexual violence involving a cadet or other Academy personnel, including with respect to rape, acquaintance rape, or other criminal sexual offense, whether forcible or nonforcible.

“(6) Required training on the policy for all cadets and other Academy personnel who process allegations of sexual harassment or sexual violence involving a cadet or other Academy personnel.

“(c) **ASSESSMENT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commandant shall direct the Superintendent to conduct at the Academy during each Academy program year an assessment to determine the effectiveness of the policies of the Academy with respect to sexual harassment and sexual violence involving cadets or other Academy personnel.

“(2) **BIENNIAL SURVEY.**—For the assessment at the Academy under paragraph (1) with respect to an Academy program year that begins in an odd-numbered calendar year, the Superintendent shall conduct a survey of cadets and other Academy personnel—

“(A) to measure—

“(i) the incidence, during that program year, of sexual harassment and sexual violence events, on or off the Academy reservation, that have been reported to an official of the Academy; and

“(ii) the incidence, during that program year, of sexual harassment and sexual violence events, on or off the Academy reservation, that have not been reported to an official of the Academy; and

“(B) to assess the perceptions of the cadets and other Academy personnel with respect to—

“(i) the Academy’s policies, training, and procedures on sexual harassment and sexual violence involving cadets or other Academy personnel;

“(ii) the enforcement of such policies;

“(iii) the incidence of sexual harassment and sexual violence involving cadets or other Academy personnel; and

“(iv) any other issues relating to sexual harassment and sexual violence involving cadets or other Academy personnel.

“(d) **REPORT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commandant shall direct the Superintendent to submit to the Commandant a report on sexual harassment and sexual violence involving cadets or other Academy personnel for each Academy program year.

“(2) **REPORT SPECIFICATIONS.**—Each report under paragraph (1) shall include, for the Academy program year covered by the report, the following:

“(A) The number of sexual assaults, rapes, and other sexual offenses involving cadets or other Academy personnel that have been reported to Academy officials during the Academy program year and, of those reported cases, the number that have been substantiated.

“(B) A plan for the actions that are to be taken in the following Academy program year regarding prevention of and response to sexual harassment and sexual violence involving cadets or other Academy personnel.

“(3) **BIENNIAL SURVEY.**—Each report under paragraph (1) for an Academy program year that begins in an odd-numbered calendar year shall include the results of the survey conducted in that Academy program year under subsection (c)(2).

“(4) **TRANSMISSION OF REPORT.**—The Commandant shall transmit each report received by the Commandant under this subsection, together with the Commandant’s comments on the report, to—

“(A) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate; and

“(B) the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

“(5) **FOCUS GROUPS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For each Academy program year with respect to which the Superintendent is not required to conduct a survey at the Academy under subsection (c)(2), the Commandant shall require focus groups to be conducted at the Academy for the purposes of ascertaining information relating to sexual assault and sexual harassment issues at the Academy.

“(B) **INCLUSION IN REPORTS.**—Information derived from a focus group under subparagraph (A) shall be included in the next transmitted Commandant’s report under this subsection.

“(e) **VICTIM CONFIDENTIALITY.**—To the extent that information collected under the authority of this section is reported or otherwise made available to the public, such information shall be provided in a form that is consistent with applicable privacy protections under Federal law and does not jeopardize the confidentiality of victims.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 9 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 199 the following:

“200. Policy on sexual harassment and sexual violence.”.

SEC. 206. APPOINTMENTS OF PERMANENT COMMISSIONED OFFICERS.

Section 211 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) For the purposes of this section, the term ‘original’, with respect to the appointment of a member of the Coast Guard, refers to that member’s most recent appointment in the Coast Guard that is neither a promotion nor a demotion.”.

SEC. 207. SELECTION BOARDS; OATH OF MEMBERS.

Section 254 of title 14, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 254. Selection boards; oath of members

“Each member of a selection board shall swear—

“(1) that the member will, without prejudice or partiality, and having in view both the special fitness of officers and the efficiency of the Coast Guard, perform the duties imposed upon the member; and

“(2) an oath in accordance with section 635.”.

SEC. 208. SPECIAL SELECTION BOARDS; CORRECTION OF ERRORS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 11 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 262 the following:

“§ 263. Special selection boards; correction of errors

“(a) **OFFICERS NOT CONSIDERED DUE TO ADMINISTRATIVE ERROR.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If the Secretary determines that as the result of an administrative error—

“(A) an officer or former officer was not considered for selection for promotion by a selection board convened under section 251; or

“(B) the name of an officer or former officer was not placed on an all-fully-qualified-officers list;

the Secretary shall convene a special selection board to determine whether such officer or former officer should be recommended for promotion and such officer or former officer shall not be considered to have failed of selection for promotion prior to the consideration of the special selection board.

“(2) **EFFECT OF FAILURE TO RECOMMEND FOR PROMOTION.**—If a special selection board convened under paragraph (1) does not recommend for promotion an officer or former officer, whose grade is below the grade of captain and whose name was referred to that board for consideration, the officer or former officer shall be considered to have failed of selection for promotion.

“(b) **OFFICERS CONSIDERED BUT NOT SELECTED; MATERIAL ERROR.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of an officer or former officer who was eligible for promotion, was considered for selection for promotion by a selection board convened under section 251, and was not selected for promotion by that board, the Secretary may convene a special selection board to determine whether the officer or former officer should be recommended for promotion, if the Secretary determines that—

“(A) an action of the selection board that considered the officer or former officer—

“(i) was contrary to law in a matter material to the decision of the board; or

“(ii) involved material error of fact or material administrative error; or

“(B) the selection board that considered the officer or former officer did not have before it for consideration material information.

“(2) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO RECOMMEND FOR PROMOTION.—If a special selection board convened under paragraph (1) does not recommend for promotion an officer or former officer, whose grade is that of commander or below and whose name was referred to that board for consideration, the officer or former officer shall be considered—

“(A) to have failed of selection for promotion with respect to the board that considered the officer or former officer prior to the consideration of the special selection board; and

“(B) to incur no additional failure of selection for promotion as a result of the action of the special selection board.

“(C) REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIAL SELECTION BOARDS.—Each special selection board convened under this section shall—

“(1) be composed in accordance with section 252 and the members of the board shall be required to swear the oaths described in section 254;

“(2) consider the record of an applicable officer or former officer as that record, if corrected, would have appeared to the selection board that should have considered or did consider the officer or former officer prior to the consideration of the special selection board and that record shall be compared with a sampling of the records of—

“(A) those officers of the same grade who were recommended for promotion by such prior selection board; and

“(B) those officers of the same grade who were not recommended for promotion by such prior selection board; and

“(3) submit to the Secretary a written report in a manner consistent with sections 260 and 261.

“(d) APPOINTMENT OF OFFICERS RECOMMENDED FOR PROMOTION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—An officer or former officer whose name is placed on a promotion list as a result of the recommendation of a special selection board convened under this section shall be appointed, as soon as practicable, to the next higher grade in accordance with the law and policies that would have been applicable to the officer or former officer had the officer or former officer been recommended for promotion by the selection board that should have considered or did consider the officer or former officer prior to the consideration of the special selection board.

“(2) EFFECT.—An officer or former officer who is promoted to the next higher grade as a result of the recommendation of a special selection board convened under this section shall have, upon such promotion, the same date of rank, the same effective date for the pay and allowances of that grade, and the same position on the active duty promotion list as the officer or former officer would have had if the officer or former officer had been recommended for promotion to that grade by the selection board that should have considered or did consider the officer or former officer prior to the consideration of the special selection board.

“(3) RECORD CORRECTION.—If the report of a special selection board convened under this section, as approved by the President, recommends for promotion to the next higher grade an officer not eligible for promotion or a former officer whose name was referred to the board for consideration, the Secretary may act under section 1552 of title 10 to correct the military record of the officer or former officer to correct an error or remove an injustice resulting from the officer or former officer not being selected for promotion by the selection board that should have considered or did consider the officer or former officer prior to the consideration of the special selection board.

“(e) APPLICATION PROCESS AND TIME LIMITS.—The Secretary shall issue regulations regarding the process by which an officer or former officer may apply to have a matter considered by a special selection board convened under this section, including time limits related to such applications.

“(f) LIMITATION OF OTHER JURISDICTION.—No official or court of the United States shall have authority or jurisdiction over any claim based in any way on the failure of an officer or former officer to be selected for promotion by a selection board convened under section 251, until—

“(1) the claim has been referred to a special selection board convened under this section and acted upon by that board; or

“(2) the claim has been rejected by the Secretary without consideration by a special selection board convened under this section.

“(g) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A court of the United States may review—

“(A) a decision of the Secretary not to convene a special selection board under this section to determine if the court finds that the decision of the Secretary was arbitrary or capricious, not based on substantial evidence, or otherwise contrary to law; and

“(B) an action of a special selection board under this section to determine if the court finds that the action of the special selection board was contrary to law or involved material error of fact or material administrative error.

“(2) REMAND AND RECONSIDERATION.—If, with respect to a review under paragraph (1), a court makes a finding described in subparagraph (A) or (B) of that paragraph, the court shall remand the case to the Secretary and the Secretary shall provide the applicable officer or former officer consideration by a new special selection board convened under this section.

“(h) DESIGNATION OF BOARDS.—The Secretary may designate a selection board convened under section 251 as a special selection board convened under this section. A selection board so designated may function in the capacity of a selection board convened under section 251 and a special selection board convened under this section.”.

(b) SELECTION BOARDS; SUBMISSION OF REPORTS.—Section 261(d) of title 14, United States Code, is amended by striking “selection board” and inserting “selection board, including a special selection board convened under section 263.”.

(c) FAILURE OF SELECTION FOR PROMOTION.—Section 262 of title 14, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 262. Failure of selection for promotion

“An officer, other than an officer serving in the grade of captain, who is, or is senior to, the junior officer in the promotion zone established for his grade under section 256 of this title, fails of selection if he is not selected for promotion by the selection board which considered him, or if having been recommended for promotion by the board, his name is thereafter removed from the report of the board by the President.”.

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 11 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 262 the following:

“263. Special selection boards; correction of errors.”.

(e) APPLICABILITY; RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—

(1) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and the Secretary may convene a special selection board on or after that date under section 263 of title 14, United States Code, with respect to any error or other action for which such a board

may be convened if that error or other action occurred on or after the date that is 1 year before the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Sections 271, 272, and 273 of title 14, United States Code, apply to the activities of—

(A) a selection board convened under section 251 of such title; and

(B) a special selection board convened under section 263 of such title.

SEC. 209. PROHIBITION OF CERTAIN INVOLUNTARY ADMINISTRATIVE SEPARATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 11 of title 14, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting after section 426 the following:

“§ 427. Prohibition of certain involuntary administrative separations

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary may not authorize the involuntary administrative separation of a covered individual based on a determination that the covered individual is unsuitable for deployment or other assignment due to a medical condition of the covered individual considered by a Physical Evaluation Board during an evaluation of the covered individual that resulted in the covered individual being determined to be fit for duty.

“(b) REEVALUATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may require a Physical Evaluation Board to reevaluate any covered individual if the Secretary determines there is reason to believe that a medical condition of the covered individual considered by a Physical Evaluation Board during an evaluation of the covered individual renders the covered individual unsuitable for continued duty.

“(2) RETIREMENTS AND SEPARATIONS.—A covered individual who is determined, based on a reevaluation under paragraph (1), to be unfit to perform the duties of the covered individual's office, grade, rank, or rating may be retired or separated for physical disability under this chapter.

“(c) COVERED INDIVIDUAL DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘covered individual’ means any member of the Coast Guard who has been determined by a Physical Evaluation Board, pursuant to a physical evaluation by that board, to be fit for duty.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 11 of title 14, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting after the item relating to section 426 the following:

“427. Prohibition of certain involuntary administrative separations.”.

SEC. 210. MAJOR ACQUISITIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 15 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 569a. Major acquisitions

“(a) IN GENERAL.—In conjunction with the transmittal by the President to Congress of the budget of the United States for fiscal year 2014 and biennially thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report on the status of all major acquisition programs.

“(b) INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED.—Each report under subsection (a) shall include for each major acquisition program—

“(1) a statement of the Coast Guard's mission needs and performance goals relating to such program, including a justification for any change to those needs and goals subsequent to a report previously submitted under this section;

“(2) a justification explaining how the projected number and capabilities of assets acquired under such program meet applicable mission needs and performance goals;

“(3) an identification of any and all mission hour gaps, accompanied by an explanation of how and when the Coast Guard will close those gaps;

“(4) an identification of any changes with respect to such program, including—

“(A) any changes to the timeline for the acquisition of each new asset and the phase-out of legacy assets; and

“(B) any changes to—

“(i) the costs of new assets or legacy assets for that fiscal year or future fiscal years; or

“(ii) the total acquisition cost;

“(5) a justification explaining how any change to such program fulfills the mission needs and performance goals of the Coast Guard;

“(6) a description of how the Coast Guard is planning for the integration of each new asset acquired under such program into the Coast Guard, including needs related to shore-based infrastructure and human resources;

“(7) an identification of how funds in the applicable fiscal year's budget request will be allocated, including information on the purchase of specific assets;

“(8) a projection of the remaining operational lifespan and life-cycle cost of each legacy asset that also identifies any anticipated resource gaps;

“(9) a detailed explanation of how the costs of legacy assets are being accounted for within such program; and

“(10) an annual performance comparison of new assets to legacy assets.

“(C) ADEQUACY OF ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.—Each report under subsection (a) shall—

“(1) include information on the scope of the acquisition activities to be performed in the next fiscal year and on the adequacy of the current acquisition workforce to meet that anticipated workload;

“(2) specify the number of officers, members, and employees of the Coast Guard currently and planned to be assigned to each position designated under section 562(c) of this subchapter; and

“(3) identify positions that are or will be understaffed and actions that will be taken to correct such understaffing.

“(d) CUTTERS NOT MAINTAINED IN CLASS.—Each report under subsection (a) shall identify which, if any, Coast Guard cutters that have been issued a certificate of classification by the American Bureau of Shipping have not been maintained in class, with an explanation detailing the reasons why the cutters have not been maintained in class.

