

carried United States soldiers ashore in every theatre and campaign during the War;

Whereas President Dwight D. Eisenhower, the former Supreme Commander of the Allied Expeditionary Forces in Europe, credited Andrew Jackson Higgins, the chief executive officer of Higgins Industries, as the “man who won the war for us,” in a 1960s conversation with the preeminent historian Stephen E. Ambrose, leading Ambrose to initiate plans for the National World War II Museum;

Whereas the National D-Day Museum, now known as the “National World War II Museum”, has made great strides in the development of the facilities, exhibits, and programs at the Museum;

Whereas the National World War II Museum, since the grand opening on June 6, 2000, which was the 56th anniversary of the D-Day invasion of Normandy, France, has attracted more than 3,000,000 visitors from across the United States and around the world, and has reached millions more through Internet-based and other distance learning programs;

Whereas World War II veterans and home front supporters, recognized as the “greatest generation” because of the sacrifices of the veterans and home front supporters at a pivotal time in United States history, are passing away at a rapid rate, creating an urgent need to preserve the stories, and to pay tribute to the service of the veterans and home front supporters;

Whereas Congress recognizes the need to preserve forever the knowledge and history of the most decisive achievement of the United States during the 20th century and to portray that history to citizens, scholars, visitors, and school children for generations to come;

Whereas Congress appropriated funds in 1992 to authorize the design and construction of the National D-Day Museum to commemorate the epic 1944 Normandy invasion, and appropriated additional funds in 1998, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2009 to help expand the Museum to cover the entire experience of the United States in World War II, and the transformational impact on the United States and the world;

Whereas the World War II Memorial on the National Mall in Washington, DC, will always be the symbolic memorial where people come to remember the sacrifices made during World War II;

Whereas the National World War II Museum in New Orleans will always be the educational institution where people come to learn about the monumental struggle by the United States against would-be oppressors, so that future generations can understand the role the United States played in the preservation and advancement of freedom in the middle of the 20th century;

Whereas the State of Louisiana and thousands of donors, including foundations, companies, and Museum members in every State, have contributed millions of dollars and other support to help build and advance the National World War II Museum, and hundreds of volunteers, many from the World War II era, have provided invaluable assistance to the Museum;

Whereas the Board of Trustees of the National World War II Museum, national in scope, and the Presidential Counselors advisory group, featuring leading historians and museum professionals, provide effective guidance and oversight for the National World War II Museum;

Whereas the National World War II Museum continues to add to and maintain 1 of the largest personal history collections in the United States, representing the experiences of the men and women who fought in World War II and served on the home front,

with more than 7,000 videotaped, oral, and written accounts in the collection, and plans to digitize the collection to vastly improve public access;

Whereas the National World War II Museum is an official affiliate of the Smithsonian Institution, with a formal agreement to borrow Smithsonian artifacts for exhibits;

Whereas the National World War II Museum collaborates with other museums and memorials in the United States and around the world;

Whereas the National World War II Museum has added major facilities in recent years through donor support, including the Solomon Victory Theater complex, which features a 4-D theater, the Stage Door Canteen, a United Service Organization-styled entertainment venue, and the Kushner Restoration Pavilion, home to a major patrol torpedo boat restoration project;

Whereas the National World War II Museum will open the United States Freedom Pavilion: The Boeing Center in January 2013;

Whereas the Pavilion will feature aircraft such as the B-17 bomber and the P-51 fighter, the latter flown by the Tuskegee Airmen, and a submarine experience and exhibits honoring Medal of Honor recipients, government leaders who served in World War II, and industries that became known as the “Arsenal of Democracy”;

Whereas other major pavilions and interactive exhibits are planned or under development as the Museum anticipates the completion of the campus by 2016, including the Campaigns of Courage: European and Pacific Theaters Pavilion, the Liberation Pavilion, and a Union Station train experience in the original Louisiana Memorial Pavilion: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes and applauds the planned January 12, 2013, opening of the United States Freedom Pavilion: The Boeing Center, an iconic pavilion funded in part by the Federal Government and a major feature of the institution designated by section 8134(c) of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-87; 117 Stat. 1105) as “America’s National World War II Museum”;

