

113TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

S. 1016

To protect individual privacy against unwarranted governmental intrusion through the use of the unmanned aerial vehicles commonly called drones, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MAY 22, 2013

Mr. PAUL introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To protect individual privacy against unwarranted governmental intrusion through the use of the unmanned aerial vehicles commonly called drones, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Preserving Freedom
5 from Unwarranted Surveillance Act of 2013”.

6 **SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.**

7 In this Act—

8 (1) the term “drone” has the meaning given the
9 term “unmanned aircraft” in section 331 of the

1 FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 (49
2 U.S.C. 40101 note); and

3 (2) the term “law enforcement party” means a
4 person or entity authorized by law, or funded by the
5 Government of the United States, to investigate or
6 prosecute offenses against the United States.

7 **SEC. 3. PROHIBITED USE OF DRONES.**

8 Except as provided in section 4, a person or entity
9 acting under the authority, or funded in whole or in part
10 by, the Government of the United States shall not use a
11 drone to gather evidence or other information pertaining
12 to criminal conduct or conduct in violation of a statute
13 or regulation except to the extent authorized in a warrant
14 that satisfies the requirements of the Fourth Amendment
15 to the Constitution of the United States.

16 **SEC. 4. EXCEPTIONS.**

17 This Act does not prohibit any of the following:

18 (1) PATROL OF BORDERS.—The use of a drone
19 to patrol national borders to prevent or deter illegal
20 entry of any persons or illegal substances.

21 (2) EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES.—The use of a
22 drone by a law enforcement party when exigent cir-
23 cumstances exist. For the purposes of this para-
24 graph, exigent circumstances exist when the law en-
25 forcement party possesses reasonable suspicion that

1 under particular circumstances, swift action to pre-
2 vent imminent danger to the life of an individual is
3 necessary.

4 (3) HIGH RISK.—The use of a drone to counter
5 a high risk of a terrorist attack by a specific indi-
6 vidual or organization, when the Secretary of Home-
7 land Security determines credible intelligence indi-
8 cates there is such a risk.

9 **SEC. 5. REMEDIES FOR VIOLATION.**

10 Any aggrieved party may in a civil action obtain all
11 appropriate relief to prevent or remedy a violation of this
12 Act.

13 **SEC. 6. PROHIBITION ON USE OF EVIDENCE.**

14 No evidence obtained or collected in violation of this
15 Act may be admissible as evidence in a criminal prosecu-
16 tion in any court of law in the United States.

○