“(e) MAJOR ACQUISITION PROGRAM DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘major acquisition program’ means an ongoing acquisition undertaken by the Coast Guard with a life-cycle cost estimate greater than or equal to \$300,000,000.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 15 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 569 the following:

“569a. Major acquisitions.”.

(c) REPEALS.—

(1) Section 408(a) of the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2006 (14 U.S.C. 663 note) is repealed.

(2) Title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in section 562, by repealing subsection (e); and

(B) in section 573(c)(3), by repealing subparagraph (B).

SEC. 211. ADVANCE PROCUREMENT FUNDING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 15 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 577. Advance procurement funding

“(a) IN GENERAL.—With respect to any Coast Guard vessel for which amounts are appropriated and any amounts otherwise made available for vessels for the Coast Guard in any fiscal year, the Commandant of the Coast Guard may enter into a contract or place an order, in advance of a contract or order for construction of a vessel, for—

“(1) materials, parts, components, and labor for the vessel;

“(2) the advance construction of parts or components for the vessel;

“(3) protection and storage of materials, parts, or components for the vessel; and

“(4) production planning, design, and other related support services that reduce the overall procurement lead time of the vessel.

“(b) USE OF MATERIALS, PARTS, AND COMPONENTS MANUFACTURED IN THE UNITED STATES.—In entering into contracts and placing orders under subsection (a), the Commandant may give priority to persons that manufacture materials, parts, and components in the United States.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 15 of title 14, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting after the item relating to section 576 the following:

“577. Advance procurement funding.”.

SEC. 212. MINOR CONSTRUCTION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 656 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) MINOR CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the reporting requirements set forth in paragraph (2), each fiscal year the Secretary may expend from amounts made available for the operating expenses of the Coast Guard not more than \$1,500,000 for minor construction and improvement projects at any location.

“(2) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Not later than 90 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report on each project undertaken during the course of the preceding fiscal year for which the amount expended under paragraph (1) exceeded \$500,000.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) HEADING.—Section 656 of title 14, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by striking the section designation and heading and inserting the following:

“§ 656. Use of certain appropriated funds”.

(2) ANALYSIS.—The analysis for chapter 17 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 656 and inserting the following:

“656. Use of certain appropriated funds.”.

SEC. 213. CAPITAL INVESTMENT PLAN AND ANNUAL LIST OF PROJECTS TO CONGRESS.

(a) CAPITAL INVESTMENT PLAN.—Section 663 of title 14, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 663. Capital investment plan

“(a) IN GENERAL.—On the date on which the President submits to Congress a budget pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate—

“(1) a capital investment plan for the Coast Guard that identifies for each capital asset for which appropriations are proposed in that budget—

“(A) the proposed appropriations included in the budget;

“(B) the total estimated cost of completion;

“(C) projected funding levels for each fiscal year for the next 5 fiscal years or until project completion, whichever is earlier;

“(D) an estimated completion date at the projected funding levels; and

“(E) an acquisition program baseline, as applicable; and

“(2) a list of each unfunded priority for the Coast Guard.

“(b) UNFUNDED PRIORITY DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘unfunded priority’ means a program or mission requirement that—

“(1) has not been selected for funding in the applicable proposed budget;

“(2) is necessary to fulfill a requirement associated with an operational need; and

“(3) the Commandant would have recommended for inclusion in the applicable proposed budget had additional resources been available or had the requirement emerged before the budget was submitted.”.

(b) ANNUAL LIST OF PROJECTS TO CONGRESS.—Section 693 of title 14, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 693. Annual list of projects to Congress

“The Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a prioritized list of projects eligible for environmental compliance and restoration funding for each fiscal year concurrent with the President's budget submission for that fiscal year.”.

(c) CLERICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) ANALYSIS FOR CHAPTER 17.—The analysis for chapter 17 of title 14, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by striking the item relating to section 663 and inserting the following:

“663. Capital investment plan.”.

(2) ANALYSIS FOR CHAPTER 19.—The analysis for chapter 19 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 693 and inserting the following:

“693. Annual list of projects to Congress.”.

(3) COAST GUARD AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2010.—Section 918 of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 (14 U.S.C. 663 note), and the item relating to that section in the table of contents in section 1(b) of that Act, are repealed.

SEC. 214. AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 17 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 678. Aircraft accident investigations

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever the Commandant of the Coast Guard conducts an accident investigation of an accident involving an aircraft under the jurisdiction of the Commandant, the records and report of the investigation shall be treated in accordance with this section.

“(b) PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION INFORMATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Commandant, upon request, shall publicly disclose unclassified tapes, scientific reports, and other factual information pertinent to an aircraft accident investigation.

“(2) CONDITIONS.—The Commandant shall only disclose information requested pursuant to paragraph (1) if the Commandant determines—

“(A) that such tapes, reports, or other information would be included within and releasable with the final accident investigation report; and

“(B) that release of such tapes, reports, or other information—

“(i) would not undermine the ability of accident or safety investigators to continue to conduct the investigation; and

“(ii) would not compromise national security.

“(3) **RESTRICTION.**—A disclosure under paragraph (1) may not be made by or through officials with responsibility for, or who are conducting, a safety investigation with respect to the accident.

“(c) **OPINIONS REGARDING CAUSATION OF ACCIDENT.**—Following an aircraft accident referred to in subsection (a)—

“(1) if the evidence surrounding the accident is sufficient for the investigators who conduct the accident investigation to come to an opinion as to the cause or causes of the accident, the final report of the accident investigation shall set forth the opinion of the investigators as to the cause or causes of the accident; and

“(2) if the evidence surrounding the accident is not sufficient for the investigators to come to an opinion as to the cause or causes of the accident, the final report of the accident investigation shall include a description of those factors, if any, that, in the opinion of the investigators, substantially contributed to or caused the accident.

“(d) **USE OF INFORMATION IN CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.**—For purposes of any civil or criminal proceeding arising from an aircraft accident referred to in subsection (a), any opinion of the accident investigators as to the cause of, or the factors contributing to, the accident set forth in the accident investigation report may not be considered as evidence in such proceeding, nor may such report be considered an admission of liability by the United States or by any person referred to in such report.

“(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this section—

“(1) the term ‘accident investigation’ means any form of investigation by Coast Guard personnel of an aircraft accident referred to in subsection (a), other than a safety investigation; and

“(2) the term ‘safety investigation’ means an investigation by Coast Guard personnel of an aircraft accident referred to in subsection (a) that is conducted solely to determine the cause of the accident and to obtain information that may prevent the occurrence of similar accidents.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 17 of title 14, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“678. Aircraft accident investigations.”.

SEC. 215. COAST GUARD AUXILIARY ENROLLMENT ELIGIBILITY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 823 of title 14, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 823. Eligibility; enrollments

“The Auxiliary shall be composed of nationals of the United States, as defined in section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)), and aliens lawfully admitted for permanent residence, as defined in section 101(a)(20) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(20))—

“(1) who—

“(A) are owners, sole or part, of motorboats, yachts, aircraft, or radio stations; or

“(B) by reason of their special training or experience are deemed by the Commandant to be qualified for duty in the Auxiliary; and

“(2) who may be enrolled therein pursuant to applicable regulations.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 23 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 823 and inserting the following:

“823. Eligibility; enrollments.”.

SEC. 216. REPEALS.

(a) **DISTRICT OMBUDSMEN.**—Section 55 of title 14, United States Code, and the item relating to such section in the analysis for chapter 3 of such title, are repealed.

(b) **COOPERATION WITH RESPECT TO AIDS TO AIR NAVIGATION.**—Section 82 of title 14, United States Code, and the item relating to such section in the analysis for chapter 5 of such title, are repealed.

(c) **OCEAN STATIONS.**—Section 90 of title 14, United States Code, and the item relating to such section in the analysis for chapter 5 of such title, are repealed.

(d) **DETAIL OF MEMBERS TO ASSIST FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.**—Section 149(a) of title 14, United States Code, is amended by striking the second and third sentences.

(e) **ADVISORY COMMITTEE.**—Section 193 of title 14, United States Code, and the item relating to such section in the analysis for chapter 9 of such title, are repealed.

(f) **HISTORY FELLOWSHIPS.**—Section 198 of title 14, United States Code, and the item relating to such section in the analysis for chapter 9 of such title, are repealed.

SEC. 217. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO TITLE 14.

Title 14, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(1) by amending chapter 1 to read as follows:

“CHAPTER 1—ESTABLISHMENT AND DUTIES

“Sec.

“1. Establishment of Coast Guard.

“2. Primary duties.

“3. Department in which the Coast Guard operates.

“4. Secretary defined.

“§ 1. Establishment of Coast Guard

“The Coast Guard, established January 28, 1915, shall be a military service and a branch of the armed forces of the United States at all times.

“§ 2. Primary duties

“The Coast Guard shall—

“(1) enforce or assist in the enforcement of all applicable Federal laws on, under, and over the high seas and waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States;

“(2) engage in maritime air surveillance or interdiction to enforce or assist in the enforcement of the laws of the United States;

“(3) administer laws and promulgate and enforce regulations for the promotion of safety of life and property on and under the high seas and waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, covering all matters not specifically delegated by law to some other executive department;

“(4) develop, establish, maintain, and operate, with due regard to the requirements of national defense, aids to maritime navigation, icebreaking facilities, and rescue facilities for the promotion of safety on, under, and over the high seas and waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States;

“(5) pursuant to international agreements, develop, establish, maintain, and operate icebreaking facilities on, under, and over waters other than the high seas and waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States;

“(6) engage in oceanographic research of the high seas and in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States; and

“(7) maintain a state of readiness to function as a specialized service in the Navy in time of war, including the fulfillment of Maritime Defense Zone command responsibilities.

“§ 3. Department in which the Coast Guard operates

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Coast Guard shall be a service in the Department of Homeland Security, except when operating as a service in the Navy.

“(b) **TRANSFERS.**—Upon the declaration of war if Congress so directs in the declaration or when the President directs, the Coast Guard shall operate as a service in the Navy, and shall so continue until the President, by Executive order, transfers the Coast Guard back to the Department of Homeland Security. While operating as a service in the Navy, the Coast Guard shall be subject to the orders of the Secretary of the Navy, who may order changes in Coast Guard operations to render them uniform, to the extent such Secretary deems advisable, with Navy operations.

“(c) **OPERATION AS A SERVICE IN THE NAVY.**—Whenever the Coast Guard operates as a service in the Navy—

“(1) applicable appropriations of the Navy Department shall be available for the expense of the Coast Guard;

“(2) applicable appropriations of the Coast Guard shall be available for transfer to the Navy Department;

“(3) precedence between commissioned officers of corresponding grades in the Coast Guard and the Navy shall be determined by the date of rank stated by their commissions in those grades;

“(4) personnel of the Coast Guard shall be eligible to receive gratuities, medals, and other insignia of honor on the same basis as personnel in the naval service or serving in any capacity with the Navy; and

“(5) the Secretary may place on furlough any officer of the Coast Guard and officers on furlough shall receive one half of the pay to which they would be entitled if on leave of absence, but officers of the Coast Guard Reserve shall not be so placed on furlough.

“§ 4. Secretary defined

“In this title, the term ‘Secretary’ means the Secretary of the respective department in which the Coast Guard is operating.”;

(2) in section 95(c), by striking “of Homeland Security”;

(3) in section 259(c)(1), by striking “After selecting” and inserting “In selecting”;

(4) in section 286a(d), by striking “severance pay” each place it appears and inserting “separation pay”;

(5) in the second sentence of section 290(a), by striking “in the grade of vice admiral” and inserting “in or above the grade of vice admiral”;

(6) in section 516(a), by striking “of Homeland Security”;

(7) by amending section 564 to read as follows:

“§ 564. Prohibition on use of lead systems integrators

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(1) **USE OF LEAD SYSTEMS INTEGRATOR.**—The Commandant may not use a private sector entity as a lead systems integrator.

“(2) **FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION.**—The Commandant shall use full and open competition for any acquisition contract unless otherwise excepted in accordance with Federal acquisition laws and regulations promulgated under those laws, including the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

“(3) **NO EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESS ACT.**—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to supersede or otherwise affect the authorities provided by and under the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.).

“(b) **LIMITATION ON FINANCIAL INTEREST IN SUBCONTRACTORS.**—Neither an entity performing lead systems integrator functions for a Coast Guard acquisition nor a Tier 1

subcontractor for any acquisition may have a financial interest in a subcontractor below the Tier 1 subcontractor level unless—

“(1) the subcontractor was selected by the prime contractor through full and open competition for such procurement;

“(2) the procurement was awarded by an entity performing lead systems integrator functions or a subcontractor through full and open competition;

“(3) the procurement was awarded by a subcontractor through a process over which the entity performing lead systems integrator functions or a Tier 1 subcontractor exercised no control; or

“(4) the Commandant has determined that the procurement was awarded in a manner consistent with Federal acquisition laws and regulations promulgated under those laws, including the Federal Acquisition Regulation.”;

(8) in section 569(a), by striking “and annually thereafter.”;

(9) in the analysis for chapter 17—

(A) by striking the item relating to section 669 and inserting the following:

“669. Telephone installation and charges.”;

and

(B) by striking the item relating to section 674 and inserting the following:

“674. Small boat station rescue capability.”;

(10) in section 666(a), by striking “of Homeland Security” and inserting “of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating”;

(11) in section 673(a)(3), by striking “of Homeland Security (when the Coast Guard is not operating as a service in the Navy)”;

(12) in section 674, by striking “of Homeland Security”;

(13) in section 675(a), by striking “Secretary” and all that follows through “may not” and inserting “Secretary may not”; and

(14) in the first sentence of section 740(d), by striking “that appointment” and inserting “that appointment to the Reserve”.

SEC. 218. ACQUISITION WORKFORCE EXPEDITED HIRING AUTHORITY.