(2) recognizes the generous assistance from private individuals, corporations, foundations, the Federal Government, the State of Louisiana, and other public entities committed to offering a lasting tribute to the achievements of the United States in World War II; and

(3) expresses support for the mission of the National World War II Museum as vital to the preservation of democratic values, to the understanding of United States history and founding principles, and to the education of future generations about the relevance of the War experience to the past and future greatness of the United States.

#### SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 65—CONGRATULATING THE NAVY AND THE CURRENT AND FORMER OFFICERS AND CREW OF THE U.S.S. ENTERPRISE (CVN 65) ON COMPLETION OF THE 25TH AND FINAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE VESSEL

Mr. WARNER (for himself, Mr. WEBB, and Mr. MCCAIN) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services:

S. CON. RES. 65

Whereas on November 4, 2012, the U.S.S. Enterprise returned to her homeport of Nor-

folk, Virginia, after completing the 25th and final deployment of the vessel;

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise, the first nuclear powered aircraft carrier to serve the United States, is scheduled for inactivation in December 2012 after more than 51 years in active service to the Navy and the Nation;

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise is the 8th vessel to bear that name and justly and rightfully maintained the honor and tradition of those vessels that previously bore the name;

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise participated in the embargo of the island of Cuba ordered by President John Kennedy in the fall of 1962, helping to prevent an escalation of that crisis;

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise conducted multiple deployments in support of combat operations during the Vietnam War;

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise, upon receiving the news of the September 11, 2001, attacks on the United States while returning home from a six-month deployment, immediately reversed course and was deployed in the Arabian Sea;

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise launched hundreds of air strikes into Afghanistan in support of Operation Enduring Freedom throughout October 2001 to destroy Taliban and al Qaeda targets;

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise deployed six times over the last 11 years to conduct combat operations in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom; and

Whereas the U.S.S. Enterprise and the 10 Nimitz-class aircraft carriers of the Navy have proven the wisdom and value of nuclear powered aircraft carriers, which have played crucial roles across the range of military operations, from humanitarian assistance to combat operations, including operations in Iraq and Afghanistan since the beginnings of hostilities, providing, from the sea, unparalleled precision strike, close air support, and surveillance in support of ground combat operations: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring)*, That Congress—

(1) congratulates the Navy and the many crews of the U.S.S. Enterprise (CVN 65) on having provided the United States an incalculable service in international relations and engagement and in the prevention and winning of armed conflicts over the 51-year period of the service of the U.S.S. Enterprise;

(2) honors the service and memory of the 121 Sailors who made the ultimate sacrifice for their country while serving onboard U.S.S. Enterprise, including the 30 that were killed in action during the Vietnam War;

(3) honors the service of the 20 U.S.S. Enterprise Sailors who were held as Prisoners of War during the Vietnam War, the 3 who died in captivity, and the 5 that are still listed as missing-in-action; and

(4) congratulates the nearly 100,000 current and former Sailors who have served on the U.S.S. Enterprise and thanks them for the selfless sacrifice they made in service to the United States.

#### AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 3382. Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3383. Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3384. Mr. MCCAIN (for himself and Mr. COBURN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3385. Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3386. Mrs. BOXER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3387. Mrs. BOXER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3388. Mrs. BOXER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3389. Mrs. BOXER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3390. Mrs. BOXER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3391. Mr. COATS (for himself and Mr. ALEXANDER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3392. Mr. CARDIN (for himself and Mrs. BOXER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3393. Mr. CARDIN (for himself and Ms. LANDRIEU) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3394. Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. TESTER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3395. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3396. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3395 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3397. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3396 proposed by Mr. REID to the amendment SA 3395 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3398. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3399. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3398 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3400. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3401. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3400 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3402. Mr. REID proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. REID to the amendment SA 3400 proposed by Mr. REID to the bill H.R. 1, supra.