Section 404 of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-281; 124 Stat. 2950) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “as shortage category positions;” and inserting “as positions for which there exists a shortage of candidates or there is a critical hiring need;”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “paragraph” and inserting “section”; and

(B) by striking “2012.” and inserting “2015.”; and

(3) in subsection (c), by striking “section 562(d) of title 14, United States Code, as added by this title,” and inserting “section 569a of title 14, United States Code.”.

SEC. 219. RENEWAL OF TEMPORARY EARLY RETIREMENT AUTHORITY.

For fiscal years 2013 through 2018—

(1) notwithstanding subsection (c)(2)(A) of section 4403 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (10 U.S.C. 1293 note), such section shall apply to the Coast Guard in the same manner and to the same extent it applies to the Department of Defense, except that—

(A) the Secretary of Homeland Security shall implement such section with respect to the Coast Guard and, for purposes of that implementation, shall apply the applicable provisions of title 14, United States Code, relating to retirement of Coast Guard personnel; and

(B) the total number of commissioned officers who retire pursuant to this section may not exceed 200, and the total number of enlisted members who retire pursuant to this section may not exceed 300; and

(2) only appropriations available for necessary expenses for the operation and maintenance of the Coast Guard shall be expended for the retired pay of personnel who retire pursuant to this section.

SEC. 220. RESPONSE BOAT-MEDIUM PROCUREMENT.

(A) REQUIREMENT TO FULFILL APPROVED PROGRAM OF RECORD.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall maintain the schedule and requirements for the total acquisition of 180 boats as specified in the approved program of record for the Response Boat-Medium acquisition program in effect on June 1, 2012.

(b) APPLICABILITY.—Subsection (a) shall not apply on and after the date on which the Commandant submits to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate such documentation as the Coast Guard Major Systems Acquisition Manual requires to justify reducing the approved program of record for Response Boat-Medium to a total acquisition of less than 180 boats.

SEC. 221. NATIONAL SECURITY CUTTERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) MULTIYEAR AUTHORITY.—In fiscal year 2013 and each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating may enter into, in accordance with section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, a multiyear contract for the procurement of Coast Guard National Security Cutters and Government-furnished equipment associated with the National Security Cutter program.

(2) LIMITATION.—The Secretary may not enter into a contract under paragraph (1) until the date that is 30 days after the date the Secretary submits to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a certification that the Secretary has made, with respect to the contract, each of the findings specified under section 2306b(a) of title 10, United States Code, and has done so in accordance with paragraph (3) of this subsection.

(3) DETERMINATION OF SUBSTANTIAL SAVINGS.—For purposes of this section, in conducting an analysis with respect to substantial savings under section 2306b(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary—

(A) may not limit the analysis to a simple percentage-based metric; and

(B) shall employ a full-scale analysis of cost avoidance—

(i) based on a multiyear procurement; and

(ii) taking into account the potential benefit any accrued savings might have for future shipbuilding programs if the cost avoidance savings were subsequently utilized for further ship construction.

(b) CERTIFICATE TO OPERATE.—The Commandant of the Coast Guard may not certify a sixth National Security Cutter as Ready for Operations before the Commandant has—

(1) submitted to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives program execution plans detailing—

(A) how the first 3 National Security Cutters will achieve the goal of 225 days away from homeport in fiscal years following the completion of the Structural Enhancement Drydock Availability of the first 2 National Security Cutters; and

(B) increased aerial coverage to support National Security Cutter operations; and

(2) awarded a contract for detailed design and construction for the Offshore Patrol Cutter.

SEC. 222. COAST GUARD POLAR ICEBREAKERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall conduct a business case analysis of the options for and costs of reactivating and extending the service life of the *Polar Sea* until at least September 30, 2022, to maintain United States polar icebreaking capabilities and fulfill the Coast Guard's high latitude mission needs, as identified in the Coast Guard's July 2010, High Latitude Study Mission Analysis Report, during the Coast Guard's recapitalization of its polar class icebreaker fleet. The analysis shall include—

(1) an assessment of the current condition of the *Polar Sea*;

(2) a determination of the *Polar Sea's* operational capabilities with respect to fulfilling the Coast Guard's high latitude operating requirements if renovated and reactivated;

(3) a detailed estimate of costs with respect to reactivating and extending the service life of the *Polar Sea*;

(4) a life cycle cost estimate with respect to operating and maintaining the *Polar Sea* for the duration of its extended service life; and

(5) a determination of whether it is cost-effective to reactivate the *Polar Sea* compared with other options to provide icebreaking services as part of a strategy to maintain polar icebreaking services.

(b) RESTRICTIONS.—The Secretary shall not remove any part of the *Polar Sea* until the Secretary submits the analysis required under subsection (a).

(c) DEADLINE.—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate the analysis required under subsection (a).

(d) REQUIREMENT FOR REACTIVATION OF *POLAR SEA*.—

(1) SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PLAN.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines based on the analysis required under subsection (a) that it is cost-effective to reactivate the *Polar Sea* compared with other options to provide icebreaking services, the Secretary shall develop a service life extension plan for such reactivation, including a timetable for such reactivation.

(B) UTILIZATION OF EXISTING RESOURCES.—In the development of the plan required under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall utilize to the greatest extent practicable recent plans, studies, assessments, and analyses regarding the Coast Guard's icebreakers and high latitude mission needs and operating requirements.

(C) SUBMISSION.—The Secretary shall submit the plan required under subparagraph (A), if so required, to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate not later than 180 days after the submission of the analysis required under subsection (a).

(2) DECOMMISSIONING; BRIDGING STRATEGY.—If the analysis required under subsection (a) is submitted in accordance with subsection (c) and the Secretary determines under subsection (a)(5) that it is not cost-effective to reactivate the *Polar Sea*, then not later than 180 days after the date on which the analysis is required to be submitted under subsection (c) the Commandant of the Coast Guard—

(A) may decommission the *Polar Sea*; and

(B) shall submit a bridging strategy for maintaining the Coast Guard's polar icebreaking services until at least September 30, 2022, to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of

Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

(e) **RESTRICTION.**—Except as provided in subsection (d), the Commandant of the Coast Guard may not—

(1) transfer, relinquish ownership of, dismantle, or recycle the *Polar Sea* or *Polar Star*;

(2) change the current homeport of either of the vessels; or

(3) expend any funds—

(A) for any expenses directly or indirectly associated with the decommissioning of either of the vessels, including expenses for dock use or other goods and services;

(B) for any personnel expenses directly or indirectly associated with the decommissioning of either of the vessels, including expenses for a decommissioning officer;

(C) for any expenses associated with a decommissioning ceremony for either of the vessels;

(D) to appoint a decommissioning officer to be affiliated with either of the vessels; or

(E) to place either of the vessels in inactive status.

(f) **DEFINITION.**—For purposes of this section—

(1) the term “*Polar Sea*” means Coast Guard Cutter *Polar Sea* (WAGB 11); and

(2) the term “*Polar Star*” means Coast Guard Cutter *Polar Star* (WAGB 10).

(g) **REPEAL.**—This section shall cease to have effect on September 30, 2022.

TITLE III—SHIPPING AND NAVIGATION

SEC. 301. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIONS TO ENABLE QUALIFIED UNITED STATES FLAG CAPACITY TO MEET NATIONAL DEFENSE REQUIREMENTS.

Section 501(b) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “When the head” and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—When the head”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **DETERMINATIONS.**—The Maritime Administrator shall—

“(A) for each determination referred to in paragraph (1), identify any actions that could be taken to enable qualified United States flag capacity to meet national defense requirements;

“(B) provide notice of each such determination to the Secretary of Transportation and the head of the agency referred to in paragraph (1) for which the determination is made; and

“(C) publish each such determination on the Internet Web site of the Department of Transportation not later than 48 hours after notice of the determination is provided to the Secretary of Transportation.

“(3) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The head of an agency referred to in paragraph (1) shall notify the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate—

“(i) of any request for a waiver of the navigation or vessel-inspection laws under this section not later than 48 hours after receiving such a request; and

“(ii) of the issuance of any such waiver not later than 48 hours after such issuance.

“(B) **CONTENTS.**—Such head of an agency shall include in each notification under subparagraph (A)(ii) an explanation of—

“(i) the reasons the waiver is necessary; and

“(ii) the reasons actions referred to in paragraph (2)(A) are not feasible.”.

SEC. 302. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY FOR NON-FEDERAL VESSEL TRAFFIC SERVICE OPERATORS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2307 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the section designation and heading and inserting the following:

“**§2307. Limitation of liability for Coast Guard Vessel Traffic Service pilots and non-Federal vessel traffic service operators**”;

(2) by striking “Any pilot” and inserting the following:

“(a) **COAST GUARD VESSEL TRAFFIC SERVICE PILOTS.**—Any pilot”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) **NON-FEDERAL VESSEL TRAFFIC SERVICE OPERATORS.**—An entity operating a non-Federal vessel traffic information service or advisory service pursuant to a duly executed written agreement with the Coast Guard, and any pilot acting on behalf of such entity, is not liable for damages caused by or related to information, advice, or communication assistance provided by such entity or pilot while so operating or acting unless the acts or omissions of such entity or pilot constitute gross negligence or willful misconduct.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 23 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 2307 and inserting the following:

“2307. Limitation of liability for Coast Guard Vessel Traffic Service pilots and non-Federal vessel traffic service operators.”.

SEC. 303. SURVIVAL CRAFT.

Section 3104 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b) by striking “January 1, 2015” and inserting “the date that is 30 months after the date on which the report described in subsection (c) is submitted”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report on the carriage of survival craft that ensures no part of an individual is immersed in water, which shall include—

“(1) the number of casualties, by vessel type and area of operation, as the result of immersion in water reported to the Coast Guard for each of fiscal years 1991 through 2011;

“(2) the effect the carriage of such survival craft has on—

“(A) vessel safety, including stability and safe navigation; and

“(B) survivability of individuals, including persons with disabilities, children, and the elderly;

“(3) the efficacy of alternative safety systems, devices, or measures;

“(4) the cost and cost effectiveness of requiring the carriage of such survival craft on vessels; and

“(5) the number of small businesses and nonprofit entities that would be affected by requiring the carriage of such survival craft on vessels.”.

SEC. 304. CLASSIFICATION SOCIETIES.

Section 3316 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(2)—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A);

(B) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) if the Secretary of State determines that the foreign classification society does not provide comparable services in or for a state sponsor of terrorism.”;

(2) in subsection (d)(2)—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A);

(B) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) the Secretary of State determines that the foreign classification society does not provide comparable services in or for a state sponsor of terrorism.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) The Secretary shall revoke a delegation made to a classification society under subsection (b) or (d) if the Secretary of State determines that the classification society provides comparable services in or for a state sponsor of terrorism.

“(f) In this section, the term ‘state sponsor of terrorism’ means any country the government of which the Secretary of State has determined has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism pursuant to section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (as continued in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act), section 620A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, section 40 of the Arms Export Control Act, or any other provision of law.”.

SEC. 305. DOCKSIDE EXAMINATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4502(f) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “at least once every 2 years” and inserting “at least once every 5 years”; and

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) shall complete the first dockside examination of a vessel under this subsection not later than October 15, 2015.”.

(b) **DATABASE.**—Section 4502(g)(4) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking “a publicly accessible” and inserting “an”.

(c) **CERTIFICATION.**—Section 4503 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking “July 1, 2012.” and inserting “July 1, 2013.”;

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1)(B), by striking “July 1, 2012,” and inserting “July 1, 2013.”; and

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “July 1, 2012,” each place it appears and inserting “July 1, 2013.”; and

(ii) by striking “substantial change to the dimension of or type of vessel” and inserting “major conversion”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) For the purposes of this section, the term ‘built’ means, with respect to a vessel, that the vessel’s construction has reached any of the following stages:

“(1) The vessel’s keel is laid.

“(2) Construction identifiable with the vessel has begun and assembly of that vessel has commenced comprising of at least 50 metric tons or one percent of the estimated mass of all structural material, whichever is less.”.

(d) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Chapter 51 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 5102(b)(3), by striking “July 1, 2012.” and inserting “July 1, 2013.”; and

(2) in section 5103(c)—

(A) by striking “July 1, 2012,” each place it appears and inserting “July 1, 2013.”; and

(B) by striking “substantial change to the dimension of or type of the vessel” and inserting “major conversion”.

SEC. 306. AUTHORITY TO EXTEND THE DURATION OF MEDICAL CERTIFICATES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 75 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 7508. Authority to extend the duration of medical certificates

“(a) GRANTING OF EXTENSIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may extend for not more than one year a medical certificate issued to an individual holding a license, merchant mariner’s document, or certificate of registry issued under chapter 71 or 73 if the Secretary determines that the extension is required to enable the Coast Guard to eliminate a backlog in processing applications for medical certificates or is in response to a national emergency or natural disaster.

“(b) MANNER OF EXTENSION.—An extension under this section may be granted to individual seamen or a specifically identified group of seamen.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 75 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“7508. Authority to extend the duration of medical certificates.”.

SEC. 307. CLARIFICATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON AMERICAN FISHERIES ACT VESSELS.

Section 12113(d)(2) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “that the regional” and inserting the following: “that—

“(i) the regional”;

(B) by striking the semicolon and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(ii) in the case of a vessel listed in paragraphs (1) through (20) of section 208(e) of the American Fisheries Act (title II of division C of Public Law 105-277; 112 Stat. 2681-625 et seq.), the vessel is neither participating in nor eligible to participate in the non-AFA trawl catcher processor subsector (as that term is defined under section 219(a)(7) of the Department of Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108-447; 118 Stat. 2887));” and

(2) by amending subparagraph (C) to read as follows:

“(C) the vessel—

“(i) is either a rebuilt vessel or replacement vessel under section 208(g) of the American Fisheries Act (title II of division C of Public Law 105-277; 112 Stat. 2681-627);

“(ii) is eligible for a fishery endorsement under this section; and

“(iii) in the case of a vessel listed in paragraphs (1) through (20) of section 208(e) of the American Fisheries Act (title II of division C of Public Law 105-277; 112 Stat. 2681-625 et seq.), is neither participating in nor eligible to participate in the non-AFA trawl catcher processor subsector (as that term is defined under section 219(a)(7) of the Department of Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108-447; 118 Stat. 2887); or”.

SEC. 308. INVESTIGATIONS BY SECRETARY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 121 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 12139 the following:

“§ 12140. Investigations by Secretary

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct investigations and inspections regarding compliance with this chapter and regulations prescribed under this chapter.

“(b) AUTHORITY TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For the purposes of any investigation conducted under this section, the Secretary may issue a subpoena to require the attendance of a witness or the production of documents or other evidence relevant to the matter under investigation if—

“(A) before the issuance of the subpoena, the Secretary requests a determination by the Attorney General as to whether the subpoena—

“(i) is reasonable; and

“(ii) will interfere with a criminal investigation; and

“(B) the Attorney General—

“(i) determines that the subpoena is reasonable and will not interfere with a criminal investigation; or

“(ii) fails to make a determination with respect to the subpoena before the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary makes a request under subparagraph (A) with respect to the subpoena.

“(2) ENFORCEMENT.—In the case of a refusal to obey a subpoena issued to any person under this section, the Secretary may invoke the aid of the appropriate district court of the United States to compel compliance.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 121 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 12139 the following:

“12140. Investigations by Secretary.”.

SEC. 309. PENALTIES.

Section 12151(a) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “A person that violates” and inserting the following:

“(1) CIVIL PENALTIES.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), a person that violates”;

(2) by striking “\$10,000” and inserting “\$15,000”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) ACTIVITIES INVOLVING MOBILE OFFSHORE DRILLING UNITS.—A person that violates section 12111(d) or a regulation prescribed under that section is liable to the United States Government for a civil penalty in an amount that is \$25,000 or twice the charter rate of the vessel involved in the violation (as determined by the Secretary), whichever is greater. Each day of a continuing violation is a separate violation.”.

SEC. 310. UNITED STATES COMMITTEE ON THE MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 555 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 55502. United States Committee on the Marine Transportation System

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a United States Committee on the Marine Transportation System (in this section referred to as the ‘Committee’).

“(b) PURPOSE.—The Committee shall serve as a Federal interagency coordinating committee for the purpose of—

“(1) assessing the adequacy of the marine transportation system (including ports, waterways, channels, and their intermodal connections);

“(2) promoting the integration of the marine transportation system with other modes of transportation and other uses of the marine environment; and

“(3) coordinating, improving the coordination of, and making recommendations with regard to Federal policies that impact the marine transportation system.

“(c) MEMBERSHIP.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Committee shall consist of—

“(A) the Secretary of Transportation;

“(B) the Secretary of Defense;

“(C) the Secretary of Homeland Security;

“(D) the Secretary of Commerce;

“(E) the Secretary of the Treasury;

“(F) the Secretary of State;

“(G) the Secretary of the Interior;

“(H) the Secretary of Agriculture;

“(I) the Attorney General;

“(J) the Secretary of Labor;

“(K) the Secretary of Energy;

“(L) the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency;

“(M) the Chairman of the Federal Maritime Commission;

“(N) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff; and

“(O) the head of any other Federal agency who a majority of the voting members of the Committee determines can further the purpose and activities of the Committee.

“(2) NONVOTING MEMBERS.—The Committee may include as many nonvoting members as a majority of the voting members of the Committee determines is appropriate to further the purpose and activities of the Committee.

“(d) SUPPORT.—

“(1) COORDINATING BOARD.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There is hereby established, within the Committee, a Coordinating Board. Each member of the Committee may select a senior level representative to serve on such Board. The Board shall assist the Committee in carrying out its purpose and activities.

“(B) CHAIR.—There shall be a Chair of the Coordinating Board. The Chair of the Coordinating Board shall rotate each year among the Secretary of Transportation, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Secretary of Commerce. The order of rotation shall be determined by a majority of the voting members of the Committee.

“(2) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—The Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Secretary of Commerce, shall appoint an Executive Director of the Committee.

“(3) TRANSFERS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the head of a Federal department or agency who is a member of the Committee may—

“(A) provide, on a reimbursable or nonreimbursable basis, facilities, equipment, services, personnel, and other support services to carry out the activities of the Committee; and

“(B) transfer funds to another Federal department or agency in order to carry out the activities of the Committee.

“(e) MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM ASSESSMENT AND STRATEGY.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act and every 5 years thereafter, the Committee shall provide to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report that includes—

“(1) steps taken to implement actions recommended in the document titled ‘National Strategy for the Marine Transportation System: A Framework for Action’ and dated July 2008;

“(2) an assessment of the condition of the marine transportation system;

“(3) a discussion of the challenges the marine transportation system faces in meeting user demand, including estimates of investment levels required to ensure system infrastructure meets such demand;

“(4) a plan, with recommended actions, for improving the marine transportation system to meet current and future challenges; and

“(5) steps taken to implement actions recommended in previous reports required under this subsection.

“(f) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out its purpose and activities, the Committee may consult with marine transportation system-related advisory committees, interested parties, and the public.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 555 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“55502. United States Committee on the Marine Transportation System.”.

SEC. 311. TECHNICAL CORRECTION TO TITLE 46.

Section 7507(a) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking “73” each place it appears and inserting “71”.

SEC. 312. DEEPWATER PORTS.

Section 3(9)(A) of the Deepwater Port Act of 1974 (33 U.S.C. 1502(9)(A)) is amended by inserting “or from” before “any State”.

TITLE IV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION AUTHORIZATION**SEC. 401. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Maritime Administration Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013”.

SEC. 402. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY ASPECTS OF THE MERCHANT MARINE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2013, to be available without fiscal year limitation if so provided in appropriations Acts, for the use of the Department of Transportation for Maritime Administration programs associated with maintaining national security aspects of the merchant marine, as follows:

(1) For expenses necessary for operations of the United States Merchant Marine Academy, \$77,253,000, of which—

(A) \$67,253,000 shall remain available until expended for Academy operations; and

(B) \$10,000,000 shall remain available until expended for capital asset management at the Academy.

(2) For expenses necessary to support the State maritime academies, \$16,045,000, of which—

(A) \$2,400,000 shall remain available until expended for student incentive payments;

(B) \$2,545,000 shall remain available until expended for direct payments to such academies; and

(C) \$11,100,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of State maritime academy training vessels.

(3) For expenses necessary to dispose of vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet, \$12,717,000, to remain available until expended.

(4) For expenses to maintain and preserve a United States-flag merchant marine to serve the national security needs of the United States under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, \$186,000,000.

(5) For the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5))) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, \$3,750,000, all of which shall remain available until expended for administrative expenses of the program.

SEC. 403. MARITIME ENVIRONMENTAL AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 503 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 50307. Maritime environmental and technical assistance program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Transportation may engage in the environmental study, research, development, assessment, and deployment of emerging marine technologies and practices related to the marine transportation system through the use of public vessels under the control of the Maritime Administration or private vessels under United States registry, and through partnerships and cooperative efforts with academic, public, private, and nongovernmental entities and facilities.

“(b) COMPONENTS.—Under this section, the Secretary of Transportation may—

“(1) identify, study, evaluate, test, demonstrate, or improve emerging marine technologies and practices that are likely to achieve environmental improvements by—

“(A) reducing air emissions, water emissions, or other ship discharges;

“(B) increasing fuel economy or the use of alternative fuels and alternative energy (including the use of shore power); or

“(C) controlling aquatic invasive species; and

“(2) coordinate with the Environmental Protection Agency, the Coast Guard, and other Federal, State, local, or tribal agencies, as appropriate.

“(c) COORDINATION.—Coordination under subsection (b)(2) may include—

“(1) activities that are associated with the development or approval of validation and testing regimes; and

“(2) certification or validation of emerging technologies or practices that demonstrate significant environmental benefits.

“(d) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary of Transportation may accept gifts, or enter into cooperative agreements, contracts, or other agreements with academic, public, private, and nongovernmental entities and facilities to carry out the activities authorized under subsection (a).”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 503 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 50306 the following:

“50307. Maritime environmental and technical assistance program.”.

SEC. 404. PROPERTY FOR INSTRUCTIONAL PURPOSES.

Section 51103(b) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “SURPLUS”;

(2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may cooperate with and assist the institutions named in paragraph (2) by making vessels, fuel, shipboard equipment, and other marine equipment, owned by the United States Government and determined by the entity having custody and control of such property to be excess or surplus, available to those institutions for instructional purposes, by gift, loan, sale, lease, or charter on terms and conditions the Secretary considers appropriate. The consent of the Secretary of the Navy shall be obtained with respect to any property from National Defense Reserve Fleet vessels, if such vessels are either Ready Reserve Force vessels or other National Defense Reserve Fleet vessels determined to be of sufficient value to the Navy to warrant their further preservation and retention.”; and

(3) in paragraph (2)(C), by inserting “or a training institution that is an instrumentality of a State, the District of Columbia, a territory or possession of the United States, or a unit of local government thereof” after “a nonprofit training institution”.

SEC. 405. SHORT SEA TRANSPORTATION.

(a) PURPOSE.—Section 55601 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “landside congestion.” and inserting “landside congestion or to promote short sea transportation.”;

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “coastal corridors” and inserting “coastal corridors or to promote short sea transportation”;

(3) in subsection (d), by striking “that the project may” and all that follows through the end of the subsection and inserting “that the project uses documented vessels and—

“(1) mitigates landside congestion; or

“(2) promotes short sea transportation.”; and

(4) in subsection (f), by striking “shall” each place it appears and inserting “may”.

(b) DOCUMENTATION.—Section 55605 is amended in the matter preceding paragraph

(1) by striking “by vessel” and inserting “by a documented vessel”.

SEC. 406. LIMITATION OF NATIONAL DEFENSE RESERVE FLEET VESSELS TO THOSE OVER 1,500 GROSS TONS.

Section 57101(a) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting “of 1,500 gross tons or more or such other vessels as the Secretary of Transportation determines are appropriate” after “Administration”.

SEC. 407. TRANSFER OF VESSELS TO THE NATIONAL DEFENSE RESERVE FLEET.

Section 57101 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c) AUTHORITY OF FEDERAL ENTITIES TO TRANSFER VESSELS.—All Federal entities are authorized to transfer vessels to the National Defense Reserve Fleet without reimbursement subject to the approval of the Secretary of Transportation and the Secretary of the Navy with respect to Ready Reserve Force vessels and the Secretary of Transportation with respect to all other vessels.”.

SEC. 408. CLARIFICATION OF HEADING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The section designation and heading for section 57103 of title 46, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 57103. Donation of nonretention vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 571 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 57103 and inserting the following:

“57103. Donation of nonretention vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet.”.

SEC. 409. MISSION OF THE MARITIME ADMINISTRATION.

Section 109(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading by striking “ORGANIZATION” and inserting “ORGANIZATION AND MISSION”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following: “The mission of the Maritime Administration is to foster, promote, and develop the merchant maritime industry of the United States.”.

SEC. 410. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO THE NATIONAL DEFENSE RESERVE FLEET.

Subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) of section 11(c)(1) of the Merchant Ship Sales Act of 1946 (50 U.S.C. App. 1744(c)(1)) are amended to read as follows:

“(B) activate and conduct sea trials on each vessel at a frequency that is considered by the Secretary to be necessary;

“(C) maintain and adequately crew, as necessary, in an enhanced readiness status those vessels that are scheduled to be activated in 5 or less days;

“(D) locate those vessels that are scheduled to be activated near embarkation ports specified for those vessels; and”.

SEC. 411. REQUIREMENT FOR BARGE DESIGN.

Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Maritime Administration shall complete the design for a containerized, articulated barge, as identified in the dual-use vessel study carried out by the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense, that is able to utilize roll-on/roll-off or load-on/load-off technology in marine highway maritime commerce.

SEC. 412. CONTAINER-ON-BARGE TRANSPORTATION.

(a) ASSESSMENT.—The Administrator of the Maritime Administration shall assess the potential for using container-on-barge transportation in short sea transportation (as such term is defined in section 55605 of title 46, United States Code).

(b) **FACTORS.**—In conducting the assessment under subsection (a), the Administrator shall consider—

(1) the environmental benefits of increasing container-on-barge movements in short sea transportation;

(2) the regional differences in the use of short sea transportation;

(3) the existing programs established at coastal and Great Lakes ports for establishing awareness of deep sea shipping operations;

(4) the mechanisms necessary to ensure that implementation of a plan under subsection (c) will not be inconsistent with anti-trust laws; and

(5) the potential frequency of container-on-barge service at short sea transportation ports.

(c) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—The assessment under subsection (a) may include recommendations for a plan to increase awareness of the potential for use of container-on-barge transportation.

(d) **DEADLINE.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit the assessment required under this section to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 413. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE NATIONAL STRATEGIC PORTS STUDY AND COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDIES AND REPORTS ON STRATEGIC PORTS.

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COMPLETION OF DOD REPORT.**—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should expedite completion of the study of strategic ports in the United States called for in the conference report to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Conference Report 112-329) so that it can be submitted to Congress before July 1, 2013.

(b) **SUBMISSION OF REPORT TO COMPTROLLER GENERAL.**—In addition to submitting the report referred to in subsection (a) to Congress, the Secretary of Defense shall submit the report to the Comptroller General of the United States for consideration under subsection (c).

(c) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDIES AND REPORTS ON STRATEGIC PORTS.**—

(1) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.**—Not later than 90 days after receipt of the report referred to in subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall conduct an assessment of the report and submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a report of such assessment.

(2) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY AND REPORT.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall conduct a study of the Department of Defense's programs and efforts related to the state of strategic ports with respect to the Department's operational and readiness requirements, and report to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate on the findings of such study. The report may include an assessment of—

(A) the extent to which the facilities at strategic ports meet the Department of Defense's requirements;

(B) the extent to which the Department has identified gaps in the ability of existing strategic ports to meet its needs and identified and undertaken efforts to address any gaps; and

(C) the Department's ability to oversee, coordinate, and provide security for military deployments through strategic ports.