SA 3403. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3404. Mr. MERKLEY (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. MCCASKILL, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, and Mr. UDALL of New Mexico) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3405. Mr. MERKLEY (for Mrs. MURRAY) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 4057, to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop a comprehensive policy to improve outreach and transparency to veterans and members of the Armed Forces through the provision of information on institutions of higher learning, and for other purposes.

SA 3406. Mr. MERKLEY (for Mr. KOHL (for himself and Mr. LEE)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 6029, to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide for increased penalties for foreign and economic espionage, and for other purposes.

SA 3407. Mr. MERKLEY (for Mrs. MURRAY) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3202, to amend title 38, United States Code, to ensure that deceased veterans with no known next of kin can receive a dignified burial, and for other purposes.

#### TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 3382.** Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

After section 1105, insert the following:

SEC. 1106. (a) PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR FUTURE DISASTER RECOVERY CONTRACTS NOT COMPETITIVELY AWARDED.—Amounts appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may not be obligated or expended for any contract awarded after the date of the enactment of this Act in support of disaster recovery if such contract was awarded using other than competitive procedures as otherwise required by chapter 33 of title 41, United States Code, section 2304 of title 10, United States Code, and the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(b) CURRENT NO-BID CONTRACTS.—

(1) REVIEW OF CONTRACTS.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Federal agencies shall conduct a review of all contracts to support disaster recovery that were awarded before the date of the enactment of this Act using other than competitive procedures in order to determine the following:

(A) Whether opportunities exist to achieve cost savings under such contracts.

(B) Whether the requirements being met by such contracts can be met using a new or existing contract awarded through competitive procedures.

(2) COMPETITIVE AWARD OF CONTRACTS.—If a Federal agency determines pursuant to the review under paragraph (1) that either subparagraph of that paragraph applies to a contract awarded using other than competitive procedures, the agency shall take appropriate actions with respect to the contract, whether to achieve cost savings under the contract, to use a new or existing contract awarded through competitive procedures to meet applicable requirements, or otherwise to discontinue the use of the contract.

**SA 3383.** Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 16, strike lines 17 through 20 and insert “Provided”.

**SA 3384.** Mr. MCCAIN (for himself and Mr. COBURN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the

Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 82, lines 21 and 22, strike “to remain available until expended: *Provided*,” and insert “to remain available until the earlier of the date on which such funds are expended or the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act: *Provided*, That any funding provided under this heading that remains available for obligation or has been obligated but not yet spent as of the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act shall be rescinded and returned to the Treasury for deficit reduction: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading may be distributed until the National Railroad Passenger Corporation submits a detailed plan to Congress pertaining to each project or program that describes how such funds will be expended: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading may be used for capital improvements or other expenses that are not directly associated with Hurricane Sandy or Tropical Storm Sandy: *Provided further*,”.

**SA 3385.** Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1, making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 24, line 21, strike the period and insert the following: “: *Provided further*, That the amounts made available under this heading may not be used to assist a building, a mobile home, or any personal property that is located in an area that has been identified by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency as an area having special flood hazards and in which the sale of flood insurance has been made available under the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, unless, on the date on which the disaster to which the assistance relates occurred, the building, mobile home, or personal property was covered by flood insurance in an amount at least equal to its development or project cost (less estimated land cost) or to the maximum limit of coverage made available with respect to the particular type of property under the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, whichever is less.”.

**SA 3386.** Mrs. BOXER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 1, making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 32, strike line 19 and all that follows through page 33, line 16, and insert the following:

SEC. 605. In administering the funds made available to address any major disaster declared during the period beginning on August 27, 2011 and ending on December 5, 2012, the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall establish a pilot program for the relocation of State facilities under section 406 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5172), under which the Administrator may waive, or specify alternative requirements for, any regulation the Administrator administers to provide assistance,