(d) **STRATEGIC PORT DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “strategic port” means a United States port designated by the Secretary of Defense as a significant transportation hub important to the readiness and cargo throughput capacity of the Department of Defense.

SEC. 414. MARITIME WORKFORCE STUDY.

(a) **TRAINING STUDY.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the training needs of the maritime workforce.

(b) **STUDY COMPONENTS.**—The study shall—

(1) analyze the impact of maritime training requirements imposed by domestic and international regulations and conventions, companies, and government agencies that charter or operate vessels;

(2) evaluate the ability of the United States maritime training infrastructure to meet the needs of the maritime industry;

(3) identify trends in maritime training;

(4) compare the training needs of United States mariners with the vocational training and educational assistance programs available from Federal agencies to evaluate the ability of Federal programs to meet the training needs of United States mariners;

(5) include recommendations to enhance the capabilities of the United States maritime training infrastructure; and

(6) include recommendations to assist United States mariners and those entering the maritime profession to achieve the required training.

(c) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report on the results of the study to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 415. MARITIME ADMINISTRATION VESSEL RECYCLING CONTRACT AWARD PRACTICES.

(a) **ASSESSMENT.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an assessment of the source selection procedures and practices used to award the Maritime Administration's National Defense Reserve Fleet vessel recycling contracts.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The assessment under subsection (a) shall include a review of—

(1) whether the Maritime Administration's contract source selection procedures and practices are consistent with law, including the Federal Acquisition Regulation, and Federal best practices associated with making source selection decisions;

(2) the process, procedures, and practices used for the Maritime Administration's qualification of vessel recycling facilities; and

(3) any other aspect of the Maritime Administration's vessel recycling process that the Comptroller General deems appropriate to review.

(c) **FINDINGS.**—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall report the findings of the assessment under subsection (a) to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

TITLE V—PIRACY

SEC. 501. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Piracy Suppression Act of 2012”.

SEC. 502. TRAINING FOR USE OF FORCE AGAINST PIRACY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 517 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 51705. Training for use of force against piracy

“The Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating, shall certify a training curriculum for United States mariners on the use of force against pirates. The curriculum shall include—

“(1) information on waters designated as high-risk waters by the Commandant of the Coast Guard;

“(2) information on current threats and patterns of attack by pirates;

“(3) tactics for defense of a vessel, including instruction on the types, use, and limitations of security equipment;

“(4) standard rules for the use of force for self-defense as developed by the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating under section 912(c) of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-281; 46 U.S.C. 8107 note), including instruction on firearm safety for crewmembers of vessels carrying cargo under section 55305 of this title; and

“(5) procedures to follow to improve crewmember survivability if captured and taken hostage by pirates.”.

(b) **DEADLINE.**—The Secretary of Transportation shall certify the curriculum required under the amendment made by subsection (a) not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 517 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“51705. Training program for use of force against piracy.”.

SEC. 503. SECURITY OF GOVERNMENT-IMPELLED CARGO.

Section 55305 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) **SECURITY OF GOVERNMENT-IMPELLED CARGO.**—

“(1) In order to ensure the safety of vessels and crewmembers transporting equipment, materials, or commodities under this section, the Secretary of Transportation shall direct each department or agency (except the Department of Defense), when responsible for the carriage of such equipment, materials, or commodities, to provide armed personnel aboard vessels of the United States carrying such equipment, materials, or commodities if the vessels are transiting high-risk waters.

“(2) The Secretary of Transportation shall direct each department or agency responsible to provide armed personnel under paragraph (1) to reimburse, subject to the availability of appropriations, the owners or operators of applicable vessels for the cost of providing armed personnel.

“(3) In this subsection, the term ‘high-risk waters’ means waters so designated by the Commandant of the Coast Guard in the Port Security Advisory in effect on the date on which an applicable voyage begins.”.

SEC. 504. ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROTECT FOREIGN-FLAGGED VESSELS FROM PIRACY.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating, shall provide to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report on actions taken by the Secretary of Defense to protect foreign-flagged vessels from acts of piracy on the high seas. The report shall include—

(1) the total number of incidents for each of the fiscal years 2009 through 2012 in which a member of the armed services or an asset under the control of the Secretary of Defense was used to interdict or defend against an act of piracy directed against any vessel not documented under the laws of the United States; and

(2) the estimated cost for each of the fiscal years 2009 through 2012 for such incidents.

TITLE VI—MARINE DEBRIS

SEC. 601. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Marine Debris Act Amendments of 2012”.

SEC. 602. SHORT TITLE AMENDMENT; REFERENCES.

(a) **SHORT TITLE AMENDMENT.**—Section 1 of the Marine Debris Research, Prevention, and Reduction Act (33 U.S.C. 1951 note) is amended by striking “Research, Prevention, and Reduction”.

(b) **REFERENCES.**—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this title an amendment is expressed as an amendment to a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Marine Debris Act (33 U.S.C. 1951 et seq.), as so retitled by subsection (a) of this section.

SEC. 603. PURPOSE.

Section 2 (33 U.S.C. 1951) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 2. PURPOSE.

“The purpose of this Act is to address the adverse impacts of marine debris on the United States economy, the marine environment, and navigation safety through the identification, determination of sources, assessment, prevention, reduction, and removal of marine debris.”.

SEC. 604. NOAA MARINE DEBRIS PROGRAM.

(a) **NAME OF PROGRAM.**—Section 3 (33 U.S.C. 1952) is amended—

(1) in the section heading by striking “**PREVENTION AND REMOVAL**”; and

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “Prevention and Removal Program to reduce and prevent the occurrence and” and inserting “Program to identify, determine sources of, assess, prevent, reduce, and remove marine debris and address the”;;

(B) by inserting “the economy of the United States,” after “marine debris on”; and

(C) by inserting a comma after “environment”.

(b) **PROGRAM COMPONENTS.**—Section 3(b) (33 U.S.C. 1952(b)) is amended to read as follows:

“(b) **PROGRAM COMPONENTS.**—The Administrator, acting through the Program and subject to the availability of appropriations, shall—

“(1) identify, determine sources of, assess, prevent, reduce, and remove marine debris, with a focus on marine debris posing a threat to living marine resources and navigation safety;

“(2) provide national and regional coordination to assist States, Indian tribes, and regional organizations in the identification, determination of sources, assessment, prevention, reduction, and removal of marine debris;

“(3) undertake efforts to reduce the adverse impacts of lost and discarded fishing gear on living marine resources and navigation safety, including—

“(A) research and development of alternatives to gear posing threats to the marine environment and methods for marking gear used in certain fisheries to enhance the tracking, recovery, and identification of lost and discarded gear; and

“(B) the development of effective non-regulatory measures and incentives to coop-

eratively reduce the volume of lost and discarded fishing gear and to aid in gear recovery;

“(4) undertake outreach and education activities for the public and other stakeholders on sources of marine debris, threats associated with marine debris, and approaches to identifying, determining sources of, assessing, preventing, reducing, and removing marine debris and its adverse impacts on the United States economy, the marine environment, and navigation safety, including outreach and education activities through public-private initiatives; and

“(5) develop, in consultation with the Interagency Committee, interagency plans for the timely response to events determined by the Administrator to be severe marine debris events, including plans to—

“(A) coordinate across agencies and with relevant State, tribal, and local governments to ensure adequate, timely, and efficient response;

“(B) assess the composition, volume, and trajectory of marine debris associated with a severe marine debris event; and

“(C) estimate the potential impacts of a severe marine debris event, including economic impacts on human health, navigation safety, natural resources, tourism, and livestock, including aquaculture.”.

(c) **GRANT CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES.**—Section 3(c) (33 U.S.C. 1952(c)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “section 2(1)” and inserting “section 2”; and

(2) by striking paragraph (5); and

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (6) and (7) as paragraphs (5) and (6), respectively.

(d) **REPEAL.**—Section 2204 of the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act of 1987 (33 U.S.C. 1915), and the item relating to that section in the table of contents contained in section 2 of the United States-Japan Fishery Agreement Approval Act of 1987, are repealed.

SEC. 605. REPEAL OF OBSOLETE PROVISIONS.

Section 4 (33 U.S.C. 1953) is amended—

(1) by striking “(a) STRATEGY.—”; and

(2) by striking subsections (b) and (c).

SEC. 606. COORDINATION.

(a) **INTERAGENCY MARINE DEBRIS COORDINATING COMMITTEE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2203 of the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act of 1987 (33 U.S.C. 1914) is redesignated and moved to replace and appear as section 5 of the Marine Debris Act (33 U.S.C. 1954), as so retitled by section 602(a) of this title.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 5 of the Marine Debris Act (33 U.S.C. 1954), as amended by paragraph (1) of this subsection, is further amended in subsection (d)(2)—

(A) by striking “this Act” and inserting “the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act of 1987”; and

(B) by inserting “of the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act of 1987” after “section 2201”.

(3) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The item relating to section 2203 in the table of contents contained in section 2 of the United States-Japan Fishery Agreement Approval Act of 1987 is repealed.

(b) **BIENNIAL PROGRESS REPORTS.**—Section 5(c)(2) of the Marine Debris Research, Prevention, and Reduction Act (33 U.S.C. 1954(c)(2)), as in effect immediately before the enactment of this Act—

(1) is redesignated and moved to appear as subsection (e) at the end of section 5 of the Marine Debris Act, as amended by subsection (a) of this section; and

(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORTS.—” and all that follows through “thereafter” and inserting “BIENNIAL PROGRESS REPORTS.—Biennially”;

(B) by striking “Interagency” each place it appears;

(C) by striking “chairperson” and inserting “Chairperson”;

(D) by inserting “Natural” before “Resources”;

(E) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (E) as paragraphs (1) through (5), respectively; and

(F) by moving all text 2 ems to the left.

SEC. 607. CONFIDENTIALITY OF SUBMITTED INFORMATION.

Section 6(2) (33 U.S.C. 1955(2)) is amended by striking “by the fishing industry”.

SEC. 608. DEFINITIONS.

Section 7 (33 U.S.C. 1956) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “2203 of the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act of 1987 (33 U.S.C. 1914)” and inserting “5 of this Act”;

(2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) **MARINE DEBRIS.**—The term ‘marine debris’ means any persistent solid material that is manufactured or processed and directly or indirectly, intentionally or unintentionally, disposed of or abandoned into the marine environment or the Great Lakes.”;

(3) by striking paragraph (5);

(4) by redesignating paragraph (7) as paragraph (5);

(5) in paragraph (5), as redesignated by paragraph (4) of this section, by striking “Prevention and Removal”;

(6) by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) **SEVERE MARINE DEBRIS EVENT.**—The term ‘severe marine debris event’ means atypically large amounts of marine debris caused by a natural disaster, including a tsunami, flood, landslide, or hurricane, or other source.”; and

(7) by redesignating paragraph (8) as paragraph (7).

SEC. 609. SEVERE MARINE DEBRIS EVENT DETERMINATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration shall determine whether the March 2011, Tohoku earthquake and subsequent tsunami and the October 2012, hurricane Sandy each caused a severe marine debris event (as that term is defined in section 7(6) of the Marine Debris Act (33 U.S.C. 1956(6)), as amended by this Act).

(b) **DEADLINE.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall provide the determination required under subsection (a) to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

TITLE VII—MISCELLANEOUS

SEC. 701. DISTANT WATER TUNA FLEET.

Section 421 of the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-241; 120 Stat. 547) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) **LICENSING RESTRICTIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) only applies to a foreign citizen who holds a credential that is equivalent to the credential issued by the Coast Guard to a United States citizen for the position, with respect to requirements for experience, training, and other qualifications.

“(2) **TREATMENT OF CREDENTIAL.**—An equivalent credential under paragraph (1) shall be considered as meeting the requirements of section 8304 of title 46, United States Code, but only while a person holding the credential is in the service of the vessel to which this section applies.”;

(2) in subsection (c) by inserting “or Guam” before the period at the end; and

(3) in subsection (d) by striking “on December 31, 2012” and inserting “on the date the Treaty on Fisheries Between the Governments of Certain Pacific Island States and the Government of the United States of America ceases to have effect for any party under Article 12.6 or 12.7 of such treaty, as in effect on the date of enactment of the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2012”.

SEC. 702. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

(a) STUDY OF BRIDGES.—Section 905 of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-281; 33 U.S.C. 494a) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 905. STUDY OF BRIDGES OVER NAVIGABLE WATERS.

“The Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a comprehensive study on the construction or alteration of any bridge, drawbridge, or causeway over the navigable waters of the United States with a channel depth of 25 feet or greater that may impede or obstruct future navigation to or from port facilities and for which a permit under the Act of March 23, 1906 (33 U.S.C. 491 et seq.), popularly known as the Bridge Act of 1906, was requested during the period beginning on January 1, 2006, and ending on August 3, 2011.”

(b) WAIVER.—Section 7(c) of the America's Cup Act of 2011 (125 Stat. 755) is amended by inserting “located in Ketchikan, Alaska” after “moorage”.

SEC. 703. EXTENSION OF MORATORIUM.

Section 2(a) of Public Law 110-299 (33 U.S.C. 1342 note) is amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”.

SEC. 704. NOTICE OF ARRIVAL.

The regulations required under section 109(a) of the Security and Accountability For Every Port Act of 2006 (33 U.S.C. 1223 note) dealing with notice of arrival requirements for foreign vessels on the Outer Continental Shelf shall not apply to a vessel documented under section 12105 of title 46, United States Code, unless the vessel arrives from a foreign port or place.

SEC. 705. WAIVERS.

(a) TEXAS STAR CASINO.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 12113(a)(4) of title 46, United States Code, the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating may issue a certificate of documentation with a fishery endorsement for the *Texas Star Casino* (IMO number 7722047).

(2) RESTRICTION.—Notwithstanding section 12113(b)(1) of title 46, United States Code, a fishery endorsement issued under paragraph (1) is not valid for any fishery for which a fishery management plan has been approved by the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to section 304 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1854) before the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) RANGER III.—Section 3703a of title 46, United States Code, does not apply to the passenger vessel *Ranger III* (United States official number 277361), during any period that the vessel is owned and operated by the National Park Service.

SEC. 706. NATIONAL RESPONSE CENTER NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.

The Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, established pursuant to the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact consented to and approved by Congress in the Act of July 11, 1940 (54 Stat. 752), is

deemed a Government agency for purposes of the notification requirements of section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9603). The National Response Center shall convey notification, including complete and unredacted incident reports, expeditiously to the Commission regarding each release in or affecting the Ohio River Basin for which notification to all appropriate Government agencies is required.

SEC. 707. VESSEL DETERMINATIONS.

The vessel with United States official number 981472 and the vessel with United States official number 988333 shall each be deemed to be a new vessel effective on the date of delivery after January 1, 2008, from a privately owned United States shipyard if no encumbrances are on record with the Coast Guard at the time of the issuance of the new vessel certificate of documentation for each vessel.

SEC. 708. MILLE LACS LAKE, MINNESOTA.

The waters of Mille Lacs Lake, Minnesota, are not waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States for the purposes of section 2 of title 14, United States Code.

SEC. 709. TRANSPORTATION WORKER IDENTIFICATION CREDENTIAL PROCESS REFORM.

Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall reform the process for Transportation Worker Identification Credential enrollment, activation, issuance, and renewal to require, in total, not more than one in-person visit to a designated enrollment center except in cases in which there are extenuating circumstances, as determined by the Secretary, requiring more than one such in-person visit.

SEC. 710. INVESTMENT AMOUNT.

Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Treasury shall increase the \$22,500,000 invested in income-producing securities for purposes of section 5006(b) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2736(b)) by \$12,851,340.

SEC. 711. INTEGRATED CROSS-BORDER MARITIME LAW ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA.

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary of Homeland Security, acting through the Commandant of the Coast Guard, may establish an Integrated Cross-Border Maritime Law Enforcement Operations Program to coordinate the maritime security operations of the United States and Canada (in this section referred to as the “Program”).

(b) PURPOSE.—The Secretary, acting through the Commandant, shall administer the Program in a manner that results in a cooperative approach between the United States and Canada to strengthen border security and detect, prevent, suppress, investigate, and respond to terrorism and violations of law related to border security.

(c) TRAINING.—The Secretary, acting through the Commandant and in consultation with the Secretary of State, may—

(1) establish, as an element of the Program, a training program for individuals who will serve as maritime law enforcement officers; and

(2) conduct training jointly with Canada to enhance border security, including training—

(A) on the detection and apprehension of suspected terrorists and individuals attempting to unlawfully cross or unlawfully use the international maritime border between the United States and Canada;

(B) on the integration, analysis, and dissemination of port security information by and between the United States and Canada;

(C) on policy, regulatory, and legal considerations related to the Program;

(D) on the use of force in maritime security;

(E) on operational procedures and protection of sensitive information; and

(F) on preparedness and response to maritime terrorist incidents.

(d) COORDINATION.—The Secretary, acting through the Commandant, shall coordinate the Program with other similar border security and antiterrorism programs within the Department of Homeland Security.

(e) MEMORANDA OF AGREEMENT.—The Secretary may enter into any memorandum of agreement necessary to carry out the Program.

SEC. 712. BRIDGE PERMITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For the purposes of reviewing a permit application pursuant to section 9 of the Act of March 3, 1899, popularly known as the Rivers and Harbors Appropriation Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 401), the Act of March 23, 1906, popularly known as the Bridge Act of 1906 (33 U.S.C. 491 et seq.), the Act of June 21, 1940, popularly known as the Truman-Hobbs Act (33 U.S.C. 511 et seq.), or the General Bridge Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 525 et seq.), the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating may—

(1) accept voluntary services from one or more owners of a bridge; and

(2) accept and credit to Coast Guard operating expenses any amounts received from one or more owners of a bridge.

(b) EXPEDITED PROCESS.—The Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall complete, on an expeditious basis and using the shortest existing applicable process, determinations on any required approval for issuance of any permits under the jurisdiction of such department related to the construction or alteration of a bridge over the Kill Van Kull consistent with Executive Order 13604 (March 22, 2012) and the Administration's objectives for the project.

SEC. 713. TONNAGE OF AQUEOS ACADIAN.

The Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating may consider the tonnage measurements for the vessel *Aqueos Acadian* (United States official number 553645) recorded on the certificate of inspection for the vessel issued on September 8, 2011, to be valid until May 2, 2014, if the vessel and the use of its space is not changed after November 16, 2012, in a way that substantially affects the tonnage of the vessel.

SEC. 714. NAVIGABILITY DETERMINATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate an assessment of the impact of additional regulatory requirements imposed on passenger vessels operating on the Ringo Cocke Canal in Louisiana as a result of the covered navigability determination.

(b) RESTRICTION.—Before the date that is 180 days after the date on which the assessment required under subsection (a) is submitted, the Commandant may not enforce any regulatory requirements imposed on passenger vessels operating on the Ringo Cocke Canal in Louisiana that are a result of the covered navigability determination.

(c) COVERED NAVIGABILITY DETERMINATION DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered navigability determination” means the Coast Guard's Navigability Determination for Ringo Cocke Canal, Louisiana, dated March 25, 2010.

SEC. 715. COAST GUARD HOUSING.

Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives the Coast Guard's National Housing Assessment and any analysis conducted by the Coast Guard of such assessment.

SEC. 716. ASSESSMENT OF NEEDS FOR ADDITIONAL COAST GUARD PRESENCE IN HIGH-LATITUDE REGIONS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives an assessment of the need for additional Coast Guard prevention and response capability in the high-latitude regions. The assessment shall address needs for all Coast Guard mission areas, including search and rescue, marine pollution response and prevention, fisheries enforcement, and maritime commerce. The Secretary shall include in the assessment—

(1) an analysis of the high-latitude operating capabilities of all current Coast Guard assets other than icebreakers, including assets acquired under the Deepwater program;

(2) an analysis of projected needs for Coast Guard operations in the high-latitude regions; and

(3) an analysis of shore infrastructure, personnel, logistics, communications, and resources requirements to support Coast Guard operations in the high-latitude regions, including forward operating bases and existing infrastructure in the furthest north locations that are ice free, or nearly ice free, year round.

SEC. 717. POTENTIAL PLACE OF REFUGE.

(a) CONSULTATION.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall consult with appropriate Federal agencies and with State and local interests to determine what improvements, if any, are necessary to designate existing ice-free facilities or infrastructure in the Central Bering Sea as a fully functional, year-round Potential Place of Refuge.

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the consultation under subsection (a) shall be to enhance safety of human life at sea and protect the marine environment in the Central Bering Sea.

(c) DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION.—Not later than 90 days after making the determination under subsection (a), the Commandant shall inform the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives in writing of the findings under subsection (a).

SEC. 718. MERCHANT MARINER MEDICAL EVALUATION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate an assessment of the Coast Guard National Maritime Center's merchant mariner medical evaluation program and alternatives to the program.

(b) CONTENTS.—The assessment required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An overview of the adequacy of the program for making medical certification determinations for issuance of merchant mariners' documents.

(2) An analysis of how a system similar to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Adminis-

tration's National Registry of Certified Medical Examiners program, and the Federal Aviation Administration's Designated Aviation Medical Examiners program, could be applied by the Coast Guard in making medical fitness determinations for issuance of merchant mariners' documents.

(3) An explanation of how the amendments to the International Convention on Standards of Training, Certification and Watchkeeping for Seafarers, 1978, that entered into force on January 1, 2012, required changes to the Coast Guard's merchant mariner medical evaluation program.

SEC. 719. DETERMINATIONS.

Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall provide to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate an assessment of—

(1) the loss of United States shipyard jobs and industrial base expertise as a result of rebuild, conversion, and double-hull work on United States-flag vessels eligible to engage in the coastwise trade being performed in foreign shipyards;

(2) enforcement of the Coast Guard's foreign rebuild determination regulations; and

(3) recommendations for improving transparency in the Coast Guard's foreign rebuild determination process.

SEC. 720. IMPEDIMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES-FLAG REGISTRY.

(a) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate an assessment of factors under the authority of the Coast Guard that impact the ability of vessels documented in the United States to effectively compete in international transportation markets.

(b) CONTENT.—The assessment under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) a review of differences between Coast Guard policies and regulations governing the inspection of vessels documented in the United States and International Maritime Organization policies and regulations governing the inspection of vessels not documented in the United States;

(2) a statement on the impact such differences have on operating costs for vessels documented in the United States; and

(3) recommendations on whether to harmonize any such differences.

(c) CONSULTATION.—In preparing the assessment under subsection (a), the Commandant may consider the views of representatives of the owners or operators of vessels documented in the United States and the organizations representing the employees employed on such vessels.

SEC. 721. ARCTIC DEEPWATER SEAPORT.

(a) STUDY.—The Commandant of the Coast Guard, in consultation with the Commanding General of the Army Corps of Engineers, the Maritime Administrator, and the Chief of Naval Operations, shall conduct a study on the feasibility of establishing a deepwater seaport in the Arctic to protect and advance strategic United States interests within the Arctic region.

(b) SCOPE.—The study under subsection (a) shall include an analysis of—

(1) the capability provided by a deepwater seaport that—

(A) is in the Arctic (as that term is defined in the section 112 of the Arctic Research and Policy Act of 1984 (15 U.S.C. 4111)); and

(B) has a depth of not less than 34 feet;

(2) the potential and optimum locations for such deepwater seaport;

(3) the resources needed to establish such deepwater seaport;

(4) the timeframe needed to establish such deepwater seaport;

(5) the infrastructure required to support such deepwater seaport; and

(6) any other issues the Secretary considers necessary to complete the study.

(c) DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF FINDINGS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant shall submit the findings of the study under subsection (a) to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 722. RISK ASSESSMENT OF TRANSPORTING CANADIAN OIL SANDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Commandant of the Coast Guard shall assess the increased vessel traffic in the Salish Sea (including Puget Sound, the Strait of Georgia, Haro Strait, Rosario Strait, and the Strait of Juan de Fuca), that may occur from the transport of Canadian oil sands oil.

(b) SCOPE.—The assessment required under subsection (a) shall, at a minimum, consider—

(1) the extent to which vessel (including barge, tanker, and supertanker) traffic may increase due to Canadian oil sands development;

(2) whether the transport of oil from Canadian oil sands within the Salish Sea is likely to require navigation through United States territorial waters;

(3) the rules or regulations that restrict supertanker traffic in United States waters, including an assessment of whether there are methods to bypass those rules or regulations in such waters and adjacent Canadian waters;

(4) the rules or regulations that restrict the amount of oil transported in tankers or barges in United States waters, including an assessment of whether there are methods to bypass those rules or regulations in such waters and adjacent Canadian waters;

(5) the spill response capability throughout the shared waters of the United States and Canada, including oil spill response planning requirements for vessels bound for one nation transiting through the waters of the other nation;

(6) the vessel emergency response towing capability at the entrance to the Strait of Juan de Fuca;

(7) the agreement between the United States and Canada that outlines requirements for laden tank vessels to be escorted by tug boats;

(8) whether oil extracted from oil sands has different properties from other types of oil, including toxicity and other properties, that may require different maritime clean up technologies;

(9) a risk assessment of the increasing supertanker, tanker, and barge traffic associated with Canadian oil sands development or expected to be associated with Canadian oil sands development; and

(10) the potential costs and benefits to the United States public and the private sector of maritime transportation of oil sands products.

(c) CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT.—In conducting the assessment required under this section, the Commandant shall consult with the State of Washington, affected tribal governments, and industry, including vessel operators, oil sands producers, and spill response experts. The Commandant may consult with the Secretary of State.

(d) DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of

this Act, the Commandant shall submit the assessment required under this section to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. LOBIONDO) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. LOBIONDO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on House Resolution 825.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

Mr. LOBIONDO. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the chairman of the full committee, Mr. MICA, such time as he may consume.

Mr. MICA. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

First, I want to take a moment to thank Mr. LOBIONDO for his outstanding leadership of the Coast Guard Committee, and also Mr. LARSEN, the ranking member from Washington. I know FRANK LOBIONDO has a great love for the United States Coast Guard. He has worked diligently, long, and tirelessly for one of our most important branches and most historic branches of government over the years and dedicated part of his time, but a full commitment, to the United States Coast Guard.

As we take up H.R. 2838 today, as we consider that reauthorization for the United States Coast Guard—and Congress must authorize every program. We create the Coast Guard by law. We must also set the policy and the programs and the funding levels through our committee, an important responsibility.

Now, we have an important responsibility, but we're reminded again, even in the last few days, of the death of one of our Coast Guard officers, Chief Mate Terrell Horne. He was killed protecting the United States. I think it was drug smugglers who took his life in southern California while a small boat was trying to stop their activities. Here again we are painfully reminded of the sacrifice of those men and women in service to the United States. So this morning, I really would like to dedicate this reauthorization to his memory and the memory of all the men and women who have served in the Coast Guard.

I had lost one young lady from St. Augustine in the Arctic. I remember that tragic loss of her life and so many others who have served us well in the United States Coast Guard, an important national security and safety agency that protects us day in and day out, 24/7. So we are reminded of their sacrifices and, today, of our responsibility.

To succeed at the many jobs that we assign members of the Coast Guard, they must have the resources on the water and the docks to complete their important mission. This bill authorizes the Coast Guard for fiscal years 2013 and 2014. It's a total of \$8.6 billion. Of course, when you talk about trillions in our Federal budget and activities, it's a small amount for the more than 50,000 Coast Guard men and women and for the programs that they undertake again each day.

□ 0920

One of the things we've tried to do is make the regulatory burden on fishermen more reasonable by extending some of the time they undergo to have dockside examinations. Again, in addition to serving national security purposes and maritime safety, we also serve an important economic activity, and that's the fishing community.

This bill also looks towards helping others that we're responsible for in the maritime industry. One of the problems we've had is in developing a TWIC card. A TWIC card is a Transportation Worker Identification Credential. We've had great problems with trying to get that installed so that we could find out who is entering our ports and to ensure that is done safely and securely, particularly with the threats that we face, the huge coastline of ports, the exposure that we face from maritime threats. And I think we've, hopefully, lessened some of the burdensome time required by multiple trips to get folks that need these cards to go to these enrollment centers—again, trying to help those who we're supposed to serve and to help them do their job in an expedited fashion.

As you know, our committee published a report. When we were in the minority, we helped author it. The title of the report was, "The Federal Government Must Stop Sitting on Its Assets." And in each of the categories and areas we're responsible for in the Transportation Committee, whether it's empty public buildings that have sat there, properties underutilized, we want to make sure that taxpayers' resources are used in the best possible way.

So this bill follows up our report by requiring the Coast Guard, which has currently sidelined one of our heavy icebreakers, to make a decision on either being reactivated or decommissioned. Again, we can't sit on valuable assets in any of our agencies.

Finally, this bill restricts the use of post-construction of future National Security Cutters until our National Security Cutters meet long-promised mission performance capabilities. We started producing a small number of National Security Cutters—bigger than 100-plus-foot cutters—after 9/11. We've had some problems with that program. It's our responsibility to straighten out those problems, to make certain that the long-promised mission performance capabilities are met, and this bill hope-

fully leads us in that positive direction.

Unfortunately, the bill does not restrict the ability of foreign seamen injured outside the United States on non-U.S.-flagged vessels from suing in United States courts, paid for by United States taxpayers. It was something we had hoped to achieve. We couldn't put it in this bill.

There are some other measures I would have liked to have had in this bill. It does not, unfortunately, establish—but we passed in the House—a uniform national standard for ballast water discharges. And that provision is supported by many in the House and by the U.S. and international maritime industries.

So we've done a good part of the job. I think we've met our responsibility, and I am pleased that we are here to authorize, for a period of 2 years, the United States Coast Guard, its operations and its programs, and support the men and women who support us. So, with that, I urge the passage of H. Res. 825.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

I rise in support of the resolution introduced by my colleague from New Jersey (Mr. LOBIONDO), the chairman of the subcommittee.

Before I begin my remarks, I want to join the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MICA), and of course the many others, in offering my condolences to the entire Coast Guard family for the tragic loss of one of their shipmates during a drug interdiction operation in the waters off of southern California this past weekend. We all recognize that the servicemen and -women of the Coast Guard willingly and routinely expose themselves to highly dangerous conditions on behalf of our Nation. Nevertheless, it is a profound tragedy when a servicemember makes that sacrifice, and our thoughts and prayers are with the Coast Guard at this time.

The legislation I stand in support of today has been developed as a compromise over the past 2 months during negotiations with the Senate. It would amend H.R. 2838, the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2012, that passed the House last November, and it also incorporates numerous provisions from the amendments to H.R. 2838 that cleared the Senate in September. And I appreciate the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. LOBIONDO) for his willingness to work with me on this legislation in a bipartisan and open manner. I'm confident in saying that this bill embodies a fair and bipartisan compromise for everyone involved and that we can feel proud of this work.

As the ranking member of the Coast Guard Subcommittee, it's been a high priority for me to advance policies to revitalize and expand our domestic maritime industries, and this legislation marks a significant achievement in doing just that. It creates jobs in the

shipbuilding industry by taking vital steps towards improving our icebreaker fleet and finishing the program of record for Response Boat-Medium.

Earlier this year, I had a chance to visit job-creating shipyards that will be a part of the modernization effort of the Coast Guard. These shipyards provide good-paying jobs for hardworking engineers, welders, electricians, and mechanics all over the Northwest and throughout the country. The reauthorization of the Maritime Administration will improve the fortunes of those shipyards, and I am pleased that that is included in this bill as well.

But we've also, in authorizing the Coast Guard, reformed a number of key programs. The Coast Guard has one of the most expansive missions in the Federal Government. This multimission maritime military service is responsible for a broad range of activities, including mariner licensing, emergency oil spill response, vessel inspections, and navigation safety. The Coast Guard remains indispensable to the maintenance of a reliable and secure marine supply chain that supports maritime cargo operations, which contribute \$649 billion annually to the U.S. GDP, sustaining more than 13 million jobs.

This legislation authorizes funding levels for both the Coast Guard and the Reserve that provide for increased funding levels in fiscal years '13 and '14 over the fiscal year '12 level.

I believe the funding levels in the bill remain insufficient to address the documented needs of the Coast Guard. The Coast Guard has been asked to do more with less, and I'm afraid that their only choice during this time of budget uncertainty is to do less with less, and that's just wrong. So while I would prefer these levels to be higher, I understand that these funding levels are likely the best that can be provided under the constraints.

We must be aware, however, that funding levels in this legislation are absent any consideration of what will be needed to address the estimated \$260 million in damages to Coast Guard facilities in the Northeast as a result of Hurricane Sandy. These costs will be addressed in the future, I assume.

And I want to highlight, as well, that this legislation contains several provisions that will improve the Coast Guard's readiness and capabilities in the increasingly important Arctic region. Specifically, this bill directs the Coast Guard to complete a business case analysis to assess the cost-effectiveness of reactivating its heavy icebreaker, the Polar Sea. This analysis is overdue and it is vitally important.

At present, the Coast Guard has only one icebreaker, the Healy. Although the Coast Guard expects, in 2013, to reactivate the other heavy icebreaker, the Polar Star, the plain fact remains that the Coast Guard's icebreaker fleet remains severely undercapitalized and overextended. As it will be years before a new icebreaker can be delivered, it's

essential that we make informed decisions on the Polar Sea now in order to have a balanced assessment of Coast Guard polar icebreaker capabilities in the near term.

This legislation also advances provisions that address many administrative, personnel, procurement, and regulatory issues affecting the Coast Guard; specifically, several new authorities to bring the Coast Guard into parity with the other armed services have been included. Additionally, this legislation contains new authorities that will improve the efficiency and oversight of the Coast Guard's major acquisition programs, especially new advanced procurement authority and development of multiyear capital investment programs.

The bill includes language I authored that requires the Coast Guard to complete the procurement of 180 Response Boat-Mediums, or RB-Ms, as originally planned in the program of record for this vessel. This is a critical piece of maritime security, and the completion of these boats will lead to additional job creation in small shipyards.

Besides addressing the needs of the Coast Guard, this legislation also advances several important initiatives to support the U.S. Merchant Marine:

Title III of the legislation protects the Jones Act by strengthening the review and notice requirements for future administrative waivers. This provision, originally called for in H.R. 3202, the American Mariner Jobs Protection Act, should help preserve more opportunities for U.S. carriers and seafarers. The title also provides for a formal authorization for the Committee on the Maritime Transportation System;

Title IV of the legislation includes several provisions that will improve the Maritime Administration's ability to accept, manage, and recycle vessels held in the National Defense Reserve Fleet;

I'm also pleased title VI reauthorizes the Marine Debris Research, Reduction, and Prevention Act. More and more marine debris from the 2011 Japanese tsunami continues to wash up on the shores of the Pacific coast, including in my State of Washington. Japan, in the midst of a recovery from this disaster, though, has shown extraordinary leadership and friendship with the United States by recently announcing that they will donate directly \$5 million to debris cleanup.

□ 0930

It is important that we reauthorize the Marine Debris Act to ensure that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration has the authority it needs to work with States to address this threat.

I very much appreciate the cooperation of Chairman LOBIONDO for including this important environmental measure, and I also applaud my colleagues, Mr. THOMPSON and Mr. FARR, for their work to see this program reauthorized.

In closing, Mr. Speaker, this legislation reflects a fair and balanced compromise. We have an obligation to support the Coast Guard and support our U.S. merchant marine. A safe and secure maritime environment is good for job creation, good for the economy, and good for the American people. In my estimation, this legislation fulfills that obligation. I urge its passage today, and I just briefly want to thank once again Mr. LOBIONDO for his incredible work to be bipartisan, open, and transparent in working to bring this legislation to passage.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LOBIONDO. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of House Resolution 825.

H.R. 2838 reauthorizes the activities of the Coast Guard through the fiscal year 2014 at levels that will allow for the administration's requested 1.7 percent military pay increase for fiscal year 2013 and provide for a military pay increase for fiscal year 2014 at a level consistent with CBO's estimate on the rate of inflation. The bill provides funding for the Coast Guard at levels that will reverse the irresponsible cuts proposed by the Obama administration and will ensure the service has what it needs to successfully conduct its missions.

The legislation includes critical provisions that will give the Coast Guard, its servicemembers, and its dependents great parity with their counterparts in the Department of Defense, something that we've worked very hard to achieve. H.R. 2838 also contains reforms and improvements to the Coast Guard's acquisition program and activities. The bill encourages job growth in the maritime sector by cutting EPA, TSA, and Coast Guard regulatory burdens on small businesses. Finally, the bill enhances the security of U.S. vessels and crews transiting high-risk waters, reauthorizes the national security aspects of the Maritime Administration for fiscal year 2013, and makes several important improvements to NOAA's marine debris program, as noted by Mr. LARSEN.

H.R. 2838 was put together in cooperation with the minority and with our counterparts in the Senate. I'd like to thank Mr. MICA, chairman of the full committee; I'd like to thank Mr. RAHALL, the ranking member of the full committee; I especially want to thank Mr. LARSEN. We've had, I think, a model for how a committee or a subcommittee should operate. We've been focused on results. We've been focused on incorporating good ideas. Rick, I very much appreciated your cooperation in moving forward on these very important issues for the Nation.

Finally, I would like to thank the staff on both sides for their work and their help in this legislation. We rely on them a great deal. They've worked in an extraordinary manner, and it's very much appreciated, and hopefully we get the results we need.

I also want to take a moment to underscore the very dangerous work that the Coast Guard does to keep our Nation and our shores safe. We were all shocked and very saddened to hear the news this weekend that a Coastie lost his life in the line of duty. This underscores how our Coast Guard men and women put their life at risk each and every day. They're really underrecognized and underappreciated for the work they do. And with drugs being such a great scourge in our country, it sounds like this Coastie was just brutally murdered. So our heart goes out to the men and women of the Coast Guard, his colleagues, his family, and his friends. Chief Petty Officer Terrell Horne was serving his country and gave his life for his country. Again, our thoughts and prayers go out to his family and friends. We're tremendously thankful for all the brave men and women of the Coast Guard and the work that they do each and every day.

I urge all Members to support H.R. 2838, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. HAHN).

Ms. HAHN. Thank you, Mr. LARSEN and Mr. LOBIONDO, for your work on this.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer my support for the House and Senate agreement on the Coast Guard reauthorization for fiscal year 2013 and 2014.

The United States Coast Guard plays an integral role in our Nation's homeland security. They are on the front lines each and every day ensuring that our ports and waterways remain safe and secure.

As a cofounder and cochair of the congressional bipartisan PORTS Caucus, we're learning more and more every day about the critical role that our Coast Guard plays in the security of our ports. Our caucus members had a very productive conversation with Vice Admiral Peter Neffenger of the U.S. Coast Guard in April, whom I got to know very well in Los Angeles when he was the captain of the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. He discussed the Coast Guard's critical role in providing security and disaster preparedness at our Nation's ports. That's why providing the Coast Guard with the necessary ships and gear they need is so important.

However, it's neither the ships nor the gear that make up the heart of the United States Coast Guard. It is the men and women who fight every day to make this country a safer place. They serve with bravery and poise, and are sometimes called upon to make the ultimate sacrifice. And as has been talked about this morning, unfortunately that is what occurred this past weekend when a brave Coast Guardsman gave his life for this country. On December 2, Chief Petty Officer Horne was killed when he and his team came upon a boat suspected of drug smuggling and were rammed upon approach-

ing it. The impact knocked Officer Horne and another Coast Guardsman into the water, inflicting Horne with a severe traumatic head injury that ultimately proved to be fatal.

Chief Petty Officer Terrell Horne was a distinguished Coast Guardsman, and his life is deeply cherished by our Nation as we reflect on his unwavering commitment to protecting our country. He and his family were from Redondo Beach, which is in my current congressional district. His sacrifice serves as a stark reminder of the extraordinary sacrifices our men and women in uniform make boldly for this country each and every day.

As my colleagues consider this bill before us, I ask that we all keep Officer Horne's family, friends, and fellow officers in the Coast Guard in your thoughts and prayers and never forget the sacrifices that our men and women make for us each and every day. I appreciate all the comments that have been made this morning reflecting this same thought.

Mr. LOBIONDO. I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Oregon (Ms. BONAMICI).

Ms. BONAMICI. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair and Mr. Ranking Member.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the underlying bill which reauthorizes important programs to keep our waterways safe and navigable, protect our marine economy, defend our maritime borders, and support the brave Coast Guard personnel, including the personnel of the U.S. Coast Guard sector Columbia River, which is headquartered in Oregon's First Congressional District. They all work in pursuit of these vital missions. I also thank the chairman and ranking member of the Coast Guard Subcommittee for their work on this, as well as the chair and ranking member of the full Transportation and Infrastructure Committee.

In addition to supporting the basic mission of the Coast Guard, this legislation includes language to reauthorize another important mission carried out by our Federal Government that is worthy of this body's support, NOAA's marine debris program. In June of this year, coastal residents in my home State of Oregon found a 66-foot dock resting on a beach near the town of Newport, Oregon. The dock was just one piece of many that scientists have estimated to be a debris field with as much as 1.5 million tons of debris that were washed into the ocean by the tsunami that struck Japan in March of 2011.

Beyond the obvious navigational dangers posed by the dock and the other debris that has been discovered in States on the Pacific coastline, the debris also brings with it invasive species that could harm our maritime environment. Not only is this debris dangerous, it's costly to remove, and the

threat of a significant increase in debris arriving on our coasts has caused many State and local governments serious budgetary concerns. Oregon spent nearly \$80,000 just removing that one dock.

Since the arrival of the Japanese dock on Agate Beach, Oregon, other members and I have heard from constituents who call on us to provide them with some assistance in dealing with this unprecedented situation.

□ 0940

The Marine Debris Program at NOAA makes some funding available through grants provided to coastal communities and to State and local governments to assist with debris response and removal. The bill we are considering today reauthorizes NOAA's Marine Debris Program. That's very important.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I yield the gentlelady an additional 30 seconds.

Ms. BONAMICI. In addition to that, I've introduced bipartisan legislation with Congresswoman HERRERA BEUTLER from Washington, the Marine Debris Emergency Act, to expedite that funding, which can currently take about a year from proposal to award. This bill will shorten the window to 60 days, which could be very important.

So I urge my colleagues to support our coastal communities by supporting this legislation and the bill before us today. Thank you to the chairs and ranking member for their hard work.

Mr. LOBIONDO. I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. FARR).

Mr. FARR. Thank you for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to highlight title VI of the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2012, which amends the Marine Debris Program.

This partnership between NOAA and the United States Coast Guard has been hugely beneficial to our Nation's coastal communities. It has improved research and debris removal activities at sea and has built a greater understanding of the challenges we face in addressing this threat. There are so many successful projects funded by the Marine Debris Program, which is astounding considering that the program spends far less than \$10 million.

I want to highlight the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation NOAA grant program for Marine Debris Research and Technology. From 2005 to 2011, this program supported 46 projects involving fishermen, ports, and marinas, and they leveraged \$2.7 million in NOAA funding with \$2.9 million in non-Federal matching funds.

Another program, Fishing for Energy, is one innovative program that installs collection bins for commercial fishermen to dispose of old or unwanted fishing gear. To date, this program has disposed of more than 700

tons of obsolete or derelict gear, which annually accounts for \$250 million in lost marketable lobster and which saves up to \$792 million in damages to boat propellers from derelict fishing gear. If that isn't enough, an Energy-from-Waste facility recycles the gear and harnesses electricity from the recycling process. It doesn't cost the fishermen anything to dispose of this gear, and that's why it's such a successful program.

This small Federal investment results in a huge cost savings. Marine debris is a much larger and growing problem. With the disaster in Japan last year and with recent storms like Sandy, cleaning up debris requires both resources and coordination between agencies and States. While I commend the bipartisan support and leadership of my colleagues to get this bill to the President, I am disappointed that the program's authorization has not been extended. I will continue to work for the permanent reauthorization of the Marine Debris Program because it is a critical program for coastal communities.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill, which is one of bipartisan-bicameral compromise. I thank Chairman MICA, Chairman HASTINGS, Ranking Member RAHALL, and Ranking Member MARKEY for their leadership in bringing my bill, H.R. 1171, to the floor for passage out of the House. I thank Chairman LOBIONDO and Ranking Member LARSEN for including this important language in the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2012. I urge your support.

Mr. LOBIONDO. I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, thank you so much for an opportunity to speak on this important resolution. I want to urge everyone to support its passage.

Finally, I want to thank the staff for its great work in putting this together. It's a bit of a dance to put all of the pieces together in legislation like this, but they did a great job, so I want to extend my thanks to them as well.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LOBIONDO. Mr. Speaker, in closing, I would like to thank everyone who has been involved in this process. Again, I especially thank Mr. LARSEN for the cooperative initiatives and efforts that we've been able to undertake. I hope that all the Members of the House of Representatives will think about the sacrifices that the men and women of the Coast Guard make and will vote affirmatively for this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I applaud Chairmen MICA and LOBIONDO and Ranking Members RAHALL and LARSEN for their work on the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2012 and for their leadership. I also thank our colleagues in the Senate for their work.

The bill before us contains provisions in Section 301 that are substantially similar to

H.R. 3202, the American Mariners Job Protection Act, which I introduced with Congressman JEFF LANDRY.

These provisions will significantly expand transparency surrounding the issuance of waivers allowing non-Jones Act qualified vessels to carry cargoes between two ports in the United States.

While the Jones Act can be waived in the interest of national defense, the Maritime Administration (MARAD) is required to assess whether Jones Act-qualified vessels are available to carry the cargo under consideration. However, recent experience suggests that such assessments have been cursory at best.

The provisions included in Section 301 will require MARAD to publicize the results of such assessments, including detailing the actions that could be taken to enable American vessels to carry the cargo for which a waiver is sought. MARAD will also be required to publish its determinations on its website and provide notification to Congress when a Jones Act waiver is requested or issued.

I thank my colleagues for working with me and Congressman LANDRY to make these important improvements in the administration of the Jones Act.

While I applaud the inclusion of these provisions, the bill before us does contain provisions that I do not support. In particular, I am deeply disappointed that this bill eliminates provisions in the Coast Guard Authorization of 2010 that I authored to establish an ombudsman in each Coast Guard District.

These ombudsmen were intended to serve as liaisons between the Coast Guard and ports, terminal operators, shipowners, and labor representatives to enable these stakeholders to seek further review of disputes regarding the application of Coast Guard regulations. They would have given the port community another mechanism to engage with the Coast Guard to ensure that the application of regulations achieves critical safety and security objectives while having the least possible impact on commerce.

I am also disappointed that this legislation delays the introduction of modern survival craft that ensure that all parts of the body are out of the water. Instead, the bill allows the continued use of equipment that pre-dates World War II.

We would never think of using pre-World War II technology in other aspects of our lives when significantly more advanced technology is available. For that reason, I am shocked that my colleagues believe such antiquated equipment is appropriate for those whose lives are at risk at sea—particularly the disabled, the elderly and children. This is not a subject that needs more study. It has been studied numerous times—and the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) explicitly opposes the continued use of life floats and non-inflatable buoyant apparatus as primary lifesaving devices.

Similarly, I am disappointed by provisions in this bill that delay the requirement that fishing vessels undergo dockside exams—and that will reduce the frequency of such exams once they are implemented. Five years between dockside examinations will do little to reduce the unconscionably high casualties suffered by commercial fishermen in what remains our nation's most dangerous profession.

Finally, I am disappointed that we could not include legislation Congressman LANDRY and I

introduced to restore the cuts to cargo preference programs made in the MAP-21 legislation. Cargo preference requirements are critical to the maintenance of a robust U.S.-flagged fleet and these cuts should never have been made.

While I will not oppose this legislation, I believe it could have been significantly better than it is in its current form—and I hope we can address these matters promptly in the 113th Congress.

Mr. ROHRBACHER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H. Res. 825, a resolution providing for the concurrence by the House in the Senate amendments to H.R. 2838, the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2012, with an amendment.

For many years I have directed my district staff in Huntington Beach, California, to organize regular briefings for me as well as public informational meetings about homeland and border security, particularly security of the coastline I have the honor to represent in Congress. On April 4 of this year, I had one such briefing in the American Legion Post in Newport Beach that featured presentations by the Department of Homeland Security Office of Intelligence and Analysis, U.S. Coast Guard and U.S. Border Patrol. The briefings were attended by police, sheriff, fire and marine safety personnel from San Diego to Los Angeles.

These briefings are always foremost in my mind when I urge my colleagues in Congress to summon the political will to stop giving our country away by failing to enforce our borders. The southern California shoreline is the destination for a brazen invasion of contraband and illegal labor smugglers by sea. The brazenness is exceeded only by the shocking multiplier effect of violent crime to persons and property that emanates from the "stash" (safe) houses and sweat shops that proliferate along a clandestine network extending north from San Diego.

The stakes have been rising in recent years as hundreds of "panga" boats ply the waters of Orange County's most treasured beachfront locations, looking for scouts and convoys forward positioned to make a pick-up of exploited workers or drugs shipments. Some panga boats are small, seating 6 to 8 passengers, and sometimes the boats are huge and hold up to 40 people. To suggest it is a sophisticated operation would be an understatement. That is why we enlist the public as well as our protective law enforcement services to spread the word of warning and alert the citizenry to the threat we face as individuals and as a society.

On December 2, 2012, we were tragically reminded of what is at stake when news from the U.S. Coast Guard reached my desk that a brave member of the United States Coast Guard, Chief Petty Officer Terrell Horne III, was killed in action while defending our coastline from the wave of unlawful foreign incursions. As I did in my letter to Admiral Papp, Commandant of the USCG, I want to convey here the most heartfelt condolences from my family and me, as well as millions of Americans living on this coastline, to Chief Petty Officer Horne's family, to the crew of the Cutter *Halibut* on which Petty Officer Horne served, and to the larger USCG community.

Reports indicate that Chief Petty Officer Horne was in a chase boat pursuing a panga when it turned and rammed his craft, killing him and injuring other USCG members doing

their jobs for us. This violence against our coastal defenders is yet another wake up call to America, sounding anew a warning that we must as a nation summon courage to defend our border equal to the devotion to duty Chief Petty Officer Horne exemplified. There was no price he was unwilling to pay to protect our nation, and we must honor him by rising in the same degree to the cause for which he died.

Every day courageous men and women of the USCG are on the front line of the struggle to restore the rule of law in the navigable waters of our nation. As the daily assault on our coastal communities escalates, the USCG stands between us and lawlessness on the open seas and along the shorelines where our very civil order now is under siege. This tragic loss of one of America's finest is the terrible price we pay to turn back those emboldened to violate our border security and threaten our homeland in desperate criminal enterprises, profiting from trafficking in drugs and human beings.

Unyielding in our vigilance against these modern day pirates and slave traders, we pause to mourn the loss of a fellow American whose service to our nation humbles us and deepens our resolve to prevail against the perpetrators of violence and crime making landfall on our coast from the sea.

That can and must be done to honor Chief Petty Officer Horne and all those who have sacrificed all so we may remain a sovereign nation and free people. We owe it to Terrell Horne and each and every one of our fallen heroes. I again urge my colleagues to support H. Res. 825 in honor of Terrell and all those who sacrifice so much for all of us.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. LOBIONDO) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 825.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SENSE OF CONGRESS ON GOVERNANCE OF THE INTERNET

Mrs. BLACKBURN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and concur in the concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 50) expressing the sense of Congress regarding actions to preserve and advance the multistakeholder governance model under which the Internet has thrived.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

S. CON. RES. 50

Whereas given the importance of the Internet to the global economy, it is essential that the Internet remain stable, secure, and free from government control;

Whereas the world deserves the access to knowledge, services, commerce, and communication, the accompanying benefits to economic development, education, and health care, and the informed discussion that is the bedrock of democratic self-government that the Internet provides;

Whereas the structure of Internet governance has profound implications for competi-

tion and trade, democratization, free expression, and access to information;

Whereas countries have obligations to protect human rights, which are advanced by online activity as well as offline activity;

Whereas the ability to innovate, develop technical capacity, grasp economic opportunities, and promote freedom of expression online is best realized in cooperation with all stakeholders;

Whereas proposals have been put forward for consideration at the 2012 World Conference on International Telecommunications that would fundamentally alter the governance and operation of the Internet;

Whereas the proposals, in international bodies such as the United Nations General Assembly, the United Nations Commission on Science and Technology for Development, and the International Telecommunication Union, would attempt to justify increased government control over the Internet and would undermine the current multistakeholder model that has enabled the Internet to flourish and under which the private sector, civil society, academia, and individual users play an important role in charting its direction;

Whereas the proposals would diminish the freedom of expression on the Internet in favor of government control over content;

Whereas the position of the United States Government has been and is to advocate for the flow of information free from government control; and

Whereas this and past Administrations have made a strong commitment to the multistakeholder model of Internet governance and the promotion of the global benefits of the Internet: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That it is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, should continue working to implement the position of the United States on Internet governance that clearly articulates the consistent and unequivocal policy of the United States to promote a global Internet free from government control and preserve and advance the successful multistakeholder model that governs the Internet today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN) and the gentlewoman from California (Ms. ESHOO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Tennessee.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. BLACKBURN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material in the RECORD for S. Con. Res. 50.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mrs. BLACKBURN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This week, representatives from 193 countries are meeting in Dubai to reexamine an international treaty dealing with telecommunications. Several hostile countries are seeking to use this opportunity to impose new international regulations on the Internet.

We need to send a strong message to the world that the Internet has thrived under a decentralized, bottom-up,

multistakeholder governance model. That is why I stand in strong support of Senator RUBIO's Senate Concurrent Resolution 50. The U.S. is united in its opposition to international control over Internet governance, and we've seen leadership pushing back against ceding more power to the International Telecommunication Union. It is referred to as the "ITU." It's a branch of the United Nations.

Some want to give it new powers. Several countries see the Internet as a tool for political and/or economic control that they want to exploit. For example, Russia's Vladimir Putin has openly stated his intention to seek "international control over the Internet using the monitoring and supervisory capabilities of the ITU." Just last week, the Syrian Government shut off Internet access as the regime sought to suppress the free exchange of information among its private citizens. But it's because the Internet is the ultimate tool of political and economic liberation that we should foster and protect it, not give those who fear its impact on politics and the economy the power to repress its continued innovation and untapped potential.

I also want to make an important point about our legitimacy in the fight to keep the Internet thriving, democratic, and decentralized. Unfortunately, we did undermine our credibility when the Federal Communications Commission imposed net neutrality regulations without the proper statutory authority to do so. Even Ambassador Verveer at the State Department had made the point. He said in 2010 that the net neutrality proceeding "is one that could be employed by regimes that don't agree with our perspectives about essentially avoiding regulation of the Internet and trying to be sure not to do anything to damage its dynamism and its organic development. It could be employed as a pretext or as an excuse for undertaking public policy activities that we would disagree with pretty profoundly."

□ 0950

We need to pass S. Con. Res. 50 and rebuild our credibility in support of Internet freedom. Regulating beyond our authority at home sets a very bad example when we want to oppose truly devastating regulations at the international level. Despite our domestic disagreements on telecom policy, one thing both sides of the aisle can agree on is that we should uphold the Internet governance model that's working. Let's not try to fix what's not broken.

In Dubai, we want our country promoting private markets and U.S. interests. Let's encourage the decentralized governance model that's been successful in the past, and let's show leadership instead of giving away broad regulatory powers to those who don't deserve and who should not have it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.